

DIGITAL SIGNAL PROCESSING

This invention relates to a method and apparatus for generating trigonometric function values particularly for use in digital signal processing, particularly (but not exclusively) for generating sine and cosine function values.

In many areas of digital signal processing, there is a need to generate a sequence of sampled sine and cosine functions. Examples that come immediately to mind are Fourier transform evaluations, digital quadrature demodulators, and generation of test tones.

A direct method is to use a stored table look-up procedure wherein a table of function values corresponding to argument values is provided. This method offers high speed, and as much accuracy as desired. Its main problem is excessive memory storage. If a 1024 point sequence is required for example, with 16 bit arithmetic, a 32 Kbyte ROM is needed just to store the sine and cosine waveforms (assuming no repeats of the data).

In a second method, if we are willing to invest some computation time per cycle, this memory requirement can be reduced dramatically by providing an arithmetic unit for calculating function values. For example, the simple recursion

$$\begin{aligned}\sin[(n+1)x] &= \cos(x)\sin(nx) + \sin(x)\cos(nx) \\ \cos[(n+1)x] &= \cos(x)\cos(nx) - \sin(x)\sin(nx)\end{aligned}$$

requires four multiplications per cycle and needs only a few memory locations.

One has an uneasy feeling about using these formulas however, as an *extrapolation* is involved. Round-off

errors will occur, and grow as the extrapolation proceeds. The related phasor tends to spiral inwards or outwards, rather than retain constant amplitude.

One known way of overcoming this extrapolation problem is to slightly lengthen the phasor increment. The running phasor is thus given a small outward movement on each update. Then, assuming the arithmetic used in the dsp calculating device saturates for sample values greater than 1, the output will be (slightly) clipped, but stable. The problem with this method is that it can build up a considerable error before clipping occurs, so the resulting error is large. An alternative, third method is based on *interpolation* (1),(2). The sine and cosine functions, initially accurately calculated over a small set of sample points, which span the range of interest, are stored. These values are used as inputs to an algorithm performed by an arithmetic unit, which uses interpolation calculations to find all remaining values. Small errors can and will occur, but they are significantly smaller than errors from an extrapolation algorithm.

The price paid for this increased accuracy is a small increase in computation time over the first method, and a modest increase in memory size over the second.

In one simple method of interpolation, described in (3), a short table of length $(\log_2 N) + 2$ where N is the number of derived angular values (spaced at $2\pi/N$) including sec values of $(\pi/4)$, $(\pi/8)$, $(\pi/16)$... (π/N) , is used to calculate successive levels of a table of interpolated function values, starting from values at $\pi/4$, 0 and interpolating to find values at $\pi/8$ and $3\pi/8$, then interpolating between these to find those at $\pi/16$, $3\pi/16$, $5\pi/16$ and $7\pi/16$ etc. This method has disadvantages, however, because since the function values

are not created in the desired succession a large table (of length N) must be created before the values can be output. This also involves a calculation delay before the output of the first desired values.

In a second method described in (1), the same interpolation equation is employed, but instead of creating a large table of length N holding all the desired function values, the algorithm employs a short table of length $(\log_2 N)+2$, which at any given time contains the current function value of the sequence, the two values between which the next function value of the sequence will be interpolated, and the values necessary to progressively interpolate all further function values in the sequence; whenever an entry value in that table has been used, it is replaced by a new interpolation value to be used in a later step. The nature, and the total number of calculations is the same as in the previous method, but the storage required for the table is considerably reduced and the results appear, in sequence, without initial delay.

Of course, the two methods are not necessarily mutually exclusive.

A way of implementing this latter interpolation method is as follows.

We begin by looking at the working vector or array V , of size $(\log_2 N)+2$, from which a sequence of sine values are obtained. This vector has some of the sine values which will be output as sample values. As a sample value is output, it is replaced by another sample value which will be used later on. Below is a five element vector, which shows the flow of data storage and computation in calculating up to 128 values of a sine. For simplicity, an element is shown by an integer number, which in reality represents a sine value. For example, the 16 in row 1 (at position V_4) stands for $\sin(16 \cdot \phi_0)$, where ϕ_0 is the angular spacing between sine samples.

