

-1-

REFORMING USING A BOUND ZEOLITE CATALYST

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to reforming, especially dehydrocyclizing, hydrocarbons to form aromatics using a large pore zeolite catalyst. Reforming embraces several reactions, such as dehydrogenation, isomerization, dehydroisomerization, cyclization and dehydrocyclization. In the process of the present invention, aromatics are formed from the feed hydrocarbons to the reforming reaction zone, and dehydrocyclization is believed to be the most important reaction in the present process.

U.S. Patent No. 4,104,320, granted on August 1, 1978, discloses that it is possible to dehydrocyclize paraffins to produce high octane aromatics with high selectivity using a monofunctional nonacidic largepore zeolite catalyst. The catalyst consists essentially of a type L zeolite having exchangeable cations of which at least 90% are sodium, lithium, potassium, rubidium or cesium and contains at least one Group VIII noble metal (or tin or germanium). In particular, catalysts having platinum on potassium form Lzeolite exchanged with a rubidium or caesium salt were claimed to achieve exceptionally high selectivity for n-hexane conversion to benzene. As disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 4,104,320, the L zeolites are typically synthesized in the potassium form. A portion, usually not more than 80%, of the potassium cations can be exchanged so that other cations replace the exchangeable potassium.

Results as in U.S. Patent No. 4,104,320 were also reported by J. R. Bernard at the 5th International Zeolite

REPLACED SHEETS SG

✓ Description	: paged ...1... to paged ...31.....
✓ Claim(s)	: paged ...32... to paged ...37.....
✓ Drawing(s)	: paged ...38... to paged ...42.....
✓ Abstract	: paged to paged
PCT/US89/00	

This Sheet Has Been Replaced



-2-

01 Conference in 1980. But, while it was clear that the
02 improvement in selectivity was significant, particularly
03 for C_6C_8 paraffins and especially for C_6 paraffins, it was
04 independently found that the catalyst had limited
05 commercial potential. At conventional low pressure
06 reforming conditions (about 200 psig) catalyst life was
07 measured in hours and days, obviously an unacceptably
08 short cycle life. Nonetheless, it had now been demon-
09 strated that a platinum-containing alkali metal exchanged
10 Lzeolite catalyst could achieve exceptionally high
11 selectivity for the conversion of paraffins to aromatics.
12 Advancing that discovery to a commercial catalyst became a
13 new goal of catalytic reforming research.

14
15 An important step forward was disclosed in U.S. Patent
16 No. 4,434,311, granted on February 28, 1984; U.S. Patent
17 No. 4,435,283, granted on March 6, 1984; U.S. Patent
18 No. 4,447,316, granted on May 8, 1984 and U.S. Patent
19 No. 4,517,306, granted on May 14, 1985. These patents
20 describe catalysts comprising a large pore zeolite
21 exchanged with an alkaline earth metal (barium, strontium,
22 or calcium, preferably barium) containing one or more
23 Group VIII metals (preferably platinum) and their use in
24 reforming petroleum naphthas. An essential element in the
25 catalyst is the alkaline earth metal. Especially when the
26 alkaline earth metal is barium, and the largepore zeolite
27 is L-zeolite, the catalysts were found to provide even
28 higher selectivities than the corresponding alkali-
29 exchanged L-zeolite catalysts disclosed in U.S. Patent No.
30 4,104,320. Moreover, another equally significant benefit
31 achieved by the use of an alkaline earth metal exchanged
32 L-zeolite catalyst is that the catalyst retained its
33 activity over a commercially acceptable cycle life.

34

This Sheet Has Been Replaced



The
pore
exch
sele
meta
surf
"no
"mo

Hav
cyc
Unf
the
con
sus
4,4
fou
fee
pai
ach
th

Af
an
co
so
ba
la
th
We
of
2
3 We
4 i

-3-

The discovery that alkaline earth metal exchanged large pore zeolite reforming catalysts, especially the barium exchanged L-zeolite containing platinum, provide high selectivity even relative to the corresponding alkali metal exchanged L-zeolite containing platinum was surprising. These catalysts are all substantially "nonacidic" and therefore have been referred to as "monofunctional catalysts".

Having discovered a selective catalyst with an acceptable cycle life, commercialization seemed straightforward. Unfortunately, that was not the case. It was found that the high selectivity, large pore zeolite catalysts containing a Group VIII metal were unexpectedly susceptible to sulfur poisoning. U.S. Patent No. 4,456,527 discloses this discovery. Specifically, it was found that the concentration of sulfur in the hydrocarbon feed should be at ultralow levels, preferably less than 100 parts per billion (ppb), more preferably less than 50 ppb to achieve improved stability/activity for the catalyst used in the process.

After recognizing the sulfur sensitivity of these catalysts and determining the necessary level of sulfur control, commercialization again seemed feasible. However, as is sometimes the case with an emerging technology, another setback was encountered. It was found that certain of the large pore zeolite catalysts are surprisingly sensitive to the presence of water while under reaction conditions. Water greatly accelerates the rate of deactivation of some of these catalysts.

Water sensitivity is an extremely serious drawback. Water is produced at the beginning of each cycle when the catalyst



01 is reduced with hydrogen. Water can also be produced during
02 process upsets when water leaks into the reformer feed or
03 the feed becomes contaminated with an oxygen-containing
04 compound. If the catalyst must be protected from water,
05 then expensive additional equipment is required.

06 SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

07 According to the present invention, a process is provided
08 for reforming aliphatic hydrocarbons to form aromatic
09 hydrocarbons in a reaction zone which may be subjected to
10 periodic exposure to more than 3 ppm water. The process
11 comprises contacting the feed under reforming reaction
12 conditions with a catalyst comprising a Group VIII metal, a
13 largepore zeolite and a binder, and wherein the catalyst has
14 a water-sensitivity index less than 1.3, preferably less
15 than 1.1.
16

17 The water-sensitivity index (WSI) is defined in more detail
18 below. In a broad sense, it is a ratio of rates of the
19 reforming reaction for a given catalyst at given reforming
20 reaction conditions when the reaction is run essentially dry
21 (for example, less than 3 ppm water) for a period of time
22 versus when the reaction is run wet (for example, about 100
23 ppm water) for the same period of time. Unless otherwise
24 indicated, ppm of water referred to herein is on a volume
25 basis relative to the total feed (hydrocarbon and hydrogen
26 gas) to the reactor at standard conditions (one atmosphere
27 pressure and 60°F).
28

29
30 Preferably, the WSI for the catalyst used in the process of
31 the present invention is less than 1.1, more preferably,
32 less than or equal to about 1.0.
33
34



Acco
pres
proc
furt
leve
more
have
toge
carb
here
dehy

Acco
the
have
whe
sil
zeo
imp
alu
the

The
pre
lb
pr
en
ac
th

Cr
Ca
ai
ne

-5-

According to a particularly preferred embodiment of the present invention, the catalyst selected for use in the process is one having the aforementioned low WSI and further, the feed to the process has an ultra low sulfur level, preferably less than 100 ppb by weight of sulfur, more preferably, less than 50 ppb by weight of sulfur. We have found that when these two features are brought together, namely, ultra low sulfur content in the hydrocarbon feed and the use of a low WSI catalyst as defined herein, a particularly advantageous and reliable dehydrocyclization process can be attained.

