960897A-C
1P01

Method and recording device for recording signals on a record carrier.

The invention relates to a method of recording signals on a record carrier of a type that can be overwritten, information patterns of optically detectable marks being recorded on the record carrier in substantially parallel tracks, the tracks being scanned by a radiation beam for the purpose of recording the marks, the optically detectable marks being obtained by locally heating a suitable recording layer of the record carrier by means of the radiation beam, the energy contents of the radiation beam being set in response to the detection of undesired signals in a test information pattern recorded with the radiation beam.

The invention further relates to a recording device for recording signals in substantially parallel tracks on a record carrier of a type that can be overwritten, this recording device comprising scanning means for scanning the tracks with a radiation beam for the purpose of introducing in the tracks an information pattern of optically detectable marks which corresponds to the signal patterns, the device comprising setting means for setting the recording intensity with the aid of a setting signal to be applied to the setting means, this setting signal being dependent on the detection of undesired signals in a test information pattern recorded with the radiation beam.

A similar method and device for recording signals on the record carrier is known from European Patent Application published under No. 0 400 726. The method and recording device described in that European Patent Application give a procedure for media calibration by which a recording intensity is determined for a radiation beam by which information patterns are introduced in the recording layer of a record carrier. The described media calibration comprises the following procedure steps:

a. an information pattern having a first frequency is recorded in a track with a maximum recording intensity of the radiation beam;

b. then, in the same track, a second information pattern having a second frequency is recorded with a low, minimum recording intensity of the radiation beam;

c. the information recorded in the track is read out after which the read signal is applied to a detection mechanism tuned to the frequency of the first signal;

This Sheet Has Been Replaced

THIS SHEET HAS BEEN REPLACED

d. if signal rests of the first information pattern having the first frequency are still detected, steps b to d are repeated, the recording intensity for each repetition being increased by a specific desired randomly selectable step.

Once rests of the first information pattern having the first frequency have no longer been detected in procedure step d, an intensity setting of the radiation beam is found at which there is a guaranteed minimum error rate of the information pattern to be recorded and subsequently read out.

Above matter is illustrated with reference to Figs. 7 and 8 of said Application, with Fig. 8 representing an error rate characteristic as a function of the intensity of the radiation beam used for recording. As has been explained in the application, the method and device described therein provide a setting of the radiation intensity which is situated substantially in the middle of the flat domain of the error rate characteristic in which the error rate is low.

Although the described method and recording device as defined in European Patent Application 0 400 726 provide a highly reliable recording of information patterns, with a very low error rate, there are nevertheless several inherent disadvantages, for example:

- specially designed hardware is necessary for generating the information patterns having a first and a second frequency and for detecting the rest of the first information having the first frequency;
- a further disadvantage is that the first information pattern having the first frequency is recorded with full power of the laser diode which generates the radiation beam. Having the laser diode operated full power is disadvantageous in that this shortens its useful life.

It is one of the objects of the invention to provide a method as well as a recording device for which no additional hardware is necessary in the recording device for determining an optimum recording intensity setting for the laser beam, at which the information patterns recorded therewith yet have a desired low error rate.

It is a further object of the invention to provide a method and a recording device according to the invention, in which information patterns having a minimum error rate can be recorded with a minimum recording intensity.

A method according to the invention is thereto characterized, in that the test information pattern is a given randomly selectable data pattern, coded by means of

This Sheet Has Been Replaced



THIS SHEET HAS BEEN REPLACED

an error detection and correction algorithm, which test information pattern is recorded with a selected recording intensity of the radiation beam, after which the test information pattern is read out and an error rate in the data pattern is established by means of an error detection and correction algorithm, after which, in response to the error rate, a setting for the recording intensity of the radiation beam is selected for information pattern recording.

The method according to the invention utilizes the error detection and correction algorithm already used when signals are recorded. If a recorded information pattern is read out and processed by means of the error correction and detection algorithm, after the test information pattern has been recorded, which is derived from a randomly or freely selectable data pattern, the magnitude of the error rate in the known data pattern can be established. By means of the found error rate the setting of the radiation beam can be adjusted in the desired direction of intensity.

