

METHODS AND COMPOSITIONS FOR TREATMENT OF CONCRETE WASH WATER

CROSS-REFERENCE

[0001] This application is a continuation-in-part of PCT Application No. PCT/CA2017/050445, filed April 11, 2017 (Attorney Docket Number 44131-715.601), which claims priority to U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 62/321,013, filed April 11, 2016 (Attorney Docket Number 44131-715.101). This application also claims priority to U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 62/522,510 filed June 20, 2017 (Attorney Docket Number 44131-715.103), to U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 62/554,830 filed September 6, 2017 (Attorney Docket Number 44131-715.104), to U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 62/558,173 filed September 13, 2017 (Attorney Docket Number 44131-715.105), to U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 62/559,771 filed September 18, 2017 (Attorney Docket Number 44131-715.106), to U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 62/560,311 filed September 19, 2017 (Attorney Docket Number 44131-715.107), to U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 62/570,452 filed October 10, 2017 (Attorney Docket Number 44131-715.108), to U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 62/675,615 filed May 23, 2018 (Attorney Docket Number 44131-715.109), to U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 62/652,385 filed April 4, 2018 (Attorney Docket Number 44131-719.101), and to U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 62/573,109 filed October 16, 2017 (Attorney Docket Number 44131-717.101) all of which are incorporated herein by reference in their entirety.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

[0002] Wash water, produced in the making of concrete, poses a significant problem in terms of use and/or disposal. Methods and compositions to better manage concrete wash water are needed.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0003] In one aspect the invention provides methods.

[0004] In certain embodiments, the invention provides a method of preparing a concrete mix comprising (i) adding concrete materials to a mixer; (ii) adding mix water to the mixer, wherein the mix water comprises carbonated concrete wash water; and (iii) mixing the water and the concrete materials to produce a concrete mix. In certain embodiments, the

carbonated concrete wash water comprises at least 10% of the total mix water. In certain embodiments, the carbonated concrete mix water comprises at least 40% of the total mix water. In certain embodiments, the mix water comprises a first portion of water that is not carbonated mix water and a second portion of mix water that comprises carbonated mix water, wherein the first batch of mix water is added to the concrete materials before the second batch of mix water. The first portion of water can added at a first location and the second portion of water can added at a second location, e.g., the drum of a ready-mix truck, wherein the first and second locations are different. In certain embodiments, the second portion of mix water is added at least 2 minutes after the first portion. In certain embodiments, the carbonated concrete wash water has a density of at least 1.10 g/cm³. In certain embodiments, the carbonated concrete wash water has been held for at least 1 day. In certain embodiments, the carbonated concrete wash water has been held for at least 3 days. In certain embodiments, the concrete mix is sufficiently workable for its intended use, and the carbonated wash water is of an age that the same mix made with the wash water of the same age in the same proportions would not be sufficiently workable for its intended use. In certain embodiments, the mix water comprises carbonated wash water in an amount that results in a concrete mix that is at least 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 15, 20, 25, 30, 40, or 50%, for example 5%, stronger at a time after pouring—e.g., 1 day, 7 days, 28 days, or any combination thereof--than the same concrete mix made without carbonated wash water. In certain embodiments, the mix water comprises carbonated wash water in an amount that allows the concrete mix to contain at least 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 25, 30, 40, or 50%, for example at least 5%, less cement than, and retain a compressive strength after pouring of within 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 15, 20, 25, 30, 40, or 50%, for example at least 5%, of the same concrete mix made without carbonated wash water and with the extra (normal mix) percentage cement.

[0005] In certain embodiments, presented is a method comprising (i) exposing a concrete mix to one or more set retarders; (ii) after the concrete has been exposed to the set retarder, exposing the concrete mix to carbon dioxide. The concrete mix can include, e.g., a concrete wash water. In certain embodiments, the concrete mix is exposed to the set retarder in the drum of a ready-mix truck.

[0006] In certain embodiments, presented is a method comprising (i) exposing concrete wash water to carbon dioxide to produce carbonated wash water; (ii) exposing the carbonated wash water to an admixture, wherein the admixture is such that after exposure, the solids in the carbonated wash water remain suspended with little or no agitation.

[0007] In certain embodiments, provided is a method comprising (i) exposing concrete wash water to carbon dioxide to produce carbonated wash water; (ii) mixing said carbonated wash water with cement powder to produce a wet cement mix; and (iii) exposing the wet cement mix to carbon dioxide to produce a carbonated wet cement mix. The method can further comprise mixing aggregate with the cement powder and water to produce a wet concrete mix.

[0008] In another aspect, the invention provides apparatus.

[0009] In certain embodiments, the invention provides an apparatus for carbonating wash water produced in the production of concrete in a wash water operation wherein the wash water comprises cement and/or supplementary cementitious materials (SCM), comprising (i) a source of carbon dioxide; (ii) a first conduit operably connected to the source of carbon dioxide that runs to a wash water container, wherein (a) the wash water container contains wash water from a concrete production site; (b) the conduit has one or more openings positioned to deliver carbon dioxide at or under the surface of the wash water in the container to produce carbonated wash water; (iii) a system to transport the carbonated wash water to a concrete mix operation where the carbonated wash water is used as mix water in a concrete mix. The apparatus can further include (iv) a controller that determines whether or not, and/or how, to modify delivery of carbon dioxide to the wash water, or another characteristic of the wash water operation, or both, based on the one or more characteristics of the wash water or wash water operation. The characteristic can be, e.g., at least one, at least two, at least three, at least four, at least five, or at least six, of pH of the wash water, rate of delivery of carbon dioxide to the wash water, total amount of wash water in the wash water container, temperature of the wash water, specific gravity of the wash water, concentration of one or more ions in the wash water, age of the wash water, circulation rate of the wash water, timing of circulation of the wash water, appearance of bubbles at surface of wash water, carbon dioxide concentration of the air above the wash water, electrical conductivity of the wash water, optical characteristics of the wash water, or any combination thereof. In certain embodiments, the apparatus may further include (v) one or more sensors that monitor one or more characteristics of the wash water and/or the carbonation of the wash water in the container, wherein the one or more sensors is operably connected to the controller and delivers information regarding the characteristic of the wash water and/or wash water operation to the controller. In certain embodiments, the apparatus includes at least one, two, three, four, five, or six of sensors for (a) pH of the wash water, (b) rate of delivery of carbon dioxide to the wash water, (c) total amount of wash water in the wash water container, (d) temperature of the wash water, (e) specific gravity of the wash water, (f) concentration of

one or more ions in the wash water, (g) age of the wash water, (h) circulation rate of the wash water, (i) timing of circulation of the wash water, (j) appearance of bubbles at surface of wash water, (k) carbon dioxide concentration of the air above the wash water, (l) electrical conductivity of the wash water, (m) optical characteristics of the wash water, or any combination thereof. The apparatus may further include (iii) one or more actuators operably connected to the controller to modify delivery of carbon dioxide to the wash water, or another characteristic of the wash water operation, or both.

[0010] In certain embodiments, the invention provides an apparatus for preparing a concrete mix comprising (i) a first mixer for mixing concrete materials and water; (ii) a second mixer for mixing concrete materials and water; (iii) a first water container holding water that comprises carbonated concrete wash water; (iv) a second water container, different from the first, holding water that is not carbonated concrete wash water; (iv) a first system fluidly connecting the first water container with the second mixer and a second system fluidly connecting the second water container with the first mixer. The first and second mixers can be the same mixer; in certain embodiments, they are different mixers. In certain embodiments, the first mixer is the drum of a ready-mix truck. In certain embodiments, the apparatus further includes a controller configured to add a first amount of the water in the second water container to the first mixer at a first time and to add a second amount of the water in the first water container to the second mixer at a second time, wherein the first and second times are different and wherein the first time is before the second time.

[0011] In certain embodiments, the invention provides an apparatus for preparing a concrete mix comprising (i) a mixer for mixing concrete materials and water; (ii) a first water container holding water that comprises carbonated concrete wash water; (iii) a second water container, different from the first, holding water that is not carbonated concrete wash water; (iv) a third container, fluid connected to the first and second water containers and to the mixer, for receiving a first portion of the water in the first container and a second portion of the water in the second container, mixing them to form mixed waters, and sending a third portion of the mixed waters to the mixer.

[0012] In certain embodiments, provided is apparatus comprising (i) a holding tank for holding concrete wash water; (ii) a first conduit operably connected to the holding tank, wherein the conduit (a) comprises an inlet from the holding tank to admit wash water to the conduit and an outlet to replace wash water back in the holding tank after it has circulated through the conduit, and (b) comprises one or more openings for introducing carbon dioxide into wash water pumped through the conduit. In certain embodiments the apparatus further

comprises a second conduit operably connected to the holding tank, wherein the second conduit is configured to transport concrete wash water to the holding tank. The second conduit can, e.g., transport concrete wash water from a sedimentation pond to the holding tank.

[0013] In certain embodiments, provided is a composition comprising (i) a hydraulic cement; (ii) mix water for the hydraulic cement, wherein the mix water comprises carbonated concrete wash water.

[0013a] In certain embodiments, provided is a method of preparing a concrete mix comprising (i) adding concrete materials to a mixer; (ii) adding mix water to the mixer, wherein the mix water comprises carbonated concrete wash water, and wherein the carbonated concrete wash water is prepared by a method comprising transporting concrete wash water from a holding tank holding the concrete wash water into a recirculation loop operably connected to the holding tank, introducing carbon dioxide into the wash water in the recirculation loop, and moving the wash water back into the holding tank via the recirculation loop; and (iii) mixing the water and the concrete materials to produce a concrete mix.

INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE

[0014] All publications, patents, and patent applications mentioned in this specification are herein incorporated by reference to the same extent as if each individual publication, patent, or patent application was specifically and individually indicated to be incorporated by reference.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0015] The novel features of the invention are set forth with particularity in the appended claims. A better understanding of the features and advantages of the present invention will be obtained by reference to the following detailed description that sets forth illustrative embodiments, in which the principles of the invention are utilized, and the accompanying drawings of which:

[0016] **Figure 1** shows set acceleration in concrete produced with wash (grey) water at various specific gravities and ages, where the water was with and without carbon dioxide treatment. See Example 1.

[0017] Figure 2 shows set acceleration in concrete produced with wash (grey) water produced with Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC, 50%) and supplementary cementitious materials (SCM, 50%), where the water was treated and untreated with carbon dioxide, and aged 1 or 6 days.

[0018] Figure 3 shows workability (slump) in concrete produced with wash (grey) water produced with Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC, 50%) and supplementary cementitious materials (SCM, 50%), where the water was treated and untreated with carbon dioxide, and aged 1 or 6 days.

[0019] **Figure 4** shows set acceleration in concrete produced with wash (grey) water produced with Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC, 100%), where the water was treated and untreated with carbon dioxide, and aged 1 or 6 days.

[0020] **Figure 5** shows workability (slump) in concrete produced with wash (grey) water produced with Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC, 100%), where the water was treated and untreated with carbon dioxide, and aged 1 or 6 days.

[0021] **Figure 6** shows set acceleration in concrete produced with wash (grey) water produced with Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC, 100%), where the water was treated and untreated with carbon dioxide, and aged 1 or 6 days, in a large number of different specific gravities.

[0022] **Figure 7** shows calorimetry, as power vs. time, for concrete produced with wash (grey) water produced with Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC, 100%), where the water was treated and untreated with carbon dioxide, and for concrete prepared with potable water.

[0023] **Figure 8** shows calorimetry, as energy vs. time, for concrete produced with wash (grey) water produced with Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC, 100%), where the water was treated and untreated with carbon dioxide, and for concrete prepared with potable water.

[0024] **Figure 9** shows set acceleration in concrete produced with wash (grey) water produced with Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC, 100%), where the wash water was treated with carbon dioxide continuously, at 2 hours after preparation of wash water, or just prior to use in the concrete.

[0025] **Figure 10** shows workability (slump) in concrete produced with wash (grey) water produced with Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC, 100%), where the wash water was treated with carbon dioxide continuously, at 2 hours after preparation of wash water, or just prior to use in the concrete.

[0026] **Figure 11** shows 24-hour compressive strengths for concrete produced with various wash waters, where the wash water was treated or not treated with carbon dioxide.

[0027] **Figure 12** shows set acceleration in concrete prepared with wash water treated or not treated with carbon dioxide and held at two different temperatures.

[0028] **Figure 13** shows strength enhancement at 7 days for concrete produced with various wash waters, where the wash water was treated or not treated with carbon dioxide.

[0029] **Figure 14** shows strength enhancement at 28 days for concrete produced with various wash waters, where the wash water was treated or not treated with carbon dioxide.

[0030] **Figure 15** shows set times for mortar cubes made with wash water treated or untreated with carbon dioxide, and sitting for 1 day or 7 days.

[0031] **Figure 16** shows set times at 1 day relative to 7 days.

[0032] **Figure 17** shows set mortar slump mortar cubes made with wash water treated or untreated with carbon dioxide, and sitting for 1 day or 7 days.

[0033] **Figure 18** shows mortar slump for water held at 7 days relative to slump for water held at 1 day.

[0034] **Figure 19** shows carbon dioxide uptake of solids in wash water relative to time of treatment with carbon dioxide.

[0035] **Figure 20** shows pH of wash water relative to time of treatment with carbon dioxide.

[0036] **Figure 21** shows one-day strength of mortar cubes made with wash water treated with carbon dioxide for various times and aged 1 day.

[0037] **Figure 22** shows 7-day strength of mortar cubes made with wash water treated with carbon dioxide for various times and aged 1 day.

[0038] **Figure 23** shows 28-day strength of mortar cubes made with wash water treated with carbon dioxide for various times and aged 1 day.

[0039] **Figure 24** shows one-day strength of mortar cubes made with wash water treated with carbon dioxide for various times and aged 7 days.

[0040] **Figure 25** shows 7-day strength of mortar cubes made with wash water treated with carbon dioxide for various times and aged 7 days.

[0041] **Figure 26** shows 28-day strength of mortar cubes made with wash water treated with carbon dioxide for various times and aged 7 days.

[0042] **Figure 27** shows slump in mortar cubes made with wash waters treated or untreated with carbon dioxide.

[0043] **Figure 28** shows 1-day compressive in mortar cubes made with wash waters treated or untreated with carbon dioxide.

[0044] **Figure 29** shows 7-day compressive in mortar cubes made with wash waters treated or untreated with carbon dioxide.

[0045] **Figure 30** shows 28-day compressive in mortar cubes made with wash waters treated or untreated with carbon dioxide.

[0046] **Figure 31** shows calcium ICP-OES analysis of filtrate of wash waters treated or untreated with carbon dioxide

[0047] **Figure 32** shows potassium ICP-OES analysis of filtrate of wash waters treated or untreated with carbon dioxide

[0048] **Figure 33** shows sodium ICP-OES analysis of filtrate of wash waters treated or untreated with carbon dioxide

- [0049] **Figure 34** shows strontium ICP-OES analysis of filtrate of wash waters treated or untreated with carbon dioxide
- [0050] **Figure 35** shows sulfur ICP-OES analysis of filtrate of wash waters treated or untreated with carbon dioxide
- [0051] **Figure 36** shows silicon ICP-OES analysis of filtrate of wash waters treated or untreated with carbon dioxide
- [0052] **Figure 37** shows CO₂ treatment decreased pH of filtrate of wash waters.
- [0053] **Figure 38** shows data of Figures 31-36 in Tabular form.
- [0054] **Figure 39** shows data of Figures 31-36 in Tabular form.
- [0055] **Figure 40** shows scanning electron micrographs (SEM) for particles in wash waters (100% OPC) treated or untreated with carbon dioxide, 250X magnification.
- [0056] **Figure 41** shows scanning electron micrographs (SEM) for particles in wash waters (100% OPC) treated or untreated with carbon dioxide, 1000X magnification.
- [0057] **Figure 42** shows scanning electron micrographs (SEM) for particles in wash waters (100% OPC) treated or untreated with carbon dioxide, 25,000X magnification.
- [0058] **Figure 43** shows scanning electron micrographs (SEM) for particles in wash waters (75% OPC/25% slag) treated or untreated with carbon dioxide, 250X magnification.
- [0059] **Figure 44** shows scanning electron micrographs (SEM) for particles in wash waters (75% OPC/25% slag) treated or untreated with carbon dioxide, 3500X magnification.
- [0060] **Figure 45** shows scanning electron micrographs (SEM) for particles in wash waters (75% OPC/25% slag) treated or untreated with carbon dioxide, 25,000X magnification
- [0061] **Figure 46** shows X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns from wash waters treated or untreated with carbon dioxide.
- [0062] **Figure 47** shows X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns from wash waters treated or untreated with carbon dioxide.
- [0063] **Figure 48** shows nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) patterns from wash waters treated or untreated with carbon dioxide.
- [0064] **Figure 49** shows nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) patterns from wash waters treated or untreated with carbon dioxide.
- [0065] **Figure 50** shows the results for compressive strength of mortar cubes made with one-day old wash water subject to continuous agitation, wash water solids and mortar at 25% slag/75% OPC (Cemex Cemopolis cement).

[0066] **Figure 51** shows the results for compressive strength of mortar cubes made with one-day old wash water subject to continuous agitation, wash water solids and mortar at 25% class C fly ash/75% OPC (Cemex Cemopolis cement).

[0067] **Figure 52** shows the results for compressive strength of mortar cubes made with one-day old wash water subject to continuous agitation, wash water solids and mortar at 25% class F fly ash/75% OPC (Cemex Cemopolis cement).

[0068] **Figure 53** shows the results for compressive strength of mortar cubes made with one-day old wash water subject to continuous agitation, wash water solids and mortar at 100% OPC (Cemex Cemopolis cement).

[0069] **Figure 54** shows the results for compressive strength of mortar cubes made with seven-day old wash water subject to continuous agitation, wash water solids and mortar at 100% OPC (Cemex Cemopolis cement).

[0070] **Figure 55** shows effects of untreated and carbon dioxide-treated wash water used in mortar cubes on set times of the mortar cubes.

[0071] **Figure 56** shows the effects of untreated and carbon dioxide-treated wash water aged one day used in mortar cubes on compressive strengths of the mortar cubes.

[0072] **Figure 57** shows the effects of untreated and carbon dioxide-treated wash water aged five day used in mortar cubes on compressive strengths of the mortar cubes.

[0073] **Figure 58** shows the effects of untreated and carbon dioxide-treated wash water aged one to five day used in mortar cubes on compressive strengths of the mortar cubes.

[0074] **Figure 59** shows particle distribution in untreated wash water with age.

[0075] **Figure 60** shows particle distribution in carbon dioxide treated wash water with age.

[0076] **Figure 61** shows median particle size (D_{v50}) in untreated and carbon dioxide treated wash water.

[0077] **Figure 62** shows finest fraction of particles (D_{v10}) in untreated and carbon dioxide treated wash water.

[0078] **Figure 63** shows D_{v90} in untreated and carbon dioxide treated wash water.

[0079] **Figure 64** shows a bar graph of the 10th, 50th, and 90th percentiles of particle sizes in untreated and treated wash water.

[0080] **Figure 65** shows Sauter mean diameters for untreated and carbon dioxide treated wash water.

[0081] **Figure 66** shows the De Brouckere diameter for particles in untreated and carbon dioxide treated wash water.

[0082] **Figure 67** shows specific surface area (SSA) in untreated wash water with age.

[0083] **Figure 68** shows specific surface area (SSA) in carbon dioxide treated wash water with age.

[0084] **Figure 69** shows pH decrease over time in wash waters of various specific gravities exposed to carbon dioxide at a medium flow rate.

[0085] **Figure 70** shows pH decrease over time in wash waters of various specific gravities exposed to carbon dioxide at a high flow rate.

[0086] **Figure 71** shows pH decrease over time in wash water of constant specific gravity (solids content), in wash waters of two different cement contents.

[0087] **Figure 72** shows pH decrease over time in wash water of constant specific gravity (solids content) of 1.05, in wash waters exposed to two different rates of carbon dioxide addition.

[0088] **Figure 73** shows pH decrease over time in wash water of constant specific gravity (solids content) of 1.075, in wash waters exposed to two different rates of carbon dioxide addition.

[0089] **Figure 74** shows carbon content of solids over time in carbon dioxide-treated wash water at three different specific gravities and medium flow rate of carbon dioxide.

[0090] **Figure 75** shows carbon content of solids over time in carbon dioxide-treated wash water at two different specific gravities and high flow rate of carbon dioxide.

[0091] **Figure 76** shows carbon content of solids over time in carbon dioxide-treated wash water at two different cement contents of water.

[0092] **Figure 77** shows carbon content of solids over time in carbon dioxide-treated wash water of constant specific gravity of 1.05 over time at two different flow rates of carbon dioxide.

[0093] **Figure 78** shows carbon content of solids over time in carbon dioxide-treated wash water of constant specific gravity of 1.075 over time at two different flow rates of carbon dioxide.

[0094] **Figure 79** shows pH vs. carbon content for wash waters of various specific gravities treated with carbon dioxide at a medium flow rate.

[0095] **Figure 80** shows pH vs. carbon content for wash waters of various specific gravities treated with carbon dioxide at a high flow rate.

[0096] **Figure 81** shows pH vs. carbon content for wash waters of various cement content treated with carbon dioxide at a high flow rate.

[0097] **Figure 82** shows pH vs. carbon content for wash waters of the same specific gravity of 1.05 treated with carbon dioxide at medium and high flow rate.

[0098] **Figure 83** shows pH vs. carbon content for wash waters of the same specific gravity of 1.075 treated with carbon dioxide at medium and high flow rate.

[0099] **Figure 84** shows exemplary control logic for treatment of wash water with carbon dioxide.

[00100] **Figure 85** shows pH and temperature over time for wash water treated with carbon dioxide, during and after carbon dioxide flow.

[00101] **Figure 86** shows pH and specific gravity over time of wash water from the drum of ready-mix truck treated with carbon dioxide.

[00102] **Figure 87** shows specific gravity and carbon content of solids over time of wash water from the drum of ready-mix truck treated with carbon dioxide.

[00103] **Figure 88** shows pH and carbon content of solids over time of wash water from the drum of ready-mix truck treated with carbon dioxide.

[00104] **Figure 89** shows exemplary control logic for treatment of wash water with carbon dioxide.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

[00105] Wash water, also called grey water herein, is produced as a byproduct of the concrete industry. This water, which may contain suspended solids in the form of sand, aggregate and/or cementitious materials, is generated through various steps in the cycle of producing concrete structures. Generally a large volume of concrete wash water is produced by the washing-out of concrete mixer trucks following the delivery of concrete. This water is alkaline in nature and requires specialized treatment, handling and disposal. As used herein, “wash water” includes waters that are primarily composed of concrete drum wash water; such water may contain water from other parts of the concrete production process, rain runoff water, etc., as is known in the art. As will be clear from context, “wash water” includes water used to clean the drum of a ready-mix truck and/or other mixers, which contains cement and aggregate, as well as such water after aggregate has been removed, e.g., in a reclaimer, but still containing solids, such as cementitious solids. Typically at least a portion of such solids are retained in the wash water for re-use in subsequent concrete batches.

[00106] While this water can be suitable for reuse in the production of concrete, it has been documented that the wash water can result in negative impacts on the properties of concrete, for example, set acceleration and loss of workability. Wash water is mainly a mixture of cement and, in many cases, supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs) in water. It becomes problematic as a mix water because as the cement hydrates it changes the

chemistry of the water. These changes in chemistry, along with the hydration products, cause a host of issues when the water is used as mix water, such as acceleration, increased water demand, reduced 7-day strength, and the like. These issues generally worsen as the amount of cement in the water increases, and/or the water ages.

[00107] The methods and compositions of the invention utilize the application of CO₂ to concrete wash water to improve its properties for reuse in the production of concrete. Thus, wash water that has a cement content (e.g., specific gravity) and/or that has aged to a degree that would normally not allow its use as mix water can, after application of carbon dioxide, be so used.

[00108] Without being bound by theory, it is thought that by carbonating wash water, several results may be achieved that are beneficial in terms of using the water as part or all of mix water for subsequent batches of concrete:

[00109] 1) Maintain a pH of ~7: This effectively dissolves the cement due to the acidity of CO₂. This helps deliver a grey water of consistent chemistry and removes the "ageing effects". In certain embodiments, a pH of less than or greater than 7 may be maintained, as described elsewhere herein.