According to another particularly preferred embodiment of the present invention, as discussed in more detail below, we have found that especially advantageous results are obtained when using a silica bound large pore zeolite, preferably a silica bound L zeolite. We have found the binding of the zeolite, particularly the preferred L zeolite, is markedly improved by using a binding enhancement agent such as aluminum nitrate to treat the zeolite prior to completion of the binding with silica.

The preferred catalysts used in the reforming process of the present invention have a crush strength of at least 1.8 lbs/mm, more preferably at least 2.0 lbs/mm and most preferably at least 2.2 lbs/mm. Use of a binding enhancement agent has been found by us to be effective in achieving the preferred high strength catalysts for use in the present process.

Crush strength is measured by the flat plate crush method. Catalyst particles are dried to constant weight at 950°F in air. Their length is measured in mm. and the weight necessary to initiate cracking of the particle is measured

This Sheet Has Been Replaced





-6-

01 as it lays on its side on one flat plate and another flat
02 plate is brought into contact with it. The crush strength
03 is then calculated by dividing the weight by the length for
04 at least ten each of statistically sampled extrudates.
05 Typical lengths for the particles are from 0.2 to 0.7 cm.

06
07 The process of the present invention is advantageous both
08 when the reforming reaction is operated at water levels in
09 the feed greater than about 3 ppm water and also, when it
10 ordinarily is operated dry but is subject to periodic upsets
11 of greater than about 3 ppm water. The "upsets" causing
12 water to enter a normally dry reaction zone can occur easily
13 and are relatively commonplace.

14
15 Examples of such upsets often occur in reforming units where
16 the feed to the reformer is obtained from a hydrotreating
17 unit, and the hydrotreating unit includes a stripping column
18 which is operated using a steamheated reboiler. The
19 steamheated reboiler can introduce water into the hydrocar-
20 bon feed if minute leaks develop in the reboiler piping or
21 headers, etc. More generally, water can be introduced to
22 the hydrocarbon feed when heat is added to the feed by heat
23 exchange with water or steam.

24
25 Another possible source of water is the startup of the
26 catalytic operation during which time water is formed as the
27 catalyst is reduced with hydrogen and when water may be
28 desorbed from the catalyst or the reactor internals.

29
30 Likewise, another possible source of water is catalyst
31 regeneration. After a period of time onstream, the catalyst
32 becomes fouled with coke and it is necessary to burn the
33 coke off the catalyst. During the process of burning the
34 coke, water is formed. This water may be adsorbed at

vari
inte
is s
the
zone

Beca
more
unsp
wher
zeo.
wat

Thu
our
car
sur
eve
wat
the
pla
to
le
Ne
Ma

Fu
ir
re
te
u.
f
p
a

-7-

various places in the reaction system, including reactor internals and the catalyst itself. Then, when the process is started up again, the water is desorbed and recycles with the recycle hydrogen gas to the dehydrocyclization reaction zone.

Because there is a high probability of water from one or more of the sources mentioned above, or from other unspecified sources, we have found that it is advantageous when reforming is carried out using a bound largepore zeolite catalyst, to use a catalyst which has a low water-sensitivity index.

Thus, among other factors, the present invention is based on our discovery that catalytic reforming to produce aromatics carried out using a bound largepore zeolite catalyst is surprisingly disadvantageously effected by the presence of even small amounts of water, such as about 3 ppm to 20 ppm water, whereas in other reforming processes, for example, those using bifunctional catalysts, such as platinum or platinum rhenium on halogenated alumina, the presence of 3 to 20 ppm water, is frequently deemed advantageous, or at least not substantially detrimental. In this regard, see Nevison et al, NPRA Paper AM-7420, 72nd Annual Meeting, March 31/April 2, 1974.

Further, according to a preferred embodiment, the present invention is based on our finding that a highly advantageous reforming process for aromatics production, especially in terms of run length and activity, is achieved by using an ultra low sulfur feed and a low WSI catalyst. Still further, according to another preferred embodiment, the present invention is based on our findings that highly advantageous results are achieved in terms of run length and

This Sheet Has Been Replaced



-8-

01 performance after catalyst regeneration and/or after
02 exposure to small amounts of sulfur, by carrying out the
03 reforming process using a silica bound catalyst, preferably
04 an L zeolite silica bound catalyst wherein the crush
05 strength of the catalyst has been improved by treatment of
06 the zeolite with a binding enhancement agent, such as
07 aluminum nitrate, prior to binding the zeolite with silica.

08
09 The largepore zeolite which is used in the process of the
10 present invention is a zeolite having an effective pore
11 opening of 6 to 15^oA in diameter. Particularly preferred
12 zeolite for use in the catalyst used in the process of the
13 present invention are type-L zeolites.

14
15 Preferred L-zeolite compositions for use in the catalyst
16 which is employed in the process of the present invention
17 are alkaline earth metal exchanged L-zeolites, especially
18 calcium, strontium or barium exchanged L-zeolite. Still
19 more preferably, the alkaline earth metal is barium.

20
21 We have found that bound zeolite catalysts are more
22 susceptible to the effects of water during reforming to
23 produce aromatics than unbound zeolite catalysts. While the
24 problem of water sensitivity does not tend to occur when
25 using a catalyst selected from various of the catalysts
26 comprising Group VIII metal on unbound zeolite, such unbound
27 catalysts are usually not practical for commercial use.

28
29 In the present process a bound zeolite catalyst is used. We
30 have found that preferred binders for the catalyst used in
31 the process of our invention are silica, alumina, and
32 silica/alumina combinations. Other inorganic refractory
33 oxides may be used, but it is critical in our process that
34 the water-sensitivity index requirement of the present

This Sheet Has Been Replaced



inver
bindi

We h
form
rang
the
by n
reve
Reve
bind
or
cat:
hyd
nit
lan
be
zec
cru
par
bel
re:
po
su

Al
si
ca
ir
st
ac
cl
a
4

-9-

invention be satisfied. We especially prefer silica binders.

We have found that the L zeolite, particularly the potassium form L zeolite, has a negatively charged surface in the pH range of 3 to 11. We have also found that the binding of the L zeolite to the preferred silica binder can be enhanced by modifying the zeolite surface to

reverse the negatively charged surface of the zeolite.

