

ECHO CANCELLATION USING CROSS-CORRELATION OF BUFFERED RECEIVE AND TRANSMIT SAMPLE SEGMENTS TO DETERMINE CANCELLING FILTER COEFFICIENTS.

This invention relates to the measurement of signal quality over telecommunications links, and in particular to the detection of interference.

5 More particularly, this invention relates to the detection of spurious signals generated on a second channel as a result of signals being transmitted on a first channel, a situation known generally as 'crosstalk'. The spurious signal, once detected, may be measured and cancelled.

10 The invention is particularly suited to detecting echo. This occurs in a two-way telecommunications link. A signal travelling in a first direction gives rise to a spurious signal travelling in the opposite direction. If this spurious signal returns to the original source of the signal, it will appear as an echo.

The echo effect may be caused in one of several ways. It may occur as a result of acoustical feedback between the earpiece and mouthpiece of a telephone.
15 It may occur as a result of reflections caused by impedance mismatches. It may occur as a result of cross-coupling between the paths in 4-to-2 wire hybrid points; these are the points where the two-way traffic carried over the two-wire connection from a telephone termination is separated into two separate channels (a so-called four-wire connection). This invention is suitable for detecting echo
20 effects at points in the system where signals in the two directions are carried over two separate channels.

The result of any such echo effect is that a speaker will receive his or her own speech, delayed by a short period. The magnitude of the delay is largely determined by the distance the signal has to travel, with a smaller contribution
25 from signal processing delays. The distances travelled by signals in intercontinental calls can introduce delays readily detectable by human observers: the round trip distance over the earth's surface between one point on the earth's surface and its antipodes is 40000 km (approximately 140 light-milliseconds - since landlines do not necessarily follow the shortest route the practical distance is
30 greater than this). The round trip distance between two points on the earth's surface via a geostationary satellite is approximately ½ light-second (150000 km). International call-diversion and other network services can create even longer paths.

Delays of this order of magnitude, as well as being annoying, also confuse the speaker who can find it impossible to continue speaking. It is therefore desirable to detect when echo is occurring so that remedial action can be taken. This remedial action may involve taking the faulty circuit out of use until it can be repaired, or limiting the use of the faulty circuit to uses where the echo causes less problems, such as short-distance calls (in which the echo delay is too short to be troublesome) or to one-way transmission such as facsimile transmissions. Methods also exist for cancelling the echo signal by combining it artificially with a complementary signal derived from the outgoing signal to generate a zero output. However, all these systems require prior knowledge that an echo exists, and something of its characteristics, notably its delay time and its attenuation.

It is known to transmit test signals over a telecommunications link in order to detect the presence of echoes. This system can only be used on lines which are not currently in use, because traffic on the line would interfere with the detection of the test signal echo. It is also known to use trained human observers to monitor live conversations, but this method is labour-intensive, subject to human subjectivity, and also has implications for the privacy of the speakers.

In-service non-intrusive measurement systems are known which use least mean square (LMS) adaptive filter systems to measure the delay and echo strength from the conveyed impulse response. Modern digital signal processors can support about 650 filter coefficients: at a sampling rate of 8 kHz this equates to a maximum detectable echo path of about 80 ms.

To detect longer echo paths using this impulse response technique either the sampling rate must be reduced, which reduces the likelihood of a convergent response from any one sample, or the number of filter coefficients must be increased beyond the practical limits discussed above.

According to a first aspect of the invention there is provided an interference detection system for a telecommunications link having first and second channels, the system comprising first monitoring means for monitoring signals travelling over the first channel, second monitoring means for monitoring signals travelling over the second channel and comprising comparison means for comparing the signals monitored by the first and second monitoring means for one or more delay periods to identify the presence of interference between the



channels, wherein the comparison means is arranged to identify cross-correlations between the signals monitored by the first and second monitoring means. The invention also extends to a communications system incorporating such a detection system, and to a network management system including such a detection system.

5 According to a second aspect of the invention there is provided a method of detecting interference between channels on a telecommunications link having first and second channels, the method comprising the steps of monitoring signals travelling over a first channel, monitoring signals travelling over a second channel, and comparing the signals for one or more delay periods to identify the presence of
10 interference between the channels, wherein the method comprises the identification of cross-correlations between the signals carried by the first and second channels.

The invention in one aspect comprises a communications system having a plurality of communication channels, and an interference detection system as
15 defined above, the first and second monitoring means of the interference detection system being arranged to monitor one or more pairs of the communication channels.

By using a cross-correlation technique it is possible to compare short samples of signals rather than the long passages required by an adaptive filter.
20 This reduces the processing time, and also allows short samples of signals having predetermined characteristics potentially suited for echo detection to be used. In a preferred arrangement signals having such characteristics are detected on the first channel and segments selected for attempted correlation with the signals carried by the second channel, the selected signal segments having lengths corresponding
25 to the duration of the predetermined characteristic.

By tailoring the sample length to match the duration of the characteristic element, the chance of a correct correlation being made is improved, because the longer the sample the less likely a false correlation is made, without wastefully attempting to correlate parts of a signal not containing the characteristic elements.
30 Preferably the selection means is arranged to select segments of the signal having the identified characteristics having a duration greater than a predetermined minimum.



By selecting such characteristic signals for analysis a number of further advantages accrue. Firstly, the processing capacity available can be used to greater effect by concentrating only on signal samples which are likely to produce strong cross-correlations, allowing a wider range of delays to be monitored for.

5 False correlations from low-level white noise are also avoided.

The signal characteristics to be identified may include signal strength or may be characteristics associated with human speech. Because characteristic features of the signals are monitored and correlated, these features can also be used to determine other characteristics of the interference phenomenon.

10 In a preferred arrangement, the comparison means comprises a plurality of cross-correlation means, each cross-correlation means performing a cross-correlation for a different delay period, and delay measurement means for determining, from the outputs of the cross-correlation means, the magnitude of the delay in the interference signal.

15 The invention can be used for monitoring interference between any two channels of a communications system, but is particularly suited to echo detection provided that the send and receive paths are separated, e.g. conventional four-wire analogue telephony, digital telephony, broadband applications, duplex radio systems (time division or frequency division) or asynchronous transfer mode
20 (ATM). Accordingly, the pair or pairs of channels of the communications system to which the interference detection system is connected preferably each comprise a two-way communications link, the system being arranged to detect echo.

The invention allows a greater range of delay periods to be monitored simultaneously by storing several samples in separate stores and processing each
25 separately. In a typical situation two different echo delay periods will be found, depending on which caller is speaking.