[00110] 2) Precipitate any insoluble carbonates: CO₂ actively forms carbonate reaction products with many ions. This removes certain species from solution, such as calcium, aluminum, magnesium and others. This is another step that helps provide a grey water of consistent chemistry.

[00111] 3) Change solubility of cement ions: The solubilities of many ions depend on pH. By maintaining the pH at ~7 with CO₂ the nature of the water chemistry is changed, potentially in a favorable direction. In certain embodiments, a pH of less than or greater than 7 may be maintained, as described elsewhere herein.

[00112] 4) Shut down pozzolanic reactions: By maintaining the pH around 7 no Ca(OH)₂ is available to react with slag and/or fly ash in the grey water. This can mean that these SCMs are unaltered through the treatment and reuse of the grey water, thus reducing the impact of the grey water substantially. In certain embodiments, a pH of less than or greater than 7 may be maintained, as described elsewhere herein.

[00113] 5) Reduce amount of anions left behind: The formation of carbonate precipitates using CO₂ is advantageous over other common acids, like HCl or H₂SO₄ whose anions, if left soluble in the treated water, can adversely impact the chemistry of the grey water for concrete batching.

[00114] 6) Cause retardation: By saturating the grey water with $\text{CO}_2/\text{HCO}_3^-$ retardation can be achieved when used as batch water.

[00115] 7) Nature of precipitates: The process may potentially be altered to form precipitates that have less effects on the water demand of concrete prepared with grey water. In particular, conditions of carbonation may be used that produce nanocrystalline carbonates, such as nanocrystalline calcium carbonate, that are known to be beneficial when used in concrete products.

[00116] In certain embodiments, the invention provides a method of providing a mix water for a batch of concrete, where the mix water comprises wash water from one or more previous batches of concrete that has been exposed to carbon dioxide in an amount above atmospheric concentrations of carbon dioxide, to carbonate the wash water (“carbonated wash water”). The mix water may contain at least 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90, 95, 99, or 99.5% carbonated wash water. Alternatively or additionally, the mix water may contain no more than 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90, 95, 99, 99.5, or 100% carbonated wash water. In certain embodiments, the mix water is 100% carbonated wash water. In certain embodiments, the mix water is 1-100% carbonated wash water. In certain embodiments, the mix water is 1-80% carbonated wash water. In certain embodiments, the mix water is 1-50% carbonated wash water. In certain embodiments, the mix water is 1-30% carbonated wash water. In certain embodiments, the mix water is 10-100% carbonated wash water. In certain embodiments, the mix water is 20-100% carbonated wash water. In certain embodiments, the mix water is 50-100% carbonated wash water. In certain embodiments, the mix water is 70-100% carbonated wash water. In certain embodiments, the mix water is 90-100% carbonated wash water.

[00117] In certain embodiments, a first portion of mix water that is plain water, e.g., not wash or other water that has been carbonated, such as plain water as normally used in concrete mixes, is mixed with concrete materials, such as cement, aggregate, and the like, and then a second portion of mix water that comprises carbonated water, which can be carbonated plain water or, e.g., carbonated wash water is added. The first portion of water may be such that an acceptable level of mixing is achieved, e.g., mixing without clumps or without substantial amounts of clumps. For example, the first portion of mix water that is plain water may be more than 1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, or 90%, and/or less than 2, 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90 or 95%, such as % 1-90%, or 1-80%, or 1-75%, or 1-70%, or 1-65%, or 1-60%, or 1-55%, or 1-50%, or 1-45%, or 1-40%, or 1-30%, or 1-20%, or 1-10% of the total

mix water used in the concrete mix, while the remainder of the mix water used in the concrete mix is the second portion, i.e., carbonated mix water. The first portion of water may be added at one location and the second portion at a second location. For example, in a ready mix operation, the first portion may be added to concrete materials which are mixed, then the mixed materials are transferred to a drum of a ready-mix truck, where the second portion of water is added to the concrete in the drum of the ready-mix truck. However, it is also possible that both the first and the second locations are the same location, e.g., a mixer prior to deposit into a ready-mix truck, or the drum of the ready-mix truck. The second portion of water may be added at any suitable time after the addition of the first portion. In general, the second portion of water is added at least after the first portion and the concrete materials have mixed sufficiently to achieve mixing without clumps or without substantial amounts of clumps. In certain embodiments, the second portion of water is added at least 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 15, 20, 25, 30, 40, 50, or 60 minutes after the first portion of water, and/or not more than 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 15, 20, 25, 30, 40, 50, or 60 minutes, or 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6 hours after the first portion of water.

[00118] The wash water may be carbonated at any suitable time, for example, right after its production, at some time after production, or just before use in the concrete, or any combination thereof. Without being bound by theory, it is probable that at time 0 (immediately after formation of the wash water), added carbon dioxide will react with unhydrated cement phases (C3S, C2S, C3A, etc.) while at later ages added carbon dioxide will react with hydrated cement phases (CSH, ettringite, etc.). Providing dosage later can result in different properties than when the dosage is applied earlier, potentially leading to different properties when the wash water is reused in concrete production. In addition, the phases reacting in wash water at later ages can be generally more thermodynamically stable and thus have lower heats of reaction when reacting with carbon dioxide; the inventors have observed that the exothermic heat rise (e.g., as measured by temperature) can be greater when treating fresh wash water with carbon dioxide than when treating aged wash water. It can be advantageous to have a lower heat rise because a treated water that becomes heated may have to be cooled before it can be used as a mix water. Hence, certain embodiments provide methods and apparatus that cause a cooling of the wash water due to production of gaseous carbon dioxide for treatment of the wash water from liquid carbon dioxide, e.g., piping or conduits that contact the wash water and absorb heat necessary to convert liquid to gaseous carbon dioxide and thus cooling the wash water. These are described in more detail elsewhere herein. In addition, when treating an aged wash water with carbon dioxide, it can

be possible that less carbon dioxide is required to achieve a stable wash water than with wash water that is fresh. The amount of carbon dioxide to create a stable wash water (e.g., properties are relatively unchanged after further aging) can depend on the relative contributions of Ca(OH)_2 , ettringite, CSH, and/or unreacted cement (e.g., unreacted Ordinary Portland Cement, OPC) to the undesirable properties of wash water. In addition, different phases can have different carbon dioxide reaction kinetics, which in turn can influence choices of carbon dioxide delivery settings, approaches (e.g., type of delivery system or adjustments to delivery system), and the like.

[00119] Thus, for example, in certain embodiments, carbonation of wash water can commence no later than 1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 60, 80, 100, 120, 150, 180, 240, 300, 360, 420, or 480 minutes, or 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 16, 18, or 24 hours, or 1.5, 2, 3, 4, or 5 days after formation of the wash water, and/or no sooner than 0, 0.5, 1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 60, 80, 100, 120, 150, 180, 240, 300, 360, 420, 480, or 540 minutes or 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 16, 18, or 24 hours, or 1.5, 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6 days after formation of the wash water. The carbonation can continue for any suitable period of time, for example, in certain embodiments wash water is continuously exposed to carbon dioxide for a period of time after carbonation commences. Alternatively or additionally, wash water can be carbonated just before its use as mix water, for example, no more than 1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 60, 80, 100, 120, 150, 180, 240, 300, 360, 420, or 480 minutes before its use as mix water (e.g., before contacting the concrete mixture), and/or no sooner than 0, 0.5, 1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 60, 80, 100, 120, 150, 180, 240, 300, 360, 420, 480, or 540 minutes before its use as mix water. Additionally or alternatively, the wash water may be aged for some amount of time after addition of carbon dioxide before it is used as wash water, for example, carbonated wash water can be used as mix water no later than 1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 60, 80, 100, 120, 150, 180, 240, 300, 360, 420, or 480 minutes, or 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 18, or 24 hours, or 1.5, 2, 3, 4, 5, or six days after carbonation of the wash water, and/or no sooner than 0, 0.5, 1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 60, 80, 100, 120, 150, 180, 240, 300, 360, 420, 480, or 540 minutes or 8, 10, 12, 18, 24 hours, or 1.5, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 12, or 14 days after carbonation of the wash water; for example, at least 3 hours, at least 6 hours, at least 12 hours, at least one day, at least 3 days, or at least 5 days after carbonation of the wash water.

[00120] The water used for washing may be clean water or recycled wash water. In certain embodiments, the water that is used to wash out trucks may be carbonated before and/or during the wash process, i.e., before the wash water enters a reclamation tank. Concrete trucks typically have 10-15 min of mixing when washing out. Carbon dioxide can be, e.g.,

injected into the water pump line on its way to the truck (fresh water input), or from the settlement pond/reclamation system pump (recycled water input).

[00121] Additionally or alternatively, after a truck is emptied and water is added to the truck for washing, carbon dioxide can be added to the truck. The carbon dioxide reacts with the slurry, and the carbon dioxide can “put the cement to sleep” (e.g., halt or retard most or all deleterious reactions, and react with most or all deleterious materials, as outlined herein). In certain embodiments, the slurry can be reused in a new batch. In certain embodiments, the slurry need not even leave the truck. Carbon dioxide can be added as a solid, liquid, or gas, or combination thereof. For example, carbon dioxide may be added as a solid. In certain embodiments, carbon dioxide is added as a mixture of solid and gas, produced when liquid carbon dioxide is released to atmospheric pressure. A conduit carries liquid carbon dioxide from a container to an injector, which is configured so as to cause a desired conversion to gas and solid. The mixture of gaseous and solid carbon dioxide is directed into the drum of a ready mix truck. The amount of carbon dioxide added may be a predetermined amount, based, e.g., on typical residual amounts of concrete left in the truck. The amount of carbon dioxide added may also be regulated according to the condition of the wash water, e.g., according to pH as the carbon dioxide mixes and reacts with components of the wash water. Using this method, it is possible to eliminate the need to discharge wash water from the mixer. This allows the wash water to be used as mix water in the next batch of concrete produced and prevents the residual plastic concrete from hardening. In certain embodiments, the treatment allows stabilization of the wash water, so that it can be used as mix water for the next batch, after at least 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 12, 18, 24, 30, 36, 42, 48, 54, 60, 66, 72, 78, 86, or 92 hours and/or not more than 12, 18, 24, 30, 36, 42, 48, 54, 60, 66, 72, 78, 86, 92, or 104 hours. The carbon dioxide treatment may be used alone or used with other treatments that are designed to stabilize wash water and allow reuse, such as Recover, GCP Applied Technologies, Inc., Cambridge, Mass., or similar admixture.

[00122] In certain embodiments, the wash water is circulated before its use as a mix water. For example, part or all of the wash water that is carbonated may be circulated (e.g., run through one or more loops to, e.g., aid in mixing and/or reactions, or agitated, or stirred, or the like). This circulation may occur continuously or intermittently as the water is held prior to use. In certain embodiments the wash water is circulated for at least 5, 10, 20, 50, 70, 80, 90, 95, or 99% and/or not more than 10, 20, 50, 70, 80, 90, 95, 99 or 100% of the time it is held prior to use as mix water.

[00123] It will be appreciated that many different wash waters are typically combined and held, for example, in a holding tank, until use or disposal. Carbonation of wash water may occur before, during, or after its placement in a holding tank, or any combination thereof. Some or all of the wash water from a given operation may be carbonated. It is also possible that wash water from one batch of concrete may be carbonated then used directly in a subsequent batch, without storage. In general, the tank will be outfitted or retrofitted to allow circulation of the water in such a way that sedimentation does not occur, to allow reuse of materials in the wash water as it is carbonated.

[00124] Any suitable method or combination of methods may be used to carbonate the wash water. The wash water may be held in a container and exposed to a carbon dioxide atmosphere while mixing. Carbon dioxide may be bubbled through mix water by any suitable method; for example, by use of bubbling mats, or alternatively or additionally, by introduction of carbon dioxide via one or more conduits with one or a plurality of openings beneath the surface of the wash water. The conduit may be positioned to be above the sludge that settles in the tank and, in certain embodiments, regulated so as to not significantly impede settling. Catalysts may also be used to accelerate one or more reactions in the carbonating wash water. In certain embodiments, liquid carbon dioxide injection is used. A vaporizer can be set inside the tank and converts liquid carbon dioxide to gas, drawing heat from the water to do so, and thereby cooling the water. For example, a series of metal tubes may be submerged in the water that are configured to ensure gas rises to the top and is pushed out of a nozzle. Pipes run vertically, but with the heat capacity and transfer rate in water being so much higher than air, fins that are normally be present in a cryogenic carbon dioxide heat exchanger that operates in air may not be needed.

[00125] Impeller blades

[00126] In certain embodiments, carbon dioxide is added to a slurry tank by injecting it through a specially designed agitator blade. As known in the water treatment industry, a flash mixing style blade can be used that is designed to create turbulence, vortices, vacuum pockets and high shear behind the mixer blades to promote rapid mixing action. See, e.g., blades supplied by Dynamix Inc., 14480 River Road, Unit 150, Richmond, British Columbia, Canada V6V 1L4, such as the P4 Pitch Impeller Blade. This is merely exemplary and those of skill in the art will recognize that various types, such as pitch-blade impellers or airfoil impellers may be used.

[00127] Injection of carbon dioxide at a particular location along the blade edge can increase mixing action and contact time. The blade action forces the carbon dioxide bubbles to

undergo more mixing rather than being buoyantly forced towards the surface. Fine dispersed bubbles can be assured through selecting the proper hole size. It is important to ensure that the holes remain unplugged. Whereas a perforated hose in the bottom of a tank will have solids settle upon it when the slurry is unagitated, the agitator blade holes will not be at the bottom of a tank and get covered by the settling solids. Further the holes can be placed on the sides or bottom of the agitator element to avoid vertical settlement buildup.

[00128] Augur

[00129] In a pond where an auger is used for mixing, injection can be through the central axis of the auger shaft. In certain embodiments, to ensure serviceability and possibly to reduce the occurrence of buildup, a retractable injection pipe with a gas distribution nozzle at the end can be routed through the central axis of the mixing auger shaft. The carbon dioxide can be injected, e.g., when a control system calls for it and then the injector can retract out of the water when the system has determined that the amount of carbon dioxide is sufficient. Alternately, a retractable injector is not routed through the shaft, but the shaft is simply hollow. Carbon dioxide can be injected down the center of the mixing auger shaft. An orifice at the injection point can promote the formation of finely dispersed bubbles. Either way, the injector nozzle positioning, direction, and injection speed are such that they do not interfere with normal mixing, so that sedimentation does not occur.

[00130] Submersible pump

[00131] A suitably efficient or powerful pump can both circulate the slurry and also, in some cases, send the slurry to the concrete batching process. Carbon dioxide can be integrated with the pump via, for example, injection into the impeller housing at a location chosen to maximize mixing, or, for example, just under the intake to allow the suction to bring the gas into the housing. The impeller blades mix up and pressurize the carbon dioxide/wastewater mix, providing better uptake of carbon dioxide, and pump the slurry through a long hose. The transport in the hose provides additional time to promote uptake. The slurry can be directed back into the tank or pumped directly into the batch process.

[00132] The CO₂ injection rate can be tied to the flow rate/density of the slurry. If one cycle through the loop is insufficient to provide the desired degree of carbon dioxide uptake, then it can be recirculated through the same loop or through another loop, e.g., via a secondary, smaller pump, until the desired amount of CO₂ has been absorbed.

[00133] Carbon dioxide injection can take place near an impeller. Carbon dioxide injection can also take place in a discharge pipe line, near the pump itself or at any point in the pipe line. Carbon dioxide injection can be achieved with single or multiple injection points and

carbon dioxide can be injected at 90 degrees or any suitable angle relative to the direction of flow. Directing the carbon dioxide exit parallel to the rising liquid flow will increase liquid flow as the buoyancy of the carbon dioxide displaces the wash water upwards.

[00134] Eductor nozzles

[00135] In certain embodiments, one or more eductor nozzles are used. Eductor nozzles are well-known in the art. An eductor nozzle mixes and agitates, and increases overall water flow, thus allowing a smaller pump to move sufficient water to ensure adequate mixing to prevent sedimentation. The nozzle allows high pressure into a first stage nozzle to increase velocity, then the eductor provides a venturi effect of high velocity flow which creates low pressure, pulling added liquid into the stream of flow, and allowing higher volume lower velocity output. Such nozzles are supplied by, e.g., Bete Ltd., P.O. Box 2748, Lewes, East Sussex, United Kingdom. Such a nozzle can incorporate carbon dioxide injection into its operation. If carbon dioxide is injected as nanobubbles in solution (supersaturated carbon dioxide water, see elsewhere in this application, e.g., systems supplied by Gaia USA Inc., Scottsdale, Arizona) then the buoyancy that acts upon coarse bubbles may be avoided. Pumps can be used for mixing, provided they are placed strategically and provide sufficient flow.

[00136] In certain embodiments, a combination of mixing blades and sump pump with eductor may be used, so long as the pump or pumps is in a non-intrusive location and does not impede the mixing action required. The discharge of water and carbon dioxide (eductor) is in a location that does not disturb the blade mixing action. Most reclaimer blades push material downward so it is preferred to discharge the pump water/carbon dioxide near the axis of the blades to help promote mixing. In certain embodiments, an integrated mixing and injection process is used: Strategically placed eductor nozzles can be used to carbonate water and maintain sufficient fluid flow. The eductors are fed by a pump or pumps which can incorporate carbon dioxide in several ways, as described herein. For retrofitting of existing wash water settlement ponds, a series of eductors can be configured to mix the pond. It is important to ensure the eductor configuration keeps the water flow throughout the tank above the settlement velocity of suspended solids.

[00137] Head space integration

[00138] If the treatment vessel is a closed container then increased efficiency can be had by recycling gas from the headspace into the injection hardware. As bubbles rise through the liquid to join the headspace such an approach allows the carbon dioxide molecules another chance to dissolve and react. The process can monitor the headspace gas for carbon dioxide

and pressure. For a given fixed mass of carbon dioxide injected the carbon dioxide content and pressure will initially increase. As reaction proceeds the carbon dioxide concentration and pressure will decrease. This can be a signal that causes another dose of carbon dioxide. The dosing efficiency of the dose is in direct response to the absorption.

[00139] Super-saturated carbon dioxide

[00140] In certain cases, mix water, e.g., wash water may be treated with carbon dioxide in such a manner that the carbon dioxide content of the water increases beyond normal saturation, for example, at least 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 70, 100, 150, 200, or 300% , or not more than 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 70, 100, 150, 200, 300, 400, or 500% beyond normal saturation, compared to the same water under the same conditions that is normally saturated with carbon dioxide. Normal saturation is, e.g., the saturation achieved by, e.g., bubbling carbon dioxide through the water, e.g., wash water, until saturation is achieved, without using manipulation of the water beyond the contact with the carbon dioxide gas. For methods of treating water to increase carbon dioxide concentration beyond normal saturation levels, see, e.g., U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2015/0202579.

[00141] In certain embodiments, the invention allows the use of wash water substantially “as is,” that is, without settling to remove solids. Carbonation of the wash water permits its use as mix water, even at high specific gravities.

[00142] This technology can allow the use of grey (wash) water as mix water, where the grey (wash) water is at specific gravities of at least 1.01, 1.02, 1.03, 1.04, 1.05, 1.06, 1.07, 1.08, 1.09, 1.10, 1.11, 1.12, 1.13, 1.14, 1.15, 1.16, 1.17, 1.18, 1.19, 1.20, 1.22, 1.25, 1.30, 1.35, 1.40, or 1.50, and/or not more than 1.02, 1.03, 1.04, 1.05, 1.06, 1.07, 1.08, 1.09, 1.10, 1.11, 1.12, 1.13, 1.14, 1.15, 1.16, 1.17, 1.18, 1.19, 1.20, 1.22, 1.25, 1.30, 1.35, 1.40, 1.50 or 1.60; e.g., 1.0-1.2, or 1.0 to 1.3, or 1.0 to 1.18, or 1.0 to 1.16, or 1.0 to 1.15, or 1.0 to 1.14, or 1.0 to 1.13, or 1.0 to 1.12, or 1.0 to 1.10, or 1.0 to 1.09, or 1.0 to 1.08, or 1.0 to 1.07, or 1.0 to 1.06, or 1.0 to 1.05, or 1.0 to 1.04, or 1.0 to 1.03, or 1.0 to 1.02, 1.01-1.2, or 1.01 to 1.3, or 1.01 to 1.18, or 1.01 to 1.16, or 1.01 to 1.15, or 1.01 to 1.14, or 1.01 to 1.13, or 1.01 to 1.12, or 1.01 to 1.10, or 1.01 to 1.09, or 1.01 to 1.08, or 1.01 to 1.07, or 1.01 to 1.06, or 1.01 to 1.05, or 1.01 to 1.04, or 1.01 to 1.03, or 1.01 to 1.02, or 1.02-1.2, or 1.02 to 1.3, or 1.02 to 1.18, or 1.02 to 1.16, or 1.02 to 1.15, or 1.02 to 1.14, or 1.02 to 1.13, or 1.02 to 1.12, or 1.02 to 1.10, or 1.02 to 1.09, or 1.02 to 1.08, or 1.02 to 1.07, or 1.02 to 1.06, or 1.02 to 1.05, or 1.02 to 1.04, or 1.02 to 1.03, or 1.03-1.2, or 1.03 to 1.3, or 1.03 to 1.18, or 1.03 to 1.16, or 1.03 to 1.15, or 1.03 to 1.14, or 1.03 to 1.13, or 1.03 to 1.12, or 1.03 to 1.10, or 1.03 to 1.09, or 1.03 to 1.08, or 1.03 to 1.07, or 1.03 to 1.06, or 1.03 to 1.05, or 1.03 to 1.04, or 1.05-1.2, or 1.05 to

1.3, or 1.05 to 1.18, or 1.05 to 1.16, or 1.05 to 1.15, or 1.05 to 1.14, or 1.05 to 1.13, or 1.05 to 1.12, or 1.05 to 1.10, or 1.05 to 1.09, or 1.05 to 1.08, or 1.05 to 1.07, or 1.05 to 1.06. In certain embodiments the methods and compositions of the invention allow the use of grey (wash) water as mix water, where the grey water has a specific gravity of at least 1.01, 1.02, 1.03, 1.04, 1.05, 1.06, 1.07, 1.08, 1.09, 1.10, 1.11, 1.12, 1.13, 1.14, 1.15, 1.16, 1.17, 1.18, 1.19, or 1.20. The methods and compositions of the invention can reduce or even eliminate the need to further treat wash water, beyond carbonation, for the wash water to be suitable for use as mix water in a subsequent batch. In certain embodiments, after grey (wash) water is carbonated, it is used in subsequent batches of concrete with no more than 5, 10, 15, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90, or 95% of remaining solids removed. In certain embodiments, none of the remain solids are removed. The carbonated wash water may be combined with non-wash water, e.g., normal mix water, before or during use in a subsequent concrete batch, to provide a total amount of water used in the batch; in certain embodiments, the carbonated wash water comprises at least 5, 10, 15, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90, 95, or 99% of the total amount of water used in the batch; in certain embodiments, 100% of the total amount of water used in the batch is carbonated wash water, excluding water used to wash down equipment and, in some cases, excluding water added at the job before or during pouring of the concrete mix.

[00143] The use of wash water in a concrete mix, especially carbonated wash water, often results in enhanced strength of the resulting concrete composition at one or more times after pouring, for example, an increase in compressive strength, when compared to the same concrete mix without carbonated wash water, of at least 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, or 25% at 1-day, 7-days, and/or 28-days. This increase in early strength, as well as additionally or alternatively the presence of cementitious materials in the carbonated wash water that can replace some of the cementitious materials in a subsequent mix, often allows the use of less cement in a mix that incorporates carbonated wash water than would be used in the same mix that did not incorporate carbonated wash water; for example, the use of at least 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 22, 25, 30, 35, or 40% less cement in the mix where the mix retains a compressive strength at a time after pouring, e.g., at 1, 7, and/or 28-days, that is within 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 15, 20, 30, 40, or 50% of the compressive strength of the mix that did not incorporate carbonated wash water, e.g., within 5%, or within 7%, or within 10%.

