

REDUCED WEAR POSITION INDICATING SUBTERRANEAN TOOL**Inventor: Robert S. O'Brien****FIELD OF THE INVENTION**

[0001] The field of the invention is an indicating tool that lands one or more dogs in a recess to give a surface signal of its location and more particularly features of such tools that protect the dogs that engage the downhole recess as the tool is released after giving the surface signal indication.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

[0002] Position indicating tools for subterranean use are illustrated in USP 7,284,606. The elements of this tool are a series of dogs that find a groove generally after passing that groove and being brought back up into engagement. Once the dogs engage a force is placed on the string. This force moves the string up against resistance of fluid that is forced from one reservoir to another through a restriction orifice. The orifice provides a time delay that is sufficiently long to realize at the surface that the tool is properly located before a release of the stress on the dogs in the groove starts to happen. The idea is that the delay is long enough to allow surface personnel to reduce the pulling force so that at the time there is a release the applied force on the dogs is also reduced.

[0003] In field applications there still seemed to be severe wear on the dogs causing them to have to be replaced at more frequent intervals than was desired. One of the problems was that surface personnel would forget or react too slowly in reducing the applied force at the time of release so that no decrease in the wear rate of the dogs could be achieved. Even if the applied pulling load was reduced at the time of release, there was still an issue of the hydraulic system still operating to force fluid through the orifice as relative movement between a mandrel being pulled on and the dogs still in the groove continued to stress the dogs as progressively less contact area supported the dogs in the surrounding groove as well as on the mandrel at a location under the dogs. Despite the reduced pulling force, the progressive decrease in contact support area on various locations on the dog as it was being released, increased those localized stresses. As a result pieces of the dog were still

subject to overstress to the point where pieces of the dog near such overstressed local regions would simply be sheared off. This required frequent maintenance to the dogs generally by a replacement of parts.

[0004] Another issue with the prior tool was that if there were many indicating recesses in the wellbore, there was a possibility that the tool would engage them on the way out and to get the tool to release the same metering procedure as initially undertaken would need to be repeated. This could take time and that results in additional expense to the operator.

[0005] Other tools in the past that would only shift a given downhole sliding sleeve once and could not re-latch if the sleeve had been shifted close enough to a travel stop are shown in USP 5,636,694. However, there was no mechanism on the tool itself that kept it from re-engaging after a given sleeve shift. What prevented this tool from re-engaging a sleeve it had just shifted was that the sleeve in question had moved to the desired position. This tool would still engage other similarly configured sleeves as it had a reset capability.

[0006] The present invention improves on the design of the position locating tool in USP 7,284,606 and modifies it in several respects. It unloads the hydraulic system while the dogs are still sufficiently supported to minimize the shearing issues with the dogs. The unloading occurs with the dogs still sufficiently supported so that stress will not intensify at the time of release to the extent that localized failure can occur. An optional feature allows the tool to be a single time operation by disabling the metering system by virtue of holding the shifted position of parts after a single use so that the metering system is disabled and the tool is prevented from resetting. While the dogs can go into other recesses in the disabled condition, the metering system is not operative and the dogs will simply jump back out of any such grooves when a minimal uphole force is applied to the tool body on the way out of the subterranean location. These and other aspects of the present invention will become more apparent to those skilled in the art from a review of the description of the preferred embodiment and the associated drawings while recognizing that the full scope of the invention is given by the associated claims.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0007] A positioning tool engages a profile with retaining members such as dogs so that a pulling force can be applied for a predetermined time as a signal that the tool is at the proper location. The time delay is a fluid system that drives fluid through a narrow restriction. The restriction is variable to allow unloading of the resistance from the fluid system while the dogs are still adequately supported. As a result the dogs are released from the profile without regional oversteering. A lock can prevent the tool from resetting to limit its use to locating at a single location. The lock holds the hydraulic system in a defeated position so that even if the dogs engage another profile when locked they will immediately exit that profile.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0008] FIG. 1 is a half section of the tool in the position where a pulling force is started with the dog in the locating groove;

[0009] FIG. 2 is the view of FIG. 1 with the hydraulic system about to release while the dog is still adequately supported in the locating groove;

[0010] FIG. 3 is the view of FIG. 2 with the dog released and the relative movement of the components optionally locked;

[0011] FIG. 4 is the view of FIG. 1 but enlarged to show the tapered pin of the hydraulic system in the initial position;

[0012] FIG. 5 is the view of FIG. 2 with the tapered pin of the hydraulic system having the larger portion just coming out of the bore;

[0013] FIG. 6 is the view of FIG. 3 with the smaller portion of the tapered pin out of the restricted bore in the hydraulic system.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