The system may be used to provide input to an echo canceller. An echo canceller adds to the return path a cancellation signal corresponding to the signal on the outward path, having a delay and attenuation corresponding to that of the
30 echo, but having opposite phase. One problem encountered with known echo cancellers is that a false correlation can cause a cancellation signal to be inserted where none is needed, which creates its own echo effect. The problem can be avoided by determining a rolling average from a predetermined number of



measurements from the delay and/or attenuation measurement means, differing from each other by values less than a predetermined value. The effects of individual false correlations, which will have different attenuations and delays from the true echo, are therefore minimised.

5 In a network management system there may be a plurality of interference detection systems, each associated with a respective pair of channels, and one or more means for introducing a cancellation signal into a channel on which interference is detected. By arranging the system in this way the number of cancellers can be reduced, the cancellers being dynamically allocated to those
10 channel pairs where interference, or the most serious interference, is detected.

The system may include a speech direction determination means comprising means for determining on which channel the longest segments of signal having the monitored characteristics occur. The characteristic features of the incoming signal can therefore be used to identify which of the two callers is
15 speaking, and therefore which path should be monitored for echo signals, thus reducing the processing overhead by a further factor of two.

The length of the delay can be used to assist in locating the source of the echo, as longer delays are caused by equipment further away, or with more intermediate processing elements. Insofar as the call routing is known, a network
20 operator can thereby identify the faulty apparatus. Of course, in some cases the call may be an interconnection between two operators, and one operator may not know the routing in the other operator's network. In this case, a network operator using the echo detection system of the invention can nevertheless identify from the length of the echo delay whether the echo is caused by his own network or the
25 other one, and thus whether remedial action is within his power.

An embodiment of the invention will now be described by way of example only, with reference to the drawings, in which

Figure 1 illustrates a simple telephone network including an echo detector according to the invention.

30 Figure 2 shows the various elements of one embodiment of the echo detector of Figure 1, incorporating an echo canceller.

Figure 3 shows a echo loss measurement system incorporating an echo detector according to the invention.

Figure 1 shows a simplified telephone network having two terminations 1 and 2 connected through respective 4-to-2 wire hybrids 3, 4 to a telephone trunk link having a first path 5, (from hybrid 3 to hybrid 4) and a second path 15 in the reverse direction. Connected at some point along the paths 5 and 15 is a non-intrusive measuring device 6 which is described in more detail below. The device 6 is connected to the first path 5 at point X and to the second path 15 at point Y. Figure 2 shows the echo-detector of Figure 1 in more detail. From the tap points X, Y on the paths 5, 15 respectively signals are fed to respective buffers 8, 18, and hence to respective pre-processing units 9, 19. The pre-processing units 9, 19 feed a speech direction classification unit 11. A measurement unit 10 receives inputs from the data buffers 8, 18, pre-processing units 9, 19, and direction classification unit 11, and supplies an output to a post-processing unit 12 which in turn provides an output to one or other of two echo cancelling units 7, 17, which also receive an input from respective data buffers 8, 18. The echo cancellers 7, 17 provide an input to the paths 15, 5 respectively through respective combiners 13, 14 downstream of the tap points X, Y.

Figure 3 illustrates an echo loss calculation device which can make use of the output of the device according to the invention.

Two signals X, Y are input to a speech classifier 11 which, as in Figure 2, identifies which signal is the incident signal and which the reflected signal, and controls switches 36, 37 to feed the incident signal to an input 21 and the reflected signal to an input 30.

The incident and reflected signals are fed via buffers 8, 18 to a processor 10, as in Figure 2, and the output of the processor 10 is fed to a bulk delay buffer 22.

The incident signal at input 21 is input to the buffer 22 to delay it for a period corresponding to the echo delay determined by the post-processor 12, generating a delayed input 23. Both signals are then fed to respective modifiers 26, 27 in which weightings are applied to generate a modified delayed input signal 28 and a modified reflected signal 31. The weightings are derived from an analysis unit 24 monitoring the delayed incident signal 23. The modified input signal 28 is then fed to a digital analogue filter (DAF) 29. The output 32 of the filter 29 is compared with the, modified reflected signal 30 in a comparator 35 to

generate an error signal 33 which is fed back to the DAF 29. The filter values of the DAF 29 can be read off at an output 34 to allow calculation of the echo loss by a calculator 38.

The operation of the invention will now be described. Referring now to
5 Figure 1, echo can be caused when part of a signal travelling along first path 5, destined for termination 2, is reflected at hybrid 4 and returned over second path 15. This signal will be heard by the user of termination 1, who was the original speaker of the utterance. Similarly, echo can be caused by hybrid 3, reflecting signals transmitted by termination 2 back to the speaker using that termination.
10 Echo can also be caused by acoustical feedback at the remote end, between the user's earpiece and mouthpiece.

The delay between the outgoing and incoming signal as perceived by the user of a termination 1 is determined largely by the distance between the termination 1 and the hybrid 4 or other element which is causing the echo.
15 Similarly, the delay between the outgoing and incoming signal as perceived by the user of a termination 2 is determined largely by the distance between the termination 2 and the hybrid 3 which is causing the echo.

The device 6 is connected to the network by tap connections X and Y connected to paths 5, 15 respectively and is used to detect the presence of echo
20 in the system by monitoring both lines for signals, and cross-correlating these signals to identify characteristic signals having passed connection X and subsequently passing connection Y, or vice versa. Connections X and Y are simple low-impedance T-connections allowing the signals transmitted over the paths 5, 15 to be monitored by device 6. By measuring the delay between these events the
25 distance of the source of echo can be derived: for example an echo generated by hybrid 4 produces a shorter echo delay than one from termination 2. Moreover, the path 5 or 15 on which the original signal appeared identifies the direction in which the echo is coming from, thereby establishing whether the source of echo is between device 6 and the first termination 1 or between the device 6 and the
30 second termination 2.

In a real network there would be several elements such as hybrids 3, 4 on either side of the device 6, any of which might be the source of echo.

The echo detection device 6 uses a cross-correlation technique to compare speech on the reflected and transmitted paths. Cross-correlation is a method of statistical comparison of two signals generally used, in signal processing, to calculate the delay between the input waveform and the output waveform of a system.

In the present case the system in question is the echo path of the telephony circuit, i.e. from connection X to connection Y via hybrid 4, or from connection Y to connection X via hybrid 3.

The transmitted signal is compared with the reflected signal (normalised in amplitude to correspond to that of the transmitted signal) and a cross-correlation coefficient is calculated. The cross-correlation coefficient has a value from -1 to 1 and it describes how similar the two signals are. A value of 1 signifies a complete cross-correlation and results when the two waveforms are identical. A value of -1 signifies a complete negative match i.e. the signals are identical but for a 180° phase inversion. The human ear is not sensitive to phase, so for the present purpose a negative correlation is as important as a positive one, as the human ear will detect either as an echo. Consequently, so the absolute magnitude of the correlation is used. The transmitted signal is then delayed by one unit of time and the cross-correlation coefficient is recalculated. A match between two signals (i.e. the magnitude of the cross-correlation coefficient being close to unity) will occur when the delayed transmit signal equals the reflected signal.

