

1 RELATED APPLICATIONS

2

3 U. S. utility-patent application serial 08/055,660 of
 4 Gregory D. Raskin, filed on April 30, 1993, and issued as
 5 U. S. Patent _____ on _____, is rele-
 6 vant to certain aspects of the present invention as will
 7 be explained hereunder, and is hereby incorporated in its
 8 entirety into the present document (European 94105391.0).

9

10

11 BACKGROUND

12

13 1. FIELD OF THE INVENTION

14

15 This invention relates generally to inkjet printing
 16 systems in which an image (characters or graphics, or
 17 both) is constructed on a printing medium as an array of
 18 many individually computer-controlled inkdrops; and more
 19 particularly to a method for printing such an array which
 20 minimizes undesired artifacts that arise in the inkjet
 21 printing process.

22

23

24 2. RELATED ART

25

26 An inkjet image can be created through any one of
 27 myriad different inkjet nozzle firing patterns, implement-
 28 ed by specific program steps of a microprocessor. Such
 29 program steps are usually provided in the form of firmware
 30 for a general-purpose programmable microprocessor, but
 31 fixed arrays of electronic logic elements can be used
 32 instead.

33

34 Any such firing pattern is called a "print mode".
 35 Print-mode variations encompass special uses of a pen or
 36 different portions of a pen in coordination with choice of
 37 printing-medium advance distance or frequency, special
 suppressions of firing for specified nozzles, and special

REPLACED SHEETS		SG
☒	Description : paged ...2... to paged ...5...	
☒	Claim(s) : paged ...2... to paged ...21...	
☒	Drawing(s) : paged ...9... to paged ...91...	
☒	Abstract : paged ...32... to paged	
DATE OF RECEIPT		

This Sheet Has Been Replaced





1 choices of nozzle timing (as, for example, during scanning
2 in different directions).

3 Many different print modes are used to accomplish
4 many different purposes. Such objectives include, for
5 instance, high speed, or more accurate hue for specific
6 printing media, or subtle reduction of the total amount of
7 liquid ink present on a piece of print medium at one time,
8 or (as in the Raskin patent document mentioned above)
9 better alignment of forward and return scans in bidirec-
10 tional printing, or masking of subtle printing defects --
11 such as arise, for example, from cyclical variations or
12 other imperfections in print-medium advance distance,
13 or from isolated nonfiring nozzles, or from internozzle
14 variations in ink volume.

15 It is believed that heretofore all print modes known
16 in the art have been devised on the implicit assumption
17 that inkdrops, and (with respect to print quality) assem-
18 blages of inkdrops, are symmetrical. Thus it has been ta-
19 ken for granted that a drop deposited on a printing medium
20 by a pen while scanning in one direction (for instance,
21 from left to right) looks the same as a drop deposited by
22 the same pen while scanning in the opposite direction
23 (such as from right to left).

24 Accordingly it has been implicitly assumed that ink-
25 drop arrays deposited during scanning in different direc-
26 tions look the same -- or, to put it in still another way,
27 that image quality at both sides of a drop, or both sides
28 of an assemblage of drops, is the same. If this were
29 true, both sides of every image element would be equally
30 good, or equally bad, so (given mutual alignment of image
31 portions deposited during opposite-direction scans) it
32 wouldn't matter which way the pen moved while printing
33 different parts of each image element.

34

35 It has been pointed out, however, that these implicit
36 assumptions are false, or in other words that inkdrops or
37 at least assemblages of them do indeed have good sides and
38 bad sides. Careful inspection and study of inkjet-printed

1 images reveals an image-quality asymmetry, correlated with
2 the direction of pen scanning.

3 Specifically, each distinct image element is cleaner
4 on the side from which the pen moves toward that element
5 than it is on the side toward which the pen moves from the
6 same element. This difference appears to be due to a
7 tendency of minute amounts of extremely fine spray, asso-
8 ciated with firing of the pen, to overshoot the target
9 position very slightly.

10 Sometimes workers in this field refer to such spray
11 as the "tail" of the inkdrop or as a "secondary dot". In
12 any event it presents the appearance of a slight blurring,
13 irregularity or dirtiness of the associated trailing part
14 of what has been printed.

15 Evidently this spray, tail or secondary dot results
16 at least in part from lower average speed of fine parti-
17 cles in the direction normal to the printing medium, and
18 therefore longer transit time between the nozzle and the
19 medium. Such lower average speed may result from the
20 processes that generate the spray, or possibly the effec-
21 tively greater air damping for small particles, or both.

22 (Even though damping force decreases with area, the
23 effect of such drag is greater for small particles because
24 mass and therefore momentum decrease with volume. Another
25 possible contributor to offsetting of the spray is down-
26 wind drift of fine particles in the wake of the pen car-
27 riage. It will be understood that the utility of the
28 present invention, to be described shortly, does not rest
29 on the accuracy of any of these speculations.)

30 After conventional bidirectional printing, the blur-
31 ring effect is present at both sides of each image ele-
32 ment, and so the original asymmetry of print quality for
33 each element is concealed. After conventional unidirec-
34 tional printing, the blur is present at only one side.

35 Earlier thinking addressed to mitigating this print-
36 quality defect may have concentrated on cocking or angling
37 each nozzle to somehow align the tail or secondary dot

This Sheet Has Been Replaced





1 with the main or primary dot. In any event in commercial
2 practice this defect or at least part of it remains.

3
4 Still other known print-quality defects have not been
5 satisfactorily addressed in configuring print modes. In
6 particular it is known that, when very wide continuous
7 image areas are printed all at once, creating a large pool
8 of liquid ink, undesired so-called "wicking" and "runners"
9 occur; these are visible small streams of ink, represent-
10 ing paths of ink movement by capillarity through fibers of
11 the printing medium and away from the area where the ink
12 has been deposited.