[00144] In addition, the carbonation of wash water can allow the use of wash water at certain ages that would otherwise not be feasible, e.g., wash water that has aged at least 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, or 15 days. Wash water that has been carbonated may be used in concrete

at an age where it would otherwise produce a concrete mix without sufficient workability to be used.

[00145] The CO₂ treatment produces carbonate reaction products that likely contain some amount of nano-structured material. Of the carbonated products in the wash water, e.g., calcium carbonate, at least 1, 2, 5, 7, 10, 12, 15, 20, 25, 30, 25, 40, 45, 50, 60, 70, 80, or 90% may be present as nano-structured materials, and/or not more than 5, 7, 10, 12, 15, 20, 25, 30, 25, 40, 45, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90, 95, or 100% may be present as nano-structured material. A “nano-structured material,” as that term used herein, includes a solid product of reaction of a wash water component with carbon dioxide whose longest dimension is no more than 500 nm, in certain embodiments no more than 400 nm, in certain embodiment no more than 300 nm, and in certain embodiments no more than 100 nm.

[00146] Carbon dioxide treatment of wash water can result in a solid material that is distinct from untreated wash water in terms of the coordination environment of aluminum and silicon crosslinking, e.g., as measured by NMR. Without being bound by theory, it is thought that carbon dioxide treatment of the wash water can create a carbonate shell around the particle, and that this shell can have an inhibiting effect on the phases contained therein, perhaps physically inhibiting dissolution.

[00147] The CO₂ treatment has the further benefit of sequestering carbon dioxide, as the carbon dioxide reacts with components of the wash water (typically cement or supplementary cementitious material), as well as being present as dissolved carbon dioxide/carbonic acid/bicarbonate which, when the wash water is added to a fresh concrete mix, further reacts with the cement in the mix to produce further carbon dioxide-sequestering products. In certain embodiments, the carbon dioxide added to the wash water results in products in the wash water that account for at least 1, 2, 5, 7, 10, 12, 15, 20, 25, 30, 25, 40, 45, 50, 60, 70, 80, or 90% carbon dioxide by weight cement (bwc) in the wash water, and/or not more than 2, 5, 7, 10, 12, 15, 20, 25, 30, 25, 40, 45, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90, 95, or 100% carbon dioxide by weight cement (bwc) in the wash water.

[00148] Embodiments include applying CO₂ immediately after the wash water is generated, in a tank, and/or as the grey water is being loaded for batching.

[00149] Alternatively or additionally, carbonation of grey (wash) water can allow use of aged wash water as mix water, for example, wash water that has aged at least 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, or 10 days.

[00150] The source of the carbon dioxide can be any suitable source. In certain embodiments, some or all of the carbon dioxide is recovered from a cement kiln operation,

for example, one or more cement kiln operations in proximity to the concrete production facility, e.g., one or more cement kiln operations that produce cement used in the concrete production facility. In certain embodiments, wash water is transported from a concrete wash station or similar facility where concrete wash water is produced, to a cement kiln, or a power plant and flue gas from the cement kiln or power plant is used to carbonate the wash water. Carbon dioxide concentrations in cement kiln flue gas or power plant flue gas may be sufficient that no additional carbon dioxide is needed to carbonate the wash water; it is also possible that the flue gas need not be completely treated before exposure to wash water; i.e., it will be appreciated that cement kiln and power plant flue gas, in addition to containing carbon dioxide, may also contain SO_x, NO_x, mercury, volatile organics, and other substances required to be removed, or brought to an acceptable level, before the flue gas is released to the atmosphere. In certain embodiments, the flue gas is treated to remove one or more of these substances, or bring them to acceptable levels, before it is exposed to the wash water. In certain embodiments, one or more of these substances is left in the flue gas as it contacts the wash water, and after contacting the wash water the amount of the substance in the flue gas is reduced, so that further treatment for that substance is decreased or eliminated. For example, in certain embodiments, the flue gas comprises SO_x, and treatment of the wash water with the flue gas decreases the amount of SO_x in the flue gas (e.g., by formation of insoluble sulfates) so that the flue gas after wash water treatment requires decreased treatment to remove SO_x, or no treatment. Additionally or alternatively, one or more of NO_x, volatile organics, acids, and/or mercury may be decreased in the flue gas by contact with wash water so that the need for treatment of the flue gas for the substance is reduced or eliminated. After treatment with the flue gas, the carbonated wash water may be transported to a concrete production facility, either the same one where it was produced and/or a different one, and used in producing concrete at the facility, e.g., used as an admixture, e.g., to reduce cement requirements in the concrete due to the cement in the wash water.

[00151] The wash water may be monitored, e.g., as it is being carbonated. Any suitable characteristic, as described herein, may be used to determine whether to modify carbon dioxide delivery to the wash water. One convenient measurement is pH. For example, in certain embodiments, a carbonated wash water of pH less than 8.0, 7.9, 7.8, 7.7, 7.6, 7.5, 7.4, 7.3, 7.2, 7.1, or 7.0 is desired, e.g., to be used as a mix water. The pH may be monitored and brought to a suitable pH or within a suitable range of pHs before, e.g., its use as a mix water. For example, the pH can be at least 6.0, 6.1, 6.2, 6.3, 6.4, 6.5, 6.6, 6.7, 6.8, 6.9, 7.0, 7.1, 7.2, 7.3, 7.4, 7.5, 7.6, 7.7, 7.8, 7.9, 8.0, 8.1, 8.2, 8.3, 8.4, or 8.5, and/or not more than 6.1, 6.2, 6.3,

6.4, 6.5, 6.6, 6.7, 6.8, 6.9, 7.0, 7.1, 7.2, 7.3, 7.4, 7.5, 7.6, 7.7, 7.8, 7.9, 8.0, 8.1, 8.2, 8.3, 8.4, 8.5, 8.7, 9.0, 9.3, 9.5, 9.7, 10, 10.3, 10.5, 10.7, 11.0, 12.0, or 13.0.

[00152] In addition, it is desirable that gas flow in a wash water, e.g., in a holding tank, not be increased to a level high enough that the rate of supply exceeds the rate of absorption/reaction; if this occurs, typically, bubbles will be observed at the surface of the wash water. If the rate of supply is equal to or less than the rate of absorption/reaction, then no bubbles are observed at the surface of the wash water. The rate of absorption and reaction may change with time, for example, decreasing as more of particles react or become coated with reaction products. Thus, appearance of bubbles may be used as an indicator to adjust carbon dioxide flow rate, and an appropriate sensor or sensors may be used to determine whether or not bubbles are appearing. Alternatively, or additionally, carbon dioxide content of the air above the surface of the wash water may be monitored using appropriate sensor or sensors and be used as a signal to modulate delivery of carbon dioxide to the wash water, e.g., slow or stop delivery when a certain threshold concentration of carbon dioxide in the air above the surface is reached. Rate of change of concentration can also be used as an indicator to modulate flow rate of carbon dioxide.

[00153] Bubble formation, in particular, is to be minimized or avoided, because in a tank where water is agitated to prevent settling of solids, it is desired to use the minimum amount of energy to cause the water to move in a pattern with sufficient motion that solids remain suspended; bubbles, which automatically rise to the surface no matter where they are in the overall flow pattern of the tank, can disrupt the flow, and cause more energy to be required for sufficient agitation. In a holding tank in which, e.g., an auger is used for agitation, systems of the invention may pull water from the tank into a recirculation loop where carbon dioxide is introduced. The rate of introduction, length of the loop, and other relevant factors are manipulated so that carbon dioxide is absorbed into the water and/or reacts with constituents of the water before it's released back into the tank. The carbon dioxide can be input into the loop near or at the start of the loop, so that there is maximum distance for the carbon dioxide to be absorbed and/or react. It is also advantageous to inject the carbonated water at a downward location in the tank.

[00154] Additional characteristics that can be useful to monitor include temperature of the wash water (reaction of carbon dioxide with cement products is typically exothermic), ionic concentration of the wash water, electrical conductivity of the wash water, and/or optical properties of the wash water (e.g., it has been observed that carbon dioxide can change the color of the wash water). Appropriate sensors for one or more of these characteristics may be

included in an apparatus of the invention. Other characteristics and sensors are also appropriate as described herein.

[00155] Compositions include an apparatus for carbonating concrete wash water in a wash water operation that includes a source of carbon dioxide operably connected to a conduit that runs to a wash water container containing wash water from a concrete production site, where one or more openings of the conduit are positioned to deliver carbon dioxide at or under the surface of wash water in the container, or both, and a system to transport the carbonated wash water to a concrete mix operation where the carbonated wash water is used as mix water in a concrete mix, e.g. a second conduit that can be positioned to remove carbonated wash water from the wash water container and transport it to a concrete mix operation, where the carbonated wash water is used as part or all of mix water for concrete batches. Generally, the carbon dioxide will be delivered directly to the wash water tank as described elsewhere herein, though in some embodiments carbonation may occur outside the tank and the carbonated water returned to the tank. The apparatus may further include a controller that determines whether or not to modify the delivery of carbon dioxide based at least in part on one or more characteristics of the wash water or wash water operation. The characteristics may include one or more of pH of the wash water, rate of delivery of carbon dioxide to the wash water, total amount of wash water in the wash water container, temperature of the wash water, specific gravity of the wash water, concentration of one or more ions in the wash water, age of the wash water, circulation rate of the wash water, timing of circulation of the wash water, bubbles on surface, carbon dioxide concentration of air above surface, optical properties, electrical properties, e.g., conductivity, or any combination thereof. One or more sensors may be used for monitoring one or more characteristics of the wash water; additionally, or alternatively, manual measurements may be made periodically, e.g., manual measurements of specific gravity, pH, or the like. The apparatus may further comprise one or more actuators operably connected to the controller to modify delivery of carbon dioxide to the wash water, or another characteristic of the wash water, or both. The apparatus may include a system for moving the wash water, such as by circulating or agitating the wash water, either continuously or intermittently. The composition may further include a delivery system for delivering carbon dioxide to the source of carbon dioxide, where some or all of the carbon dioxide is derived from a cement kiln operation in proximity to the concrete production site, for example, a cement kiln operation that produces some or all of cement used in the concrete production site.

[00156] In certain embodiments, solids are removed from the carbonated wash water, for example, by filtration. These solids, which mostly comprise carbonated cement particles, can be further treated, e.g., dried. The dried solids can then be, e.g., re-used in new concrete batches.

Carbonation of wash water in ready-mix truck, reclaimer, and/or lines.

[00157] In certain embodiments, concrete wash water is carbonated directly in the drum of a ready-mix truck and/or before it reaches a holding tank, e.g., during cycling in a reclaimer, or in the line between a reclaimer and a holding tank.

[00158] In a typical operation, a ready-mix truck is loaded at a batching facility; the load may be a partial load or a full load. A full load may be several cubic meters, e.g., 8 m³, depending on the size of the truck. However, regardless of the size of the load, a large portion, in some cases virtually all, of the drum and interior components of the drum (e.g., fins, etc.), come in contact with the wet cement. The load is then released at the job site and the truck returns to a wash facility, usually at the batching facility, where it is cleaned prior to further batching. After the load is released at the job site, a certain amount of water that is carried in containers on the truck (typically called saddlebags) can be released into the truck and mixed in the truck at the site and during the trip back to the wash station, to prevent the wet concrete from hardening during the time before the truck is cleaned at the wash station. Additional water is then introduced into the drum at the wash station, with spraying and mixing to thoroughly clean the interior of the drum, and the resultant wash water is then either dumped, or, more commonly, sent to one or more tanks to be treated prior to disposal and/or reuse.

[00159] Typically, around 100-160 (e.g., 120) L wash water/m³ of concrete is used to wash the truck; however, as stated, since partial loads result in a coating of the empty truck that is a greater part of the truck than the proportion of the load to a full load, and in some cases result in a completely coated empty truck drum, in some cases in which there has been a partial load a more realistic estimate of the amount of water needed is larger than the 120L/m³ of concrete. For example, if the total capacity of the truck is 8 m³ and a 4 m³ load is delivered, it is possible that the amount of wash water will be greater than 4 x 120 L, perhaps as much as that used for a full load, e.g., 8 x 120 L or 960 L. For any particular operation, the amount of water needed for a particular size load and mix type is generally known and can be used in any calculations required.

[00160] In some facilities, a reclaimer is used to separate out aggregate (e.g., sand and gravel) from the wash water, generally for reuse in further concrete batches. The remainder of the wash water is generally sent to a settlement pond to settle out further solids, or, alternatively,

it is pumped into a slurry tank where it is kept suspended with paddles and diluted to a specific gravity and otherwise treated so that at least some of the water may be used again in concrete production. In a conventional reclaimer process, not all of the treated wash water produced can be reused, e.g. in concrete, and the overflow is sent to a holding pond, where it is disposed of in the conventional manner.

[00161] Introduction of carbon dioxide to the drum of the truck. In certain embodiments of the invention, carbon dioxide is introduced into the water in the drum of the ready mix truck, before the water leaves the drum. The carbon dioxide can be in any form, and introduced in any suitable manner.

[00162] 1) Introduction of carbon dioxide after concrete load has been poured and before truck reaches wash station. For example, carbonated water may be used as saddlebag water and/or as wash water at a wash station. Supersaturated carbonated water may be used, as described elsewhere (see, e.g., U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2015/0202579). In addition, or alternatively, solid carbon dioxide may be introduced into the water. For example, a certain amount of dry ice may be added at the job site, before, during, or after the addition of saddlebag water, and mix with the saddlebag water and residual concrete in the drum of the ready-mix truck during the drive back to the wash station; the dry ice will sublimate in the water and provide a steady source of carbon dioxide as the cement in the residual concrete reacts to produce reaction products, e.g., carbonates. The dry ice may be added as one dose or as more than one dose, e.g., as 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, or more than 10 doses, or continuously or semi-continuously. In addition or alternatively, gaseous carbon dioxide may be introduced into the drum, either as a single addition, or multiple additions, or as a stream of carbon dioxide that is injected into the drum, e.g., for some or all of the transport time from the job site. For example, carbon dioxide gas may be added as one dose or as more than one dose, e.g., as 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, or more than 10 doses, or continuously or semi-continuously. Carbon dioxide can also be introduced as mix of gaseous and solid carbon dioxide, e.g., by use of a snow horn; this can also be as one or more additions or continuous addition. For example, carbon dioxide as a mix of gas and solid may be added as one dose or as more than one dose, e.g., as 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, or more than 10 doses, or continuously or semi-continuously. In embodiments in which dry ice is used, there can be a further effect of cooling the wash water as cementitious materials react. It will be appreciated that one or more of the above options may be used for any given load.

[00163] For example, it is possible to add carbon dioxide to the drum after saddlebag water has been added, and while the truck is moving from the job site to a wash station: In one

option, a certain amount of dry ice may be carried with the truck and introduced into the drum at the time that the saddlebag water is introduced; this is an easy and convenient method to get a relatively large amount of carbon dioxide into the drum. The dry ice may be used as pieces of a certain size, or within a certain range of sizes, that may be determined by, e.g., one or more of the volume of saddlebag water, the amount of cement in the mix, the expected amount of concrete coating the interior of the truck, the expected transport time back to the wash station, the desired level of carbon dioxide uptake, the efficiency of uptake, the temperature that the truck is likely to encounter, and the like, so that the dry ice sublimates at a rate that will match the expected rate of reaction with concrete residue and, in particular, with cement. This will tend to keep more of the carbon dioxide in the drum of the truck, since it will be reacting at approximately the rate that it is sublimated into gaseous form. In a second option, the saddlebag water is carbonated, or super-saturated, with carbon dioxide, generally at the batching facility before being loaded into its containers. The containers may be modified as necessary to preserve the carbonation of the water for the necessary time before use. Supersaturated solutions have been found to retain a large percentage of introduced carbon dioxide over relatively long time periods; thus, little or no modification of the saddlebags may be necessary if a supersaturated solution is used. See, e.g., U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2015/0202579. In a third option, gaseous carbon dioxide is added to the drum of the ready-mix truck, before, after, or during the addition of the saddlebag water. As described above, the addition may be in one dose, more than one dose, continuous, or a combination. The total amount of carbon dioxide added may be metered and regulated based on the same criteria as for dry ice. In a fourth option, a mixture of solid and gaseous carbon dioxide is added to the drum, for example by use of liquid carbon dioxide passed through a snow horn. Dosing and regulation would be as for gaseous carbon dioxide. Any combination of these options may be used, as desired and suitable for a particular load, truck, or operation.

[00164] Because the truck is empty, the drum provides a very large headspace for any gaseous carbon dioxide to be retained. In certain embodiments, the opening of the drum may be partially or completely closed in order to retain carbon dioxide within the drum, either during transport back to the wash station, or at the wash station, or both.

[00165] 2) Addition of carbon dioxide at a wash facility. Additionally or alternatively, carbon dioxide may be added to the drum of the ready-mix truck during the washing process at the wash station. Any or all of the options described above for addition of carbon dioxide after the load has been poured and before the truck returns to the wash facility may also be

used during washing at the wash station: carbonated or super-carbonated wash water, dry ice, gaseous carbon dioxide, a mix of gaseous and solid carbon dioxide. If carbon dioxide has already been added to the drum prior to the truck reaching the wash station, one or more characteristics of the water can be useful to determine the extent of reaction of the carbon dioxide. Measurements such as pH, temperature, and the like, as described elsewhere herein, can be useful. The amount of additional carbon dioxide that would then be added can be calculated from the measurement(s).

[00166] The washing can be done as a single wash, or it can be split into two or more washes, one or more of which can include carbonation. Thus, the washing may be done as 1, 2, 3, or more than 3 washes. Of these, one or more may include carbonation. It is possible that by splitting the washes, in combination with carbonation, less water may be needed than if a single wash is used. If saddlebag water addition is counted as a wash, then, typically, a minimum of two washes would be used (first is saddlebag water, second is at wash station). If more than one wash is used at the wash station, then it is 3, 4, etc. washes. Of these total washes, one or more may include a carbonation step, e.g., there can be 2 total washes (saddlebag and wash station) where one wash includes a carbonation step (e.g., addition of saddlebag water at job site, or the wash step at the wash station), or both washes include a carbonation step. As another example, there can be 3 washes (saddlebag and two separate washes at wash station) in which one wash includes a carbonation step (e.g., saddlebag at job site or one of the 2 washes at the wash station), or 2 washes include a carbonation step (e.g., saddlebag at job site and one of the 2 washes at the wash station, or both washes at the wash station), or all three washes include a carbonation step.

[00167] The carbon dioxide may be added manually, or automatically, or a combination of the two. If the carbon dioxide is added as carbonated wash water, typically, the usual wash routine can be used, and some or all of the wash water is carbonated or supercarbonated. If the concrete in the truck is already partially carbonated, e.g., if it has been carbonated during the trip to the wash facility, a desired additional amount of carbon dioxide may be calculated, possibly based on one or more characteristics as described above, e.g., pH, and the amount of carbonated wash water and normal (uncarbonated) wash water adjusted accordingly. If the concrete in the truck has not been carbonated, an amount of carbon dioxide may be calculated as described below, and the amount of carbonated wash water and normal (uncarbonated) wash water adjusted accordingly. Alternatively, the wash water may be used as normal, without any particular calculations or adjustments.

[00168] In some cases, additionally or alternatively, carbon dioxide may be added as solid carbon dioxide. Thus, dry ice, which may be adjusted to a particular size or range of sizes, may be added to the drum in a desired amount. The addition can be as simple as a manual addition by the truck driver or other personnel.

[00169] Additionally or alternatively, carbon dioxide may be added as gaseous carbon dioxide, or as a mixture of gaseous and solid carbon dioxide. In this case, an injection system is used. In these cases, in general, a delivery system for the carbon dioxide includes a source of carbon dioxide (e.g., a tank of liquid carbon dioxide), a conduit from the source to an injector for placing the carbon dioxide in the truck drum, and a system for positioning the injector so that the injection of carbon dioxide directs carbon dioxide into the drum of the truck, generally at a desired location in the drum, though in some cases very little is required beyond aiming the injector into the drum. A system may include a plurality of injectors to handle a plurality of trucks, e.g., simultaneously, such as at least 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, or more than 10 injectors. The injectors may all utilize the same source of carbon dioxide, with appropriate piping and valving. Typically, the system will also include a controller.

[00170] The injector is positioned so that delivery of carbon dioxide into the drum will occur into the opening of the drum and at a desired location of the drum. This can be as simple as the truck driver backing the truck to a designated spot, where the delivery system is situated so that it is properly aligned to inject carbon dioxide into the drum with little or no additional adjustment (e.g., injector is situated to be in proximity to opening of drum when truck backed in, then the truck driver may need to move the injector manually to the final position). In certain embodiments, an automated system may be used to assist in positioning the injector, or even to completely position it with no human intervention. The system further includes an actuator to start and stop delivery of carbon dioxide to the drum, e.g., a valve, and a connection between the valve and a controller that controls the start and stop of delivery. Generally, the system will also include a system to measure flow rate of the carbon dioxide. In a system that uses liquid → gas and solid, this can be, e.g., a system as described in U.S. Patent No. 9,376,345.

[00171] The controller can be as simple as a button or switch that the truck driver toggles after backing the truck to the bay. It will be appreciated that such a “switch” can be any suitable switch, such as the touchscreen of a wireless device, e.g., a smartphone. Flow can continue for a designated time, then halted. Again, the simplest method for this is for the truck driver to hit the switch again. However, it can be preferable to have an automatic controller, to avoid human error and to more finely modulate delivery, so that the flow of

carbon dioxide is halted automatically on signal from the controller. This may be after a certain time, or a certain amount of carbon dioxide is delivered (from flow rate and time), and/or based on one or more characteristics of the wash water which can be measured, e.g., by sensors, such as pH, specific gravity, temperature, etc., and communicated to the controller, which then halts or adjusts flow based on a pre-determined algorithm. The automatic controller can also automatically start flow when the truck and injector are properly positioned, using appropriate positioning sensors to determine this point. The controller can also alert the truck driver as to when the truck is properly positioned in relation to the injector, or when the truck or injector is out of position.

[00172] An exemplary control system, which may be used for any suitable system in which wash water is treated with carbon dioxide, and, in particular in systems in which the carbonated wash water is re-used as mix water, utilizes input regarding one or more conditions of a wash water holder and/or its environment, such as at least 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6 conditions, processes the input, then signals one or more actuators, such as at least 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6 actuators, e.g., a valve that regulates carbon dioxide flow, based on the processing. Inputs can include, but are not limited to, one or more of wash water pH, wash water temperature, carbon dioxide content of air in contact with wash water (e.g., air in a headspace above a tank), and/or a calculated amount of carbon dioxide to be added. In the latter case, the calculation can be based on, e.g., volume of wash water, known or estimated amount of concrete in wash water, known or estimated percentage of cement in the concrete, known or estimated carbon dioxide uptake required to reach an acceptable endpoint, e.g., acceptable pH, and/or acceptable carbon dioxide uptake. Thus, one exemplary control system utilizes inputs that include wash water pH, temperature, and/or carbon dioxide concentration directly above the water, e.g., in a holding tank or reclaimer. In certain embodiments all three of pH, temperature, and carbon dioxide concentration are used; in certain embodiments two of pH, temperature, and carbon dioxide concentration are used; in certain embodiments only one of pH, temperature, and carbon dioxide concentration are used, for example, carbon dioxide concentration above the wash water. Additional sensors and/or information that may input to a controller, can include a flow meter to determine carbon dioxide flow rate, a sensor to determine the level of water in the holding tank (which level may vary depending on a variety of conditions), and/or information from a pump or pumps, such as pumps that pump new wash water into a holding tank, e.g., from a reclaimer, and/or such as pumps that pump water into a recirculation loop. In the case of a pump from a reclaimer, the pump or pumps typically have a fixed flow rate, so information regarding time that the pump is on can be

sufficient for the controller to determine an amount of new wash water that has been added to the system; given the typical amount of cement in a load, the controller can, e.g., adjust carbon dioxide flow to wash water to account for the anticipated amount of material to be carbonated, and keep ahead of the carbonation demand. Alternatively, or additionally, the controller may send signals to other sensors, e.g., pH, temperature, and/or carbon dioxide, to read values more frequently so that the system can adjust more quickly to the added load.

