

SMALLPOX VACCINE

5 This invention relates to methods and compositions for use in vaccination against smallpox.

Background of the Invention

 Variola virus, the causative agent of smallpox, is a member of the
10 Orthopoxvirus genus, which also includes monkeypox, cowpox, and vaccinia viruses. The disease caused by variola major strains is characterized by a low infectious dose (10-100 virions), long incubation period (averaging 12 days), fever, constitutional symptoms, rash progressing to a pustular stage, death in up to 30% of those affected, and facial scarring in survivors. The disease is spread person-to-person via the
15 respiratory route by contact (droplets) and, possibly, by aerosol.

 Smallpox was one of the most important causes of morbidity and mortality worldwide throughout the first half of the 20th century. However, in part because of the lack of animal reservoir for the virus, the systematic use of a vaccine (live, attenuated vaccinia virus) was highly effective in fighting this disease. Indeed,
20 between 1967-1977, a global program of smallpox eradication resulted in the elimination of the natural disease (Fenner et al., WHO, Geneva, p. 1460, 1988). Because of the absence of smallpox and the risk of vaccine-associated adverse events, routine vaccination of children, hospital personnel, and military personnel has ceased, and only persons working with vaccinia and related viruses in the laboratory are
25 currently immunized. Thus, a substantial portion of the world's population has no immunity to smallpox. The remaining population has little residual immunity, as vaccine immunity lasts only 5 years after primary vaccination and less than 20 years after revaccination. The eradication of smallpox and the cessation of vaccination have, thus, created vulnerability in the population to covert attack or biowarfare
30 employing variola virus. Should such an event occur, epidemic spread would be unchecked by an immune barrier in the population (Anon. (Editorial), Lancet 353:1539, 1999; Henderson, Science 283:1279-1282, 1999; Henderson et al., J.A.M.A. 281:2127-2137, 1999).

Because of the uncertainties surrounding smallpox eradication, vaccine was stockpiled for emergency use. In the United States, for example, 155,000 vaccine vials (nominally 15.5 million doses) produced by Wyeth Laboratories were originally stockpiled under the control of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC),
5 Atlanta, Georgia, U.S. At a meeting of the National Vaccines Advisory Committee in January 1999, the CDC reported on the status of the national smallpox vaccine repository. At that time, of the 15.5 million doses held by Wyeth, 3.4 million doses had failed quality control testing and 10.3 million were beyond the expiration date specified by the last control test for extended dating, leaving 1.7 million doses that
10 met release specifications (LeDuc, Presentation to the National Vaccines Advisory Committee, Washington D.C., Jan. 11-12, 1999). In addition to the limited supply, the vaccine is packaged in 100 dose vials, which restricts distribution and increases the likelihood of wastage during an emergency.

In addition to the U.S. stockpile, there is a supply of vaccine (Lister, Elstree strain) stored at the National Institute of Public Health, Bilthoven, Netherlands, and
15 certain other countries have supplies of smallpox vaccine, which at the time of eradication may have included up to 300 million doses. However, similar problems of stability in storage have reduced this supply to less than 50 million doses (Henderson, Science 283:1279-1282, 1999).

20

Summary of the Invention

The invention provides stable strains of vaccinia virus that are isolated from cultured cells in which Dryvax® has been propagated, and which have characteristics that make them suitable for use as human vaccines against smallpox. The invention
25 also provides methods of generating these strains and methods of using them to prevent smallpox infection and disease.

Accordingly, in a first aspect, the invention provides a clonal strain of attenuated vaccinia virus that is isolated from cultured cells in which Dryvax® has been cultured and, when administered to a human in an amount effective to induce a
30 protective or therapeutic immune response against variola virus in the human, is acceptably attenuated in the human.

The clonal strains can have, for example, substantially the same virulence and/or immunogenicity as Dryvax®. Preferably, the vaccinia virus is produced in substantially the same or greater amounts as Dryvax® when inoculated into cell cultures, and/or has substantially the same digestion pattern as Dryvax® when
5 digested with a restriction endonuclease.