A recording device according to the invention is characterized, in that the recording device further includes reading means for reading an information pattern recorded with the radiation beam, and programmed data processing means for encoding data patterns by means of an error detection and correction algorithm for determining an error rate in a signal pattern belonging to a read out information pattern, and for determining an adjustment signal in dependence on the detected error rate.

A recording device according to the invention utilizes a programmed data processing means already used in the recording device, for encoding data patterns by means of an error detection and correction algorithm and for decoding recorded signal patterns by means of the error detection and correction algorithm. When a read out information pattern is decoded, an error rate is determined on the basis of which a setting signal for setting means to set the beam intensity of the radiation source is found.

The embodiment with automatic optimum setting of the beam intensity is especially suitable for recording devices in which the recording of the marks strongly depends on the recording intensity like, for example, in magnetooptical recording devices. However, the invention is not restricted to magnetooptical recordings but may alternatively be applied to other recording principles, such as, for example, recordings that can be overwritten on record carriers of the phase-change type, for which a record carrier is used whose structure, when scanned by a radiation beam, can be changed

This Sheet Has Been Replaced



THIS SHEET HAS BEEN REPLACED

from amorphous to crystalline and *vice versa* depending on the radiation method.

Further embodiments as well as advantages thereof will be described in detail with reference to the drawing Figures 1 to 5, in which:

Figs. 1a and 1b show a record carrier,

Fig. 2 shows a magnetooptical device according to the invention,

Fig. 3 shows a recording signal and the associated information pattern,

Fig. 4 shows reading reliability as a function of recording intensity,

Fig. 5 shows a flow chart of a preferred embodiment for a method of setting the recording intensity according to the invention,

Fig. 6 shows a first situation of an initial recording intensity with respect to the recording window in the error rate characteristic, and

Fig. 7 shows a further situation of an initial recording intensity relative to the recording window.

Fig. 1 shows an embodiment of a record carrier 1 of a type that can be overwritten, in which Fig. 1a shows the top elevation and Fig. 1b shows a small part of the section along line b-b. The record carrier 1 comprises a track pattern presenting information areas located substantially concentrically relative to each other, which areas are intended for recording information in the form of information patterns of optically detectable marks. The track pattern may consist, for example, of a continuous helical servo-track 4 denoting the middle of the information areas. The concentric information areas, however, may also be denoted by a structure of commonly termed servo-patterns, as has been described, for example, in Dutch Patent Application NL-A 8702905 (PHN 12.339). For recording purposes the record carrier 1 comprises a recording layer 6 deposited on a transparent substrate 5 and covered by a protective coating 7. The recording layer 6 is of a material suitable for magneto-optical recording. There should be observed that information layer 6 may, however, also consist of a different material, for example, a commonly termed phase-change material, whose structure may be changed from amorphous to crystalline and *vice versa* by suitable radiation methods.

Fig. 2 shows an embodiment of a magnetooptical recording device 10 according to the invention for recording information on the record carrier 1. The recording device 10 comprises a turntable 11 and a drive motor 12 for causing the record carrier 1 to rotate around an axis 13. Opposite to the rotating record carrier 1 is installed an optical read/write head 14 of a customary type suitable for magnetooptical

This Sheet Has Been Replaced



THIS SHEET HAS BEEN REPLACED

recording and reading, with which a radiation beam 15 is focused on the recording layer 16. The recording device 10 comprises customary servo-tracking means (not shown) for keeping the radiation beam 15 focused on the servo-track 4, customary focusing means for keeping the radiation beam 15 focused on the recording layer 6 and customary addressing means for retrieving *via* a specific address, as has been described, for example, in EP-A 0 265 904 and NL-A 8800151 (PHN 12.063 and PHN 12.398). Opposite to the read/write head 14, on the other side of the record carrier 1, a magnetic field modulator 16 is installed for generating a magnetic field H directed substantially perpendicularly to the recording layer 6 in the area of the recording layer 6 radiated by the radiation beam 15. The magnetic field modulator 16 is rigidly attached to the read/write head 14 by means of a bracket 17. The read/write head 14 as well as the magnetic field modulator 16 may be moved radially relative to the record carrier by means of a displacement system 18, the bracket 17 providing that the magnetic field modulator 16 continues to be positioned opposite to the read/write head. The magnetic field modulator 16 is one of a type in which the direction of the generated magnetic field can be modulated in accordance with a binary recording signal Vm. Such a magnetic field modulator has been described in detail, for example, in Dutch Patent Application no. 8702451 (PHN 12.294) which is considered included in this Application by reference.