[00173] Additional sensors can also include a sensor to monitor pressure behind a carbon dioxide control valve (typically used to send an alarm signal if the pressure is outside acceptable limits), and a sensor for the temperature of incoming gas, which indicates whether the carbon dioxide source, e.g., tank, can keep up with demand; such a sensor can indicate whether the source is being overwhelmed by demand, because in such case liquid carbon dioxide droplets may form.

[00174] Exemplary general control logic is shown in **Figure 89**. In this logic, sensors can be one or more suitable sensors, such as the sensors described herein. If one or more readings is beyond a critical level, the system will shutdown. If not, the system will proceed as shown in **Figure 89**.

[00175] For convenience, the system will be described in terms of using all three sensors; it will be understood that fewer or more sensors may be used. Thus, in an exemplary embodiment, a pH sensor/meter, a temperature sensor such as a thermocouple, and a CO₂ sensor/meter are used as sensors. The sensors are operably connected to a control system, e.g., wired connection, wireless connection, or a combination. The control system is also connected to the carbon dioxide addition equipment for the wash water, and, optionally, a pump or pumps. Any suitable control system can be used, such as a programmable logic controller (PLC). The control system may be stand-alone, or integrated with an overall control system for the wash water facility, or a combination thereof. Additional equipment can include a first pneumatic cylinder and a second pneumatic cylinder, one or both of which can extend and contract, a mass flow meter for CO₂ gas flow metering and control, and a water line solenoid in a clean water line, to regulate flow of clean water to rinse the pH probe. The system can include a pump; an exemplary pump is one that serves to agitate the water in a holding tank, so that solids don't settle. Pumps alternatively or in addition can include reclaimer pumps.

[00176] The wash water temperature sensor, e.g., thermocouple, can be placed anywhere in contact with the wash water in the system, but typically is submerged to ensure the mass of the sensor does not impact the reading. A single wash water temperature sensor may be used,

or more than one temperature sensor may be used, such as at least 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6 wash water temperature sensors.

[00177] The CO₂ sensor is placed above the surface of the wash water, e.g., in a location of upward-flowing wash water. The distance of the CO₂ sensor from the surface of the water may be any suitable distance so long as the sensor can detect carbon dioxide emitted from the wash water, i.e., carbon dioxide that has been contacted with the wash water but that has not been absorbed in/reacted with the wash water, so that it is escaping to the atmosphere above the wash water (headspace). For example, the sensor may be 0.1-100, or 1-100, or 1-50, or 5-100, or 5-50 cm above the surface of the wash water, or any other suitable distance. If the CO₂ sensor is in a fixed position, the distance from the surface of the water can vary as water level varies, e.g., from additional loads, use of water, etc. Thus, the system may also include a sensor to sense the level of the wash water in the tank. The controller may adjust the weight given to the carbon dioxide value depending on distance from the surface, e.g., if the sensor is further from the surface more carbon dioxide has to build up before the sensor will read it, and the controller may adjust flow to a different degree, for example, reduce flow more, or at a different rate, for example, more quickly, than if the sensor is closer to the surface of the water. Additionally or alternatively, a CO₂ sensor may be configured to stay a constant distance, or within a constant range of distances, from the surface of the wash water. For example, a CO₂ sensor may be on a float, with the gas-sensing portion a certain distance above the waterline of the float, or be provided with a mechanism to move the sensor based on, e.g., readings of the level of the wash water. Any other suitable method and apparatus for maintaining a constant distance from the surface of the wash water may be used. The system may use a single CO₂ sensor or more than one, such as at least 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6 CO₂ sensors.

[00178] Input from a sensor to signal the height of water in the tank may alternatively or additionally be used to regulate one or more aspects of the system. For example, when the water level is low, changes will tend to be more rapid, and the interval between samples may be decreased, and/or carbon dioxide flow rate decreased.

[00179] The pH sensor or sensors can be used in any suitable location that allows accurate readings of wash water pH. Any suitable sensor which can withstand the conditions typical of concrete wash water may be used. To obtain an accurate reading and prevent fouling of the sensor, the sensor is typically contacted with wash water in which the solids have been allowed to settle to a sufficient degree to obtain an accurate reading and to not foul the sensor. This may be done in any suitable manner. For example, a portion of wash water may be removed from the tank for a pH measurement and, e.g., allowed to settle before a

measurement is taken. In another example, a pneumatic cylinder can be extended into the wash water at a location of downward-flowing wash water, for example, about 12 inches into the wash water, or any other suitable distance. The water inside the cylinder will not be exposed to the motion of the overall wash water, and solids can settle out. After an appropriate interval to allow sufficient solids to settle, for example, at least 5, 10, 15, 20, 30, 40, 50, or 60 seconds, a second pneumatic cylinder, which includes the pH sensor, is extended into the first cylinder to take a pH reading of the water inside the first cylinder. After a reading is complete, the probe is retracted from the first cylinder, and is subjected to appropriate treatment to prepare for the next reading, which can be, e.g., rinsing of the probe with clean water released from a clean water line by action of a solenoid in the line. The first cylinder is also retracted from the wash water at some time between samples so that a fresh sample can be obtained for the next reading. A single pH sensor may be used, or more than one may be used, such as at least 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6 pH sensors.

[00180] The sensor or sensors send signals to the control system. The readings from the various sensors can be reviewed to ensure that proper sampling has occurred, for example confirmation logic checks that the reading is in the expected range based on reading time, that change in value between readings is reasonable, i.e., not too high or too low. If an anomaly is detected, an error signal can be sent and standby logic to ensure continued safe operation (e.g., for temperature, pH); in the case of CO₂ sensor malfunctioning, an alarm may sound and/or the system may be shut down to ensure safety. If readings are determined to be proper, then the control system may determine, based on one or more readings, if any adjustment to CO₂ flow rate should be made.

[00181] Generally, the variable or variables will be determined to be within a suitable range, and if within the range, at what point in the range it is; this may be any suitable form of interpolation. The values for each variable may be combined, either as is or as weighted variables. The suitable ranges for each value can be determined by routine testing at the site. The range for pH may be any suitable range, such as from 6.0, 6.1, 6.2, 6.3, 6.4, 6.5, 6.6, 6.7, 6.8, 6.9, 7.0, 7.1, 7.2, 7.3, 7.4, 7.5, 7.6, 7.7, 7.8, 7.9, 8.0, 8.1, 8.2, 8.3, 8.4, 8.5, 8.6, 8.7, 8.8, 8.9, 9.0, 9.1, 9.2, 9.3, 9.4, 9.5, 9.6, 9.7, 9.8, 9.9, 10, 10.1, 10.2, 10.3, 10.4, 10.5, 10.6, 10.7, 10.8, 10.9, 11.0, 11.2, 11.4, 11.6, 11.8, 12.0, 12.2, 12.4, 12.6, 12.8, 13.0, 13.2, 13.4, 13.6, 13.8, 14.0, or 14.5 to 6.1, 6.2, 6.3, 6.4, 6.5, 6.6, 6.7, 6.8, 6.9, 7.0, 7.1, 7.2, 7.3, 7.4, 7.5, 7.6, 7.7, 7.8, 7.9, 8.0, 8.1, 8.2, 8.3, 8.4, 8.5, 8.6, 8.7, 8.8, 8.9, 9.0, 9.1, 9.2, 9.3, 9.4, 9.5, 9.6, 9.7, 9.8, 9.9, 10, 10.1, 10.2, 10.3, 10.4, 10.5, 10.6, 10.7, 10.8, 10.9, 11.0, 11.2, 11.4, 11.6, 11.8, 12.0, 12.2, 12.4, 12.6, 12.8, 13.0, 13.2, 13.4, 13.6, 13.8, 14.0, 14.5, or 15.0. The range for

temperature may be any suitable range, such as from 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, or 30 °C to 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 50, 52, or 55 °C; generally tanks are run in the open and the lower limit may be adjusted according to air temperature, while the upper limit may be determined by the concrete production facility, which may not use mix water above a certain temperature. The range for carbon dioxide may be any suitable range, such as from 340, 350, 360, 370, 380, 390, 400, 410, 420, 430, 440, 450, 460, 470, 480, 490, 500, 520, 540, 560, 580, 600, 620, 640, 660, 680, 700, 720, 740, 760, 780, 800, 825, 850, 875, 900, 925, 950, 975, 1000, 1050, 1100, 1150, 1200, 1250, 1300, 1350, 1400, 1450, 1500, 1550, 1600, 1700, 1800, 1900, 2000, 2100, 2200, 2400, 2600, 2800, 3000, 3200, 3400, 3600, 3800, 4000, 4200, 4400, 4600, or 4800 ppm to 350, 360, 370, 380, 390, 400, 410, 420, 430, 440, 450, 460, 470, 480, 490, 500, 520, 540, 560, 580, 600, 620, 640, 660, 680, 700, 720, 740, 760, 780, 800, 825, 850, 875, 900, 925, 950, 975, 1000, 1050, 1100, 1150, 1200, 1250, 1300, 1350, 1400, 1450, 1500, 1550, 1600, 1700, 1800, 1900, 2000, 2100, 2200, 2400, 2600, 2800, 3000, 3200, 3400, 3600, 3800, 4000, 4200, 4400, 4600, 4800, or 5000 ppm. Since tanks are generally open to the atmosphere, the lower limit typically will not be below the atmospheric level of carbon dioxide, which is rising, thus determined at the site or as of date. The maximum upper limit may be constrained by regulations regarding worker safety, which vary, and can be as low as 1000 ppm, or may be, e.g., 5000 ppm. However, in general the upper limit will be lower than worker safety limits in order to more efficiently control carbon dioxide use in the system, and to limit waste. A separate carbon dioxide sensor may be installed at the site in worker areas and be set to give an alarm at a certain level, or even to shut down carbon dioxide feed into the system. This sensor is not necessarily communicating with the overall system, e.g., it may be a standalone alarm.

[00182] Samples may be taken at any suitable interval, which may be constant or may vary depending on conditions, e.g., as described elsewhere, sampling rate may increase when a load from, e.g., a reclaimer is sensed. Exemplary sampling intervals are from 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 10, 20, 30, 40, or 50 seconds, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, or 20 minutes, to 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 10, 20, 30, 40, or 50 seconds, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 20, 22, or 25 minutes. In order to obtain accurate readings at each sample time, several readings may be taken from one or more of the sensors, such as at least 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 15, 17, or 20 readings. Such readings may be averaged, or the control system may

contain logic that allows choice of the most likely accurate reading or readings from the group.

[00183] Exemplary control logic to control CO₂ flow rates, based on all three of pH, temperature, and CO₂ above the surface (e.g., in headspace), is as follows, using upper and lower limits that are merely exemplary (any suitable ranges may be used), and using linear interpolation (an suitable interpolation may be used):

[00184] Adjustable variables

Sensor interval (min) = 5

pH (Lower Limit, LL) = 7

pH (Upper Limit) = 13

CO₂ PPM (LL) = 400

CO₂ PPM (UL) = 1000

Temp C (LL) = 20 °C

Temp C (UL) = 40 °C

MAX FLOW = max flow determined onsite for the configuration used to ensure 100% uptake in new washwater. May be adjusted according to factors that affect uptake, such as volume of water in the tank (e.g., water level in the tank).

[00185] Below is some of the logic that can be incorporated into the logic to control flow rates based on the condition of the wash water. This logic uses a linear interpolation between 100% and 0% of max uptake flow between expected min/max sensor readings for simplicity but changing the CO₂ factor, pH factor and temperature factor equations would be relatively simple when, e.g., data that supports the change. All variables are given equal weighting but that can be adjusted, as well, as appropriate.

[00186] Conditions:

- if **pH** < pH(LL) then **pH factor** = 0
- if **pH** > pH(UL) then **pH factor** = 1
- if pH (LL) < **pH** < pH(UL) then **pH factor** = (**pH** - pH(LL) / (pH(UL)-pH(LL)))
- if **CO₂** < CO₂ (LL), then **CO₂ factor** = 1

- if $\text{CO}_2 > \text{CO}_2 (\text{UL})$ then **CO₂ factor** = 0
- if $\text{CO}_2 (\text{LL}) < \text{CO}_2 < \text{CO}_2 (\text{UL})$ then **CO₂ factor** = $(\text{CO}_2 (\text{UL}) - \text{CO}_2) / (\text{CO}_2 (\text{UL}) - \text{CO}_2 (\text{LL}))$
- if **Temp** < Temp C (LL) then **Temp factor** = 1
- if **Temp** > Temp C (UL) then **Temp factor** = 0
- if Temp C (LL) < **Temp** < Temp C (UL) then **Temp factor** = $(\text{Temp} (\text{UL}) - \text{Temp}) / (\text{Temp} (\text{UL}) - \text{Temp} (\text{LL}))$

Flow = MAX FLOW x ((pH Factor x CO₂ factor x Temp factor)/3).

This flow equation is merely exemplary; it will be appreciated that any suitable weighting of factors may be used; in the case of the example equation, a value of 0 for any factor would shut down carbon dioxide flow, as values are multiplied, but any suitable numerical manipulation may be used to produce a desired result. In general, the combination of factors should not be above 1.0, i.e., max flow. Also, it may be desired, as in the example, that any one of the factors exceeding an upper or lower limit, depending on the factor, can shut down carbon dioxide flow.

[00187] Thus, in certain embodiments the invention provides a method of treating waste concrete in concrete mixer comprising adding water to the mixer to wash out the mixer and adding carbon dioxide to the mixer, to produce carbonated wash water in the mixer. At least a portion of the carbon dioxide added to the mixer is added as carbon dioxide dissolved in wash water for the mixer. The concentration of carbon dioxide in the wash water can be any concentration as described herein, such as at least 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, or 10 g/L water. In certain embodiments, such as when a supersaturated wash water is used, concentrations of carbon dioxide in the wash water can exceed 10 g/L, such as at least 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, or 20 g/L. Additionally or alternatively, at least a portion of the carbon dioxide added to the mixer can be added as solid and/or gaseous carbon dioxide. The mixer can be any suitable mixer. In certain embodiments, the mixer is a transportable mixer, such as a drum of a ready-mix truck. The method can include transporting at least a portion of the carbonated wash water to a wash water treatment system. The wash water treatment system can, e.g., treat wash water comprising the carbonated wash water to remove aggregates. The wash water treatment system can additionally or alternatively add additional carbon dioxide to the

wash water comprising carbonated wash water. Any suitable method for adding carbon dioxide, such as methods described herein, may be used to add the carbon dioxide.

[00188] Dosing of carbon dioxide Regardless of the form of the carbon dioxide, the total amount of carbon dioxide to be used in the truck on the drive back to the wash station and/or at the station may be determined by the cement content of the concrete mix in the truck, the expected amount of concrete that will be coating the inside of the truck, the expected or desired level of carbon dioxide uptake by the cement, and the expected efficiency of uptake (e.g., carbon dioxide loss due to leakage from the drum of the truck). For example, a truck with a capacity of 8 m³ may be carrying concrete with a cement content of 15%, and it is known or estimated that approximately 500 pounds of concrete remains in the truck after dumping its load, regardless of load size. A maximum uptake of 50% carbon dioxide bwc is expected for this cement type, and an efficiency of uptake of 80% is expected. The calculated dose of carbon dioxide for maximum carbonation would be $500 \times 0.15 / 0.50 \times 0.80 = \sim 188$ lb of carbon dioxide. In general, the amount of concrete in the empty truck will not be precisely known; a surrogate is the specific gravity of the wash water as soon as enough water is added to create a slurry; from the specific gravity and volume, a mass of solids may be calculated and, from that and the proportion of cement in the concrete mix that was carried in the truck, the amount of cement in the wash water can be calculated. Thus, in certain embodiments, the dose of carbon dioxide to be used for wash water (either in a single truck or in a combination of more than one truck) may be expressed as an amount by weight solids, where a percentage of cement and other carbon-dioxide-reacting or -absorbing materials is known or estimated, and/or efficiency of carbonation is known or estimated, e.g., at least 1, 2, 5, 7, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, 40, 45, 50, 55, 60, 65, 70, 75, 80, 85, 90, or 95% carbon dioxide by weight solids, and/or not more than 2, 5, 7, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, 40, 45, 50, 55, 60, 65, 70, 75, 80, 85, 90, 95 or 100% carbon dioxide by weight solids. Higher doses may be used, e.g., beyond 100% by weight solids, depending on the cement content of the wash water, the expected efficiency of carbonation, etc.

[00189] Less than a complete (full) dose may be used in any embodiment of the invention. This can be for any reason; e.g., the desired or available systems for carbon dioxide delivery will not allow sufficient carbon dioxide to be delivered, or it is desired to keep the carbon dioxide reactions to a certain level in the time period between dumping the load of concrete and final washing at the batching facility, or between washing and further treatment, etc. As described elsewhere herein, an aged wash water may require less than a complete dose (e.g., a dose calculated based on fresh concrete in the truck) to provide the desirable level of

reaction. Although a full or complete dose may be calculated for a given truck, load, and mix design, as described elsewhere herein, less than a full or complete dose of carbon dioxide may be given, e.g., less than 95, 90, 80, 70, 60, 50, 40, 30, 20, or 10% of a complete dose, and/or more than 5, 10, 15, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, or 90% of a full dose. In certain embodiments of the invention, the dose of carbon dioxide used to treat wash water is such that the total amount of carbon dioxide delivered to a subsequent concrete mix using the carbonated mix water (and calculated only from carbon dioxide in the mix water, ignoring any other carbon dioxide added to the subsequent concrete mix), is less than 2.0, 1.5, 1.3, 1.0, 0.9, 0.8, 0.7, 0.6, 0.5, 0.4, 0.3, 0.2, or 0.1% by weight cement in the subsequent mix, for example, less than 1.0%, or less than 0.8%, or less than 0.5%, or less than 0.3%, or less than 0.1%, such as less than 0.5%. The amount of carbon dioxide in the wash water may be determined, e.g., by multiplying the total amount of carbon dioxide delivered to the wash water by the efficiency (measured or calculated) of absorption of carbon dioxide by the wash water and dividing by volume of the wash water. Suitable adjustments may be made for the typical case where a holding tank contains wash water from multiple trucks, and may be used on an ongoing basis to provide mix water, based on truck contents and water use, and other appropriate measurements. In certain embodiments, the carbon dioxide content the wash water (e.g., carbonates, bicarbonate, carbonic acid, and/or dissolved carbon dioxide) may be determined by chemical or other suitable measurements. It can be assumed that virtually all of the carbon dioxide content of a carbonated wash water, either dissolved or as reaction products with cementitious materials, is due to carbonation of the wash water.

[00190] In certain embodiments, a full dose, or dose that is calculated to be a full dose, may be delivered at the job site and/or during transport to the wash station; in some cases, less than a full dose is desired. In some cases, testing at the batching facility can show whether carbon dioxide uptake is complete; if not, additional carbon dioxide may be added at the batching facility, e.g., during washing of the drum or at a later step, to achieve a full dose or the desired less than full dose. In certain embodiments, no carbon dioxide until the truck is back at the batching facility. In certain embodiments, a partial dose is used at the job site and/or during the drive back to the batching facility, and one or more further partial doses are delivered at the batching facility, e.g., during washing or later, as described above.

[00191] In certain embodiments of the invention, the dose of carbon dioxide is determined mainly or exclusively by the methods above; e.g., no further pre-testing beyond, in some cases, specific gravity, is required. In some cases, dose is calculated simply from known or assumed amounts of concrete left in the truck and the mix design of the truck, including the

amount of cement in the concrete and, in some cases, the type of cement in the concrete, as well as known or assumed efficiencies of carbonation, without the need to test wash water at all, and in particular, no need for testing for an initial dose of carbon dioxide.

[00192] The carbon dioxide added to the wash water will initially dissolve in the water and then form various products from reaction, such as bicarbonates, and carbonates (e.g., calcium carbonate). Carbon dioxide in the wash water, in the form of dissolved carbon dioxide, carbonic acid, bicarbonates, and carbonates, will be carried over into cement in which the wash water is used as mix water. Thus, the cement mix will contain a certain amount of carbon dioxide (including dissolved carbon dioxide, carbonic acid, bicarbonate, and carbonate) contributed by the carbonated wash water, which may be expressed as percent by weight cement in the mix. For example, a wash water may have a solids content 150,000 ppm, or 15%, which would give a specific gravity of approximately 1.10. If carbon dioxide is added to the wash water and the uptake by the wash water is 30%, then 4.5% of the water is carbon dioxide, mainly as carbonation products. If a concrete mix is then made using the carbonated wash water at a water/cement ratio of 0.5, then the amount of carbon dioxide (as dissolved carbon dioxide, carbonic acid, bicarbonate, and carbonate) in the concrete mix is 2.25% bwc. These numbers are merely exemplary. Wash water solids content, efficiency of uptake, w/c ratio, amount of mix water that is wash water, and the like, can vary. Thus, the amount of carbon dioxide provided by carbonated wash water in a concrete mix that comprises carbonated wash water can be at least 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 0.7, 1.0, 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5, 1.6, 1.7, 1.8, 1.9, 2.0, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.7, 2.8, 2.9, 3.0, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7, 3.8, 3.9, 4.0, 4.2, 4.4, 4.6, 4.8, 5.0, 5.5, 6.0, 6.5, 7.0, 7.5, 8.0, 8.5, 9.0, 9.5, 10.0, 10.5, 11.0, 11.5, 12, or 12.5% bwc, and/or not more than 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 0.7, 1.0, 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5, 1.6, 1.7, 1.8, 1.9, 2.0, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.7, 2.8, 2.9, 3.0, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7, 3.8, 3.9, 4.0, 4.2, 4.4, 4.6, 4.8, 5.0, 5.5, 6.0, 6.5, 7.0, 8.0, 9.0, 10.0, 10.5, 11.0, 11.5, 12.0, 12.5, 13.0, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 25, or 30% bwc. For example, the invention provides a method of preparing a concrete mix comprising (i) adding concrete materials to a mixer, wherein the concrete materials comprise cement; adding mix water to the mixer, wherein the mix water comprises carbonated concrete wash water in an amount such that the total carbon dioxide or carbon dioxide reaction products (expressed as carbon dioxide) supplied by the carbonated mix water to the concrete mix is at least 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 0.7, 1.0, 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5, 1.6, 1.7, 1.8, 1.9, 2.0, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.7, 2.8, 2.9, 3.0, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7, 3.8, 3.9, 4.0, 4.2, 4.4, 4.6, 4.8, 5.0, 5.5, 6.0, 6.5, 7.0, 7.5, 8.0, 8.5, 9.0, 9.5, 10.0, 10.5, 11.0, 11.5, 12, or 12.5% bwc, and/or not more

than 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 0.7, 1.0, 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5, 1.6, 1.7, 1.8, 1.9, 2.0, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.7, 2.8, 2.9, 3.0, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7, 3.8, 3.9, 4.0, 4.2, 4.4, 4.6, 4.8, 5.0, 5.5, 6.0, 6.5, 7.0, 8.0, 9.0, 10.0, 10.5, 11.0, 11.5, 12.0, 12.5, or 13.0% bwc, for example, at least 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, or 2.0%, and/or not more than 2.5, 2.0, 1.5, or 1.0%, or for example, not more than 2%, or not more than 2.5%, or not more than 3.0%, or not more than 3.5%, or not more than 4.0%; or, for example, at least 0.01% bwc, or at least 0.05% bwc, or at least 0.1% bwc, or at least 0.5% bwc, or at least 1.0% bwc, or at least 2.0% bwc, or at least 3.0% bwc, or at least 4.0% bwc, or at least 5.0% bwc; or, for example, in a range of between 0.01 and 13.0%, bwc, or a range of between 0.01 and 12.0% bwc, or a range of between 0.01 and 11.0%, bwc or a range of between 0.01 and 10.0%, bwc, or a range of between 0.01 and 8.0%, bwc, or a range of between 0.01 and 6.0%, bwc or a range of between 0.01 and 4.0%, bwc, or in a range of between 0.1 and 13.0%, bwc, or a range of between 0.1 and 12.0% bwc, or a range of between 0.1 and 11.0%, bwc or a range of between 0.1 and 10.0%, bwc, or a range of between 0.1 and 8.0%, bwc, or a range of between 0.1 and 6.0%, bwc or a range of between 0.1 and 4.0%, bwc, or in a range of between 1.0 and 13.0%, bwc, or a range of between 1.0 and 12.0% bwc, or a range of between 1.0 and 11.0%, bwc or a range of between 1.0 and 10.0%, bwc, or a range of between 1.0 and 8.0%, bwc, or a range of between 1.0 and 6.0%, bwc or a range of between 1.0 and 4.0%, bwc and (iii) mixing the water and the concrete materials to produce a concrete mix. It will be appreciated that the amount of carbonated wash water in the total mix water may be any suitable amount, such as amounts described herein.