n	j= 4	3	2	1	0
1	16	8	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>	<i>1</i>
2	16	<u>8</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>	3
3	16	8	<u>4</u>	<u>6</u>	3
4	<u>16</u>	<u>8</u>	4	6	5
5	16	<u>8</u>	12	<u>6</u>	5
6	16	<u>8</u>	<u>12</u>	6	7

TABLE 1

Looking at the situation at the stage where the first output value is to be output (time $n=1$), the italicised element in that row, $\sin(1*\phi_0)$, is output (V_0 is output), and replaced by $\sin(3*\phi_0)$. This value is computed using the underlined elements in the row, and with the interpolation formula

$$\sin(K*\phi_0)=[(\sin((K+L)\phi_0)+\sin((K-L)\phi_0)]/[2*\cos(L\phi_0)]$$

... (1a)

for $K=3$, $L=1$ in this case. In general the L s are powers of two, and so the factors $1/[2*\cos(L\phi_0)]$ are precomputed, stored, and then looked up when necessary. The other rows, representing successive sample output times, are similarly output and computed.

A sequence of cosine values can be generated in a similar manner, since there is a cosine interpolation

$$\cos(K*\phi_0)=[\cos((K+L)\phi_0)+\cos((K-L)\phi_0)]/[2*\cos(L\phi_0)]$$

... (1b)

Another vector of the same size as V is needed for storing the cosine values, but the same j , j_a , and j_b

apply. Thus the cosine sequence is cheap and easy to produce simultaneously with the sine sequence.

There can be an accuracy problem with both these methods, especially when the factor $1/[2\cos(L\phi_0)]$ has a large magnitude (as will occur when $L\phi_0$ is around $\pi/2$, $3\pi/2$ etc). This implies that the numerator part of the interpolation, $\sin([K+L]\phi_0) + \sin([K-L]\phi_0)$, has a small value, implying subtraction of two nearly equal quantities. The subtraction gives round-off error in finite precision hardware (more so in fixed-point than in floating point hardware). Furthermore, the inaccurate interpolation is usually itself used to produce further interpolations, so that the error propagates through further calculations.

Accordingly, in the invention, the problem can be overcome by using a different trigonometric interpolation around such argument values. According to the invention, there is provided a trigonometric function generator for generating a value of a trigonometric function in response to an input argument comprising: input means for receiving an input argument; first store means for storing values of the function corresponding to spaced argument values; and arithmetic means for interpolatively calculating, using a first algorithm, values of the function corresponding to arguments lying in the spaces between those spaced argument values; characterised in that it further comprises:

- second store means for storing values of a second, complementary function corresponding to spaced argument values;

- arithmetic means for interpolatively calculating, using a second algorithm, values of the first function corresponding to arguments lying in the spaces between those spaced argument values from values of the second function corresponding to the neighbouring predetermined argument values; and

- control means for selectively employing the second store and algorithm for an input argument which would, using the first store and algorithm, give rise to a substantial round-off error in said function value.

For example, in the case of the above method, instead of computing ...

$$\sin(K*\phi_0)=[(\sin([K-L]\phi_0)+\sin([K+L]\phi_0))/[2*\cos(L\phi_0)]]$$

... (1a)

$$(or =[(\sin([K-L]\phi_0) + \sin([K+L]\phi_0)) * \sec(L\phi_0)/2)$$

where $\cos(L\phi_0)$ is very small, we use

$$\sin(K*\phi_0)=[(\cos([K+L]\phi_0)-\cos([K-L]\phi_0))/[2*\cos(\pi/2+L\phi_0)]]$$

... (2a)

$$\cos(K*\phi_0)=[(\sin([K-L]\phi_0)-\sin([K+L]\phi_0))/[2*\cos(\pi/2+L\phi_0)]]$$

... (2b)

and $\cos(\pi/2+L\phi_0)=\sin(L\phi_0)$.

Hence the sine value is found by using entries from the cosine vector (and vice versa). This cooperation between the two vectors ensures that accuracy is preserved at all times. The decision between using one or other of the above formulas depends on the $L\phi_0$ value. If $L\phi_0$ is closer to $n\pi$ than $m\pi/2$, where M is odd, use equation (1a). Otherwise, use equation 2a. A numeric example will suffice to illustrate the benefit of the

invention. Let $K\phi_0 = 20^\circ$ $L\phi_0 = 89.9^\circ$ and 4 place accuracy used throughout. Equation (1a) gives

$$\sin(20^\circ) \approx 286.5(.9403 - .9391) = .3438$$

whereas equation (2a) yields the correct result

$$\sin(20^\circ) = -.5000(-.3404 - .3437) = .3420$$

At least one decimal place of accuracy has been lost with equation (1a).