The echo detector pre-processes the speech signal before performing cross-correlation. This significantly improves the accuracy and reliability of the device by choosing segments that contain speech to cross-correlate with. In particular, because only selected segments are analysed, they can be analysed in more detail. For example the elemental delay imposed on the transmitted signal can proceed in smaller increments, improving the accuracy of the delay measurement.

To improve the accuracy and reliability of the system pre-processing of the signals is performed to identify speech segments that are suitable for cross-correlation. This pre-processing also identifies the direction of the talker's speech i.e. near-to-far or far-to-near. As speech is an essentially uni-directional means of communication (one person talks and the other listens) the monitor 6 measures

both echo paths ('X' to 'Y' via hybrid 4 and 'Y' to 'X' via hybrid 3). To enable (almost) real-time measurements, parallel processing is used to divide the echo path into segments.

From the monitoring point X the original signal is passed to a data buffer 8 which stores the incoming signals for the length of time for which measurements may be made with them. The data entering the buffer is monitored by a speech pre-processing unit 9 which identifies segments suitable for measurement and indicates to a measurement unit 10 which such segments are present in the buffer 8. A second data buffer 18 and speech pre-processing unit 19 monitor the signals passing through monitoring point Y.

The outputs of speech pre-processing units 9, 19 are compared in a direction identification unit 11. This unit compares certain characteristics of the signal such as signal power and length of speech segment to determine which of the channels is carrying the original signal.

The measurement unit 10 uses the output of the direction indicating unit 11 and the speech pre-processing units 9, 19 to select data from buffers 8, 18 on which to carry out cross-correlation measurements. The results of these measurements are transmitted to a post-processing unit 12 which makes use of the cross-correlation results to take appropriate action.

The post-processing unit 12 may use the cross-correlation measurements to generate an echo cancellation signal. This is done in canceller 7 or 17 by extracting the input signal from buffer 8 or 18 respectively, attenuating and delaying it by amounts equivalent to the detected echo signal as measured in unit 12 and applying to the return path 15 or 5 respectively a signal corresponding to the result of this process but out of phase with the detected signal by 180° . This applied signal is combined in combiners 13, 14 respectively with the echo arriving on the return path 5 or 15 to produce a zero output. It should be noted that the echo-cancellation signal should be applied downstream of the measurement points X, Y, to prevent the echo cancellation signal itself forming part of the signal measured on the return path.

The post-processing unit 12 may generate information for network management purposes. The length of delay can be used, in conjunction with knowledge of the call routing, to identify the component causing the echo,

allowing remedial action to be taken. Alternatively, the call may be diverted to another route, or abandoned.

The required time to resolve a single echo and delay measurement is dependant on the maximum delay to be resolved i.e. for 1 second delay after a suitable speech segment is detected it takes 1 second to accumulate the samples and a further period to do the processing. By judicious programming it is possible to reduce the processing time further but ultimately the processing time is still dependant on the number of samples required to be stored for the echo path.

To decrease the processing time a means of setting the measurement range is included. Using this technique the algorithm can run simultaneously over several digital signalling processors (DSPs) within the measurement unit 10, with each DSP searching a different measurement range. For example four DSPs may be used to process delay measurements of 1 second. Each DSP searches a 250ms range for the echo path (0-250, 250-500, 500-750, 750-1000), hence the limiting factor on the speech of the measurement is now only 250 ms. If the algorithm is used for national networks where the upper limit delay is likely not to exceed 60 ms the range can be reduced accordingly.

This configuration is very suitable for parallel processing enabling the correlation to spread over several processors - this improves the speed/efficiency of the algorithm.

A high level controller can determine which DSP returns the correct delay value by examination of the cross-correlation coefficient.

This technique of dynamically allocating the algorithm across the DSP resources increases the number of successful measurements in a given time period.

The buffers 8, 18 are used to store uncompressed samples from the 2Mbit/s streams in the paths 5, 15. The buffers use a two pointer FIFO (first in first out) buffer, which has two flags FULL and EMPTY.

A conversation is constructed from speech spurts and pauses. Speech spurts give the best cross-correlation as the attenuation due to the echo path will diminish low energy segments, such as unvoiced and noise signals, the most. It is therefore important that the pre-processing selects segments that are likely to give

a good cross-correlation. Pre-processing units 9, 19 select speech segments of the signals for cross-correlation.

A minimum segment length (40ms) is required to give a reliable and accurate cross-correlation. The reliability improves further if a longer segment is used although the improvement is negligible above 80 ms. However if a segment is a fixed length i.e. 80 ms, it may contain only a short speech spurt at the beginning with the remainder of the segment being noise. If this occurs the segment is less likely to cross-correlate. A variable segment length ensures that the segment contains mainly speech, not noise. The pre-processing selects segments of speech between 40 and 80ms in length.

As conversations are essentially uni-directional - people take turns to speak to each other - a direction indication unit 11 can be used to detect which party is talking. The echo path delay and loss is then calculated for that direction i.e. if speech is detected at point 'X' the echo path 'X-4-Y' is calculated; conversely if speech is detected at point 'Y' the echo path 'Y-3-X' is calculated. If speech is only present in one direction then it is not possible to resolve the echo path in the opposite direction.

The direction is found by comparing the length of the speech segments on the two channels. The channel with the longest segment of speech is taken as the channel with the incident speech.

A standard cross-correlation algorithm is used to calculate the delay.

If the Speech Echo Path Delay (SEPD) is resolved, the incident signal is given a delay, equal to the SEPD, and the echo signal loss is calculated from the difference between the root mean square (rms) of the incident signal and the rms of the reflected signal.

As mentioned above, speech needs to be present on a channel before a measurement can be resolved. The minimum measurement time is 15 seconds. This will increase the probability that a suitable segment of speech will be present on the channel. Within the 15 seconds it is likely that several measurements will be made - some means is required to choose the measurements that are correct. The method relies on two processes. Firstly cross-correlation produces a correlation coefficient value, or confidence factor. If the signals match exactly after the signals have been normalised and delayed suitably, an exact match

produces a correlation coefficient of 1. Due to the impairments of the echo path it is likely that the lag will be less than 1. Tests have shown that provided the lag value is greater than 0.5 then the delay has been calculated correctly. Secondly if several results are produced it is reasonable to assume that each measurement is
5 within an allowable accuracy of each other. A rolling average is used so that a value is included in the average if at least two results are within the allowed accuracy of each other. It is likely that any wrong cross-correlation will produce random delay estimates and hence will not be included in the average result.

