

METHODS OF TREATING AL AMYLOIDOSIS

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATION

This application claims priority to U.S. Provisional Patent Application Serial
5 No. 63/143,728, filed January 29, 2021; the entire contents of which are herein
incorporated by reference.

FIELD

The disclosure relates to the technical fields of immunology and medicine.

10

BACKGROUND

AL amyloidosis involves a hematological disorder caused by clonal plasma
cells that produce immunoglobulin light chains that can misfold and contribute to
disease. Overproduction of misfolded light chain by plasma cells results in deposits
15 of abnormal AL protein (amyloid) in the tissues and organs of individuals with AL
amyloidosis. Clinical features of AL amyloidosis include a constellation of symptoms
and organ dysfunction that can include cardiac, renal, and hepatic dysfunction,
gastrointestinal involvement, neuropathies and macroglossia. The mechanisms by
which amyloidogenic immunoglobulin light chains result in organ dysfunction are not
20 well characterized, however, it is hypothesized that both amyloid deposits and
prefibrillar aggregates may contribute to cytotoxic effects on organs observed in
patients with AL amyloidosis. AL amyloidosis is a disease entity of its own, although
AL amyloidosis can occur concurrently in a subset of patients with multiple myeloma
(up to 15%) or monoclonal gammopathy of unknown significance (MGUS; up to
25 9%).

AL amyloidosis is a rare disorder with an estimated incidence of 8 in
1,000,000 people. Only 1200 to 3200 new cases of AL amyloidosis are reported each
year in the United States. Two thirds of patients with AL amyloidosis are male and
less than 5% of patients are under 40 years of age. Both the causes and origins of AL
30 amyloidosis remain poorly understood. The outcome of the disease for patients with
AL amyloidosis can be predicted based on the Mayo four stage prognostic staging
system discussed in Kumar et al., 2012 (Kumar et al., Revised Prognostic Staging
System for Light Chain Amyloidosis Incorporating Cardiac Biomarkers and Serum

Free Light Chain Measurements, J Clin Oncol 30:989-995 2012), with the outcome for Stage IV patients being quite dire.

Current treatment of patients with AL amyloidosis is aimed at reducing or eliminating the bone marrow disorder, *i.e.* the plasma cells that are responsible for producing the light chains, thereby limiting or halting the production of amyloid. The most aggressive treatment options include stem cell transplant and high-dose chemotherapy for those patients who can tolerate it. Other treatment regimens include combinations of drugs often used to treat hematological malignancies, such as melphalan, prednisone, dexamethasone and proteasome inhibitors such as bortezomib, in an attempt to reduce light chain production. There are no currently approved treatments for AL amyloidosis, and none that directly target potentially toxic forms of the amyloidogenic proteins. While some treatment options may ameliorate some of the morbidity associated with AL amyloidosis, few if any have been demonstrated to improve the prognosis in patients. Furthermore, Mayo Stage IV patients with AL amyloidosis represent a patient subset with a very high burden of morbidity and mortality, with no currently approved treatments, and population estimates of approximately 2,760 patients in the U.S., and from 6,900 to 10,350 patients in the U.S. and the European Union combined.

Thus, there is an unmet need for therapies that improve health status, including reducing the risk of mortality or enhancing the quality of life in patients with AL amyloidosis.

SUMMARY

The present disclosure relates to methods of treating certain AL amyloidosis patients. Provided herein are methods of treating a patient having AL amyloidosis, including:

- a) determining:
 - (i) the Mayo Stage of the patient's AL amyloidosis, or
 - (ii) the 6 minute walk test distance (6MWD) and ejection fraction (EF) of the patient, or
 - (iii) the Mayo Stage and the EF of the patient; and
- b) selecting the patient for treatment with an antibody which competes for binding to human amyloid A peptide or human kappa or lambda light

chain immunoglobulin with 2A4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9662) or 7D8 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9468), or competes for binding to kappa light chain immunoglobulin with 11-1F4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-105) if the patient:

- (i) has Mayo Stage IV AL amyloidosis; or
- 5 (ii) has a 6MWD ≥ 30 meters and ≤ 550 meters; or
- (iii) has a 6MWD ≥ 150 meters and an EF $> 50\%$ at baseline; or
- (iv) has Mayo Stage IV and a 6MWD ≥ 30 meters and ≤ 550 meters; or
- 10 (v) has Mayo Stage IV and EF $> 50\%$ at baseline; or
- (vi) has Mayo Stage IV, a 6MWD ≥ 150 meters and an EF $> 50\%$ at baseline; and

- c) administering an effective dosage of the antibody, where the patient has not previously received or does not concomitantly receive daratumumab.
- 15

In some embodiments, the patient has Mayo Stage IV amyloidosis.

- In some embodiment, the patient has a 6MWD ≥ 150 meters and an EF $> 50\%$. In some embodiments, the patient has a 6MWD ≥ 30 meters and ≤ 550 meters.
- In some embodiments, the patient has an EF $> 50\%$. In some embodiments, the patient has a 6MWD ≥ 150 meters. In some embodiments, the patient has a 6MWD ≥ 30 meters and ≤ 550 meters.
- 20

- Also provided herein are methods of treating a patient having AL amyloidosis, including administering an effective dosage of an antibody which competes for binding to human amyloid A peptide or human kappa or lambda light chain immunoglobulin with 2A4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9662) or 7D8 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9468), or competes for binding to kappa light chain immunoglobulin with 11-1F4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-105), where the patient has (a) Mayo Stage IV AL amyloidosis, (b) a 6 minute walk distance (6MWD) ≥ 30 meters and ≤ 550 meters, (c) a 6MWD ≥ 150 meters and EF $> 50\%$, (d) Mayo Stage IV and an EF $> 50\%$, (e) Mayo Stage IV and a 6MWD ≥ 30 meters and ≤ 550 meters, or (f) Mayo Stage IV and a 6MWD ≥ 150 meters and EF $> 50\%$ and (g) the patient has not previously received or does not concomitantly receive daratumumab.
- 25
- 30

In some embodiments, the patient has Mayo Stage IV AL amyloidosis.

In some embodiments, the patient has a 6MWD $\geq$ 150 meters and an EF $>$ 50%. In some embodiments, the patient has a 6MWD $\geq$ 150 meters and an EF $>$ 50%. In some embodiments, the patient has an EF $>$ 50%.

Also provided herein are methods of treating a patient having Mayo Stage IV
5 AL amyloidosis and having NYHA class III or NYHA class IV, the method including administering to the patient a therapeutically effective dosage of an antibody which competes for binding to human amyloid A peptide or human kappa or lambda light chain immunoglobulin with 2A4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9662) or 7D8 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9468), or competes for binding to kappa light chain
10 immunoglobulin with 11-1F4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-105) amount, where the patient has not previously received or does not concomitantly receive daratumumab.

In some embodiments, the patient's NYHA class is reduced by at least two classes.

15 In some embodiments, the patient's NYHA class is assessed nine or more months after treatment.

Also provided herein are methods of reducing the risk of mortality in a patient having Mayo Stage IV AL amyloidosis and having NYHA class III or NYHA class IV, the method including administering to the patient a therapeutically effective
20 dosage of an antibody which competes for binding to human amyloid A peptide or human kappa or lambda light chain immunoglobulin with 2A4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9662) or 7D8 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9468), or competes for binding to kappa light chain immunoglobulin with 11-1F4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-105) amount, where the patient has not previously received or does not
25 concomitantly receive daratumumab.

In some embodiments, where the risk of mortality is all-cause mortality. In some embodiments, the risk of mortality is cardiac mortality. In some embodiments, the risk of mortality is assessed nine or more months after treatment. In some embodiments, the risk of mortality is reduced by at least 15% as compared to the risk
30 of mortality in a control population.

Also provided herein methods of reducing the risk of hospitalization in a patient having Mayo Stage IV AL amyloidosis, the method including administering to the patient a therapeutically effective dosage of an antibody which competes for

binding to human amyloid A peptide or human kappa or lambda light chain immunoglobulin with 2A4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9662) or 7D8 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9468), or competes for binding to kappa light chain immunoglobulin with 11-1F4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-105) amount, where
5 the patient has not previously received or does not concomitantly receive daratumumab.

In some embodiments, the risk of hospitalization in the patient is reduced by at least 20% as compared to the risk of hospitalization in a control population.

Also provided herein are methods of increasing the number of days alive out
10 of hospital (DAOH) in a patient Mayo Stage IV amyloidosis, the method including administering to the patient a therapeutically effective dosage of an antibody which competes for binding to human amyloid A peptide or human kappa or lambda light chain immunoglobulin with 2A4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9662) or 7D8 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9468), or competes for binding to kappa light chain
15 immunoglobulin with 11-1F4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-105) amount, where the patient has not previously received or does not concomitantly receive daratumumab.

In some embodiments, the number of DAOH increases by at least 20 days after one month of treatment as compared to a control population. In some
20 embodiments, the number of DAOH increases by at least 100 days after six months of treatment as compared to DAOH in a control population. In some embodiments, the number of DAOH increases by at least 300 after twelve months of treatment as compared to DAOH in a control population. In some embodiments, the number of DAOH increases by at least 600 after 24 months of treatment as compared to DAOH
25 in a control population. In some embodiments, the number of DAOH increases by at least 900 after 48 months of treatment as compared to DAOH in a control population.

Also provided herein are methods of reducing NTproBNP in a patient having Mayo Stage IV AL amyloidosis, the method including administering to the patient a therapeutically effective dosage of an antibody which competes for binding to human
30 amyloid A peptide or human kappa or lambda light chain immunoglobulin with 2A4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9662) or 7D8 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9468), or competes for binding to kappa light chain immunoglobulin with 11-1F4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-105) amount, where the patient has not previously received or does not concomitantly receive daratumumab.

In some embodiments, NTproBNP is reduced by at least 31% from a baseline after 3 months of treatment. In some embodiments, NTproBNP is reduced by at least 45% from a baseline after 3 months of treatment. In some embodiments, NTproBNP is reduced by at least 60% from a baseline after 3 months of treatment. In some
5 embodiments, NTproBNP is reduced by at least 60% from a baseline to a nadir >400 pg/ml after 3 months of treatment. In some embodiments, NTproBNP is reduced to a nadir < 400 pg/ml after 3 months of treatment. In some embodiments, NTproBNP is reduced by at least 45% from a baseline after 6 months of treatment. In some
10 embodiments, NTproBNP is reduced by at least 60% from a baseline after 6 months of treatment. In some embodiments, NTproBNP is reduced by at least 60% from a baseline to a nadir >400 pg/ml after 6 months of treatment. In some embodiments, NTproBNP is reduced to a nadir < 400 pg/ml after 3 months of treatment.

Also provided herein are methods of improving cardiac response rate in a patient having Mayo Stage IV AL amyloidosis, the method including administering a
15 therapeutically effective amount of an antibody which competes for binding to human amyloid A peptide or human kappa or lambda light chain immunoglobulin with 2A4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9662) or 7D8 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9468), or competes for binding to kappa light chain immunoglobulin with 11-1F4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-105) amount, where the patient has not previously
20 received or does not concomitantly receive daratumumab.

In some embodiments, the cardiac response rate increases at least 30% after 6 months of treatment as compared to baseline. In some embodiments, the cardiac response rate increases at least 50% after 12 months of treatment as compared to baseline. In some embodiments, the cardiac response rate increases at least 75% after
25 12 months of treatment as compared to baseline.

Also provided herein are methods of improving a six minute walk test (6MWT) in a patient having Mayo Stage IV AL amyloidosis, the method including administering a therapeutically effective dosage of an antibody which competes for binding to human amyloid A peptide or human kappa or lambda light chain
30 immunoglobulin with 2A4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9662) or 7D8 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9468), or competes for binding to kappa light chain immunoglobulin with 11-1F4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-105) amount, where the patient has not previously received or does not concomitantly receive daratumumab.

In some embodiments, the 6MWT achieved is at least 300 meters. In some
embodiments, the 6MWT achieved is at least 300 meters after treatment for 12
months. In some embodiments, the 6MWT improves at least 33 meters as compared
to baseline. In some embodiments, the 6MWT improves at least 33 meters after 12
5 months of treatment as compared to baseline. In some embodiments, the 6MWT
achieved is at least 300 meters after treatment for 18 months. In some embodiments,
the 6MWT improves at least 33 meters after 18 months of treatment as compared to
baseline.

Also provided herein are methods of increasing a Kansas City
10 Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire (KCCQ) score in a patient having Mayo Stage IV AL
amyloidosis, the method including administering a therapeutically effective dosage of
an antibody which competes for binding to human amyloid A peptide or human kappa
or lambda light chain immunoglobulin with 2A4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-
9662) or 7D8 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9468), or competes for binding to
15 kappa light chain immunoglobulin with 11-1F4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-105)
amount, where the patient has not previously received or does not concomitantly
receive daratumumab.

In some embodiments, the KCCQ score increased by at least 5 after 3 months
of treatment as compared to baseline. In some embodiments, the KCCQ score
20 increased by at least 10 after 3 months of treatment as compared to baseline. In some
embodiments, the KCCQ score increased by at least 15 after 3 months of treatment as
compared to baseline. In some embodiments, the KCCQ score improves by at least
20 after 3 months of treatment as compared to baseline. In some embodiments, the
KCCQ score improves by at least 5 after 12 months of treatment as compared to
25 baseline.

In some embodiments, the KCCQ score improves by at least 10 after 12
months of treatment as compared to baseline. In some embodiments, the KCCQ score
improves by at least 15 after 12 months of treatment as compared to baseline. In
some embodiments, the KCCQ score improves by at least 20 after 12 months of
30 treatment as compared to baseline.

Also provided herein are methods of increasing Major Organ Deterioration
Progression Free Survival in a patient having Mayo Class IV AL amyloidosis, the
method including administering to the patient a therapeutically effective dosage of an
antibody which competes for binding to human amyloid A peptide or human kappa or

lambda light chain immunoglobulin with 2A4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9662) or 7D8 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9468), or competes for binding to kappa light chain immunoglobulin with 11-1F4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-105) amount, where the patient has not previously received or does not concomitantly receive
5 daratumumab.

In some embodiments, NTproBNP is reduced by at least 31% from a baseline after 3 months of treatment. In some embodiments, NTproBNP is reduced by at least 45% from a baseline after 3 months of treatment. In some embodiments, NTproBNP is reduced by at least 60% from a baseline after 3 months of treatment. In some
10 embodiments, NTproBNP is reduced by at least 60% from a baseline to a nadir >400 pg/ml after 3 months of treatment. In some embodiments, NTproBNP is reduced to a nadir < 400 pg/ml after 3 months of treatment. In some embodiments, NTproBNP is reduced by at least 45% from a baseline after 6 months of treatment. In some
15 embodiments, NTproBNP is reduced by at least 60% from a baseline after 6 months of treatment. In some embodiments, NTproBNP is reduced by at least 60% from a baseline to a nadir >400 pg/ml after 6 months of treatment. In some embodiments, NTproBNP is reduced to a nadir < 400 pg/ml after 3 months of treatment.

In some embodiments, the antibody includes a light chain variable region including three complementarity determining regions of 2A4, 7D8 or 11-1F4, and a
20 heavy chain variable region including three complementarity determining regions of 2A4, 7D8 or 11-1F4, respectively. In some embodiments, the antibody is a humanized version of 2A4. In some embodiments, antibody is a humanized or chimeric version of 11-1F4.

In some embodiments, the antibody includes a light chain variable region
25 including three complementarity determining regions set forth as SEQ ID NOs: 3, 4 and 5, or SEQ ID NOs: 16, 17, and 18, and a heavy chain variable region including three complementarity determining regions set forth as SEQ ID NOs: 6, 7 and 8, or SEQ ID NOs: 19, 20, and 21.

In some embodiments, the light chain variable region includes the amino acid
30 sequence set forth as SEQ ID NO: 1 or 14.

In some embodiments, the heavy chain variable region includes the amino acid sequence set forth as SEQ ID NO: 2 or 15.

In some embodiments, the light chain variable region includes the amino acid sequence set forth as SEQ ID NO: 1 or 14, and the heavy chain variable region includes the amino acid sequence set forth as SEQ ID NO: 2 or 15.

In some embodiments, the antibody includes a light chain including the amino acid sequence set forth as SEQ ID NO: 10, and a heavy chain including the amino acid sequence set forth as SEQ ID NO: 11, 12 or 13.

In some embodiments, the antibody includes a light chain including the amino acid sequence set forth as SEQ ID NO: 10, and a heavy chain including the amino acid sequence set forth as SEQ ID NO: 12.

In some embodiments, the antibody is birtamimab.

In some embodiments, the patient is newly diagnosed and AL amyloidosis treatment naïve.

In some embodiments, the patient previously received or concomitantly receives treatment with melphalan, prednisone, dexamethasone, bortezomib, cyclophosphamide, lenalidomide, doxorubicin, doxycycline, autologous transplant, or a combination thereof.

In some embodiments, the methods include administering to the Mayo Stage IV patient an effective dosage of an antibody which competes for binding to human amyloid A peptide or human kappa or lambda light chain immunoglobulin with 2A4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9662) or 7D8 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9468), or competes for binding to kappa light chain immunoglobulin with 11-1F4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-105) and the patient has not previously received or concomitantly receives daratumumab, thereby reducing the patient's risk of mortality by at least about 35%.

Also provided herein are methods of treating a patient with AL amyloidosis, including:

- a) determining that the patient has a 6 minute walk distance (6MWD) ≥ 30 meters and ≤ 550 meters or a 6MWD ≥ 150 meters and an ejection fraction (EF) $> 50\%$;
- b) selecting the patient for treatment with an antibody which competes for binding to human amyloid A peptide or human kappa or lambda light chain immunoglobulin with 2A4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9662) or 7D8 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-

9468), or competes for binding to kappa light chain immunoglobulin with 11-1F4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-105); and

- 5 c) administering an effective dosage of the antibody, where the patient has not previously received or does not concomitantly receive daratumumab.

A method of treating a patient with AL amyloidosis who has a demonstrated 6 minute walk distance (6MWD) of ≥ 30 meters and ≤ 550 meters or a 6MWD greater than or equal to 150 meters and an ejection fraction (EF) of more than 50%, including
 10 administering to the patient an effective dosage of an antibody which competes for binding to human amyloid A peptide or human kappa or lambda light chain immunoglobulin with 2A4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9662) or 7D8 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9468), or competes for binding to kappa light chain immunoglobulin with 11-1F4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-105), where the
 15 patient has not previously received or does not concomitantly receive daratumumab.

Also provided herein are methods of reducing the risk of mortality in a patient with AL amyloidosis by at least 45%, including administering to the patient an effective dosage of an antibody which competes for binding to human amyloid A peptide or human kappa or lambda light chain immunoglobulin with 2A4 (ATCC
 20 Accession Number PTA-9662) or 7D8 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9468), or competes for binding to kappa light chain immunoglobulin with 11-1F4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-105), where the patient has Mayo Stage IV AL amyloidosis and/or has a demonstrated 6 minute walk distance (6MWD) ≥ 30 meters and ≤ 550 meters, or a 6MWD of greater than or equal to 150 meters and an ejection fraction
 25 (EF) of more than 50%, or the patient has Mayo Stage IV AL amyloidosis and an EF of $> 50\%$, where the patient has not previously received or does not concomitantly receive daratumumab.

In some embodiments, the risk of mortality is of all-cause mortality. In some embodiments, where the risk of all-cause mortality is reduced by at least about 48.9%.
 30 In some embodiments, the risk of all-cause mortality is reduced by at least about 50%. In some embodiments, the risk of all-cause mortality is reduced by at least about 50.2%. In some embodiments, where the risk of all-cause mortality is reduced by at least about 60%. In some embodiments, the risk of all-cause mortality is reduced by

at least about 70%. In some embodiments, the risk of all-cause mortality is reduced by at least about 79.9%. In some embodiments, the risk of all-cause mortality is reduced by at least about 81.5%. In some embodiments, the risk of mortality is of cardiac mortality. In some embodiments, the risk of cardiac mortality is reduced by at least about 75%. In some embodiments, the risk of cardiac mortality is reduced by at least about 62.2%. In some embodiments, the antibody is birtamimab.

In some embodiments, the patient exhibits improvement in the 36-Item Short Form Survey Physical Component Score (SF-36 PCS) or SF-36v2 following treatment with the antibody.

In some embodiments, after nine months of treatment the change in the patient's score on the SF-36 PCS or SF-36v2 is at least 5 points higher relative to a different patient at the same time point who has not been administered the antibody.

In some embodiments, the effective dosage of the antibody is administered from a pharmaceutical formulation including the antibody at a concentration within the range from about 1mg/mL to about 100 mg/mL. In some embodiments, the dosage is from about 0.5 mg/kg to about 30 mg/kg and the antibody is administered intravenously or subcutaneously at a frequency of from about weekly to about quarterly. In some embodiments, the antibody is present at a concentration of about 50 mg/mL. In some embodiments, the dosage is administered intravenously following the transfer of an amount of the formulation required for the dosage from a vial to an intravenous bag containing a liquid. In some embodiments, the dosage is about 24 mg/kg and the antibody is administered intravenously every 28 days.

In some embodiments, the duration of the treatment is at least 9 months. In some embodiments, the duration of the treatment is at least 12 months.

In some embodiments, the duration is effective to achieve or maintain at least about a 3 point increase from baseline in SF-36 PCS or SF-36v2. In some embodiments, the antibody is a Fab, Fab', F(ab')₂, F(ab)₂c, Dab, nanobody, or Fv.

In some embodiments, treatment results in a 20% relative reduction in hospitalization. In some embodiments, treatment results in a 31% to 60% reduction in NTproBNP from a baseline. In some embodiments, treatment results in a >60% reduction in NTproBNP from a baseline to a nadir NTproBNP >400 pg/mL. In some embodiments, treatment results in a nadir NTproBNP < 400 pg/mL. In some embodiments, treatment results in at least a 5 point change to a 20 point change in the

Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire (KCCQ) score. In some embodiments, after treatment of 12 months results in at least a 33 meter improvement in the 6MWT.

Also provided herein are methods of improving a 6 minute walk distance (6MWD) in a patient having AL amyloidosis, including administering to the patient
5 an effective dosage of an antibody which competes for binding to human amyloid A peptide or human kappa or lambda light chain immunoglobulin with 2A4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9662) or 7D8 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9468), or competes for binding to kappa light chain immunoglobulin with 11-1F4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-105), where the patient has not previously received or does
10 not concomitantly receive daratumumab.

In some embodiments, the AL amyloidosis is Mayo Stage IV AL amyloidosis. In some embodiments, an ejection fraction (EF) of the patient is > 50%. In some embodiments, the antibody is birtamimab.

Some antibodies provided herein are formulated as a pharmaceutical
15 formulation comprising the antibody at a concentration within the range from about 1mg/mL to about 100 mg/mL, histidine buffer at a concentration within the range from about 20 mM to about 30 mM, trehalose at a concentration within the range from about 210 mM to about 250 mM, polysorbate 20 at a concentration within the range from about 0.005% to about 0.05% by weight, and the pharmaceutical
20 formulation is characterized by a pH within the range from about 6 to about 7.

Some uses of the antibody comprise a dosage from about 0.5 mg/kg to about 30 mg/kg and wherein the antibody is administered intravenously or subcutaneously at a frequency of from about weekly to about quarterly. For some uses, the antibody is present at a concentration of about 50 mg/mL, the histidine buffer is present at a
25 concentration of about 25 mM, the trehalose is present at a concentration of about 230 mM, the polysorbate 20 is present at a concentration of about 0.2 g/L, and the pH is about 6.5.

Also provided herein are methods of identifying a patient likely to receive a health benefit from treatment with an antibody which competes for binding to human
30 amyloid A peptide or human kappa or lambda light chain immunoglobulin with antibody 2A4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9662) or 7D8 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9468), or competes for binding to kappa light chain immunoglobulin with 11-1F4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-105), comprising determining (a) whether the patient has Mayo Stage I, Stage II, Stage III or Stage IV AL amyloidosis,

(b) the 6 minute walk distance (6MWD) of the patient, and/or (c) the ejection fraction (EF) of the patient, and selecting for treatment a patient with (i) Stage IV AL amyloidosis, (ii) a patient with a 6MWD $\geq$ 150 meters and an EF $>$ 50%, (iii) Stage IV AL amyloidosis and a 6MWD $\geq$ 150 meters and an EF $>$ 50%, or (iv) Stage IV AL amyloidosis and an EF $>$ 50%. In some embodiments, the selected patient has Mayo Stage IV AL amyloidosis. In some embodiments, the selected patient has a 6MWD $\geq$ 150 meters and an ejection fraction $>$ 50%. In some embodiments, the selected patient has Mayo Stage IV AL amyloidosis and a 6MWD $\geq$ 150 meters and an ejection fraction $>$ 50%. Some methods further comprise administering intravenously to the patient a dose in the range of about 0.5 mg/m² to about 500 mg/m² of a chimeric or humanized version of 11-1F4, or a dose in the range of about 0.5 mg/kg to about 30 mg/kg of a humanized version of 2A4.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figures 1A-1B show the primary endpoint through 12 months (all-cause mortality or cardiac hospitalization $\geq$ 91 days) in AL amyloidosis patients treated with NEOD001 vs placebo in Mayo Stage I-III patients (Figure 1A) and Mayo Stage IV patients (Figure 1B), respectively.