13 Heretofore print modes have addressed overinking
14 problems either by (1) subtly suppressing the total
15 amount of ink on a printed image, or (2) allowing more
16 drying time by dividing each image segment into checker-
17 board-like subgroupings for printing in successive pen
18 scans, the division being arbitrary -- that is, without
19 regard for the particular pattern or characteristics of
20 image elements being printed. Both these techniques are
21 ingenious, and they do ameliorate other overinking prob-
22 lems (such as offsetting, and adhesion of adjacent sheets)
23 on which the present discussion does not focus, as well as
24 misalignment between adjacent pen swaths; yet these tech-
25 niques do have drawbacks.

26 Suppressing the total amount of ink has some at least
27 slight adverse effects on hue accuracy and color satura-
28 tion. More importantly -- since such ink reduction is
29 willy-nilly, i. e. not correlated, with respect to image
30 characteristics -- overall ink suppression may unduly
31 starve some image areas that actually are not subject to
32 wicking.

33 Dividing image segments for printing in three or more
34 successive scans has the disadvantage of increasing the
35 required printing time. It may do so needlessly in image
36 areas free of wicking and runners.

37 As noted above, wicking is most serious for broad ex-
38 panses of continuous inking. On the other hand, when only

1 very narrow portions are printed, edges objectionably
2 reveal the pixel structure conspicuously as a distinct
3 scalloped or serrated pattern, because surface tension is
4 inadequate to pull the ink pool into a more-nearly
5 straight border.

6 Earlier print modes have not effectively addressed
7 this problem. As will be apparent it tends -- whenever
8 present -- to be exacerbated by the overall ink-suppres-
9 sion or multiscan image divisions discussed above.

10 Another known print-quality impairment relevant to
11 the present invention, and to other bidirectional printing
12 systems, is misalignment between scans in opposite direc-
13 tions. This problem is manifested as a narrow line of
14 unprinted or background color (for example white) where
15 adjacent pixel blocks, printed in opposite-direction
16 scans, fail to merge visually into a single apparent image
17 element.

18 The aforementioned Raskin patent document offers one
19 valuable solution to such problems, though it depends for
20 its full effectiveness upon good consistency of motor
21 speeds in the reverse or retrace direction. That solution
22 can evidently avoid narrow unprinted gaps where blocks of
23 pixels laid down in opposite directions fail to be spaced
24 properly at the regular pixel periodicity.

25 It may not, however, cure a gap in which failure to
26 visually merge results from inadequate wicking between the
27 two blocks, due to one being already dry. A simpler solu-
28 tion to interscan misalignments, and one which may help to
29 avoid this particular problem, could be useful.

30
31 Heretofore these considerations have not been taken
32 into account in devising print modes for best print quali-
33 ty. Thus important aspects of the technology used in the
34 field of the invention are amenable to useful refinement.

35
36 This Sheet Has Been Replaced





1 SUMMARY OF THE DISCLOSURE

2
3 Part of the creative contribution of the present
4 invention resides in the recognition that, since the
5 implicit assumption of drop symmetry described above are
6 in fact false, an additional degree of freedom is avail-
7 able for optimization of print quality. In fact print
8 quality is subject to refinement and enhancement through
9 print modes based on correcting that assumption; the
10 present invention introduces such refinement.

11 Before offering a relatively rigorous discussion of
12 the present invention, some informal orientation will be
13 provided here. It is to be understood that these first
14 comments are not intended as a statement of the invention.
15 They are simply in the nature of insights that will be
16 helpful in comprehending the principles upon which the
17 invention is based.

18
19 The invention exploits the above-described asymmetry
20 to improve apparent overall quality by forcing the less-
21 perfect side of each image element to become, and to be
22 hidden within, the interior of that image element. To
23 achieve this effect, certain strategically selected parts
24 of each element are printed during scanning of the pen in
25 one direction and certain other parts during scanning in
26 an opposite direction.

27 Neither scan prints the trailing edge -- that is, the
28 edge the pen reaches last, when moving in a particular
29 direction. Printing the trailing edge, as in conventional
30 print modes, would allow the above-mentioned fine spray to
31 be projected beyond that edge into what should be a back-
32 ground (for example, white) area free of the color being
33 printed -- thereby generating a very slightly dirty or
34 blurry edge.

35 Instead each scan prints only the leading edge --
36 plus the interior or part of the interior, if the image is
37 wide enough that its interior forms an analytically sepa-
38 rate portion. If the image is wider still, it is subdi-



1 vided and the subparts associated, for printing purposes,
2 with the leading edges respectively.

3 Thus one discrete interior portion is printed in the
4 same scan with one of the lateral edges (the leading edge
5 in one scan direction). An additional discrete interior
6 portion is printed in the same scan with the other of
7 the lateral edges (the leading edge in the opposite scan
8 direction).

9 In any event, during scanning in a first direction
10 much of the previously mentioned fine spray that arises
11 from printing of the leading edge and interior portion is
12 deposited within the area that should be the trailing edge
13 -- and this area is filled in solidly at the beginning of
14 the scan in a second or opposite direction, for which the
15 area under discussion is a leading edge. Complementarily
16 the fine spray from that scan is deposited within the area
17 that is filled in solidly at the beginning of the first-
18 direction scan.

19 In short a large fraction of the fine spray is hidden
20 within a solid field of ink of the same color. Such spray
21 from the first-occurring scan is buried in a later solid
22 edge formed in the second-occurring scan; and such spray
23 from the second-occurring scan is imperceptible because it
24 overprints the solid edge formed in the first.

25 This discussion suggests that the width of a "leading
26 edge" preferably is great enough to encompass all or most
27 of the overshoot or drift distance of the spray. Attempt-
28 ing to thus capture all the spray, however, may be coun-
29 terproductive in forcing use of leading-edge width to be
30 larger than desirable with respect to other criteria.