Reversing the negatively charged surface and enhancing the binding can be done with a cationic species, such as Al, La or Zr. Nitrate, chloride and sulfate salts of the indicated cationic species can be effectively used, such as alumina hydroxynitrate (AHN), aluminum chlorhydrol (ACH), aluminum nitrate ($\text{Al}(\text{NO}_3)_3$), aluminum sulfate ($\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3$), and lanthanum nitrate ($\text{La}(\text{NO}_3)_3$). Thus, various metal salts can be used, provided they reduce the negative charge on the zeolite surface and enhance the binding so as to improve crush strength of the bound catalyst. $\text{Al}(\text{NO}_3)_3$ is a particularly preferred metal salt for this purpose. We believe that aluminum sulfate is not preferred in the reforming process of the present invention because of the potential of catalyst poisoning from sulfur from the sulfate.

Alkali metal ions have been used as crosslinking agents in silica binding of zeolites, but such use is detrimental to catalytic activity in many instances. In the present invention, we have found the use of an aluminum compound such as aluminum nitrate is not detrimental to catalytic activity. We believe the aluminum compounds can reverse the charge on the zeolite surface and allow for mutual attraction between the zeolite and the negatively charged

This Sheet Has Been Replaced



-10-

01 silica binder and consequent enhanced binding integrity or
02 strength.

03
04 In preparing the silicabound L zeolite catalyst in
05 accordance with preferred embodiments of the present
06 invention, either the zeolite is treated in advance with a
07 binding enhancement metal salt or the zeolite is comminuted in
08 the presence of the metal salt added to the miller followed
09 by addition of the silica binder. In the embodiment where
10 the zeolite is separately prepared with the binding
11 enhancer, binding enhancer such as an aluminum salt can be
12 mixed with the zeolite in a slurry, followed by pH
13 adjustment to precipitate aluminum species on the surface of
14 the zeolite. The modified zeolite is separated from the
15 slurry, combined with the silica binder, and either extruded
16 or spray dried to form product. The product can be
17 subsequently treated, as with platinum addition and other
18 steps as described elsewhere herein, to produce a reforming
19 catalyst.

20
21 Electrophoretic measurements preferably are carried out on
22 the zeolite to determine the amount of binding agent
23 enhancer to achieve strong binding between the zeolite and
24 the binder, such as the silica binder. Preferably the
25 amount of binding agent enhancer is adjusted so that the
26 zeolite surface will be approximately at the isoelectric
27 point (IEP) or slightly past this point to the positive
28 side.

29
30 We have found that the moisture content of the L zeolite-
31 binder material to be extruded to form the catalyst base
32 preferably is controlled to a low level in order to achieve
33 good crush strength on the product. For the L zeolite-silica
34 product material, preferably the moisture of the material

prior
minus
prefe
this
reduc
the L
prefe
this
zeol:
from

The
can
crit
the
part
over
water
bind
be s
bind
int:

In
for
wat
deh
Tes
not
des

1
2
3
4

This Sheet Has Been Replaced



-11-

prior to extrusion is controlled to about 36.5, plus or minus about 2 or 3%, L.O.I. (loss on ignition), more preferably 36.5 wt. % L.O.I. plus or minus 0.5%. To achieve this relatively low moisture content, it is preferred to reduce the moisture content of the L zeolite used to form the L zeolite silica mixture to less than 15% L.O.I., more preferably less than 13% L.O.I. In experimental work in this area we obtained suitably dried L zeolite by drying L zeolite powder at 100°C for 16 hours to reduce the L.O.I. from 21.75 wt. % to less than 8 wt. %.

The catalyst used in the process of the present invention can be prepared in various manners, but, again, it is critical that the preparation be such that the catalyst have the aforestated low water sensitivity index. When using the particularly preferred silica binder, we have found that overwashing of the silica bound catalyst can induce unwanted water sensitivity to the catalyst. When using an alumina binder we have also discovered that the alumina should not be subjected to extensive peptization with acid during binding as such peptization was found by us to also introduce water sensitivity to the catalyst.

In our examples below, we illustrate alternate preparations for the catalyst, which can be followed to achieve the low water-sensitivity index catalyst required for use in the dehydrocyclization process of the present invention.

Testing the prepared catalyst for water-sensitivity index is not fundamentally difficult. The water-sensitivity test is described in more detail below.

This Sheet Has Been Replaced




-12-

01 According to a preferred embodiment of our invention, the
02 base for the catalyst employed is an L-zeolite bound with
03 silica, alumina or silicaalumina, wherein the L-zeolite is
04 preferably in the potassium or barium form, more preferably
05 a potassium form L zeolite which has been barium ion-
06 exchanged, and whose last processing before application of
07 platinum is contacting (washing) with water at a pH
08 preferably above 7, more preferably above 8, and still more
09 preferably above 9. Preferably, the upper limit of the pH
10 in this wash step does not exceed 13.5, more preferably 12,
11 and still more preferably 11. The water in equilibrium with
12 the catalyst base for this wash preferably contains an
13 alkali or alkaline earth metal in a concentration of greater
14 than 50 ppm by weight, based on the water, or more
15 preferably 100 to 250 ppm and most preferably 150 to 170
16 ppm. Preferred alkali and alkaline earth metals for this
17 purpose are potassium, sodium and barium. Potassium is
18 particularly preferred. The alkali or alkaline earth metal
19 can be added to the wash water, or the desired equilibrium
20 amount in the wash water may be achieved through such
21 component being present in the zeolite or bound zeolite
22 prior to washing. The pH and ion concentration conditions
23 referred to are those in the wash water when the final wash
24 step is being finished. After washing, preferably the
25 zeolite base is then dried, calcined, platinum-loaded and
26 recalcined.

27
28 According to a particularly preferred embodiment of the
29 present invention, the base for the catalyst employed is a
30 barium exchanged L-zeolite bound with silica and the bound
31 zeolite is washed and platinumloaded as described in the
32 preceding paragraph. Preferably the L-zeolite is contacted
33 with a binding enhancement agent, such as aluminum nitrate,
34 prior to binding the zeolite with silica.

This Sheet Has Been Replaced

Acco
inve
more
alumfor
the
for
pept
grea
Al₂C
alum
pref
less
of a
comp
amotPre:
used
Pla:
adv.
in
ing
sup
the
wit
com
chl
zecIn
3 ple
4 the

-13-

According to another preferred embodiment of the present invention, the catalyst employed is a large pore zeolite, more preferably, a barium exchanged L-zeolite, bound with alumina.

