


1/22

FIG. 1



2/22




FIG. 2

3/22




FIG. 3




FIG. 4

4/22





FIG. 5

5/22



6/22




FIG. 7

7/22




FIG. 8

8/22




FIG. 9

9/22




FIG. 10

10/22




FIG. 11

11/22




FIG. 12

12/22




FIG. 13

13/22




FIG. 14

14/22




FIG. 15

15/22




FIG. 16

16/22




FIG. 17

17/22

1800




FIG. 18

18/22




FIG. 19

19/22

IMAGE B




IMAGE A



FIG. 20

20/22

MASK A



MASK B



FIG. 21

RECONSTRUCTION OF BOTH IMAGES. THE SQUARES SHOWS THE PIXEL BLOCKS WHERE THE SIMILARITIES HAS BEEN ACCEPTED.



IN THIS CASE THE NUMBER OF SUCCESSFUL SIMILARITIES WERE 20. WITH THE PARAMETERS OF SEARCH AND TOLERANCE AREA USED DURING THIS EXPERIMENT, THE PROBABILITY THAT THESE TWO FACES ARE DIFFERENT IS LESS THAN $1/10^{10}$

21/22




FIG. 22

22/22




FIG. 23