The device 10 further includes a control circuit 19a for controlling the read/write head 14, the displacement system 18 and for generating the recording signal Vm. When information is recorded, the servo-track 4 is scanned by the radiation beam 15 whose intensity is set to a recording intensity sufficiently high for heating up the part of the record carrier 6 scanned by the radiation beam 15 near to the Curie temperature of the material of the recording layer 6. At the same time the recording signal Vm and hence the generated magnetic field H, is modulated in accordance with the information to be recorded, as a result of which a pattern of marks in the form of magnetic domains occurs in the scanned part of the servo-track 4 which pattern corresponds to the recording signal Vm. The domains thus realised may be detected optically as will be further described in detail.

Essential to the recording device 10 according to the invention are the signal processing means 19b which are coupled to the control circuit 19a *via* a two-way data bus 19c. The data bus 19c may be a serial (1-bit-wide) or a parallel (multibit-wide)

This Sheet Has Been Replaced



THIS SHEET HAS BEEN REPLACED

data bus. The signal processing means 19b receive *via* a two-way (input and output) bus 19d a data pattern which is encoded by means of an error detection and correction algorithm (CIRC or other Reed-Solomon code) in the programmed processing means 19b. The information pattern thus obtained is applied to the control means 19a *via* the bus 19c, and so is a setting for the recording intensity of the radiation beam 15 with which the information pattern is recorded in the recording layer 6 of the record carrier 1.

An information pattern read out with the read/write head and having signal shape V1 is transferred by the control circuit 19a over the bus 19c to the processing means 19b which attempt to encode the data pattern from the information pattern by means of the error detection and correction algorithm. The processing means 19b establish an error rate occurring in the data pattern and, on the basis of the error rate, determine a setting signal for setting the recording intensity of the radiation beam 15. The control circuit 19a thereto receives the setting signal over bus 19c.

Fig. 3 illustrates the variation with time of the recording signal Vm, the associated magnetic field H and the consequent pattern of magnetic domains with different directions of magnetization. The domains with different directions of magnetization are denoted by different reference characters, that is to say, 30 and 31. The middle of the servo-track 4 in which the pattern is recorded is diagrammatically shown by a line 4'. The pattern of magnetic domains 30, 31 may be read out by the read/write head 14 which thereto scans the pattern with a scanning beam of linearly polarized light. Upon reflection of the radiated beam the polarization direction of the radiated beam is turned in a direction determined by the direction of the magnetization of the scanned portion of the recording layer 6. In this manner a modulation pattern of variations of polarization directions is obtained, which pattern corresponds to the scanned pattern of the magnetic domains 30, 31. This modulation is detected in a customary fashion in the read/write head 14 with the aid of, for example, a Wollaston prism, photoelectric converters and an amplifier circuit which converts the output signals of the photoelectric converters into a reading signal V1 which represents the read out pattern as has been described, for example, in Dutch Patent Application NL-A 8602304 (PHQ 86.017).

One of the major aspects for recording is the reliability with which the recorded information can again be read out. A known magnitude in which the reading

This Sheet Has Been Replaced



THIS SHEET HAS BEEN REPLACED

reliability can be expressed for recorded CD-A or CD-ROM signals is the so-termed "(Block) Error Rate" ((BL)ER for short). The magnitude (BL)ER denotes the number of (EFM) blocks per unit of time in which one or more uncorrectable errors have been detected by means of the error detection and correction algorithm.

Fig. 4 shows the behaviour of the BLER value as a function of the recording intensity P. It appears that the BLER value within a range from P_{min} to P_{max} substantially adopts a constant minimum value, whereas outside this range the BLER value rapidly increases. Between the values P_{min} and P_{max} the reading reliability is substantially insensitive to intensity variations which are unavoidable as a result of the variety of tolerances in the recording system.