For catalysts prepared using an alumina binder, preferably the alumina is subjected to only mildly acidic peptization. For example, we have found in several instances that the peptization was too severe when the alumina was treated with greater than about 0.15 grams of nitric acid per gram of Al_2O_3 (anhydrous). Preferably the alumina component of the aluminabound catalyst used in the present invention is prepared under less severe peptization conditions, such as less than 0.10 grams of nitric acid, or equivalent, per gram of alumina. However, the effect of acid on the alumina is a complex phenomena and in some instances we have found higher amounts of acid do not result in a water sensitive catalyst.

Preferred Group VIII metals for preparation of the catalyst used in the present invention are platinum and palladium. Platinum is particularly preferred. We have found that an advantageous method of preparing a low WSI catalyst for use in the process of the present invention comprises introducing the platinum component to the zeolite or bound zeolite support by "pore fill". Pore fill is a technique known in the art. In the pore fill method the catalyst is wetted with a Group VIII metal component, such as a platinum component, for example, a solution of platinum tetraamine chloride, and the platinum component is adsorbed onto the zeolite.

In contrast to using an ionexchange method, an excess of platinum is not used when using the pore fill method. Also, the catalyst does not require washing after the pore fill

This Sheet Has Been Replaced



-14-

01 addition of the platinum component. It is preferred to make
02 the catalyst used in the present invention by pore fill
03 addition of the platinum component followed by drying and
04 calcining without intervening water wash after the pore
05 fill.

06

07 The Group VIII metal component, such as the platinum
08 component, can be added to the zeolite prior to binding the
09 zeolite, but more preferably, for the catalyst used in the
10 process of the present invention, the Group VIII metal
11 component is introduced to the catalyst after the zeolite
12 has been bound.

13

14 The present invention encompasses reforming and especially
15 dehydrocyclization under conditions and with catalysts as
16 described herein, and encompasses the catalysts per se for
17 use in reforming and especially dehydrocyclization.

18

19

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

20 Extrudate catalysts comprising a large pore zeolite having
21 an alkaline earth metal incorporated into the zeolite and
22 containing a Group VIII metal can be prepared according to
23 techniques known in the art. These techniques usually
24 involve four basic steps or procedures. The order in which
25 these steps are carried out is not generally critical,
26 although there are preferred sequences.

27

28 The four basic steps or procedures are: (1) ionexchange of
29 an alkali metal large pore zeolite with an alkaline earth
30 metal; (2) calcination; (3) impregnation with a Group VIII
31 metal; and (4) binding the zeolite to prepare an extrudable
32 mixture.

33

34

This Sheet Has Been Replaced



As in
varie
No.
cata
ione
nati
embo
toget
The
calc
one
cal
Gro
the
the
tem

Pat
rea
ca
wa
ex
an
ad
th
in
p
(
c
t
i
t
3
4

-15-

As indicated, these four steps can be carried out in a variety of different orders. For example, U.S. Patent No. 4,458,025 describes the preparation of an extrudate catalyst using the four basic procedures in the order: ionexchange, binding, high temperature calcination, impregnation and low temperature calcination. In the preferred embodiment, the binding operation is carried out by mixing together an ionexchanged zeolite with a nonacidic alumina. The bound mixture is extruded. Then in a high temperature calcination, the extrudate is heated to at least 1000°F for one to two hours. The extrudate is ionexchanged, washed and calcined. The calcined extrudate is then impregnated with a Group VIII metal. Thus, the impregnation procedure follows the high temperature calcination. Following impregnation, the catalyst is again calcined but at a much lower temperature, about 500°F.

Patent No. 4,434,311 is directed to a dehydroisomerization reaction using a large pore zeolite catalyst. The catalyst can be prepared in either of two preferred ways. The first way uses the four basic procedures in the order: ionexchange, calcination, impregnation, calcination, binding and lowtemperature calcination. This method has the advantage that since impregnation precedes binding, all of the metal is impregnated unto the zeolite and none unto the inorganic oxide binder. The second way uses the same four procedures, but in the order: (a) binding and calcination, (b) ionexchange and calcination, (c) impregnation, (d) calcination. This method has as its advantage the fact that the bound extrudate can be easily separated from the ionexchange and impregnation solutions. We have found that the catalyst used in the dehydrocyclization process of the present invention is preferably prepared in accordance with this second way, and preferably with three calcination



-16-

01 steps. The preferred calcination steps follow binding,
02 ion-exchange, and impregnation.
03
04 U.S. Patent No. 4,547,472 discloses a method to prepare a
05 catalyst using a double ionexchange procedure. In one
06 embodiment, the method uses the four basic procedures in the
07 order: ionexchange, calcination, ionexchange, calcination,
08 impregnation, calcination, binding, and calcination. In a
09 second embodiment the order is: binding, calcination,
10 ionexchange, calcination, ionexchange, calcination,
11 impregnation, and calcination.
12
13 As a final example of the numerous ways to order the four
14 basic catalyst preparation steps or procedures, U.S. Patent
15 No. 4,579,831 discloses a method using the procedures in the
16 order: binding, calcination, impregnation, and calcination.
17 A separate ion-exchange procedure is omitted because the
18 binding agent contains an alkali or alkaline earth metal
19 aluminate. Thus, ionexchange can take place during the
20 binding procedure as a simultaneous step.
21
22 In view of the many different ways illustrated by the
23 patents recited above to prepare an extrudate catalyst, it
24 was surprising to discover that some of the final catalysts
25 are water sensitive. The state of the art prior to this
26 discovery led us to expect that silica and alumina bound
27 catalysts would not be inherently different. It was thought
28 that the selection of a binding agent and the manner of its
29 use were choices from among equivalents without substantive
30 effect on the final catalyst.
31
32 According to a preferred embodiment of the present
33 invention, the catalyst used in our reforming process is
34 prepared as follows.

The
most
mixi
enhaAlte
zeol
alte
enha
the
mateNex
wit
lat
L-z
cat
was
pre
abcNex
usi
rec
the
caPr
ca
Pr
mi
th
No
re

-17-

The zeolite is bound using silica, alumina or silicaalumina, most preferably silica. Binding the catalyst involves mixing the zeolite, the binder and preferably a binding enhancement agent to form an extrudable paste.

Alternatively, the binding agent can be used to treat the zeolite before adding the binder. In any of these alternatives, the zeolite is contacted with the binding enhancement agent prior to completion of the binding. After the mixing of the zeolite and binder, the catalyst base material is extruded. Then the extrudate is calcined.

Next the bound zeolite extrudate is preferably exchanged with an alkali or alkaline earth metal, more preferably the latter, and most preferably, barium. A barium exchanged L-zeolite is exemplified below. After the exchange, the catalyst is washed, preferably as previously described. The washed catalyst is then recalcined. This calcination is preferably done at about 1000°F to 1200°F, more preferably about 1100°F, in air for at least one hour.