The clonal strain can also have, for example, substantially the same virulence and/or immunogenicity as vaccinia virus strain ACAM1000 (deposited as ATCC Deposit No. PTA-3321 on April 19, 2001; see clone 2, below) when tested in appropriate animal models or in humans. Preferably, such a vaccinia virus is
10 produced in substantially the same or greater amounts as vaccinia virus strain ACAM1000 when inoculated into cell cultures, and/or has substantially the same digestion pattern as vaccinia virus strain ACAM1000 when digested with a restriction endonuclease. One example of a vaccinia virus that is included in the invention is ACAM1000 (ATCC Deposit No. PTA-3321).

15 In a second aspect, the invention provides a pharmaceutical composition including a clonal strain of vaccinia virus, as described above and elsewhere herein, and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier or diluent.

In a third aspect, the invention provides a method of preventing or treating variola virus infection in a patient by administering such a pharmaceutical
20 composition to the patient, as well as use of such a composition for this purpose, as well as use of such a composition in the preparation of a medicament for this purpose. The pharmaceutical composition can be administered to the patient by, for example, scarification, in an amount ranging from, for example, 1×10^4 to 1×10^6 plaque-forming units.

25 In a fourth aspect, the invention provides a method of obtaining a clonal strain of attenuated vaccinia virus for use as a vaccine. This method involves (i) propagating Dryvax® in a cell culture system, and (ii) isolating from the cell culture system a clonal strain of vaccinia virus that has substantially the same virulence, immunogenicity, growth characteristics in culture, or restriction endonuclease
30 digestion pattern as Dryvax® or vaccinia virus strain ACAM1000. The virulence of the vaccinia virus can be tested in this method by, for example, a rabbit skin test or a

suckling mouse neurovirulence test. Growth characteristics in culture can be determined using, e.g., human diploid (MRC-5) cells. Preferably, the vaccinia virus identified using this method, when administered to a human in an amount effective to induce a protective or therapeutic immune response against variola virus in the human, is acceptably avirulent in the human.

The invention provides several advantages. For example, previously, smallpox vaccine was produced by inoculation of vaccinia virus into the skin of calves, followed by scraping of the skin of the calves to harvest live virus. The crude virus preparation obtained underwent minimal purification before use in vaccinating human recipients, leaving open the possibility of pathogen contamination. The vaccines of the present invention are produced in a cell culture system that is acceptable by modern standards for vaccine manufacture and is highly purified, thus eliminating this problem. An additional advantage of using cloned viruses, such as those of the present invention, is that the characteristics of such viruses are unlikely to change during propagation and vaccine manufacturing, as compared to mixed populations of viruses. Indeed, we have shown that a virus according to the invention maintains its phenotype under repeated passage and expansion in cell culture, is free from contaminants, and is capable of being produced in cell culture in amounts suitable for large-scale vaccine manufacture.

Other features and advantages of the invention will be apparent from the following detailed description, the drawings, and the claims.

Brief Description of the Drawings

Figs. 1A-1D are a series of graphs showing the results of experiments in which suckling mice were challenged with the indicated vaccinia clones, a polyclonal vaccinia virus preparation, or Dryvax®. The number of mice surviving and average survival time after these challenges are shown.

Fig. 2 shows a HindIII restriction enzyme digestion analysis of vaccine clones of the invention, as compared to a polyclonal virus preparation and Dryvax®.

Detailed Description

The invention provides clonal strains of attenuated vaccinia viruses that can be used in vaccination methods against smallpox (i.e., variola virus). As is described further below, the attenuated vaccinia strains of the invention are obtained by isolating
5 vaccinia clones from cell cultures in which Dryvax® has been propagated. The invention also provides methods of using vaccines that include these vaccinia viruses in the prevention of smallpox, as well as methods of obtaining such clonal strains of vaccinia viruses.