Figures 2A-2B show the all-cause mortality in AL amyloidosis patients treated with NEOD001 vs placebo in Mayo Stage I-III patients (Figure 2A) and Mayo Stage IV patients (Figure 2B), respectively.

Figures 3A-3B show the cardiac mortality through 12 months in AL amyloidosis patients treated with NEOD001 vs placebo in Mayo Stage I-III patients (Figure 3A) and Mayo Stage IV patients (Figure 3B), respectively.

Figures 4A-4B show the all-cause mortality in AL amyloidosis patients with a baseline 6MWD $\geq$ 150 meters and EF $>$ 50% that are treated with NEOD001 vs placebo for all Mayo Stages (Figure 4A) and in Mayo Stage IV patients (Figure 4B), respectively.

Figure 5 shows Kaplan-Meier Estimate of Primary Composite Endpoint of Time to ACM or CH.

Figure 6 shows Kaplan-Meier Estimate of ACM in Stage IV (mITT).

Figure 7 shows change from baseline in SF-36 PCS Scores for Mayo Stage IV Subjects (mITT). *P<0.05 and **P<0.005 using Student's t-test, comparing change from baseline between treatment groups. Error bars = standard error of the mean (SEM). mITT, modified intent-to-treat; SE, standard error; SF-36 PCS, Short Form-36 Physical Component Summary; SOC, standard of care.

DESCRIPTION

The disclosure provides methods of treating certain AL amyloidosis patients, namely patients with Mayo Stage IV AL amyloidosis, patients with a baseline six minute walk distance (6MWD; sometimes referred to as the six minute walk test (6MWT) distance) greater than or equal to 150 meters and ejection fraction (EF) greater than 50%, Mayo Stage IV patients with a baseline EF greater than 50%, and Mayo Stage IV patients with a baseline 6MWD greater than or equal to 150 meters and ejection fraction (EF) greater than 50%. The methods involve administering to such patients an antibody which competes for binding to human amyloid A peptide or human kappa or lambda light chain immunoglobulin with antibody 2A4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9662) or antibody 7D8 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9468) or which competes for binding to kappa immunoglobulin light chain with antibody 11-1F4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-150). In some embodiments, the antibody is birtamimab.

I. Definitions

The term “antibody” includes intact antibodies and antigen-binding fragments thereof. Typically, fragments compete with the intact antibody from which they were derived for specific binding to the target including separate heavy chains, light chains Fab, Fab', F(ab')₂, F(ab)c, Dabs, nanobodies, and Fv. Fragments can be produced by recombinant DNA techniques, or by enzymatic or chemical separation of intact immunoglobulins. The term “antibody” also includes a bispecific or multispecific antibody and/or a humanized antibody. A bispecific or bifunctional or multifunctional antibody is an artificial hybrid antibody having two or more different heavy/light chain pairs and two or more different binding sites (*see, e.g.,* Songsivilai and Lachmann, *Clin. Exp. Immunol.*, 79:315-321 (1990); Kostelny *et al.*, *J. Immunol.*, 148:1547-53 (1992)).

The term “baseline” includes an initial measurement of a condition that is taken at an early time point and used for comparison over time to look for changes

and which is used for comparison with later data (e.g., such as response to treatment as measured by scores, tests, or other described measurement techniques described herein).

5 The term “censoring” refers to a situation in which the value of a measurement or observation is only partially known. For example, if a study is conducted to measure the impact of a drug on mortality rate, survival will be assumed for the period of the study in the absence of data indicating death, such that patients who withdrew from the study are considered to be alive through the duration of the study regardless of their unknown disposition (i.e., alive or dead).

10 The term “control population” or “control group” includes a population or group of patients that can receive a placebo, another treatment, or do not receive a drug or treatment at all (e.g., treatment with any of the antibodies described herein). The patients receiving treatment can be compared to the control population or control group.

15 The term “ejection fraction” or “EF” refers to the measurement of how much blood the left ventricle pumps out with each contraction. An ejection fraction of 50 percent means that 50 percent of the total amount of blood in the left ventricle is pushed out with each heartbeat. Ejection fraction is used as a measure of heart failure. The EF of a normal heart is typically between 50-70 percent. 41-49 percent
20 may be considered borderline and an EF under 40 percent may be evidence of heart failure or cardiomyopathy.

The term “hazard ratio” or “HR” reflects the instantaneous probability (i.e., hazard rate) of an event (death or progression) in the experimental arm as a ratio to the probability in the comparator arm. If the HR is 1.0, there is no clear advantage for
25 either arm. The lower the HR value, the greater the reduction in risk of death or progression for the experimental treatment arm of the study, which is calculated as 1-HR. For example, an HR of 0.84 equals a 16% relative reduction in event risk in comparison with the control arm of the study.

30 The term “humanized immunoglobulin” or “humanized antibody” refers to an immunoglobulin or antibody that includes at least one humanized immunoglobulin or antibody chain (i.e., at least one humanized light or heavy chain). The term “humanized immunoglobulin chain” or “humanized antibody chain” (i.e., a “humanized immunoglobulin light chain” or “humanized immunoglobulin heavy chain”) refers to an immunoglobulin or antibody chain (i.e., a light or heavy chain,

respectively) having a variable region that includes a variable framework region substantially from a human immunoglobulin or antibody and complementarity determining regions (CDRs) (*e.g.*, at least one CDR, preferably two CDRs, more preferably three CDRs) substantially from a non-human immunoglobulin or antibody, and further includes constant regions (*e.g.*, at least one constant region or portion thereof, in the case of a light chain, and preferably three constant regions in the case of a heavy chain). The term “humanized variable region” (*e.g.*, “humanized light chain variable region” or “humanized heavy chain variable region”) refers to a variable region that includes a variable framework region substantially from a human immunoglobulin or antibody and complementarity determining regions (CDRs) substantially from a non-human immunoglobulin or antibody.

The term “Mayo Stage IV patients” or “Stage IV” refers to patients with stage IV disease according to the prognostic staging system established by the Mayo Clinic (Kumar *et al.*, Revised Prognostic Staging System for Light Chain Amyloidosis Incorporating Cardiac Biomarkers and Serum Free Light Chain Measurements, *J Clin Oncol* 30:989-995 2012), which incorporates both cardiac biomarkers and level of amyloidogenic light chain synthesis. Collectively, patients with stage I, stage II or stage III disease are referred to herein as “Mayo Stage I-III patients” or “Stage I-III patients”. In some embodiments, a patient is identified as having Stage IV AL amyloidosis if they meet the criteria for the following three prognostic variables: troponin-T (cTnT) ≥ 0.025 ng/mL, N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide (NT-ProBNP) $\geq 1,800$ pg/mL, and difference between involved and uninvolved light chain (FLC-diff or dFLC) ≥ 18 mg/dL. In certain embodiments, a patient can be confirmed as Mayo Stage IV as defined by: (1) NT-proBNP ≥ 1800 pg/mL, (2) Troponin-T > 0.03 ng/mL, and (3) dFLC ≥ 18 mg/dL.

The term “p-value” or “p” refers to a number between 0 and 1 relating to the significance of results obtained. A small p-value indicates strong evidence against the null hypothesis (*i.e.*, the hypothesis that there is no effect), for example ≤ 0.1 , indicates statistical significance, with $p \leq 0.001$ being statistically highly significant (less than one in a thousand chance of being wrong).

The phrase “substantially from a human immunoglobulin or antibody” means that, when aligned to a human immunoglobulin or antibody amino sequence for comparison purposes, the region shares at least 80-90%, preferably 90-95%, more

preferably 95-99% identity (*i.e.*, local sequence identity) with the human framework or constant region sequence, allowing, for example, for conservative substitutions, consensus sequence substitutions, germline substitutions, backmutations, and the like. The introduction of conservative substitutions, consensus sequence substitutions, germline substitutions, backmutations, and the like, is often referred to as “optimization” of a humanized antibody or chain. The phrase “substantially from a non-human immunoglobulin or antibody” or “substantially non-human” means having an immunoglobulin or antibody sequence at least 80-95%, preferably 90-95%, more preferably, 96%, 97%, 98%, or 99% identical to that of a non-human organism, *e.g.*, a non-human mammal.

Accordingly, all regions or residues of a humanized immunoglobulin or antibody, or of a humanized immunoglobulin or antibody chain, except possibly the CDRs, are substantially identical to the corresponding regions or residues of one or more native human immunoglobulin sequences. The term “corresponding region” or “corresponding residue” refers to a region or residue on a second amino acid or nucleotide sequence which occupies the same (*i.e.*, equivalent) position as a region or residue on a first amino acid or nucleotide sequence, when the first and second sequences are optimally aligned for comparison purposes.

The phrases “risk reduction” and “risk of” refer to the relative risk unless specified to mean absolute risk.

II. Methods of Treatment and Amenable Subjects

Patients amenable to treatment can be identified by determining the Mayo Stage of the patient’s AL amyloidosis. Alternatively, or in addition, patients likely to receive a health benefit from the treatment can be identified by determining the 6MWD of the patient and determining the ejection fraction of the patient. Patients likely to respond positively to treatment are those with Stage IV AL amyloidosis, patients with a 6MWD of ≥ 150 meters and an EF of $> 50\%$ at baseline, patients with Mayo Stage IV AL amyloidosis with an EF of $> 50\%$ at baseline, and Mayo Stage IV patients with a 6MWD of ≥ 150 meters and an EF of $> 50\%$ at baseline.

Provided herein are methods of treating a human patient showing symptoms of or diagnosed with Mayo Stage IV AL amyloidosis and/or a human patient having a baseline 6MWD of ≥ 150 meters and a baseline EF of $> 50\%$, comprising administering to the patient a regimen of any of the antibodies or antibody

formulations described herein effective to improve the health status of the patient.

Some of the patients have Mayo Stage IV AL amyloidosis and a human patient having a baseline 6MWD of ≥ 150 meters and a baseline EF of $> 50\%$. Some patients have Mayo Stage IV AL amyloidosis and a baseline EF of $> 50\%$. Some patients have systemic organ dysfunction attributed to AL amyloidosis, including dysfunction of the heart, kidney, liver, peripheral nervous system, gastrointestinal system, autonomic nervous system, lung, and/or soft tissue or lymphatic system.

Some methods involve determining the baseline level of troponin-T, NT-proBNP and relative levels of involved and uninvolved light chain in a patient, selecting the patient for treatment if the patient has a baseline level of cTnT ≥ 0.025 ng/mL or > 0.03 ng/mL, NT-ProBNP $\geq 1,800$ pg/mL (and < 8500 pg/mL) and FLC-diff ≥ 18 mg/dL, and administering an effective dosage of any of the antibodies disclosed herein. Some methods involve determining the 6MWD and EF of a patient at baseline and selecting the patient for treatment if the patient has a 6MWD of ≥ 150 meters and an EF of $> 50\%$. In some instances, Mayo Stage IV patients with baseline 6MWD of ≥ 150 meters and baseline EF of $> 50\%$ are selected for treatment. Some methods involve determining the Mayo Stage and EF of the patient and in some instances Mayo Stage IV patients with a baseline EF of $> 50\%$ are selected for treatment.

In some embodiments, the patient is treatment naïve, meaning that the patient has not previously received any treatment for AL amyloidosis. Patients amenable to treatment also include those patients who have received, are currently receiving, or will later receive an alternate therapy for treatment of AL amyloidosis or an associated condition, such as, inflammatory diseases, chronic microbial infections, malignant neoplasms, inherited inflammatory diseases, and lymphoproliferative disorders. For example, patients may also receive or have received one or more of the therapeutic agents identified herein with respect to combination therapies. As an example, patients suffering from AL amyloidosis may also receive or have received or may later receive bortezomib, melphalan, lenalidomide, prednisone, dexamethasone, cyclophosphamide, pomalidomide, carfilzomib, doxorubicin, doxycycline, autologous transplant or combinations thereof. For those patients who have previously received alternate therapies for the treatment of amyloid disease, such therapies may or may not have been successful by the relevant clinical measures, and

likely did not improve health status. Additional examples of such therapies include (1) CyBorD, which is a combination therapy comprising cyclophosphamide, bortezomib and dexamethasone, (2) BMDex, which is a combination of bortezomib, melphalan and dexamethasone, (3) MDex, which is a combination of melphalan and dexamethasone, (4) LDex, which is a combination of lenalidomide and dexamethasone, (5) CLD, which is a combination of cyclophosphamide, lenalidomide and dexamethasone, (6) PomDex, which is a combination of pomalidomide and dexamethasone, and (7) CRd, which is a combination of lenalidomide, cyclophosphamide and dexamethasone. Such patients may, or may not, have experienced cardiac and/or renal improvement as a result of such treatment.

An improvement in health status can be established when the patient exhibits an improvement in the six minute walk distance (meters) outcome measure (6MWD).

An improvement in the 36-Item Short Form Survey Physical Component Score (SF-36 PCS) or Short Form 36 questionnaire (SF-36v2) can also indicate an improvement in health status of the patient. For example, a patient treated with an antibody for at least nine months who scores at least 5 points higher on the SF-36 PCS or SF-36v2 questionnaire than a different patient at the same time point who has not received the antibody has achieved an improvement in health status. In some embodiments, treatment with an antibody disclosed herein results in an increase of a patient's PCS, as measured by SF-36 or SF36v2, of at least 1, at least 2, at least 3, at least 4, at least 5, at least 6, at least 7, at least 8, at least 9, at least 10, at least 11, at least 12, at least 13, at least 14, at least 15, at least 16, at least 17, at least 18, at least 19, at least 20, at least 21, at least 22, at least 23, at least 24, at least 25, at least 26, at least 27, at least 28, at least 29, at least 30, at least 31, at least 32, at least 33, at least 34, at least 35, at least 36, at least 37, at least 38, at least 39, at least 40, at least 41, at least 42, at least 43, at least 44, at least 45, at least 46, at least 47, at least 48, at least 49, at least 50, at least 51, at least 52, at least 53, at least 54, at least 55, at least 56, at least 57, at least 58, at least 59, at least 60, at least 61, at least 62, at least 63, at least 64, at least 65, at least 66, at least 67, at least 68, at least 69, at least 70, at least 71, at least 72, at least 73, at least 74, at least 75, at least 76, at least 77, at least 78, at least 79, at least 80, at least 81, at least 82, at least 83, at least 84, at least 85, at least 86, at least 87, at least 88, at least 89, at least 90, at least 91, at least 92, at least 93, at least 94, at least 95, at least 96, at least 97, at least 98, or at least 99. In some embodiments, treatment with an antibody disclosed herein results in an increase of a patient's PCS,

as measured by SF-36 or SF36v2, of about 1, about 2, about 3, about 4, about 5, about 6, about 7, about 8, about 9, about 10, about 11, about 12, about 13, about 14, about 15, about 16, about 17, about 18, about 19, about 20, about 21, about 22, about 23, about 24, about 25, about 26, about 27, about 28, about 29, about 30, about 31, about 32, about 33, about 34, about 35, about 36, about 37, about 38, about 39, about 40, about 41, about 42, about 43, about 44, about 45, about 46, about 47, about 48, about 49, about 50, about 51, about 52, about 53, about 54, about 55, about 56, about 57, about 58, about 59, about 60, about 61, about 62, about 63, about 64, about 65, about 66, about 67, about 68, about 69, about 70, about 71, about 72, about 73, about 74, about 75, about 76, about 77, about 78, about 79, about 80, about 81, about 82, about 83, about 84, about 85, about 86, about 87, about 88, about 89, about 90, about 91, about 92, about 93, about 94, about 95, about 96, about 97, about 98, about 99, or about 100.

Reduced length of hospitalization stays or reduced frequency of hospitalization for more than 90 days of patients treated with the antibodies disclosed herein compared to patients who have not received antibody can also indicate an improvement in health status of the patient. Improvement in health status can also be shown by longer survival of the antibody treated patient compared to untreated patients around the same time. In some embodiments, treatment with an antibody disclosed herein can reduce the risk of all-cause mortality for the treated patient by at least 5%, at least 6%, at least 7%, at least 8%, at least 9%, at least 10%, at least 11%, at least 12%, at least 13%, at least 14%, at least 15%, at least 16%, at least 17%, at least 18%, at least 19%, at least 20%, at least 21%, at least 22%, at least 23%, at least 24%, at least 25%, at least 26%, at least 27%, at least 28%, at least 29%, at least 30%, at least 31%, at least 32%, at least 33%, at least 34%, at least 35%, at least 36%, at least 37%, at least 38%, at least 39%, at least 40%, at least 41%, at least 42%, at least 43%, at least 44%, at least 45%, at least 46%, at least 47%, at least 48%, at least 49%, at least 50%, at least 51%, at least 52%, at least 53%, at least 54%, at least 55%, at least 56%, at least 57%, at least 58%, at least 59%, at least 60%, at least 61%, at least 62%, at least 63%, at least 64%, at least 65%, at least 66%, at least 67%, at least 68%, at least 69%, at least 70%, at least 71%, at least 72%, at least 73%, at least 74%, at least 75%, at least 76%, at least 77%, at least 78%, at least 79%, at least 80%, at least 81%, at least 82%, at least 83%, at least 84%, at least 85%, at least 86%, at least 87%, at least 88%, at least 89%, at least 90%, at least 91%, at least 92%, at least 93%, at

least 94%, at least 95%, at least 96%, at least 97%, at least 98%, or at least 99% relative to control treated patients, as can be determined by calculating hazard ratios between the treated patient and untreated patients.

In some embodiments, treatment with the antibodies disclosed herein can
 5 reduce the risk of all-cause mortality for the treated patient by about 5%, about 6%, about 7%, about 8%, about 9%, about 10%, about 11%, about 12%, about 13%, about 14%, about 15%, about 16%, about 17%, about 18%, about 19%, about 20%, about 21%, about 22%, about 23%, about 24%, about 25%, about 26%, about 27%, about 28%, about 29%, about 30%, about 31%, about 32%, about 33%, about 34%, about
 10 35%, about 36%, about 37%, about 38%, about 39%, about 40%, about 41%, about 42%, about 43%, about 44%, about 45%, about 46%, about 47%, about 48%, about 49%, about 50%, about 51%, about 52%, about 53%, about 54%, about 55%, about 56%, about 57%, about 58%, about 59%, about 60%, about 61%, about 62%, about 63%, about 64%, about 65%, about 66%, about 67%, about 68%, about 69%, about
 15 70%, about 71%, about 72%, about 73%, about 74%, about 75%, about 76%, about 77%, about 78%, about 79%, about 80%, about 81%, about 82%, about 83%, about 84%, about 85%, about 86%, about 87%, about 88%, about 89%, about 90%, about 91%, about 92%, about 93%, about 94%, about 95%, about 96%, about 97%, about 98%, about 99%, or about 100% relative to control treated patients, as can be
 20 determined by calculating hazard ratios between the treated patient and untreated patients. In some embodiments, treatment with the antibodies disclosed herein can reduce the risk of all-cause mortality for the treated patient by about 45%, 48.9%, 50%, 50.2%, 60%, 62.2%, 65%, 70%, 75%, 79.9%, 80% or 81.5% relative to control treated patients, as can be determined by calculating hazard ratios between the treated
 25 patient and untreated patients.

For example, the risk of all-cause mortality for some Mayo Stage IV patients can be reduced by about 50.2%, and by about 79.9% for some Mayo Stage IV patients if such patients have some level of functional reserve prior to treatment as defined by baseline 6MWD of ≥ 150 meters and an ejection fraction of $> 50\%$.

30 In some embodiments, the risk of all-cause mortality for some Mayo Stage IV patients can be reduced by at least 5%, at least 6%, at least 7%, at least 8%, at least 9%, at least 10%, at least 11%, at least 12%, at least 13%, at least 14%, at least 15%, at least 16%, at least 17%, at least 18%, at least 19%, at least 20%, at least 21%, at

least 22%, at least 23%, at least 24%, at least 25%, at least 26%, at least 27%, at least
 28%, at least 29%, at least 30%, at least 31%, at least 32%, at least 33%, at least 34%,
 at least 35%, at least 36%, at least 37%, at least 38%, at least 39%, at least 40%, at
 least 41%, at least 42%, at least 43%, at least 44%, at least 45%, at least 46%, at least
 5 47%, at least 48%, at least 49%, at least 50%, at least 51%, at least 52%, at least 53%,
 at least 54%, at least 55%, at least 56%, at least 57%, at least 58%, at least 59%, at
 least 60%, at least 61%, at least 62%, at least 63%, at least 64%, at least 65%, at least
 66%, at least 67%, at least 68%, at least 69%, at least 70%, at least 71%, at least 72%,
 at least 73%, at least 74%, at least 75%, at least 76%, at least 77%, at least 78%, at
 10 least 79%, at least 80%, at least 81%, at least 82%, at least 83%, at least 84%, at least
 85%, at least 86%, at least 87%, at least 88%, at least 89%, at least 90%, at least 91%,
 at least 92%, at least 93%, at least 94%, at least 95%, at least 96%, at least 97%, at
 least 98%, or at least 99% if they have an ejection fraction of $> 50\%$. In some
 embodiments, the risk of all-cause mortality for some Mayo Stage IV patients can be
 15 reduced by about 5%, about 6%, about 7%, about 8%, about 9%, about 10%, about
 11%, about 12%, about 13%, about 14%, about 15%, about 16%, about 17%, about
 18%, about 19%, about 20%, about 21%, about 22%, about 23%, about 24%, about
 25%, about 26%, about 27%, about 28%, about 29%, about 30%, about 31%, about
 32%, about 33%, about 34%, about 35%, about 36%, about 37%, about 38%, about
 20 39%, about 40%, about 41%, about 42%, about 43%, about 44%, about 45%, about
 46%, about 47%, about 48%, about 49%, about 50%, about 51%, about 52%, about
 53%, about 54%, about 55%, about 56%, about 57%, about 58%, about 59%, about
 60%, about 61%, about 62%, about 63%, about 64%, about 65%, about 66%, about
 67%, about 68%, about 69%, about 70%, about 71%, about 72%, about 73%, about
 25 74%, about 75%, about 76%, about 77%, about 78%, about 79%, about 80%, about
 81%, about 82%, about 83%, about 84%, about 85%, about 86%, about 87%, about
 88%, about 89%, about 90%, about 91%, about 92%, about 93%, about 94%, about
 95%, about 96%, about 97%, about 98%, about 99%, or about 100% if they have an
 ejection fraction of $> 50\%$. In some embodiments, the risk of all-cause mortality for
 30 some Mayo Stage IV patients can be reduced by about 81.5% if they have an ejection
 fraction of $> 50\%$.