Next the catalyst is impregnated with a platinum component using a pore fill method. This is believed advantageous in reducing the likelihood of subsequent water sensitivity when the catalyst is used in dehydrocyclization. The pore filled catalyst is then dried and then calcined.


Preferably, the calcination of the impregnated catalyst is carried out at 400 to 600°F, more preferably 500 to 550°F. Preferably, this calcination is carried out in an air/steam mixture, for example, a 50% air/steam mixture, flowing over the catalyst, as described in commonly assigned U.S. Patent No. 4,608,356, which disclosure is incorporated herein by reference.

This Sheet Has Been Replaced

-18-


01 The zeolite used in the reforming process of the present
02 invention is a largepore zeolite having an effective pore
03 diameter of 6 to 15^oA as mentioned above. Among the
04 largepore zeolites which are preferred for use in the
05 catalyst used in the process of the present invention, are
06 zeolite L, zeolite X and zeolite Y. These preferred zeo-
07 lites have apparent pore sizes in the range of about 7 to
08 9^oA in diameter.

09
10 Zeolite X is a synthetic crystalline zeolitic molecular
11 sieve which may be represented by the formula:



14 wherein M represents a metal, particularly alkali and
15 alkaline earth metals, n is the valence of M, and y may have
16 any value up to about 8 depending on the identity of M and
17 the degree of hydration of the crystalline zeolite. Zeolite
18 X, its Xray diffraction pattern, its properties, and method
19 for its preparation are described in detail in U.S. Patent
20 No. 2,882,244. U.S. Patent No. 2,882,244 is hereby
21 incorporated by reference to show a zeolite useful in the
22 present invention.

24 The chemical formula for zeolite Y expressed in terms of
25 moles oxides may be written as:




28 wherein x is a value greater than 3 up to about 6 and y may
29 be a value up to about 9. Zeolite Y has a characteristic
30 Xray powder diffraction pattern which may be employed with
31 the above formula for identification. Zeolite Y is
32 described in more detail in U.S. Patent No. 3,130,007. U.S.
33 Patent No. 3,130,007 is hereby incorporated by reference to
34 show a zeolite useful in the present invention.

The
used
pres
zeolwher
M, a
its
for
No.
"Zeo
and
com
by
oxy
hyd
app
U.S
ref
ofThe
th
ef
fa
im
th
th
le
th
X
X
3

-19-

the most preferred zeolite for use in preparing the catalyst used in the dehydrocyclization process of the present invention is zeolite L. The chemical form for zeolite L may be represented as follows:



wherein M designates a cation, n represents the valence of M, and Y may be any value from 0 to about 9. Zeolite L, its Xray diffraction pattern, its properties, and method for its preparation are described in detail in U.S. Patent No. 3,216,789. Zeolite L has been characterized in "Zeolite Molecular Sieves" by Donald W. Breck, John Wiley and Sons, 1974, (reprinted 1984) as having a framework comprising 18 tetrahedra unit cancrinitetype cages linked by double six rings in columns and crosslinked by single oxygen bridges to form planar 12membered rings. The hydrocarbon sorption pores for zeolite L are reportedly approximately 7⁰A in diameter. The Breck reference and U.S. Patent No. 3,216,789 are incorporated herein by reference, particularly with respect to their disclosure of zeolite L.

The various zeolites are generally defined in terms of their Xray diffraction patterns. Several factors have an effect on the Xray diffraction pattern of a zeolite. Such factors include temperature, pressure, crystal size, impurities and type of cations present. For instance, as the crystal size of the type L-zeolite becomes smaller, the Xray diffraction pattern becomes somewhat broader and less precise. Thus, the term "zeolite L" includes any of the various zeolites made of cancrinite cages having an Xray diffraction pattern substantially the same as the Xray diffraction patterns shown in U.S. Patent No. 3,216,789. Type L-zeolites are conventionally synthesized



-20-

01 in the potassium form, that is, in the theoretical formula
02 previously given, most of the M cations are potassium. M
03 cations are exchangeable so that a given type L-zeolite,
04 for example, a type L-zeolite in the potassium form, can
05 be used to obtain type L-zeolites containing other cations
06 by subjecting the type L-zeolite to ionexchange treatment
07 in an aqueous solution of an appropriate salt or salts.

08 However, it is difficult to exchange all the original cat-
09 ions, for example, potassium, since some cations in the
10 zeolite are in sites which are difficult for the reagents
11 to reach. Preferred L zeolites for use in the present
12 invention are those synthesized in the potassium form.
13 Preferably the potassium form L zeolite is ion exchanged
14 to replace a portion of the potassium, most preferably
15 with an alkaline earth metal, barium being an especially
16 preferred alkaline earth metal for this purpose as
17 previously stated.

18
19 The inorganic oxide carrier binder for the catalyst used
20 in the process of the present invention can be selected
21 from various materials as stated above. The preferred
22 amounts of binder are from 5 to 90 wt. % of the finished
23 catalyst, more preferably, from 10 to 50 wt. % and still
24 more preferably, from 10 to 30 wt. %.

25
26 It is critical in the process of the present invention that
27 the catalyst used has a low water-sensitivity index as pre-
28 viously indicated.

29
30 The water-sensitivity index test was developed by us to
31 rapidly test the effect of water on the fouling behavior of
32 reforming catalyst. The water-sensitivity index (WSI) is
33 determined as follows. The activity of the catalyst is
34 measured in a microreactor under typical reforming

This Sheet Has Been Replaced



-21-

conditions. Measuring the WSI requires two separate runs in the microreactor.

The first run is about 40 hours long with no added water. The feed for this first run is hydrofined by known methods such that substantially all the water and oxygen-containing compounds are removed. Therefore, the water concentration in the mixture of feed and hydrogen entering the reaction zone is less than about 3 ppm.

The second run is carried out in the same manner as the first from 0 to 20 hours onstream. Then, between 20 to 40 hours onstream, water is added in an amount sufficient to give about 100 ppm water by volume in the mixture of hydrocarbon feed and hydrogen entering the reaction zone of the microreactor. As an example, the water may be added to the incoming hydrogen with a Dynacal permeation device supplied by Vici Metronics.

The activity (as defined hereinbelow by the pseudo firstorder rate constant, k) at 40 hours on stream for the first run is divided by the activity at 40 hours on stream for the second run to generate the WSI.

Referring now more specifically to the conditions for the two test runs, catalyst is crushed and screened to a size of 24/80 mesh. An amount of catalyst containing 4.42×10^3 g of platinum is loaded into a 1/4" stainless steel reactor. The catalyst is then reduced in hydrogen flowing at a rate of 500 cc/min. for one hour at 900°F. Then a hydrocarbon feed is introduced at a rate of 6 ml/hour at a pressure of 100 psig at 920°F with hydrogen flow sufficient to give a mole ratio of hydrogen to feed hydrocarbon of 6.0. The reaction



-22-

01 products are analyzed by a gas chromatograph with a
02 capillary column and a flame ionization detector.