Fig. 4 shows the error rate BLER as a function of the recording intensity P for a specific scanning velocity of the track 4 during recording. The optimum recording intensity is thus not situated midway between P_{min} and P_{max}, but just above P_{min}. The value of the optimum recording intensity may be determined in advance for a specific record carrier. Prior to the recording of the information on this record carrier the recording intensity of the recording device may then basically be set at this value.

However, the following problems will then occur:

1) There is a large variation in the radiation sensitivity of recording layers, even though they are made of the same magnetooptical material. This is caused by the customarily applied method of depositing the recording layer, such as, for example, by sputtering.

2) There is a great influence of the scanning velocity on the optimum recording intensity. This is especially a problem if the recording velocity for various recording devices varies strongly, as is the case, for example, with recording devices for CD signals in which the permissible recording velocity is situated between 1.2 m/sec and 1.4 m/sec.

3) Accurately determining an absolute radiation power is a great problem in practice. The mutual spread of power meters is of the order of 10%. In addition, different adjustment conditions cause additional deviations to occur.

4) Finally, the shape of the scanned spot caused by the radiation beam on the recording layer 6 and the wavelength of the radiation likewise influence the optimum recording intensity.

All this means that the variation in the optimum recording intensity is so

This Sheet Has Been Replaced



THIS SHEET HAS BEEN REPLACED

large that there cannot be guaranteed that for a fixed setting of the recording intensity this recording intensity lies within the recording window of the record carrier in which the BLER value is low.

In the following a method will be described in which the optimum recording intensity can be set reliably and simply. First a preferred embodiment of a method according to the invention will be described with reference to Fig. 5 in which a flow chart of this preferred embodiment is shown.

Fig. 5 shows the flow chart representing the preferred embodiment of a method according to the invention, by which an optimum setting of the recording intensity for the radiation beam 15 in a recording device as shown in Fig. 2 is found. In a first step S_1 an initial recording intensity E_I for the proposed method as well as an initial step size ΔE, which denotes the change in the recording intensity E, are set. Said step size ΔE is $(E_{max}-E_{min})/2$. Herein E_{max} and E_{min} are the top and bottom limit respectively, of the setting range of the intensity E of the radiation beam 15. In a next step S_2 of the method the step size ΔE is halved. With these two steps the following is obtained. The initial recording intensity E_I is selected to be in the middle of the setting range of the write head 14. By setting the step size ΔE, in step S_2, there is achieved that with a next setting of the recording intensity E the latter will be either the minimum intensity E_{min} plus a quarter of the total setting range, or the maximum intensity E_{max} minus a quarter of the setting range. In step S_3 information is recorded on the record carrier with the set recording intensity E. In a next step, S_4, of the method the information is read from the record carrier and the error rate ER is determined on the basis of the read out information. In a next step, S_5, there is determined whether the error rate ER lies below an acceptable level ACC. In a next step, S_6, there is tested whether, if the error rate ER lies below a desired level ACC, the step size ΔE is smaller than a minimum step size ΔE_{min}. If this is not the case, the recording intensity E is adjusted with the step size ΔE, in a next step S_7, after which the steps S_2, S_3, S_4 and S_5 are repeated. If, still in step S_5, it appears that the error rate ER is smaller than the acceptable level ACC, again in step S_6 there is tested whether the step size ΔE lies below the minimum step size ΔE_{min}. If that is the case, the desired recording intensity E_W is set in a next step S_8, subsequent to a step S_{14}, in which there is tested whether the error rate ER lies below an acceptable level ACC, which recording intensity is equal to a constant R multiplied by the recording intensity E set at that moment. The constant

This Sheet Has Been Replaced



THIS SHEET HAS BEEN REPLACED

R is a factor larger than 1.1 and smaller than 2.2. In magnetooptical recording this factor preferably lies between the boundaries 1.5 and 1.7. Once this desired recording intensity E_W has been set, the program stops at step S_9. The part of the method according to the invention and described hereinbefore determines the bottom P_{min} of the recording window represented in Fig. 4, because there has been assumed that the initial setting of the recording intensity E_I is situated somewhere in the window between P_{min} and P_{max}.