31 In particular, the width of a "leading edge" is best
32 defined to optimize print quality by concealing most of
33 the fine spray and also finding the best tradeoff between
34 two oppositely acting surface-tension effects mentioned
35 earlier:

36

37 • Objectionable wicking or runners appear when very
38 wide portions are printed all at once, creating a



1 large pool of liquid ink. This effect tends to favor
2 defining the "edges" as narrow.
3

- 4 • Objectionable scalloped or serrated patterns appear
5 when only very narrow portions are printed. This
6 effect favors defining the "edges" as wide.
7

8 Overlap dots can be included in the portion printed
9 in either or both directions. Such dots avoid narrow
10 unprinted gaps in case of misalignment between scans
11 in opposite directions -- and also in case of inadequate
12 wicking to help merge adjacent blocks printed in different
13 scans.
14

15 Now with these preliminary observations in mind this
16 discussion will proceed to a perhaps more-formal summary.
17 The present invention has at least three main facets or
18 aspects, which are amenable to practice independently of
19 each other -- although for best enjoyment of the benefits
20 of the invention they are preferably used together.

21 In preferred embodiments of all of these three major
22 aspects, the present invention is a method of printing
23 images as an array of many pixels, using a bidirectionally
24 scanning inkjet pen.

25 In a first of the three main aspects or facets, the
26 method includes the step of -- while scanning the pen in a
27 first direction -- printing the leading edge of an image
28 element and refraining from printing the trailing edge of
29 that element.

30 The phrase "the leading edge" means the leading edge
31 with respect to that first direction. More specifically
32 yet, the leading edge "with respect to" a given scan
33 direction is the edge which the moving pen reaches first
34 when traveling in that direction (here, the stated "first
35 direction").

36 The method also includes the step of -- while scan-
37 ning the pen in a second direction opposite to the first
38 direction -- printing the leading edge of the same image



1 element and refraining from printing the trailing edge of
2 that element. Here "the leading edge" means the leading
3 edge with respect to the second direction, which is to say
4 the edge which the pen reaches first when traveling in the
5 second direction.

6 The foregoing may be a description or definition of
7 the first major facet of the present invention in its
8 broadest or most general terms. Even in such general or
9 broad forms, however, as can now be seen the first facet
10 of the invention refines and enhances the art of inkjet
11 printing.

12 In particular this new print mode tends to signifi-
13 cantly enhance the image quality by concealing fine spray
14 within the interior of each image element.

15
16 Although the invention thus provides very significant
17 advances relative to the prior art, nevertheless for
18 greatest enjoyment of the benefits of the invention it is
19 preferably practiced in conjunction with certain other
20 features or characteristics which enhance its benefits.
21 For example, it is preferred that the method further
22 include the step of -- while scanning the pen in the first
23 direction -- also printing at least one interior portion
24 of the same image element.

25 When the interior of an image element is large enough
26 to be subdivided, preferably the interior portion is
27 separated from the leading edge printed while scanning the
28 pen in the first direction, and is substantially adjacent
29 to the leading edge printed while scanning the pen in the
30 second direction. This separation has the beneficial
31 effect of avoiding the printing of overwide edges, and
32 thereby avoiding generation of runners, mentioned earlier.
33 In addition the method further includes the step of, while
34 scanning the pen in the second direction, also printing at
35 least one additional interior portion of the same image
36 element. This additional interior portion is separated
37 (for the same reason as just stated with respect to the
38 first direction) from the leading edge printed while



1 scanning the pen in the second direction, and is substan-
2 tially adjacent to the leading edge printed while scanning
3 the pen in the first direction.

4 In such cases it is preferable too that the method
5 include the step of -- before printing either leading edge
6 -- analyzing the image element. Such analysis is used to
7 determine which pixel columns are leading edges with
8 respect to each scanning direction, which pixel columns
9 are the first-mentioned interior portion, and which pixel
10 columns are the additional interior portion.

11 As suggested earlier the invention forces the trail-
12 ing edge of each image element to become, and to be hidden
13 within, the interior of that element. In abstract or
14 philosophical effect each image element is folded inward
15 upon itself to protect the viewer from relatively poorer-
16 quality edges, like the rolled rough edges of a sheet-
17 metal part that are hidden by a solid portion of the same
18 part. As a practical matter, however, it may be more
19 meaningful to say that each image element is strategically
20 subdivided into subelements, and each of these subelements
21 is printed in a way that is subject to the intrinsic asym-
22 metry of print quality, and therefore is subject to print-
23 quality defects -- but the subelements are reassembled to
24 form the starting, desired image element in such a way
25 that solid portions of the subelements hide the defects.

26 I have found that further significant image enhance-
27 ment can be achieved by preferably also including a step
28 of -- before printing either leading edge -- analyzing the
29 image element to determine too which pixel rows are top
30 edge, bottom edge, and vertically middle segment of each
31 image element. In a related step, these top and bottom
32 edge rows, above and below the previously mentioned "addi-
33 tional interior" portion, are associated with the leading
34 edge and first-mentioned interior portion printed in the
35 first direction, for printing during scanning in the first
36 direction; and the top and bottom edge rows, above and
37 below the first-mentioned interior portion, are associated
38 with the leading edge and additional interior portion



1 printed in the second direction, for printing during
2 scanning in the second direction.

3 In these preferred cases it is further preferable
4 that the analyzing steps further include selecting the
5 number of pixel columns to serve as leading edges in such
6 a way as to optimize print quality; and also selecting the
7 number of pixel rows to serve as top and bottom edges in
8 such a way as to optimize print quality. More specifical-
9 ly the analyzing step preferably includes selecting the
10 number of pixel columns to serve as leading edges in such
11 a way as to obtain an optimum tradeoff between adverse
12 effects of runners and adverse effects of surface tension.

13 Methods in accordance with the first aspect of the
14 invention preferably include performing the image-element
15 analysis for all elements in the image -- that is to say,
16 before printing an image, analyzing the entire image to
17 determine which pixel columns are leading edges of image
18 elements within the image, with respect to each scanning
19 direction.