03
04 The hydrocarbon feed is a light naphtha with a boiling range
05 of 160°F to 260°F, a sulfur content less than 0.03 ppm by
06 weight, a nitrogen content of less than 0.1 ppm by weight,
07 an aromatics plus substituted cyclohexanes content totaling
08 approximately 12.5 mole %, a content of paraffin plus
09 substituted cyclopentanes with greater than six carbon atoms
10 totaling approximately 81.2 mole %, a content of paraffin
11 plus cyclopentane with five or fewer atoms totaling
12 approximately 6.3 mole %, and an average molecular weight of
13 approximately 95.

14
15 The aromatization reactions are characterized by calculating
16 conversion and selectivity as described below.

17
18 The feed contains 12.5 mole % of combined aromatics and
19 cyclohexanes. It is assumed that the aromatics do not react
20 and that the cyclohexanes are dehydrogenated to form
21 aromatics with 100% conversion and 100% selectivity. The
22 feed also contains 81.2 mole % of paraffins and substituted
23 cyclopentanes containing at least six carbon atoms. These
24 compounds form a "pool" of reactants that can be dehydro-
25 cyclized to form aromatics by contact with the catalyst.

26
27 The pool conversion is defined as the fraction of this pool
28 of reactants that is converted to either aromatics or
29 compounds with fewer than 6 carbon atoms. (See equation 1).

$$\begin{array}{l}
 30 \\
 31 \text{ Pool} \quad \text{Moles reactants} \quad \text{Moles of reactants} \\
 32 \text{ Conver} \quad \text{per mole of feed} \quad \text{left in product per} \\
 33 \text{ sion, \%} = \frac{\text{Moles of reactants per mole feed}}{\text{Moles of reactants per mole feed}} \times 100 \quad (1) \\
 34
 \end{array}$$

This Sheet Has Been Replaced



-23-

where reactants are the pool of paraffins and cyclopentanes having at least 6 carbon atoms. Thus, pentane, aromatics and cyclohexanes are excluded.

The pool selectivity is defined as the fraction of converted reactants that end up as aromatics. (See equation 2).

$$\text{Pool Selectivity, \%} = \frac{\begin{array}{c} \text{Moles aromatics} \\ \text{in the product} \\ \text{per mole of feed} \end{array} \times \frac{\begin{array}{c} \text{Moles of} \\ \text{aromatics and cyclo} \\ \text{hexanes in the feed} \\ \text{per mole of total feed} \end{array}}{\begin{array}{c} \text{(Pool conversion, \%)} \\ 100 \end{array}} \times 100 \quad (2)$$

where reactants are the pool of paraffins and cyclopentanes having at least 6 carbon atoms.

The conversion and selectivity are used to calculate a "pseudo firstorder" rate constant for aromatics productions as shown in Equation (3). Although the rate of aromatization cannot be modeled exactly by this firstorder rate expression, it is a useful approximation:

$$k = \frac{(\text{selectivity, \%})}{100} \ln \left(1 + \frac{\text{conversion, \%}}{100} \right) \quad (3)$$

This Sheet Has Been Replaced





-24-

01 The rate constant after 40 hours onstream without any water
02 addition is denoted k_{dry} . The rate constant calculated
03 using conversion and selectivity results for the end of the
04 second run, that is, the run described above having 20 hours
05 onstream without water addition followed by 20 hours
06 onstream with water addition, is denoted
07 k_{wet} . The water-sensitivity index (WSI) is defined by
08 equation (4):

$$09 \quad WSI = \frac{k_{dry}}{k_{wet}} \quad (4)$$

13 DRAWING

14 The drawing is a simplified schematic flow sheet showing a
15 reforming unit and upstream feed treatment facilities.

16
17 Referring in more detail to the drawing, naphtha is fed
18 via line 1 to hydrotreating unit 2. In the hydrotreating
19 unit, in addition to other reactions, organic sulfur
20 compounds are converted to hydrogen sulfide and
21 hydrocarbons. The hydrotreated naphtha is removed via
22 line 3 and fed to stripper 4.

23
24 In stripper 4 light gases and hydrogen sulfide are
25 stripped out of the hydrotreated naphtha and removed
26 overhead via line 5. Heat is added in reboiler 6. The
27 heat source for the reboiling is steam introduced to the
28 reboiler via line 7. Typically, the steam would be in the
29 "tube side" of the reboiler but the steam may on occasion
30 leak through to the "shell side" where the naphtha is
31 being heated for reboiling.

32
33 The stripped naphtha is removed via line 8 and passed to
34 storage tank 12 via lines 9 and 10. The storage tank is

another
reform
Naphth
the st
11 and
lines

In res
aromat
prefer
more
contai
alipha

in the
believ

The f
more
sulfu
remov
to co
used
found

proce
we sp
bound
water

Prefer
tempe
betwe
psig,
hydra

-25-

another potential source of water contamination in the reforming unit.

Naphtha is fed to reforming unit 15 either "directly" from the stripper via piping, shown schematically by lines 9, 11 and 14, or "indirectly" via storage tank 12 and then lines 13 and 14.

In reforming unit 15, the naphtha is reformed to form aromatics. The naphtha feed is a light hydrocarbon, preferably boiling in the range of about 70°F to 450°F, more preferably about 100 to 350°F. The naphtha feed contains aliphatic or paraffin hydrocarbons and these aliphatics are converted, at least in part, to aromatics in the reforming reaction zone. Dehydrocyclization is believed to be the most important reaction.

The feed preferably contains less than 100 ppb sulfur and more preferably, less than 50 ppb sulfur. If necessary, a sulfur sorber unit is employed between units 4 and 15 to remove remaining small amounts of sulfur in the feed prior to contacting the feed with the waterinsensitive catalyst used in the process of the present invention. We have found that best results are achieved in our reforming process if the sulfur is maintained at ultra low levels as we specified above, and also if the catalyst employed is a bound largepore zeolite with the aforestated low water-sensitivity index.

Preferred reforming process conditions include a temperature between 750 and 1000°F, more preferably between 850 and 980°F; and a pressure between 0 and 400 psig, more preferably between 50 and 300 psig; a recycle hydrogen rate sufficient to yield a hydrogen to hydrocar-



-26-

01 bon mole ratio for the feed to the reforming reaction zone
02 between 0.1 and 20, more preferably between 0.5 and 10;
03 and a liquid hourly space velocity for the hydrocarbon
04 feed over the reforming catalyst of between 0.1 and 10,
05 more preferably between 0.5 and 5.