[0014] As shown in FIG. 1 a subterranean string **10** has one or more locating grooves **12** that accept one or more dogs **14** that extend from an outer dog housing **16** through one or more openings **18**. The dogs **14** are biased outwardly and retained from coming out of the outer housing **16** in a way that is known in the art and described in USP 7,284,606. Dogs **14** have internally oriented raised surfaces **20** and **22** that can reside in contact with surfaces **24** and **26** for run in. Surfaces **24** and **26** are located on mandrel **28**. In the run in position, if an obstacle is encountered running downhole, the dogs **14** move

against a bias (not shown) to duck into recesses **30** and **32** so that the obstruction can be cleared. At the same the mandrel **28** can move down taking housing **17** with it as will be explained below. After the obstruction is cleared the dogs **14** go back to the FIG. 1 position. As seen in FIGS. 2 and 3 the dogs **14** retract toward surfaces **32** and **34** after the uphole pulling occurs on mandrel **28** for a predetermined time as will be fully explained below.

[0015] The hydraulic system **36** can be seen in a larger scale in FIGS. 4-6. Mandrel **28** and outer housing **16** define a first variable volume chamber **38** that has seals **40** and **42**. Passage **44** leads to variable volume chamber **46** that is in part defined by a floating piston **48** having a seal or seals **50**. A spring **52** pushes on piston **48** and is located in chamber **54**. The purpose of biased piston **48** is to act as the thermal expansion compensator for the hydraulic fluid in the cavities **38** and **46** and the passage **44** that connects them. Pin **56** has at least two different diameters **58** and **60** best seen in FIG. 6. A ring **62** is attached to an end of the pin **56** at diameter **60** and is designed to engage a shoulder **64** as a travel stop when mandrel **28** is pulled uphole for the metering function. When running in, ring **62** also rests on shoulder **63** to take down housing **17** with mandrel **28** so that housings **17** and **16** separate until the dogs **14** clear an obstruction when running in and the dogs **14** can then pop out under bias (not shown) to put the housings **16** and **17** back together again. With the dogs **14** landed in recess **12** and an upward force put on the mandrel **28** the volume of chamber **38** decreases while the volume of chamber **46** increases as hydraulic fluid has to pass through passage **44**.

[0016] The metering process is illustrated in a comparison of FIGS. 1-3. In FIG. 1 the dogs **14** are in the recess **12** and fully supported at surfaces **20** and **22** such that the uphole corner **66** of the dogs **14** is firmly in the recess **12** and against surface **68**. As the pulling force is applied from the surface to the mandrel **28**, it starts coming up. There is resistance to mandrel **28** rising as hydraulic fluid has to be forced from cavity **38** into cavity **46** through passage **44**, which at the time has the larger diameter **60** still in bore **44** to provide heightened resistance to fluid flow. This is intended so as to give time for surface personnel to realize that the proper location has been reached. Thus far

the metering operation is unchanged from the operation of the previous design in USP 7,284,606, but what happens next is very different.

[0017] FIG. 2 shows the position of the dogs **14** at the onset of release of the dogs **14** from the recess **12**. Surfaces **20** and **22** are still substantially supported to the tune of preferably at least 50% of their surface area, off of surfaces **24** and **26**. The dogs have yet to start inward retraction from the recess **12** because surfaces **24** and **26** are still precluding that movement. Accordingly, there is still unchanged contact between the upper end **66** of the dogs **14** and the corresponding surface **68** in the recess **12**. Looking at FIG. 5 at this time the very end of the larger diameter **60** in bore **44** to mark the imminent disappearance of resistance to fluid flow through passage **44**, which will happen as soon as the larger diameter portion **60** exits bore **44** leaving only the smaller diameter **58** in bore **44** which offers, relatively, very little resistance to flow through passage **44** from chamber **38** to chamber **46**.

[0018] When the larger diameter portion **60** comes out of passage **44** the mandrel **28** can rapidly accelerate uphole. As the mandrel **28** accelerates uphole it is no longer pushing the uphole segment **66** of the dogs **14** against surface **68** of the recess **12**. Similarly, surfaces **32** and **34** rapidly present themselves opposite surfaces **20** and **22**. Comparing this to the operation of the prior tool, the metering system in the prior tool maintained resistance to uphole movement of mandrel **28** as surfaces **22** and **24** rode off the end of surfaces **24** and **26** and down the respective adjacent ramps **70** and **72**. This tended to break off pieces, in the old design, of surfaces **20** or **22** on the dogs **14** on one side and the transition between surfaces **24** and **70** or **26** and **72** on the other side. Additionally, as the dogs in the prior design moved in radially the contact area while under pulling load between the upper end **66** and the corresponding surface **68** in recess **12** was reduced so that either or both tended to break as the stress concentrated there before the total release of the dogs **14**.