20

21 In preferred embodiments of a second of its main
22 facets or aspects the method of the invention includes
23 the steps of:

24

25 • while scanning the pen from left to right, printing
26 the left edge of an image element and refraining from
27 printing the right edge of that element; and

28

29 • while scanning the pen from right to left, printing
30 the right edge of the same image element and refrain-
31 ing from printing the left edge of that element.

32

33

34 In preferred embodiments of a third of its main
35 facets the method includes the step of analyzing an image
36 element to determine which pixel rows should be treated as
37 the top edge, bottom edge, and vertically middle part,
38 respectively, of the element; and to determine which pixel

1 columns should be treated as the left and right edges, and
2 first and second interior portions, respectively, of the
3 element.

4 In this third facet the analyzing step includes
5 selecting the number of rows to treat as top and bottom
6 edges in such a way as to optimize image quality. The
7 method also includes the steps of:

- 8
- 9 • while scanning the pen in one direction, printing the
10 top and bottom edges above and below the first inte-
11 rior portion; and
- 12
- 13 • while scanning the pen in a second, opposite, direc-
14 tion, printing the top and bottom edges above and
15 below the second interior portion.
- 16

17 All of the foregoing operational principles and
18 advantages of the present invention will be more fully
19 appreciated upon consideration of the following detailed
20 description, with reference to the appended drawings, of
21 which:

This Sheet Has Been Replaced



24 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

25

26 Fig. 1 is a very schematic diagram showing in isomet-
27 ric or perspective view what is meant by "leading edge"
28 and "trailing edge" in an inkjet printing context for pen
29 movement from left to right;

30 Fig. 2 is a like view for pen movement from right to
31 left;

32 Fig. 3 is a reproduction of a photographic record,
33 greatly enlarged, of a narrow vertical line printed on an
34 inkjet printer;

35 Fig. 4 is a view like Figs. 1 and 2 showing one step
36 of a print-mode method according to the present invention;

37 Fig. 5 is a like view showing a later step of the
38 same print-mode method;

1 Fig. 6 is an idealized illustration of a relationship
2 between line thickness and edge quality -- showing in
3 particular the effects of surface-tension smoothing and
4 runners;

5 Fig. 7 is a diagram of the same relationship in a
6 somewhat more quantified form;

7 Fig. 8 is a schematic representation of simplified
8 pixel-wise division of a horizontal line into outside
9 edges and a single interior portion in accordance with
10 the present invention;

11 Fig. 9 is a like representation of simplified divi-
12 sion of a horizontal line into outside edges and two
13 interior portions, also in accordance with the invention;

14 Fig. 10 is a like representation of a two-dimensional
15 image element printed in two passes, using the Fig. 9
16 division;

17 Fig. 11 is a like representation of simplified divi-
18 sion of a vertical line into top, bottom and vertically
19 middle portions;

20 Fig. 12 is a like representation of the use of an
21 overlap dot to prevent image defects due to interscan
22 misalignments;

23 Fig. 13 is a view like Fig. 10 but showing prelimi-
24 narily how the simplified horizontal-division method of
25 Fig. 9 is integrated with the simplified vertical-division
26 method of Fig. 11, in printing a single image element;

27 Fig. 14 is a related view showing an algorithm for
28 operating on the Fig. 13 blocks;

29 Fig. 15 is a three-step progressive view like Fig. 10
30 but showing how the integrated but preliminary scheme of
31 Figs. 13 and 14 is carried on to complete implementation;
32 and

33 Fig. 16 is a photographic reproduction of four great-
34 ly enlarged photographic records of a word printed on an
35 inkjet printer, contrasting performance of the print mode
36 of the invention with three conventional print modes.

37
38

This Sheet Has Been Replaced



1 DETAILED DESCRIPTION
2 OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

This Sheet Has Been Replaced



3
4 1. TRAILING AND LEADING EDGES
5

6 As used in this document, the terms leading edge and
7 trailing edge are determined by the scan direction of the
8 printer carriage. "Leading edge" designates the first
9 edge of the vertical line printed, and "trailing edge"
10 refers to the last edge printed.

11 Fig. 1 shows in three successive subviews the print-
12 ing of a vertical line 1, 2 two pixels wide onto a sheet
13 52 of paper or other printing medium, when the pen 51 is
14 scanning 53, 55 from left to right, and identifies the
15 associated leading edge 1 and trailing edge 2. In the
16 first (left-hand) subview, during its rightward scanning
17 motion 53 the pen 51 ejects a first column of inkdrops 54.

18 In the second (center) subview those drops are seen
19 to have formed on the print-medium sheet 52 a column 1 of
20 pixels -- this will be the so-called "leading edge" of the
21 finished line. To the right of each dot in the first
22 column 1 there has been drawn, in this second subview of
23 Fig. 1, a narrow rightward protuberance or extension 3.

24 This tail-like extension 3 symbolizes the so-called
25 "secondary dot" mentioned earlier, and will be discussed
26 in some detail shortly. Also in this second subview, the
27 pen 51, while continuing to move 55 in the same direction,
28 is seen to be ejecting a second column of drops 56.

29 In the third (right-hand) subview the pen 51 has
30 completed its scan, and the second column of drops is seen
31 to have formed on the sheet 52 another column 2 of pixels
32 -- the so-called "trailing edge" of the finished line.
33 Here the leading edge 1 is the left side of the line and
34 the trailing edge 2 is the right side.

35 In this view the protrusions from the first column 1
36 of dots, however, are no longer visible: they have been
37 buried in the more massive ink deposit of the second
38 column 2. Each of the dots 2 in the second column, howev-



1 er, has been drawn with a rightward tail-like protrusion 3
2 similar to those of the first column.

3 Thus no matter how many pixel columns are aggregated
4 in this fashion the rightmost column is accompanied by a
5 column of secondary dots that are visible. A more accu-
6 rate, photographic representation of this disturbance
7 appears in Fig. 3, which demonstrates a typical difference
8 between the leading- and trailing-edge quality of a print-
9 ed line.