In the embodiment described above cross-correlation is performed in the
10 time domain. Alternatively, it could be performed in the frequency domain using fast Fourier transforms (FFT). This requires more memory but is more efficient.

One simple method for calculating cross-correlation is to only use the sign bit of the signal. If the samples from the original and reflected signals are of the same sign a counter is incremented, if they are of opposite sign the counter is
15 decremented. For a good match a large total will be found, its magnitude being related to the length of the sample and its sign dependent on whether the echo is in-phase or antiphase. The output can be normalised using the length of the sample, giving values in the range -1 to +1. This method is not as accurate as other means of calculating the correlation coefficient but is reasonably accurate for
20 low level of loss. It has the advantage of not being as computationally intensive and hence very quick. Such an arrangement is suitable for lower cost DSPs which have limited processing power and are designed to operate on circuits that will have a lower echo loss value.

The method is not limited to using speech as the circuit stimulus (it has
25 however, been optimised for speech). Circuits that already have echo cancellers present will not, under normal operation, have an echo present. Although there is no echo present, round-trip delay is a useful measurement to obtain. For these circuits a continuity signal, generated by the signalling system can be used to perform the cross-correlation. A continuity signal is a tone, transmitted on the
30 speech path from the outgoing switch to the incoming switch which loops the signal back. This method gives a measure of the delay between international switches. Continuity check tones are generated by the International

Telecommunications Union (ITU-T) signalling system number 7 prior to a ringing tone.

The method of the invention can be applied to other applications not directly related to voice telephony, and in this specification the term
5 'telecommunications link' is used in the broad sense to cover any link carrying signals from one point to another, whether as part of a switched system or a dedicated link.

The interference detection system of the invention can be used for providing the echo delay input for an echo loss calculator as will now be described.

10 In Figure 3 a delayed incident signal 28 and reflected signal 31 are input to a digital analogue filter 29. The output 32 of the DAF 29 is compared with a reflected signal 31 in a comparator 35 to generate an error signal 33 which is input to the DAF 29.

Using the unmodified incident speech 23 (delayed by the bulk delay 22)
15 and reflected speech 30 as the inputs, the DAF 29 would converge to produce the impulse response of the echo path. The impulse response of the echo path is effectively a model of the echo path, however the model produced will not be exact as it is dependant on the characteristics of the speech. A DAF will converge to its optimum state if a white noise signal is used as its input. Therefore to improve the
20 accuracy and the speed of convergence a linear prediction unit 24 is used to perform a form of pre-emphasis to modify the delayed incident signal 23 and reflected signal 30 to the DAF, to "whiten" the signals. The delayed incident signal 13 is modified in a filter 26 to generate a modified delayed incident signal 28. Similarly, the reflected signal 30 is modified in a filter 27 or generate a modified reflected signal 31. The
25 modified signals 28, 31 are used as inputs to the DAF 29.

Speech signals consist of voiced and unvoiced segments. The voiced segments are high in energy and the samples are auto-correlated in contrast to the lower energy noise-like samples in the unvoiced segments. These characteristics result in a poor convergence rate of the LMS (least mean squares) algorithm used by
30 the DAF. As the unvoiced segments are low in energy they tend to be corrupted by echo path noise, so the properties of the higher energy voiced segments have been exploited to improve the performance of the LMS algorithm. In order to do this the delayed incident signal is supplied to an LPC (linear predictive coding) analysis unit

24 which derives the coefficients of a filter $H(z)$ having a frequency response similar to the frequency spectrum of the incident signal. Such analysis is well-known in the art. Essentially it generates a series of coefficients which, when applied to a white noise signal, reproduce the voiced sound that was modelled. In this way it simulates
5 the effect of the vocal tract on the essentially white noise input to it by the speaker's lungs and windpipe. By applying the inverse function $1/H(z)$ of this in filters 26, 27 a pseudo-white noise signal corresponding in energy to the original speech can be generated.

The linear prediction unit 24 receives an input from the delayed incident
10 speech signal 23. The sequence $H(z)$ generated by the units 24 is transmitted as an output 25 to filters 26, 27 which apply the inverse of the sequence $H(z)$ to the delayed incident signal 23, and the reflected signal 30, to generate modified outputs 28, 31 respectively.

The delay imposed by buffer 22 is determined by the correlation technique
15 described above and this delay is applied to the signal 21 by means of the variable delay buffer 22, such that the DAF 29 is centred on the delay echo path. The DAF 29 will then converge on the echo path.

If the delay period is predetermined in this manner, the DAF 29 can be centred on the echo path, hence requiring the filter to have a much shorter length
20 than if the delay imposed by buffer 22 were only an estimate.

It is desirable to test for echo on both channels of a two-way telecommunications link, as echo may appear on either, or both, channels. It is therefore necessary to identify on which channel the incident signal is to be found, so that the correct signal is delayed.

25 Instead of operating using a delay period variable between zero and a predetermined maximum, the period can instead be made variable between negative and positive values of the maximum.

However, since this would require both positive and negative values of delay to be tested for, it would halve the number of delay periods of different
30 magnitude which can be tested for. Instead, in a preferred arrangement the channel currently carrying the incident signal is identified in a pre-characterising stage. In most cases a two-way voice link is used by the talkers in turn. It is therefore possible to identify which of the two channels is currently in use and monitor only

the return channel for echoes. This can be done by identifying on which of the two channels the strongest signals are occurring. This channel is identified as the 'incident' channel and the other one is therefore the 'reflected' channel.

In the embodiment of the Figure 3 the speech classification is carried out
5 by the voice activity detector 11. The detector 11 identifies on which of the two channels X, Y the strongest signals are to be found, and controls switches 36, 37. Switch 36 is arranged to provide either channel X or channel Y to the input 21, under the control of the detector 11. Similarly switch 37 is arranged to provide either channel X or channel Y to the input 30, also under the control of the detector
10 11. Detector 11 provides outputs such that when switch 36 is set to channel X, switch 37 is set to channel Y, and vice versa.