[00193] Carbon dioxide delivery in reclaimers and piping from reclaimer to pond or slurry tank. Some facilities utilize reclaimers to reclaim aggregate, e.g., sand and gravel, from the wash water. The water may then further be used, generally with more processing, either as part of mix water or as wash water; any remaining water is disposed of in the usual manner. In a typical reclaimer, water with grit and solid components is pumped through the process, and sand and gravel are separated out, e.g., by sieving. The water is then sent to a settlement pond, and/or to a tank for reuse. In the case of water sent to a settlement pond, water may be transported to a tank, where carbon dioxide is added to the water; e.g. a recirculation line allows carbon dioxide to be added to the water in the line, then sent back to the tank; if a tank is already present, then a carbonation apparatus may be added, for example, a recirculation line. This water can be carbonated or super-carbonated, additionally or alternatively with carbon dioxide added to the water during the pumping process, so that as carbon dioxide is consumed in carbonation reactions, more carbon dioxide is supplied to the water. Carbon

dioxide can additionally or alternatively be supplied into piping as the water is pumped to a settlement pond or a slurry tank. In an optimum situation, sand and gravel are separated out as usual, but the water in, e.g., a slurry tank is available for use again without further dilution, or with less dilution than would otherwise be required. For example, the process may produce water, e.g., water in a slurry tank, from a reclaimer that has a specific gravity that is greater than, e.g., 1.03, 1.04, 1.05, 1.06, 1.07, 1.08, 1.10, 1.11, 1.12, 1.13, 1.14, 1.15, 1.16, 1.17, 1.18, 1.19, or 1.20, but that is suitable for use as mix water. This is different from existing reclaimers, where the water in, e.g., a slurry tank, typically requires dilution to lower the specific gravity to acceptable levels. In the present process, little or no additional processing may be needed (though additionally or alternatively carbonation at the slurry tank may be used, if necessary or desired) because the carbonation process halts or greatly retards deleterious reactions of the cementitious material while leaving it available for reaction in a second concrete batch, and also adjusts the pH of the water to more acceptable levels. For example, in the process, filtering and/or settling of solids is generally not necessary; indeed, an advantage of the methods and compositions of the invention is that materials from one batch may be recycled into another batch or batches, potentially allowing less material, e.g., cement to be used, and decreasing or even eliminating costs associated with disposing of wash water materials.

[00194] Retrofit of existing facility to provide reclamation: Most concrete facilities do not include a reclaimer, but could benefit from being able to reuse wash water and, potentially, aggregates from wash water. At present, most solid material is simply allowed to settle out in one or more settlement ponds, and is periodically disposed of, with little or no reuse, while the water in the settlement pond must be further treated to meet environmental standards before disposal. If, instead, wash water is carbonated, either before placement in the pond, or during its time in the pond, or both, then some or all of the water may be used as mix water, reducing or eliminating the costs and equipment required to treat the water for disposal. In addition, some or all of the aggregates may be available for reuse, instead of hardening and becoming useless.

[00195] As an example, in one type of operation, wash waters from trucks are dumped into a first bay, where solids settle out, harden, and are generally dumped. The top water from the first bay goes over a weir into a second bay where, generally, solids are further allowed to settle, top water is taken off, often sent to a third bay, and the water, now essentially free of solids but still with a high pH, silicates, calcium etc., is treated for disposal or, in some cases, for at least partial reuse. In presently available systems, the treatment in the third bay, where

there are no solids present, may be with carbon dioxide. The present invention allows for a retrofit of the first or second bay, where solids are still present, so that instead of being a settlement pond, it is a slurry pond where carbonation occurs; the carbonated wash water is then suitable for use as mix water, rather than merely being disposed of. This can be done by the use of agitators, recirculating pumps, or a combination of these, where carbon dioxide is added either directly into the pond (e.g., through bubble mats, as described elsewhere herein) or in the lines in the recirculation pumps, or both. Other methods of adding carbon dioxide, e.g., at impellers or eductors, etc., are as described herein. Other means of carbon dioxide addition, such as solid carbon dioxide, or a mixture of gaseous and solid, may also be used, as described herein.

[00196] In certain embodiments, a wall is added to the first bay, e.g., a wall with a notch to allow water to flow through the notch (e.g., a weir) to an area of the first tank beyond the wall. The wall can be placed to provide a division in the first tank to allow solids, such as aggregate, to settle, but allow the remaining water, with suspended solids, to flow over the notch into a second part of the first bay. Optionally, a second wall can be added on the other side of the first wall, in order to reduce the volume of the area into which water flows over the notch. The water can be pumped out of the area, e.g., with a sump pump or similar pump, into a holding tank, where it can be carbonated, e.g., by use of a recirculation loop, where water is pumped out of the tank into a pipe and carbon dioxide added to the water in the pipe, then the carbonated water is led back into the tank. The carbonated water in the holding tank can then be led back to the batching plant, for use in subsequent batches of concrete.

Addition of carbon dioxide to the water can be controlled as described elsewhere herein. In these embodiments, it may not be necessary to have a second or third bay, or their volumes may be reduced.

[00197] With this retrofit, some or all of the water from the first or second pond becomes useable as mix water, often at a higher specific gravity than would otherwise be possible, for example, at a specific gravity greater than, e.g., 1.03, 1.04, 1.05, 1.06, 1.07, 1.08, 1.10, 1.11, 1.12, 1.13, 1.14, 1.15, 1.16, 1.17, 1.18, 1.19, or 1.20, whereas before the retrofit, little or none of the water from the pond was reused as mix water, but instead was disposed of. With the retrofit, cementitious materials from previous batches also become available in subsequent batches (see calculations, below). Appropriate sensors and control systems may be used to monitor carbon dioxide addition, as well as monitor appropriate characteristics of the water, also as described herein, and to modify carbon dioxide delivery, as well as to control redirection of water back into the batching system for use as mix water. In this way, as

much as 100% of the wash water may be recycled into mix water, e.g., at least 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90, or 95% of the wash water may be recycled into mix water. For a typical truck, which uses ~120L wash water/m³ of concrete carried in the truck to clean the truck, and a typical mix, which uses ~130L water/ m³ concrete, it is, indeed, possible to recycle 100% of the wash water into subsequent batches of concrete.

[00198] A retrofit may additionally or alternatively include a retrofit at the wash station, or at the truck, or both, to carbonate wash water before it reaches the ponds. At the truck level this includes addition of a source of carbon dioxide, which may be solid, gaseous (in solution or free), or a system to deliver both solid and gaseous carbon dioxide, as described elsewhere herein. For example, a truck may be retrofitted so that its saddlebags can hold carbonated water, if necessary. The batching site may be retrofitted to include a system for carbonating water and for supplying it to truck saddlebags (this would include a source of carbon dioxide, appropriate piping and injection systems, optionally a system for supersaturating water with carbon dioxide, and delivery system to deliver carbonated water to saddlebags, and appropriate control systems). Alternatively or additionally, the truck may be retrofitted to provide a system to carry dry ice for delivery to the drum after the load is delivered, which can be as simple as an insulated container. The batching facility may include a storage system for the dry ice and, optionally, a system for producing dry ice. If it is desired to produce dry ice of appropriate size range for a particular mix or load, as described elsewhere herein, the batch facility or the truck itself may further be outfitted with a system for producing dry ice of the desired size. Additionally or alternatively, the truck may be retrofitted with a system to deliver gaseous carbon dioxide to the drum of the truck, which includes a source of carbon dioxide, a conduit to deliver the carbon dioxide from the source to the drum, and, typically, a metering and control system to regulate addition of carbon dioxide to the drum. All of these retrofits may further include appropriate control systems, such as sensors (e.g., pH and other sensors, as described elsewhere herein, or in the simplest case, a timer, as well as sensors to determine the flow of carbon dioxide), a processor, and one or more actuators (e.g. valves) to control the flow of carbon dioxide according to the desired dose/rate, or other parameters. If it is desired to provide a mixture of solid and gaseous carbon dioxide to the drum of the truck, then the same basic setup as for gaseous is used, except that piping must be such that it can withstand the temperature of liquid carbon dioxide, and the injector should be a snow horn of appropriate design to produce the desired mix of solid and gaseous carbon dioxide.

[00199] At the wash station level, this includes equipment as described elsewhere herein for supplying carbon dioxide at the wash station, including the appropriate source or sources of carbon dioxide, appropriate conduits, injectors, positioning, metering, and control systems if carbon dioxide is injected into the drum, systems for carbonating or super-carbonating water if that method is used, and for delivering the carbonated water to the wash line.

[00200] It will be appreciated that, if a plant is retrofitted to carbonate the wash water, either at the job site/during transport, or at the wash station, or both, sufficient carbonation of wash water may occur so that no further carbonation at the ponds need be pursued; in some cases, however, additional carbonation at the ponds is necessary. In addition, through carbonation in the truck after pouring and during transport, and/or during wash, aggregate in the concrete in the truck can become available for reuse. Using the example of a settlement system with two ponds, if the wash station and/or truck is equipped to carbonate the leftover concrete, the aggregate material in the first pond can remain as discrete particles and be recovered and sieved, as appropriate, for use as aggregate in subsequent batches. The water may be ready at this point to be used as mix water, or it may require further treatment, e.g., further carbonation, to be so used.

[00201] Further possibilities, e.g., for retrofitting, are as follows:

[00202] Agitation of the wash water can be considered in three or more general approaches

[00203] **Customer has an existing wash water tank and an agitation system:** retrofit CO₂ treatment system can include a pump to move the water to/through the treatment step (either inline or in a separate tank). The pump is not the primary source of agitation and thus only needs to start when CO₂ treatment starts and is controlled based on one or all of the sensors (Temp, pH, CO₂ level in headspace)

[00204] **Storage tank with no agitation:** Pumps are used to keep material suspended in the tank. Pump moves the water to/through the treatment step (either inline, the same tank or in a separate tank). The pump is on at any time the CO₂ is injected with start/stop based upon the sensor logic.

[00205] **Customer has a pond with no agitation:** Retrofit CO₂ treatment adapted to pond. A pump is used to move the water to/through the treatment step (either inline or in a separate tank). The pump would need to be on all the time while CO₂ is injected. Pump and CO₂ start/stop are determined by the sensor logic examining the wash water supply.

[00206] In addition, there are various possibilities for the location of addition of carbon dioxide and/or admixture (described elsewhere herein) to wash water. In an exemplary ready-mix operation, wash water is added initially in the truck, after its load is dumped, to

keep the remaining concrete from hardening. At this point, admixture, e.g., a set-retarding admixture, may be added to wash water in the drum of the truck. Alternatively or additionally, carbon dioxide may be added to wash water in the drum of the truck. The truck then proceeds to a wash station, where further water may be added to the drum. At this point, admixture, e.g., a set-retarding admixture, may be added to wash water in the drum of the truck. Alternatively or additionally, carbon dioxide may be added to wash water in the drum of the truck. The wash water is typically then pumped to a holding tank, and admixture and/or carbon dioxide can be added to the wash water in the line from the truck to the tank. In an operation in which a reclaimer is used, admixture and/or carbon dioxide may be added as described elsewhere herein. In some operations, additional holding tanks may be used, and at any one or more of these, admixture and/or carbon dioxide may be added. As described herein, the addition may occur in the tank itself or may occur in a recirculation line in which wash water is removed from the tank and circulated through a loop; see, e.g., Example 14. At some point, wash water is moved from, e.g., a holding tank, back to the drum of a ready-mix truck (or into a central mixer) to be used as part or all of the mix water for a new batch of concrete. Carbon dioxide and/or admixture may be added in the line from the tank to the mixer (truck drum or central mixer).

[00207] The invention also provides kits as appropriate for the various types and combinations of retrofits, as described herein. These can be packaged at a central facility where appropriate components and sizes are selected, according to the operation to be retrofitted, and shipped to the operation, generally with all necessary parts and fittings so that installation at the facility is easy and efficient.

[00208] It will be appreciated that the above discussion regarding retrofits applies equally to the building of new facilities, though some modifications may not be necessary when a facility is built from scratch, whereas other modifications may become necessary, as will be apparent to one of skill in the art.

[00209] Benefits of carbonation of wash water The benefits of carbonation of wash water include a reduction in the carbon footprint of the concrete operation, reduced water usage, reduced waste output, and increased recycled content usage.

[00210] By use of the methods and compositions of the invention, it is possible to get back some percentage of cementitious quality of cement, say at least 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90, or 95 of cementitious quality. The producer can then reduce amount of cement in next batch by corresponding amount. E.g., a truck with 500 lb residual concrete, 15% cement, is treated by process and compositions of invention and the resultant slurry contains the cement

with 80% of its cementitious properties retained. If all the wash water can be transferred over to the next mix as mix water, then $500 \times 0.15 \times 0.80$ lb, or 60 lb less cement need be used in the next batch. If 90% of remainder of the concrete is aggregate that can be recovered because of the carbonation process, then an additional 450 lb of aggregate may be reduced in the subsequent load. These improvements contribute to a lower carbon footprint, reduced waste output, and increased recycled content usage.

[00211] In addition, as shown in the Examples and described herein, concrete made with wash water treated as described herein exhibits greater strength, especially greater early strength, than concrete made with untreated water. Thus, less new cement may be used in a mix that uses carbonated wash water than in the same mix that uses normal mix water, which further reduces carbon footprint.

[00212] Further, carbonation of a cement mix, even one using normal water, results in strength increases in the resultant poured material, and correspondingly less need for cement in the batch. See, e.g., U.S. Patent No. 9,388,072. When used in conjunction with carbonated wash water, the results can be additive, or even synergistic, thus, with use of both methods the operator can reduce carbon footprint while at the same time saving money on the most expensive main component of concrete: cement.

[00213] Also as described herein, water reuse at a facility using the methods and compositions of the invention can be increased dramatically, in some cases to 100% (e.g., reuse of wash water in subsequent mixes of at least 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90, or 95% of the wash water), with a corresponding reduction in waste output, again, in some cases, at or near 100% (e.g., decrease of waste water from wash water of at least 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90, or 95% compared to using uncarbonated wash water). This imparts significant cost savings, as well as reducing carbon footprint further because of the reduction in energy use that would go toward treating and disposing of the wash water.

[00214] Disposal and regulatory costs, as well as cement costs, can be reduced by using the methods and compositions described herein. Admixtures, which normally may be needed, e.g. when wash water is used as mix water, related to workability, can often be reduced or eliminated when carbonated wash water is used.

[00215] In many cases, carbonated wash water may not only be used as mix water, but can be recycled as wash water.

[00216] Mechanism of carbonation of wash water. Without being bound by theory, it is thought that when carbon dioxide is introduced into wash water, it quickly is converted to carbonate anion due to the high alkalinity of the wash water; the carbonate anion reacts with

calcium and forms a coating on suspended cement particles, reducing their reactivity in the wash water. They are thus “put to sleep” by the carbon dioxide, thus reducing/eliminating acceleration, but contributing to later strength. Variability is also reduced when using wash water that has been carbonated.

[00217] Sulfates The inventors have found that the methods and compositions of the invention also can help to favorably alter sulfate content in a concrete batch made with mix water that includes carbonated wash water. Carbon dioxide-treated wash water can be a tool to deal with undersulfated binder. In general, a concrete mix that contains a high ratio of aluminates to sulfates may not be a viable mix when used as is. For example, the use of supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs) that contribute aluminates can mean that a cement that has a proper aluminate-sulfate balance is now in a cement blend that is under-sulfated. Carbonated wash water can contain significant concentrations of sulfates in solution. If the sulfate content of the carbonated wash water is known, then an appropriate amount of carbonated wash water mixes can be added to compensate for this. In this case the wash water could have a low solids content because the sulfates are in solution.

Compositions.

[00218] Further provided herein are compositions, such as carbonated wash water compositions. In certain embodiments, the invention provides a carbonated concrete wash water composition comprising (i) wash water from concrete; (ii) carbon dioxide and carbon dioxide reaction products with the wash water. The wash water can be primarily composed of water used to rinse out a concrete mixer, e.g., a drum of a ready mix truck, or a combination of wash waters from a plurality of mixers, e.g., a plurality of ready-mix trucks. The amount of carbon dioxide and carbon dioxide reaction products in the carbonated concrete wash water can be at least 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 0.7, 1.0, 1.2, 1.5, 1.7, 2.0, 2.5, 3.0, 3.5, 4.0, 4.5, 5.0, 5.5, 6.0, 7.0, 8.0, 9.0, 10.0, 11.0, 12.0, 13.0, 14.0, 15.0, 17.0, 20.0, or 25% by weight solids in the wash water composition; for example at least 0.5% by weight solids in the wash water composition, in some cases at least 2% by weight solids in the wash water composition, such at least 5% by weight solids in the wash water composition, or at least 10% by weight solids in the wash water composition. The specific gravity of the carbonated wash water can be at least 1.01, 1.02, 1.03, 1.04, 1.05, 1.06, 1.07, 1.08, 1.09, 1.10, 1.11, 1.12, 1.13, 1.14, 1.15, 1.17, 1.20, or any other specific gravity as described herein; for example, at least 1.03, such as at least 1.05, or at least 1.10. The pH of the carbonated wash water composition can be any pH or range of pHs as described herein, such as at least 6.0, 6.1, 6.2, 6.3, 6.4, 6.5, 6.6, 6.7, 6.8, 6.9, 7.0, 7.1, 7.2, 7.3, 7.4, 7.5, 7.6, 7.7, 7.8, 7.9, 8.0, 8.1, 8.2, 8.3, 8.4, or 8.5, and/or

not more than 6.1, 6.2, 6.3, 6.4, 6.5, 6.6, 6.7, 6.8, 6.9, 7.0, 7.1, 7.2, 7.3, 7.4, 7.5, 7.6, 7.7, 7.8, 7.9, 8.0, 8.1, 8.2, 8.3, 8.4, 8.5, 8.7, 9.0, 9.3, 9.5, 9.7, 10, 10.3, 10.5, 10.7, 11.0, 12.0, or 13.0; for example, the pH of the carbonated wash water can be less than 9.0, such as less than 8.5, or less than 8.0. Compositions can further include (iii) additional cement, that is not cement in the wash water, e.g., a cement mix produced from dry cement and carbonated wash water. Such mixes can further include aggregates, admixtures, etc.

Carbon dioxide sequestration and economic advantages

[00219] A concrete production facility utilizing the methods and compositions described herein can incur considerable yearly savings, due to reuse of solids in wash water (thus avoiding use of a certain amount of new cement), avoided landfill costs, and other economic benefits, such as reduced or no additional water treatment costs because some or all of wash water is recycled. In addition, there will be considerable sequestration/offset of carbon dioxide. Thus, in certain embodiments, the invention provides a method of sequestering and/or offsetting carbon dioxide by treating wash water, concrete byproducts (such as returned concrete), or a combination thereof, with carbon dioxide, and optionally re-using some or all of the solids in the wash water as cementitious material in subsequent concrete batches. See Example 9. In certain embodiments, at least 0.1, 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5, 4, 4.5, 5, 5.5, 6, 6.5, 7, 7.5, 8, 8.5, 9, 9.5, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, or 15% of the carbon dioxide produced in manufacturing cement to be used at a concrete facility, transportation emissions, other emissions associated with concrete manufacture and use, or a combination thereof, is offset by the process. "Offset," as that term is used herein, includes the amount of carbon dioxide emissions avoided (e.g., through reduced cement use), as well as the amount of carbon dioxide actually sequestered, e.g., as part of carbonated wash solids and the like. In certain embodiments, the process provides a savings of at least 0.1, 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5, 4, 4.5, 5, 5.5, 6, 6.5, 7, 7.5, 8, 8.5, 9, 9.5, or 10% of the annual production costs of the concrete facility (e.g., compared to a period of time before carbonation, adjusted as appropriate for fluctuations in loads, costs, etc.). Further cost benefits may be realized in areas where there is a price on carbon, e.g., cap and trade or carbon tax, where the offset carbon dioxide may be a source of further revenue. Additional or alternative carbon dioxide offsets can be achieved by treating concrete produced in the facility with carbon dioxide while the concrete is being mixed, e.g., by applying gaseous carbon dioxide, or solid carbon dioxide, or a mixture of gaseous and solid carbon dioxide, for example in a dose of less than 3, 2, 1.5, 1.2, 1.0, 0.8, 0.6, 0.5, 0.4, 0.3, 0.2, or 0.1 bwc, to the mixing concrete mix. See, e.g., U.S. Patent Nos. 9,108,883 and 9,738,562. This treatment can result in a concrete

product that requires less cement than the uncarbonated product, because, in addition to the carbon dioxide directly sequestered in the concrete, the carbonated concrete product has greater strength after setting and hardening than uncarbonated concrete product of the same mix design, and, consequently, a concrete product that requires at least 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 25, or 30% less cement than the uncarbonated product. In such a case, carbon dioxide offset merely from carbonating the concrete mix may be at least 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 25, or 30%.

When concrete wash water treatment with carbon dioxide and, e.g., re-use of some or all of the solids in the wash water in subsequent concrete batches is combined with carbonation of concrete batches at a concrete facility, the total carbon dioxide offset can be at least 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 32, 35, 37, 40, 42 or 45%.

[00220] Admixtures. One or more admixtures may be added to the concrete wash water and/or to concrete made with the wash water. The addition may occur at one or more points in the process, as described elsewhere herein. Whether or not an admixture is used, the type of admixture, the point in the process at which admixture is added, and/or the amount of admixture added, can depend, e.g., on the type and amount of cement in the wash water. In some cases, addition of carbon dioxide to a wash water from a concrete batch can alter the properties of a subsequent batch which is made using the carbonated wash water as part or all of the mix water.

[00221] A decrease in the particle size of a powder in a binder system can lead to reduced workability (silica fume additions are an illustrative example). A workability impact can be observed for both CO₂-treated and untreated wash water, so the particle size distribution may not be pivotal. An admixture that flocculates fine particles to effectively serve to increase the median particle size and reduce the effective specific surface area, etc., can mitigate negative effects associated with the CO₂ induced reduction in particle size.

[00222] The use of chemicals in the flocculation of precipitated calcium carbonate (PCC) may act favorably on the CO₂ treated solids given their outward surface may effectively behave as calcium carbonate. With PCC, highly charged polyelectrolytes are known to produce strong large flocculants and higher flocculation rates. Both bridging and charge neutralization occur in polyelectrolyte induced PCC flocculation. See, e.g., R. Gaudreault., N. D. Cesare., D. Weitz., T. G. M. van de Ven; "Flocculation kinetics of precipitated calcium carbonate"; Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochem. Eng. Aspects 340, p56-65, 2009
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2009.03.008>

[00223] Without being bound by theory, PCC flocculation with positively charged polyelectrolytes indicates two mechanisms. A polymer with a high charge density and low molar mass such as polyethylenimine could induce PCC flocculation by neutralizing the charge, thus eliminating the electrostatic repulsive force. Whereas a high molar weight polymer with low charge density, such as polyacrylamide, interacts with PCC by a combination of electrostatic and bridging forces. See, e.g., A. Vanerek, B. Alince, T. G. M. van de Ven, "Interaction of calcium carbonate fillers with pulp fibres: effects of surface charge and cationic polyelectrolytes", *J. Pulp Pap. Sci.*, 26(9), p317-322, 2000. Natural carbohydrates can also be used, e.g., starch (such as potato, corn, and/or tapioca starches), dextran, lignin. A starch derivative Glycidyl tetradecyl dimethylammonium chloride (GTDAC) can also be used. See, e.g., Y. Wei, F. Cheng, H. Zheng, "Synthesis and flocculating properties of cationic starch derivatives", *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 74(3), p673-679, 2008, Y. Wei, F. Cheng, H. Zheng, "Synthesis and flocculating properties of cationic starch derivatives", *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 74(3), p673-679, 2008. Another possible admixture is pectin (a biopolymer of D-galacturonic acid), whereon the addition of Al^{3+} and Fe^{3+} could greatly increase pectin's flocculating efficiency. Cationic ions neutralized and stabilized negatively charged pectin and bound particles by electrostatic attraction. See, e.g., H. Yokoi, T. Obita, J. Hirose, S. Hayashi, Y. Takasaki, "Flocculation properties of pectin in various suspensions", *Bioresour. Technol.*, 84(3), p287-290, 2002. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524\(02\)00023-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524(02)00023-8).