In some embodiments, the risk of all-cause mortality some patients having a
 baseline 6MWD of ≥ 150 meters and an ejection fraction of $> 50\%$, regardless of

Mayo Stage, can be reduced by at least 5%, at least 6%, at least 7%, at least 8%, at least 9%, at least 10%, at least 11%, at least 12%, at least 13%, at least 14%, at least 15%, at least 16%, at least 17%, at least 18%, at least 19%, at least 20%, at least 21%, at least 22%, at least 23%, at least 24%, at least 25%, at least 26%, at least 27%, at least 28%, at least 29%, at least 30%, at least 31%, at least 32%, at least 33%, at least 34%, at least 35%, at least 36%, at least 37%, at least 38%, at least 39%, at least 40%, at least 41%, at least 42%, at least 43%, at least 44%, at least 45%, at least 46%, at least 47%, at least 48%, at least 49%, at least 50%, at least 51%, at least 52%, at least 53%, at least 54%, at least 55%, at least 56%, at least 57%, at least 58%, at least 59%, at least 60%, at least 61%, at least 62%, at least 63%, at least 64%, at least 65%, at least 66%, at least 67%, at least 68%, at least 69%, at least 70%, at least 71%, at least 72%, at least 73%, at least 74%, at least 75%, at least 76%, at least 77%, at least 78%, at least 79%, at least 80%, at least 81%, at least 82%, at least 83%, at least 84%, at least 85%, at least 86%, at least 87%, at least 88%, at least 89%, at least 90%, at least 91%, at least 92%, at least 93%, at least 94%, at least 95%, at least 96%, at least 97%, at least 98%, or at least 99% if they have an ejection fraction of $> 50\%$. In some embodiments, the risk of all-cause mortality some patients having a baseline 6MWD of ≥ 150 meters and an ejection fraction of $> 50\%$, regardless of Mayo Stage, can be reduced by about 5%, about 6%, about 7%, about 8%, about 9%, about 10%, about 11%, about 12%, about 13%, about 14%, about 15%, about 16%, about 17%, about 18%, about 19%, about 20%, about 21%, about 22%, about 23%, about 24%, about 25%, about 26%, about 27%, about 28%, about 29%, about 30%, about 31%, about 32%, about 33%, about 34%, about 35%, about 36%, about 37%, about 38%, about 39%, about 40%, about 41%, about 42%, about 43%, about 44%, about 45%, about 46%, about 47%, about 48%, about 49%, about 50%, about 51%, about 52%, about 53%, about 54%, about 55%, about 56%, about 57%, about 58%, about 59%, about 60%, about 61%, about 62%, about 63%, about 64%, about 65%, about 66%, about 67%, about 68%, about 69%, about 70%, about 71%, about 72%, about 73%, about 74%, about 75%, about 76%, about 77%, about 78%, about 79%, about 80%, about 81%, about 82%, about 83%, about 84%, about 85%, about 86%, about 87%, about 88%, about 89%, about 90%, about 91%, about 92%, about 93%, about 94%, about 95%, about 96%, about 97%, about 98%, about 99%, or about 100% if they have an ejection fraction of $> 50\%$. In some embodiments, the risk of all-cause mortality in

some patients having a baseline 6MWD of ≥ 150 meters and an ejection fraction of $> 50\%$, regardless of Mayo Stage, can be reduced by about 48.9%. The risk of cardiac mortality for some Mayo Stage IV patients can be reduced by about 62.2%.

In some embodiments, treatment with an antibody disclosed herein results in

5 an increase of a patient's 6MWD by at least 1 meter, at least 2 meters, at least 3 meters, at least 4 meters, at least 5 meters, at least 6 meters, at least 7 meters, at least 8 meters, at least 9 meters, at least 10 meters, at least 11 meters, at least 12 meters, at least 13 meters, at least 14 meters, at least 15 meters, at least 16 meters, at least 17 meters, at least 18 meters, at least 19 meters, at least 20 meters, at least 21 meters, at

10 least 22 meters, at least 23 meters, at least 24 meters, at least 25 meters, at least 26 meters, at least 27 meters, at least 28 meters, at least 29 meters, at least 30 meters, at least 31 meters, at least 32 meters, at least 33 meters, at least 34 meters, at least 35 meters, at least 36 meters, at least 37 meters, at least 38 meters, at least 39 meters, at least 40 meters, at least 41 meters, at least 42 meters, at least 43 meters, at least 44

15 meters, at least 45 meters, at least 46 meters, at least 47 meters, at least 48 meters, at least 49 meters, at least 50 meters, at least 51 meters, at least 52 meters, at least 53 meters, at least 54 meters, at least 55 meters, at least 56 meters, at least 57 meters, at least 58 meters, at least 59 meters, at least 60 meters, at least 61 meters, at least 62 meters, at least 63 meters, at least 64 meters, at least 65 meters, at least 66 meters, at

20 least 67 meters, at least 68 meters, at least 69 meters, at least 70 meters, at least 71 meters, at least 72 meters, at least 73 meters, at least 74 meters, at least 75 meters, at least 76 meters, at least 77 meters, at least 78 meters, at least 79 meters, at least 80 meters, at least 81 meters, at least 82 meters, at least 83 meters, at least 84 meters, at least 85 meters, at least 86 meters, at least 87 meters, at least 88 meters, at least 89

25 meters, at least 90 meters, at least 91 meters, at least 92 meters, at least 93 meters, at least 94 meters, at least 95 meters, at least 96 meters, at least 97 meters, at least 98 meters, at least 99 meters, or at least 100 meters. In some embodiments, treatment with an antibody disclosed herein results in an increase of a patient's 6MWD by about

30 1 meter, about 2 meters, about 3 meters, about 4 meters, about 5 meters, about 6 meters, about 7 meters, about 8 meters, about 9 meters, about 10 meters, about 11 meters, about 12 meters, about 13 meters, about 14 meters, about 15 meters, about 16 meters, about 17 meters, about 18 meters, about 19 meters, about 20 meters, about 21 meters, about 22 meters, about 23 meters, about 24 meters, about 25 meters, about 26

meters, about 27 meters, about 28 meters, about 29 meters, about 30 meters, about 31 meters, about 32 meters, about 33 meters, about 34 meters, about 35 meters, about 36 meters, about 37 meters, about 38 meters, about 39 meters, about 40 meters, about 41 meters, about 42 meters, about 43 meters, about 44 meters, about 45 meters, about 46 meters, about 47 meters, about 48 meters, about 49 meters, about 50 meters, about 51 meters, about 52 meters, about 53 meters, about 54 meters, about 55 meters, about 56 meters, about 57 meters, about 58 meters, about 59 meters, about 60 meters, about 61 meters, about 62 meters, about 63 meters, about 64 meters, about 65 meters, about 66 meters, about 67 meters, about 68 meters, about 69 meters, about 70 meters, about 71 meters, about 72 meters, about 73 meters, about 74 meters, about 75 meters, about 76 meters, about 77 meters, about 78 meters, about 79 meters, about 80 meters, about 81 meters, about 82 meters, about 83 meters, about 84 meters, about 85 meters, about 86 meters, about 87 meters, about 88 meters, about 89 meters, about 90 meters, about 91 meters, about 92 meters, about 93 meters, about 94 meters, about 95 meters, about 96 meters, about 97 meters, about 98 meters, about 99 meters, or about 100 meters.

In some embodiments, treatment with an antibody disclosed herein results in an increase of a patient's 6MWD by at least 1%, at least 2%, at least 3%, at least 4%, at least 5%, at least 6%, at least 7%, at least 8%, at least 9%, at least 10%, at least 11%, at least 12%, at least 13%, at least 14%, at least 15%, at least 16%, at least 17%, at least 18%, at least 19%, at least 20%, at least 21%, at least 22%, at least 23%, at least 24%, at least 25%, at least 26%, at least 27%, at least 28%, at least 29%, at least 30%, at least 31%, at least 32%, at least 33%, at least 34%, at least 35%, at least 36%, at least 37%, at least 38%, at least 39%, at least 40%, at least 41%, at least 42%, at least 43%, at least 44%, at least 45%, at least 46%, at least 47%, at least 48%, at least 49%, at least 50%, at least 51%, at least 52%, at least 53%, at least 54%, at least 55%, at least 56%, at least 57%, at least 58%, at least 59%, at least 60%, at least 61%, at least 62%, at least 63%, at least 64%, at least 65%, at least 66%, at least 67%, at least 68%, at least 69%, at least 70%, at least 71%, at least 72%, at least 73%, at least 74%, at least 75%, at least 76%, at least 77%, at least 78%, at least 79%, at least 80%, at least 81%, at least 82%, at least 83%, at least 84%, at least 85%, at least 86%, at least 87%, at least 88%, at least 89%, at least 90%, at least 91%, at least 92%, at least 93%, at least 94%, at least 95%, at least 96%, at least 97%, at least 98%, at least 99%, or at least 100%. In some embodiments, treatment with an antibody disclosed herein results in an increase of a patient's 6MWD by about 1%, about 2%, about 3%, about 4%,

about 5%, about 6%, about 7%, about 8%, about 9%, about 10%, about 11%, about 12%, about 13%, about 14%, about 15%, about 16%, about 17%, about 18%, about 19%, about 20%, about 21%, about 22%, about 23%, about 24%, about 25%, about 26%, about 27%, about 28%, about 29%, about 30%, about 31%, about 32%, about 33%, about 34%, about 35%, about 36%, about 37%, about 38%, about 39%, about 40%, about 41%, about 42%, about 43%, about 44%, about 45%, about 46%, about 47%, about 48%, about 49%, about 50%, about 51%, about 52%, about 53%, about 54%, about 55%, about 56%, about 57%, about 58%, about 59%, about 60%, about 61%, about 62%, about 63%, about 64%, about 65%, about 66%, about 67%, about 68%, about 69%, about 70%, about 71%, about 72%, about 73%, about 74%, about 75%, about 76%, about 77%, about 78%, about 79%, about 80%, about 81%, about 82%, about 83%, about 84%, about 85%, about 86%, about 87%, about 88%, about 89%, about 90%, about 91%, about 92%, about 93%, about 94%, about 95%, about 96%, about 97%, about 98%, about 99%, or about 100%.

In some embodiments, treatment with an antibody disclosed herein results in a decrease of a patient's ejection fraction of $\leq 50\%$, $\leq 49\%$, $\leq 48\%$, $\leq 47\%$, $\leq 46\%$, $\leq 45\%$, $\leq 44\%$, $\leq 43\%$, $\leq 42\%$, $\leq 41\%$, $\leq 40\%$, $\leq 39\%$, $\leq 38\%$, $\leq 37\%$, $\leq 36\%$, $\leq 35\%$, $\leq 34\%$, $\leq 33\%$, $\leq 32\%$, $\leq 31\%$, $\leq 30\%$, $\leq 29\%$, $\leq 28\%$, $\leq 27\%$, $\leq 26\%$, $\leq 25\%$, $\leq 24\%$, $\leq 23\%$, $\leq 22\%$, $\leq 21\%$, $\leq 20\%$, $\leq 19\%$, $\leq 18\%$, $\leq 17\%$, $\leq 16\%$, $\leq 15\%$, $\leq 14\%$, $\leq 13\%$, $\leq 12\%$, $\leq 11\%$, $\leq 10\%$, $\leq 9\%$, $\leq 8\%$, $\leq 7\%$, $\leq 6\%$, $\leq 5\%$, $\leq 4\%$, $\leq 3\%$, $\leq 2\%$, $\leq 1\%$, or 0%.

In some embodiments, treatment with an antibody disclosed herein results in an increase of a patient's survival by at least 1 month, at least 2 months, at least 3 months, at least 4 months, at least 5 months, at least 6 months, at least 7 months, at least 8 months, at least 9 months, at least 10 months, at least 11 months, at least 12 months, at least 13 months, at least 14 months, at least 15 months, at least 16 months, at least 17 months, at least 18 months, at least 19 months, at least 20 months, at least 21 months, at least 22 months, at least 23 months, or at least 24 months. In some embodiments, treatment with an antibody disclosed herein results in an increase of a patient's survival by about 1 month, about 2 months, about 3 months, about 4 months, about 5 months, about 6 months, about 7 months, about 8 months, about 9 months, about 10 months, about 11 months, about 12 months, about 13 months, about 14 months, about 15 months, about 16 months, about 17 months, about 18 months, about

19 months, about 20 months, about 21 months, about 22 months, about 23 months, about 24 months.

In some embodiments, treatment is stopped for a patient with one or more of the following:

- 5 - NT-proBNP > 8,500 pg/mL, or NT-proBNP is < 1800 pg/mL or > 8,500 pg/mL
- Troponin-T $\leq$ 0.03 ng/mL or < 0.025 ng/mL
- dFLC < 18 mg/dL,
- Absolute neutrophil count (ANC) < $1.0 \times 10^9/L$
- 10 - Platelet count < $75 \times 10^9/L$
- Hemoglobin < 9 g/dL
- Total bilirubin > 2 times the upper limit of normal ($\times$ ULN)
- Aspartate aminotransferase (AST)/serum glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase (SGOT) > $3 \times$ ULN
- 15 - Alanine aminotransferase (ALT)/serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase (SGPT) > $3 \times$ ULN
- Alkaline phosphatase (ALP) > $5 \times$ ULN
- Estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) < 30 mL/min/1.73 m² as estimated by the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI)
- 20 equation
- Seated systolic blood pressure < 90 or > 180 mmHg
- Distance walked during a 6MWT is < 30 meters or > 550 meters
- Undergoes ASCT or organ transplant
- Myocardial infarction, uncontrolled angina, severe uncontrolled ventricular
- 25 arrhythmias, or electrocardiographic (ECG) evidence of acute ischemia
- Severe valvular stenosis (e.g. aortic or mitral stenosis with a valve area < 1.0 cm²) or severe congenital heart disease
- ECG evidence of acute ischemia or active conduction system abnormalities with the exception of any of the following: First degree AV-block, Second
- 30 degree AV-block Type 1 (Mobitz Type 1 / Wenckebach type), Right or left bundle branch block, and Atrial fibrillation with a controlled ventricular rate (uncontrolled [>110 bpm] ventricular rate is not allowed [determined by an

average of three beats in Lead II or three representative beats if Lead II is not representative of the overall EKG])

- Peripheral neuropathy assessed as National Cancer Institute-Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (NCI-CTCAE) Grade 2 with pain, Grade 3, or Grade 4
 - Active malignancy with the exception of any of the following: adequately treated basal cell carcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma, or in situ cervical cancer, adequately treated Stage I cancer from which the subject is currently in remission and has been in remission (e.g. for 2 years), low-risk prostate cancer with Gleason score < 7 and prostate-specific antigen < 10 mg/mL, and any other cancer from which the subject has been disease-free (e.g. for ≥ 2 years)
 - Epilepsy or seizure disorder with the exception of childhood febrile seizures
- Suitable antibodies, formulations and treatment regimens for the methods and uses disclosed herein are discussed in greater detail below.

III. Antibodies

The methods of the disclosure include administering to a patient an antibody that specifically bind to immunoglobulin light chain. Examples include antibodies that compete with 11-1F4 for binding to immunoglobulin light chain, and antibodies that compete with 2A4 or 7D8 for binding to human amyloid A peptide, or specifically bind to the same epitope as 11-1F4 (U.S. Patent No. 8,105,594), 2A4 or 7D8 (U.S. Patent Nos. 7,928,203). In some embodiments, the antibody is a humanized version of 2A4. In some embodiments, the antibody is a chimeric or humanized version of 11-1F4, such as, for example, Ch mAb 11-1F4, CAEL-101. In some embodiments, the antibody is one that is disclosed in US20190038745A1, US20200002410A1, and US 10,046,050.

In some embodiments, the antibody comprises a light chain variable region comprising three complementarity determining regions set forth as SEQ ID NOs: 3, 4 and 5, and a heavy chain variable region comprising three complementarity determining regions set forth as SEQ ID NOs: 6, 7 and 8. In some embodiments, the light chain variable region comprises the amino acid sequence set forth as SEQ ID NO: 1. In some embodiments, the heavy chain variable region comprises the amino acid sequence set forth as SEQ ID NO: 2.

In other methods, the antibody comprises light chain and heavy chain variable regions of a murine, chimeric, or humanized 2A4 antibody, or of a murine, chimeric, or humanized 7D8 antibody, as described in U.S. Patent No. 7,928,203 and PCT

International Publication No. WO 2009/086539, each of which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety, and the light chain and heavy chain variable region sequences described in the referenced patent and publication are specifically incorporated by reference herein. Some formulations for the methods disclosed
5 herein are described in U.S. Patent No. 9,089,529 and PCT International Publication No. WO 2013/063284.

In some embodiments, the antibody comprises a light chain comprising an amino acid sequence set forth as SEQ ID NO: 10 and a heavy chain comprising an amino acid sequence set forth as any one of SEQ ID NOs: 11-13. For example, the
10 antibody can comprise a light chain comprising an amino acid sequence set forth as SEQ ID NO:10 and a heavy chain comprising an amino acid sequence set forth as SEQ ID NO:12. The antibody can include, or not include, the leader sequences of the above-noted light chain and heavy chain amino acid sequences. In some embodiments, the antibody is birtamimab (CAS Registry No. 1608108-91-3).

15 In other methods, the antibody is a fragment of a 2A4 or 7D8 antibody, including chimeric and humanized versions thereof, such as a Fab fragment, a Fab' fragment, a F(ab')₂ fragment, F(ab)₂, Dab, nanobody or Fv. As discussed in greater detail below, the antibody can be administered as a pharmaceutical formulation.

IV. Pharmaceutical Formulations and Products

20 In some embodiments disclosed herein, the antibody can be administered to a patient as a pharmaceutical formulation, for example, comprising in addition to the antibody, a histidine buffer, trehalose, and polysorbate 20. In some such formulations used in the methods described above, the antibody is present at a concentration within the range from about 1 mg/mL to about 100 mg/mL; the histidine buffer is present at a
25 concentration within the range from about 20 mM to about 30 mM; the trehalose is present at a concentration within the range from about 210 mM to about 250 mM; the polysorbate 20 present at a concentration within the range from about 0.005% to about 0.05% by weight; and the pH is within the range from about 6 to about 7. Some suitable formulations for the methods disclosed herein are described in greater detail
30 below.

In some formulations, the antibody is present at a concentration within the range from about 5 mg/mL to about 100 mg/mL. In some formulations, the antibody is present at a concentration within the range from about 5 mg/mL to about 15 mg/mL. In some formulations, the antibody is present at a concentration within the

range from about 25 mg/mL to about 75 mg/mL. For example, the antibody may be present at a concentration of about 10 mg/mL, or present at a concentration of about 50 mg/mL. The antibody may be present in a sterile liquid dosage form of about 50 mg/vial to about 500 mg/vial, or greater. For example, the antibody may be present in a sterile liquid dosage form of about 100 mg/vial. In another, non-limiting example, the antibody may be present as a sterile, lyophilized dosage form that may be reconstituted with sterile liquid dosage form of about 500 mg/vial. In another, non-limiting example, the antibody may be present as a sterile, lyophilized dosage form that may be reconstituted with sterile liquid of about 10 mL for a dosage form of about 50 mg/mL or about 500 mg/vial.

Antibodies used in the disclosed formulations can be coupled with a therapeutic moiety, such as a cytotoxic agent, a radiotherapeutic agent, an immunomodulator, a second antibody (*e.g.*, to form an antibody heteroconjugate), or any other biologically active agent that facilitates or enhances the activity of a chimeric or humanized 2A4 or a chimeric or humanized 7D8 antibody. Representative therapeutic moieties include agent known to be useful for treatment, management, or amelioration of amyloid disease or symptoms of amyloid disease.

Therapeutic moieties and/or detectable substances may be coupled or conjugated directly to a murine, chimeric or humanized 2A4 antibody or a murine, chimeric or humanized 7D8 antibody, or indirectly, through an intermediate (*e.g.*, a linker) using techniques known in the art. *See e.g.*, Arnon et al., "Monoclonal Antibodies For Immunotargeting Of Drugs In Cancer Therapy", in *Monoclonal Antibodies And Cancer Therapy*, Reisfeld et al. (eds.), pp. 243-56 (Alan R. Liss, Inc. 1985); Hellstrom et al., "Antibodies For Drug Delivery", in *Controlled Drug Delivery* (2nd Ed.), Robinson et al. (eds.), pp. 623-53 (Marcel Dekker, Inc. 1987); Thorpe, "Antibody Carriers Of Cytotoxic Agents In Cancer Therapy: A Review", in *Monoclonal Antibodies 84: Biological And Clinical Applications*, Pinchera et al. (eds.), pp. 475-506 (1985); "Analysis, Results, And Future Prospective Of The Therapeutic Use Of Radiolabeled Antibody In Cancer Therapy", in *Monoclonal Antibodies For Cancer Detection And Therapy*, Baldwin et al. (eds.), pp. 303-16 (Academic Press 1985), and Thorpe et al., *Immunol. Rev.*, 1982, 62:119-58.

Antibodies used in the disclosed formulations also include modified forms of murine, chimeric or humanized 2A4 antibodies, or murine, chimeric or humanized 7D8 antibodies, which have increased *in vivo* half-lives relative to the corresponding

unmodified antibodies. Such modified forms may be prepared, for example, by glycosylation, acetylation, pegylation, phosphorylation, amidation, derivatization by known protecting/blocking groups, proteolytic cleavage, linkage to a cellular ligand or other protein, *etc.* As one example, representative methods for antibody half-life

5 extension are described in PCT International Publication No. WO 02/060919.

The histidine buffer may be present in some formulations at a concentration of about 25 mM. In some formulations, the histidine buffer comprises L-histidine and L-histidine HCl monohydrate. For example, in some formulations, L-histidine is present at a concentration within the range from about 16 mM to about 22 mM and L-
10 histidine HCl monohydrate is present at a concentration within the range from about 4 mM to about 8 mM.

In some formulations, trehalose is present at a concentration from about 210 mM to about 250 mM, for example, about 230 mM. In some formulations, a different non-reducing sugar is used, such as sucrose, mannitol, or sorbitol.

15 In some formulations, polysorbate 20 is present at a concentration within the range of about from about 0.005% to about 0.05% by weight, for example, 0.005%, 0.01%, 0.015%, 0.02%, 0.025%, 0.03%, 0.035%, 0.04%, 0.045%, or 0.05%. Alternatively, in some formulations, polysorbate 20 is present at a concentration within the range of about from about 0.05 g/L, 0.1 g/L, 0.15 g/L, 0.2 g/L, 0.25 g/L,
20 0.3 g/L, 0.35 g/L, 0.4 g/L, 0.45 g/L, or 0.5 g/L. Some formulations include polysorbate 20 at a concentration of 0.2 g/L.

Some formulations are characterized by a pH within the range of about 6-7, for example, a pH of 6.0, 6.1, 6.2, 6.3, 6.4, 6.5, 6.6, 6.7, 6.8, 6.9, or 7.0. Some formulations have a pH of about 6.5. Some formulations are characterized by an
25 osmolality of about 300 mOsm/kg. A bulking agent may also be included some formulations.

Typically, the formulations are sterile, for example, as accomplished by sterile filtration using a 0.2 μ m or a 0.22 μ m filter. The formulations disclosed herein are also generally stable upon freezing and thawing.

30 Optionally, formulations disclosed herein may further comprise other excipients, such as saccharides, polyols, and amino acids (*e.g.*, arginine, lysine, and methionine).

The present disclosure also provides formulations substantially free of surfactant, inorganic salts, additional sugars, and/or other excipients, *i.e.*, less than about less than 0.0005%, less than 0.0003%, or less than 0.0001% of such compounds.

5 An exemplary formulation comprises an antibody comprising a light chain comprising an amino acid sequence set forth as SEQ ID NO: 10 and a heavy chain comprising an amino acid sequence set forth as any one of SEQ ID NOs: 11, 12, or 13, which is present at a concentration of about 50 mg/mL, a histidine buffer present at a concentration of about 25 mM, trehalose present at a concentration of about 230
10 mM, polysorbate 20 present at a concentration of about 0.2 g/L, and a pH of about 6.5. Some formulations comprise an antibody comprising a light chain comprising an amino acid sequence set forth as SEQ ID NO: 10 and a heavy chain comprising an amino acid sequence set forth as SEQ ID NO: 12, which is present at a concentration of about 50 mg/mL, a histidine buffer present at a concentration of about 25 mM,
15 trehalose present at a concentration of about 230 mM, polysorbate 20 present at a concentration of about 0.2 g/L, and a pH of about 6.5. Some formulations comprise birtamimab, which is present at a concentration of about 50 mg/mL, a histidine buffer present at a concentration of about 25 mM, trehalose present at a concentration of about 230 mM, polysorbate 20 present at a concentration of about 0.2 g/L, and a pH
20 of about 6.5.

The methods disclosed herein involve pharmaceutical products comprising lyophilized antibody drug substance and instructions for reconstitution and use. For example, a representative pharmaceutical product can comprise: (a) a vial comprising about 100 mg antibody in powder form; (b) instructions for reconstitution of the
25 antibody; and (c) instructions for preparing the reconstituted antibody for infusion, wherein (i) the antibody comprises a light chain comprising an amino acid sequence set forth as SEQ ID NO: 10 and a heavy chain comprising an amino acid sequence set forth as any one of SEQ ID NOs: 12-15; and (ii) the reconstitution instructions require reconstitution with water for injection to an extractable volume of 10 mL.

30 IV. Treatment Regimens

As used herein, the terms "treat" and "treatment" refer to the alleviation or amelioration of one or more symptoms or effects associated with the disease, prevention, inhibition or delay of the onset of one or more symptoms or effects of the disease, lessening of the severity or frequency of one or more symptoms or effects of

the disease, and/or increasing or trending toward desired outcomes as described herein.

