

-1-

**MONOFUNCTIONAL REFORMING CATALYST
CONTAINING BISMUTH**

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to reforming, especially dehydrocyclizing, hydrocarbons to form aromatics using a catalyst containing zeolite L. Reforming embraces several reactions, such as dehydrogenation, isomerization, dehydroisomerization, cyclization and dehydrocyclization. Dehydrocyclization is the most important reaction. Thus, in the process of the present invention, paraffins and naphthenes are converted to aromatics in a reforming reaction zone.

The present invention particularly relates to catalytic reforming using a monofunctional catalyst wherein the catalyst comprises a zeolite L, a Group VIII metal, and a promoter.

In particular, catalysts having platinum on potassium form L-zeolite exchanged with a rubidium or cesium salt were claimed by Bernard and Nury to achieve exceptionally high selectivity for n-hexane conversion to benzene. As disclosed in the Bernard and Nury patent, the L zeolites are typically synthesized in the potassium form. A portion, usually not more than 80%, of the potassium cations can be exchanged so that other cations replace the exchangeable potassium.

Having discovered a highly selective catalyst, commercialization seemed promising. Unfortunately, that was not the case, because the high selectivity, L-zeolite catalysts did not achieve long enough run length to make them feasible for use in catalytic reforming. U.S. Patent No. 4,456,527 discloses the surprising finding that if the sulfur content of the feed was reduced to ultra low levels, below levels used in the past for catalysts especially sensitive to sulfur, that then long

-2-

1 run lengths could be achieved with the L-zeolite non-acidic catalyst. Specifically,
2 it was found that the concentration of sulfur in the hydrocarbon feed to the
3 L-zeolite catalyst should be at ultra low levels, preferably less than 100 parts per
4 billion (ppb), more preferably less than 50 ppb, to achieve improved
5 stability/activity for the catalyst used.

6 Bismuth has been used as promoter in several alumina supported, multi-metallic,
7 bifunctional (acidic) reforming catalysts reported in the patent literature.
8 Pertinent U.S. patents include Nos. 3,878,089; 3,888,763; 3,940,329; 3,981,795;
9 4,036,743; 4,036,903, and 4,115,254. These acidic reforming catalyst
10 compositions usually contain bismuth and platinum in a 0.1:1.0 to 1.0:1.0 atomic
11 ratio, plus an additional metal such as iridium, rhodium, cobalt or nickel. As
12 mentioned, these catalysts are acidic and are bifunctional. A bifunctional
13 reforming catalyst is one which relies on both acidic sites and metal sites
14 (particularly Group VIII metals) for its catalytic properties. These acidic catalysts
15 containing bismuth, such as in the references just cited, are not based on
16 zeolite L, are not monofunctional, and do not focus on low amounts of bismuth
17 relative to Group VIII metal.

18 SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

19 According to the present invention, a process is provided for converting feed
20 hydrocarbons, particularly paraffinic hydrocarbons, to aromatics. The process
21 comprises contacting the feed, under catalytic reforming conditions, with a
22 monofunctional reforming catalyst comprising bismuth and platinum in a 0.01 to
23 0.25 atomic ratio and zeolite L.

24 Thus, important aspects of the present invention include the focus on
25 monofunctional activity of the catalyst, the use of bismuth in the catalyst, and the
26 use of zeolite L in the catalyst.

-3-

1 Surprisingly, we have found that bismuth is especially effective for reducing the
2 amount of aromatics dealkylation occurring in the reforming process. Thus,
3 relatively low amounts of bismuth, such as 0.01 to 0.25 atoms of bismuth per
4 atom of platinum, can reduce aromatics dealkylation while improving or
5 substantially maintaining run length and total aromatics selectivity.

6 Reduced dealkylation is particularly advantageous in producing alkyl aromatics,
7 such as toluene and xylenes.

8 Accordingly, among other factors, the present invention is based on our findings
9 that the catalysts as defined herein, which catalysts are monofunctional, have a
10 zeolite L component and contain small amounts of bismuth relative to platinum,
11 result in unexpectedly low dealkylation and high aromatics and hydrogen yields
12 during reforming, while also exhibiting excellent stability.

13 The preferred catalysts of the invention contain bismuth and platinum in a 0.01 to
14 0.12 atomic ratio. More preferably, the bismuth to platinum atomic ratio is
15 between 0.02 to 0.08.

