

UPSTREAM VOLUME MASS FLOW VERIFICATION SYSTEMS AND METHODS

RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application claims the benefit of U.S. Application No. 12/508,799, filed on July 24, 2009. The entire teachings of the above application are incorporated herein by reference.

FIELD OF THE DISCLOSURE

[0001] The present disclosure relates to the field of the measurement and control of mass flow. More specifically, the present disclosure relates to verifying the accuracy of mass flow meters and controllers.

BACKGROUND OF THE DISCLOSURE

[0002] A number of high-precision mass flow measurement systems are useful in materials processing. These high-precision mass flow measurement systems may include, but are not limited to, mass flow controllers (MFCs) and mass flow meters (MFMs). While this disclosure applies to all mass flow measurement systems and methods, reference will only be made to MFCs hereafter for illustration purposes only and not by way of limitation.

[0003] It may be desirable and sometimes necessary to test or verify the accuracy of an MFC. One way to verify the accuracy of an MFC is through the use of a rate of decay, mass flow verifier (MFV) positioned upstream of the MFC under test.

[0004] Sometimes, however, measurement errors may occur due to structural aspects of the flow path that introduce undesirable pressure variations which affect the measurement of the MFV and MFC. For example, MFCs have a portion of the flow path between the flow sensor and the control valve called the “dead volume” which can introduce errors in flow measurement, especially if the MFC is a non-pressure insensitive (i.e., pressure sensitive) MFC which does not have a pressure sensor inside

the MFC. The non-pressure insensitive MFC cannot compensate for the flow rate error caused by the pressure fluctuation. As fluid flows along the flow path from the flow verifier through the dead volume and out of the MFC, the dead volume may cause inaccuracies in the pressure measurements and ultimate flow verification.

[0005] SUMMARY OF THE DISCLOSURE

[0006] Accordingly, a mass flow verifier (MFV) is described in this disclosure that compensates for errors arising from a dead volume in a non-pressure insensitive MFC.

[0007] This disclosure more specifically describes upstream verification systems and methods for measuring and verifying mass flow through a non-pressure insensitive MFC. The upstream positioned MFV typically includes a chamber defining a fixed volume, an input valve receiving a fluid from a source and controlling the flow of fluid into the chamber, and an output valve for controlling the flow of fluid out of the chamber into the test MFC. By opening the input valve and closing the output valve, the chamber receives fluid from the source such that the pressure is allowed to rise to a determined level. Once at the determined level, the input valve can be closed, and the output valve opened so that fluid flows from the chamber into the MFC under test. By measuring the temperature of the fluid in the chamber, and the rate of decay of pressure from the chamber, the flow rate through the MFC can be independently measured so that the MFC performance can be independently measured and verified. The calculated flow rate is compensated to account for errors due to any dead volume within the non-pressure insensitive mass flow controller.

[0008] If the test mass flow controller is a pressure insensitive one, then the flow rate calculation by the upstream MFV can be performed presuming a zero dead volume. The value of the dead volume can be provided by the manufacturer of the mass flow controller.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0009] Figure 1 is a block diagram in accordance with one aspect of the present disclosure.

[0010] Figure 2 shows simulation results identifying the errors addressed in this disclosure.

[0011] Figure 3 shows a side view, partially cut away, of an example of a non-pressure insensitive mass flow controller.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE DISCLOSURE

[0012] One way to verify the accuracy of an MFC is through a mass flow verifier upstream of the MFC under test. As stated previously, the flow rate through the MFC under test can be measured and verified by measuring the rate of decay in pressure and change in temperature as the fluid flows from the MFV chamber to the MFC under test. Measurement errors, however, may result from a dead volume present in the test MFC, particularly if the MFC is non-pressure insensitive. Compensating for these measurement errors is the subject of this disclosure.

[0013] Figure 1 exhibits an embodiment of an upstream MFV system 100 for verifying the performance of a test MFC 90. A typical upstream flow verifier includes a chamber defining a known volume (V_c) 110, a pressure transducer (P) 120, a temperature sensor (T) 130, and two isolation control valves, where one is upstream 140 and the other is downstream 150 of the volume.

[0014] The illustrated embodiment of an MFV includes a temperature sensor 130 and a pressure transducer 120. As shown, the upstream input valve 140 is used to control fluid flowing from a source or supply of test gas, while the down stream valve 150 is used to control the flow of fluid from the volume 110 to the test MFC 90. A controller 160 is used to operate the valves 140 and 150, and to receive data representative of the temperature of the volume 110 (as measured by temperature sensor 130), and the pressure within the volume 110 (as measure by the pressure transducer 120). Further, the controller can also set the flow of the test MFC (although a separate controller may also be used to control the MFC). By opening the

input valve 140 and closing the output valve 150, the chamber volume 110 receives fluid from the source such that the pressure, as measure by transducer 120 is allowed to rise to a determined level. Once at the determined level, the input valve 140 can be closed. The MFC 90 can now be tested. With the input valve 140 remaining closed, the output valve 150 is opened so that fluid flows from the chamber 110 into the MFC 90 under test. By measuring the temperature of the fluid in the chamber volume 110 as measured by temperature sensor 130, and the rate of decay of pressure from the chamber volume 110 as measured by the transducer 120, the flow rate through the MFC can be independently measured so that the MFC performance can be independently measured and verified (by comparing the set flow rate of the test MFC with the actual flow rate determined by the MFV 100).