**CLAIMS**

1. An interference detection system for a telecommunications link having separate first and second channels, the system comprising first monitoring means
5 for monitoring signals travelling over the first channel, second monitoring means for monitoring signals travelling over the second channel and comprising comparison means for comparing the signals monitored by the first and second monitoring means for one or more delay periods to identify the presence of interference between the channels, wherein the comparison means is arranged to
10 identify cross-correlations between the signals monitored by the first and second monitoring means.
2. An interference detection system according to claim 1, in which the first monitoring means includes means for detecting and selecting signal segments on
15 the first channel having predetermined characteristics and the comparison means is arranged to identify cross-correlations between such characteristic signal segments and the signals monitored by the second monitoring means, the selected signal segments having lengths corresponding to the duration of the predetermined characteristic.
- 20 3. A system according to claim 2 wherein the selection means is arranged to select parts of the signal having the identified characteristics having a duration greater than a predetermined minimum.
- 25 4. A system according to any preceding claim, wherein the comparison means comprises a plurality of cross-correlation means, each cross-correlation means performing a cross-correlation for a different delay period, and delay measurement means for determining, from the outputs of the cross-correlation means, the magnitude of the delay in the interference signal.
- 30 5. A system according to claim 4, including means for determining a rolling average from a predetermined number of measurements from the delay





measurement means differing from each other by values less than a predetermined value.

6. A system according to any of claims 2 to 5 including a speech direction
5 determination means comprising means for determining on which channel the longest segments of signal having the monitored characteristics occur.

7. A system according to any preceding claim, including means for introducing an echo delay signal into an echo loss calculation device.

10

8. A system according to any preceding claim, including means for introducing a cancellation signal into the second channel.

9. A network management system including one or more means for
15 introducing a cancellation signal into a channel on which interference is detected, a plurality of interference detection systems according to any of claims 1 to 7 each associated with a respective pair of channels, and means for selecting the channel with which the cancellation means is associated in response to interference detected on the channel by the respective detection means.

20

10. A network management system including an interference detection system according to any of claims 1 to 9, comprising means for identifying, from the delay measured by the system, the network elements responsible for the interference.

25 11. A communications system having a plurality of communication channels, and an interference detection system according to any preceding claim, the first and second monitoring means of the interference detection system being arranged to monitor one or more pairs of the communication channels.

30 12. A communications system according to claim 11, wherein the pair or pairs of channels each comprise a two-way communications link, the system being arranged to detect echo.





13. A method of detecting interference between channels on a telecommunications link having first and second channels, the method comprising the steps of monitoring signals travelling over a first channel, monitoring signals travelling over a second channel, and comparing the signals for one or more delay
5 periods to identify the presence of interference between the channels wherein the method comprises the identification of cross-correlations between the signals carried by the first and second channels.

14. A method according to claim 13, comprising the further step of detecting
10 signals having predetermined characteristics on the first channel, selecting segments of signals having said characteristics, and identifying cross-correlations between such characteristic signal segments and the signals carried by the second channel, the selected signal segments having lengths corresponding to the duration of the predetermined characteristic.

15

15. A method according to claim 14, wherein the segments selected are of duration greater than a predetermined minimum.

16. A method according to any of claims 13 to 15, wherein the cross-
20 correlations are performed for a plurality of delay periods, to determine the magnitude of the delay.

17. A method according to of claim 16, wherein a rolling average of the determined delay is recorded, the average being calculated from a predetermined
25 number of delay measurements differing from each other by less than a predetermined value.

18. A method according to any of claims 14 to 17, wherein the channel to be monitored for the initial speech signal is identified by monitoring both channels for
30 signals having the predetermined characteristics, and determining on which channel the longest segments having the predetermined characteristics occur.





19. A method of measuring echo path loss, in which echo delay is determined according to the method of any claims 13 to 18
20. A method of interference-cancellation comprising detecting interference by the method of any of claims 13 to 19, and adding to the second channel a signal complementary to the signal detected on the first channel and having the same delay and attenuation as the interference signal detected.
21. A method of interference cancellation in a telecommunications system comprising a plurality of channel pairs comprising monitoring each channel pair for interference by the method of any of claims 14 to 20 and selecting one or more channel pairs having the strongest interference signals, and applying to the second channel of the or each such pair a signal complementary to the signal detected on the first channel and having the same delay and attenuation as the interference signal detected.
22. A method of monitoring a telecommunications network comprising detecting the presence of interference by a method according to any of claims 13 to 21, and determining, from the delay so measured, the location of the element of the network responsible for causing the interference.
23. A method according to any of claims 13 to 22 in which the two channels form a two-way communications link, the method being such that the interference detected on the second channel is an echo of the signal on the first channel.
24. An interference detection system substantially as described herein with reference to the drawings.
25. A method of detecting interference substantially as described herein with reference to the drawings.






Fig. 1.





Fig. 2.



PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

12.11.1996

Applicant's or agent's file reference A24868/WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
International application No. PCT/GB 95/ 02618	International filing date (day/month/year) 07/11/1995	Priority date (day/month/year) 10/11/1994
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC H04B3/23		
Applicant BRITISH TELECOMMUNICATIONS et al.		

1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.


2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.

☒ This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).

These annexes consists of a total of 7 sheets.

3. This report contains indications and corresponding pages relating to the following items:

- I ☒ Basis of the report
- II ☐ Priority
- III ☒ Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- IV ☐ Lack of unity of invention
- V ☒ Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- VI ☐ Certain documents cited
- VII ☒ Certain defects in the international application
- VIII ☐ Certain observations on the international application

Date of submission of the demand 29/04/1996	Date of completion of this report 08. 11. 96
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/  European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. (+49-89) 2399-0, Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: (+49-89) 2399-4465	Authorized officer  A. Draper Telephone No.

I. Basis of the report

1. This report has been drawn up on the basis of (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to the report since they do not contain amendments.):

☐ the international application as originally filed.

☒ the description, pages 1, 5-15_____, as originally filed,
pages _____, filed with the demand,
pages 2, 3, 4_____, filed with the letter of 10/10/96,
pages _____, filed with the letter of _____,

☒ the claims, Nos. _____, as originally filed,
Nos. _____, as amended under Article 19,
Nos. _____, filed with the demand,
Nos. 1, 3-13, 15-25_____, filed with the letter of 10/10/96,
Nos. _____, filed with the letter of _____,

☒ the drawings, sheets/fig 1/3-3/3_____, as originally filed,
sheets/fig _____, filed with the demand,
sheets/fig _____, filed with the letter of _____,
sheets/fig _____, filed with the letter of _____.

2. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

☐ the description, pages _____.
☒ the claims, Nos. 2, 14_____.
☐ the drawings, sheets/fig _____.

3. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)):

4. Additional observations, if necessary:

III. Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been and will not be examined in respect of:

☐ the entire international application,

☒ claims Nos. 24, 25 _____

because:

☐ the said international application, or the said claims Nos. _____ relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):

☒ the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 24, 25 _____ are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):

These claims infringe Rule 6.2 (a) in claiming by reference to other parts of the application. Their scope cannot be properly determined.