10 As seen in Fig. 3, the leading edge 1 more closely
11 approximates a straight line. The sharpness of the trail-
12 ing edge 2 is irregular 3 due both to edge roughness and
13 to increased amount of spray; the overall result is that
14 the print quality of the leading edge 1 is superior to
15 that of the trailing edge 2.

16 Fig. 2 shows phenomena analogous to those of Fig. 1,
17 for printing of a like line 1', 2' when the pen 51 is
18 moving 63 from right to left. The first subview (in this
19 case the right-hand subview) portrays the pen 51 moving 63
20 leftward and ejecting a first column 64 of drops.

21 These drops in the second (central) subview are seen
22 to have formed on the sheet 52 a first column 1' of pixels
23 -- the "leading edge" in this leftward scan -- together
24 with an associated column 3' of secondary dots, which in
25 this case are leftward from the column 1' of primary dots
26 rather than rightward as in Fig. 1.

27 The second subview also shows a second column 66 of
28 drops being ejected while the pen 51 continues its left-
29 ward scan 65. The third subview in Fig. 2 shows the
30 resulting two-pixel-wide line on the sheet 52 after the
31 pen 51 has completed its scan -- namely, the leading edge
32 1' on the right and trailing edge 2' on the left.

33 Once again the first column of secondary dots has
34 been buried in the second column 2' of primary dots, but
35 in this third subview a new array of secondary dots 3' has
36 been created -- still leftward from the associated primary
37 pixel column 2'. Figs. 1 and 2 demonstrate that:

38



1 • the leading edge 1, 1' can be at either the right or
2 left side of the printed vertical line, depending on
3 the direction 53/55, 63/65 respectively in which the
4 pen 51 moves; and

5
6 • an irregularity, symbolized in these drawings by the
7 tail-like secondary dots 3, 3', always appears at the
8 trailing edge 2, 2' of any unidirectionally printed
9 inkjet image.

10
11 It can be appreciated from this presentation that like
12 irregularities 3, 3' appear as well at both edges of any
13 inkjet image printed bidirectionally, if such an image is
14 printed using a conventional print mode known heretofore.

15 It will be understood that these drawings are only
16 examples. Analogous identifications of leading and trail-
17 ing edges can be established for systems in which pen
18 scanning occurs along axes other than the horizontal --
19 whether vertical or oriented at arbitrary angles.

20 Since leading edges 1 are superior to trailing edges
21 2, it is desirable that all vertical edges printed be
22 leading. This can be accomplished by using a bidirection-
23 al print mode as described below for a like two-pixel-wide
24 line.

25 As the pen carriage moves 73 from left to right (Fig.
26 4), the pen 51 ejects inkdrops 74 to create a first column
27 1 of dots (pixels), which will constitute a leading edge
28 of the finished line. In Fig. 4 as in Figs. 1 and 2, the
29 roughness on the trailing side of this pixel column 1 has
30 been incorporated into the illustration, symbolized by a
31 rightward extension or elongation 3, corresponding to the
32 irregularities 3 of Fig. 3.

33 The direction of pen-carriage scanning is then re-
34 versed (Fig. 5). While the pen scans leftward it ejects a
35 second column 84 of drops to make a second column 1' of
36 dots on the sheet 52.

37 Thus both edges 1, 1' of the resulting vertical line
38 are leading edges. The second column 1' of dots, however,

1 now buries the secondary dots 3 associated with the first
2 column 1 of dots -- and the secondary dots 3' created in
3 the process of generating the second column 1' overprints
4 the first column 1 of like-color dots.

5 As a result of this strategic superposition, neither
6 column of secondary dots can be seen. This advantageous
7 result will obtain regardless of the number of adjacent
8 columns aggregated, provided only that one or two dot col-
9 umns along each edge are printed while the pen scans into
10 the aggregation of columns from the adjacent unprinted or
11 background area.

12 (In some extreme cases the irregularity or secondary-
13 dot phenomenon may extend over more than one pixel width.
14 For such cases, for vertical lines more than three columns
15 wide, it is desirable that the dots printed as the "lead-
16 ing edge" consist of two pixel columns rather than just
17 one.)

This Sheet Has Been Replaced



20 2. LINE WIDTH

21
22 The quality of a vertical-line edge depends on the
23 line thickness, or width -- the number of dot/pixel col-
24 umns that make up the line. In a vertical line 13 (Fig.
25 6) only one dot wide, there is often not enough ink for
26 the surface tension to smooth out the vertical edges;
27 therefore these exhibit a marked scalloping effect 4,
28 a series of pronounced inward-pointing cusps.

29 As more ink is laid down -- for instance in a two-
30 column-wide line 14 -- the vertical edges 5 become some-
31 what smoother but perhaps not entirely straight. As the
32 width of the line continues to grow, perhaps to a three-
33 column line 15, the vertical edges may be much more nearly
34 straight, but another effect becomes noticeable:

35 The amount of ink laid down begins to be excessive,
36 and the extra ink starts to move by capillarity -- or
37 "wick" -- into the paper fibers, causing visible runners 7
38 to extend or "feather" outward from the edges. The extent

1 of both edge smoothness and feathering 7 depends strongly
2 on the ink formulation and type of printing medium in use;
3 however, as a general matter while the number of columns
4 solidly printed continues to increase (as exemplified at
5 16 in Fig. 6) eventually the edges 8 become quite straight
6 but the number and length of runners 9 worsen severely.

7 To integrate these observations, the important trends
8 observed with increase of the number of pixel columns are
9 (1) edge smoothing 8 and (2) feathering 7, 9. Fig. 7
10 shows how the two trends can be optimized in a quasi
11 quantitative sense.