[0015] Thus, upstream MFVs are capable of verifying an MFC's performance. One possible measurement of performance is to measure the rate of decay of pressure through the MFC when a fixed volume of gas is passed through the MFC, as identified in the following equation:

$$Q_o = \frac{V_t \cdot T_{stp}}{R \cdot P_{stp}} \cdot \frac{d(P/T)}{dt}, \quad (1)$$

wherein Q_o is the MFV measured flow rate of the actual MFC output flow,

V_t is the total volume of the MFV system which is explained in the next paragraph,

R is the universal gas constant,

P and T are the gas pressure and temperature measurements, respectively, and

P_{stp} and T_{stp} are the standard pressure (1.01325e5 Pa) and standard temperature (273.15K) constants, respectively.

[0016] The total volume of the MFC system, V_t , includes the known MFV chamber volume, V_c , and the external volume, V_e , between the MFV downstream valve 150 and the MFC control valve 340, and can be expressed as:

$$V_t = V_c + V_e. \quad (2)$$

The external volume, V_e , varies as a function of the system plumbing configuration and the MFC under test. The external volume must be precisely calibrated before the flow verification of the upstream MFV is carried out. For example, the external volume calibration may be based on the ideal gas law and the law of conservation of mass with the known facts of the MFV chamber volume (V_c), and the measured pressure and the temperature of the gas in the MFV chamber. The procedure may be described as follows:

1. Close the upstream valve of the MFV and open both the downstream valve of the MFV and the control valve of the MFC under test;
2. Pump down the system to a predetermined pressure level and close the control valve of the MFC under test;
3. Wait for the chamber pressure and the gas temperature to stabilize, and record the chamber pressure as P_0 and the gas temperature as T_0 ;
4. Close the downstream valve of the MFV and open the upstream valve of the MFV to allow the flow of gas into the MFV chamber;
5. Close the upstream valve of the MFV when the MFV chamber pressure reaches a predetermined level;
6. Wait for the chamber pressure and the gas temperature to stabilize and record the chamber pressure as P_1 and the gas temperature as T_1 ;
7. Open the downstream valve of the MFV and let the gas flow into the external volume;
8. Wait for the chamber pressure and the gas temperature to stabilize and record the chamber pressure as P_2 and the gas temperature as T_2 ;
9. Calculate the external volume according to the following equation:

$$V_e = \frac{(P_1/T_1 - P_2/T_2)}{(P_2/T_2 - P_0/T_0)} \cdot V_c \quad (3)$$

[0017] The dead volume 350, V_d , in a MFC under test (shown in Figure 3 generally at 300) is the portion of the flow path between the flow sensor 310 (shown with the laminar flow element 330) and the control valve 340. The dead volume is a characteristic of the MFC under test, which varies by vendor and type. Clearly, the dead volume is a part of the external volume (V_e) but it cannot be measured separately by the external volume calibration method described above.

[0018] The upstream MFV measures the actual flow output of the MFC under test based on Eq. (1). However, the flow rate measured by the upstream MFV (Q_o) is not the flow rate measured by the MFC flow sensor (Q_s) when the pressure is changing. A flow measurement error (ΔQ) arises when the pressure in the dead volume is changing:

$$\Delta Q = Q_s - Q_o = \frac{V_d \cdot T_{stp}}{R \cdot P_{stp}} \cdot \frac{d(P/T)}{dt}, \quad (4)$$

[0019] For pressure insensitive MFCs such as the π MFC manufactured and sold by MKS Instruments of Wilmington, MA (the present assignee), these devices have pressure sensors in the flow path which can measure pressure change in the dead volume. Therefore, pressure insensitive MFCs can compensate for the flow error caused by the pressure fluctuation in the dead volume. In this case, the flow rate measured by the upstream MFV (Q_o) will match the flow rate controlled by the pressure insensitive MFCs if both are accurate.

[0020] For non-pressure insensitive MFCs, the flow error caused by the pressure fluctuation in the dead volume cannot be compensated by the MFC itself, because it does not have a pressure sensor. As a consequence according to Eq. (4), there is always a flow measurement discrepancy between the upstream MFV measurement

and the MFC controlled flow rate even though the MFC is accurate at the normal constant pressure operating condition.