The vaccines of the invention are derived from, and have similar
10 characteristics to, Dryvax® (New York City Board of Health strain, Wyeth Laboratories), which is currently licensed by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and consists of a mixed population of vaccinia viruses generated in calf skin. The vaccines must have acceptably attenuated virulence for humans who are vaccinated with them. An acceptable level of attenuation can be, for example, a level
15 that is similar to (e.g., does not differ in a statistically significant manner from) that observed with Dryvax®, and can be determined using any of the *in vitro* or *in vivo* tests described below. A property of vaccinia virus is its neurotropism, or ability to replicate in cells of the central nervous system, causing inflammation (i.e., encephalitis). Preferably, the vaccines of the invention are not more neurotropic than
20 Dryvax® and do not cause postvaccinal encephalitis in treated patients.

The vaccines and methods of the present invention are described further below.

Indications for Use

25 The principal indication for use of the vaccines of the invention is in the prevention of smallpox in populations exposed or potentially exposed to smallpox after an act of bioterrorism or biowarfare. Efficacy of the vaccines of the invention advantageously is high (>95%), and the vaccines protect against both person-person spread of the virus and primary exposure to high-dose aerosol exposure to biological
30 weapons. Given this principal indication, the vaccines of the invention can be used,

for example, to create a new national stockpile of smallpox (vaccinia) vaccine, and manufacture can be continued annually to maintain a continuous stock of in-date vaccine for an extended period of time.

The vaccines are not intended for routine use, except in laboratory workers
5 who are exposed to vaccinia, cowpox, monkey pox, variola, or other members of the Orthopoxvirus genus. Otherwise, the vaccines are to be released under emergency conditions, as determined by the national security and public health authorities. Under the circumstances of such an emergency, the risks of adverse events associated with vaccinia would be outweighed by the potential benefits of protecting individuals
10 against smallpox and society against spread of the disease. It is recognized that emergency use of the vaccines may be difficult to control, that infants, who are at higher risk of postvaccinal encephalitis, will receive the vaccines, and that precautions and contraindications for use in persons with underlying conditions (e.g., history of eczema, pregnancy, and immunosuppression) may be ignored. For these reasons, it is
15 important that the cell culture-derived vaccines of the invention are not more virulent than the currently licensed product.

Depending upon events that cannot be accurately predicted, there may be a decision to undertake pre-exposure prophylaxis of certain groups, including military personnel, civilian medical personnel, and so-called 'first responders.' The inherent
20 safety profile of the vaccines in these groups, while of great importance, is enhanced by deliberate application of the product and avoidance of use in individuals with risk factors for adverse events. Under these circumstances, the principal risks are autoinoculation, ocular vaccinia, and accidental infection, all of which are self-limited adverse events. There is a small risk of accidental infection of others with underlying
25 risk factors.

Of course, should circumstances in the country or world change such that routine vaccination of additional members of the population (e.g., children), or even the entire population, is thought to be desirable, the vaccines of the present invention can be used for these purposes as well.

Modes and Amounts of Administration

The vaccines of the invention are prepared by propagation of a desired strain of vaccinia virus (e.g., strain ACAM1000; ATCC Deposit No. PTA-3321; see below) in a cell culture system, and purification of the cultured strain from the system using standard methods. For example, the strain can be cultured in diploid human lung fibroblast cells, such as MRC-5 cells, primary chick embryo fibroblast cells, or any other appropriate cell type, as can be determined by one of skill in this art. The culture can take place using any appropriate system such as, for example, the Nunc Cell Factory®.

Purified virus can be lyophilized for later use or can be immediately prepared in a pharmaceutical solution. Numerous pharmaceutically acceptable solutions for use in vaccine preparation are well known in the art and can readily be adapted for use in the present invention by one of skill in this art. (See, e.g., *Remington's Pharmaceutical Sciences* (18th edition), ed. A. Gennaro, 1990, Mack Publishing Co., Easton, PA.) However, the viruses can simply be diluted in a physiologically acceptable solution, such as sterile saline or sterile buffered saline, with or without an adjuvant or carrier. Optionally, the pharmaceutical solution can contain a component that provides viscosity (e.g., glycerol) and/or a component that has bactericidal properties (e.g., phenol). The vaccines can be stored at a concentration of 10^7 - 10^9 plaque-forming units (PFU)/ml, for example, 10^8 PFU/ml.