[0019] In the present invention the metering system **36** becomes disabled when the small diameter portion **58** is all that remains in passage **44**. This is calculated to occur before the stress rises to an unacceptable level at the upper end **66** and recess surface **68** or at surface **20** and opposed surface **24**

or at surface **22** and opposed surface **26**. By disabling the metering system before too much stress builds up, shearing or fracture failures at those loading locations are minimized if not eliminated. Instead what happens is that dogs **14** are rapidly undermined and at worst there is some impact load of the lower end **74** against shoulder **76** or sleeve **16** and preferably against the two of them together as the movement of the sleeve **16** is preferably stopped where it draws up even to shoulder **76**.

[0020] As an option the mandrel **28** can have a c-ring **78** in a groove **80** and sleeve **17** can have a recess **82**. As seen by comparing the FIG. 5 and the FIG. 6 positions, the c-ring **78** that comes up with mandrel **28** lines up with recess **82** and snaps into it locking sleeve **17** to mandrel **28**. There is an uphole bias on sleeve **17** that is not shown that basically is disabled when the c-ring **78** snaps into recess **82**. Without the locking feature the tool is built to reset as sleeve **17** is pushed by the unseen spring in an uphole direction against sleeve **16** so that surfaces **20** and **22** can again come into alignment with surfaces **24** and **26** for landing in the same or another recess such as **12**. However, with sleeve **17** locked in a further downhole position than during run in, the downhole bias on sleeve **16** cannot be offset and such downhole bias ensures that surfaces **20** and **22** stay offset from supporting surfaces **24** and **26** so that the dogs **14** cannot land and stay in another recess such as **12**. It should be noted that the uphole bias on sleeve **17** and the downhole bias on sleeve **16** were in the previous design of USP 7,284,606 were accomplished respectfully by springs 36 and 40 in that patent that is fully incorporated in this application as if fully set forth.

[0021] Those skilled in the art can appreciate that variations of the locking concept to prevent the dogs **14** from catching and metering in another groove are possible as alternatives to the c-ring **78** catching in groove **82**. One such alternative is a ratchet design or a multi-sided ratchet ring known as a body lock ring to name a few alternatives. The change in resistance in the metering system **36** is preferably accomplished with the elongated element having differing diameters **58** and **60** but other techniques can be used to resist flow in passage **44** and then suddenly decrease it or eliminate it. For example,

some combination of a fixed orifice and a rupture disc in parallel paths between chambers **38** and **46** can bring about the same result.

[0022] It should also be noted that without the locking system for housing **17** that the release of the dogs **14** in the manner described above will bring up housing **17** so that the larger diameter **60** will again be in passage **44** and the dogs **14** will be supported on surfaces **24** and **26** and ready to land in another recess **12** to repeat the metering process.

[0023] Note also that section **58** having the smaller cross-sectional area can also be eliminated and flow can be restricted with segment **60** either in or out of passage **44**. However, having portion **58** still in the passage **44** when portion **60** comes out allows segment **58** to act as an alignment guide for the elongated member **56** so that if the tool is allowed to reset the member **56** can retain alignment and simply go right back into passage **44** without getting cocked or bent.

[0024] While the preferred contact area of surfaces **20** and **22** respectively on surfaces **24** and **26** is at least 50% by area at the time segment **60** exits passage **44**, that number can change depending on the material of dogs **14** and mandrel **28** and the geometry of the dogs **14**. The objective being that stress is limited to levels that will not cause rapid wear or part destruction from normal operations. The idea being to release the metering system **36** before stresses can concentrate to levels that cause stress cracks or shear off portions of the dogs **14** or supporting structures on the mandrel **28** or the profile **12**.

[0025] Another optional feature is to provide a pressure relief feature **39** to chamber **38** to prevent overpressure of that chamber if the mandrel **28** is pulled up too fast from the surface. Preferably this pressure relief can be through the wall of the housing **17** and can be in the form of a resettable pressure relief valve (not shown). Of course, setting off this relief device will defeat the metering function as resistance to rapid upward movement of the mandrel **28** will disappear as the dogs **14** move radially inwardly. In that sense the device will revert to operation as in USP 7,284,606 until the pressure relief device resets such as when the rapid pulling force on mandrel **28** is relaxed. If there is a lockout feature after a single use then the device will have to be

brought out of the hole and be reset before the dogs **14** can engage another groove or the same groove **12** in the wellbore.

[0026] As another option to preventing the dogs **14** from re-engaging other grooves after an initial release, which can be in addition to locking sleeve **17** as shown in FIGS. 3 and 6, the dogs **14** themselves can be held retracted by magnetic or band spring or other radially inward oriented force to hold the dogs retracted in recesses **32** and **34**. The dogs **14** can be magnetized or have a magnetic strip on their underside or the strip can be in the recesses **34** or **32**.