16 As stated above, a particular advantage of the present invention is achievement
17 of low dealkylation during reforming. This is especially advantageous when the
18 feed to the reforming process includes aliphatic, especially paraffinic,
19 hydrocarbons having seven or more carbon atoms. C₈ to C₁₂, or C₈ to C₁₁, C₈ to
20 C₁₀, etc., down to C₈ to C₇ are advantageous feeds. Including C₈'s in the feed is
21 particularly advantageous for the present process because xylene, especially
22 paraxylene, are particularly valuable aromatics. C₈ to C₈ is an especially
23 advantageous feed for the present invention.

24 A catalytic reforming catalyst is provided, in accordance with the catalysts
25 described herein.

1 DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

2 The catalyst used in the process of the present invention comprises platinum,
3 bismuth and zeolite L. The catalyst of the present invention is a non-acidic,
4 monofunctional catalyst.

5 We have found surprisingly good results are achieved, especially reduced
6 aromatics dealkylation and low catalyst deactivation rates, by using a relatively
7 small amount of bismuth in the catalyst. As stated above, the atomic ratio of
8 bismuth to platinum may range from 0.01 to 0.25, preferably 0.01 to 0.12, more
9 preferably 0.02 to 0.08.

10 The zeolite L component of the catalyst is described in published literature, such
11 as U.S. Patent No. 3,216,789. The chemical formula for zeolite L may be
12 represented as follows:



14 wherein M designates a cation, n represents the valence of M, and Y may be any
15 value from 0 to about 9. Zeolite L, its X-ray diffraction pattern, its properties, and
16 method for its preparation are described in detail in U.S. Patent No. 3,216,789.
17 Zeolite L has been characterized in "Zeolite Molecular Sieves" by Donald W.
18 Breck, John Wiley and Sons, 1974, (reprinted 1984) as having a framework
19 comprising 18 tetrahedra unit cancrinite-type cages linked by double six rings in
20 columns and cross-linked by single oxygen bridges to form planar 12-membered
21 rings. The hydrocarbon sorption pores for zeolite L are reportedly approximately
22 7Å in diameter. The Breck reference and U.S. Patent No. 3,216,789 are
23 incorporated herein by reference, particularly with respect to their disclosure of
24 zeolite L.

1 The various zeolites are generally defined in terms of their X-ray diffraction
2 patterns. Several factors have an effect on the X-ray diffraction pattern of a
3 zeolite. Such factors include temperature, pressure, crystal size, impurities and
4 type of cations present. For instance, as the crystal size of the type-L zeolite
5 becomes smaller, the X-ray diffraction pattern becomes somewhat broader and
6 less precise. Thus, the term "zeolite L" includes any of the various zeolites made
7 of cancrinite cages having an X-ray diffraction pattern substantially the same as
8 the X-ray diffraction patterns shown in U.S. Patent No. 3,216,789. Type-L
9 zeolites are conventionally synthesized in the potassium form, that is, in the
10 theoretical formula previously given, most of the M cations are potassium. M
11 cations are exchangeable so that a given type-L zeolite, for example, a type-L
12 zeolite in the potassium form, can be used to obtain type-L zeolites containing
13 other cations by subjecting the type-L zeolite to ion-exchange treatment in an
14 aqueous solution of an appropriate salt or salts. However, it is difficult to
15 exchange all the original cations, for example, potassium, since some cations in
16 the zeolite are in sites which are difficult for the reagents to reach. Preferred
17 L zeolites for use in the present invention are those synthesized in the potassium
18 form.

19 Examples of bifunctional catalysts include platinum on acidic alumina as
20 disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 3,006,841 to Haensel; platinum-rhenium on acidic
21 alumina as disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 3,415,737 to Klusdahl; and
22 platinum-iridium with bismuth on an acidic carrier as disclosed in U.S. Patent
23 No. 3,878,089 to Wilhelm (see also the other acidic catalysts containing bismuth,
24 cited above in the Background section).

25 According to an especially preferred embodiment of the present invention, the
26 non-acidic, monofunctional catalyst used in the process of the present invention
27 contains one or more halogens. In view of the fact that the catalysts of the

-6-

1 present invention are non-acidic catalysts, this may be confusing at first, as a
2 non-acidic catalyst, in that halogens are often used to contribute to the acidity of
3 alumina supports for acidic, bifunctional reforming catalysts. However, the use
4 of halogens with catalysts based on zeolite L can be accomplished while
5 retaining the non-acidic, monofunctional characteristic of the catalyst. Methods
6 for making non-acidic halogen containing zeolite L based catalysts are disclosed
7 in the RAULO and IKC references cited above in the Background section.