Fig. 6 shows in this situation the initial recording intensity E_I with respect to the recording window between P_{min} and P_{max}. It will be evident that since in step S_7 the set recording intensity is constantly reduced by a step size ΔE, once a specific step has been taken, the recording intensity E at that moment may be below the threshold P_{min} of the recording window. This will appear in a next calculation of the error rate in step S_4 and the test in step S_5 in which the error rate ER is tested relative to the acceptable error level ACC. In this case step S_5 will be followed by step S_{10} in which there is tested whether a counter is set and has the value of unity. In the following this will be further explained. If this counter has not been set, there is tested in step S_{11} whether the step size ΔE in recording intensity is smaller than or equal to the minimum step size to be taken ΔE_{min}. If this is not the case, the recording intensity E is increased by the step ΔE in a subsequent step S_{12}. With this newly set recording intensity E, after the intensity step size ΔE has been adjusted in step S_2, the shifting of the information in step S_3 and the reading of the information in step S_4, again the error rate ER is determined and in step S_5 there is tested whether this error rate ER lies below the acceptable level ACC. In the manner described hereinbefore the corner P_{min} in the error rate characteristic and the associated recording intensity E are found very rapidly. The thus found recording intensity E is used as a basis for determining a desired recording intensity E_W which is found by multiplying the recording intensity E by a constant R. The result is that information on the record carrier 6 is recorded with an intensity exceeding the minimum recording intensity P_{min}, with which the information can still be read out with an acceptable error rate ACC.

Above-described method works out correctly as long as the initial recording intensity E_I lies within the recording window or below the minimum recording intensity P_{min}. The case where the initial recording intensity E_I lies above P_{max}, that is the corner between the recording window and the rising part of the error

This Sheet Has Been Replaced



THIS SHEET HAS BEEN REPLACED

rate characteristic, will cause a setting of the write head 14 to occur in the method described hereinbefore, in which the radiation beam 15 is given an ever higher recording intensity. This means that the setting control works the wrong way. Therefore, after a number of iteration steps have been made, in which the intensity step size ΔE is constantly reduced, once the step size ΔE has been tested in step S_{11} when the step size ΔE proves to be smaller than the minimum step size ΔE_{min}, in a step S_{13} the step size ΔE is again made equal to the initial step size, while step S_1 is used as a basis. In addition, a count C is made equal to 1 in step S_{13}. From there a loop back is made to step S_7 in the procedure described above. In this step S_7 the setting for the recording intensity E is modified, so that the recording intensity E is reduced. Needless to observe that the known steps S_2, S_3, S_4 and S_5 are subsequently carried out. There may again be two situations. The found error rate ER indeed lies below the acceptable level ACC, as a result of which the recording intensity for the next test is reduced *via* the steps S_6 and S_7. However, if the error rate ER is still above the acceptable level ACC, there is tested in step S_{10} whether the count C is actually equal to 1. If this is the case, the method is looped back to the steps S_6 and S_7, so that despite the fact that the error rate ER exceeds the acceptable level ACC, in a next test cycle the recording intensity E is lower than the previous recording intensity in a previous test cycle. Worded differently, from point E_I first several test cycles are performed with recording intensities E which are greater than the initial recording intensity E_I, after which a loop back is made to a recording intensity E which is smaller than E_I. The set counter with count 1 provides that a test cycle is made with an ever decreasing recording intensity E, which cycle results in the fact that a move to the left occurs in the error rate characteristic towards the flat recording window between P_{max} and P_{min}. The moment this flat recording window has been reached, each next setting of the recording intensity E will be lower, until either the minimum adjustable recording intensity E_{min} (cf. Fig. 7) is reached, or the minimum recording intensity P_{min} is reached. In that case the corner of this minimum recording intensity curve is found sufficiently accurately by performing several iterative steps around this corner. Therefore, it is necessary, in step S_5, after the error rate ER has been found to be lower than the acceptable level ACC, to reset the count of the counter to zero. This is to take place between the steps S_5 and S_6 while a loop back is to be made from step S_{10} to S_6.