[00224] Another potential admixture is cellulose or cellulose derivatives, e.g. electrosterically stabilized nanocrystalline cellulose (ENCC); dissolved carboxylated cellulose (DCC); rod-like dialdehyde cellulose (DAC) nanofibers, also referred to as sterically stabilized nanocrystalline cellulose (SNCC); dissolved DAC as dialdehyde modified cellulose (DAMC). ENCC/DCC showed a high flocculation efficiency with PCC particles and induced PCC flocculation by a combination of electrostatic and bridging forces. ENCC/DCC induces the maximum PCC flocculation when PCC particles reach to isoelectric point. The flocculation of PCC induced by SNCC: SNCC particles can bridge PCC to induce flocculation at low dosage (above 1 mg/g). SNCC induced the maximum flocculation when its fractional coverage was more than half coverage because SNCC particles become unstable after deposition on PCC. Adsorption isotherms of three SNCCs and dialdehyde modified cellulose (DAMC) on PCC particles were measured. It was found that DAMC had a higher affinity than three SNCCs with different aldehyde contents, and the affinity of SNCC increased with reaction time. This indicates DAMC chains adsorb stronger than

nanocrystalline parts of SNCC on PCC. See, e.g., Dezhi Chen, Theo G.M. van de Ven, Flocculation kinetics of precipitated calcium carbonate induced by electrosterically stabilized nanocrystalline cellulose, *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, Volume 504, 2016, Pages 11-17, ISSN 0927-7757, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2016.05.023>; Chen, Dezhi. "Flocculation Kinetics Of Precipitated Calcium Carbonate Induced By Functionalized Nanocellulose." (2015). PhD Thesis.

[00225] Another useful admixture is cationic polysaccharides, with N-alkyl-N,N-dimethyl-N-(2-hydroxypropyl)ammonium chloride pendent groups attached to a dextran backbone. The flocculation performance of the hydrophobically modified cationic dextran highly depended on its hydrophobicity and charge density, and was less dependent on molar mass. See, e.g., L. Ghimici, M. Nichifor, "Novel biodegradable flocculant agents based on cationic amphiphilic polysaccharides", *Bioresour. Technol.*, 101(22), p8549-8554, 2000. Doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2010.06.049.

[00226] Another useful admixture is cationic derivatives of dialdehyde cellulose (CDAC). CDACs showed very good flocculation performance in neutral and acidic suspensions, while a low flocculation activity was observed in alkaline suspensions because CDACs were broken down into small fragments at alkaline pH. See, e.g., Liimatainen, H, Sirviö, J, Sundman, O, Visanko, M, Hormi, O & Niinimäki, J 2011, 'Flocculation performance of a cationic biopolymer derived from a cellulosic source in mild aqueous solution' *BIORESOURCE TECHNOLOGY*, vol 102, no. 20, pp. 9626-9632. DOI: 10.1016/j.biortech.2011.07.099.

[00227] Another useful admixture is graft copolymers of carboxymethylcellulose (CMC) and polyacrylamide. Copolymers with fewer and longer PAM chains exhibited better flocculation performance. See, e.g., D. R. Biswal, R. P. Singh, "Flocculation studies based on water-soluble polymers of grafted carboxymethyl cellulose and polyacrylamide", *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 102(2), p1000-1007, 2006. doi:10.1002/app.24016.

[00228] The flocculation kinetics of PCC has been studied in relation to cationic potato starch (C-starch), anionic potato carboxymethyl starch (A-starch), cationic polyacrylamide (C-PAM), Anionic polyacrylamide (A-PAM), Poly(ethylene oxide) (PEO), PEO cofactor, PVFA/NaAA, glyoxalated-PAM (PAM-glyoxal), cationic polyacrylamide (C-PAM), and polyamine (Pam) polyethylenimine (PEI). See, e.g., Gaudreault, R., Di Cesare, N., Weitz, D., & van de Ven, T. G. (2009). Flocculation kinetics of precipitated calcium carbonate. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, 340(1-3), 56-65. doi:

10.1016/j.colsurfa.2009.03.008. During polymer induced flocculation, the particle size increases from its initial value to a plateau value. PEO/cofactor, A-PAM and C-PAM retention aid systems, are very cost effective in inducing PCC aggregation, and create very large aggregates at high polymer dosage. C-PAM, glyoxalated-PAM and the polyamine coagulant (Pam) do not significantly induce filler aggregation. Both PEO/cofactor and C-PAM, gave higher flocculation rates and larger flocculant sizes making them useful, for process water clarification. Neither PEO nor cofactor alone, without salt, induce PCC aggregation. PCC aggregates induced by PVFA/NaAA and C-starch have floc sizes less sensitive to dosage in region I. PEO/cofactor, which is known to cluster, gave faster flocculation rate and larger flocs; because the polymer cluster enlarge the effective polymer size leading to larger flocs. The A-PAM is highly charged and gives strong flocs due to strong binding to PCC. PAM-glyoxal, C-PAM (dry strength), and polyamine cause little or no flocculation, because they act as dispersants, similar to PEI.

[00229] The effect of cationic polyacrylamide on precipitated calcium carbonate flocculation: Kinetics, charge density and ionic strength has also been studied. See, e.g., Peng, P. and Garnier, G., 2012. Effect of cationic polyacrylamide on precipitated calcium carbonate flocculation: Kinetics, charge density and ionic strength. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, 408, pp.32-39. doi:

10.1016/j.colsurfa.2012.05.002. Cationic polyacrylamide (CPAM). The adsorption kinetics of CPAM onto PCC can be explained by the balance of the electrostatic and van der Waals interactions, hydrogen bonding and steric hindrance between the adsorbed and dissolved CPAM molecules and CC. Increasing the ionic strength of the PCC suspension consistently screened the charge of CPAM molecules so that the initially dominant electrostatic attractions between CPAM and PCC in the absence of salt shifted to hydrogen bonding dominated attraction at high ionic strength ($I = 0.1$). At low ionic strengths ($I = 0.01$), both electrostatic attractions and hydrogen bonding were important in controlling the interaction between CPAM and PCC.

[00230] Admixture to retain solids in suspension. In certain embodiments, carbonated wash water is treated with one or more admixtures to create a mixture where the solids remain suspended with little or no agitation. These can include viscosity-modifying admixtures (VMAs). VMAs can be comprised of a wide range of different chemistries. Some VMAs are based on fine inorganic materials like colloidal silica, while others are comprised of more complex synthetic polymers such as styrene-maleic anhydride terpolymers and hydrophobically modified ethoxylated urethanes (HEUR). The more common VMAs are

based on cellulose-ethers and biopolymers (xanthan, welan, and diutan gums). Further VMAs include biopolymer polysaccharides such as S-657, welan gum, xanthan, rhamsan, gellan, dextran, pullulan, curdlan, and derivatives thereof; (b) marine gums such as algin, agar, carrageenan, and derivatives thereof; (c) plant exudates such as locust bean, gum arabic, gum Karaya, tragacanth, Ghatti, and derivatives thereof; (d) seed gums such as guar, locust bean, okra, psyllium, mesquite, or derivatives thereof; and (e) starch-based gums such as ethers, esters, and derivatives thereof (f) associative thickeners such as hydrophobically modified alkali swellable acrylic copolymer, hydrophobically modified urethane copolymer, associative thickeners based on polyurethanes, cellulose, polyacrylates, or polyethers. In another classification scheme (Khayat, K.H., 1998. **Viscosity-enhancing admixtures for cement-based materials — An overview**. Cement and Concrete Composites 20, 171–188. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0958-9465\(98\)80006-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0958-9465(98)80006-1)) VMAs are classified in various classes: Class A are water-soluble synthetic and natural organic polymers that increase the viscosity of the mixing water. Class A type materials include cellulose ethers, polyethylene oxides, polyacryl- amide, polyvinyl alcohol, etc. Class B are organic water-soluble flocculants that become adsorbed onto cement grains and increase viscosity due to enhanced inter-particle attraction between cement grains. Class B materials include styrene copolymers with carboxyl groups, synthetic polyelectrolytes, and natural gums. Class C are emulsions of various organic materials which enhance interparticle attraction and supply additional superfine particles in the cement paste. Among the materials belonging to Class C are acrylic emulsions and aqueous clay dispersions. Class D are water-swellable inorganic materials of high surface area which increase the water retaining capacity of the paste, such as bentonites, silica fume and milled asbestos. Class E are inorganic materials of high surface area that increase the content of the fine particles in paste and, thereby, the thixotropy. These materials include fly ash, hydrated lime, kaolin, various rock dusts, and diatomaceous earth, etc. In another classification scheme, Kawai classified water-soluble polymers as natural, semi-synthetic, and synthetic polymers. Natural polymers include starches, guar gum, locust bean gum, alginates, agar, gum arabic, welan gum, xanthan gum, rhamsan gum, and gellan gum, as well as plant protein. Semi-synthetic polymers include: decomposed starch and its derivatives; cellulose-ether derivatives, such as hydroxypropyl methyl cellulose (HPMC), hydroxyethyl cellulose (HEC), and carboxy methyl cellulose (CMC); as well as electrolytes, such as sodium alginate and propyleneglycol alginate. Finally, synthetic polymers include polymers based on ethylene, such as polyethylene oxide, polyacrylamide, polyacrylate, and those based on vinyl, such as polyvinyl alcohol. In some cases, a viscosity-modifying agent

can be used with a superplasticizer, such as a hydrocolloid such as welan gum or hydroxypropylmethyl cellulose and a superplasticizer such as sulfonated naphthalene, sulfonated melamine, modified lignosulfate, their derivatives and mixtures thereof. Thus, the wash water can include a stable hydrocolloid composition in which the hydrocolloid is uniformly dispersed in a superplasticizer such as sulfonated naphthalene, sulfonated melamine, modified lignosulfate, their derivatives and mixtures thereof. Suitable hydrocolloids include welan gum, methylcellulose, hydroxypropylmethyl cellulose (HPMC), hydroxyethyl cellulose (HEC), polyvinyl alcohol (PVA), starch, and the like. The mixture is then stabilized by a rheological control agent consisting of reticulated cellulose fibers. The composition is rapidly hydratable and useful as a stabilizing additive in many cement and drilling fluid applications. Further useful admixtures are described in Naik, H.K., Mishra, M.K., Rao Karanam, U.M., 2009, The Effect of Drag-Reducing Additives on the Rheological Properties of Fly Ash-Water Suspensions at Varying Temperature Environment. Coal Combustion and Gasification Products 1, 25-31, doi: 10.4177/CCGP-D-09-00005.1 https://www.researchgate.net/publication/209640967_The_Effect_of_Drag-Reducing_Additives_on_the_Rheological_Properties_of_Fly_Ash-Water_Suspensions_at_Varying_Temperature_Environment In this case, the cationic surfactant cetyl trimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) was selected for its eco-friendly nature. It is less susceptible to mechanical degradation) and also known potential to positively influence turbulent flow with very small amount. It is also least affected by the presence of calcium and sodium ions in tap water. The chemical formula of CTAB is $C_{19}H_{42}BrN$. The surfactant can be procured from, e.g., LOBA Chemie Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai, India. The molecular weight of the surfactant is 364.46.

For the surfactant drag-reducing additives, the rod-like micelle structures are thought to be the key to give complicated rheological fluid properties including viscoelasticity. The counter-ion acts as a reagent to reduce ion radius of the surfactant to deform micellar shape from globular to rod-like micelles. These rod-like micelles entangle together to make a certain network structure. Counter-ions will play a role as catalysts for the breakdown and reformation of the entanglement points. The counter-ion selected for this investigation can be, e.g. sodium salicylate (NaSal) (HOC_6H_4COONa) having molecular weight 160.10 obtained from, e.g., LOBA Chemie Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai, India.

[00231] Set retarders In certain embodiments, a set retarder is added to the wash water before it is carbonated, e.g., while the wash water is still in the truck, or in any suitable manner to introduce the set retarder before carbonation of the wash water. **Set retarders** A

set retarder is generally a substance that can delay the time before cement hydrates, for example, in a concrete mix. Set retarders are well-known in the concrete industry, and any suitable set retarder may be used. Set retarders include carbohydrates, i.e., saccharides, such as sugars, e.g., fructose, glucose, and sucrose, and sugar acids/bases and their salts, such as sodium gluconate and sodium glucoheptonate; phosphonates, such as nitrilotri(methylphosphonic acid), 2-phosphonobutane-1,2,4-tricarboxylic acid; and chelating agents, such as EDTA, Citric Acid, and nitrilotriacetic acid. Other saccharides and saccharide-containing admixes include molasses and corn syrup. An exemplary set retarder is sodium gluconate. Other exemplary admixtures that can be of use as set retarders include sodium sulfate, citric acid, BASF Pozzolith XR, fumed silica, colloidal silica, hydroxyethyl cellulose, hydroxypropyl cellulose, fly ash (as defined in ASTM C618), mineral oils (such as light naphthenic), hectorite clay, polyoxyalkylenes, natural gums, or mixtures thereof, polycarboxylate superplasticizers, naphthalene HRWR (high range water reducer). Additional set retarders that can be used include, but are not limited to an oxy-boron compound, lignin, a polyphosphonic acid, a carboxylic acid, a hydroxycarboxylic acid, polycarboxylic acid, hydroxylated carboxylic acid, such as fumaric, itaconic, malonic, borax, gluconic, and tartaric acid, lignosulfonates, ascorbic acid, isoascorbic acid, sulphonic acid-acrylic acid copolymer, and their corresponding salts, polyhydroxysilane, polyacrylamide. Illustrative examples of retarders are set forth in U.S. Pat. Nos. 5,427,617 and 5,203,919, incorporated herein by reference.

[00232] The set retarder is added to the concrete or concrete wash water in any suitable amount; generally, dosing is well-established for a particular set retarder and desired effect. It will be appreciated that dosing may have to be approximate for some uses, e.g., when used with concrete coated on the inside of a ready-mix drum, and often operators will add excess set retarder to ensure that setting and hardening do not occur. This excess may be taken into account when carbonating the concrete or concrete wash water, and additional carbonation of the new concrete added to the old may be used in order to offset the excess set retarder, as necessary.

[00233] Thus, in certain embodiments, the invention provides methods and compositions for treating concrete wash water, that has been treated with set retarders, with carbon dioxide. This may be used when a truck is returned to the batch site and washed but the wash water is not removed from the truck; typically such a truck will sit overnight at the batching facility, then a new load of concrete will be introduced into the truck the next day. The wash water with set retarder contains components of the load that was in the truck,

including cement. The wash water with set retarder may be treated with carbon dioxide after the addition of set retarder and before and/or during the addition of a new load of concrete to the truck. For example, the concrete wash water may have been exposed to set retarder, and then have sat, e.g., in the truck drum, for at least 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 24, 28, 32 hours, and/or for not more than 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 24, 28, 32, or 36 hours, then carbon dioxide is added to the wash water. This may occur before a new load is added to the truck, e.g., at least 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 15, 20, 30, 40, 50, or 60 minutes before the new load, or at least 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 4, 5, 6, or 8 hours before the new load, and/or not more than 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 15, 20, 30, 40, 50, or 60 minutes before the new load, or not more than 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, or 10 hours before the new load. Additionally or alternatively, carbon dioxide may be added as the new load is added, or carbon dioxide addition may occur both before and during addition of the new load. Carbon dioxide may be added in an amount sufficient to reverse some or all of the effect of the set retarder on the cement in the wash water with set retarder; the carbon dioxide dose may be any suitable dose, calculated as by weight cement in the wash water; it will be appreciated that such a calculation often must be based on estimates of the amount of concrete sticking to the drum of the truck, and typically in addition the mix design of the load or loads that were in the truck prior to washing is also used to estimate cement content. Alternatively, a fixed amount of carbon dioxide may be used, such as an amount known to provide an excess of carbon dioxide so that all cement will react. The carbon dioxide dose may also be adjusted according to the amount of set retarder in the wash water, which may be, e.g., recorded by the operator, or may be as specified by protocol, or may be estimated. It will be appreciated that if excess set retarder is used in the wash water, then additional carbon dioxide may be necessary in order to prevent effects on the next load added to the wash water. In such cases, it may be useful to add carbon dioxide as the next load is added, or immediately before, so that carbon dioxide will not exit the treated wash water into the atmosphere. Exemplary doses are described elsewhere herein, for example, a dose of 0.001-5.0% bwc. Additionally or alternatively, carbon dioxide may be added to the new batch of concrete; typically, such a dose will be below 2%, such as less than 1.5%, or less than 1%, or in some cases less than 0.5% by weight cement (bwc).

[00234] In certain embodiments, concrete wash water is moved to a holding tank; this water can be treated with one or more set retarders at some point, either in the truck, or in the tank, or a combination thereof, then carbon dioxide can be introduced at a later point, e.g., when it is desired to re-use the wash water in a new batch of concrete. For example, wash

water treated with set retarder can be exposed to carbon dioxide before its use as mix water and/or during its use as mix water. In this way, without being bound by theory, it is thought that the cement is kept in a “dormant” state by use of the set retarder, then that state is reversed by carbonation reactions from addition of the carbon dioxide.

[00235] In certain embodiments the invention provides methods and compositions for treating concrete, that has been treated with one or more set retarders, with carbon dioxide. This can occur, e.g., when a truck returns to a batching facility after only part of its load is used at a job site. In this case the concrete may be treated with set retarder at the job site or later; thus, the concrete may be batched then set retarder may be added a certain amount of time after batching, for example, at least 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 0.7, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5, 4, 5, 6, or 8 hours after batching, and/or not more than 0.2, 0.5, 0.7, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5, 4, 5, 6, 8, or 10 hours after batching. The truck generally returns to the batching facility, and it may be desired to load additional concrete into the truck in addition to the returned concrete. Carbon dioxide can be added to the returned concrete, that has been treated with one or more set retarders, in any suitable dose, as described elsewhere herein; for example, at a dose of 0.001-5.0% bwc; the carbon dioxide may be added at any suitable time after set retarders are added, though this may be dependent on a number of factors, such as return time to the batching facility, storage time at the batching facility, and the like; thus in certain embodiments, carbon dioxide may be added at least 0.1, 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 20, 25, 30, 35, or 40 hours after set retarder is added to the concrete, and/or not more than 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 20, 25, 30, 35, or 40 hours after set retarder is added to the concrete. The concrete may then be used with additional concrete in a new batch of concrete; such use may occur simultaneously or nearly simultaneously with carbon dioxide addition, or may occur at any suitable time after carbon dioxide addition, such as at least 1, 2, 5, 7, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 40, or 50 min after carbon dioxide addition, or at least 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5, 4, 5, or 6 hours after carbon dioxide addition, and/or not more than 2, 5, 7, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 40, or 50 min after carbon dioxide addition, or not more than 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5, 4, 5, 6 or y hours after carbon dioxide addition. The new concrete may additionally be treated with carbon dioxide, so that in some embodiments both the old concrete and the new concrete are treated with carbon dioxide; as discussed, this may happen simultaneously or the old concrete may be treated with carbon dioxide, then new concrete is treated, for example, as it is mixed with the old concrete. The dose of carbon dioxide for the new concrete may be any suitable dose as described herein.

[00236] In some cases, set retarder is added to a concrete batch at the batching facility, or in the truck on the way to the job site, because factors such as expected traffic on the way to the job site, temperature, and the like, necessitate that the batch not begin to set too soon. In this case, it can be desirable to reverse the effect of the set retarder before pouring at the job site, i.e., in this case and other cases described herein, the set retarder acts as an “off switch,” and the carbon dioxide acts as an “on switch” for the cement in the concrete. Carbon dioxide will be added to the concrete at some other location than the batching facility in these embodiments, for example, in the truck on the way to, or at, the job site. A truck may be equipped with a portable carbon dioxide delivery system, such as a source of carbon dioxide and a conduit for transporting carbon dioxide to the drum of the truck. Additionally or alternatively, a carbon dioxide delivery system may be sited at or near the job site, and trucks may arrive at the carbon dioxide delivery site, then the concrete contained therein may be treated with carbon dioxide at an appropriate time before its use at the job site; in this way, trucks may have a larger time window for transporting the concrete and its use, and factors such as traffic, delays at the job site, and the like, become less of an issue; the concrete is “dormant” due to the set retarder, then activated by use of the carbon dioxide. The dose of carbon dioxide may be suitable any dose as described herein, such as a dose of 0.001-5.0% bwc; also as described elsewhere, the dose may be dependent on the type of cement in the concrete, the type and amount of set retarder, the expected time of use of the concrete after the addition of carbon dioxide, temperature, and the like. The carbon dioxide may be added at any suitable time before the expected time of use of the concrete, for example, at least 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 10, 15, 20, 30, 40, or 50 minutes before the expected time of use, or at least 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, or 3 hours before the expected time of use, and/or no more than 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 10, 15, 20, 30, 40, or 50 minutes before the expected time of use, or no more than 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, or 3.5 hours before the expected time of use. Thus in certain embodiments the invention provides a method of treating concrete comprising treating concrete with a set retarder, then treating the concrete with carbon dioxide. The set retarder is generally added at a batching facility, though it may be added in the drum of the truck after it has left the batching facility, for example, if traffic delays and/or delays at the job site become known. The carbon dioxide is added en route to the job site and/or at the job site; typically it is added into the drum of the ready-mix truck, though it may also be added during the transport of the concrete from the drum to, e.g., the forms at the job site.

[00237] In certain embodiments, set retarder and carbon dioxide are added to a concrete mix in order to provide a desired combination of improved workability and

acceptable set time. One or more set retarders may be added to a concrete mix in order to improve workability; however, this often comes at the cost of a delayed set time. In order to shorten set time but retain workability, a set accelerant admixture may be used. However, although set retarders are generally relatively inexpensive, set accelerants are often expensive and also often contain undesirable chemical species, such as chloride. Thus, it is desirable to use a substance that can accelerate set to within a desired time frame that is not highly expensive; carbon dioxide is one such substance. In these cases, carbon dioxide and set retarder may be added in any suitable sequence, such as sequentially with set retarder first, then carbon dioxide; or as carbon dioxide first, then set retarder; or simultaneously or nearly simultaneously, e.g., the timing of addition of set retarder and carbon dioxide is such that they are both being added to a concrete mix during at least a portion of their respective addition times. Thus, in certain embodiments, carbon dioxide is added to a concrete mix, then a set retarder is added after carbon dioxide addition (i.e., after carbon dioxide addition begins; depending on the length of time for carbon dioxide addition, set retarder addition may start before carbon dioxide addition ends, though this would not typically be the case); the set retarder may be added, for example, at least 0.1, 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 10, 15, 20, 30, 40, or 50 minutes after carbon dioxide addition, or at least 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5, or 4 hours after carbon dioxide addition; and/or not more than 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 10, 15, 20, 30, 40, or 50 minutes after carbon dioxide addition, or not more than 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5, 4, 5, or 6 hours after carbon dioxide addition. In other certain embodiments, set retarder is added to a concrete mix, then carbon dioxide is added after set retarder addition (i.e., after set retarder addition begins; depending on the length of time for set retarder addition, carbon dioxide addition may start before set retarder addition ends, though this would not typically be the case); the carbon dioxide may be added, for example, at least 0.1, 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 10, 15, 20, 30, 40, or 50 minutes after set retarder addition, or at least 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5, or 4 hours after set retarder addition; and/or not more than 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 10, 15, 20, 30, 40, or 50 minutes after set retarder addition, or not more than 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5, 4, or 4.5 hours after set retarder addition. It is also possible to add set retarder, carbon dioxide, or both, in divided doses, where the timing of each dose of one may be relative to the dose of the other in any suitable manner. For example, a certain amount of set retarder may be added, then carbon dioxide, then a final dose of set retarder; this is merely exemplary, and any suitable number of doses for set retarder and/or carbon dioxide, as well as any suitable timing of addition, may be used.