☐ the claims, or said claims Nos. _____ are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.

☐ no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. _____.

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. STATEMENT

Novelty (N)	Claims 1, 3-13, 15-23_____	YES
	Claims _____	NO
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims 1, 3-13, 15-23_____	YES
	Claims _____	NO
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims 1, 3-13, 15-23_____	YES
	Claims _____	NO

2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS

- 1). The following document has been considered for the purposes of this report:

D1....US-A-5 062 102

This document was not cited in the International Search Report.

- 2). Neither D1, nor the documents cited in the ISR renders obvious the selection of signal segments having lengths corresponding to the duration of detected predetermined signal characteristics in a system (claim 1) for or method (claim 13) of detecting interference between channels by means of cross-correlation.

- 3). The remaining claims relate to advantageous embodiments of the invention claimed in claims 1 and 13.

VII. Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

- 1). Reference signs in parentheses have not been inserted in the claims to increase their intelligibility, Rule 6.2(b) PCT.
- 2). Claims 24 and 25 contain a reference to the description or drawings. According to Rule 6.2(a) PCT claims should not contain such references except where this is absolutely necessary. (See also section III of this IPER).

Delays of this order of magnitude, as well as being annoying, also confuse the speaker who can find it impossible to continue speaking. It is therefore desirable to detect when echo is occurring so that remedial action can be taken. This remedial action may involve taking the faulty circuit out of use until it can be
5 repaired, or limiting the use of the faulty circuit to uses where the echo causes less problems, such as short-distance calls (in which the echo delay is too short to be troublesome) or to one-way transmission such as facsimile transmissions. Methods also exist for cancelling the echo signal by combining it artificially with a complementary signal derived from the outgoing signal to generate a zero output.
10 However, all these systems require prior knowledge that an echo exists, and something of its characteristics, notably its delay time and its attenuation.

It is known to transmit test signals over a telecommunications link in order to detect the presence of echoes. This system can only be used on lines which are not currently in use, because traffic on the line would interfere with the
15 detection of the test signal echo. It is also known to use trained human observers to monitor live conversations, but this method is labour-intensive, subject to human subjectivity, and also has implications for the privacy of the speakers.

In-service non-intrusive measurement systems are known which use least mean square (LMS) adaptive filter systems to measure the delay and echo strength
20 from the conveyed impulse response. Modern digital signal processors can support about 650 filter coefficients: at a sampling rate of 8 kHz this equates to a maximum detectable echo path of about 80 ms. To detect longer echo paths using this impulse response technique either the number of filter coefficients must be increased beyond these practical limits, or the sampling rate must be reduced,
25 which reduces the likelihood of a convergent response from any one sample.

United States Patent 5,062,102 (Taguchi) discloses an echo canceller in which echo is detected by identifying cross-correlations between the signals carried by first and second transmission lines using a cross-correlation technique. This allows short samples of signals to be used, rather than the long passages
30 required by an adaptive filter, and allows filter coefficients for echo correction signals to be generated more rapidly than by the use of adaptive filters.

According to a first aspect of the invention, an interference detection system for a telecommunications link having separate first and second channels,

AMENDED SHEET

REPLACEMENT

10 OCT 1996

the system comprising first monitoring means for monitoring signals travelling over the first channel, second monitoring means for monitoring signals travelling over the second channel and comprising comparison means for comparing the signals monitored by the first and second monitoring means for one or more delay periods
5 to identify the presence of interference between the channels, wherein the comparison means is arranged to identify cross-correlations between the signals monitored by the first and second monitoring means, is characterised in that the first monitoring means includes means for detecting and selecting signal segments on the first channel having predetermined characteristics and the comparison
10 means is arranged to identify cross-correlations between such characteristic signal segments and the signals monitored by the second monitoring means, the selected signal segments having lengths corresponding to the duration of the predetermined characteristic.

According to a second aspect, a method of detecting interference between
15 channels on a telecommunications link having first and second channels, the method comprising the steps of monitoring signals travelling over a first channel, monitoring signals travelling over a second channel, and comparing the signals for one or more delay periods to identify the presence of interference between the channels, wherein the method comprises the identification of cross-correlations
20 between the signals carried by the first and second channels, is characterised by the further steps of detecting signals having predetermined characteristics on the first channel, selecting segments of signals having said characteristics, and identifying cross-correlations between such characteristic signal segments and the signals carried by the second channel, the selected signal segments having lengths
25 corresponding to the duration of the predetermined characteristic.

By selecting such characteristic signals for analysis the processing capacity available can be used efficiently by concentrating on signal samples which are likely to produce strong cross-correlations, allowing a wider range of delays to be monitored for. False correlations from low-level white noise are also avoided.

30 In a preferred arrangement signal segments having such characteristics are detected on the first channel and selected for attempted correlation with the signals carried by the second channel, the selected signal segments having lengths corresponding to the duration of the predetermined characteristic, and preferably

AMENDED SHEET

REPLACEMENT SHEET

10 OCT 1996

greater than a predetermined minimum. By tailoring the sample length to match the duration of the characteristic element, the chance of a correct correlation being made is improved, because the longer the sample the less likely a false correlation is made, without wastefully attempting to correlate parts of a signal not containing
5 the characteristic elements.

The signal characteristics to be identified may include signal strength or may be characteristics associated with human speech. Because characteristic features of the signals are monitored and correlated, these features can also be used to determine other characteristics of the interference phenomenon.

10 In a preferred arrangement, the comparison means comprises a plurality of cross-correlation means, each cross-correlation means performing a cross-correlation for a different delay period, and delay measurement means for determining, from the outputs of the cross-correlation means, the magnitude of the delay in the interference signal.

15 The invention can be used for monitoring interference between any two channels of a communications system, but is particularly suited to echo detection provided that the send and receive paths are separated, e.g. conventional four-wire analogue telephony, digital telephony, broadband applications, duplex radio systems (time division or frequency division) or asynchronous transfer mode
20 (ATM). Accordingly, the pair or pairs of channels of the communications system to which the interference detection system is connected preferably each comprise a two-way communications link, the system being arranged to detect echo.

Embodiments of the invention allow a greater range of delay periods to be monitored simultaneously by storing several samples in separate stores and
25 processing each separately. In a typical situation two different echo delay periods will be found, depending on which caller is speaking.