[0021] In the time graph represented in Figure 2, typical responses of an MFV and a non-pressure insensitive MFC were simulated using N₂ gas where the initial measured temperature and pressure were 22 °C and 50 psia, respectively, the flow set point was 2000 sccm, run time was 30 seconds, the MFV volume was 200 cc, and the dead volume was 5 cc. The reported, measured flow 210 from the MFV varies from both the MFC flow set point 220 and the actual flow out of the MFC 230. Correcting the discrepancy between the actual flow out of the MFC 230 and the MFV measured flow into the MFC 210 is the subject of this disclosure. As expected, the error was equivalent to the proportion of the dead volume to the MFV volume (~ 50 sccm / 2000 sccm = 5 cc / 200 cc).

[0022] To compensate for this flow measurement error, the dead volume must be taken into account when calculating the correct measured flow, Q, by the upstream MFV:

$$Q = \frac{(V_t - V_d) \cdot T_{stp}}{R \cdot P_{stp}} \cdot \frac{d(P/T)}{dt} \quad (5).$$

Accordingly, the disclosed MFV accounts for the dead volume error by incorporating that volume into its calculation of the flow rate out of the MFC.

[0023] According to the present disclosure, the value of V_d can be provided by the MFC manufacturer or can be estimated by the tester based on actual flows, expected flows, and errors. If the manufacturer provides the information, then a user of the MFV can enter the value into the processing equipment that includes the controller 160 so that the flow rate can be accurately calculated from the measured pressure and/or temperature values.

[0024] Further, this disclosure contemplates measuring and estimating the rate of decay in pressure and/or temperature in real time or by waiting until the system

stabilizes. Waiting for stabilization provides the added benefit of permitting pressure measurement without compensating for corresponding temperature changes.

[0025] Also, when testing a pressure insensitive MFC according to aspects of the verifier disclosed herein, the V_d value can be set to zero because the MFC has already accounted for the pressure drop in the dead volume.

[0026] While there has been illustrated and described particular embodiments of the present disclosure, it will be appreciated that numerous changes and modifications will occur to those skilled in the art. Accordingly, it is intended that the appended claims cover all those changes and modifications which fall within the spirit and scope of the present disclosure.

I claim:

1. An upstream mass flow verification system for testing the performance of a mass flow measurement device, the system comprising:
 - an upstream volume capable of storing a test fluid;
 - a temperature sensor to sense the temperature within the upstream volume;
 - a pressure sensor to sense the fluid pressure within the upstream volume; and
 - a processor configured to calculate the flow rate of test fluid through the mass flow controller and which compensates for errors caused by a dead volume within the mass flow controller.
2. The system of claim 1, wherein the dead volume is set to zero.
3. The system of claim 1, wherein the dead volume is entered by a user of the system.
4. The system of claim 1, wherein the flow rate is determined after the temperature has stabilized.
5. The system of claim 1, further comprising an input valve for controlling the flow of test fluid into the volume, and an output valve for controlling the flow of fluid from the volume through the mass flow measurement device, wherein the output valve is closed and input valve is opened so as to fill the volume until it reaches a measurable pressure level, and wherein the input valve is then closed, and the output valve is open to allow fluid to flow from the volume through the mass flow measurement device.
6. The system of claim 5, wherein the flow is measured according to the following:

$$Q = \frac{(V_t - V_d) \cdot T_{stp}}{R \cdot P_{stp} \cdot T} \cdot \frac{dP}{dt}$$

wherein Q is the measured flow rate,

V_t the total volume of the MFV system,

V_d represents the dead volume of the mass flow measurement/delivery device under test,

R is the universal gas constant,

P and T are the gas pressure and temperature respectively, and

P_{stp} and T_{stp} are the standard pressure (1.01325e5 Pa) and standard temperature (273.15K) respectively.

7. A method of verifying the performance of a mass flow measurement device, comprising:

establishing the level of pressure of a test fluid in a fixed volume;

opening a valve to transfer the test fluid from the volume through a test mass flow measurement device;

determining the mass flow rate through the mass flow measurement device as a function of the temperature of the test fluid and the rate of change in pressure of the test fluid from the established level, wherein the calculation compensates for errors caused by a dead volume within the mass flow delivery/measurement device under test.

8. The method of claim 6, further comprising entering a value of the dead volume received from the manufacturer of the mass flow delivery/measurement device.

9. The method of claim 7, wherein the entered value is zero.

10. The method of claim 6, wherein the flow rate is calculated after the temperature has stabilized.

11. The method of claim 6, wherein determining the mass flow rate includes wherein measuring the flow according to the following:

$$Q = \frac{(V_t - V_d) \cdot T_{stp}}{R \cdot P_{stp} \cdot T} \cdot \frac{dP}{dt}$$

wherein Q is the measured flow rate,

V_t is the total volume of the MFV system,

V_d represents the dead volume of the mass flow measurement/delivery device under test,

R is the universal gas constant,

P and T are the gas pressure and temperature respectively, and

P_{stp} and T_{stp} are the standard pressure (1.01325e5 Pa) and standard temperature (273.15K) respectively.