-7-

TABLE A
Feed Description

ASTM D 86	°F
LV% St	145
10	184
30	198
50	219
70	243
90	262
EP	295
Gravity, °API	65.8
Carbon No. Distribution - wt. %	
C ₅	1.82
C ₆	27.72
C ₇	22.69
C ₈	33.77
C ₉	13.29
C ₁₀	<u>0.72</u>
PNA - wt. %	
Paraffins (n+i)	72.32
Naphthenes	17.67
Aromatics	9.37
Unknown	<u>0.64</u>
Total	100.00

1

- 2 Preferably, the catalyst used in the catalytic reforming reaction zone of the
3 present invention is bound. Binding the catalyst improves its crush strength,
4 compared to a non-bound catalyst comprising platinum and bismuth on zeolite L
5 powder. Preferred binders for the catalyst of the present invention are alumina

-8-

1 or silica. Silica is especially preferred for the catalyst used in the present
2 invention.

3 As the catalyst may be bound or unbound, the weight percentages given herein
4 are based on the zeolite L component of the catalyst, unless otherwise indicated.

5 A preferred method to prepare catalysts in accord with the present invention
6 comprises (a) impregnating non-acidic L zeolite extrudates with a solution of a
7 bismuth compound in water or dilute acid as appropriate; (b) drying and calcining
8 the extrudates; (c) impregnating the calcined extrudates with an aqueous
9 solution containing one or more halogens, for example, ammonium chloride,
10 ammonium fluoride, and also impregnating the extrudates with a platinum
11 compound; and (d) drying and calcining the twice impregnated L zeolite
12 extrudates. Preferably, the bismuth impregnation step is carried out prior to the
13 platinum impregnation step.

14 Bismuth compounds which are soluble in water or dilute acid include bismuth
15 citrate, bismuth trichloride, bismuth acetate, and bismuth nitrate.

16 EXAMPLES

17 Advantages of the Pt-Bi-zeolite L catalysts of the present invention are illustrated
18 by the following examples.

19 Example 1

20 Preparation of Comparative Catalyst A

21 Twelve grams of KL-zeolite extrudates consisting of 80% zeolite and 20% silica
22 binder were crushed and sieved to obtain 20-40 mesh granules. The
23 20-40 mesh material was placed in an evaporating dish and dried four hours in a

-9-

1 vacuum oven at 110°C. The oven-dried material was then calcined in a muffle
2 furnace for two hours each at 200°C and 500°C. A 5.0 gram portion of the
3 calcined material was used to determine the incipient wetness point. The
4 remaining calcined material was stored in a sealed jar inside a desiccator until
5 needed for catalyst preparation. The incipient wetness volume was determined
6 to be 2.48 mL for 5.0 grams of the calcined material.

7 An impregnating solution was prepared by dissolving 0.088 gram of ammonium
8 fluoride, 0.041 gram of ammonium chloride, and 0.089 gram of platinum
9 tetraammine chloride (56.4% Pt) in 2.0 mL of water and then diluting to a total
10 volume of 2.48 mL. The calcined 20-40 mesh granules were then impregnated
11 by adding this solution in dropwise fashion and stirring. The catalyst loading
12 corresponded to 1.0 wt. % Pt, 0.9 wt. % F, and 0.9 wt. % Cl based on the weight
13 of the calcined support.

14 The impregnated granules were allowed to soak overnight in a closed container.
15 They were then dried in a vacuum oven for three hours at 110°C. The
16 oven-dried material was calcined in a muffle furnace for 30 minutes at 150°C,
17 then 30 minutes at 250°C, and finally for one hour at 300°C. The calcined
18 catalyst was stored in a sealed vial until ready for testing.

Example 2

Preparation of Catalyst B Containing 0.05 Bi/Pt

Catalyst B was prepared as follows. KL-zeolite extrudates from the same lot used in Example 1 were crushed and sieved to obtain 20-40 mesh granules for catalyst preparation. The 20-40 mesh material was dried and calcined as above.

A five gram portion of the calcined material was impregnated with 2.48 mL of atomic absorption standard solution containing 1000 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ of bismuth in 5 wt. % HNO_3 solution. The bismuth loaded material was dried in a vacuum oven at 110°C for four hours, then calcined in a muffle furnace for two hours at 300°C and two hours at 500°C .