The price paid for this is the extra memory space required for storing the complementary function interpolation values and the (small number of) extra calculations per cycle needed to update these stored values. Of course, if it is in any case desired to generate the complementary function (as, for example, in Fourier analysis) then the benefit of the invention is obtained without any extra memory being required.

The invention will now be illustrated, by way of example only, with reference to the accompanying drawings in which:-

- Figure 1 is a block diagram of apparatus suitable for putting the invention into effect;

- Figure 2 is a block diagram showing a realisation of the apparatus of Figure 1; and

- Figure 3 is a flow diagram of one exemplary method of performing the invention.

Referring once more to Table 1, when using the second interpolation method, two central questions are how the algorithm knows which element V_j to output and which two elements V_{ja} and V_{jb} are to be used to find the replacement element. We consider first how to find j . Then simple formulas are found for j_a and j_b .

The element that is output is located in position j in V , where j is the rightmost 1 of n (expressed in binary form). For example, for $n=6$ (110), its rightmost 1 is 1, and so $j=1$, and V_1 is output. For $n=5$ (101) (and all other odd n values), V_0 is output. Our first problem then is, given n , how to locate its rightmost 1. The operation of finding the number of trailing zeroes of a binary integer is offered by some computers as part of their instruction repertoire or can be found, using a machine code routine, by successive rightward shifts and bit-tests. Another method is performed as follows. We start by forming $J=(n \text{ AND } -n)$ (assuming n is in twos complement form). J will contain a single 1, at the rightmost 1 position. For example, if $n=4$ (0100), $-n$ (1100), and $J=n \text{ AND } -n$ (0100).

From J , we want to extract j , using as few steps as possible. If we imagine j to have the binary representation $j=j_2j_1j_0$, we find each of the j_i by an AND operation, then compute j by using nested multiplication. To make this clear, we form a table of the eight possible 8 bit J values.

$j = 7 \ 6 \ 5 \ 4 \ 3 \ 2 \ 1 \ 0 \ j_2 \ j_1 \ j_0$

								1	0	0	0
							1	0	0	1	
						1		0	1	0	
				1				0	1	1	
		1						1	0	0	
	1							1	0	1	
		1						1	1	0	
1								1	1	1	

The zeroes for each J value in the left side of the

table have not been shown, for clarity. For example, J on the first row is 00000001. It is seen that

$j_2 = 1$, if bits 7, 6, 5, or 4 in J = 1.

So $A(2,3) = (11110000) = 240$.

$j_1 = 1$, if bits 7, 6, 3, or 2 in J = 1.

So $A(1,3) = (11001100) = 204$.

$j_0 = 1$, if bits 7, 5, 3, or 1 in J = 1.

So $A(0,3) = (10101010) = 170$.

The $A(r,s)$ represent the numbers that J is ANDed with, to test for a 1 in various but positions of J. That is,

$j_2 = J \text{ AND } A(2,3)$, $j_1 = J \text{ AND } A(1,3)$, $j_0 = J \text{ AND } A(0,3)$

The s in $A(r,s)$ indicates that J has 2^s binary bits in its representation. Finally, j is formed from the nested multiplication

$$j = ((j_2)*2 + j_1)*2 + j_0$$

There is a simple result for calculation the $A(r,s)$ values, given any r,s. To see this, we combine the above A values as follows:

	$A(2,3)=(11110000)$		$A(1,3)=(11001100)$
2^{-2^2}	$A(2,3)=(00001111)$	2^{-2^1}	$A(1,3)=(00110011)$
	sum=(11111111)		sum=(11111111)
	$A(0,3)=(10101010)$		
2^{-2^0}	$A(0,3)=(01010101)$		
	sum=(11111111)		

The sum is the same in all three cases. This generalises to

$$A(r,s) + 2^{-(2r)} A(r,s) = 2^{2s} - 1, \text{ or}$$

$$A(r,s) = 2^{2r} (2^{2s} - 1) / (2^{2r} + 1)$$

As a check, let $r=2$, $s=3$. Then $A(2,3) = 16 \cdot 255 / 17 = 240$, the correct answer.