Desired outcomes of the treatments disclosed herein vary according to the amyloid disease and patient profile and are readily determinable to those skilled in the art. Desired outcomes include an improvement in the patient's health status. Generally, desired outcomes include measurable indices such as reduction or clearance of pathologic amyloid fibrils, decreased or inhibited amyloid aggregation and/or deposition of amyloid fibrils, and increased immune response to pathologic and/or aggregated amyloid fibrils. Desired outcomes also include amelioration of amyloid disease-specific symptoms. For example, desired outcomes for the treatment of AL amyloidosis include a decrease in the incidence or severity of known symptoms, including organ dysfunction, peripheral and autonomic neuropathy, carpal tunnel syndrome, macroglossia, restrictive cardiomyopathy, arthropathy of large joints, immune dyscrasias, myelomas, as well as occult dyscrasias.

For example, the 6-minute walk test (6MWT) can be a surrogate endpoint used to assess cardiac functional response (Pulido et al., The six-minute walk test in patients with AL amyloidosis: a single centre case series, *British Journal of Haematology*, 2017, 177, 388-394). It measures the distance patients can walk in 6 minutes along thirty meter long hallways. For example, the mean 6-minute walk distance (6MWD) of AL amyloidosis patients with cardiac involvement has been shown to be significantly shorter than the distance walked by AL amyloidosis patients without cardiac involvement. Further, increased distance walked is correlated with a decrease in mortality. In some embodiments, a baseline of a 6MWT >300 meters is independent of Mayo staging, including stage IIIb in predicting survival. In some embodiments, a ≥ 300 meter 6MWT is a meaningful threshold for a patient treated for at least 12 months. In some embodiments, a 33 meter improvement in a 6MWT is a clinically meaningful value in cardiopulmonary disorders after treatment of at least 12 months.

Provided herein are methods of treating a patient having AL amyloidosis, including:

(a) determining:

- (i) the Mayo Stage of the patient's AL amyloidosis, or
- (ii) the 6 minute walk test distance (6MWD) and ejection fraction (EF) of the patient, or

- (iii) the Mayo Stage and the EF of the patient; and
- (b) selecting the patient for treatment with an antibody which competes for binding to human amyloid A peptide or human kappa or lambda light chain immunoglobulin with 2A4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9662) or 7D8 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9468), or competes for binding to kappa light chain immunoglobulin with 11-1F4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-105) if the patient:
 - (i) has Mayo Stage IV AL amyloidosis; or
 - (ii) has a 6MWD ≥ 30 meters and ≤ 550 meters; or
 - (iii) has a 6MWD ≥ 150 meters and an EF $> 50\%$ at baseline; or
 - (iv) has Mayo Stage IV and a 6MWD ≥ 30 meters and ≤ 550 meters; or
 - (v) has Mayo Stage IV and EF $> 50\%$ at baseline; or
 - (vi) has Mayo Stage IV, a 6MWD ≥ 150 meters and an EF $> 50\%$ at baseline; and
- (c) administering an effective dosage of the antibody, where the patient has not previously received or does not concomitantly receive daratumumab.

In some embodiments, the patient has a 6MWD ≥ 30 meters and ≤ 550 meters.

Also provided herein are methods of treating a patient having AL amyloidosis, including administering an effective dosage of an antibody which competes for binding to human amyloid A peptide or human kappa or lambda light chain immunoglobulin with 2A4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9662) or 7D8 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9468), or competes for binding to kappa light chain immunoglobulin with 11-1F4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-105), where the patient has (a) Mayo Stage IV AL amyloidosis, (b) a 6 minute walk distance (6MWD) ≥ 30 meters and ≤ 550 meters, (c) a 6MWD ≥ 150 meters and EF $> 50\%$, (d) Mayo Stage IV and an EF $> 50\%$, (e) Mayo Stage IV and a 6MWD ≥ 30 meters and ≤ 550 meters, or (f) Mayo Stage IV and a 6MWD ≥ 150 meters and EF $> 50\%$ and (g) the patient has not previously received or does not concomitantly receive daratumumab.

Also provided herein are methods of treating a patient having Mayo Stage IV AL amyloidosis and having NYHA class III or NYHA class IV, the method including

administering to the patient a therapeutically effective dosage of an antibody which competes for binding to human amyloid A peptide or human kappa or lambda light chain immunoglobulin with 2A4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9662) or 7D8 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9468), or competes for binding to kappa light chain immunoglobulin with 11-1F4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-105) amount, where the patient has not previously received or does not concomitantly receive daratumumab.

In some embodiments, the patient's NYHA class is reduced by at least two classes. In some embodiments, the patient's NYHA class is assessed nine or more months after treatment.

Also provided herein are methods of treating a patient with AL amyloidosis, including:

- a) determining that the patient has a 6 minute walk distance (6MWD) ≥ 30 meters and ≤ 550 meters or a 6MWD ≥ 150 meters and an ejection fraction (EF) $> 50\%$;
- b) selecting the patient for treatment with an antibody which competes for binding to human amyloid A peptide or human kappa or lambda light chain immunoglobulin with 2A4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9662) or 7D8 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9468), or competes for binding to kappa light chain immunoglobulin with 11-1F4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-105); and
- c) administering an effective dosage of the antibody, where the patient has not previously received or does not concomitantly receive daratumumab.

A method of treating a patient with AL amyloidosis who has a demonstrated 6 minute walk distance (6MWD) of ≥ 30 meters and ≤ 550 meters or a 6MWD greater than or equal to 150 meters and an ejection fraction (EF) of more than 50%, including administering to the patient an effective dosage of an antibody which competes for binding to human amyloid A peptide or human kappa or lambda light chain immunoglobulin with 2A4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9662) or 7D8 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9468), or competes for binding to kappa light chain

immunoglobulin with 11-1F4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-105), where the patient has not previously received or does not concomitantly receive daratumumab.

Also provided herein are methods of reducing the risk of mortality in a patient with AL amyloidosis by at least 45%, including administering to the patient an
5 effective dosage of an antibody which competes for binding to human amyloid A peptide or human kappa or lambda light chain immunoglobulin with 2A4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9662) or 7D8 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9468), or competes for binding to kappa light chain immunoglobulin with 11-1F4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-105), where the patient has Mayo Stage IV AL amyloidosis
10 and/or has a demonstrated 6 minute walk distance (6MWD) ≥ 30 meters and ≤ 550 meters, or a 6MWD of greater than or equal to 150 meters and an ejection fraction (EF) of more than 50%, or the patient has Mayo Stage IV AL amyloidosis and an EF of $> 50\%$, where the patient has not previously received or does not concomitantly receive daratumumab.

15 A positive change in health-related quality of life is also a desired outcome of the disclosed therapies, including, for example, as measured by the SF-36 Health Survey (White *et al.*, Psychometric validation of the SF-36 Health Survey in light chain amyloidosis: results from community-based and clinic-based samples, *Patient Related Outcome Measures* 2017:8 157-167). The SF-36 involves scores that
20 represent eight dimensions of function and well-being: physical functioning, role limitations due to physical problems, bodily pain, general health perceptions, vitality, social functioning, role limitations due to emotional problems and mental health, and summary scores, such as physical component summary (PCS) and mental component summary (MCS). Higher SF-36 scores represent better health. Desired outcomes of
25 the disclosed therapies are generally quantifiable measures as compared to a control or baseline measurement. As used herein, relative terms such as "improve," "increase," or "reduce" indicate values relative to a control, such as a measurement in the same individual prior to initiation of treatment described herein, or a measurement in a control individual or group. A control individual is an individual afflicted with
30 the same amyloid disease as the individual being treated, who is about the same age as the individual being treated (to ensure that the stages of the disease in the treated individual and the control individual are comparable), but who has not received treatment using the disclosed antibody formulations. In this case, efficacy of the disclosed antibody formulations is assessed by a shift or trend away from measurable

indices in the untreated control. Alternatively, a control individual is a healthy individual, who is about the same age as the individual being treated. In this case, efficacy of the disclosed antibody formulations is assessed by a shift or trend toward from measurable indices in the healthy control. Changes or improvements in response to therapy are generally statistically significant and described by a p-value less than or equal to 0.1, less than 0.05, less than 0.01, less than 0.005, or less than 0.001 may be regarded as significant.

Mortality is the primary cause of disease-related death in late stage Mayo patients. As such, mortality (e.g., all causes or cardiovascular related) can be used as a valid clinical endpoint and can also be used to assess cardiac functional response. In some embodiments, cardiac mortality is less than or equal to about 12 months for late stage Mayo amyloidosis. In some embodiments, cardiac mortality is about 1 year to about 4 years for intermediate stage Mayo amyloidosis. In some embodiments, cardiac mortality is about 4 years or greater for early stage Mayo amyloidosis. In some embodiments, treatment of a patient results in at least a 15% relative reduction rate in the risk of all-cause mortality. In some embodiments, treatment can include a 15%, 16%, 17%, 18%, 19%, 20%, 21%, 22%, 23%, 24%, or a 25% relative reduction rate in the risk of all-cause mortality as compared to the risk of mortality in a control population. In some embodiments, treatment can include at least a 25%, a 30%, a 35%, a 40%, a 45%, a 50%, a 55%, a 60%, a 65%, a 70%, a 75%, an 80%, an 85%, a 90%, a 95%, or a 100% relative reduction in the risk all-cause mortality as compared to the risk of mortality in a control population. In some embodiments, treatment of a patient results in at least a 15% relative reduction rate in the risk of cardiac mortality. In some embodiments, treatment can include a 15%, 16%, 17%, 18%, 19%, 20%, 21%, 22%, 23%, 24%, or a 25% relative reduction rate in the risk cardiac mortality as compared to the risk of mortality in a control population. In some embodiments, treatment can include at least a 25%, a 30%, a 35%, a 40%, a 45%, a 50%, a 55%, a 60%, a 65%, a 70%, a 75%, an 80%, an 85%, a 90%, a 95%, or a 100% relative reduction in the risk of cardiac mortality as compared to the risk of mortality in a control population.

In some embodiment, a relative reduction in risk of mortality (e.g., all-cause mortality, cardiac mortality) is the risk of mortality within 3 months, 6 months, 9 months, 12 months, 18 months, 24 months, 36 months, 48 months, 60 months, or 72 months.

Thus, provided herein are methods of reducing the risk of mortality in a patient having Mayo Stage IV AL amyloidosis and having NYHA class III or NYHA class IV, the method comprising administering to the patient a therapeutically effective dosage of an antibody which competes for binding to human amyloid A peptide or human kappa or lambda light chain immunoglobulin with 2A4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9662) or 7D8 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9468), or competes for binding to kappa light chain immunoglobulin with 11-1F4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-105) amount, wherein the patient has not previously received or does not concomitantly receive daratumumab. In some embodiments the risk of mortality is assessed nine or more months after treatment.

Hospitalization is also recognized as a highly relevant, valid clinical endpoint of morbidity in heart failure. In some embodiments, a relative reduction in hospitalization is clinically meaningful. In some embodiments, a 20% relative reduction in hospitalizations is clinically meaningful as compared to the risk of hospitalization in a control population. In some embodiments, treatment results in a 5%, a 10%, a 15%, 25%, a 30%, a 35%, a 40%, a 45%, a 50%, a 55%, a 60%, a 65%, a 70%, a 75%, an 80%, an 85%, a 90%, a 95%, or a 100% relative reduction in hospitalizations as compared to the risk of hospitalization in a control population. In some embodiments, the average duration of life gained outside of the hospital is a patient center goal (e.g., days alive out of the hospital (DAOH) or days at home). In some embodiments, an increase in the number of days alive out of the hospital is clinically meaningful. In some embodiments, the number of DAOH increases by at least 20 days after 1 month of treatment. In some embodiments, the number of DAOH increases by at least 100 days after six months of treatment. In some embodiments, the number of DAOH increases by at least 300 after twelve months of treatment. In some embodiments, the number of DAOH increases by at least 600 after 24 months of treatment. In some embodiments, the number of DAOH increases by at least 900 after 48 months of treatment as compared DAOH in a control population. In some embodiments, the number of DAOH increases by 100, 200, 300, 400, 500, 600, 700, 800, 900, 1000, 1100, 1200, 1300, 1400, or 1500 after treatment as compared to DAOH in a control population.

Thus, provided herein are methods of reducing the risk of hospitalization in a patient having Mayo Stage IV AL amyloidosis, the method comprising administering to the patient a therapeutically effective dosage of an antibody which competes for

binding to human amyloid A peptide or human kappa or lambda light chain immunoglobulin with 2A4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9662) or 7D8 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9468), or competes for binding to kappa light chain immunoglobulin with 11-1F4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-105) amount, wherein
5 the patient has not previously received or does not concomitantly receive daratumumab.

A method of increasing the number of days alive out of hospital (DAOH) in a patient Mayo Stage IV amyloidosis, the method comprising administering to the patient a therapeutically effective dosage of an antibody which competes for binding
10 to human amyloid A peptide or human kappa or lambda light chain immunoglobulin with 2A4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9662) or 7D8 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9468), or competes for binding to kappa light chain immunoglobulin with 11-1F4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-105) amount, wherein the patient has not previously received or does not concomitantly receive daratumumab. Biomarkers are
15 also recognized as a method to clinically assess response to treatment and guide treatment management. In some embodiments, the biomarker is NTproBNP. In some embodiments, NTproBNP is a cardiac biomarker. In some embodiments, NTproBNP is a valid clinical endpoint. For example, a response to treatment with birtamimab that results in a $>30\%$ and >300 ng/L decline from a baseline of > 650 ng/L is a
20 meaningful response. In some embodiments, the response to treatment is assessed by NTproBNP biomarker is a graded criteria. In some embodiments, a $\leq 30\%$ reduction in NTproBNP from a baseline is considered no response. In some embodiments, a 31% to 60% reduction in NTproBNP from a baseline is considered a partial response. In some embodiments, a $>60\%$ reduction in NTproBNP from a baseline to a nadir
25 NTproBNP >400 pg/mL is considered a very good partial response. In some embodiments, a nadir NTproBNP < 400 pg/mL is considered a complete response.

Thus, provided herein are methods of reducing NTproBNP in a patient having Mayo Stage IV AL amyloidosis, the method comprising administering to the patient a therapeutically effective dosage of an antibody which competes for binding to human
30 amyloid A peptide or human kappa or lambda light chain immunoglobulin with 2A4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9662) or 7D8 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9468), or competes for binding to kappa light chain immunoglobulin with 11-1F4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-105) amount, wherein the patient has not previously received or does not concomitantly receive daratumumab.

In some embodiments, NTproBNP is reduced by at least 31% from a baseline after 3 months of treatment. In some embodiments, NTproBNP is reduced by at least 31%, 32%, 33%, 34%, 35%, 36%, 37%, 38%, 39%, 40%, 41%, 42%, 43%, 44%, 45%, 46%, 47%, 48%, 49%, 50%, 51%, 52%, 53%, 54%, 55%, 56%, 57%, 58%, or 59% from a baseline (e.g., after treatment for 3, 6, 9, 12, 15, 18, 21, 24, 27, 30, 33, or 36 months). In some embodiments, NTproBNP is reduced by at least 60%, 61%, 62%, 63%, 64%, 65%, 66%, 67%, 68%, 69%, 70%, 71%, 72%, 73%, 74%, 75%, 76%, 77%, 78%, 79%, 80%, 81%, 82%, 83%, 84%, 85%, 86%, 87%, 88%, 89%, 90%, 91%, 92%, 93%, 94%, 95%, 96%, 97%, 98%, 99%, or 100% from a baseline (e.g., after treatment for 3, 6, 9, 12, 15, 18, 21, 24, 27, 30, 33, or 36 months). In some embodiments, NTproBNP is reduced by at least 60% from a baseline to a nadir >400 pg/ml after 3 months of treatment. In some embodiments, NTproBNP is reduced to a nadir < 400 pg/ml after 3 months of treatment. In some embodiments, NTproBNP is reduced by at least 45% from a baseline after 6 months of treatment. In some embodiments, NTproBNP is reduced by at least 60% from a baseline after 6 months of treatment. In some embodiments, NTproBNP is reduced by at least 60% from a baseline to a nadir >400 pg/ml after 6 months of treatment. In some embodiments, NTproBNP is reduced to a nadir < 400 pg/ml after 3 months of treatment.

Also provided herein are methods of improving cardiac response rate in a patient having Mayo Stage IV AL amyloidosis, the method comprising administering a therapeutically effective amount of an antibody which competes for binding to human amyloid A peptide or human kappa or lambda light chain immunoglobulin with 2A4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9662) or 7D8 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9468), or competes for binding to kappa light chain immunoglobulin with 11-1F4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-105) amount, wherein the patient has not previously received or does not concomitantly receive daratumumab.

In some embodiments, the cardiac response rate increases at least 30%, at least 35%, at least 40%, at least 45%, at least 50%, at least 55%, at least 60%, at least 65%, at least 70%, at least 75%, at least 80%, at least 85%, at least 90%, at least 95%, or at least 100% as compared to a baseline. In some embodiments, the cardiac response rate increases at least 30% after 6 months of treatment. In some embodiments, the cardiac response rate increases at least 50% after 12 months of treatment. In some

embodiments, the cardiac response rate increases at least 75% after 12 months of treatment.

Also provided herein are methods of improving a six minute walk test (6MWT) in a patient having Mayo Stage IV AL amyloidosis, the method comprising
5 administering a therapeutically effective dosage of an antibody which competes for binding to human amyloid A peptide or human kappa or lambda light chain immunoglobulin with 2A4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9662) or 7D8 (ATCC
Accession Number PTA-9468), or competes for binding to kappa light chain immunoglobulin with 11-1F4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-105) amount, wherein
10 the patient has not previously received or does not concomitantly receive daratumumab.

In some embodiments, the 6MWT is at least 300 meters after treatment for 12 months. In some embodiments, the 6MWT improves at least 33 meters after 12 months of treatment. In some embodiments the 6MWT achieved is at least 300 meters
15 after treatment for 18 months. In some embodiments, the 6MWT improves at least 33 meters after 18 months of treatment as compared to baseline.

The Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire (KCCQ) score is a recognized measure of quality of life (QOL) in heart failure. In some embodiments, the KCCQ score is used as a valid clinical endpoint. In some embodiments, a 5 point
20 change in KCCQ score is a clinically minimal important difference. In some embodiments, a 5 point change in KCCQ score is a small change in KCCQ score. In some embodiments, a 10 point change KCCQ score is a moderate to large change in KCCQ score. In some embodiments, a 20 point change in KCCQ score is a large to very large change in KCCQ score. In some embodiments, the KCCQ score increases
25 by at least 5, at least 6, at least 7, at least 8, at least 9, at least 10, at least 11, at least 12, at least 13, at least 14, at least 15, at least 16, at least 17, at least 18, at least 19, at least 20, at least 21, at least 22, at least 23, at least 24, at least 25, at least 26, at least 27, at least 28, at least 29, at least 30, at least 31, at least 32, at least 33, at least 34, at least 35, at least 36, at least 37, at least 38, at least 39, at least 40, at least 41, at least
30 42, at least 43, at least 44, at least 45, at least 46, at least 47, at least 48, at least 49, at least 50, at least 51, at least 52, at least 53, at least 54, at least 55, at least 56, at least 57, at least 58, at least 59, at least 60, at least 61, at least 62, at least 63, at least 64, at least 65, at least 66, at least 67, at least 68, at least 69, at least 70, at least 71, at least 72, at least 73, at least 74, at least 75, at least 76, at least 77, at least 78, at least 79, at

least 80, at least 81, at least 82, at least 83, at least 84, at least 85, at least 86, at least 87, at least 88, at least 89, or at least 90 compared to a baseline. In some embodiments, the increase in KCCQ score after 3, 6, 9, 12, 15, 18, 21, 24, 27, 30, 33, or 36 months of treatment.

- 5 In some embodiments, the KCCQ score increases by at least 5 after 3 months of treatment as compared to baseline. In some embodiments, the KCCQ score increases by at least 10 after 3 months of treatment as compared to baseline. In some embodiments, the KCCQ score increased by at least 15 after 3 months of treatment as compared to baseline. In some embodiments, the KCCQ score improves by at least
- 10 20 after 3 months of treatment as compared to baseline. In some embodiments, the KCCQ score improves by at least 5 after 12 months of treatment as compared to baseline. In some embodiments, the KCCQ score improves by at least 10 after 12 months of treatment as compared to baseline. In some embodiments, the KCCQ score improves by at least 15 after 12 months of treatment as compared to baseline. In
- 15 some embodiments, the KCCQ score improves by at least 20 after 12 months of treatment as compared to baseline.

- Also provided herein are methods of increasing a Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire (KCCQ) score in a patient having Mayo Stage IV AL amyloidosis, the method comprising administering a therapeutically effective dosage
- 20 of an antibody which competes for binding to human amyloid A peptide or human kappa or lambda light chain immunoglobulin with 2A4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9662) or 7D8 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9468), or competes for binding to kappa light chain immunoglobulin with 11-1F4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-105) amount, wherein the patient has not previously received or does not
- 25 concomitantly receive daratumumab.

- Major Organ Deterioration Progression Free Survival (MOD-PFS) is a composite endpoint defined as any one of the following events (e.g., whichever event comes first): death, cardiac deterioration (requiring a cardiac transplant, left ventricular assist device or intra-aortic balloon pump), end stage renal disease
- 30 requiring hemodialysis or renal transplant, or hematological progression per consensus guidelines. In some embodiments, progression of MOD-PFS is measured by >30% and > 300 ng/L increase in NTproBNP. In some embodiments, the response to treatment is assessed by NTproBNP biomarker is a graded criteria. In some embodiments, a $\leq 30\%$ reduction in NTproBNP from a baseline is considered no

response. In some embodiments, a 31% to 60% reduction in NTproBNP from a baseline is considered a partial response. In some embodiments, a >60% reduction in NTproBNP from a baseline to a nadir >400 pg/mL is considered a very good partial response. In some embodiments, a nadir NTproBNP < 400 pg/mL is considered a complete response.

In some embodiments, NTproBNP is reduced by at least 31% from a baseline after 3 months of treatment. In some embodiments, NTproBNP is reduced by at least 31%, 32%, 33%, 34%, 35%, 36%, 37%, 38%, 39%, 40%, 41%, 42%, 43%, 44%, 45%, 46%, 47%, 48%, 49%, 50%, 51%, 52%, 53%, 54%, 55%, 56%, 57%, 58%, or 59% from a baseline (e.g., after treatment for 3, 6, 9, 12, 15, 18, 21, 24, 27, 30, 33, or 36 months). In some embodiments, NTproBNP is reduced by at least 60%, 61%, 62%, 63%, 64%, 65%, 66%, 67%, 68%, 69%, 70%, 71%, 72%, 73%, 74%, 75%, 76%, 77%, 78%, 79%, 80%, 81%, 82%, 83%, 84%, 85%, 86%, 87%, 88%, 89%, 90%, 91%, 92%, 93%, 94%, 95%, 96%, 97%, 98%, 99%, or 100% from a baseline (e.g., after treatment for 3, 6, 9, 12, 15, 18, 21, 24, 27, 30, 33, or 36 months).

In some embodiments, NTproBNP is reduced by at least 31% from a baseline after 3 months of treatment. In some embodiments, NTproBNP is reduced by at least 45% from a baseline after 3 months of treatment. In some embodiments, NTproBNP is reduced by at least 60% from a baseline after 3 months of treatment. In some embodiments, NTproBNP is reduced by at least 60% from a baseline to a nadir >400 pg/ml after 3 months of treatment. In some embodiments, NTproBNP is reduced to a nadir < 400 pg/ml after 3 months of treatment. In some embodiments, NTproBNP is reduced by at least 45% from a baseline after 6 months of treatment. In some embodiments, NTproBNP is reduced by at least 60% from a baseline after 6 months of treatment. In some embodiments, NTproBNP is reduced by at least 60% from a baseline to a nadir >400 pg/ml after 6 months of treatment. In some embodiments, NTproBNP is reduced to a nadir < 400 pg/ml after 3 months of treatment.