By starting from the middle of the setting range of the recording intensity

This Sheet Has Been Replaced



THIS SHEET HAS BEEN REPLACED

in accordance with the method in the preferred embodiment and by halving the step size after each iteration, the corner P_{min} in the error rate characteristic may generally be found with precision after four or five steps. In the preferred embodiment of the method according to the invention the optimum setting for the recording intensity of the write head 14 is thus found very rapidly.

Needless to observe that there are various other methods of finding an optimum radiation intensity for the radiation beam 15. A similar method will be described hereinbelow by way of example.

In one of the methods this media calibration is performed in defined zones on the inside edge and/or outside edge of the record carrier 1. Naturally, first a test region which is to be recorded is first erased with a sufficiently high erase power, so that a start may be made in a clean test area. Then, starting from a minimum setting of the recording intensity, several sectors of, for example, 512 user bytes each are recorded in order to consider the information sufficiently reliably for each recording intensity setting, while steps of 1/10 mW each are taken. Then the sectors thus recorded are read out and the associated error rate is determined for each recording intensity value. A criterion could then be that, with a specific recording intensity, not more than a single one of the number of recorded sectors is allowed to be erroneous, that is to say, incorrigible. The minimum recording intensity may now be derived from the series of recorded sectors for which the recorded information may still be qualified as just sufficiently reliable. The recording intensity for the bottom limit of the recording window is used in the manner described hereinbefore for determining the optimum recording intensity for the write head 14. The multiplicand R which is the ratio of the optimum recording intensity to the minimum recording intensity is to be selected around 1.6.

It will be evident that the method described with reference to Fig. 5 may be modified in many ways. For example, the step size in recording intensity ΔE for the successive iterations may be kept constant until a corner in the error rate characteristic is found. Thereafter, the step size could be reduced and the range of the radiation intensities in which the found corner of the error rate characteristic is situated, could be covered with this smaller step size, so that the recording intensity belonging to the corner of the curve is determined more accurately and a smaller range is determined in which the corner lies. Then the step size ΔE could again be reduced and so on, until a

This Sheet Has Been Replaced



THIS SHEET HAS BEEN REPLACED

desired minimum step size ΔE is attained. Obviously, a larger number of steps will be necessary for this modification which implies that the adjustment of the recording device to the record carrier available at that moment will take longer.

Furthermore, a combination of the method described above and the method described with reference to Fig. 5 is possible. First the setting range of the radiation source is passed through with a reasonably large step size ΔE, so that, once several steps have been made, the corner of the error rate characteristic and the associated path determined by the step size are known. Then, starting from the recording intensity set at that moment, the method could be followed which has been described with reference to Fig. 5. In that case the method steps S_{10}, S_{13}, S_{14} and S_{15} in the flow chart shown in Fig. 5 may be omitted.

The methods described hereinbefore are applicable to many media, such as MO are phase-change materials. However, a restriction is the group of media that have a relatively narrow recording window in the error rate characteristic. In that case one should not only determine the bottom limit of the window, but also determine the top limit of the recording window in the same manner, after which the average of the top and bottom limits can be taken as the optimum power.

This Sheet Has Been Replaced



THIS SHEET HAS BEEN REPLACED

6

Claims:




1. Method of recording signals on a record carrier of a type that can be overwritten, information patterns of optically detectable marks being recorded on the record carrier in substantially parallel tracks, the tracks being scanned by a radiation beam for the purpose of recording the marks, the optically detectable marks being obtained by locally heating a suitable recording layer of the record carrier by means of the radiation beam, the energy contents of the radiation beam being set in response to the detection of undesired signals in a test information pattern recorded with the radiation beam, characterized in that the test information pattern is a given randomly selectable data pattern, coded by means of an error detection and correction algorithm, which test information pattern is recorded with a selected recording intensity of the radiation beam, after which the test information pattern is read out and an error rate in the data pattern is established by means of an error detection and correction algorithm, after which, in response to the error rate, a setting for the recording intensity of the radiation beam is selected for information pattern recording.