[00238] It will be appreciated that set accelerants are available as admixtures; such set accelerants may be used in addition to carbon dioxide. However, these admixtures tend to be

expensive, and also often contain undesirable chemical species such as chloride, and it is desirable to use carbon dioxide as a less expensive alternative as much as possible. **Dose of carbon dioxide** The concrete or concrete wash water, with set retarder, may be exposed to any suitable dose of carbon dioxide. For example, the dose may be not more than 5%, 4, 3%, 2.5%, 2%, 1.5%, 1.2%, 1%, 0.8%, 0.7%, 0.6%, 0.5%, 0.4%, 0.3%, 0.2%, 0.1%, 0.05%, 0.01%, or 0.05% bwc and/or at least .001, .005, 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9, 1.0, 1.2, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5, 3.0, 4.0, or 4.5% bwc, such as a dose of 0.001-5%, or 0.001-4%, or 0.001-3%, or 0.001-2%, or 0.001-1.5%, 0.001-1.2%, 0.001-1%, 0.001-0.8%, 0.001-0.6%, 0.001-0.5%, 0.001-0.4%, 0.001-0.3%, 0.001-0.2%, or 0.001-0.1% bwc, or a dose of 0.01-5%, or 0.01-4%, or 0.01-3%, or 0.01-2%, 0.01-1.5%, 0.01-1.2%, 0.01-1%, 0.01-0.8%, 0.01-0.6%, 0.01-0.5%, 0.01-0.4%, 0.01-0.3%, 0.01-0.2%, or 0.01-0.1% bwc, or a dose of 0.02-1.5%, 0.02-1.2%, 0.02-1%, 0.02-0.8%, 0.02-0.6%, 0.02-0.5%, 0.02-0.4%, 0.02-0.3%, 0.02-0.2%, or 0.02-0.1% bwc, or a dose of 0.04-1.5%, 0.04-1.2%, 0.04-1%, 0.04-0.8%, 0.04-0.6%, 0.04-0.5%, 0.04-0.4%, 0.04-0.3%, 0.04-0.2%, or 0.04-0.1% bwc, or a dose of 0.06-1.5%, 0.06-1.2%, 0.06-1%, 0.06-0.8%, 0.06-0.6%, 0.06-0.5%, 0.06-0.4%, 0.06-0.3%, 0.06-0.2%, or 0.06-0.1% bwc, or a dose of 0.1-1.5%, 0.1-1.2%, 0.1-1%, 0.1-0.8%, 0.1-0.6%, 0.1-0.5%, 0.1-0.4%, 0.1-0.3%, or 0.1-0.2% bwc. The dose of carbon dioxide may be dependent on various factors, such as the type of cement in the concrete or concrete wash water, type and amount of set retarder used, timing of the addition of carbon dioxide after set retarder, temperature, expected time between addition of carbon dioxide and use of the concrete, and the like.

[00239] Form of carbon dioxide The carbon dioxide may be added to the concrete or concrete wash water, with set retarder, in any suitable form, such as a gas, liquid, solid, or supercritical form; in certain embodiments, carbon dioxide comprising solid carbon dioxide can be used. This may be in the form of a mixture of solid and gaseous carbon dioxide, which can be formed from liquid carbon dioxide as it exits a conduit under pressure and is exposed to lower pressure, such as atmospheric pressure. See, e.g., U.S. Patent No. 9,738,562. Additionally or alternatively, solid carbon dioxide alone may be added, such as pellets or shavings, or other suitable form, which may be determined at least in part by the desired speed of sublimation of the carbon dioxide and its subsequent entry into solution. See, e.g., U.S. Patent No. 9,738,562. In certain embodiments, only gaseous carbon dioxide is used.

Further Admixtures

[00240] This section summarizes some further useful admixtures for use in the methods and compositions herein. For additional listings see Report on Chemical

Admixtures for Concrete, Reported by ACI Committee 212, American Concrete Institute, ACI 212.3R-16, ISBN 978-1-942727-80-4, incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.

[00241] Admixtures useful in the methods and compositions herein include:

[00242] Accelerators: cause increase in the rate of hydration and thus accelerate setting and/or early strength development. In general, accelerating admixtures for concrete use should meet the requirements of ASTM C494/C494M for Type C (accelerating admixtures) or Type E (water-reducing and accelerating admixtures). Examples include inorganic salts, such as chlorides, bromides, fluorides, carbonates, thiocyanates, nitrites, nitrates, thiosulfates, silicates, aluminates, and alkali hydroxides. Of particular interest are calcium-containing compounds, such as CaO , $\text{Ca}(\text{NO}_2)_2$, $\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2$, calcium stearate, or CaCl_2 , and magnesium-containing compounds, such as magnesium hydroxide, magnesium oxide, magnesium chloride, or magnesium nitrate. Without being bound by theory, it is thought that, in the case of carbonated cement, the added calcium or magnesium compound may provide free calcium or magnesium to react with the carbon dioxide, providing a sink for the carbon dioxide that spares the calcium in the cement mix, or providing a different site of carbonation than that of the cement calcium, or both, thus preserving early strength development. In addition, the anion, e.g., nitrate from a calcium-containing admixture may influence C-S-H particle structure. Other set accelerators include, but are not limited to, a nitrate salt of an alkali metal, alkaline earth metal, or aluminum; a nitrite salt of an alkali metal, alkaline earth metal, or aluminum; a thiocyanate of an alkali metal, alkaline earth metal or aluminum; an alkanolamine; a thiosulfate of an alkali metal, alkaline earth metal, or aluminum; a hydroxide of an alkali metal, alkaline earth metal, or aluminum; a carboxylic acid salt of an alkali metal, alkaline earth metal, or aluminum (preferably calcium formate); a polyhydroxylalkylamine; a halide salt of an alkali metal or alkaline earth metal (e.g., chloride). Stable C-S-H seeds may also be used as accelerators.

[00243] In certain embodiments an accelerator can include one or more soluble organic compounds such as one or more alkanolamines, such as triethylamine (TEA), and/or higher trialkanolamines or calcium formate. The term "higher trialkanolamine" as used herein includes tertiary amine compounds which are tri(hydroxyalkyl) amines having at least one C_3 - C_5 hydroxyalkyl (preferably a C_3 - C_4 hydroxyalkyl) group therein. The remaining, if any, hydroxyalkyl groups of the subject tertiary amine can be selected from C_1 - C_2 hydroxyalkyl groups (preferably C_2 hydroxyalkyl). Examples of such compounds include hydroxyethyl di(hydroxypropyl)amine, di(hydroxyethyl) hydroxypropylamine, tri(hydroxypropyl)amine,

hydroxyethyl di(hydroxy-n-butyl)amine, tri(2-hydroxybutyl)amine, hydroxybutyl di(hydroxypropyl)amine, and the like. Accelerators can also include calcium salts of carboxylic acids, including acetate, propionate, or butyrate. Other organic compounds that can act as accelerators include urea, oxalic acid, lactic acid, various cyclic compounds, and condensation compounds of amines and formaldehyde.

[00244] Quick-setting admixtures may be used in some embodiments, e.g., to produce quick-setting mortar or concrete suitable for shotcreting or for 3D printing. These include, e.g., ferric salts, sodium fluoride, aluminum chloride, sodium aluminate, and potassium carbonate.

[00245] Miscellaneous additional accelerating materials include silicates, finely divided silica gels, soluble quaternary ammonium silicates, silica fume, finely divided magnesium or calcium carbonate. Very fine materials of various composition can exhibit accelerating properties. In certain embodiments, admixture can include nucleation seeds based on calcium-silicate hydrate (C-S-H) phases; see e.g. Thomas, J.J., et al. 2009 J. Phys Chem 113:4327-4334 and Ditter et al. 2013 BFT International, Jan, pp. 44-51, which are incorporated by reference herein in their entireties.

[00246] In certain embodiments, a set accelerator including one, two, or three of triisopropanolamine (TIPA), N,N-bis(2-hydroxyethyl)-N-(2-hydroxypropyl)amine (BHEHPA) and tri(2-hydroxybutyl) amine (T2BA) is used, for example, a set accelerator comprising TIPA. Any suitable dose may be used, such as 0.0001-0.5% bwc, such as 0.001-0.1%, or 0.005-0.03% bwc. See U.S. Patent No. 5,084,103.

[00247] In certain embodiments, carbonation of a cement mix is combined with use of an admixture comprising an alkanolamine set accelerator, e.g., TIPA, where the alkanolamine set accelerator, e.g., TIPA, is incorporated in an amount of 0.0001-0.5% bwc, such as 0.001-0.1%, or 0.005-0.03% bwc. In some of these embodiments, the alkanolamine, e.g., TIPA,-containing admixture is added before and/or during carbonation, e.g., as part of the initial mix water. In some of these embodiments, the alkanolamine, e.g., TIPA,-containing admixture is added after and/or during carbonation. In some embodiments, the alkanolamine, e.g., TIPA,-containing admixture is added as two or more doses, which may be added at different times relative to carbonation (e.g., two doses, one before and one after carbonation, etc.). Additionally or alternatively, carbonation may proceed in two or more doses with, e.g., one or more doses of an alkanolamine, e.g., TIPA,-containing admixture added before, after, or during one or more of the carbon dioxide doses. Other components may be present in the alkanolamine, e.g., TIPA,-containing admixture, including one or more of set/strength

controller, set balancer, hydration seed, dispersant, air controller, rheology modifier, colorant, or a combination thereof. Suitable commercially available products include BASF Master X-Seed 55 (BASF Corporation, Admixture Systems, Cleveland, OH). The total dose of carbon dioxide delivered to the cement mix in these embodiments may be any suitable dose, such as those described herein, for example, 0.001-2% bwc, such as 0.001-1.0% bwc, or 0.001-0.5% bwc

[00248] Air detrainers: also called defoamers or deaerators, decrease air content. Examples include nonionic surfactants such as phosphates, including tributylphosphate, dibutyl phosphate, phthalates, including diisodecylphthalate and dibutyl phthalate, block copolymers, including polyoxypropylene-polyoxyethylene-block copolymers, and the like, or mixture thereof. Air detrainers also include octyl alcohol, water-insoluble esters of carbonic and boric acid, and silicones. Further examples of air detrainers include mineral oils, vegetable oils, fatty acids, fatty acid esters, hydroxyl functional compounds, amides, phosphoric esters, metal soaps, polymers containing propylene oxide moieties, hydrocarbons, alkoxyated hydrocarbons, alkoxyated polyalkylene oxides, acetylenic diols, polydimethylsiloxane, dodecyl alcohol, octyl alcohol, polypropylene glycols, water-soluble esters of carbonic and boric acids, and lower sulfonate oils.

[00249] Air-entraining admixtures: The term air entrainer includes any substance that will entrain air in cementitious compositions. Some air entrainers can also reduce the surface tension of a composition at low concentration. Air-entraining admixtures are used to purposely entrain microscopic air bubbles into concrete. Air-entrainment dramatically improves the durability of concrete exposed to moisture during cycles of freezing and thawing. In addition, entrained air greatly improves concrete's resistance to surface scaling caused by chemical deicers. Air entrainment also increases the workability of fresh concrete while eliminating or reducing segregation and bleeding. Materials used to achieve these desired effects can be selected from wood resin and their salts, natural resin and their salts, synthetic resin and their salts, sulfonated lignin and their salts, petroleum acids and their salts, proteinaceous material and their salts, fatty acids and their salts, resinous acids and their salts, alkylbenzene sulfonates, sulfonated hydrocarbons, vinsol resin, anionic surfactants, cationic surfactants, nonionic surfactants, natural rosin, synthetic rosin, an inorganic air entrainer, synthetic detergents, and their corresponding salts, and mixtures thereof. Solid materials can also be used, such as hollow plastic

spheres, crushed brick, expanded clay or shale, or spheres of suitable diatomaceous earth. Air entrainers are added in an amount to yield a desired level of air in a cementitious composition. Examples of air entrainers that can be utilized in the admixture system include, but are not limited to MB AE 90, MB VR and MICRO AIR.RTM., all available from BASF Admixtures Inc. of Cleveland, Ohio.

[00250] Alkali-aggregate reactivity inhibitors: Reduce alkali-aggregate reactivity expansion. Examples include barium salts, lithium nitrate, lithium carbonate, and lithium hydroxide.

[00251] Antiwashout admixtures: Cohesive concrete for underwater placements. Examples include cellulose and acrylic polymer.

[00252] Bonding admixtures: Increase bond strength. Examples include polyvinyl chloride, polyvinyl acetate, acrylics, and butadiene-styrene copolymers.

[00253] Coloring admixtures: Colored concrete. Examples include modified carbon black, iron oxide, phthalocyanine, umber, chromium oxide, titanium oxide, cobalt blue, and organic coloring agents.

[00254] Corrosion inhibitors: reduce steel corrosion activity in a chloride-laden environment. Examples include calcium nitrite, sodium nitrite, sodium benzoate, certain phosphates or fluosilicates, fluoaluminates, and ester amines.

[00255] Dampproofing admixtures: retard moisture penetration into dry concrete. Examples include soaps of calcium or ammonium stearate or oleate, butyl stearate, and petroleum products.

[00256] Foaming agents: produce lightweight, foamed concrete with low density. Examples include cationic and anionic surfactants, and hydrolyzed protein.

[00257] Fungicides, germicides, and insecticides: Inhibit or control bacterial and fungal growth. Examples include polyhalogenated phenols, dieldrin emulsions, and copper compounds.

[00258] Gas formers: Gas formers, or gas-forming agents, are sometimes added to concrete and grout in very small quantities to cause a slight expansion prior to hardening. The amount of expansion is dependent upon the amount of gas-forming material used and the temperature of the fresh mixture. Aluminum powder, resin soap and vegetable or animal glue, saponin or hydrolyzed protein can be used as gas formers.

[00259] Hydration control admixtures: Suspend and reactivate cement hydration with stabilizer and activator. Examples include carboxylic acids and phosphorus-containing organic acid salts.

[00260] Permeability reducers: Decrease permeability. Examples include latex and calcium stearate.

[00261] Pumping aids: Improve pumpability. Examples include organic and synthetic polymers, organic flocculents, organic emulsions of paraffin, coal tar, asphalt, acrylics, bentonite and pyrogenic silicas, and hydrated lime.

[00262] Retarders: Retard setting time, and can include water-reducing set-retarding admixtures, which reduce the water requirements of a concrete mixture for a given slump and increase time of setting (see water reducers), or those that increase set time of concrete without affecting the water requirements. In general, set retarders can be classified in four categories, any of which may be used in embodiments herein: 1) lignosulfonic acids and their salts and modifications and derivatives of these; 2) hydroxylated carboxylic acids and their salts and modifications and derivatives of these; 3) carbohydrate-based compounds such as sugars, sugar acids, and polysaccharides, and 4) inorganic salts such as borates and phosphates. Thus, set retarders include carbohydrates, i.e., saccharides, such as sugars, e.g., fructose, glucose, and sucrose, and sugar acids/bases and their salts, such as sodium gluconate and sodium glucoheptonate; phosphonates, such as nitrilotri(methylphosphonic acid), 2-phosphonobutane-1,2,4-tricarboxylic acid; and chelating agents, such as EDTA, Citric Acid, and nitrilotriacetic acid. Other saccharides and saccharide-containing admixes include molasses and corn syrup. In certain embodiments, the admixture is sodium gluconate. Other exemplary admixtures that can be of use as set retarders include sodium sulfate, citric acid, BASF Pozzolith XR, fumed silica, colloidal silica, hydroxyethyl cellulose, hydroxypropyl cellulose, fly ash (as defined in ASTM C618), mineral oils (such as light naphthenic), hectorite clay, polyoxyalkylenes, natural gums, or mixtures thereof, polycarboxylate superplasticizers, naphthalene HRWR (high range water reducer). Additional set retarders that can be used include, but are not limited to an oxy-boron compound, lignin, a polyphosphonic acid, a carboxylic acid, a hydroxycarboxylic acid, polycarboxylic acid, hydroxylated carboxylic acid, such as fumaric, itaconic, malonic, borax, gluconic, and tartaric acid, lignosulfonates, ascorbic acid, isoascorbic acid, sulphononic acid-acrylic acid copolymer, and their corresponding salts, polyhydroxysilane, polyacrylamide. Further retarders include nitrilotri(methylphosphonic acid), and 2-phosphonobutane-1,2,4-

tricarboxylic acid. Illustrative examples of retarders are set forth in U.S. Pat. Nos. 5,427,617 and 5,203,919, incorporated herein by reference

[00263] Shrinkage reducers: Reduce drying shrinkage. Examples include polyoxyalkylenes alkyl ether and propylene glycol.

[00264] Water reducers: Water-reducing admixtures (also called dispersants, especially HRWR) are used to reduce the quantity of mixing water required to produce concrete of a certain slump, reduce water-cement ratio, reduce cement content, or increase slump. Typical water reducers reduce the water content by approximately 5-10%; high range water reducers (HRWR) reduce water content even further. Adding a water-reducing admixture to concrete without reducing the water content can produce a mixture with a higher slump; for example, in certain cases in which high doses of carbon dioxide are used to carbonate a cement mix, slump may be reduced, and use of a water reducer may restore adequate slump/workability.

[00265] Water reducers for use in the compositions and methods herein may meet one of the seven types of water reducers of ASTM C494/C494M, which defines seven types: 1) Type A—water reducing admixtures; 2) Type B—retarding admixtures (described above); 3) Type C—accelerating admixtures (also described above); 4) Type D—water-reducing and retarding admixtures; 5) Type E—water reducing and accelerating admixtures; 6) Type F—water-reducing, high range admixtures; or 7) Type G—water-reducing, high-range, and retarding admixtures. Materials generally available for use as water-reducing admixtures typically fall into one of seven general categories, and formulations useful herein may include, but are not limited to, compounds from more than one category: 1) lignosulfonic acids and their salts and modifications and derivatives of these; 2) hydroxylated carboxylic acids and their salts and modifications and derivatives of these; 3) carbohydrate-based compounds such as sugars, sugar acids, and polysaccharides; 4) salts of Sulfonated melamine polycondensation products; 5) salts of sulfonated naphthalene polycondensation products; 6) polycarboxylates; 7) other materials that can be used to modify formulations, including nonionic surface-active agents; amines and their derivatives; organic phosphonates, including zinc salts, borates, phosphates; and certain polymeric compounds, including cellulose-ethers, silicones, and Sulfonated hydrocarbon acrylate derivatives.

[00266] An increase in strength is generally obtained with water-reducing admixtures as the water-cement ratio is reduced. For concretes of equal cement content, air content, and slump, the 28-day strength of a water-reduced concrete containing a water reducer can be 10% to 25% greater than concrete without the admixture. Type A water reducers can have

little effect on setting, while Type D admixtures provide water reduction with retardation (generally a retarder is added), and Type E admixtures provide water reduction with accelerated setting (generally an accelerator is added). Type D water-reducing admixtures usually retard the setting time of concrete by one to three hours. Some water-reducing admixtures may also entrain some air in concrete.

[00267] High range water reducer (HRWR, also called superplasticizer or plasticizer), Type F (water reducing) and G (water reducing and retarding), reduce water content by at least 12%.

[00268] Examples of water reducers include lignosulfonates, casein, hydroxylated carboxylic acids, and carbohydrates. Further examples, including HRWR (superplasticizers or plasticizers) include polycarboxylic ethers, polycarboxylates, polynaphthalene sulphonates (sulfonated naphthalene formaldehyde condensates (for example LOMAR D™, dispersant (Cognis Inc., Cincinnati, Ohio)), polymelamine sulphonates (sulfonated melamine formaldehyde condensates), polyoxyethylene phosphonates (phosphonates-terminated PEG brushes), vinyl copolymers. Further examples include beta naphthalene sulfonates, , polyaspartates, or oligomeric dispersants.

[00269] Polycarboxylate dispersants (water reducers which are also called polycarboxylate ethers, polycarboxylate esters) can be used, by which is meant a dispersant having a carbon backbone with pendant side chains, wherein at least a portion of the side chains are attached to the backbone through a carboxyl group or an ether group. Examples of polycarboxylate dispersants can be found in U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0019459 A1, U.S. Pat. No. 6,267,814, U.S. Pat. No. 6,290,770, U.S. Pat. No. 6,310,143, U.S. Pat. No. 6,187,841, U.S. Pat. No. 5,158,996, U.S. Pat. No. 6,008,275, U.S. Pat. No. 6,136,950, U.S. Pat. No. 6,284,867, U.S. Pat. No. 5,609,681, U.S. Pat. No. 5,494,516; U.S. Pat. No. 5,674,929, U.S. Pat. No. 5,660,626, U.S. Pat. No. 5,668,195, U.S. Pat. No. 5,661,206, U.S. Pat. No. 5,358,566, U.S. Pat. No. 5,162,402, U.S. Pat. No. 5,798,425, U.S. Pat. No. 5,612,396, U.S. Pat. No. 6,063,184, U.S. Pat. No. 5,912,284, U.S. Pat. No. 5,840,114, U.S. Pat. No. 5,753,744, U.S. Pat. No. 5,728,207, U.S. Pat. No. 5,725,657, U.S. Pat. No. 5,703,174, U.S. Pat. No. 5,665,158, U.S. Pat. No. 5,643,978, U.S. Pat. No. 5,633,298, U.S. Pat. No. 5,583,183, and U.S. Pat. No. 5,393,343. The polycarboxylate dispersants of interest include but are not limited to dispersants or water reducers sold under the trademarks GLENIUM.RTM. 3030NS, GLENIUM.RTM. 3200 HES, GLENIUM 3000NS.RTM. (BASF Admixtures Inc., Cleveland, Ohio), ADVA.RTM. (W. R. Grace Inc., Cambridge, Mass.),

VISCOCRETE.RTM. (Sika, Zurich, Switzerland), and SUPERFLUX.RTM. (Axim Concrete Technologies Inc., Middlebranch, Ohio).

[00270] Viscosity and rheology modifying admixtures. Viscosity-modifying admixtures (VMAs) are typically water-soluble polymers used in concrete to modify its rheological properties. VMAs influence the rheology of concrete by increasing its plastic viscosity; the effect of yield stress widely varies with the type of VMA, from no increase to a significant one. Plastic viscosity is defined as the property of a material that resists change in the shape or arrangement of its elements during flow, and the measure thereof, and yield stress is defined as the critical shear stress value below which a viscoplastic material will not flow and, once exceeded, flows like a viscous liquid. Rheology modifying agents can be used to modulate, e.g., increase, the viscosity of cementitious compositions. Suitable examples of rheology modifier include fumed silica, colloidal silica, cellulose ethers (e.g., hydroxyethyl cellulose, hydroxypropyl methylcellulose), fly ash (as defined in ASTM C618), mineral oils (such as light naphthenic), hectorite clay, polyoxyalkylenes, polysaccharides, polyethylene oxides, polyacrylamides or polyvinyl alcohol, natural and synthetic gums, alginates (from seaweed), or mixtures thereof. Other materials include finely divided solids such as starches, clays, lime, and polymer emulsions. Rheology-modifying admixtures (RMA) are admixtures that affect the flow characteristics of concrete by lowering the yield stress or force required to initiate flow without necessarily changing the plastic viscosity. The addition of an RMA to concrete might not alter its slump but will improve workability and flow characteristics. RMAs have been used in low-slump concrete applications, for example, when concrete is placed using slipform paving machines to place concrete pavements, curbs, and barriers, and potentially in 3D printing. They can also be used in self-consolidating concrete (SCC) or highly workable concretes. Rheology-modifying admixtures include those reported by Bury and Bury, 2008, Concrete International, 30:42-45, incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.