The vaccines of the invention can be administered to patients by, for example, scarification, using standard methods. For example, a bifurcated needle can be used in such an approach. Alternatively, the vaccine can be administered using any other standard route that is found to be acceptable by one of skill in this art. For example, the vaccine can be administered by subcutaneous or intradermal injection, or by another parenteral route, such as by intramuscular injection. The amount of vaccine administered to an average-sized adult can be, for example, 1×10^4 to 1×10^6 plaque-forming units. As a specific example, 2.5×10^5 plaque-forming units can be used.

Preferably, vaccination is carried out before any exposure to variola, but vaccination can also be carried out with patients who have been exposed to variola,

preferably within a few days of the exposure. Vaccination can be carried out only once in a person's lifetime or can be repeated after a period of time, such as several years (e.g., 5-10 years), as determined to be appropriate by one of skill in this art.

5 *Identification of Vaccine Candidates*

The invention also includes methods of identifying vaccinia vaccine candidates. These candidates can be identified by isolating clonal strains from cell cultures inoculated with Dryvax®, and characterizing these clones using any of the *in vitro* or *in vivo* methods described below. For example, a candidate vaccine strain can
10 be compared with Dryvax® for plaque size, yield in cell culture (using, e.g., MRC-5 cells), rabbit cutaneous virulence, suckling mouse neurovirulence, monkey neurovirulence, or protection in a mouse challenge model. Preferred candidates are those with virulence that is similar to or less than that of Dryvax®, which induce protective immunity that is similar to or greater than that of Dryvax®, and also have
15 growth characteristics that are similar to or greater than those of Dryvax®.

Prior to the present invention, isolation of a clonal strain that has satisfactory characteristics of a vaccine candidate was unpredictable, because the long history of passage of vaccinia has resulted in the generation of multiple subpopulations of variants (i.e., a genetic swarm), with potentially different biological properties. It was
20 also uncertain whether a single variant, isolated by plaque purification (i.e., biological cloning) would have the same phenotypic characteristics as the sum of the multiple variants in the original mixed virus population. In fact, prior to the present invention, it would have been surprising if this were the case.

Development and preclinical characterization of the vaccines of the invention
25 is described further, as follows.

Development and Preclinical Characterization of Vaccinia Vaccines

As is discussed above, Dryvax® is the vaccinia vaccine that is currently licensed by the FDA, was derived from the New York City Board of Health (NYCBH) strain, and was produced up to 1982 by Wyeth-Lederle by the bovine calf lymph
30 method (also see ATCC Deposit No. VR-325). Dryvax® consists of a live, attenuated

vaccinia virus and does not exist as a cell culture product. We adapted the smallpox vaccine strain of vaccinia virus for propagation under controlled conditions in laboratory-grown cultures of human lung fibroblast cells so that modern techniques could be used for vaccine production. To develop a cell culture vaccine, we had to
5 separate Dryvax® from potential adventitious viral contaminants by passage at terminal dilution, and we did this with and without cloning, as is discussed further below. From the mixture of variants (the genetic swarm) in Dryvax®, we selected candidate vaccines that have similar biological characteristics in animals and genomic similarity to the licensed vaccine, providing a high degree of certainty that they are as
10 effective clinically as the original calf lymph product.

In one strategy used for adaptation, the vaccinia virus was cloned to isolate the virus from possible contaminating microorganisms derived from calf skin. Through use of this strategy, six clones were isolated. The cloned viruses exhibited a variety of characteristics with greater or lesser virulence when compared to Dryvax®.
15 Surprisingly, given the expected mixture of variants in Dryvax®, three clones were found to be similar to Dryvax® in virulence tests in animals and differed primarily in growth rate in cell culture. Any of these strains, as well as others with similar characteristics, can be used in smallpox vaccination methods, according to the invention.