12 In Fig. 7 the PQ ("print quality") scale from "good"
13 to "bad" quantifies on a common, standardized basis the
14 visual perception of both runners 107/109 and surface-
15 tension smoothing 108, using as a PQ measure the areal
16 deviation per unit length of line. The areal deviation is
17 the printed area that extends beyond a smoothed, average-
18 position edge (in this case rectilinear). Thus an ideal
19 or "good" edge has PQ = 0 (as it too, for this case, is
20 rectilinear). The PQ sum or aggregate 110 goes through a
21 minimum, which is an optimum point 110 for the particular
22 combination of printing medium and ink formulation in use.

23 With respect to horizontal lines, a further distinc-
24 tion is useful: the distinction is between the boundary
25 10, 10' (Fig. 8) and interior 20 of the line. The bound-
26 ary of a horizontal line is, or boundaries are, the first
27 and last dot segments 10, 10' that compose the line.

28 For optimal results either or each boundary may
29 consist of two or more dots. For instance two dots are
30 shown as making up only the left-hand portion of the
31 boundary 10 in the first subview of Fig. 8, but both left-
32 and right-hand portions of the boundary 10' in the third
33 subview.

34 One can divide the horizontal line even further into
35 a right-side component and a left-side one. This results
36 in four sections (Fig. 9) for each horizontal line: left
37 end or edge 11, right edge 12, left interior portion 21
38 and right interior portion 22.

This Sheet Has Been Replaced



1 3. INTEGRATION OF LEADING-EDGE, OPTIMUM-WIDTH
2 AND ELEMENT-SUBDIVISION CONCEPTS
3

4 Integration of the above concepts results in an
5 optimized print mode. The three concepts to be integrated
6 are:

- 7
- 8 • two-pass, bidirectional, leading-edge-only printing;
 - 9
 - 10 • optimal line width; and
 - 11
 - 12 • the four horizontal-line subdivisions.
 - 13

This Sheet Has Been Replaced




14 To print an image element (a representation of an object,
15 an alphanumeric character, etc.) in a two-pass mode re-
16 quires dividing the element into two main components, one
17 to be printed on each pass, such that when the two compo-
18 nents are together on the printing medium the original
19 image element appears. A further criterion for this print
20 mode is that, when possible, both the right and left
21 boundary thicknesses are optimized.

22 To construct of the two main components, the interior
23 of the image element is divided into two portions and each
24 portion paired with its opposite boundary. For example a
25 left inside portion 21 (Fig. 10) is part of the same main
26 component as -- and is printed in the same pass 31 with --
27 the right boundary or edge 12; and the right inside por-
28 tion 22 is part of the same main component as, and printed
29 in the same pass 32 with, the left edge 11. In Fig. 10
30 all of the stippled dots 31 make up the material printed
31 in one pass (for instance a first pass), and all of the
32 "X"-filled dots 32 make up the material printed in another
33 pass (for instance a second pass).

34 As will be seen shortly, Fig. 10 represents a simpli-
35 fied special case of applying a more general algorithm;
36 the illustrated print mode is the simplest expression of
37 the three concepts listed at the beginning of this sec-
38 tion. A root understanding is that print quality is

1 dependent on the order and method by which dots are placed
2 on the page.

This Sheet Has Been Replaced



4. OVERLAP PIXELS, AND VERTICAL DIVISIONS

3
4
5
6
7 To further refine the optimization, one can find the
8 optimal boundary thickness for both horizontal and verti-
9 cal lines. This suggests that even a narrow (e. g., one-
10 pixel wide) vertical line should be split into three parts
11 -- top 41 (Fig. 11), bottom 42, and vertically middle 43.

12 In this part of the process it should not be assumed
13 that the same thickness is optimal for both directions.
14 Directionality of paper grain, for instance, could have an
15 influence on the extent of surface-tension effects.

16
17 When two dot groups 31a, 32a (Fig. 12) placed by pen
18 scans in two opposite directions, respectively, are mutu-
19 ally well aligned, the groups are spaced apart horizontal-
20 ly by the same spacing as pixel columns within each group.
21 The result, as in the right side of the first (top) sub-
22 view of Fig. 12, is that the groups 31a, 32a merge to look
23 like a continuous horizontal line -- or, in a taller image
24 element (e. g., wide vertical line), a continuous field.

25 In case of imperfections in dot-placement accuracy of
26 the printing system, particularly interscan misalignments
27 as between opposite-direction pen scans, another refine-
28 ment may be needed. One effect of such misalignment can
29 be a small displacement 33b between the groups of dots, as
30 seen at left in the second (center) subview of Fig. 12.

31 Such misalignment results (right side of second sub-
32 view) in interruption of the finished composite image
33 element by a narrow white (or other background-color) gap
34 33 -- or vertical stripe 33, for a taller image element.
35 For elements just two pixels wide (not shown in Fig. 12)
36 the only solution may be to improve interscan tolerances.

37 One particularly effective way of doing so is set
38 forth in the previously mentioned Gregory D. Raskin co-

1 pending patent document, incorporated by reference into
2 this document. The Raskin technique can be used for the
3 case of wider lines as well, and for both cases should
4 substantially eliminate the objectionable stripe 33.

5 For wider lines, however, another solution is to
6 guarantee overlap of adjoining segments as by providing an
7 additional overlap dot 34 (third subview in Fig. 12). As
8 will be understood by people skilled in this field, this
9 technique should be used in such a way as to accommodate
10 relative misalignments in either direction, and may result
11 in substantial extra ink usage -- particularly for narrow
12 image elements.

This Sheet Has Been Replaced



15 5. OVERALL TECHNIQUE

16
17 All of the provisions described above can be combined
18 in a single print mode. This process results in a new
19 algorithm for dividing each image element into two compo-
20 nents printed in two passes respectively.

21 An eight-by-seven image element or block 100 (Fig.
22 13) is used for a demonstration. For this example, the
23 optimal line width is arbitrarily taken as two pixels
24 horizontally and two pixels vertically.