A second impregnating solution was prepared by dissolving 0.088 gram of ammonium fluoride, 0.041 gram of ammonium chloride, and 0.089 gram of platinum tetraammine chloride (56.4% Pt) in 2.0 mL of water and then diluting to a total volume of 2.48 mL. The calcined, bismuth containing, material was then impregnated by adding this second solution in dropwise fashion and stirring. The catalyst loading after both impregnations corresponded to 1.0 wt. % Pt, 0.05 wt. % Bi, 0.9 wt. % F, and 0.9 wt. % Cl based on the starting weight of the calcined support material. The bismuth/platinum atomic ratio was 0.047.

The impregnated granules were allowed to soak overnight in a closed container. They were then dried in a vacuum oven for three hours at 110°C and calcined in a muffle furnace for 30 minutes at 150°C, another 30 minutes at 250°C, and one hour at 300°C. The calcined catalyst was stored in a sealed vial until ready for testing.

Example 3

Preparation of Catalyst C Containing 0.023 Bi/Pt

Catalyst C was prepared like Catalyst B in Example 2, except that water was substituted for half of the bismuth solution. The bismuth loading was therefore cut in half to 0.025 wt. %. The bismuth/platinum atomic ratio was 0.023.

Example 4

Preparation of Catalyst D Containing 0.14 Bi/Pt

Catalyst D was prepared like Catalyst B in Example 2, except that the bismuth impregnation step was repeated three times. The catalyst was dried after each of the first two bismuth impregnations but not calcined. After the third bismuth impregnation, the catalyst was dried and calcined as in Example 2.

The platinum impregnation step was the same as in Example 2. Therefore, the final loading was 1.0 wt. % Pt, 0.15 wt. % Bi, 0.9 wt. % F, and 0.9 wt. % Cl based on the starting weight of the calcined support material. The bismuth/platinum atomic ratio was 0.14.

Example 5

Testing of Comparative Catalyst A Which Did Not Contain Bismuth

Catalysts A, B, C and D were tested as follows. A barrel of raffinate from a commercial aromatics extraction plant was hydrotreated to obtain a paraffinic feed. The feed composition by carbon number was 2.2 wt. % C₅, 41.6 wt. % C₆, 39.2 wt. % C₇, 15.5 wt. % C₈, and 1.6 wt. % C₉+. By hydrocarbon type, the breakdown was 83 wt. % paraffins and olefins, 9.4 wt. % naphthenes, and 7.4 wt. % aromatics.

The catalysts were tested in a microreactor unit equipped with a hydrogen mass flow controller, a nitrogen mass flow controller, a tubular microreactor heated by a multi-zone electric furnace, and an on-line gas chromatograph. The preheat zone of the tubular reactor was packed with a sulfur sorbent which eliminated the last traces of sulfur from the feed. The catalyst was charged below the sulfur sorbent.

Each catalyst was charged to the reactor and dried by heating to 500°F in flowing nitrogen. The nitrogen was then replaced with hydrogen to initiate catalyst reduction. The temperature was then ramped up to 900°F overnight and held for several hours at 900°F to complete the reduction and dryout.

The activated catalysts were tested for aromatization activity under the following conditions. The hydrocarbon feed weight hourly space velocity was 4.4. The molar ratio hydrogen to hydrocarbon feed was 5.0. The reactor inlet pressure was 50 psig, and the initial reaction temperature was 875°F. After the initial activity was determined, the temperature was adjusted to give about 70% C₆+ non-aromatics conversion. After this adjustment, the temperature was held constant and conversion was allowed to fall with time on-stream.

-13-

1 The results for Catalyst A are shown in Table 1. The catalyst exhibited good
2 stability. Conversion fell about seven percent during the period from 1.0 to
3 11.0 days on-stream. In a commercial unit, the temperature would be raised to
4 compensate for the loss of activity. The C₈ aromatics content of the product at
5 71.3% conversion was 9.5 wt. %. This was about 67% of the theoretical yield
6 based on C₈ aromatics in feed and C₈ non-aromatics converted.