20 In another strategy, the virus was not cloned, with the expectation that virus derived by this method would be more likely to behave like the strain from which it was derived. Surprisingly, we found, however, that the strain produced without cloning, while behaving similarly to Dryvax® in *in vitro* tests, did not have the characteristics of the vaccine strain, but in fact was more virulent when tested in
25 laboratory animals. Thus, we focused our development efforts on cloned viruses with characteristics similar to those of the Dryvax® vaccine strain. The details of our characterization are as follows.

Dryvax® was inoculated into cultures of MRC-5 cells and six clones of vaccinia virus were obtained by plaque-purification. Each clone was recloned twice
30 to ensure clonality and freedom from contaminants. Dryvax® was also inoculated into MRC-5 cell cultures at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.001 PFU per cell to

derive an uncloned (polyclonal) virus preparation. This virus was subsequently passed in MRC-5 cells twice more at low MOI. All six clones and the polyclonal preparation were tested in an extensive series of comparative analyses along side Dryvax® for *in vitro* and *in vivo* characteristics. In particular, each clone and the polyclonal preparation were analyzed for plaque morphology, yield in MRC-5 cells, restriction endonuclease mapping patterns, the formation of cutaneous pocks in rabbits, and mouse neurovirulence. A subset of clones was further tested for induction of protective immunity in mice. The objective was to select a vaccine strain from the Dryvax® pool with biological similarity to Dryvax®. Table 1 summarizes the results of these studies.

Table 1. Characterization of 7 vaccinia virus candidates

Test	Results (relative to Dryvax® for qualitative assays)							
	Dryvax®	Clone 1	Clone 2	Clone 3	Clone 4	Clone 5	Clone 6	Poly
Plaque size (mean, mm)	0.42	0.43	0.49	0.36	0.65	0.25	0.27	0.46
Yield in MRC-5 [†]	4.0	13.5	7.0	10.6	15.6	4.7	1.5	7.0
RE analysis		Same	Same	Same	Same	Same	Same	Same
Rabbit skin test		High	Same	High	Same	High	Same	High
Suckling mouse neurovirulence		High	Same	High	Same	High	Same	High
Immunogenicity in mice		Not tested	Same	Same	Same	Not tested	Not tested	Not tested

[†] pfu/ml x 10⁶

From these studies, clones 2 and 4 were identified as having characteristics which show that these clones are suitable for use as a new smallpox vaccine. Clone 6 also has suitable characteristics, but does not grow as well as clones 2 and 4 in culture.

Suckling mouse neurovirulence

Adult mice were determined to be insusceptible to intracranial (IC) inoculation of unmodified Dryvax®. A test in suckling mice was therefore developed. Suckling mice (3-4 days old) were injected with tenfold dilutions of virus and survival time was determined. Three experiments were conducted, with Dryvax® tested in each as a standard. We observed that suckling mice inoculated IC with Dryvax® uniformly developed fatal encephalitis with an LD₅₀ of ~1.0 log₁₀ PFU and a mean time to death of seven days. This test was thus determined to be useful for comparing

neurovirulence of vaccine candidates with parental Dryvax®. The results of these experiments are summarized in Table 2, and the number of mice surviving and the average survival times found in this analysis are shown in Figs. 1A-1D.

5 **Table 2.** Neurovirulence for suckling mice, vaccinia vaccine candidates

Experiment	Virus	Parameter			
		LD ₅₀ ¹	LD ₉₀ ¹	AST ²	(SD)
1	Dryvax®	1.68	3.03	7.78	(4.19)
	Polyclonal	<1.3	1.94	6.09	(1.14)
	Clone 1	<2.3	<2.3	4.20	(1.03)
2	Dryvax®	1.3	2.1	6.67	(0.71)
	Clone 2	1.55	2.25	6.80	(2.74)
	Clone 3	<1.3	<1.3	5.00	(1.16)
	Clone 4	2.59	3.16	6.11	(1.36)
3	Dryvax®	2.4	4.15	8.64	(3.42)
	Clone 5	<1.3	<1.3	4.08	(1.08)
	Clone 6	1.57	2.47	7.73	(4.63)