25 Preliminarily, the microprocessor firmware must
26 analyze an image that is to be printed, to determine
27 whether any such distinct image element or block 100
28 exists within the image -- and, if so, to determine its
29 boundaries. Such dissection requires scanning the overall
30 image or parts of it for groupings of pixel columns or
31 rows in excess of the optimal widths or heights, respec-
32 tively, of edges.

33 In principle this image-element identifying or sens-
34 ing step may be performed to completion for the entire
35 image before printing of any part of the image (or of any
36 image-element block) begins, or may be performed for an
37 entire image element before printing of any part of that
38 element begins. Still another possibility is to perform



1 the analysis on a progressive basis in which block-sensing
2 continues while printing proceeds.

3 The selection of one of these three basic approaches,
4 or any other, should be made on the basis of tradeoffs be-
5 tween considerations including throughput (that is to say,
6 overall printing speed) on the one hand, and memory capac-
7 ity and firmware simplicity on the other. In any case the
8 dissection or identification step preferably should stay
9 ahead of the printing step by at least the number of pixel
10 rows that is used as the optimal edge height.

11 Next, two pieces of information are associated with
12 each dot that makes up the eight-by-seven block 100 --
13

- 14 • a horizontal-portion label: outside right 112 (Fig.
15 13, central section), inside left 121, etc.; and
16
- 17 • a vertical-portion label: top 141 (Fig. 13, third
18 section), bottom 142, or vertically middle 143.
19

20 This allocational step too may be performed on a whole-
21 image, image-element, or progressive basis.

22 Then a simple algorithm is applied, using these two
23 pieces of information to decide which dots should be
24 printed in a first pass 131 (stippled dots, Fig. 14) and
25 which in a second 132 ("X"-filled dots). The result of
26 applying that algorithm to the blocks shown in Fig. 13 is
27 shown in a first section, "Step 1", of Fig. 15; in princi-
28 ple either pass 131, 132 could be first and either could
29 be second, but for definiteness one group of dots (stip-
30 pled, 131) will be taken as first.

31 One possible method of dot overlap is incorporated in
32 the second section, "Step 2", of Fig. 15. Additional dots
33 134, 134' (downward-angled hatching) required for overlap
34 are positioned along each of the interfaces between the
35 two components 131, 132.

36 As shown, the overlap dots 134, 134' may be placed
37 along interior left edges or interior right edges, or some
38 of each. In particular, for example, one group of overlap



1 dots 134' is shown along the inward-facing left interior
2 edge of the right-middle part of the first-pass dot block
3 131 -- that is to say, an edge that faces toward other
4 dots of the same first-pass dot block 131.

5 The remainder of the overlap dots, still only as an
6 example, are all shown along outward-facing edges -- that
7 is to say, edges that face toward dots laid down in the
8 opposite-direction pass. A depleted dot-overlap profile
9 may be sufficient to mask undesired gaps.


10 The third section, "Step 3", of Fig. 15 is the result
11 of juxtaposing the two components of "Step 2", assuming an
12 interscan alignment or placement error of about two-thirds
13 of a pixel row. More specifically, the second-pass compo-
14 nent 132 is drawn misplaced or displaced to the right
15 relative to the first-pass component 131.

16 This "Step 3" drawing has been assembled so that
17 where overlap occurs the second-pass component 132 --
18 together with those of the overlap dots that are drawn in
19 "Step 2" associated with the second-pass component 132 --
20 is on top of the first-pass component 131 and its associ-
21 ated overlap dots.

22 Thus the previously discussed inward-facing dot row
23 134' of "Step 2" does not come into play, being completely
24 covered by the two pixel columns of the inside-left block
25 that is part of the second-pass group 132. That inward-
26 facing dot row would actually be used only if the second-
27 pass component 132 were displaced to the left.

28 As can be seen from the "Step 3" composite -- partic-
29 ularly near the left edge of the array -- if the leading
30 edges were defined as only one column wide (or if the line
31 or image element were only two pixel columns wide) the
32 spray or secondary dots due to the overlap dots 134 could
33 project beyond the array boundary, once again degrading
34 print quality. Hence the overlap-dot technique may be
35 inappropriate for such cases.

36 Inspection of "Step 3" also reveals that some overlap
37 dots (those printed in the second pass 132) partly over-
38 print dots that form the image proper (dots printed in the



1 first pass); whereas other overlap dots (those printed in
2 the first pass 131) partly underlie the image proper (dots
3 printed in the second pass). In terms of resulting ap-
4 pearance this distinction is without consequence.

5 In this overall print mode of Fig. 15, all edges
6 printed are leading edges, each boundary is of optimal
7 thickness, and there is minimal possibility of gaps.

8 Fig. 16, upper right-hand view, is a resulting image
9 printed on an inkjet printer -- unmodified except for
10 incorporation of this algorithm into the operating pro-
11 gram. For comparison the remaining three views exhibit
12 the same subject matter printed on the same printer but
13 conventionally: unidirectional single-pass images, re-
14 spectively right-to-left and left-to-right scans, in the
15 two left-hand views; and a bidirectional two-pass image in
16 the lower right-hand view.

17 Thus the upper and lower left-hand images exhibit
18 relatively severe blur at, respectively, the left and
19 right sides of each vertical stroke; and that same severe
20 degree of blur is seen at both sides of each stroke in the
21 lower right-hand image. The upper right-hand image, by
22 comparison is relatively much clearer or cleaner, at both
23 sides of each vertical stroke.

24 These samples were made using an inkjet printer of
25 the Hewlett Packard Company, specifically a DeskJet Model
26 550c. The microprocessor in the DeskJet 500 family of
27 printers has enough computing power to implement bidirec-
28 tional, two-pass print modes described in this document;
29 accordingly application of this invention is, at least in
30 principle, not limited to new products but also available
31 on a software-upgrade basis (e. g., with Windows® by mere-
32 ly transmitting a suitable printer driver to the printer)
33 for use in any printers of that model family, or other
34 model family with comparable or greater computing power.