A sim
that
in th

06

07 A product stream rich in aromatics is withdrawn via line
08 16 as schematically indicated on the drawing.

Al

09

10

EXAMPLES

11

Example 1

12

Alumina Bound Catalyst Not of this Invention

13

14 To 478 grams of pseudo boehmite alumina (340
15 grams Al_2O_3) was added a mixture of nitric acid and water.
16 0.42 grams of nitric acid per gram of alumina was used to
17 peptize the alumina and the final loss on ignition (LOI)
18 of this mix was 39%. The peptized alumina paste was
19 mulled with 1360 grams of potassium L-zeolite (anhydrous
20 basis) and the LOI adjusted with water to 39%. After
21 mulling, the mix was extruded, dried and calcined at 500°C
22 with flowing dry air. Barium ionexchange was performed at
23 a ratio of 10 cc of 0.3 molar barium nitrate per anhydrous
24 gram of extrudate at 180°F for up to three hours. This
25 barium ionexchanged material was washed to a potassium ion
26 concentration in the final wash of 160 ppm (a pH between 8
27 and 9) and calcined. This material was then calcined in
28 air at 1100°F for at least one hour.

basis
type)
separ
alumi
of ni
gram
The t
water
dried
zeoli
in E:

28

29

30 The bound and barium exchanged extrudate was
31 then porefill impregnated to 0.64% by weight platinum and
32 calcined for about one hour in a 50% steam/air environment
33 between 500 and 550°F.

33

34

A:
This
the
at a

Pota
to r
weig
as a
usec

This Sheet Has Been Replaced



-27-

Example 2Alumina Bound Catalyst Not of this Invention

A similar catalyst was prepared as in Example 1, except that only 0.14 grams nitric acid per gram alumina was used in the peptization step.

Example 3Alumina Bound Catalyst in Accord with this Invention

1600 grams of potassium L-zeolite (anhydrous basis) was charged to a sigma blade mixer (bread dough type) and the LOI was adjusted to 29% with water. In a separate sigma blade mixer 563 grams of pseudo boehmite alumina (400 grams of Al_2O_3) was peptized with a mixture of nitric acid and water. 0.06 grams of nitric acid per gram of Al_2O_3 was used and the LOI of this mix was 58%. The two mixtures were combined, blended and adjusted with water to a final LOI of 43%. The paste was extruded, dried and calcined in flowing dry air at 480°C. The bound zeolite was then carried forward to a finished catalyst as in Example 1.

Example 4Alumina Bound Catalyst in Accord with this Invention

This catalyst was prepared as in Example 3, except that the calcination of the bound zeolite was done for one hour at a temperature of 593°C.

Example 5SilicaBound L Zeolite

Potassium form L zeolite was dried at 100°C for 16 hours to reduce the L.O.I. from 21.75% to less than 8% by weight. A 2 molar solution of aluminum nitrate was used as a binding enhancement agent. The aluminum nitrate was used in a ratio of 0.133 ml of 2 molar solution per

This Sheet Has Been Replaced



-28-

01 anhydrous gram of L zeolite powder. Preferably this solu-
 02 tion is thoroughly blended before the next step of addi-
 03 tion of the silica sol.

04

05 To accomplish thorough blending, approximately 1/4 of the
 06 2 molar solution was added to the zeolite in a muller at
 07 5-minute intervals over a 15-minute period. After the
 08 final addition of the binding enhancement agent, Ludox?
 09 silica sol is then added to the mull followed by water
 10 addition to bring the moisture to the preferred extrusion
 11 level of about 36.5% L.O.I.

12

13 Many samples were prepared using the above general
 14 procedure, but using different binding enhancement agents
 15 and some with no binding enhancement agent, to determine
 16 the affect on crush strength, extrudability, operational
 17 feasibility (e.g., corrosivity, etc.), and catalyst
 18 performance (after Pt impregnation, etc.). Preferred
 19 results were attained, particularly considering catalyst
 20 performance and crush strength, using aluminum nitrate.

21

22 A summary of the aluminum nitrate preparation is
 23 as follows on a gram basis for an 80% by weight L zeolite
 24 bonded with 20% silica.

25

	<u>Anhydrous</u>	<u>As Is</u>
26 KL zeolite powder (6.81 wt. % L.O.I.)	2200	2361
27 Ludox?* AS-40 (40 wt. % silica)	550	1375
28 2 molar aluminum nitrate		375
29 Water added to mull to extrude, ml		275

31 Procedure:

32 Add KL to muller, mull for 10 minutes.

33

34

add th
bove.

get mu

get mu
moisti

extruc
prope:

Dry.

Calci

The

Ro

220

600

*Ludo
cont
wate

An e
deri
extr

This Sheet Has Been Replaced



-29-

add the 2 molar aluminum nitrate solution as described above.

Let mull for 15 minutes, then add Ludox? (binder).

Let mull for 10 minutes, then add water to bring the mull moisture up to the calculated L.O.I. of 36.5 wt. %.

Extrude after mull has broken (achieved proper rheological properties).

Dry.

Calcine to 600°C.

The extrudates were calcined as follows:

Room temperature to 220°C hold 90 minutes

220°C to 600°C hold 2 hours

600°C to room temperature

*Ludox? AS-40 is a silica sol available from Du Pont and containing 40 wt. % silica stabilized by ammonium ion in water.

Example 6

Silicabound Catalyst Not of this Invention

An extrudate was formed utilizing 20% by weight of silica derived from Ludox? AS-40 silica and 80% potassium L zeolite extrudate. The following steps are followed: (1) binding

This Sheet Has Been Replaced



-30-

01 enhancement agent, $\text{Al}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$, is dissolved in water at
02 2 molar concentration and added to potassium form L-zeolite
03 until the ratio of the above salt to anhydrous L-zeolite is
04 about 10 wt. percent; (2) following mixing, sufficient
05 Avicel cellulose extrusion aid is added to equal 1.25 wt.
06 percent of the anhydrous zeolite; (3) again, following
07 mixing, sufficient Ludox AS-40 is added to make an 80%
08 zeolite, 20% silica binder mixture on an anhydrous basis;
09 (4) additional water as necessary is added. The paste is
10 further mixed and extruded. This extrudate was calcined at
11 705°C in flowing air.

This e
with c
equili:
of 156

The re
as in

The a
are s
deter:
descr

12
13 This extrudate was then barium ionexchanged and washed with
14 water (initial pH approximately 5) to an equilibrium
15 potassium ion concentration in the final wash of 23 ppm by
16 weight (final pH of 7.5).

Catal
Examp

17
18 The resulting material was then porefill impregnated, etc.
19 as in Example 1.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

21 Example 7

22 Silicabound Catalyst Not of this Invention

23 A similar catalyst preparation was made as in Example 6,
24 except that deionized water was used to wash the barium
25 exchanged zeolite instead of water of pH 5, the final pH was
26 9.62 instead of 7.5, and the potassium ion concentration of
27 the final wash solution was 40 ppm instead of 23 ppm.