-14-

1

TABLE 1					
TEST OF CATALYST A CONTAINING NO BISMUTH					
	FEED	PRODUCTS			
Days		0.04	1.04	6.04	11.17
Temperature, °F		875	875	875	875
Conversion, wt. %		71.3	64.7	61.1	57.6
Composition, wt. %					
Non-Aromatics					
H ₂		4.3	4.3	4.1	3.9
C ₁		2.4	1.8	1.5	1.3
C ₂		1.1	0.7	0.6	0.6
C ₃		1.7	0.6	0.4	0.4
C ₄		2.0	0.8	0.6	0.5
C ₅	2.2	4.3	3.4	3.2	3.1
C ₆	41.5	18.8	20.9	21.6	22.6
C ₇	37.7	6.4	9.5	11.4	13.1
C ₈	10.4	0.7	1.5	2.0	2.5
C ₉₊	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
Aromatics					
Benzene	0.1	20.7	19.1	18.3	17.1
Toluene	1.5	26.4	25.9	24.9	23.7
C ₈	5.1	9.5	10.0	10.0	10.0
C ₉	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.9
C ₁₀₊		1.0	0.6	0.4	0.2
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

2

-15-

1

Example 6

2

Test of Catalyst B Containing 0.05 Bi/Pt

3 Catalyst B was tested under the same conditions as Catalyst A. The results are
4 shown in Table 2. Catalyst B was initially less active than Catalyst A. However,
5 it was very stable. Conversion fell only 3.6% in ten days. At 72% conversion,
6 the yield of C₈ aromatics on feed was 11.0% which was 77% of the theoretical
7 yield. This compares to 67% with Catalyst A.

-16-

1

TABLE 2							
TEST OF CATALYST B (0.05 B/Pt)							
	FEED			PRODUCTS			
Days		0.04	0.17	1.17	1.29	6.29	11.29
Temperature, °F		875	894	894	910	910	910
Conversion, wt. %		59.2	67.1	63.0	72.7	72.1	69.1
Product Composition, wt. %							
Non-Aromatics							
H ₂		4.3	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.7
C ₁		1.5	1.6	1.3	1.6	1.3	1.2
C ₂		0.9	0.8	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7
C ₃		1.5	0.9	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.4
C ₄		1.6	1.0	0.6	0.7	0.5	0.5
C ₅	2.2	3.5	3.3	3.0	3.2	3.0	2.9
C ₆	41.5	23.9	20.3	21.2	16.9	16.4	17.9
C ₇	37.7	12.3	9.1	11.5	7.5	8.3	9.6
C ₈₊	11.2	0.7	0.4	0.7	0.3	0.5	0.5
Aromatics							
Benzene	0.1	14.7	18.8	18.0	22.6	23.0	21.6
Toluene	1.5	23.3	26.7	25.9	29.0	29.0	28.0
C ₈	5.1	10.2	10.8	10.8	10.8	11.0	11.0
C ₉₊	0.8	1.8	1.9	1.4	1.5	1.2	1.1
Total		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

2

-17-

1 Example 7

2 Test of Catalyst C (0.023 Bi/Pt)

3 Catalyst C was tested under the same conditions as Catalysts A and B. The
4 results are shown in Table 3. Catalyst C was initially less active than Catalyst A,
5 but more active than Catalyst B. It exhibited good stability after an initial line-out
6 period. At 71% conversion, the yield of C₈ aromatics was 11.0%. This was 84%
7 of the theoretical yield compared to 67% with Catalyst A.

-18-

1

TABLE 3
TEST OF CATALYST C (0.023 B/Pt)

Days	Feed	PRODUCTS					
		0.04	0.16	1.16	5.16	10.18	12.76
Temperature, °F		875	901	901	901	901	901
Conversion, wt. %		61.5	77.1	74.5	71.8	71.0	69.8
Product Composition, wt. %							
Non-Aromatics							
H ₂		4.0	5.1	5.1	4.9	4.8	4.8
C ₁		1.5	1.9	1.7	1.5	1.3	1.3
C ₂		0.7	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7
C ₃		0.9	0.8	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.4
C ₄		1.0	1.1	0.2	0.6	0.5	0.5
C ₅	2.2	3.3	3.6	3.3	3.1	4.7	4.6
C ₆	41.5	21.8	14.5	15.3	15.4	15.3	16.0
C ₇	37.7	11.1	5.5	6.6	8.5	9.1	9.4
C ₈	10.4	1.9	0.8	1.1	1.6	1.8	1.8
C ₉₊	0.8	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1
Aromatics							
Benzene	0.1	17.1	25.5	25.0	22.8	22.4	21.9
Toluene	1.5	24.3	28.7	28.8	28.1	27.0	26.9
C ₈	5.1	10.9	10.2	10.2	11.1	11.0	10.7
C ₉	0.8	1.0	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9
C ₁₀₊		0.5	0.7	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

2

1

Example 8

2

Test of Catalyst D Containing 0.14 Bi/Pt

3 Catalyst D contained more than the preferred amount of bismuth. When tested
4 in the same fashion as Catalysts B and C, it exhibited relatively poor activity and
5 stability but good C₈ aromatics selectivity. The results are shown in Table 4.
6 The C₈ aromatics yield on feed at 69.1% conversion was 10.4 wt. %. This
7 corresponded to 82% of the theoretical yield based on the amount of C₈
8 aromatics in the feed and the amount of C₈ non-aromatics converted.