[0027] The above description is illustrative of the preferred embodiment and many modifications may be made by those skilled in the art without departing from the invention whose scope is to be determined from the literal and equivalent scope of the claims below.

I claim:

1. A tool adapted to temporarily engage and then release at least one subterranean profile, comprising:

a mandrel for selective support of at least one dog extendable from a housing associated with said mandrel;

said mandrel selectively removing support for said dog, when said dog is extended from said housing into the profile, by virtue of axial relative movement with respect to said dog;

a movement regulation device to retard said axial relative movement until said movement regulation device is defeated, said defeat occurring before enough relative axial movement has occurred sufficient to allow said dog to measurably retract from the profile.

2. The tool of claim 1, further comprising:

a lock to prevent said dog from regaining support from said mandrel, to extend from said housing to be retained by a profile, after said defeat of said movement regulation device.

3. The tool of claim 1, wherein:

said movement regulation device, when retarding said relative axial movement, displaces fluid and is defeated by a change in resistance to displacement of said fluid.

4. The tool of claim 3, wherein:

said movement regulation device comprises a fluid flow passage that changes in flow area.

5. The tool of claim 4, wherein:

said movement regulation device comprises spaced apart variable volume chambers connected by said flow passage;

said flow passage changes in flow area using a movable member in said flow passage.

6. The tool of claim 5, wherein:

said movable member is displaced in said passage by fluid flowing therethrough.

7. The tool of claim 6, wherein:

said movable member is travel limited by engaging said mandrel.

8. The tool of claim **7**, wherein:
said mandrel moves with respect to said passage when said relative axial motion occurs with said dog still engaged in the profile.
9. The tool of claim **8**, wherein:
said movable member moves in tandem with said mandrel as fluid displaced through said passage between said chambers, said displacement induced by mandrel movement, keeps said movable member in contact with said mandrel.
10. The tool of claim **9**, wherein:
said mandrel has a shoulder and said movable member has a stop ring that rides against said shoulder while said relative axial movement is being retarded.
11. The tool of claim **10**, wherein:
said movable member has at least two different cross-sectional dimensions along its length and movement of said movable member with respect to said passage removes a larger cross-sectional dimension of said movable member from said passage leaving another portion of said movable member with a smaller cross-sectional dimension in said passage to define defeat of said movement regulation device.
12. The tool of claim **12**, wherein:
said mandrel accelerates its axial movement when said larger cross-sectional dimension exits said passage.
13. The tool of claim **12**, wherein:
said mandrel comprises at least one raised surface such that when said raised surface on said mandrel radially aligns with at least one support surface for said dog, said dog is supported in the profile;
said raised surface and support surface still align radially when said axial movement brings said larger cross-sectional area of said movable member out of said passage.
14. The tool of claim **13**, wherein:
said raised surface and support surface still axially overlap leaving at least 50% of said support surface in contact with said raised surface when said

axial movement brings said larger cross-sectional area of said movable member out of said passage.

15. The tool of claim **5**, wherein:

said movable member has at least two different cross-sectional dimensions along its length and movement of said movable member with respect to said passage removes a larger cross-sectional dimension of said movable member from said passage leaving another portion of said movable member with a smaller cross-sectional dimension in said passage to define defeat of said movement regulation device.

16. The tool of claim **3**, wherein:

said change in resistance is accomplished with a movable member with at least a larger and a smaller cross-sectional areas wherein removal of said larger cross-sectional area from a fluid flow passage changes the flow resistance.

17. The tool of claim **3**, wherein:

said change in resistance is accomplished with a movable member wherein removal of said movable member from a fluid flow passage changes the flow resistance.

18. The tool of claim **2**, wherein:

said lock comprises a ring on one of said mandrel and said housing and a groove on the other of said mandrel and said housing which lock together upon a predetermined axial relative movement of said mandrel with said housing retained by said dog in the profile.

19. The tool of claim **2**, wherein:

said lock comprises a ratchet that allows relative axial movement between said mandrel and said housing in only one direction.

20. The tool of claim **13**, further comprising:

a lock to prevent said dog from regaining support from said mandrel, to extend from said housing to be retained by a profile, after said defeat of said movement regulation device.

21. The tool of claim **2**, wherein:

said lock comprises an applied force on said dog toward said mandrel.

22. The tool of claim **21**, wherein:
the source of said force is a spring or a magnet.
23. The tool of claim **3**, further comprising:
a pressure relief device for said fluid to avoid overpressure if said axial
relative movement occurs too quickly.
24. The tool of claim **23**, further comprising:
said relief device is resettable when pressure against it declines.