28 Example 8

29 Silicabound Catalyst in Accord with this Invention

30 Following the procedure of Example 6, an extrudate was
31 formed utilizing 20% by weight silica derived from Ludox?
32 AS-40 silica and 80% potassium L-extrudate. This extrudate
33 was calcined at 705°C in flowing air.
34



-31-

This extrudate was then barium ionexchanged and washed with deionized water (initial pH approximately 5) to an equilibrium potassium ion concentration in the final wash of 156 ppm by weight (final pH of 9.19).

The resulting material was then porefill impregnated, etc. as in Example 1.

The activity and water sensitivity of the above catalysts are shown in Table I. These properties were determined in microreactor tests under the conditions described hereinabove under Detailed Description.

TABLE I

<u>Catalyst of Example No.</u>	<u>Pool Conversion, %</u>	<u>Pool Selectivity, %</u>	<u>WSI</u>
1	51	89	1.4
2	50	88	1.4
3	49	86	1.0
4	53	85	1.0
6	48	84	1.3
7	55	87	1.3
8	60	88	1.0

This Sheet Has Been Replaced



-32-

01 WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

- 02
- 03 1. A process for reforming aliphatic hydrocarbons to
- 04 form aromatic hydrocarbons in a reaction zone which
- 05 may be subjected to periodic exposure to more than 3
- 06 ppm water, which comprises:
- 07
- 08 contacting the feed under reforming reaction condi-
- 09 tions with a catalyst comprising a Group VIII metal,
- 10 a largepore zeolite and a binder, and wherein the
- 11 catalyst has a water-sensitivity index less than 1.3.
- 12
- 13
- 14 2. A process in accordance with Claim 1 wherein the
- 15 water-sensitivity index is less than 1.1.
- 16
- 17 3. A process in accordance with Claim 1 wherein the
- 18 water-sensitivity index is less than or equal to
- 19 about 1.0.
- 20
- 21 4. A process in accordance with Claim 2 wherein the sulfur
- 22 content of the feed is less than 100 ppb.
- 23
- 24 5. A process in accordance with Claim 2 wherein the sulfur
- 25 content of the feed is less than 50 ppb.
- 26
- 27 6. A process in accordance with Claim 1 wherein the
- 28 zeolite is L-zeolite.
- 29
- 30 7. A process in accordance with Claim 6 wherein the
- 31 L-zeolite has been ionexchanged with barium, calcium or
- 32 strontium.
- 33
- 34



This Sheet Has Been Replaced



-33-

8. A process in accordance with Claim 7 wherein the L-zeolite has been ionexchanged with barium.
9. A process in accordance with Claim 6 wherein the binder is silica.
10. A process in accordance with Claim 6 wherein the binder is alumina.
11. A process in accordance with Claim 6 wherein the binder is silica alumina.
12. A process in accordance with Claim 1 wherein the catalyst is prepared by a method comprising contacting the zeolite with a binding enhancement agent prior to binding the zeolite.
13. A process in accordance with Claim 12 wherein the zeolite is L zeolite and the binder is silica.
14. A process in accordance with Claim 13 wherein the binding enhancement agent is aluminum nitrate.
15. A process in accordance with Claim 14 wherein the catalyst crush strength is at least 1.8 lbs/mm.
16. A process in accordance with Claim 1 wherein the zeolite is an L-zeolite which has been ionexchanged with potassium or barium and then washed at a pH above 7 in water having an alkali or alkaline earth metal concentration greater than 50 ppm by weight based on the weight of water.



This Sheet Has Been Replaced




-35-

26. A process in accordance with Claim 25 wherein the peptization of the alumina is carried out with less than 0.10 gram of nitric acid, or equivalent, per gram of alumina.
27. A process for dehydrocyclization of aliphatic hydrocarbons to aromatics in a reaction zone which may be subjected to periodic exposure to trace amounts of water, which comprises feeding to the reaction zone feed hydrocarbons containing no more than 100 ppb sulfur and contacting the feed hydrocarbons under dehydrocyclization reaction conditions with a catalyst comprising platinum, an L-zeolite and a binder, and wherein the catalyst has a water-sensitivity index less than 1.1.
28. A process in accordance with Claim 27 wherein the binder is silica and the catalyst is prepared by a method comprising contacting the zeolite with a binding enhancement agent prior to binding the zeolite.
29. A reforming catalyst comprising a Group VIII metal, a largepore zeolite and a binder, and wherein the catalyst has a water-sensitivity index less than 1.3.
30. A catalyst in accordance with Claim 29 wherein the WSI is less than 1.1.
31. A catalyst in accordance with Claim 30 wherein the zeolite is L zeolite and the binder is silica, alumina, or silica/alumina.



This Sheet Has Been Replaced



-36-

- 01 32. A catalyst in accordance with Claim 31 wherein the
02 binder is silica.
03
- 04 33. A catalyst in accordance with Claim 32 wherein the 40.
05 catalyst is prepared by a method comprising contacting
06 the zeolite with a binding enhancement agent prior to
07 binding the catalyst.
08 41.
- 09 34. A catalyst in accordance with Claim 33 wherein the
10 binding enhancement agent is aluminum nitrate.
11
- 12 35. A catalyst in accordance with Claim 34 wherein the
13 catalyst crush strength is at least 1.8 lbs/mm.
14
- 15 36. A catalyst in accordance with Claim 29 wherein the
16 zeolite is an L-zeolite which has been ionexchanged
17 with potassium or barium and then washed at a pH above
18 7 in water having an alkali or alkaline earth metal
19 concentration greater than 50 ppm by weight based on
20 the weight of water.
21
- 22 37. A catalyst in accordance with Claim 36 wherein the
23 concentration of the alkali or alkaline earth metal in
24 the equilibrium wash water is 100 to 250 ppm.
25
- 26 38. A catalyst in accordance with Claim 36 wherein washing
27 is carried out at a pH between 9 and 11.
28
- 29 39. A catalyst in accordance with Claim 29 wherein the
30 zeolite is an L zeolite and the catalyst is prepared by
31 a pore fill impregnation of the bound zeolite with a
32 platinum component using a solution containing
33 platinum followed by drying and calcining without
34



This Sheet Has Been Replaced



-37-

intervening washing after the pore fill addition of the platinum.

40. A catalyst in accordance with Claim 30 wherein the binder is alumina which has been subjected to only mildly acidic peptization.
41. A catalyst in accordance with Claim 30 wherein the peptization of the alumina is carried out with less than 0.10 gram of nitric acid, or equivalent, per gram of alumina.



This Sheet Has Been Replaced