35
36 The foregoing disclosure is intended to be merely
37 exemplary, and not to limit the scope of the invention --
38 which is to be found by reference to the appended claims.

I CLAIM:

1 1. A method of printing images as an array of many
2 pixels, using a bidirectionally scanning inkjet pen; said
3 method comprising the steps of:
4 while scanning the pen in a first direction, printing
5 the leading edge, with respect to said first direction, of
6 an image element and refraining from printing the trailing
7 edge, with respect to said first direction, of that ele-
8 ment; and
9 while scanning the pen in a second direction opposite
10 to the first direction, printing the leading edge, with
11 respect to said second direction, of the same image ele-
12 ment and refraining from printing the trailing edge, with
13 respect to said second direction, of that element.

1 2. The method of claim 1, further comprising the step
2 of:
3 while scanning the pen in the first direction, also
4 printing at least one interior portion of the same image
5 element.



1 3. The method of claim 2:
2 wherein the interior portion is separated from
3 the leading edge printed while scanning the pen in the
4 first direction, and is substantially adjacent to the
5 leading edge printed while scanning the pen in the second
6 direction; and
7 further comprising the step of, while scanning the
8 pen in the second direction, also printing at least one
9 additional interior portion of the same image element;
10 said additional interior portion being separated
11 from the leading edge printed while scanning the pen in
12 the second direction, and substantially adjacent to the
13 leading edge printed while scanning the pen in the first
14 direction.

1 4. The method of claim 3, further comprising the step
2 of:
3 before printing either leading edge, analyzing the
4 image element to determine which pixel columns are leading
5 edges with respect to each scanning direction, which pixel
6 columns are said first-mentioned interior portion, and
7 which pixel columns are said additional interior portion.

This Sheet Has Been Replaced





- 1 5. The method of claim 4, further comprising the step
2 of:
3 before printing either leading edge, analyzing the
4 image element to determine which pixel rows are top edge,
5 bottom edge, and vertically middle segment of the image
6 element;
7 associating the top and bottom edge rows, above and
8 below said additional interior portion, with the leading
9 edge and first-mentioned interior portion printed in said
10 first direction, for printing during scanning in said
11 first direction; and
12 associating the top and bottom edge rows, above and
13 below said first-mentioned interior portion, with the
14 leading edge and additional interior portion printed in
15 said second direction, for printing during scanning in
16 said second direction.
- 1 6. The method of claim 5, wherein said analyzing steps
2 further comprise:
3 selecting the number of pixel columns to serve as
4 leading edges in such a way as to optimize print quality;
5 and
6 selecting the number of pixel rows to serve as
7 top and bottom edges in such a way as to optimize print
8 quality.
- 1 7. The method of claim 3, further comprising the step
2 of:
3 while scanning the pen in at least one of the two
4 directions, also printing at least one overlap portion;
5 said overlap portion being positioned to merge said
6 substantially adjacent interior portions and edges, in
7 event of interscan misalignment that tends to separate an
8 interior portion printed while scanning in either direc-
9 tion from its substantially adjacent leading edge printed
10 while scanning in the opposite direction.



This Sheet Has Been Replaced



1 8. The method of claim 2, further comprising the step
2 of:
3 while scanning the pen in at least one of the two
4 directions, also printing at least one overlap portion;
5 said interior portion being substantially adjacent to
6 said leading edge printed while scanning the pen in the
7 second direction; and
8 said overlap portion being positioned to merge said
9 interior portion with said leading edge printed while
10 scanning the pen in the second direction, in event of mis-
11 alignment that tends to separate the interior portion from
12 said substantially adjacent leading edge printed while
13 scanning in the second direction.

1 9. The method of claim 2, further comprising the step
2 of:
3 before printing either leading edge, analyzing the
4 image element to determine which pixel columns are leading
5 edges with respect to each scanning direction, and which
6 pixel columns are said interior portion.



1 10. The method of claim 1, further comprising the step
2 of:
3 before printing either leading edge, analyzing the
4 image element to determine which pixel columns are leading
5 edges with respect to each scanning direction.

1 11. The method of claim 1, further comprising the step
2 of:
3 before printing an image, analyzing the entire image
4 to determine which pixel columns are leading edges of
5 image elements within the image, with respect to each
6 scanning direction.

This Sheet Has Been Replaced



9 or
1 12. The method of claim 11, wherein:
2 said analyzing step comprises selecting the number of
3 pixel columns to serve as leading edges in such a way as
4 to optimize print quality.

9 or
1 13. The method of claim 11, wherein:
2 said analyzing step comprises selecting the number of
3 pixel columns to serve as leading edges in such a way as
4 to obtain an optimum tradeoff between adverse effects of
5 runners and adverse effects of surface tension.

1 14. A method of printing images as an array of many
2 pixels, using a bidirectionally scanning inkjet pen; said
3 method comprising the steps of:
4 while scanning the pen from left to right, printing
5 the left edge of an image element and refraining from
6 printing the right edge of that element; and
7 while scanning the pen from right to left, printing
8 the right edge of the same image element and refraining
9 from printing the left edge of that element.



1 15. A method of printing images as an array of many
2 pixels, using a bidirectionally scanning inkjet pen; said
3 method comprising the steps of:
4 analyzing an image element to determine which pixel
5 rows should be treated as the top edge, bottom edge, and
6 vertically middle part, respectively, of the element; and
7 to determine which pixel columns should be treated as the
8 left and right edges, and first and second interior por-
9 tions, respectively, of the element;
10 said analyzing step comprising selecting the number
11 of rows to treat as top and bottom edges so as to optimize
12 image quality; and
13 while scanning the pen in one direction, printing the
14 top and bottom edges above and below the first interior
15 portion;
16 while scanning the pen in a second, opposite, direc-
17 tion, printing the top and bottom edges above and below
18 the second interior portion.

This Sheet Has Been Replaced