Now that we have found j , V_j (the current function value) can be output. We must next find j_a and j_b , the locations of the two sine values to be averaged together to form the next interpolated value stored in the vector at position V_j ; we start with a decomposition of arguments.

Recall in the vector table that the argument for the quantity to be output is n , the counter input, which is in the j th position. Also recall from the above work that $J = (n \text{ AND } -n) = 2^j$ is also available. Inspection of the vector table shows that the next argument in column j will be $n + 2^{j+1}$. We want this value to be the average of n_1 and n_2 , the arguments of the sine terms to be averaged. To achieve this, we let

$$n_1 + n_2 = 2(n + 2^{j+1}) = 2n + 2^{j+2} = 2n + 2^{j+1} + 2^j$$

for n_1 and n_2 to be distinct, we let

$$n_1 = n + 2^j, \quad n_2 = n + 2^{j+1} + 2^j$$

With this decomposition of arguments, we next look at four possible formations of n_1 and n_2 .

Case 1

		j+1	j	lower
n =	*	0	1	0..0
$2^j =$			1	0..0

$n_1 =$	*	1	0	0..0
---------	---	---	---	------

Case 2

		j+1	j	lower
n =	*	1	1	0..0
$2^j =$			1	0..0

$n_1 =$	*	0	0	0..0
---------	---	---	---	------

Case 3

		j+1	j	lower
n =	*	0	1	0..0
$2^{j+1} + 2^j =$		1	1	0..0

$n_2 =$	*	0	0	0..0
---------	---	---	---	------

Case 4

		j+1	j	lower
n =	*	1	1	0..0
$2^{j+1}+2^j =$		1	1	0.00

$$n_2 = \quad * \quad 1 \quad 0 \quad 0..0$$

Cases 1 and 4 show that n_1 or n_2 will appear in the $j_1 = j+1$ column. Then case 1 and 3, or case 2 and 4 show that the other argument will occur in a higher value column. To find the argument n_a in the adjacent $j+1$ column, cases 1 and 4 lead to the formation

$$n_a = n + 2^j + (2^{j+1} \text{ AND } n)$$

The other n will be

$$\begin{aligned} n_b &= 2n + 2^{j+1} + 2^j + 2^j - (n + 2^j + (2^{j+1} \text{ AND } n)) \\ &= n + 2^{j+1} + 2^j - (2^{j+1} \text{ AND } n) \end{aligned}$$

Bearing in mind that $J = 2^j$ has already been found,

$$n_b = n + 3J - (2J \text{ AND } n)$$

The rightmost 1 of n_b (j_b) and that of n_a (j_a) are located from n_b and n_a using the methods that yielded j from n . Finally, the replacement element is calculated by interpolation from the v_{ja} and v_{jb} elements of V , using equation 1a (or 2a).

Referring to Figure 1, one kind of apparatus which may be used to put the invention into effect will now be described. In this embodiment the function generator comprises an arithmetic logic unit 1 capable of performing finite precision (e.g. 12, 16 or 32 bit) addition, subtraction and multiplication (or division) operations under the command of a program input. The input to the arithmetic unit ALU 1 is via a data bus 2 (typically 12 or 16 bits wide). Connected to the data bus 2 is a memory 3 comprising $M = (\log_2 N) - 2$ sequential memory locations each holding a binary representation of a constant value. The values are the array of secants used in the interpolation formula $(1/[2 \cdot \cos(L)])$, where $L = 2^0, 2^1, 2^2, \dots$ and, since the store is not written to, it may be a ROM. Of course, cosine constants could be stored and used instead, if the ALU 1 can perform division. The store is accessed via an address bus 4. A RAM 5 comprising a plurality M of sequential memory locations is also addressed from address bus 4, and coupled to data bus 2. This RAM holds the working vector S_j (equivalent to V_j discussed above) which stores interpolated function values (in the following, the function will be exemplified by a sine function), including the current value. An incremental counter 6, initially set to one, produces successive input values up to N which are supplied to an output address decoder logic circuit 7. This circuit generates the address in sine table RAM 5 of the function value to be output by the generator, by locating the rightmost '1' in the binary representation of the input value from counter 6, using, for example, one of the algorithms described above. This value is supplied to the address bus 4. A second address decoder circuit 8 generates, for the input value provided by the counter 6, the two addresses in the sine table RAM 5 of sine values

S_{ja} & S_{jb} between which the replacement element of vector S will be calculated to replace S_j .