The system may be used to provide input to an echo canceller. An echo canceller adds to the return path a cancellation signal corresponding to the signal on the outward path, having a delay and attenuation corresponding to that of the
30 echo, but having opposite phase. One problem encountered with known echo cancellers is that a false correlation can cause a cancellation signal to be inserted where none is needed, which creates its own echo effect. The problem can be avoided by determining a rolling average from a predetermined number of

THIS SHEET HAS BEEN
REPLACED

16

**CLAIMS**

1. An interference detection system for a telecommunications link having separate first and second channels, the system comprising first monitoring means
5 for monitoring signals travelling over the first channel, second monitoring means for monitoring signals travelling over the second channel and comprising comparison means for comparing the signals monitored by the first and second monitoring means for one or more delay periods to identify the presence of
10 interference between the channels, wherein the comparison means is arranged to identify cross-correlations between the signals monitored by the first and second monitoring means, characterised in that the first monitoring means includes means for detecting and selecting signal segments on the first channel having predetermined characteristics and the comparison means is arranged to identify cross-correlations between such characteristic signal segments and the signals
15 monitored by the second monitoring means, the selected signal segments having lengths corresponding to the duration of the predetermined characteristic.

CLAIM 2 CANCELLED

- 20 3. A system according to claim 1 wherein the selection means is arranged to select parts of the signal having the identified characteristics having a duration greater than a predetermined minimum.

4. A system according to any preceding claim, wherein the comparison
25 means comprises a plurality of cross-correlation means, each cross-correlation means performing a cross-correlation for a different delay period, and delay measurement means for determining, from the outputs of the cross-correlation means, the magnitude of the delay in the interference signal.

- 30 5. A system according to claim 4, including means for determining a rolling average from a predetermined number of measurements from the delay measurement means differing from each other by values less than a predetermined value.

This Sheet Has Been Replaced

**AMENDED SHEET**

REPLACEMENT

10 OCT 1996

THIS SHEET HAS BEEN
REPLACED



17

6. A system according to any preceding claim, including a speech direction determination means comprising means for determining on which channel the longest segments of signal having the monitored characteristics occur.

5

7. A system according to any preceding claim, in association with an echo loss calculation device, including means for generating an echo delay signal in response to the identification of a cross-correlation for a given delay period, and means for transmitting the echo delay signal to the echo loss calculation device.

10

8. A system according to any preceding claim, including means for introducing a cancellation signal into the second channel.

9. A network management system including one or more means for introducing a cancellation signal into a channel on which interference is detected, a plurality of interference detection systems according to any of claims 1 to 7 each associated with a respective pair of channels, and means for selecting the channel with which the cancellation means is associated in response to interference detected on the channel by the respective detection means.

20

10. A network management system including an interference detection system according to any of claims 1 to 8, comprising means for identifying, from the delay measured by the system, the network elements responsible for the interference.

11. A communications system having a plurality of communication channels, and an interference detection system according to any of claims 1 to 8, the first and second monitoring means of the interference detection system being arranged to monitor one or more pairs of the communication channels.

12. A communications system according to claim 11, wherein the pair or pairs of channels each comprise a two-way communications link, the system being arranged to detect echo.

This Sheet Has Been Replaced



REPLACEMENT SHEET

10 OCT 1996

AMENDED SHEET



18

THIS SHEET HAS BEEN
REPLACED

13. A method of detecting interference between channels on a telecommunications link having first and second channels, the method comprising the steps of monitoring signals travelling over a first channel, monitoring signals travelling over a second channel, and comparing the signals for one or more delay
5 periods to identify the presence of interference between the channels wherein the method comprises the identification of cross-correlations between the signals carried by the first and second channels, characterised by the further steps of detecting signals having predetermined characteristics on the first channel, selecting segments of signals having said characteristics, and identifying cross-
10 correlations between such characteristic signal segments and the signals carried by the second channel, the selected signal segments having lengths corresponding to the duration of the predetermined characteristic.

CLAIM 14 CANCELLED

15

15. A method according to claim 13, wherein the segments selected are of duration greater than a predetermined minimum.

16. A method according to any of claims 13 or 15, wherein the cross-
20 correlations are performed for a plurality of delay periods, to determine the magnitude of the delay.

17. A method according to of claim 16, wherein a rolling average of the determined delay is recorded, the average being calculated from a predetermined
25 number of delay measurements differing from each other by less than a predetermined value.

18. A method according to any of claims 13 to 17, wherein the channel to be
monitored for the initial speech signal is identified by monitoring both channels for
30 signals having the predetermined characteristics, and determining on which channel the longest segments having the predetermined characteristics occur.

This Sheet Has Been Replaced



AMENDED SHEET

REPLACEMENT SHEET

10 OCT 1996



19

THIS SHEET HAS BEEN
REPLACED

19. A method of measuring echo path loss, in which echo delay is determined according to the method of any claims 13 to 18
20. A method of interference-cancellation comprising detecting interference by
5 the method of any of claims 13 to 19, and adding to the second channel a signal complementary to the signal detected on the first channel and having the same delay and attenuation as the interference signal detected.
21. A method of interference cancellation in a telecommunications system
10 comprising a plurality of channel pairs comprising monitoring each channel pair for interference by the method of any of claims 13 to 20 and selecting one or more channel pairs having the strongest interference signals, and applying to the second
channel of the or each such pair a signal complementary to the signal detected on
the first channel and having the same delay and attenuation as the interference
15 signal detected.
22. A method of monitoring a telecommunications network comprising
detecting the presence of interference by a method according to any of claims 13
to 21, and determining, from the delay so measured, the location of the element of
20 the network responsible for causing the interference.
23. A method according to any of claims 13 to 22 in which the two channels
form a two-way communications link, the method being such that the interference
detected on the second channel is an echo of the signal on the first channel.
25
24. An interference detection system substantially as described herein with
reference to the drawings.
25. A method of detecting interference substantially as described herein with
30 reference to the drawings.

This Sheet Has Been Replaced



AMENDED SHEET

REPLACEMENT SHEET

10 OCT 1996



16


REPLACEMENT SHEETS SG
☐ Description : paged to paged
☒ Claim(s) : paged 16 to paged 19
☐ Drawing(s) : paged to paged
☐ Abstract : paged to paged

CLAIMSDATE OF RECEIPT 12 May 1998

1. An interference detection system for a telecommunications link having separate first and second channels, the system comprising first monitoring means
5 for monitoring signals travelling over the first channel, second monitoring means for monitoring signals travelling over the second channel and comprising comparison means for comparing the signals monitored by the first and second monitoring means for one or more delay periods to identify the presence of interference between the channels, wherein the comparison means is arranged to
10 identify cross-correlations between the signals monitored by the first and second monitoring means, characterised in that the first monitoring means includes means for detecting and selecting signal segments on the first channel having predetermined characteristics and the comparison means is arranged to identify cross-correlations between such characteristic signal segments and the signals
15 monitored by the second monitoring means, the selected signal segments having lengths corresponding to the duration of the predetermined characteristic.
- 20 2. A system according to claim 1 wherein the selection means is arranged to select parts of the signal having the identified characteristics having a duration greater than a predetermined minimum.
3. A system according to any preceding claim, wherein the comparison
25 means comprises a plurality of cross-correlation means, each cross-correlation means performing a cross-correlation for a different delay period, and delay measurement means for determining, from the outputs of the cross-correlation means, the magnitude of the delay in the interference signal.
- 30 4. A system according to claim 3, including means for determining a rolling average from a predetermined number of measurements from the delay measurement means differing from each other by values less than a predetermined value.