¹ 50% intracerebral lethal dose per 0.02 mL inoculum on day 10 after infection
¹ 90% intracerebral lethal dose per 0.02 mL inoculum on day 10 after infection
² Average survival time, days (standard deviation) at ~10-100 LD₅₀

Additional tests can be used to test the virulence of vaccine candidates. For example, we developed a rabbit cutaneous virulence test. This test was successfully developed using intradermal inoculation of unpassaged Dryvax®, which produced dose-related pock lesions characterized by erythema, induration, and in some cases a central lesion. As another example, a monkey neurovirulence test can be used. In this test, a cloned Dryvax®-derived candidate is tested against Dryvax® by IC inoculation of graded virus doses.

We also developed a mouse challenge model for protection tests. In this model, the WR strain of vaccinia was used to challenge 6-8 week-old mice by the intranasal (IN) route. The LD₅₀ is ~4.5 log₁₀ PFU and the mean time to death is 6-7 days after challenge. The test is sufficiently robust to use in preclinical protection studies with the new vaccine candidate. Similar models using other Orthopoxviruses, such as cowpox virus, can also be used.

The ability of Dryvax® and clones 2 and 4 to induce protective immunity against vaccinia WR challenge in mice was tested. Clone 3 was also tested to determine whether a clone with greater virulence also induces a greater immune

response. Four-week-old mice were immunized with graded doses of each virus strain. The virus was inoculated into the skin by scarification with a bifurcated needle, which is the method used to inoculate Dryvax® in humans. Three weeks after immunization, the mice were challenged with $6.5 \log_{10}$ PFU (100 LD_{50}) of vaccinia WR by the IN route. Survival rate over 14 days after challenge was determined. Dose-responsive protection was seen with all virus strains, and all clones protected mice at least as well as Dryvax®. There were no differences in the protective activity among the clones. The average survival times at different immunizing doses are summarized in Table 3 and the 50% protective doses (PD_{50}) are shown in Table 4.

Table 3. Survival time of immunized mice following challenge with 100 LD_{50} of vaccinia WR.

Immun. dose ($\log_{10}$ pfu/ml)	Average survival time (days)			
	Dryvax	Clone 3	Clone 2	Clone 4
8	14.0	NT	14.0	NT
7	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0
6	12.0	14.0	12.4	12.4
5	7.6	8.8	7.2	7.0
4	5.2	5.2	7.8	5.4
3	5.6	5.4	5.4	4.8
2	5.2	5.8	5.2	NT

Table 4. 50% protective doses of Dryvax® and vaccine candidates.

PD_{50} ($\log_{10}$ pfu)			
Dryvax	Clone 3	Clone 2	Clone 4
5.5	5.2	5.4	5.5

Restriction endonuclease analysis

DNA from Dryvax® and each vaccine candidate was purified and subjected to restriction endonuclease digestion by HindIII to determine whether there are genetic differences among the strains. No differences were detected, as is shown in the electrophoretic analysis of digested genomic DNA shown in Fig. 2.

Selection of a cell substrate

Studies of Dryvax® replication and yields in MRC-5 cells and primary chick embryo fibroblast (CEF) cells were undertaken, and showed yields to be lower in CEF cells than in MRC-5. MRC-5 cells were thus selected as the substrate for vaccine
5 development. Candidates can be tested in CEF or other cells to compare vaccine yields.

Growth media for MRC-5 cell expansion were also compared. Williams E medium, Minimal Essential Medium (MEM), and Dulbecco's MEM gave equivalent results. Media enrichment with additional fetal bovine serum (FBS), nonessential
10 amino acids, vitamins, and sodium pyruvate provided no advantages over medium with 10% FBS with respect to cell viability or growth. MRC-5 growth kinetics at appropriate cell seeding density was adequate. The cell plant density was determined to be 2×10^4 cells/cm². The time to split was determined to be 3-5 days, and the population doubling/split was determined to be 1-1.5. A method for producing cell
15 banks and for cell expansion for virus growth was defined. Standard trypsinization methods were shown to be suitable for cell dissociation. An alternative method using bacterial pronase was shown to be useful, and may have the advantage of avoiding animal derived products in manufacturing.