Also provided is a RAM 9, of the same size M as RAM 5, for holding the working cosine vector C_j , and a ROM 10 of the same size as ROM 3, for holding the corresponding array of cosecants.

Of course, all four stores 3, 5, 9 and 10 could be partitioned areas of the same RAM device. Also since, as will be seen, for any given value of L either the sec or the cosec constant, but not both, will be used in interpolation, only a total of $M=(\log_2 N)+2$ of both need be stored; the values of sec for low L and cosec for high L . However, for simplicity, in the following, the stores 3, 5, 9 and 10 will be treated as separate.

Where the function generator is to stand alone (as, for example, a tone generator), an output buffer 11 connected to the data bus 2 will be provided (which may further include a DAC to provide analogue output).

The flow of operation of this device will now be explained.

Counter 6 provides a value of n (the input value to the generator). Output address decoder 7 receives n and locates from it the position in sine table RAM 5 of the next value to be output, by locating the column of the rightmost 1 as explained above. The value j is passed to variable address decoder, which calculates the addresses j_a and j_b of the two values from which the next (replacement) sine value will be interpolated, using the method described above. Next, decision logic 12 thresholds j to determine which algorithm to use to calculate the next value. Decision logic 12 causes the addition to j_a and j_b of the base address either of the sine table RAM 5 or the cosine table RAM 9. The two addresses are then loaded in turn onto address bus 4 and the corresponding values S_{ja} and S_{jb} or C_{ja} and

C_{jb} from the relevant RAM 5 or 9 are put on the data bus, and read into registers of the ALU 1.

Then, in output address decoder 7, j is added to the offset base address of the sine table RAM 5 and loaded onto the address bus 6. Sine table RAM 5 consequently puts the relevant sine output value S_j on the data bus 2, and output buffer 11 is enabled to provide a buffered output.

If j is low (corresponding to low $L\phi_0$, or at any rate the one nearer $n\pi$ than $m\pi/2$), output address decoder 7 adds an offset corresponding to the base address of the sec table ROM 3 to j , and loads the result onto the address bus 4. Sec table ROM 3 accordingly puts the next value of $1/2 \cdot \cos(L\phi_0)$ onto the data bus 2. ALU 1 is enabled to read this value into a register.

If j is high (corresponding to a high $L\phi_0$), an offset corresponding to the cosec table ROM 10 base address is added instead.

ALU 1 now holds (in 3 registers) the data necessary to calculate the new sine interpolation to replace the output value.

Decision logic 12 supplies either an add or a subtract instruction to ALU 1, which thus either adds ($S_{ja} + S_{jb}$) or subtracts ($C_{jb} - C_{ja}$) the contents of the first 2 registers, and multiplies the result by the relevant constant held in the third register.

The new sine value generated is loaded by ALU 1 onto data bus 2, and the address j (added to the sine table RAM offset base address) is loaded by output address decoder onto address bus 4, whereby the replacement value overwrites the just-output value in the sine table RAM 5.

Next, the replacement element of the cosine table RAM 9 is calculated and stored, in the same manner as above, using the addressed j , j_a and j_b . If so desired, the existing cosine element may also be output.

Counter 6 is then incremented and the next cycle commences.

It is usually convenient to implement the two RAMs 5, 9 as a single device, and likewise the two ROMs 10, 3.

Referring to Figure 2, stores 3, 5, 9 and 10 may be realised as areas of a single RAM device 13. Counter 6 is conveniently realised as a register of ALU 1. Decision logic 12, variable address decoder 8 and output address decoder 7 are all conveniently realised by ALU 1 acting under a control program stored, for example, in a program ROM 14, following the logic of Figure 3, ALU is conveniently a DSP device, e.g. a member of the AT&T DSP family (and may include onboard RAM or ROM 13 and/or 14), and the program implementing the invention may form part of another program (for example, one implementing a Fourier transform on an input signal).

Although the invention has been described with reference to the second interpolation method disclosed, it is equally applicable (in a broad sense) to the first.

Other trigonometric functions than sin and cos may be generated in the same manner.

The invention is especially useful in interpolating periodic, alternating functions since these are particularly likely to produce small differences between large numbers; one class of such functions are those readily derivable from sine and cosine functions (such as tangent functions).