5. A system according to any preceding claim, including a speech direction determination means comprising means for determining on which channel the longest segments of signal having the monitored characteristics occur.
- 5
6. A system according to any preceding claim, in association with an echo loss calculation device, including means for generating an echo delay signal in response to the identification of a cross-correlation for a given delay period, and means for transmitting the echo delay signal to the echo loss calculation device.
- 10
7. A system according to any preceding claim, including means for introducing a cancellation signal into the second channel.
8. A network management system including one or more means for
- 15 Introducing a cancellation signal into a channel on which interference is detected, a plurality of interference detection systems according to any of claims 1 to 7 each associated with a respective pair of channels, and means for selecting the channel with which the cancellation means is associated in response to interference detected on the channel by the respective detection means.
- 20
9. A network management system including an interference detection system according to any of claims 1 to 7, comprising means for identifying, from the delay measured by the system, the network elements responsible for the interference.
- 25
10. A communications system having a plurality of communication channels, and an interference detection system according to any of claims 1 to 7, the first and second monitoring means of the interference detection system being arranged to monitor one or more pairs of the communication channels.
- 30
11. A communications system according to claim 10, wherein the pair or pairs of channels each comprise a two-way communications link, the system being arranged to detect echo.





12. A method of detecting interference between channels on a telecommunications link having first and second channels, the method comprising the steps of monitoring signals travelling over a first channel, monitoring signals travelling over a second channel, and comparing the signals for one or more delay
5 periods to identify the presence of interference between the channels wherein the method comprises the identification of cross-correlations between the signals carried by the first and second channels, characterised by the further steps of detecting signals having predetermined characteristics on the first channel, selecting segments of signals having said characteristics, and identifying cross-
10 correlations between such characteristic signal segments and the signals carried by the second channel, the selected signal segments having lengths corresponding to the duration of the predetermined characteristic.


15

13. A method according to claim 12, wherein the segments selected are of duration greater than a predetermined minimum.

14. A method according to any of claims 12 or 13, wherein the cross-
20 correlations are performed for a plurality of delay periods, to determine the magnitude of the delay.

15. A method according to of claim 14, wherein a rolling average of the determined delay is recorded, the average being calculated from a predetermined
25 number of delay measurements differing from each other by less than a predetermined value.

16. A method according to any of claims 12 to 15, wherein the channel to be monitored for the initial speech signal is identified by monitoring both channels for
30 signals having the predetermined characteristics, and determining on which channel the longest segments having the predetermined characteristics occur.





17. A method of measuring echo path loss, in which echo delay is determined according to the method of any claims 12 to 16

18. A method of interference-cancellation comprising detecting interference by the method of any of claims 12 to 17, and adding to the second channel a signal complementary to the signal detected on the first channel and having the same delay and attenuation as the interference signal detected.

19. A method of interference cancellation in a telecommunications system comprising a plurality of channel pairs comprising monitoring each channel pair for interference by the method of any of claims 12 to 18 and selecting one or more channel pairs having the strongest interference signals, and applying to the second channel of the or each such pair a signal complementary to the signal detected on the first channel and having the same delay and attenuation as the interference signal detected.

20. A method of monitoring a telecommunications network comprising detecting the presence of interference by a method according to any of claims 12 to 19, and determining, from the delay so measured, the location of the element of the network responsible for causing the interference.

21. A method according to any of claims 12 to 20 in which the two channels form a two-way communications link, the method being such that the interference detected on the second channel is an echo of the signal on the first channel.

REPLACEMENT SHEET

12 MAY 1998

PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau



RTMP
COPY
+
IPER
+ Annexes
+ Replication
+ share

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification 6 : H04B 3/23	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 96/15597
		(43) International Publication Date: 23 May 1996 (23.05.96)

(21) International Application Number: PCT/GB95/02618 ✓

(22) International Filing Date: 7 November 1995 (07.11.95) ✓

(30) Priority Data:

94308291.7 ✓ 10 November 1994 (10.11.94) ✓ EP

(34) Countries for which the regional or international application was filed: GB et al.

94308290.9 ✓ 10 November 1994 (10.11.94) ✓ EP

(34) Countries for which the regional or international application was filed: GB et al.

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): BRITISH TELECOMMUNICATIONS PUBLIC LIMITED COMPANY [GB/GB]; 81 Newgate Street, London EC1A 7AJ (GB).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): TRAILL, Douglas, Mackay [GB/GB]; 4 Kemball Street, Ipswich, Suffolk IP4 5EE (GB). SHEPPARD, Philip, Julian [GB/GB]; 7 Park View Road, Ipswich, Suffolk IP1 4HN (GB). BAI, Jianxiong [CN/GB]; Micro Systems Engineering Limited, Unit 24, Heathcote Building, Highfields, Science Park, University Boulevard, Nottingham NG7 2RJ (GB). MILNER, Simon [GB/GB]; Fujitsu Microelectronics Limited,

European VLSI Design Centre, Vaughan Street, West Gorton, Manchester M12 5DU (GB).


(74) Agent: LIDBETTER, Timothy, Guy, Edwin; BT Group Legal Services, Intellectual Property Dept., 8th floor, Holborn Centre, 120 Holborn, London EC1N 2TE (GB).

(81) Designated States: AL, AM, AT, AU, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, HU, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, TJ, TM, TT, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG), ARIPO patent (KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG).

Published

With international search report.
Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.

(54) Title: ECHO CANCELLATION USING CROSS-CORRELATION OF BUFFERED RECEIVE AND TRANSMIT SAMPLE SEGMENTS TO DETERMINE CANCELLING FILTER COEFFICIENTS



(57) Abstract

An echo detection system comprises data stores (8, 18) for storing signals from up and down channels (5, 15) respectively. The signals undergo pre-processing (9, 19) to identify signals forms characteristic of speech and instruct the measurement unit (10) to carry out comparison using cross-correlation techniques between the signals stored in stores (8, 18) only when such characteristics are detected. This reduces the processing power required and raises the accuracy of the correlations. Parallel processing techniques allow echoes with longer delay periods to be detected. The results of the measurement may be used to generate an echo-cancellation signal (units 7, 17).