-20-

1

TABLE 4
TEST OF CATALYST D (0.14 Bi/Pt)

Days	Feed	PRODUCTS					
		0.04	0.29	1.29	1.42	6.42	11.29
Temperature, °F		875	925	925	963	963	963
Conversion, wt. %		38.3	56.4	46.1	69.1	40.1	21.2
Product Composition, wt. %							
Non-Aromatics							
H ₂		2.3	3.7	3.2	4.8	2.8	1.6
C ₁		0.9	1.3	0.8	1.4	0.8	0.4
C ₂		0.6	0.7	0.4	0.8	0.6	0.4
C ₃		1.3	0.9	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.3
C ₄		1.4	1.1	0.5	0.8	0.5	0.4
C ₅	2.2	3.2	3.2	2.7	3.2	2.9	2.6
C ₆	41.5	29.7	22.5	25.4	16.3	26.8	33.0
C ₇	37.7	21.9	14.2	19.0	9.7	21.9	30.0
C ₈	10.4	4.0	2.6	4.1	1.8	5.1	7.7
C ₉₊	0.8	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.6
Aromatics							
Benzene	0.1	8.8	16.4	13.8	23.1	12.4	5.7
Toluene	1.5	14.7	21.3	18.2	25.2	15.5	8.6
C ₈	5.1	9.3	10.1	9.6	10.4	9.0	7.8
C ₉	0.8	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.0
C ₁₀₊		0.5	0.8	0.6	0.9	0.1	0.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

2

3 The results in Examples 1-8 show that the addition of small amounts of bismuth
 4 significantly reduced the dealkylation of C₈ aromatics, while increasing or

-21-

- 1 substantially maintaining catalyst stability. This is particularly advantageous in
- 2 aromatics manufacturing complexes where the focus is on making paraxylene
- 3 and benzene.

-22-



1 **WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:**

- 2 1. A process for reforming a hydrocarbon feed to obtain aromatics, comprising
3 contacting the feed, under catalytic reforming conditions, with a
4 monofunctional reforming catalyst comprising platinum, 0.01 to 0.25 atoms
5 of bismuth per atom of Group VIII metal, and a zeolite L.
- 6 2. A process in accordance with Claim 1 wherein the catalyst comprises 0.01
7 to 0.12 atoms of bismuth per atom of platinum.
- 8 3. A process in accordance with Claim 1 wherein the catalyst comprises 0.02
9 to 0.08 atoms of bismuth per atom of platinum.
- 10 4. A process in accordance with Claim 1 wherein the catalyst comprises one
11 or more halogens.
- 12 5. A process in accordance with Claim 4 wherein the catalyst comprises
13 chlorine or fluorine or both, in a total halogen amount of 0.05 to 3.0 weight
14 percent based on the zeolite L.
- 15 6. A process in accordance with Claim 1 wherein the feed comprises C₆ to C₁₂
16 hydrocarbons.
- 17 7. A process in accordance with Claim 1 wherein the feed is a C₆ to C₇
18 fraction, a C₆ to C₈ fraction, a C₇ to C₈ fraction, a C₆ to C₉ fraction, a C₇ to
19 C₉ fraction, C₇ fraction, or a C₈ fraction.
- 20 8. A catalytic reforming catalyst comprising a Group VIII metal, 0.01 to
21 0.12 atoms of bismuth per atom of Group VIII metal, and a zeolite L.



- 1 9. A catalyst in accordance with Claim 8 wherein the Group VIII metal is
2 platinum.
- 3 10. A catalyst in accordance with Claim 9 wherein the catalyst comprises 0.01
4 to 0.08 atoms of bismuth per atom of platinum.
- 5 11. A catalyst in accordance with Claim 8 wherein the catalyst comprises one
6 or more halogens.
- 7 12. A catalyst in accordance with Claim 11 wherein the catalyst comprises
8 chlorine or fluorine or both, in a total halogen amount of 0.05 to 3.0 weight
9 percent based on the zeolite L.