In more general terms, another class of functions to which the invention is applicable are the exponential functions:

$$e^{(c*(n+k))} = e^{(c*n)} * e^{(c*k)}$$

$$e^{(c*(n-k))} = e^{(c*n)} * e^{-(c*k)}$$

$$e^{(c*(n+k))} + e^{(c*(n-k))} = e^{(c*n)} * (e^{(c*k)} + e^{(-ck)})$$

where c is a constant which may be complex. Sine and cosine functions are special cases of this class of function, which include damped harmonic functions. The relevant interpolation equations are derivable, from inspection, by one skilled in the art.

Decision logic 12 need not necessarily decide which algorithm to employ on the basis of the value of j or L but could, for example, perform the subtraction stage and determine whether the result is the difference of two nearly equal quantities (which will produce round-off errors). Counter 6 could, of course, count down instead of up (with a slight change to the procedure for deriving j_a and j_b), and, in principle, more complex waveforms could be generated by counting up and then down.

As stated above, an important application of the invention is in digital signal processing which receive an input signal, perform an operation and generate a processed output signal; one class of such devices perform spectral transformations on sequential portions of the input signal. The invention is especially useful in calculating short term Fourier, Discrete Cosine or Sine Transforms, which are widely used in real-time signal processing operations (where speed and memory savings are vital) such as high-compression video coding. However the invention has other applications; for example, in generating high-purity signal waveforms for testing or quadrature demodulation in which a sequence of function values are generated and output, via a DAC, to provide an analogue waveform. The invention could also be employed in hand held calculators.

REFERENCES

1. Buneman O - 'Stable On-line Creation of Sines or Cosines of Successive Angles', Proc. IEEE, vol 75, no 10, pp 1434-1435, October 1987.
2. Lind L F - 'Stable On-line Creation of Some Common Functions', IERE Fifth International Conference on Digital Processing of Signals in Communications, Loughborough, pp 11-15, September 1988.
3. Oliver J - 'Stable Methods of Evaluating Cos ($i\pi/n$)', J Inst Maths Applic, Vol 16, pp 247-258, 1975.

APPENDIX

This is a ZBASIC listing of a simulation program for implementing the invention using the algorithm described above to enhance accuracy.

```
00010  REM ON-LINE CREATION OF SINUSOIDS
00020  REM L.F. Lind, 6th March, 1989.
00030  REM Initialisation.
00040  REM Ba = the number of accurate bits.
00050  REM S  = sine array, created on-line.
00060  REM Sc = calculated sine array (12 digit
        accuracy).
00070  REM C  = cosine array, created on-line.
00080  REM Cc = calculated cosine array (12 digit
        accuracy).
00090  REM SEC = secant array of constants.
```

```
00100 REM CSC = cosecant array of constants.
00110 REM SW = switch array, to choose SEC or CSC element.
00120 REM D = constants in LOG2 subroutine.
00130 REM N% = number of sample points to be computed.
00140 REM NLG% = log (base 2) of N%, rounded up...
00150 REM to the nearest integer.
00160 REM T = radian angle increment.
00170 BREAK ON
00180 CLS
00190 DIM S(12),Sc(2000),C(12),Cc(2000),SEC(12),CSC(12),SW%(12)
00200 DIM D(3),E(2000),F(2000)
00210 Ba=65536:REM This gives 16 bit accuracy.
00250 T=1
00260 N%=10: NLG%=4
00270 dXp=1000/(N%-1)
00320 REM Initialisation of S,C,SEC,CSC, and SW% arrays.
00330 FOR K%=0 TO NLG%
00340 X=SIN(2 K%*T):GOSUB"round":S(K%)=X
00350 X=1/(2*S(K%)):GOSUB"round":CSC(K%)=X
00360 X=COS(2 K%*T):GOSUB"round":C(K%)=X
00370 X=1/(2*C(K%)):GOSUB"round":SEC(K%)=X
00380 SW%(K%)=1
00390 IF ABS(SEC(K%))>ABS(CSC(K%))THEN SW%(K%)=0
00400 NEXT K%
00410 REM Initialisation of the D array.
00420 D(0)=43690:D(1)=52428
00430 D(2)=61680:D(3)=65280
00460 REM N1% is argument of number next to the chosen one.
00470 REM B% is argument of number below the chosen one.
00480 REM On-line creation of sine values.
```

```
00520 REM ***** Start of main loop *****
00530 FOR K%=1 TO N%
00540 J%=K% AND -K%:J2%=2*J%
00550 NS%=J%:GOSUB"LOG2":L%=JS%:L1%=L%+1
00560 F%=K%+3*J%-(J2% AND K%)
00570 NS%=F% AND -F%:GOSUB"LOG2":L2%=JS%
00590 E(K%)=E1
00600 REM Find the next table value.
00610 IF SW%(L%)=0 GOTO 670
00620 X=SEC(L%)*(S(L1%)+S(L2%)):GOSUB"round":S(L%)=X
00630 X=SEC(L%)*(C(L1%)+C(L2%)):GOSUB"round":C(L%)=X
00640 GOTO 710
00650 REM Here we use cosecant, rather than secant.
00660 REM First, we arrange argu(L1%)<argu(L2%).
00670 IF F%>K%+J2% GOTO 690
00680 R%=L1%:L1%=L2%:L2%=R%
00690 X=CSC(L%)*(S(L2%)-S(L1%)):GOSUB"round":C(L%)=X
00700 X=CSC(L%)*(C(L1%)-C(L2%)):GOSUB"round":S(L%)=X
00710 REM Output sin and cos
00720 PRINT "sin of "K%" = "S(L%)
00730 PRINT "cos of "K%" = "C(L%)
00840 NEXT K%
00850 REM ***** End of main loop *****
01050 "LOG2"
01060 JS%=0
01070 FOR KS%=3 TO 0 STEP -1
01080 JS%=2*JS%
01090 IF(NS% AND D(KS%))=0 GOTO 1110
01100 JS%=JS%+1
01110 NEXT KS%
01120 RETURN
01130 "round"
01140 S=SGN(X):Y=INT(X*Ba+0.5*S)
01150 X=Y/Ba
01160 RETURN
```