Treatment typically entails multiple dosages over a period of time. Treatment can be monitored by assaying antibody, or employing radiolabeled SAP Scintigraphy over time. If the response falls, a booster dosage may be indicated. Changes in the health status of the patients can be monitored based on outcome measures such as 6MWD, SF-36 PCS (SF-36v2), hospitalizations and survival as discussed in greater detail above. In addition, the response of patients with AL amyloidosis to treatment

can be monitored by assessing cardiac markers, such as NT-proBNP and/or troponin-T, serum creatine, and/or alkaline phosphatase; by performing serum free light chain (SFLC) assays, quantitative immunoglobulin assays, biopsies, serum protein electrophoresis (SPEP), urine protein electrophoresis (UPEP), serum, urine

5 immunofixation electrophoresis (IFE), and/or organ imaging techniques. An exemplary complete response (CR) can be determined from response criteria including negative IFE of serum and urine, normal κ/λ ration and/or $<5\%$ plasma cells in bone marrow. An exemplary very good partial response (VGPR) can be determined from a dFLC of <40 mg/L. An exemplary partial response (PR) can be

10 determined from a dFLC decrease of $\geq 50\%$. In the kidney, a response to treatment can be determined, for example, from a $\geq 50\%$ reduction (*e.g.*, > 0.5 g/24 hours) in 24 hour urine protein excretion in the absence of either a reduction in eGFR of $\geq 25\%$ or an increase in serum creatine of ≥ 0.5 mg/dL. In the liver, a response to treatment can be determined, for example, from a $\geq 50\%$ reduction in initially elevated alkaline

15 phosphatase or a ≥ 2 cm reduction in liver size on CT scan or MRI. In the heart, a response to treatment can be determined, for example, from a $>30\%$ and > 300 ng/L reduction in NT-proBNP in patients with baseline of NT-proBNP of > 650 ng/L. In the kidney, a response to treatment can be determined, for example, from a $> 30\%$ decrease in proteinuria or a decrease in proteinuria to < 0.5 g/24 hours in the absence

20 of renal progression. Neuropathy responders are generally characterized by < 2 point increase in NIS-LL from baseline. Improvement in neuropathy (*e.g.*, improved nerve function) is determined from a decrease in the NIS-LL from baseline. Improvement in health status can also be determined from a decrease in the frequency of hospitalizations, a decrease in hospitalizations of greater than ninety days, or from

25 longer survival relative to an untreated different patient with a similar prognosis upon diagnosis, for example, AL amyloidosis patients with cardiac involvement.

The antibody formulation can be administered intravenously or subcutaneously in dosage ranges from about 0.5 mg/kg to about 30 mg/kg of the host body weight. For example, dosages can be about 0.5 mg/kg body weight, about 1.0

30 mg/kg, about 1.5 mg/kg, about 2.0 mg/kg, about 4.0 mg/kg, about 5.0 mg/kg, about 8.0 mg/kg, about 10 mg/kg, about 15 mg/kg, about 16 mg/kg, about 20 mg/kg, about 24 mg/kg, about 25 mg/kg, or about 30 mg/kg body weight. The dosages can also be administered according to body surface area from about 0.5 mg/m² to about 500

mg/m², for example, 0.5, 5, 10, 50, 100, 250 or 500 mg/m². For intravenous dosing, an amount of the antibody formulation sufficient to achieve the desired dosage for the individual patient is transferred from one or more vials to one or more intravenous bags containing a liquid (e.g., saline) and administered to the patient.

5 Antibody is usually administered on multiple occasions. An exemplary treatment regimen entails administration once per every two weeks, once a month, or once every 3 to 6 months. For example, patients can receive the antibody formulation once every four weeks as a cycle, for example every twenty-eight days. The dosing frequency can be adjusted depending on the pharmacokinetic profile of the antibody
10 formulation in the patient. For example, the half-life of the antibody may warrant a two week frequency of dosing. In some embodiments, the pharmaceutical formulation is administered intravenously every 28 days with an antibody dosage of about 24 mg/kg. For example, some patients may receive an intravenous dose of about 24 mg/kg birtamimab every 28 days. For example, some patients may receive
15 an intravenous dose of about 24 mg/kg birtamimab every 28 days (± 5 days). In certain embodiments, a minimum of 21 days is required between doses. For some such patients, the birtamimab formulation transferred to the intravenous bag was first reconstituted from a lyophilized formulation to a formulation having a pH of about 6.5 and comprising about 50 mg/ml birtamimab, about 25 mM histidine buffer, about
20 230 mM trehalose and about 0.2 g/L polysorbate 20. For some patients the desired dosage can be administered subcutaneously without dilution from a vial containing any of the formulations disclosed herein.

 In some embodiments disclosed herein, the antibody is administered to the patient for at least 8 months, at least 9 months, at least 10 months, at least 11 months,
25 at least 12 months, or for a longer period of time. For example, the pharmaceutical formulation is administered to the patient for a duration effective to achieve or maintain an improvement in health status as indicated by an increase in 6MWD or SF-36 PCS score, or long enough to achieve or maintain a lower risk of mortality relative to an untreated patient. For some patients, the lower risk can be established after at
30 least 8 months of treatment. For some patients, the lower risk can be established after at least 9 months of treatment. For some patients, the lower risk can be established after at least 12 months of treatment or after at least 18 months of treatment or after twenty-four months of treatment. For these patients, a lower risk of mortality correlates with longer survival times relative to untreated patients.

Also disclosed herein are combination therapies for treatment or prophylaxis of AL amyloidosis. Such combination therapies are performed by administering an antibody formulation disclosed herein in conjunction with one or more second therapeutic agents, such as another therapy to treat or effect prophylaxis of AL amyloidosis. Combination therapies as disclosed herein may also be performed in conjunction with a second therapy is used to treat or effect prophylaxis of a disease or condition associated with amyloid disease, such as an inflammatory disease, a chronic microbial infection, a neoplasm (including malignant neoplasms), an inherited inflammatory disease, and/or a lymphoproliferative disorder. Numerous treatments are available in commercial use, in clinical evaluation, and in pre-clinical development, any of which could be selected for use in combination with the disclosed antibody formulations. Such treatments can be one or more compounds or treatments selected from, but not limited to several major categories, namely, (i) non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs; *e.g.*, etoprofen, diclofenac, diflunisal, etodolac, fenoprofen, flurbiprofen, ibuprofen, indomethacin, ketoprofen, meclofenamate, mefenamic acid, meloxicam, nabumetone, naproxen sodium, oxaprozin, piroxicam, sulindac, tolmetin, celecoxib, rofecoxib, aspirin, choline salicylate, salsalate, and sodium and magnesium salicylate); (ii) steroids (*e.g.*, cortisone, dexamethasone, hydrocortisone, methylprednisolone, prednisolone, prednisone, triamcinolone); (iii) DMARDs, *i.e.*, disease modifying antirheumatic drugs (*e.g.*, cyclosporine, azathioprine, methotrexate, leflunomide, cyclophosphamide, hydroxychloroquine, sulfasalazine, D-penicillamine, minocycline, and gold); (iv) recombinant proteins (*e.g.*, ENBREL® (etanercept, a soluble TNF receptor) and REMICADE® (infliximab) a chimeric monoclonal anti-TNF antibody); (v) stem cell transplantation; and/or (vi) chemotherapy. Patients with AL amyloidosis may also receive treatment regimens that include drugs or combinations of drugs often used to treat hematological malignancies, such as melphalan, prednisone, dexamethasone, lenalidomide (REVLIMID®), proteasome inhibitors such as bortezomib (VELCADE®) and carfilzomib (KYPROLIS®), and CD38 agents at dosages in the range of the standard of care.

When performing a combination therapy, the two or more drug substances are administered simultaneously or sequentially in any order, *i.e.*, a formulation disclosed herein is administered prior to administering a second drug substance, concurrently with a second drug substance, or subsequent to administration of a second drug

substance. For example, a combination therapy may be performed by administering a first therapy prior to (*e.g.*, 1 minute, 5 minutes, 15 minutes, 30 minutes, 45 minutes, 1 hour, 2 hours, 4 hours, 6 hours, 12 hours, 24 hours, 48 hours, 72 hours, 96 hours, 1 week, 2 weeks, 3 weeks, 4 weeks, 5 weeks, 6 weeks, 8 weeks, or 12 weeks before),
5 concomitantly with, or subsequent to (*e.g.*, 1 minute, 5 minutes, 15 minutes, 30 minutes, 45 minutes, 1 hour, 2 hours, 4 hours, 6 hours, 12 hours, 24 hours, 48 hours, 72 hours, 96 hours, 1 week, 2 weeks, 3 weeks, 4 weeks, 5 weeks, 6 weeks, 8 weeks, or 12 weeks after) administering a second agent/therapy.

The dosage, frequency and mode of administration of each component of the
10 combination can be controlled independently. For example, one therapeutic agent/therapy may be administered orally three times per day, while the second therapeutic agent/therapy may be administered intramuscularly once per day. Combination therapy may be given in on-and-off cycles that include rest periods. The compounds may also be admixed or otherwise formulated together such that one
15 administration delivers both compounds. In this case, each therapeutic agent is generally present in an amount of 1-95% by weight of the total weight of the composition. Alternatively, an antibody formulation disclosed herein and a second therapeutic agent can be formulated separately and in individual dosage amounts. Drug combinations for treatment can be provided as components of a pharmaceutical
20 pack.

Preferably, the disclosed combination therapies elicit a synergistic therapeutic effect, *i.e.*, an effect greater than the sum of their individual effects or therapeutic outcomes. Measurable therapeutic outcomes are described herein. For example, a synergistic therapeutic effect may be an effect of at least about two-fold greater than
25 sum of the therapeutic effects elicited by the single agents of a given combination, or at least about five-fold greater, or at least about ten-fold greater, or at least about twenty-fold greater, or at least about fifty-fold greater, or at least about one hundred-fold greater. A synergistic therapeutic effect may also be observed as an increase in therapeutic effect of at least 10% compared to the sum of the therapeutic effects
30 elicited by the single agents of a given combination, or at least 20%, or at least 30%, or at least 40%, or at least 50%, or at least 60%, or at least 70%, or at least 80%, or at least 90%, or at least 100%, or more. A synergistic effect is also an effect that permits reduced dosing of therapeutic agents when they are used in combination.

Some methods of the disclosure include treating a subject having AL amyloidosis by determining one or more of the following prognostic indicators: (1) the Mayo Stage of the patient's AL amyloidosis, (2) the 6 minute walk distance (6MWD) and ejection fraction (EF) of the patient, and/or the Mayo Stage and the EF of the patient. Once the prognostic indicator(s) has been determined, a patient is selected the patient for treatment if the patient meets one of the following treatment criteria: (1) has Mayo Stage IV AL amyloidosis; (2) has a 6MWD $\geq$ 150 meters and an EF $>$ 50% at baseline; (3) has Mayo Stage IV and EF $>$ 50% at baseline; or (4) has Mayo Stage IV, a 6MWD $\geq$ 150 meters and an EF $>$ 50% at baseline. Treatment includes administering an effective dosage of an antibody disclosed herein.

In one method of the disclosure, a patient meeting or more of the prognostic indicators is treated with birtamimab (24 mg/kg) supplied as a sterile, lyophilized dosage form in a 20/25 mL vial containing 500 mg birtamimab. Each vial may be reconstituted with 9.6 mL sterile water for injection (WFI) to a concentration of 50 mg/mL resulting in a buffered, isotonic, preservative-free solution. Birtamimab is administered once every 28 days as an initial 120 ($\pm$ 10)-minute IV infusion. If the subject tolerates the initial infusion, subsequent infusions may be administered over 60 ($\pm$ 10) minutes. Dose are administered at intervals of at least 21 days.

Patients may also be treated with concomitant standard of care chemotherapy, which may include, for example, bortezomib administered subcutaneously on a weekly basis.

EXAMPLES

The following examples have been included to illustrate modes disclosed herein. Certain aspects of the following examples are described in terms of techniques and procedures found or contemplated by the present co-inventors to work well in the practice disclosed herein. In light of the present disclosure and the general level of skill in the art, those of skill appreciate that the following examples are intended to be exemplary only and that numerous changes, modifications, and alterations may be employed without departing from the scope of the disclosure.

Example 1. Phase 2b Clinical Assessment of NEOD001, also known as birtamimab (Humanized 2A4)

A Phase 2b global, multi-center, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical study of NEOD001 vs. placebo was conducted in previously-treated patients with AL amyloidosis and persistent cardiac dysfunction (PRONTO Study). The study enrolled 129 patients. Patients were randomized on a 1:1 basis to receive 24 mg/kg of NEOD001 (n=66) or placebo (n=63) via intravenous infusion every 28 days. The primary outcome measure was cardiac best response as measured by NT-proBNP through 12 months of treatment. Secondary outcome measures included change in Short Form-36 (SF-36 Questionnaire, change in 6 minute walk test (6MWT), renal best response as measured by proteinuria, change in Neuropathy Impairment Score – Lower Limb (NIS-LL) score and NT-proBNP slope. Additional information regarding the clinical study design is available on <https://clinicaltrials.gov>. The PRONTO Study did not meet its primary or secondary endpoints.

Example 2. Phase 3 Clinical Assessment of NEOD001

A Phase 3 global, multi-center, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical study of NEOD001 vs. placebo was conducted in newly diagnosed, treatment-naïve patients with AL amyloidosis and cardiac dysfunction, with both arms of the study receiving standard of care (VITAL Study; The VITAL Amyloidosis Study, a Global Phase 3, Efficacy and Safety Study of NEOD001 in Patients With AL Amyloidosis (VITAL), ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT02312206). The study enrolled 260 patients (see Table 1).

Table 1. Demographics and Clinical Characteristics

	All Subjects (n=260)		Mayo Stage IV Subjects (n=77)	
	NEOD001 + SOC (n=130)	Placebo + SOC (n=130)	NEOD001 + SOC (n=38)	Placebo + SOC (n=39)
Age, median (Q1, Q3)	64.2 (57.6, 70.9)	62.6 (57.0, 69.3)	63.56 (55.71, 69.78)	63.74 (56.97, 68.40)
Gender (male), n (%)	82 (63)	90 (69)	25 (65.8)	28 (71.8)
Ethnicity, n (%)				

Hispanic or Latino		2 (1.5)	2 (1.5)	0	0
Not Hispanic or Latino		116 (89.2)	122 (93.8)	34 (89.5)	36 (92.3)
Not provided or unknown		12 (9.2)	6 (4.6)	4 (10.5)	3 (7.7)
Race, n (%)					
White		118 (90.8)	120 (92.3)	36 (94.7)	36 (92.3)
Black or African American		9 (6.9)	3 (2.3)	2 (5.3)	2 (5.1)
Asian		2 (1.5)	2 (1.5)	0	0
Other		1 (0.8)	5 (3.8)	0	1 (2.6)
Age at AL amyloidosis diagnosis (years), median (Q1, Q3)		64.10 (57.51, 70.91)	62.41 (56.83, 69.29)	63.48 (55.61, 69.66)	63.75 (56.83, 68.47)
Duration since AL amyloidosis diagnosis (months), median (Q1, Q3)		1.31 (0.92, 1.87)	1.48 (0.95, 2.17)	1.15 (0.69, 1.58)	1.45 (0.89, 1.81)
Number of derived involved organs at baseline, median (Q1, Q3)		2.0 (1.0, 2.0)	1.0 (1.0, 2.0)	1.0 (1.0, 2.0)	1.0 (1.0, 2.0)
Screening NT-proBNP ≥ 1800 pg/mL, n (%)		95 (73.1)	100 (76.9)	38 (100)	39 (100)
Baseline NT-proBNP (pg/mL), median (Q1, Q3)		3146 (1650, 5173)	3184 (1910, 5551)	5142 (3228, 5939)	5415 (4054, 8073)
Baseline troponin-T (ng/mL), median (Q1, Q3)		0.03 (0.03, 0.06)	0.03 (0.03, 0.08)	0.05 (0.04, 0.09)	0.09 (0.06, 0.13)
Baseline FLC ratio, median (Q1, Q3)		0.10 (0.03, 0.32)	0.11 (0.04, 0.51)		0.05 (0.03, 11.14)
Baseline dFLC ^a (mg/dL), median (Q1, Q3)		26.31 (13.83, 53.05)	38.18 (18.00, 63.06)	44.44 (25.13, 56.17)	57.42 (35.52, 106.28)
Mayo stage, n (%)					
I		11 (4)	10 (4)	0	0

II		34 (13)	28 (11)	0	0
III		47 (18)	53 (20)	0	0
IV		38 (15)	39 (15)	38 (100)	39 (100)

^aBaseline dFLC is only calculated for subjects with an abnormal baseline FLC ratio

(Kappa/Lambda <0.26 or >1.65) and is defined as the difference between involved and uninvolved FLCs.

dFLC, difference between involved minus uninvolved serum free light chains; FLC, free light

5 chain; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide; SOC, standard of care.

Patients were randomized on a 1:1 basis to receive 24 mg/kg of NEOD001 or placebo via intravenous infusion every 28 days. All patients received bortezomib based chemotherapy concurrently with NEOD001 or placebo. Placebo was

10 administered as a 250 mL bag of normal saline once every 28 days. The primary outcome measures were time to composite of all-cause mortality or cardiac hospitalization. Secondary outcome measures included NT-proBNP best response, time to cardiac mortality or cardiac hospitalization, change in the 6 minute walk test, change in the Short Form-36 questionnaire, change in the Kansas City

15 Cardiomyopathy questionnaire, renal best response as assessed using Palladini et al, 2014 criteria and hepatic best response as assessed using Comenzo et al, 2012 criteria. Additional information regarding the clinical study design is available on the clinicaltrials.gov website. Based on the results from the PRONTO Study, a futility analysis was performed on the ongoing VITAL Study. The futility analysis, based on

20 103 adjudicated events of the 156 events specified to complete the study, was not statistically significant. The hazard ratio (HR) was 0.84 favoring NEOD001 vs. control arm (HR, 0.84, 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.57–1.204; P=0.386). Based on the results from the PRONTO Study and the futility analysis of the VITAL Study, clinical development of NEOD001 was discontinued.

25

Example 3. Statistical Analysis of VITAL Study – Surprising Results in Certain Patients

Following the discontinuation of NEOD001 development, statistical analysis analysis was performed on the VITAL Study data, which necessarily includes

30 censored data due to the study termination. The data was censored at twelve months. The final study results were consistent with the futility analysis. NEOD001 was not

significantly different from control for the primary endpoint of all-cause mortality or cardiac hospitalization (≥ 91 days) (HR, 0.835; 95% CI 0.5799–1.2011; $P=0.330$; see Table 2; and Figure 5). Nor was NEOD001 significantly different from control for any key secondary endpoints (SF-36 PCS, 6MWD, NT-proBNP best response, or renal best response). Subsequent post hoc analyses for subjects categorized as Mayo Stage IV yielded an even stronger result favoring birtamimab (HR=0.538), revealing a potential survival benefit for this subset of patients.

Table 2. ITT and mITT Results

Mayo Stage	Endpoint ^{a,b}	N	ITT HR ^c (95% CI) P -value ^d	mITT ^e (12-months) HR ^c (95% CI) P -value ^d
All	Composite primary endpoint	260	0.835 (0.5799–1.2011) $P=0.3300$	0.784 (0.5341–1.1507) $P=0.2129$
Stage I–III	All-cause mortality	183	1.334 (0.7386–2.4107) $P=0.3375$	1.244 (0.6435–2.4035) $P=0.5159$
Stage IV	All-cause mortality	77	0.544 (0.2738–1.0826) $P=0.0787$	0.498 (0.2404–1.0304) $P=0.0556$

^aComposite primary endpoint = all-cause mortality or cardiac hospitalization (>90 days). ^bAll-cause mortality regardless of cardiac hospitalization. ^cHR <1.0 in favor of NEOD001 + SOC; HR >1.0 in favor of placebo + SOC. ^dAll P -values other than for the composite primary endpoint for the ITT analysis are descriptive; P -value derived from log rank test. ^emITT = initial 12-month time period.

CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; ITT, intent-to-treat; mITT, modified intent-to-treat.

15

Surprisingly, analysis of the data suggested an improvement in health status in certain subsets of patients, namely Mayo Stage IV patients ($n=77$ of 260; approximately 30% of the patients enrolled in the VITAL study were Mayo stage IV) and patients having a baseline 6MWD of greater than 150 meters and an ejection fraction of greater than 50% regardless of Mayo stage ($n=135$). The hazard ratios for such patient subpopulations were 0.498 and 0.511, respectively, in favor of treatment, as the median overall survival in stage IV (mITT) was 8.3 months in the placebo + SOC group and was not reached (>12 months) in the NEOD001 + SOC group (see Figure 6). Further, the observed benefit appears to be further enhanced for Mayo Stage IV patients with baseline 6MWD of ≥ 150 meters and an ejection fraction (EF) of $> 50\%$ ($n=36$). The hazard ratio for such patients was 0.201 in favor of treatment.

In addition, a benefit was also observed in Mayo Stage IV patients with an ejection fraction (EF) of > 50% (n=37), without regard to 6MWD. It is surprising that greater improvement was observed in Mayo Stage IV patients than in Stage I-III patients because it is generally accepted that treatment intervention at earlier stages of diseases
 5 associated with amyloid burden is required to achieve an improvement.

Example 4 – Response of Mayo Stage IV patients

As shown in Figures 1A and 1B, Mayo Stage IV patients treated with NEOD001 demonstrated a greater improvement in health status, as measured by the
 10 composite of all-cause mortality or cardiac hospitalization for more than 91 days through 12 months, relative to patients treated with placebo, compared to the difference seen with Stage I-III patients, with Stage IV patient results having an HR of 0.635 (36.5% relative risk reduction) with p=0.1409 (Figure 1B) as compared to .879 (12.15% relative risk reduction) with p=0.6125 for Stage I-III patients (Figure
 15 1A).

As shown in Figures 2A and 2B, Stage IV patients treated with NEOD001 demonstrated a greater improvement in health status, as measured by all-cause mortality through 12 months, relative to patients treated with placebo, compared to the difference seen with Stage I-III patients, with Stage IV patient results having an
 20 HR of 0.498 (50.2% relative risk reduction) with p=0.0556 (Figure 2B) as compared to 1.244 (-24.4% relative risk reduction) with p=0.5159 for Stage I-III patients (Figure 2A).

As shown in Figures 3A and 3B, Stage IV patients treated with NEOD001 demonstrated a greater improvement in health status, as measured by cardiac
 25 mortality through 12 months relative to patients treated with placebo, compared to the difference seen with Stage I-III patients, with Stage IV patient results having an HR of 0.378 (62.2% relative risk reduction) with p=0.0142 (Figure 3B) as compared to 1.051 (-5.1% relative risk reduction) with p=0.8971 for Stage I-III patients (Figure 3A).

30 As shown in Table 3, Stage IV patients treated with NEOD001 demonstrated a greater improvement in health status, as measured by SF-36 PCS score change from baseline to Month 9, relative to patients treated with placebo, compared to the difference seen with Stage I-III patients, with Stage IV patient having a change of

- +5.54 points with $p=0.0258$ as compared to a change of -0.65 with $p=0.7150$ for Stage I-III patients. Abbreviations: LSM (Least Squares Mean); SE (Standard Error); CI (Confidence Interval). In the mITT analysis, SF-36 PCS scores showed significantly less deterioration from baseline to 6 months ($P<0.05$) and 9 months ($P<0.005$) in the
- 5 NEOD001 + SOC group compared with the placebo + SOC group (*see* Figure 7), and change from baseline in 6MWD was significantly greater at all time points through month 12 in the NEOD001 + SOC group versus the placebo + SOC group.

Table 3: SF-36 PCS Score Change from Baseline to Month 9

		NEOD001	Control	Difference	p-value
Stage I-III	N	92	91		
	Month 9				
	LSM (SE)	32.52 (1.37)	33.17		
	95% CI	29.83, 35.20	(1.36)	30.50, 35.84	
	Change from Baseline				
	LSM (SE)	-3.47 (1.37)	-2.82 (1.36)	-0.65 (1.79)	
	95% CI	-6.16, -0.79	-5.49, -0.15	-4.16, 2.86	0.7150
Stage IV	N	38	39		
	Month 9				
	LSM (SE)	34.55 (3.58)	29.01		
	95% CI	27.53, 41.57	(3.47)	22.21, 35.80	
	Change from Baseline				
	LSM (SE)	3.40 (3.58)	-2.14 (3.47)	5.54 (2.48)	
	95% CI	-3.62, 10.42	-8.93, 4.66	0.67, 10.41	0.0258

10

As shown in Table 4, Stage IV patients treated with NEOD001 demonstrated a greater improvement in health status, as measured by 6MWD change in rank order from baseline to Month 9, relative to patients treated with placebo, compared to the difference seen with Stage I-III patients, with Stage IV patient having a change in rank

order of +36.74 with $p=0.0462$ as compared to a change of -4.26 with $p=0.6911$ for Stage I-III patients.