2. Method as claimed in Claim 1, characterized in that various information patterns are successively recorded in the recording layer each with increasing recording intensity, after which each information pattern is read out and the error rate of each information pattern determined, from which successive error rate a minimum recording intensity can be determined with which the error rate lies at an acceptable bottom limit, after which an optimum recording intensity is set by multiplying the found minimum recording intensity by a fixed number.

3. Method as claimed in Claim 1, in which the radiation source has an intensity setting range, the radiation source being initially set in the middle of its setting range, an information pattern being recorded with associated recording intensity after which this information pattern is read out and its error rate determined, the recording intensity is changed with a specific step which depends on the error rate, after which the writing and successively reading of an information pattern is effected and the error rate of the thus recorded and read information pattern is determined and the setting of

This Sheet Has Been Replaced



THIS SHEET HAS BEEN REPLACED

the radiation source is corrected on the basis of the found error rate with a step determined by the error rate, and previous steps are repeated until a corner in the error rate intensity characteristic is found, after which an optimum intensity setting for the recording intensity is established by multiplying by a fixed factor a minimum recording intensity determined by the corner in the error rate intensity characteristic.

4. Method as claimed in Claim 3, characterized in that the step size of the modification of recording intensity to be selected is reduced each time an error rate is determined.

5. Method as claimed in Claim 4, characterized in that the reduction is a division by two.

6. Method as claimed in Claim 1, 2 or 3, characterized in that the constant factor is situated between the values of 1.1 and 2.2.

7. Method as claimed in Claim 4, characterized in that the factor lies around the value of 1.6.

8. Recording device for recording signal patterns in substantially parallel tracks on a record carrier of a type that can be overwritten, the recording device comprising scanning means for scanning the tracks with a radiation beam for the purpose of introducing in the tracks an information pattern of optically detectable marks which corresponds to the signal patterns, the device comprising setting means for setting the recording intensity with the aid of a setting signal to be applied to the setting means, which setting signal depends on the detection of undesired signals in a test information pattern recorded with the radiation beam, characterized in that the recording device further includes reading means for reading an information pattern recorded with the radiation beam and programmed data processing means:

- for encoding data patterns by means of an error detection and correction algorithm,
- for determining an error rate in signal patterns belonging to a read information pattern,
- for determining a setting signal as a function of error rates.

9. Recording device as claimed in preceding Claim 8, characterized in that the programmed data processing means initially produce a setting signal for the setting means which set the recording intensity of the radiation beam substantially in the middle of its setting range.

This Sheet Has Been Replaced

THIS SHEET HAS BEEN REPLACED

10. Recording device as claimed in preceding Claim 8 or 9, characterized in that the programmed data processing means are programmed for producing setting signals with which a setting range of the recording intensity of the radiation beam is passed through successively in various steps.

11. Recording device as claimed in Claim 10, characterized in that the initial step size is substantially equal to 1/4 of the setting range.

12. Recording device as claimed in preceding claim 10 or 11, characterized in that after each cycle in which information patterns are recorded with a set recording intensity in the tracks, the recorded information patterns are read out and an error rate is determined of each read information pattern and a new setting signal is generated in dependence on the error rate, the step size of the setting of the recording intensity being reduced.


13. Recording device as claimed in preceding Claim 12, characterized in that the reduction is a division by two.

14. Recording device as claimed in one of the preceding Claims 8 to 13, characterized in that the corner in the error rate characteristic belonging to the minimum recording intensity with an acceptable error rate is iteratively found and an effective recording intensity is set by multiplying the found minimum recording intensity by a constant factor.

15. Recording device as claimed in preceding Claim 14, characterized in that the factor has a value between 1.1 and 2.2.

16. Recording device as claimed in preceding Claim 15, characterized in that the constant factor is about 1.6.

17. Recording device as claimed in one of the preceding Claims 8 to 16, characterized in that a test information pattern comprises various information blocks, the number of blocks being at least three and an acceptable error rate being a maximum of one erroneous and incorrigible information block.



This Sheet Has Been Replaced



THIS SHEET HAS BEEN REPLACED