CLAIMS

1. A trigonometric function generator for generating a value of a trigonometric function in response to an input argument comprising: input means for receiving an input argument; first store means for storing values of the function corresponding to spaced argument values; and arithmetic means for interpolatively calculating, using a first algorithm, values of the function corresponding to arguments lying in the spaces between those spaced argument values; characterised in that it further comprises:

- second store means for storing values of a second, complementary function corresponding to spaced argument values;

- arithmetic means for interpolatively calculating, using a second algorithm, values of the first function corresponding to arguments lying in the spaces between those spaced argument values from values of the second function corresponding to the neighbouring predetermined argument values; and

- control means for selectively employing the second store and algorithm for an input argument which would, using the first store and algorithm, give rise to a substantial round-off error in said function value.

2. A function generator according to claim 1, wherein the function is a sine function and the complementary function is a cosine function, or vice versa, or a function derived therefrom.

3. A function generator according to claim 1 or claim 2, further comprising means for supplying an



* C L H S *

incremental (or decremental) sequence of arguments to said input means, whereby the function generator output comprises a time sequence corresponding to the function.

5 4. A function generator according to claim 3, wherein the first store means is dimensioned to store fewer than the total number of function values which will be generated, and the arithmetic means is arranged to calculate a further function value, and to replace an
10 output function value in the first store with the further function value.

15 5. A function generator according to any preceding claim, in which the arithmetic means is arranged also to generate, for the or each input value, the value of the complementary function.

6. A function generator according to any one of claims 3 to 5, further comprising analogue output means for producing an analogue output corresponding to the generated function value.

20 7. A tone generator including a function generator according to claim 6, appended to claim 2.

8. A digital signal processing device comprising an input for receiving an input signal, an output for making an output signal available, and digital processing means
25 for processing the input signal to produce the output signal, the processing means including a trigonometric function generator according to any one of claims 1 to 5.



9. A device according to claim 8, in which the processing means is arranged to perform a spectral transform operation.
- 5 10. A device according to claim 9, wherein the transform operation is a Fourier transform.
- 10 11. A method of interpolatively calculating a plurality of trigonometric function values to finite precision according to a first algorithm, characterised in that, for values where use of the first algorithm would lead to substantial round-off errors, the function values are instead interpolatively calculated from values of a complementary trigonometric function according to a second algorithm.
- 15 12. A method of processing a signal which includes the step of performing the method according to claim 11.
-