Table 4: 6MWD Change in Rank Order from Baseline to Month 9

		NEOD001	Control	Difference	P-value
Stage I-III	N	92	91		
	Month 9 LSM (SE) 95% CI	139.16 (8.98) (121.44, 156.88)	143.41 (8.91) (125.84, 160.99)		
	Change from Baseline LSM (SE) 95% CI	5.05 (8.98) (-12.67, 22.77)	9.31 (8.91) (-8.27, 26.89)	-4.26 (10.70) (-25.37, 16.85)	0.6911
Stage IV	N	38	39		
	Month 9 LSM (SE) 95% CI	165.89 (30.59) (104.89, 226.89)	129.15 (29.79) (69.75, 188.55)		
	Change from Baseline LSM (SE) 95% CI	43.95 (30.59) (-17.05, 104.95)	7.21 (29.79) (-52.19, 66.62)	36.74 (18.11) (0.64, 72.84)	0.0462

5

Change from baseline in 6MWD was significantly greater at all time points through month 12 in the NEOD001 + SOC group versus the placebo + SOC group. Mean (SD) duration of exposure was 389.4 (245.65) years in the NEOD001 + SOC group and 352.7 (248.30) years in the placebo + SOC group. The mean (SD) number of infusions received was 14.5 (8.60) and 13.3 (8.71) in the NEOD001 + SOC and placebo + SOC groups, respectively. Table 5 sets forth a summary of the VITAL Study results in Mayo Stage IV patients.

10

Table 5: Summary of VITAL Study results in Mayo Stage IV patients

Outcome Measure	Hazard Ratio	Relative Risk Reduction	Change	p-value
Primary endpoint through 12 months*	0.635	36.5%	n/a	0.1409
All-cause mortality through 12 months	0.498	50.2%	n/a	0.0556
Cardiac mortality through 12 months	0.378	62.2%	n/a	0.0142
SF-36 PCS to month 9	n/a	n/a	+5.54 points	0.0258
6MWD	n/a	n/a	+36.74 rank	0.0462

*subjects who do not die are censored at the last date at which they were known to be alive, or 12 months, whichever comes first.

5 **Example 5 - Response of Patients with 6MWD of ≥ 150 meters and EF of $> 50\%$**

As shown in Figures 4A and 4B, patients with a baseline six minute walk distance (6MWD) of greater or equal to 150 meters and an ejection fraction (EF) of greater than 50% who were treated with NEOD001 demonstrated a greater improvement in health status, as measured by all-cause mortality through 12 months relative to patients treated with placebo. The results of patients of all Mayo stages having a baseline 6MWD ≥ 150 meters and EF of $> 50\%$ had an HR of 0.511 (48.9% relative risk reduction) with $p=0.0741$ and 95% CI (0.241, 1.083) (Figure 4A). The results of Mayo Stage IV patients having a baseline 6MWD ≥ 150 meters and EF of $> 50\%$ had an HR of 0.201 (79.9% relative risk reduction) with $p=.0090$ and 95% CI (0.053, 0.762) Figure 4B. In addition, Mayo Stage IV patients having a baseline EF of $> 50\%$ had an HR of 0.185 (81.5% relative risk reduction) with $p=0.0049$ and 95% CI (0.050, 0.689).

Overall, 257 patients in the safety population experienced 1 or more treatment-emergent AEs (TEAEs; see Table 6). The most common TEAEs (fatigue, nausea, peripheral edema, constipation, and diarrhea) were similar in both treatment arms, and both treatment arms had similar frequencies of serious TEAEs (NEOD001 + SOC, 67.7%; placebo + SOC, 70.0%). Of the 288 serious AEs reported by 88 NEOD001-treated patients, the majority (95.5%) were considered not related to study drug, and

TEAEs leading to death occurred more frequently in the placebo + SOC group (28 subjects, 21.5%) than in the NEOD001 + SOC group (20 subjects, 15.4%). None of the deaths were considered to be related to study drug; cause of death in both groups was consistent with underlying disease and the known risk of cardiac complications.

- 5 Overall safety results were similar within and across Mayo stages.

Table 6. Overall Summary of Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (Safety Population)

	NEOD001 + SOC (n=130)	Placebo + SOC (n=130)
TEAE by relationship ^a		
Not related	85 (65.4)	81 (62.3)
Related	42 (32.3)	49 (37.7)
TEAE related with CTCAE grade ≥ 3	6 (4.6)	11 (8.5)
TEAE leading to study drug withdrawal	10 (7.7)	16 (12.3)
TEAE leading to death	20 (15.4)	28 (21.5)
TEAE related leading to death	0	0
Serious TEAEs, n	288	259
Subjects reporting ≥ 1 :		
Serious TEAE	88 (67.7)	91 (70.0)
Serious TEAE by relationship ^a		
Not related	84 (64.6)	86 (66.2)
Related	4 (3.1)	5 (3.8)

Data reported as n (%) unless otherwise noted.

- 10 ^aSubjects reporting more than one adverse event are counted only once using the closest relationship to study drug, as assessed by the investigator.

CTCAE, common terminology criteria for adverse events; SOC, standard of care; TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event.

Example 6 – A Phase 3, Multicenter, Open-Label, Single-Arm, Efficacy and Safety Study of Birtamimab (NEOD001) Plus Standard of Care in Mayo Stage IV Subjects with Light Chain (AL) Amyloidosis.

The primary objective of the study (NEOD001-301) is to evaluate the efficacy of birtamimab plus standard of care when administered intravenously in Mayo Stage IV subjects with AL amyloidosis by assessing time to all-cause mortality. Secondary objectives are to evaluate birtamimab plus standard of care on the following: (1) change from baseline to month 9 in health related quality of life using the Short Form 36 questionnaire (SF-36v2), and (2) change from baseline to month 9 in the 6 Minute Walk Test (6MWT) distance (meters).

Newly diagnosed Mayo Stage IV subjects with AL amyloidosis receive birtamimab plus local standard of care chemotherapy. The initial first-line chemotherapy regimen must include bortezomib. Subjects remain on study until study completion, which occurs when approximately 16 primary endpoint events (all-cause mortality) have been reached or 62 subjects have completed 9 months of treatment. If the subject discontinues study drug prior to the end of the study, but is willing to continue to participate in study visits, the subject should have an Early Treatment Discontinuation (ETD) visit within 28-35 days after the last study drug administration and then have assessments every third month (see Table 8). All visits after the ETD Visit should occur on schedule, that is, at the time when the visit would have occurred had the subject remained on study drug.

Subject screening will occur during the 28 days prior to the first administration of study drug on Month 1-Day 1. The screening period may be extended upon approval by the Medical Monitor. Screening assessments are listed in Table 7, herein.

Two screening 6MWTs are required before the first administration of study drug. The first screening 6MWT is required to be performed between Days -28 and 5, at least 4 days prior to the second Screening 6MWT, which should be performed within 2 days prior to Month 1 Day 1. The postbaseline 6MWTs may be performed on the same day as study drug administration and must be completed prior to study drug infusion. If all eligibility requirements are met, Month 1-Day 1 assessments are completed, and treatment is initiated. Each visit is denoted by its “month” and “day” such that the first study drug infusion day is denoted as Month 1-Day 1; subsequent months use sequential numbers (e.g., the second dose is administered on Month 2-

Day 1). “Cycle” is reserved to denote administration of chemotherapy. Assessment and visit windows are described in the Schedule of Events (Table 7). Each month, subjects receive their study drug infusion on Day 1 at the study site. For Months 1 through 3, subjects are assessed weekly, although not all visits are required to be at the study site. For Month 3 and all subsequent months until the end of the study, subjects are only required to return to the study site every 28 days for Day 1 dosing of study drug.

First-line chemotherapy must be a bortezomib-containing regimen, with bortezomib administered subcutaneously (SC), weekly. The first administration of chemotherapy, including bortezomib, is administered after Month 1 Day 1 study drug administration (following the post-study drug infusion observation period) such that Month 1-Day 1 of the study is equivalent to Cycle 1 Day 1 of chemotherapy. In addition to the visits outlined above, during the first cycle of chemotherapy, the subject must return to the study site for each weekly administration of bortezomib and for assessments prior to the administrations. During the second and third cycles of chemotherapy, bortezomib must be administered at the study site during the Month 2-Day 1, Month 2-Day 15, and Month 3-Day 1 visits (i.e., Cycle 2 Day 1, Cycle 2-Day 15, and Cycle 3-Day 1, respectively). If, for any reason in the opinion of the Investigator, the subject should continue to be seen weekly at the study site (e.g., toxicity that appears to exceed the anticipated side effects of the chemotherapy), then the other Cycle 2 and Cycle 3 weekly bortezomib administrations may be performed at the study site, as well. At the Investigator’s discretion, if the subject is not experiencing any unanticipated or significant toxicity, the subject may be administered the Cycle 2-Days 8 and 22 and the Cycle 3 Days 8, 15 and 22 bortezomib by their local physician, rather than by the Investigator. Within 1 day prior to or on the day of each administration of bortezomib by the local physician, a healthcare professional must obtain pre-dose vital signs and central laboratory samples. However, if bortezomib is administered on a Monday (or there is an intervening holiday), then it is acceptable for the Homecare visit to take place on the previous Friday.

In the event that bortezomib doses are missed, the chemotherapy cycles may become misaligned with the monthly study drug dosing. In this case, the weekly visits during Months 1 through 3 should continue as described above in order to closely monitor subjects’ health during the initial months of concomitant

chemotherapy. Throughout the study, monthly doses of study drug should not be delayed or skipped due to adjustments that are made to chemotherapy dosing.

Safety and efficacy assessments are performed at each visit.

Inclusion Criteria (subjects must meet all of the following criteria):

- 5 1. Aged ≥ 18 years.
2. Newly diagnosed and AL amyloidosis treatment naïve.
3. Bone marrow demonstrating clonal plasma cells.
4. Confirmed diagnosis of AL amyloidosis by the following:
 - 10 • Histochemical diagnosis of amyloidosis determined by polarizing light microscopy of green birefringent material in Congo red-stained tissue specimens OR characteristic electron microscopy appearance, AND
 - Confirmatory immunohistochemistry OR mass spectroscopy of AL amyloidosis.
- 15 5. Confirmed diagnosis of AL amyloidosis by mass spectrometry or immunoelectron microscopy of amyloid material in tissue biopsy if the subject meets any of the following:
 - Is black or African American.
 - Is over 75 years of age with concurrent monoclonal gammopathy.
 - 20 • Has a history of familial amyloidosis and has concurrent monoclonal gammopathy.
 - OR
 - If the subject meets any of the above 3 conditions and has echocardiographic evidence of amyloidosis, biopsy-proven
 - 25 amyloidosis with a monoclonal gammopathy and no tissue is available for mass spectrometry or immunoelectron microscopy, the subject must have gene sequencing consistent with transthyretin (TTR) wild type (e.g., no TTR mutation present) AND must score 0 in technetium-99m-3,3-diphosphono-1,2 propanodicarboxylic acid (99mTc DPD; Rapezzi 2011), hydroxymethylenediphosphonate (99mTc HMDP; Galat 2015), or pyrophosphate (99mTc PYP; Bokhari 2013) scintigraphy.
 - 30
6. Cardiac involvement as defined by all of the following:

- Past documented or presently noted clinical signs and symptoms supportive of a diagnosis of heart failure in the setting of a confirmed diagnosis of AL amyloidosis in the absence of an alternative explanation for heart failure.
- 5 • Either an endomyocardial biopsy demonstrating AL amyloidosis or an echocardiogram demonstrating a mean left ventricular wall thickness at diastole > 12 mm in the absence of other causes (e.g., severe hypertension, aortic stenosis), which would adequately explain the degree of wall thickening.
- 10 7. Confirmed Mayo Stage IV as defined by:
 - NT-proBNP ≥ 1800 pg/mL, and
 - Troponin-T > 0.03 ng/mL, and
 - dFLC ≥ 18 mg/dL.
- 15 8. Planned first-line chemotherapy contains bortezomib administered subcutaneously (SC) weekly.
- 9. Adequate bone marrow reserve, hepatic function, and renal function, as demonstrated by:
 - Absolute neutrophil count (ANC) $\geq 1.0 \times 10^9/L$.
 - Platelet count $\geq 75 \times 10^9/L$.
 - 20 • Hemoglobin ≥ 9 g/dL.
 - Total bilirubin ≤ 2 times the upper limit of normal ($\times$ ULN).
 - Aspartate aminotransferase (AST)/serum glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase (SGOT) $\leq 3 \times$ ULN.
 - Alanine aminotransferase (ALT)/serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase (SGPT) $\leq 3 \times$ ULN.
 - 25 • Alkaline phosphatase (ALP) $\leq 5 \times$ ULN (except for subjects with hepatomegaly and isozymes specific to liver, rather than bone).
 - Estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) ≥ 30 mL/min/1.73 m² as estimated by the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI) equation.
 - 30 10. Seated systolic blood pressure 90-180 mmHg.
 - 11. Distance walked during each Screening 6MWT is ≥ 30 meters and ≤ 550 meters.

12. Women of childbearing potential (WOCBP) must have two negative pregnancy tests during Screening, the second within 24 hours prior to the first administration of study drug, and must agree to use highly effective physician-approved contraception from Screening to 90 days following the last study drug administration.
13. Male subjects must be surgically sterile or must agree to use highly effective physician-approved contraception from Screening to 90 days following the last study drug administration.
14. Ability to understand and willingness to sign an informed consent form prior to initiation of any study procedures.

Exclusion Criteria (subjects must meet none of the following criteria):

1. Non-AL amyloidosis.
2. NT-proBNP > 8,500 pg/mL.
- 15 3. Meets the International Myeloma Working Group (IMWG) definition of Multiple Myeloma.
 *Note that subjects who meet the IMWG definition of symptomatic multiple myeloma with signs and/or symptoms attributable only to associated amyloidosis are potentially eligible upon approval of the Sponsor.
- 20 4. Subject is eligible for and plans to undergo ASCT or organ transplant during the study.
5. Symptomatic orthostatic hypotension that in the medical judgment of the Investigator would interfere with subject's ability to safely receive treatment or complete study assessments.
- 25 6. Myocardial infarction, uncontrolled angina, severe uncontrolled ventricular arrhythmias, or electrocardiographic (ECG) evidence of acute ischemia, within 6 months prior to the Month 1-Day 1 Visit.
7. Severe valvular stenosis (e.g. aortic or mitral stenosis with a valve area <1.0 cm²) or severe congenital heart disease.
- 30 8. ECG evidence of acute ischemia or active conduction system abnormalities with the exception of any of the following:
 - First degree AV-block.

- Second degree AV-block Type 1 (Mobitz Type 1 / Wenckebach type).
 - Right or left bundle branch block.
 - Atrial fibrillation with a controlled ventricular rate (uncontrolled [>110 bpm] ventricular rate is not allowed [determined by an average of three beats in Lead II or three representative beats if Lead II is not representative of the overall EKG]).
- 5
9. Peripheral neuropathy assessed as National Cancer Institute-Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (NCI-CTCAE) Grade 2 with pain, Grade 3, or Grade 4.
- 10
10. Subject is receiving oral or IV antibiotics, antifungals or antivirals within 1 week of Month 1-Day 1 with the exception of prophylactic oral agents.
11. Prior treatment with hematopoietic growth factors, transfusions of blood or blood products within 1 week of Month 1-Day 1.
- 15
12. Prior radiotherapy within 4 weeks of Month 1-Day 1.
13. Major surgery within 4 weeks of Month 1-Day 1 or planned major surgery during the study.
14. Active malignancy with the exception of any of the following:
- Adequately treated basal cell carcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma, or in situ cervical cancer.
 - Adequately treated Stage I cancer from which the subject is currently in remission and has been in remission for 2 years.
 - Low-risk prostate cancer with Gleason score < 7 and prostate-specific antigen < 10 mg/mL.
 - Any other cancer from which the subject has been disease-free for ≥ 2 years.
- 20
15. History of severe allergy to any of the components of birtamimab such as histidine/L histidine hydrochloride monohydrate, trehalose dehydrate, or polysorbate 20 or history of Grade ≥ 3 infusion-related AEs or hypersensitivity to another monoclonal antibody, or known hypersensitivity to diphenhydramine (or an equivalent H1 antihistamine) or acetaminophen (or its equivalent, paracetamol).
- 25
- 30

16. Known or history of uncontrolled, active HIV, hepatitis B or hepatitis C infection.
17. Prior treatment with plasma cell-directed chemotherapy, birtamimab, daratumumab, 11-1F4, anti-serum amyloid P antibody, doxycycline for
5 amyloid, or other investigational treatment directed at amyloid.
18. Treatment with another investigational agent within 30 days of Month 1-Day 1.
19. Women who are pregnant or lactating.
20. Any condition which could interfere with, or the treatment for which
10 might interfere with, the conduct of the study or which would, in the opinion of the Investigator, unacceptably increase the subject's risk by participating in the study.
21. Subject is under legal custodianship.
22. History of epilepsy or seizure disorder with the exception of childhood
15 febrile seizures.
23. Waldenström's macroglobulinemia and/or immunoglobulin M (IgM) monoclonal gammopathy.

Study Drug: Study drug consists of birtamimab (24 mg/kg) supplied as a
20 sterile, lyophilized dosage form in a 20/25 mL vial containing 500 mg birtamimab. Each vial is reconstituted with 9.6 mL sterile water for injection (WFI) to a concentration of 50 mg/mL resulting in a buffered, isotonic, preservative-free solution. Study drug is administered once every 28 days as an initial 120 ($\pm$ 10)-minute IV infusion. If the subject tolerates the initial infusion, subsequent infusions may be
25 administered over 60 ($\pm$ 10) minutes. The length of the infusion may be extended over a longer period of time if and when it is clinically indicated. A minimum of 21 days between doses is required.

Premedication: All subjects are premedicated for each dose of study drug with
25 mg diphenhydramine (or an equivalent dose of a H1 antihistamine) and 650 mg
30 acetaminophen (or an equivalent paracetamol dose) within 30-90 minutes prior to study drug administration.

Standard of Care Chemotherapy: All subjects receive concomitant standard of care chemotherapy, which must include bortezomib administered subcutaneously on a weekly basis for the initial, first-line chemotherapy regimen. Subsequent

chemotherapy regimens may be prescribed as per standard of care at the Investigator's discretion. Antiviral prophylaxis is required.

Statistical Considerations

5 Analysis Populations. The Intent-to-Treat (ITT) Population includes all subjects with Mayo Stage IV AL amyloidosis who receive any amount of study drug. The ITT Population is the primary population used for efficacy and safety analyses.

 Efficacy Analyses. Primary Analysis - The primary endpoint is time to all-cause mortality. For all-cause mortality, all deaths occurring after the first infusion of study drug (Study Day 1) through the study's last subject last visit (LSLV) are
10 included. Using an exponential survival model, the estimated survival percentage at 9 months is estimated. Using an exact binomial test, the estimated survival percentage is compared to the historical control value of 49%.

 Key Secondary Efficacy Analyses – If the primary analysis concludes in favor
15 of birtamimab, then the data for the placebo plus SOC Mayo Stage IV subjects from Study NEOD001 CL0002 [VITAL] is used to compare: (1) Change from baseline to Month 9 in the PCS score of the SF-36v2, and/or (2) Change from baseline to Month 9 in the 6MWT distance (meters). For both of these variables, change from baseline to Month 9 and 95% confidence intervals are computed. If the lower bounds of the
20 confidence intervals are greater than the observed values from the placebo group in VITAL, then the study concludes that that birtamimab is superior to control.

Table 7: Schedule of Events

Assessments	Screening ¹		Month 1		Month 2		Month 3		Months 6, 9, 12, etc. (Every 3 rd Month)	All Other Months	EOT/ETD ³
	Day -28 to Day -1	Day -2 or Day -1	Day 1	Days 8, 15, 22 (±2)	Day 1 (±2)	Days 8 ² , 15, 22 ² (±2)	Day 1 (±2)	Days 8 ² , 15 ² , 22 ² (±2)	Day 1 (±5)	Day 1 (±5)	
Written Informed Consent	X										
Eligibility Review	X										

Assessments	Screening ¹		Month 1		Month 2		Month 3		Months 6, 9, 12, etc. (Every 3 rd Month)	All Other Months	EOT/ETD ³
	Day -28 to Day -1	Day -2 or Day -1	Day 1	Days 8, 15, 22 (±2)	Day 1 (±2)	Days 8 ² , 15, 22 ² (±2)	Day 1 (±2)	Days 8 ² , 15 ² , 22 ² (±2)	Day 1 (±5)	Day 1 (±5)	
Medical History ⁴	X										
Confirmation of AL Amyloidosis ⁵	X										
SF-36v2 Health Survey ⁶		X			X		X		X		X
Echocardiogram	X ⁷								Every 6 th mo ⁷		X ⁷
12-lead Triplicate ECG ⁸	X		X		X		X		X		X
Complete PE ⁹	X										X
Symptom-Directed PE ¹⁰			X		X		X		X	X	
NYHA Class	X		X		X		X		X	X	X
Local Laboratory											
Hematology & Chemistry ¹¹				X	X	X ¹²	X	X ¹²	X	X	
Serum/Urine Pregnancy (WOCBP)			X ¹³		X ¹⁴		X ¹⁴		X ¹⁴	X ¹⁴	X ¹⁵
Central Laboratory¹⁶											
Hematology & Chemistry	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Amylase	X	X			X		X		X	X	X
Coagulation ¹⁷		X			X		X		X	X	X
Complements C ₃ , C ₄		X					X		X		X
Troponin T	X	X			X		X		X	X	X
NT-proBNP ¹⁸	X	X			X		X		X	X	X
Tryptase		X									
Serum Free Light Chains (sFLCs)	X	X			X		X		X	X	X

Assessments	Screening ¹		Month 1		Month 2		Month 3		Months 6, 9, 12, etc. (Every 3 rd Month)	All Other Months	EOT/ETD ³
	Day -28 to Day -1	Day -2 or Day -1	Day 1	Days 8, 15, 22 (±2)	Day 1 (±2)	Days 8 ² , 15, 22 ² (±2)	Day 1 (±2)	Days 8 ² , 15 ² , 22 ² (±2)	Day 1 (±5)	Day 1 (±5)	
Serum Pregnancy (WOCBP)	X										X
Urinalysis	X	X			X		X		X	X	X
6-Minute Walk Test (6MWT) ¹⁹	X ²⁰	X ²⁰					X		X		X
Bioanalytical Laboratory											
Anti-birtamimab Antibody Sample (pre-dose)			X		X		X		X	X	X
Archive Sample (pre-dose) ²¹			X		X		X		X	X	X
Premedication Administration ²²			X		X		X		X	X	
Vital Signs ²³	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Study Drug Infusion ²⁴			X		X		X		X	X	
Chemotherapy & Antiviral Prophylaxis ²⁵			X ²⁶	X ²⁶	X ²⁶	X ^{26,27}	X ²⁶	X ²⁷	X ²⁶	X ²⁶	
Adverse Event Assessment	X	X	X	X	X	X ¹²	X	X ¹²	X	X	X ²⁸
Concomitant Medications	X	X	X	X	X	X ¹²	X	X ¹²	X	X	X
Vital Status Assessment											X ²⁹

BP = blood pressure; ECG = electrocardiogram; EOI = end of infusion; EOT = End of Treatment; ETD = Early Treatment Discontinuation; HR = heart rate; NT proBNP = N terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide; NYHA = New York Heart Association; PE = physical exam; RR = respiratory rate; SC = subcutaneous; 6MWT = 6-minute walk test; SF 36v2 = Short Form-36 Version 2; ULN = upper limit of normal; WOCBP = women of childbearing potential.