Other embodiments of the invention are present in the following claims.
20

What is claimed is:

1. A clonal strain of attenuated vaccinia virus that is isolated from cultured cells in which the New York City Board of Health Strain of vaccinia virus, ACAM1000 (ATCC Deposit No. PTA-3321), or a progeny virus thereof has been cultured and, when administered to a human in an amount effective to induce a protective or therapeutic immune response against variola virus in said human, is acceptably attenuated in said human.
2. The clonal strain of vaccinia virus of claim 1, wherein said vaccinia virus has substantially the same virulence as Dryvax®.
3. The clonal strain of vaccinia virus of claim 1, wherein said vaccinia virus has substantially the same immunogenicity as Dryvax®.
4. The clonal strain of vaccinia virus of claim 1, wherein said vaccinia virus is produced in substantially the same or greater amounts as Dryvax® when inoculated into cell cultures.
5. The clonal strain of vaccinia virus of claim 1, wherein said vaccinia virus has substantially the same digestion pattern as Dryvax® when digested with a restriction endonuclease.
6. The clonal strain of vaccinia virus of claim 1, wherein said vaccinia virus has substantially the same virulence as vaccinia virus strain ACAM1000 (ATCC Deposit No. PTA-3321).
7. The clonal strain of vaccinia virus of claim 1, wherein said vaccinia virus has substantially the same immunogenicity as vaccinia virus strain ACAM1000 (ATCC Deposit No. PTA-3321).
8. The clonal strain of vaccinia virus of claim 1, wherein said vaccinia virus is produced in substantially the same or greater amounts as vaccinia virus strain ACAM1000 (ATCC Deposit No. PTA-3321) when inoculated into cell cultures.

9. The clonal strain of vaccinia virus of claim 1, wherein said vaccinia virus has substantially the same digestion pattern as vaccinia virus strain ACAM1000 (ATCC Deposit No. PTA-3321) when digested with a restriction endonuclease.

5 10. The clonal strain of vaccinia virus of claim 1, wherein said vaccinia virus is ACAM1000 (ATCC Deposit No. PTA-3321).

 11. A pharmaceutical composition comprising the clonal strain of vaccinia virus of claim 1 and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier or diluent.
10

 12. Use of pharmaceutical composition of claim 11 for the manufacture of a medicament for the prevention or treatment of variola virus infection in a patient.

15 13. The use of claim 12, wherein said pharmaceutical composition is suitable for administration to said patient by scarification.

 14. The use of claim 12, wherein said pharmaceutical composition is suitable for administration to said patient in an amount ranging from 1×10^4 to 1×10^6 plaque-forming units.
20

 15. The use of claim 12, wherein said clonal strain of vaccinia virus is ACAM1000 (ATCC Deposit No. PTA-3321).

25 16. A method of obtaining a clonal strain of attenuated vaccinia virus for use as a vaccine, said method comprising (i) propagating Dryvax® in a cell culture system, and (ii) isolating from said cell culture system a clonal strain of vaccinia virus that has substantially the same virulence, immunogenicity, growth characteristics in culture, or restriction endonuclease digestion pattern as Dryvax® or vaccinia virus
30 strain ACAM1000 (ATCC Deposit No. PTA-3321).

17. The method of claim 16, wherein virulence of said vaccinia virus is determined by a rabbit skin test.

5 18. The method of claim 16, wherein virulence of said vaccinia virus is determined by a suckling mouse neurovirulence test.

10 19. The method of claim 16, wherein said vaccinia virus, when administered to a human in an amount effective to induce a protective or therapeutic immune response against variola virus in said human, is acceptably avirulent in said human.

20. The method of claim 16, wherein said growth characteristics in culture of said vaccinia virus are determined using MRC-5 cells.