Table 7 Footnotes

1. The 28-day Screening period may be extended upon approval by the Medical Monitor. Individual test results that do not meet eligibility requirements may be repeated, with the exception of 6MWT; full rescreening is allowed once per subject.
- 5 2. Cycle 2-Days 8 and 22 and Cycle 3-Days 8, 15 and 22 bortezomib-containing chemotherapy should be administered by the Investigator at the study site if subject had significant toxicity; otherwise, it may be administered by local physician at Investigator's discretion.
3. EOT/ETD Visit to occur 28-35 days after the last study drug administration.
- 10 4. Obtain comprehensive cardiac, hematologic, and oncologic medical history; additionally, for all other conditions obtain relevant medical history for the past 5 years (including all major hospitalizations and surgeries), as well as the subject's current medical status.
5. Results from mass spectrometry tissue typing, immunoelectron microscopy,
15 gene sequencing, and/or 99mTc scintigraphy must be obtained prior to randomization to assess eligibility for subjects identified in Inclusion Criterion #5.
6. At visits where the SF-36v2 (Appendix 4) is to be administered, the SF-36v2 needs to be administered prior to the performance of any other study assessments on that day.
- 20 7. If an echocardiogram has been conducted within 90 days prior to Screening Day -28, it does not need to be repeated during Screening and the previous result can be used for eligibility. After Screening, perform echocardiograms every 6 months within 10 days prior to Day 1; repeat at EOT/ETD if not performed within 60 days prior to visit. To be eligible for the additional cardiac imaging analysis, the subject
25 must have had a 4-chamber view, 2-dimensional echocardiogram with Doppler.
8. ECG to be performed in triplicate as follows: Month 1-Day 1: within 30 minutes before dosing and 1 hour (± 15 min) post-EOI; All Other Visits (Months 1, 2, 3 and every 3 months starting at Month 6): within 30 minutes before dosing or any time on non-infusion days. Medications given for prophylaxis chemotherapy-induced
30 side effects should not be administered prior to completion of the postinfusion ECG.
9. Complete PE includes height (Screening only), weight, and examination of the following: general appearance; head, ears, eyes, nose, and throat; neck; skin; cardiovascular system; respiratory system; gastrointestinal system; and nervous system. Assess macroglossia, submandibular nodes/fullness, adenopathy,

ecchymoses, liver/spleen size (palpable +/-), ascites (+/-), and edema (which should be quantified on a scale of 0-4).

10. Symptom-directed PE should be as clinically indicated and also include weight, and assessment of macroglossia, submandibular nodes/fullness, adenopathy,
5 ecchymoses, liver/spleen size (palpable +/-), ascites (+/-), and edema (which should be quantified on a scale of 0-4).
11. Local laboratory results for hematology and chemistry will be used for subject management and should be reviewed for safety assessment prior to administration of chemotherapy but will not be collected in the electronic case report forms or the
10 clinical database.
12. Perform only if subject returns to study site for this visit.
13. Use local lab for serum pregnancy test within 24 hours prior to Month 1-Day 1 study drug administration.
14. Obtain local urine pregnancy test prior to study drug administration.
- 15 15. Obtain local laboratory serum pregnancy test 90 ($\pm$ 5) days after the last study drug administration.
16. Collect central laboratory samples before 6MWT, if being performed on the same day.
17. Collect PT/INR and PTT at each time point.
- 20 18. NT-proBNP should be drawn before conducting 6MWT if being performed on the same calendar day.
19. Subjects should plan to be able to return to the same clinical site for each 6MWT from first Screening through Month 9. The postbaseline 6MWT may be administered on the same calendar day that study drug is administered (i.e., Months 3,
25 6, 9, etc.) as long as the NT-proBNP sample is drawn before conducting the 6MWT and the 6MWT is completed before initiation of the study drug infusion. Collect BP and HR pre- and post-6MWT administration.
20. The first Screening 6MWT must be performed between Days -28 and -5, at least 4 days prior to the second Screening 6MWT, which should be performed within
30 2 days prior to the Month 1-Day 1 visit (i.e., on Day -2 or Day -1).
21. Archive serum samples will only be collected from those subjects who have consented to the collection and archiving of their samples for future correlative testing.

22. All subjects are to receive 25 mg diphenhydramine (or an equivalent dose of a H1 antihistamine) and 650 mg acetaminophen (or an equivalent paracetamol dose) within 30 90 minutes prior to the start of infusion.
23. Vital signs include BP, HR, RR, and temperature; assess in same position for
5 all time points after the subject has been at rest for ≥ 5 minutes. Pre-dose assessments should be performed after administration of premedication. Screening and non-infusion days: any time; Month 1-Day 1: Within 30 minutes before dosing, halfway through infusion (i.e., approximately 60 minutes after the start of the infusion), immediately at EOI (+10 min), 0.5 hour (± 10 min) post-EOI, and 1 hour (± 10 min)
10 post-EOI. All Other Months-Day 1: Within 30 minutes before dosing, EOI (+10 min), and 1 hour (± 10 min) post-EOI.
24. Subjects should be closely monitored for 90 (± 10) minutes following completion of the study drug infusion. The Investigator may increase this standard monitoring time if deemed appropriate or per local standards. In the event of any
15 clinical concerns or suspicious signs or symptoms after the infusion, the subject will remain under observation for as long as the Investigator deems it appropriate.
25. First-line chemotherapy must be a bortezomib-containing regimen, with bortezomib administered weekly, SC, according to the approved prescribing information and local institutional practices. Antiviral prophylaxis is required. When
20 chemotherapy is administered on same day as study drug, the chemotherapy must be administered AFTER the post-study drug infusion observation period. Number of first-line chemotherapy cycles and subsequent chemotherapy regimens will be administered per standard of care at the Investigator's discretion.
26. Bortezomib must be administered at the study site for Cycle 1-Days 1, 8, 15,
25 and 22; Cycle 2-Days 1 and 15; and on Day 1 of subsequent cycles, after review of local labs, study drug administration, and the post-study drug infusion observation period.
27. Cycle 2-Days 8 and 22, and Cycle 3-Days 8, 15, and 22 chemotherapy may be administered by local physician with a Homecare visit by a Prothena-sponsored
30 healthcare professional to the subject within 1 day prior to or pre-dose on the day of each bortezomib administration to obtain vital signs, blood samples for central laboratory testing, and bioanalytical samples (if applicable). If bortezomib is administered on a Monday, the Homecare visit may occur on the previous Friday. If significant toxicity occurs during Cycle 1, subject should return to the study site for

Cycle 2 and Cycle 3 visits until Investigator deems it appropriate for local administration.

28. New SAEs occurring beyond the EOT/ETD Visit or >28 days after the last administration of study drug, whichever is later, will be reported to the Sponsor or its designee only if, in the judgement of the Investigator, the SAE is associated with any protocol intervention (i.e., related to study procedure or previous study drug exposure).

29. For all subjects who received a dose of study drug: Conduct vital status assessment approximately 3 months after the subject's last visit and approximately every 3 months thereafter.

Table 8: Schedule of Events For Subjects Who Discontinue Study Drug Early but Agree to Return For Assessments After the ETD Visit

Assessments	Months 3, 6, 9, 12 Day 1 (± 5) ¹	Every Third Month After Month 12 ² (e.g., Months 15, 18, 21) Day 1 (± 5)	Every 3 Months after Last Visit
SF-36v2 Health Survey ³	X		
Echocardiogram	Months 6 and 12 ⁴		
12-lead Triplicate ECG ⁵	X		
Symptom-Directed PE ⁶	X		
NYHA Class	X		
Local Laboratory			
Serum Pregnancy (WOCBP)	X ⁷		
Central Laboratory⁸			
Hematology & Chemistry	X		
Amylase	X		
Coagulation ⁹	X		
Complements C ₃ , C ₄	X		
Troponin T	X		
NT-proBNP	X		
Serum Free Light Chains (sFLCs)	X		
Urinalysis	X		
6-Minute Walk Test (6MWT)	X	X ¹⁰	
Bioanalytical Laboratory			

Assessments	Months 3, 6, 9, 12 Day 1 (± 5) ¹	Every Third Month After Month 12 ² (e.g., Months 15, 18, 21) Day 1 (± 5)	Every 3 Months after Last Visit
Anti-NEOD001 Antibody Sample ¹¹	X		
Vital Signs	X ¹²	X ¹⁰	
Adverse Event Assessment	X ¹³	X ^{10, 13}	
Concomitant Medications	X	X ¹⁰	
Health Status & Hospitalizations	X ¹⁴	X ^{10, 14}	X ^{10, 13, 14}
Vital Status Assessment			X ¹⁵

BP = blood pressure; ECG = electrocardiogram; ETD = Early Treatment Discontinuation; HR = heart rate; NT proBNP = N terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide; NYHA = New York Heart Association; PE = physical exam; RR = respiratory rate; 6MWT = 6-minute walk test; SF 36v2 = Short Form-36 Version 2; WOCBP = women of childbearing potential.

5

Table 8 Footnotes

1. If a subject discontinues study drug prior to the end of the study, but is willing to continue to participate in study visits, the subject should have an ETD Visit within 28-35 days after the last study drug administration and then have assessments performed every third month (i.e., Months 3, 6, 9, and 12, or whatever remains of these visits). All visits after the ETD Visit should occur on schedule, that is, at the time when the visit would have occurred had the subject remained on study drug.
2. If subject is willing to return to the study site, otherwise, subjects will receive vital status.
3. Administer SF-36v2 prior to the performance of any other study assessments on the day it is administered.
4. Perform echocardiograms within 10 days prior to Day 1 of Months 6 and 12.
5. ECG to be performed in triplicate.
6. Symptom-directed PE should be as clinically indicated and also include weight, and assessment of macroglossia, submandibular nodes/fullness, adenopathy, ecchymoses, liver/spleen size (palpable +/-), ascites (+/-), and edema (which should be quantified on a scale of 0-4).
7. Obtain local laboratory serum pregnancy test 90 (± 5) days after the last study drug administration.

8. Collect central laboratory samples before 6MWT, if being performed on the same day.
9. Collect PT/INF and PTT at each time point.
10. After Month 12, if the subject is willing to return to the study site, perform or
5 collect the following every third month (e.g., Months 15, 18, 21): 6MWT (which includes BP and HR pre- and post-6MWT administration), adverse events, concomitant medications, overall health status, as well as details of any hospitalizations.
11. Collect if an earlier sample established the presence of anti-NEOD001
10 antibodies or if a subject discontinued treatment due to a suspected immunologic reaction.
12. Collect BP, HR, RR, and temperature any time during visit.
13. New SAEs occurring beyond the EOT/ETD Visit or >28 days after the last administration of study drug, whichever is later, will be reported to the Sponsor or its
15 designee only if, in the judgement of the Investigator, the SAE is associated with any protocol intervention (i.e., related to study procedure or previous study drug exposure).
14. All hospitalizations and deaths occurring during this period need to be reported to the Sponsor or its designee.
- 20 15. Conduct a vital status assessment approximately 3 months after the subject's last visit and approximately every 3 months thereafter.

**Example 7 – A Phase 3, Randomized, Multicenter, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled, Efficacy and Safety Study of Birtamimab (NEOD001) Plus Standard
25 of Care vs. Placebo Plus Standard of Care in Mayo Stage IV Subjects with Light Chain (AL) Amyloidosis.**

The primary objective of the study (NEOD001-301) is to evaluate the efficacy of birtamimab plus standard of care when administered intravenously in Mayo Stage IV subjects with AL amyloidosis by assessing time to all-cause mortality where the
30 subjects have not previously received nor will be concomitantly receiving daratumumab. Secondary objectives are to evaluate birtamimab plus standard of care on the following: (1) change from baseline to month 9 in health related quality of life using the Short Form 36 questionnaire (SF-36v2), and (2) change from baseline to month 9 in the 6 Minute Walk Test (6MWT) distance (meters).

Newly diagnosed Mayo Stage IV subjects with AL amyloidosis receive birtamimab plus local standard of care chemotherapy. The initial first-line chemotherapy regimen must include bortezomib. Subjects remain on study until study completion, which occurs when approximately 16 primary endpoint events (all-cause mortality) have been reached or 62 subjects have completed 9 months of treatment. If the subject discontinues study drug prior to the end of the study, but is willing to continue to participate in study visits, the subject should have an Early Treatment Discontinuation (ETD) visit within 28-35 days after the last study drug administration and then have assessments every third month (see Table 8 above). All visits after the ETD Visit should occur on schedule, that is, at the time when the visit would have occurred had the subject remained on study drug.

Subject screening will occur during the 28 days prior to the first administration of study drug on Month 1-Day 1. The screening period may be extended upon approval by the Medical Monitor. Screening assessments are listed in Table 7 (above), herein.

Two screening 6MWTs are required before the first administration of study drug. The first screening 6MWT is required to be performed between Days -28 and 5, at least 4 days prior to the second Screening 6MWT, which should be performed within 2 days prior to Month 1 Day 1. The postbaseline 6MWTs may be performed on the same day as study drug administration and must be completed prior to study drug infusion. If all eligibility requirements are met, Month 1-Day 1 assessments are completed, and treatment is initiated. Each visit is denoted by its “month” and “day” such that the first study drug infusion day is denoted as Month 1-Day 1; subsequent months use sequential numbers (e.g., the second dose is administered on Month 2-Day 1). “Cycle” is reserved to denote administration of chemotherapy. Assessment and visit windows are described in the Schedule of Events (Table 7). Each month, subjects receive their study drug infusion on Day 1 at the study site. For Months 1 through 3, subjects are assessed weekly, although not all visits are required to be at the study site. For Month 3 and all subsequent months until the end of the study, subjects are only required to return to the study site every 28 days for Day 1 dosing of study drug.

First-line chemotherapy must be a bortezomib-containing regimen, with bortezomib administered subcutaneously (SC), weekly. The first administration of chemotherapy, including bortezomib, is administered after Month 1 Day 1 study drug

administration (following the post-study drug infusion observation period) such that Month 1-Day 1 of the study is equivalent to Cycle 1 Day 1 of chemotherapy. In addition to the visits outlined above, during the first cycle of chemotherapy, the subject must return to the study site for each weekly administration of bortezomib and for assessments prior to the administrations. During the second and third cycles of chemotherapy, bortezomib must be administered at the study site during the Month 2-Day 1, Month 2-Day 15, and Month 3-Day 1 visits (i.e., Cycle 2 Day 1, Cycle 2-Day 15, and Cycle 3-Day 1, respectively). If, for any reason in the opinion of the Investigator, the subject should continue to be seen weekly at the study site (e.g., toxicity that appears to exceed the anticipated side effects of the chemotherapy), then the other Cycle 2 and Cycle 3 weekly bortezomib administrations may be performed at the study site, as well. At the Investigator's discretion, if the subject is not experiencing any unanticipated or significant toxicity, the subject may be administered the Cycle 2-Days 8 and 22 and the Cycle 3 Days 8, 15 and 22 bortezomib by their local physician, rather than by the Investigator. Within 1 day prior to or on the day of each administration of bortezomib by the local physician, a healthcare professional must obtain pre-dose vital signs and central laboratory samples. However, if bortezomib is administered on a Monday (or there is an intervening holiday), then it is acceptable for the Homecare visit to take place on the previous Friday.

In the event that bortezomib doses are missed, the chemotherapy cycles may become misaligned with the monthly study drug dosing. In this case, the weekly visits during Months 1 through 3 should continue as described above in order to closely monitor subjects' health during the initial months of concomitant chemotherapy. Throughout the study, monthly doses of study drug should not be delayed or skipped due to adjustments that are made to chemotherapy dosing.

Safety and efficacy assessments are performed at each visit.

1. Aged ≥ 18 years.
2. Newly diagnosed and AL amyloidosis treatment naïve.
3. Bone marrow demonstrating clonal plasma cells.
4. Confirmed diagnosis of AL amyloidosis by the following:
 - Histochemical diagnosis of amyloidosis determined by polarizing light microscopy of green birefringent material in Congo red-stained tissue specimens OR characteristic electron microscopy appearance,

AND

- Confirmatory immunohistochemistry OR mass spectroscopy of AL amyloidosis.

5. Confirmed diagnosis of AL amyloidosis by mass spectrometry or immunoelectron microscopy of amyloid material in tissue biopsy if the subject meets any of the following:

- Is black or African American.
- Is over 75 years of age with concurrent monoclonal gammopathy.
- Has a history of familial amyloidosis and has concurrent monoclonal gammopathy.

OR

- If the subject meets any of the above 3 conditions and has echocardiographic evidence of amyloidosis, biopsy-proven amyloidosis with a monoclonal gammopathy and no tissue is available for mass spectrometry or immunoelectron microscopy, the subject must have gene sequencing consistent with transthyretin (TTR) wild type (e.g., no TTR mutation present) AND must score 0 in technetium-99m-3,3-diphosphono-1,2 propanodicarboxylic acid (99mTc DPD; Rapezzi 2011), hydroxymethylenediphosphonate (99mTc HMDP; Galat 2015), or pyrophosphate (99mTc PYP; Bokhari 2013) scintigraphy.

6. Cardiac involvement as defined by all of the following:

- Past documented or presently noted clinical signs and symptoms supportive of a diagnosis of heart failure in the setting of a confirmed diagnosis of AL amyloidosis in the absence of an alternative explanation for heart failure.
- Either an endomyocardial biopsy demonstrating AL amyloidosis or an echocardiogram demonstrating a mean left ventricular wall thickness at diastole > 12 mm in the absence of other causes (e.g., severe hypertension, aortic stenosis), which would adequately explain the degree of wall thickening.

7. Confirmed Mayo Stage IV as defined by:

- NT-proBNP $\geq$ 1800 pg/mL, and

- Troponin-T > 0.03 ng/mL, and
 - dFLC $\geq$ 18 mg/dL.
8. Planned first-line chemotherapy contains bortezomib administered subcutaneously (SC) weekly.
- 5 9. Adequate bone marrow reserve, hepatic function, and renal function, as demonstrated by:
- Absolute neutrophil count (ANC) $\geq 1.0 \times 10^9/L$.
 - Platelet count $\geq 75 \times 10^9/L$.
 - Hemoglobin ≥ 9 g/dL.
- 10
- Total bilirubin ≤ 2 times the upper limit of normal ($\times$ ULN).
 - Aspartate aminotransferase (AST)/serum glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase (SGOT) $\leq 3 \times$ ULN.
 - Alanine aminotransferase (ALT)/serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase (SGPT) $\leq 3 \times$ ULN.
- 15
- Alkaline phosphatase (ALP) $\leq 5 \times$ ULN (except for subjects with hepatomegaly and isozymes specific to liver, rather than bone).
 - Estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) ≥ 30 mL/min/1.73 m² as estimated by the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI) equation.
- 20
10. Seated systolic blood pressure 90-180 mmHg.
11. Distance walked during each Screening 6MWT is ≥ 30 meters and ≤ 550 meters.
12. Women of childbearing potential (WOCBP) must have two negative pregnancy tests during Screening, the second within 24 hours prior to the first administration of study drug, and must agree to use highly effective physician-
- 25 approved contraception from Screening to 90 days following the last study drug administration.
13. Male subjects must be surgically sterile or must agree to use highly effective physician-approved contraception from Screening to 90 days
- 30 following the last study drug administration.
14. Ability to understand and willingness to sign an informed consent form prior to initiation of any study procedures.

Exclusion Criteria (subjects must meet none of the following criteria):

1. Non-AL amyloidosis.
2. NT-proBNP > 8,500 pg/mL.
3. Meets the International Myeloma Working Group (IMWG) definition
5 of Multiple Myeloma.
*Note that subjects who meet the IMWG definition of symptomatic multiple myeloma with signs and/or symptoms attributable only to associated amyloidosis are potentially eligible upon approval of the Sponsor.
- 10 4. Subject is eligible for and plans to undergo ASCT or organ transplant during the study.
5. Symptomatic orthostatic hypotension that in the medical judgment of the Investigator would interfere with subject's ability to safely receive treatment or complete study assessments.
- 15 6. Myocardial infarction, uncontrolled angina, severe uncontrolled ventricular arrhythmias, or electrocardiographic (ECG) evidence of acute ischemia, within 6 months prior to the Month 1-Day 1 Visit.
7. Severe valvular stenosis (e.g. aortic or mitral stenosis with a valve area <1.0 cm²) or severe congenital heart disease.
- 20 8. ECG evidence of acute ischemia or active conduction system abnormalities with the exception of any of the following:
 - First degree AV-block.
 - Second degree AV-block Type 1 (Mobitz Type 1 / Wenckebach type).
 - Right or left bundle branch block.
 - 25 • Atrial fibrillation with a controlled ventricular rate (uncontrolled [>110 bpm] ventricular rate is not allowed [determined by an average of three beats in Lead II or three representative beats if Lead II is not representative of the overall EKG]).
9. Peripheral neuropathy assessed as National Cancer Institute-Common
30 Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (NCI-CTCAE) Grade 2 with pain, Grade 3, or Grade 4.

10. Subject is receiving oral or IV antibiotics, antifungals or antivirals within 1 week of Month 1-Day 1 with the exception of prophylactic oral agents.
11. Prior treatment with hematopoietic growth factors, transfusions of blood or blood products within 1 week of Month 1-Day 1.
12. Prior radiotherapy within 4 weeks of Month 1-Day 1.
13. Major surgery within 4 weeks of Month 1-Day 1 or planned major surgery during the study.
14. Active malignancy with the exception of any of the following:
- Adequately treated basal cell carcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma, or in situ cervical cancer.
 - Adequately treated Stage I cancer from which the subject is currently in remission and has been in remission for 2 years.
 - Low-risk prostate cancer with Gleason score < 7 and prostate-specific antigen < 10 mg/mL.
 - Any other cancer from which the subject has been disease-free for ≥ 2 years.
15. History of severe allergy to any of the components of birtamimab such as histidine/L histidine hydrochloride monohydrate, trehalose dehydrate, or polysorbate 20 or history of Grade ≥ 3 infusion-related AEs or hypersensitivity to another monoclonal antibody, or known hypersensitivity to diphenhydramine (or an equivalent H1 antihistamine) or acetaminophen (or its equivalent, paracetamol).
16. Known or history of uncontrolled, active HIV, hepatitis B or hepatitis C infection.
17. Prior treatment with plasma cell-directed chemotherapy, birtamimab, daratumumab, 11-1F4, anti-serum amyloid P antibody, doxycycline for amyloid, or other investigational treatment directed at amyloid
18. Treatment with another investigational agent within 30 days of Month 1-Day 1.
19. Women who are pregnant or lactating.
20. Any condition which could interfere with, or the treatment for which might interfere with, the conduct of the study or which would, in the opinion

of the Investigator, unacceptably increase the subject's risk by participating in the study.

21. Subject is under legal custodianship.

22. History of epilepsy or seizure disorder with the exception of childhood febrile seizures.

23. Waldenström's macroglobulinemia and/or immunoglobulin M (IgM) monoclonal gammopathy.

Study Drug: Study drug consists of birtamimab (24 mg/kg) or placebo.

The

active study drug, birtamimab, is supplied as a sterile, single-use, lyophilized dosage form in a 20/25 mL vial containing 500 mg/vial birtamimab. Each vial will be reconstituted with 9.6 mL sterile water for injection to a concentration of 50 mg/mL

resulting in a buffered, isotonic, preservative-free solution. Birtamimab will be prepared in a 250-mL intravenous bag of 0.9% saline. For subjects who are randomized to placebo, an IV infusion of 250 mL of 0.9% saline will be administered. All subjects will receive a flush of approximately 30mL. Study drug will be administered once every 28 days as an initial 120 ($\pm$ 10)-minute intravenous infusion, including flush. If the subject tolerates the initial infusion, subsequent infusions may be administered over 60 ($\pm$ 10) minutes. The length of the infusion may be extended over a longer period of time if and when it is clinically indicated. A minimum of 21 days between doses is required.

Premedication: All subjects will be premedicated for each dose of study drug with 25 mg diphenhydramine (or an equivalent dose of an H1 antihistamine) and 650 mg acetaminophen (or an equivalent paracetamol dose) within 30 to 90 minutes prior to study drug administration.

Standard of Care Chemotherapy: All subjects will receive concomitant standard of care chemotherapy, which must include bortezomib administered subcutaneously on a weekly basis for the initial, first-line chemotherapy regimen. Subsequent chemotherapy regimens may be prescribed as per standard of care, at the Investigator's discretion. Antiviral prophylaxis is required.

The use of daratumumab is not allowed on study.

Statistical Considerations

Analysis Populations. The Intent to Treat (ITT) Population and the Safety
 5 Population will include all randomized subjects with Mayo Stage IV AL amyloidosis
 who receive any amount of study drug (birtamimab or placebo). The ITT Population
 will be the primary population used for efficacy analyses. The Safety Population will
 be the primary population used for safety analyses.

Efficacy Analyses. The primary endpoint is time to all-cause mortality. For
 10 all-cause mortality, all deaths occurring after the first infusion of study drug (i.e.,
 Study Day 1) through the study's last subject last visit will be included. The
 distribution of the time to all-cause mortality will be summarized using the Kaplan-
 Meier method. Using a log-rank test, birtamimab and the placebo control will be
 compared at a significance level of 0.0984.

15 Secondary Efficacy Analyses - If the primary analysis is statistically
 significant ($p < 0.0984$) in favor of birtamimab, the following key secondary
 endpoints will be analyzed using a fixed-sequence testing procedure in the
 order specified below to control the overall level of significance:

- Change from baseline to Month 9 in the PCS score of the SF-36v2
 20 Study
 - Change from baseline to Month 9 in the 6MWT distance (meters)
- The SF-36v2 PCS score change from baseline at Month 9 will be
 analyzed using a restricted maximum likelihood (REML) based mixed-
 effect model for repeated measures (MMRM) model including fixed
 25 effects for treatment group, categorical time point, and the treatment
 group $\times$ time point interaction, and with the baseline value included as
 a covariate. The unstructured covariance model will be used.
- The 6MWT distance (meters) change from baseline at Month 9 will be
 analyzed using a REML based MMRM model including fixed effects
 30 for randomization stratification (< 300 meters vs. ≥ 300 meters) and
 treatment group, categorical time point, and the treatment group $\times$ time
 point interaction, and with the baseline value included as a covariate.

Example 8. Mortality based on NYHA classes

The analysis below was conducted because daratumumab is not recommended, per the label, for AL amyloidosis for NYHA class 3b and 4, or Mayo stage 3b patients. Table 9 is limited to Mayo Stage IV patients and Table 10 includes all patients. Treatment of patients with birtamimab appears to reduce mortality at 9 months in NYHA class 3+ patients. This result appears to be more profound in Mayo Stage IV patients with NYHA class 3. For example, 3 deaths out of 8 patients on birtamimab and 9 deaths out of 11 patients on PBO.

Table 9.

VITAL Mayo Stage IV 9 Months NYHA Class N (%)	Birtamimab N = 38	Control N = 39	Total N = 77
I = No symptoms and no limitation in ordinary physical activity	2 (5.3%) No deaths	3 (7.7%) 1 death	5 (6.5%) 1 death
II = Mild symptoms and slight limitation during ordinary activity	24 (63.2%) ¹ 6 deaths (25%) ²	23 (59.0%) 10 deaths (43.5%)	47 (61.0%) 16 deaths (34%)
III = Marked limitation in activity due to symptoms; comfortable only at rest	8 (21.1%) 3 deaths (37.5%)	11 (28.2%) 9 deaths (81.8%)	19 (24.7%) 12 deaths (63.2%)
Missing or Unknown	4 (10.5%) 1 death	2 (5.1%) No deaths	6 (7.8%) 1 death

¹Percent of total birtamimab or control patients ²Percent of birtamimab or control patients by NYHA Class

Table 10.

VITAL 9 Months NYHA Class N (%)	Birtamimab N = 130	Control N = 130	Total N = 260
I/II	101 (77.7%) ¹ 19 deaths (18.8%) ²	106 (81.5%) 27 deaths (25.5%)	207 (79.6%) 46 deaths (22.2%)
III/IV	20 (15.4%) 7 deaths (35%)	22 (16.9%)	42 (16.2%)

		9 deaths (40.9%)	16 deaths (38.1%)
Missing/unknown	9 (6.9%) 3 deaths	2 (1.5%) No deaths	11 (4.2%) 3 deaths

¹Percent of total birtamimab or control patients ²Percent of birtamimab or control patients by NYHA Class

OTHER EMBODIMENTS

- 5 It is to be understood that while the invention has been described in conjunction with the detailed description thereof, the foregoing description is intended to illustrate and not limit the scope of the invention, which is defined by the scope of the appended claims. Other aspects, advantages, and modification are within the scope of the following claims.

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1. A method of treating a patient having AL amyloidosis, comprising:
 - (a) determining:
 - (i) the Mayo Stage of the patient's AL amyloidosis, or
 - (ii) the 6 minute walk test distance (6MWD) and ejection fraction (EF) of the patient, or
 - (iii) the Mayo Stage and the EF of the patient; and
 - (b) selecting the patient for treatment with an antibody which competes for binding to human amyloid A peptide or human kappa or lambda light chain immunoglobulin with 2A4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9662) or 7D8 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9468), or competes for binding to kappa light chain immunoglobulin with 11-1F4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-105) if the patient:
 - (i) has Mayo Stage IV AL amyloidosis; or
 - (ii) has a 6MWD ≥ 30 meters and ≤ 550 meters; or
 - (iii) has a 6MWD ≥ 150 meters and an EF $> 50\%$ at baseline; or
 - (iv) has Mayo Stage IV and a 6MWD ≥ 30 meters and ≤ 550 meters; or
 - (v) has Mayo Stage IV and EF $> 50\%$ at baseline; or
 - (vi) has Mayo Stage IV, a 6MWD ≥ 150 meters and an EF $> 50\%$ at baseline; and
 - (c) administering an effective dosage of the antibody, wherein the patient has not previously received or does not concomitantly receive daratumumab.
2. The method of claim 1, wherein the patient has Mayo Stage IV amyloidosis.
3. The method of claim 1, wherein the patient has a 6MWD ≥ 150 meters and an EF $> 50\%$.
4. The method of claim 1, wherein the patient has a 6MWD ≥ 30 meters and ≤ 550 meters.

5. The method of claim 2, wherein the patient has an EF > 50%.
6. The method of claim 5, wherein the patient has a 6MWD $\geq$ 150 meters.
7. The method of claim 2, wherein the patient has a 6MWD $\geq$ 30 meters and $\leq$ 550 meters.
8. A method of treating a patient having AL amyloidosis, comprising administering an effective dosage of an antibody which competes for binding to human amyloid A peptide or human kappa or lambda light chain immunoglobulin with 2A4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9662) or 7D8 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9468), or competes for binding to kappa light chain immunoglobulin with 11-1F4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-105), wherein the patient has (a) Mayo Stage IV AL amyloidosis, (b) a 6 minute walk distance (6MWD) $\geq$ 30 meters and $\leq$ 550 meters, (c) a 6MWD $\geq$ 150 meters and EF > 50%, (d) Mayo Stage IV and an EF > 50%, (e) Mayo Stage IV and a 6MWD $\geq$ 30 meters and $\leq$ 550 meters, or (f) Mayo Stage IV and a 6MWD $\geq$ 150 meters and EF > 50% and (g) the patient has not previously received or does not concomitantly receive daratumumab.
9. The method of claim 8, wherein the patient has Mayo Stage IV AL amyloidosis.
10. The method of claim 8, wherein the patient has a 6MWD $\geq$ 150 meters and an EF > 50%.
11. The method of claim 9, wherein the patient has a 6MWD $\geq$ 150 meters and an EF > 50%.
12. The method of claim 9, wherein the patient has an EF > 50%.
13. A method of treating a patient having Mayo Stage IV AL amyloidosis and having NYHA class III or NYHA class IV, the method comprising administering to the patient a therapeutically effective dosage of an antibody which competes for

binding to human amyloid A peptide or human kappa or lambda light chain immunoglobulin with 2A4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9662) or 7D8 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9468), or competes for binding to kappa light chain immunoglobulin with 11-1F4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-105) amount, wherein the patient has not previously received or does not concomitantly receive daratumumab.

14. The method of claim 13, wherein the patient's NYHA class is reduced by at least two classes.

15. The method of any one of claims 13 or 14, wherein the patient's NYHA class is assessed nine or more months after treatment.

16. A method of reducing the risk of mortality in a patient having Mayo Stage IV AL amyloidosis and having NYHA class III or NYHA class IV, the method comprising administering to the patient a therapeutically effective dosage of an antibody which competes for binding to human amyloid A peptide or human kappa or lambda light chain immunoglobulin with 2A4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9662) or 7D8 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9468), or competes for binding to kappa light chain immunoglobulin with 11-1F4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-105) amount, wherein the patient has not previously received or does not concomitantly receive daratumumab.

17. The method of claim 16, wherein the risk of mortality is all-cause mortality.

18. The method of claim 16, wherein the risk of mortality is cardiac mortality.

19. The method of any one of claims 16 to 18, wherein the risk of mortality is assessed nine or more months after treatment.

20. The method of any one of claims 16-19, wherein the risk of mortality is reduced by at least 15% as compared to the risk of mortality in a control population.

21. A method of reducing the risk of hospitalization in a patient having Mayo Stage IV AL amyloidosis, the method comprising administering to the patient a therapeutically effective dosage of an antibody which competes for binding to human amyloid A peptide or human kappa or lambda light chain immunoglobulin with 2A4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9662) or 7D8 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9468), or competes for binding to kappa light chain immunoglobulin with 11-1F4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-105) amount, wherein the patient has not previously received or does not concomitantly receive daratumumab.

22. The method of claim 21, wherein the risk of hospitalization in the patient is reduced by at least 20% as compared to the risk of hospitalization in a control population.

23. A method of increasing the number of days alive out of hospital (DAOH) in a patient Mayo Stage IV amyloidosis, the method comprising administering to the patient a therapeutically effective dosage of an antibody which competes for binding to human amyloid A peptide or human kappa or lambda light chain immunoglobulin with 2A4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9662) or 7D8 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9468), or competes for binding to kappa light chain immunoglobulin with 11-1F4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-105) amount, wherein the patient has not previously received or does not concomitantly receive daratumumab.

24. The method of claim 23, wherein the number of DAOH increases by at least 20 days after one month of treatment as compared to a control population.

25. The method of claim 23 or 24, wherein the number of DAOH increases by at least 100 days after six months of treatment as compared to DAOH in a control population.

26. The method of any one of claims 23-25, wherein the number of DAOH increases by at least 300 after twelve months of treatment as compared to DAOH in a control population.

27. The method of any one of claims 23-26, wherein the number of DAOH increases by at least 600 after 24 months of treatment as compared to DAOH in a control population.

28. The method of any one of claims 23-27, wherein the number of DAOH increases by at least 900 after 48 months of treatment as compared to DAOH in a control population.

29. A method of reducing NTproBNP in a patient having Mayo Stage IV AL amyloidosis, the method comprising administering to the patient a therapeutically effective dosage of an antibody which competes for binding to human amyloid A peptide or human kappa or lambda light chain immunoglobulin with 2A4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9662) or 7D8 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9468), or competes for binding to kappa light chain immunoglobulin with 11-1F4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-105) amount, wherein the patient has not previously received or does not concomitantly receive daratumumab.

30. The method of claim 29, wherein NTproBNP is reduced by at least 31% from a baseline after 3 months of treatment.

31. The method of claim 29 or 30, wherein NTproBNP is reduced by at least 45% from a baseline after 3 months of treatment.

32. The method of any one of claims 25-31, wherein NTproBNP is reduced by at least 60% from a baseline after 3 months of treatment.

33. The method of any one of claims 29-32, wherein NTproBNP is reduced by at least 60% from a baseline to a nadir >400 pg/ml after 3 months of treatment.

34. The method of any one of claims 29-33, wherein NTproBNP is reduced to a nadir < 400 pg/ml after 3 months of treatment.

35. The method of any one of claims 29-34, wherein NTproBNP is reduced by at least 45% from a baseline after 6 months of treatment.

36. The method of any one of claims 29-35, wherein NTproBNP is reduced by at least 60% from a baseline after 6 months of treatment.

37. The method of any one of claims 29-36, wherein NTproBNP is reduced by at least 60% from a baseline to a nadir >400 pg/ml after 6 months of treatment.

38. The method of any one of claims 29-37, wherein NTproBNP is reduced to a nadir < 400 pg/ml after 3 months of treatment.

39. A method of improving cardiac response rate in a patient having Mayo Stage IV AL amyloidosis, the method comprising administering a therapeutically effective amount of an antibody which competes for binding to human amyloid A peptide or human kappa or lambda light chain immunoglobulin with 2A4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9662) or 7D8 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9468), or competes for binding to kappa light chain immunoglobulin with 11-1F4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-105) amount, wherein the patient has not previously received or does not concomitantly receive daratumumab.

40. The method of claim 39, wherein the cardiac response rate increases at least 30% after 6 months of treatment as compared to baseline.

41. The method of any claims 39 to 40, wherein the cardiac response rate increases at least 50% after 12 months of treatment as compared to baseline.

42. The method of any one of claims 39-41, wherein the cardiac response rate increases at least 75% after 12 months of treatment as compared to baseline.

43. A method of improving a six minute walk test (6MWT) in a patient having Mayo Stage IV AL amyloidosis, the method comprising administering a therapeutically effective dosage of an antibody which competes for binding to human amyloid A peptide or human kappa or lambda light chain immunoglobulin with 2A4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9662) or 7D8 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9468), or competes for binding to kappa light chain immunoglobulin with 11-1F4

(ATCC Accession Number PTA-105) amount, wherein the patient has not previously received or does not concomitantly receive daratumumab.

44. The method of claim 43, wherein the 6MWT achieved is at least 300 meters.

45. The method of claim 43 or 44, wherein the 6MWT achieved is at least 300 meters after treatment for 12 months.

46. The method of any one of claims 43-45, wherein the 6MWT improves at least 33 meters as compared to baseline.

47. The method of any one of claims 43-46, wherein the 6MWT improves at least 33 meters after 12 months of treatment as compared to baseline.

48. The method of any one of claims 43-47, wherein the 6MWT achieved is at least 300 meters after treatment for 18 months.

49. The method of any one of claims 43-47, wherein the 6MWT improves at least 33 meters after 18 months of treatment as compared to baseline.

50. A method of increasing a Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire (KCCQ) score in a patient having Mayo Stage IV AL amyloidosis, the method comprising administering a therapeutically effective dosage of an antibody which competes for binding to human amyloid A peptide or human kappa or lambda light chain immunoglobulin with 2A4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9662) or 7D8 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9468), or competes for binding to kappa light chain immunoglobulin with 11-1F4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-105) amount, wherein the patient has not previously received or does not concomitantly receive daratumumab.

51. The method of claim 50, wherein the KCCQ score increased by at least 5 after 3 months of treatment as compared to baseline.

52. The method of claim 50 or 51, wherein the KCCQ score increased by at least 10 after 3 months of treatment as compared to baseline.

53. The method of any one of claims 50-52, wherein the KCCQ score increased by at least 15 after 3 months of treatment as compared to baseline.

54. The method of any one of claims 50-53, wherein the KCCQ score improves by at least 20 after 3 months of treatment as compared to baseline.

55. The method of any one of claims 50-54, wherein the KCCQ score improves by at least 5 after 12 months of treatment as compared to baseline.

56. The method of any one of claims 50-55, wherein the KCCQ score improves by at least 10 after 12 months of treatment as compared to baseline.

57. The method of any one of claims 50-56, wherein the KCCQ score improves by at least 15 after 12 months of treatment as compared to baseline.

58. The method of any one of claims 50-57, wherein the KCCQ score improves by at least 20 after 12 months of treatment as compared to baseline.

59. A method of increasing Major Organ Deterioration Progression Free Survival in a patient having Mayo Class IV AL amyloidosis, the method comprising administering to the patient a therapeutically effective dosage of an antibody which competes for binding to human amyloid A peptide or human kappa or lambda light chain immunoglobulin with 2A4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9662) or 7D8 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9468), or competes for binding to kappa light chain immunoglobulin with 11-1F4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-105) amount, wherein the patient has not previously received or does not concomitantly receive daratumumab.

60. The method of claim 59, wherein NTproBNP is reduced by at least 31% from a baseline after 3 months of treatment.

61. The method of claim 59 or 60, wherein NTproBNP is reduced by at least 45% from a baseline after 3 months of treatment.

62. The method of any one of claims 59-61, wherein NTproBNP is reduced by at least 60% from a baseline after 3 months of treatment.

63. The method of any one of claims 59-62, wherein NTproBNP is reduced by at least 60% from a baseline to a nadir >400 pg/ml after 3 months of treatment.

64. The method of any one of claims 59-63, wherein NTproBNP is reduced to a nadir < 400 pg/ml after 3 months of treatment.

65. The method of any one of claims 59-63, wherein NTproBNP is reduced by at least 45% from a baseline after 6 months of treatment.

66. The method of any one of claims 59-65, wherein NTproBNP is reduced by at least 60% from a baseline after 6 months of treatment.

67. The method of any one of claims 59-66, wherein NTproBNP is reduced by at least 60% from a baseline to a nadir >400 pg/ml after 6 months of treatment.

68. The method of any one of claims 59-67, wherein NTproBNP is reduced to a nadir < 400 pg/ml after 3 months of treatment.

69. The method of any of the preceding claims, wherein the antibody comprises a light chain variable region comprising three complementarity determining regions of 2A4, 7D8 or 11-1F4, and a heavy chain variable region comprising three complementarity determining regions of 2A4, 7D8 or 11-1F4, respectively.

70. The method of any of the preceding claims, wherein the antibody is a humanized version of 2A4.

71. The method of any of claims 1-70, wherein the antibody is a humanized or chimeric version of 11-1F4.

72. The method of any of claims 1-71, wherein the antibody comprises a light chain variable region comprising three complementarity determining regions set forth as SEQ ID NOs: 3, 4 and 5, or SEQ ID NOs: 16, 17, and 18, and a heavy chain variable region comprising three complementarity determining regions set forth as SEQ ID NOs: 6, 7 and 8, or SEQ ID NOs: 19, 20, and 21.

73. The method of any of claims 1-72, wherein the light chain variable region comprises the amino acid sequence set forth as SEQ ID NO: 1 or 14.

74. The method of any of claims 1-72, wherein the heavy chain variable region comprises the amino acid sequence set forth as SEQ ID NO: 2 or 15.

75. The method of any of claims 1-74, wherein the light chain variable region comprises the amino acid sequence set forth as SEQ ID NO: 1 or 14, and the heavy chain variable region comprises the amino acid sequence set forth as SEQ ID NO: 2 or 15.

76. The method of any of claims 1-75, wherein the antibody comprises a light chain comprising the amino acid sequence set forth as SEQ ID NO:10, and a heavy chain comprising the amino acid sequence set forth as SEQ ID NO: 11, 12 or 13.

77. The method of any of claims 1-76, wherein the antibody comprises a light chain comprising the amino acid sequence set forth as SEQ ID NO:10, and a heavy chain comprising the amino acid sequence set forth as SEQ ID NO:12.

78. The method of any of claims 1-77, wherein the antibody is birtamimab.

79. The method of any of the preceding claims, wherein the patient is newly diagnosed and AL amyloidosis treatment naïve.

80. The method of any of claims 1-72, wherein the patient previously received or concomitantly receives treatment with melphalan, prednisone, dexamethasone, bortezomib, cyclophosphamide, lenalidomide, doxorubicin, doxycycline, autologous transplant, or a combination thereof.

81. The method of any of claims 2, 5, 9, or 11, comprising administering to the Mayo Stage IV patient an effective dosage of an antibody which competes for binding to human amyloid A peptide or human kappa or lambda light chain immunoglobulin with 2A4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9662) or 7D8 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9468), or competes for binding to kappa light chain immunoglobulin with 11-1F4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-105) and the patient has not previously received or concomitantly receives daratumumab, thereby reducing the patient's risk of mortality by at least about 35%.

82. A method of treating a patient with AL amyloidosis, comprising:

- a) determining that the patient has a 6 minute walk distance (6MWD) ≥ 30 meters and ≤ 550 meters or a 6MWD ≥ 150 meters and an ejection fraction (EF) $> 50\%$;
- b) selecting the patient for treatment with an antibody which competes for binding to human amyloid A peptide or human kappa or lambda light chain immunoglobulin with 2A4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9662) or 7D8 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9468), or competes for binding to kappa light chain immunoglobulin with 11-1F4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-105); and
- c) administering an effective dosage of the antibody, wherein the patient has not previously received or does not concomitantly receive daratumumab.

83. A method of treating a patient with AL amyloidosis who has a demonstrated 6 minute walk distance (6MWD) of ≥ 30 meters and ≤ 550 meters or a 6MWD greater than or equal to 150 meters and an ejection fraction (EF) of more than 50%, comprising administering to the patient an effective dosage of an antibody

which competes for binding to human amyloid A peptide or human kappa or lambda light chain immunoglobulin with 2A4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9662) or 7D8 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9468), or competes for binding to kappa light chain immunoglobulin with 11-1F4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-105), wherein the patient has not previously received or does not concomitantly receive daratumumab.

84. A method of reducing the risk of mortality in a patient with AL amyloidosis by at least 45%, comprising administering to the patient an effective dosage of an antibody which competes for binding to human amyloid A peptide or human kappa or lambda light chain immunoglobulin with 2A4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9662) or 7D8 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9468), or competes for binding to kappa light chain immunoglobulin with 11-1F4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-105), wherein the patient has Mayo Stage IV AL amyloidosis and/or has a demonstrated 6 minute walk distance (6MWD) ≥ 30 meters and ≤ 550 meters, or a 6MWD of greater than or equal to 150 meters and an ejection fraction (EF) of more than 50%, or the patient has Mayo Stage IV AL amyloidosis and an EF of $> 50\%$, wherein the patient has not previously received or does not concomitantly receive daratumumab.

85. The method of claim 84, wherein the risk of mortality is of all-cause mortality.

86. The method of claim 85, wherein the risk of all-cause mortality is reduced by at least about 48.9%.

87. The method of claim 85, wherein the risk of all-cause mortality is reduced by at least about 50%.

88. The method of claim 85, wherein the risk of all-cause mortality is reduced by at least about 50.2%.

89. The method of claim 85, wherein the risk of all-cause mortality is reduced by at least about 60%.

90. The method of claim 85, wherein the risk of all-cause mortality is reduced by at least about 70%.

91. The method of claim 85, wherein the risk of all-cause mortality is reduced by at least about 79.9%.

92. The method of claim 85, wherein the risk of all-cause mortality is reduced by at least about 81.5%.

93. The method of claim 84, wherein the risk of mortality is of cardiac mortality.

94. The method of claim 93, wherein the risk of cardiac mortality is reduced by at least about 75%.

95. The method of 94, wherein the risk of cardiac mortality is reduced by at least about 62.2%.

96. The method of any of claims 81-95, wherein the antibody is birtamimab.

97. The method of any of the preceding claims, wherein the patient exhibits improvement in the 36-Item Short Form Survey Physical Component Score (SF-36 PCS) or SF-36v2 following treatment with the antibody.

98. The method of claim 90, wherein after nine months of treatment the change in the patient's score on the SF-36 PCS or SF-36v2 is at least 5 points higher relative to a different patient at the same time point who has not been administered the antibody.

99. The method of any of the preceding claims, wherein the effective dosage of the antibody is administered from a pharmaceutical formulation comprising the antibody at a concentration within the range from about 1mg/mL to about 100 mg/mL.

100. The method of any of the preceding claims, wherein the dosage is from about 0.5 mg/kg to about 30 mg/kg and the antibody is administered intravenously or subcutaneously at a frequency of from about weekly to about quarterly.

101. The method of claim 100, wherein the antibody is present at a concentration of about 50 mg/mL.

102. The method of any of claims 99-101, wherein the dosage is administered intravenously following the transfer of an amount of the formulation required for the dosage from a vial to an intravenous bag containing a liquid.

103. The method of any claims 99-102, wherein the dosage is about 24 mg/kg and the antibody is administered intravenously every 28 days.

104. The method of any of the preceding claims, wherein the duration of the treatment is at least 9 months.

105. The method of claim 104, wherein the duration of the treatment is at least 12 months.

106. The method of any of the preceding claims, wherein the duration is effective to achieve or maintain at least about a 3 point increase from baseline in SF-36 PCS or SF-36v2.

107. The method of any of the preceding claims, wherein the antibody is a Fab, Fab', F(ab')₂, F(ab)_c, Dab, nanobody, or Fv.

108. The method of any of the preceding claims, wherein treatment results in a 20% relative reduction in hospitalization.

109. The method of any of the preceding claims, wherein treatment results in a 31% to 60% reduction in NTproBNP from a baseline.

110. The method of any of the preceding claims, wherein treatment results in a >60% reduction in NTproBNP from a baseline to a nadir NTproBNP >400 pg/mL.

111. The method of any of the preceding claims, wherein treatment results in a nadir NTproBNP < 400 pg/mL.

112. The method of any of the preceding claims, wherein treatment results in at least a 5 point change to a 20 point change in the Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire (KCCQ) score.

113. The method of any of the preceding claims, wherein after treatment of 12 months results in at least a 33 meter improvement in the 6MWT.

114. A method of improving a 6 minute walk distance (6MWD) in a patient having AL amyloidosis, comprising administering to the patient an effective dosage of an antibody which competes for binding to human amyloid A peptide or human kappa or lambda light chain immunoglobulin with 2A4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9662) or 7D8 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-9468), or competes for binding to kappa light chain immunoglobulin with 11-1F4 (ATCC Accession Number PTA-105), wherein the patient has not previously received or does not concomitantly receive daratumumab.

115. The method of claim 114, wherein the AL amyloidosis is Mayo Stage IV AL amyloidosis.

116. The method of claim 114 or 115, wherein an ejection fraction (EF) of the patient is > 50%.

117. The method of any of claims 114 to 116, wherein the antibody is birtamimab.

100592453F.docx