[00271] Shrinkage reduction and compensation admixtures. The shrinkage compensation agent which can be used in the cementitious composition can include but is not limited to $\text{RO(AO)}_{1-10}\text{H}$, wherein R is a C_{1-5} alkyl or C_{5-6} cycloalkyl radical and A is a C_{2-3} alkylene radical, alkali metal sulfate, alkaline earth metal sulfates, alkaline earth oxides, preferably sodium sulfate and calcium oxide. TETRAGUARD.RTM. is an example of a shrinkage reducing agent and is available from BASF Admixtures Inc. of Cleveland, Ohio. Exemplary shrinkage reduction admixtures (SRAs) include polyoxyalkylenes alkyl ethers or similar compositions. Exemplary shrinkage compensation admixtures (SCAs) include

calcium sulfoaluminate and calcium aluminate, calcium hydroxide, magnesium oxide, hard-burnt and dead-burnt magnesium oxide.

[00272] Extended set-control admixtures. Extended set-control admixtures (ESCAs) or hydration-controlling admixtures (HCAs) are used to stop or severely retard cement hydration process in unhardened concrete. They may be used to shut down ongoing hydration of cementitious products in returned/waste concrete or in wash water that has been treated in the truck or in a concrete reclaimer system, which allows these products to be recycled back into concrete production so that they need not be disposed of; or to stabilize freshly batched concrete to provide medium- to very long-term set retardation, which allows concrete to remain plastic during very long hauls or in long-distance pumping situations that require long slump life in a more predictable fashion than normal retarders. These differ from conventional set control admixtures because they stop the hydration process of both the silicate and aluminate phases in Portland cement. Regular set-control admixtures act only on the silicate phases. Examples include carboxylic acids and phosphorus-containing organic acids and salts.

[00273] Workability-retaining admixtures. Help retain workability retention of concrete. Examples include hydration-controlling and retarding admixtures that meet the requirements of ASTM C494/C494M Type B or D, or neutral set workability-retaining admixtures meeting the requirements of ASTM C494/C494M Type S. See, e.g., Daczko, 2010, Proceedings from the 6th International Symposium on Self-compacting Concrete and the 4th North American Conference on the Design and Use of Self-Consolidating Concrete, Sept.

[00274] Corrosion-inhibiting admixtures. Reduces corrosion of steel in concrete, e.g., rebar. Examples include chromates, phosphates, hydrophosphates, alkalies, nitrites, and fluorides; amine carboxylate, amine-ester organic emulsion, and calcium nitrite.

[00275] Permeability-reducing admixtures. Permeability-reducing admixtures (PRAs) have been developed to improve concrete durability through controlling water and moisture movement, as well as by reducing chloride ion ingress and permeability. These typically include, but are not limited, to: 1) hydrophobic water repellants, such as materials based on soaps and long-chain fatty acid derivatives, vegetable oils such as tallows, soya-based materials, and greases, and petroleum such as mineral oil and paraffin waxes., e.g. calcium, ammonium, and butyl stearates; 2) polymer products, such as organic hydrocarbons supplied either as emulsions (latex) or in liquid form, such as coal tar pitches, bitumen or other resinous polymer, or prepolymer materials; 3) finely divided solids, such as inert and chemically active fillers such as talc, bentonite, silicious powders, clay, lime, silicates, and

colloidal silica. Supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs) such as fly ash, raw or calcined natural pozzolans, silica fume, or slag cement, although not technically chemical admixtures, can contribute to reducing concrete permeability be be a complementary component; 4) hydrophobic pore blockers; 5) crystalline products, which can be proprietary active chemicals provided in a carrier of cement and sand.

[00276] Bonding admixtures include an organic polymer dispersed in water (latex).

[00277] Coloring admixtures include natural or synthetic materials, in liquid or dry forms. Pigments include black iron oxide, carbon black, phthalocyanine blue, cobalt blue, red iron oxide, brown iron oxide, raw burnt umber, chromium oxide, phthalocyanine green, yellow iron oxide, and titanium dioxide.

[00278] Flocculating admixtures include synthetic polyelectrolytes, such as vinyl acetate-maleic anhydride copolymer.

[00279] Fungicidal, germicidal, and insecticidal admixtures include polyhalogenated phenols, dieldrin emulsion, and copper compounds.

[00280] Lithium admixtures to reduce deleterious expansion from alkali-silica reaction. Deleterious expansions from alkali-silica reaction (ASR) can occur in concrete when susceptible siliceous minerals are present in the aggregate. Exemplary admixtures that prevent these deleterious expansion reactions include solid forms (lithium hydroxide monohydrate and lithium carbonate) and liquid form (30 percent by weight lithium nitrate solution in water). Additional examples include lithium nitrite.

[00281] Expansive/gas forming admixtures include metallic aluminum, zinc or magnesium, hydrogen peroxide, nitrogen and ammonium compounds, and certain forms of activated carbon or fluidized coke.

[00282] Admixtures for cellular concrete/flowable fill include those based on protein or on synthetic surfactants.

[00283] Shotcrete admixtures. Shotcrete is define as “mortar or concrete pneumatically projected at high velocity onto a surface.” Materials useful as shotcrete admixtures include accelerators, such as alkali-based accelerators, e.g., aqueous silicate or aluminate solutions or alkali-free accelerators such as those based on aluminum sulfates and aluminum hydroxysulfates; high-range water-reducing admixtures such as those known in the art specifically formulated for shotcrete mixtures; and extended set-control admixtures.

[00284] Admixtures for manufactured concrete products. These may be used to add production efficiency, improve or modify surface texture, enhance and maintain visual appeal, or provide value-added performance benefits. These include plasticizers such as

soaps, surfactants, lubricants, and cement dispersants; accelerators both calcium chloride and non-chloride-based; and water-repellant/efflorescence control admixtures such as calcium/aluminum stearates, fatty acids, silicone emulsions, and wax emulsions.

[00285] Admixtures for flowing concrete. Flowing concrete is defined as “concrete that is characterized as having a slump greater than 7-1/2 in (190 mm) while maintaining a cohesive nature.” Various admixtures may be used, such as mid-range water reducers and high-range water reducers, viscosity-modifying admixtures, set retarders, set accelerators, and workability-retaining admixtures, as described herein.

[00286] Admixtures for self-consolidating concrete (SCC). Exemplary admixtures for inclusion in SCC include high-range water-reducing admixtures, e.g., polycarboxylate-based HRWRAs such as blends of different polycarboxylate polymers that have different rates of absorption on the powder substrates; and viscosity-modifying admixtures.

[00287] Admixtures for very cold weather concrete. These allow placement of concrete in temperatures below freezing, and include water reducers, accelerators, retarders, corrosion inhibitors, and shrinkage reducers (for their added freezing point depression).

[00288] Admixture for very-high-early-strength concrete. VHESC is designed to achieve extremely high early strengths within the first few hours after placement. Admixture systems can include a high-range water reducer, set accelerator, and optionally air-entraining admixture. Also include may be workability-retaining admixtures.

[00289] Admixtures for pervious concrete. Pervious concrete is a low-slump, open-graded material consisting of portland cement, uniform-sized aggregate, little or no fine aggregate, chemical admixtures, and water, which, when combined, produces hardened concrete with interconnected pores, or voids, that allow water to pass through the concrete easily. Exemplary admixtures include air-entraining admixtures, extended set-control admixtures, water-reducing admixtures, internal curing admixtures, viscosity-modifying admixtures, and latex admixtures.

[00290] Admixtures for 3D printing concrete. These include admixtures that allow the printed concrete to stand without forms and other admixtures suited to the requirements of 3D printing.

[00291] Modification or influence on calcium carbonate In certain embodiments, an admixture is used that modulates the formation of calcium carbonate, e.g., so that one or more polymorphic forms is favored compared to the mixture without the admixture, e.g., modulates the formation of amorphous calcium carbonate, e.g., aragonite, or calcite. Exemplary admixtures of this type include organic polymers such as polyacrylate and

polycarboxylate ether, phosphate esters such as hydroxyamino phosphate ester, phosphonate and phosphonic acids such as nitrilotri(methylphosphonic acid), 2-phosphonobutane-1,2,4-tricarboxylic acid, chelators, such as sodium gluconate, ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), and citric acid, or surfactants, such as calcium stearate.

[00292] Further admixtures of interest include those that influence calcium carbonate formation, reactions, and other aspects of calcium carbonate. For example, magnesium can be a strong inhibitor to calcite growth, and the Mg/Ca ratio may affect the lifetime of amorphous calcium carbonate, e.g., high ratios may increase lifetime, and may influence the type of crystalline polymorph that forms as the initial and long-term product. $\text{CO}_3^{2-}/\text{Ca}^{2+}$ may also affect these, as may physical mixing, either or both of which may be manipulated. See, e.g., see Blue, C.R., Giuffre, A., Mergelsberg, S., Han, N., De Yoreo, J.J., Dove, P.M., 2017. Chemical and physical controls on the transformation of amorphous calcium carbonate into crystalline CaCO_3 polymorphs. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 196, 179–196. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2016.09.004>, incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.

[00293] In certain embodiments, admixture can include one or more 2D substrates terminated with functional groups, which may also influence crystal phase, size, shape, and/or orientation. Exemplary strategies for preparing functional group substrates include Langmuir monolayer, surface carbonylation, and alkanethiol self-assembling monolayer (SAM). For example, a stearic acid monolayer has been used to direct CaCO_3 crystallization. Various functional groups can be micro-patterned on a substrate to guide CaCO_3 crystallization. Thus, in certain embodiments 2D substrates with $-\text{COOH}$, $-\text{NH}_2$, $-\text{OH}$, SO_3H , $-\text{CH}_3$, $-\text{SH}$, and/or PO_4H_2 , can be used to control CaCO_3 mineralization. The physical and/or chemical properties of the substrate may be manipulated as suitable for desired outcome. These include chemical character, hydrophilicity, charge (or coordination number) and geometry (or spatial structure) of terminated functional groups, substrate metals and length of alkanethiol molecule. Additionally or alternatively, environmental factors such as temperature and/or initial concentration of Ca^{++} may be manipulated. ACC formation and transformation may be preferred on strong hydrophilic surfaces, for example, on $-\text{OH}$ or $-\text{SH}$ terminated SAMs. Without being bound by theory, it is thought that CaCO_3 nucleates via the same mechanism on $-\text{OH}$, NH_2 , and $-\text{CH}_3$ terminated SAMs. Double-hydrophilic block copolymers based on poly(ethyleneglycol)(PEG), carboxylated polyanilines (c-PANIs) can be used to mediate CaCO_3 crystallization, and can provide control over crystal size, shape, and modification, e.g., promote production of purely crystalline calcite and/or vaterite. Addition of $-\text{OH}$ and $-\text{COOH}$ tailored functional polymer can potentially stabilize ACC

precursor phase, which may gradually transform to calcites, if desired. Additionally or alternatively, charged functional groups can be coupled with Ca^{2+} ions to facilitate CaCO_3 crystallization. See, e.g., Deng, H., Shen, X.-C., Wang, X.-M., Du, C., 2013. Calcium carbonate crystallization controlled by functional groups: A mini-review. *Frontiers of Materials Science* 7, 62–68. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11706-013-0191-y>, incorporated herein by reference in its entirety; in particular, see Table 1 for potential influences of various admixtures on morphologies.

[00294] In certain embodiments admixture may include one or more complexing agents, such as Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) and/or 1-hydroxyethyl-1,1-diphosphonic acid (HEDP). For example, without being bound by theory, EDTA is reported to retard the crystal growth of calcite and aragonite. Aquasoft 330, a commercial grade HEDP is reported to control the morphology of CaCO_3 and calcium oxalate. See, e.g., Gopi, S.P., Subramanian, V.K., Palanisamy, K., 2015. Synergistic Effect of EDTA and HEDP on the Crystal Growth, Polymorphism, and Morphology of CaCO_3 . *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research* 54, 3618–3625. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ie5034039>, incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.

[00295] In certain embodiments, admixture may include low molecular weight and polymeric additives, such as block copolymers, poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG), polyelectrolyte, polyacrylamide and cellulose, which can exhibit large influence on the crystallization of CaCO_3 . See, e.g., Xie et al., 2006; Xu et al., 2008; Xu et al., 2011, Sadowski et al., 2010; Su et al., 2010, all of which are incorporated by reference herein in their entireties. Among various templates, PEG is of particular interest because its molecules contain hydrophilic groups, which can act as a donor to metal ions to form metal complexes with diverse conformation. CaCO_3 mineralized without PEG polymer formed rhombohedral calcite crystals of an average size of 12.5 and 21.5 μm after 5 min and 24 h of incubation, respectively. In contrast, CaCO_3 precipitates obtained in the presence of PEG but collected after 24 hours of incubation exhibited particles with diameters ranging from 13.4 to 15.9 μm . The slight increase in the particle size observed at a high polymer concentration may be caused by the flocculation effect. Thus, without being bound by theory, it is thought that the presence of poly(ethylene glycol) inhibits the growth of CaCO_3 particles in the system. It is known that low and high molecular weight additives can stabilize nonequilibrium morphologies by changing the relative growth rates of different crystal faces through molecular, specific interactions with certain surfaces that modify the surface energy or

growth mechanism, or both. Further without being bound by theory, it is also thought that in aqueous solution, Ca^{2+} and CO_3^{2-} firstly form ACC, which quickly transforms into vaterite and calcite within minutes, but at the same time the polymer molecules adsorb on the surface of the particles, which can inhibit the growth of crystal during the process resulting in formation small particles. See, e.g., Polowczyk, I., Bastrzyk, A., Kozlecki, T., Sadowski, Z., 2013. Calcium carbonate mineralization. Part 1: The effect of poly(ethylene glycol) concentration on the formation of precipitate. Faculty of Geoengineering, Mining and Geology, Wrocław University of Technology, Wrocław.

<https://doi.org/10.5277/ppmp130222>, which is incorporated by reference herein in its entirety.

[00296] In certain embodiments, admixture may include water-soluble macro-molecules as soluble additives which may, e.g., affect the crystallization of CaCO_3 ; such additives may be present with insoluble matrices. Exemplary soluble additives include poly(acrylic acid) (PAA); PAAm: Poly(allylamine); PGA: Poly(glutamic acid) sodium salt; DNA: deoxyribonucleic acid, such as sodium salt from salmon sperm (DNA); these admixtures can be used with one or more substrates, when suitable, such as glass, Poly(ethylene- co-acrylic acid) (PEAA) (20wt% acrylic acid), or chitosan. PEAA and chitosan contain carboxylic acid and amino groups, respectively. These polymers can be spin-coated on glass substrates. In the absence of soluble additives, rhombohedral calcite crystals can grow on all three substrates. Different substrate/macro-molecule combinations can have different effects. For example, for glass, there may be no crystallization with PAA or PAAm, whereas spherical crystals may be obtained with PGA additive (vaterite and calcite) or DNA (calcite). The same effects can be seen with additives on PEAA. With chitosan, PAA and PGA may give thin film states of CaCO_3 . Without being bound by theory, the carboxylic acid of PAA and PGA and the amino group of chitosan may cause interactions, which results in the formation of thin film crystals. Spherical particles sporadically grow on the surfaces in the presence of DNA. For further discussion of these potential admixtures see, e.g., Kato, T., Suzuki, T., Amamiya, T., Irie, T., Komiyama, M., Yui, H., 1998. Effects of macromolecules on the crystallization of CaCO_3 the Formation of Organic/Inorganic Composites. *Supramolecular Science* 5, 411–415. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0968-5677\(98\)00041-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0968-5677(98)00041-8), incorporated by reference herein in its entirety.

[00297] The admixture (or each admixture) may be added to any suitable final percentage (bwc), such as in the range of 0.01-0.5%, or 0.01-0.3%, or 0.01-0.2%, or 0.01-0.1%, or 0.01-1.0%, or 0.01-0.05%, or 0.05% to 5%, or 0.05% to 1%, or 0.05% to 0.5%, or 0.1% to 1%, or

0.1% to 0.8%, or 0.1% to 0.7% per weight of cement. The admixture (or each admixture in a combination of admixtures) may be added to a final percentage of greater than 0.0001, 0.0002, 0.0005, 0.001, 0.002, 0.005, 0.01, 0.02, 0.03, 0.04, 0.05, 0.06, 0.07, 0.08, 0.09, 0.1, 0.15, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5%, 0.6%, 0.7%, 0.8%, 0.9, or 1.0% bwc; in certain cases also less than 10, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, 0.9, 0.8, 0.7, 0.6, 0.5, 0.4, 0.3, 0.2, 0.1, 0.09, 0.08, 0.07, 0.06, 0.05, 0.04, 0.03, 0.02, 0.01, 0.005, 0.002, 0.001, 0.0005, or 0.002% bwc. Other ranges and quantities are as described herein.

[00298] In certain embodiments, sodium gluconate is used as a set-retarding admixture, in combination with carbonation of wash water. The sodium gluconate can be added at one or more times in the process as described herein. Any suitable timing and/or amount of sodium gluconate can be used, which, as with any admixture, may depend on the mix design, e.g., type and amount of cement, in the concrete that is in the wash water, and/or the mix design, e.g., type and amount of cement, in the concrete that is produced in a subsequent batch from the carbonated mix water. The exact amount of sodium gluconate can be important and may be determined in testing with the mix designs to be treated. In certain embodiments, the amount of sodium gluconate, expressed by weight cement in the wash water, may be 0.1-5%, or 0.2-4%, or 0.5-3%, or 0.7-2%, or 1.0-2.0%, or 1.2-1.8%, or 1.4-1.6%.

[00299] In certain embodiments, carbonated wash water may itself be used to accelerate set, e.g., to produce a concrete that will stick to a desired surface when used as, e.g., shotcrete. In a shotcrete operation concrete mix can be sent to the nozzle as a wet mix, i.e., already mixed with water, or as a dry mix that is mixed with water just before ejection from the nozzle. In the latter case, some or all of the mix water may be carbonated wash water, and the use of carbonated wash water may reduce or eliminate flow of the concrete delivered to the desired surface by the nozzle.

EXAMPLES

EXAMPLE 1

[00300] Samples of grey (wash) water were prepared in the lab. Lab grey water was made by mixing cement with potable water. Specific gravity (SG) range of lab grey water was 1.025 to 1.100. Grey water was allowed to age for either 1 or 4 days before being used as mix water in the preparation of mortar samples. Set time of mortar was measured via penetrometer as per ASTM C403.

[00301] Set time. In **Figure 1**, Acceleration is plotted relative to the set time for a sample made with potable water ($SG = 1.000$). Both SG and age of grey water have large accelerating effect on mortar initial set.

[00302] A CO_2 treatment was applied to grey water samples in same age and SG range as previous set. As with untreated samples, acceleration is plotted relative to the set time for a sample made with potable water ($SG = 1.000$) (**Figure 1**).

[00303] Treatment of the grey water with CO_2 resulted in two main improvements: 1) Reduced acceleration: the amount of initial set acceleration was greatly reduced by the CO_2 treatment of the grey water; and 2) Reduction in age effects: the set time acceleration was not significantly influenced by aging of the CO_2 treated grey water samples

[00304] The reduction in acceleration and age effects helps address two of the primary obstacles associated with grey water reuse. First, the CO_2 treatment opens the potential to correlate impacts of the grey water directly to the SG value of the sample regardless of age, and second, the reduction in the scale of the acceleration allows for simple modifications to admixture loadings to fine-tune set time.

EXAMPLE 2

[00305] This Example demonstrates that treatment of concrete wash water (grey water) with carbon dioxide improves set, workability, and other characteristics of concrete made using the wash water, and allows the use of wash water at higher specific gravity than the typical maximum allowed.

[00306] In a first set of tests, samples of wash water were produced in the lab by adding known amounts of cementitious materials to potable water sources. The samples of wash water were allowed to age for up to 6 days before being used as mix water in the preparation of mortar samples. Certain samples were subjected to CO_2 treatment, which included vigorous mixing and aging of the wash water under a CO_2 atmosphere. Typically the exposure to CO_2 was initiated in the timeframe of 30-120 minutes after preparation of the wash water and continued until the wash water was used for mortar preparation. Variations on the CO_2 treatment were deployed wherein a sample of wash water was only exposed to CO_2 once: either directly before use as mix water or in the time frame of 30-120 minutes after the wash water was prepared. The CO_2 treatments presented would result in CO_2 uptake on the order of 10-40% by weight of cement.

[00307] The proportions and properties of wash water prepared for this study are presented in Table 1, below. The density of cement was taken as 3.15 g/mL while the density of slag and

class F fly ash were both taken as 2.2 g/mL. Grey water samples were prepared at additional specific gravity values using the same logic presented within this table.

TABLE 1
Compositions of Wash Waters used in the Example

Wash Water Type	Mass of water (g)	Mass of cement (g)	Mass of slag (g)	Mass of fly ash (g)	Final Mixture Density (g/mL)	Final Mixture Specific Gravity
100% OPC	267.5	40	0	0	1.10	1.10
100% OPC	267.5	65	0	0	1.15	1.15
100% OPC	267.5	85	0	0	1.20	1.20
50% SCMs	267.5	23	14	9	1.10	1.10
50% SCMs	267.5	35	21	14	1.15	1.15
50% SCMs	267.5	49	29	20	1.20	1.20

[00308] The concrete wash water samples produced in the lab were used to produce mortar samples and assessed for their impact on fresh properties. The wash water samples were used to prepare mortar samples by combining with 1350 g sand and 535 g of cement in a bench-top paddle style mixer. Set time was measured in accordance with ASTM C403 using the penetrometer method. Calorimetry was collect using a Calmetrix iCal8000. Set time and slump results were compared to mortar samples prepared with potable water

[00309] Set and Workability. All statements apply to both EF50 and 100% OPC grey water compositions

[00310] **Set time.** In all cases the CO₂ treatment greatly reduced the acceleration caused by increases solid contents in the wash water (**Figures 2, 4 and 6**). In addition, in all cases the CO₂ treatment greatly reduced the acceleration caused by increases aging of the wash water (**Figure 2**).

[00311] Workability. In all cases the CO₂ treatment greatly reduced the loss of workability caused by increases aging of the wash water (**Figures 3 and 5**).

[00312] **Calorimetry.** The CO₂ treatment has a marked impact on the hydration of cement in mortars prepared with grey water, returning the onset and intensity of features to the same region as the control sample made with potable water. **Figures 7-8** are representative calorimetry curves as observed from the previously presented experiments. In all cases the grey water was prepared with 100% OPC to have a specific gravity of 1.1 and aged for 1 day. The curves presented compare the calorimetry response for three cases: 100% OPC grey water without CO₂ treatment; 100% OPC grey water with CO₂ treatment; a control produced with potable water. From both power (**Figure 7**) and energy (**Figure 8**) perspective it can be observed that the CO₂ treatment allows the hydration of cement in the mortar samples to proceed normally: when using the CO₂ treatment the onset and intensity of features is in-line with those observed for the control produced with potable water

[00313] **Carbon Dioxide Exposure variables.**

[00314] In a second set of tests, three different modes of CO₂ exposure were tested: Continuous – the grey water was exposed to CO₂ starting at approximately 2 hours after mixing until use; Treatment at 2 hours – the grey water was exposed to CO₂ once at approximately 2 hours after mixing and untreated until use as mix water; Treatment before use – the grey water was untreated until approximately 15 minutes before use. These three variations were meant to mimic timeframes when CO₂ could foreseeably be applied to grey water in an industrial setting. The choice of 2 hours was meant to begin the CO₂ treatment after the grey water had been prepared, but before any significant cement hydration had occurred. In practice this timeframe could be anywhere from 15-180 minutes.

[00315] Continuous treatment offered the best improvement of set time after 1 day of aging while CO₂ treatment before use offered the best improvement after 6 days of aging (**Figure 9**). In general treatment at 2 hours provided the best slump impact (**Figure 10**).

[00316] **Strength Assessment.** See **Figure 11**

[00317] Sample of grey water were used to prepare 2"x2"x2" mortar cubes for assessment of compressive strength development. All grey water was aged for 1 day and prepared at a specific gravity of 1.1. Compressive strength tests were performed at 24 hours after mixing. The samples were prepared as follows: A control made with potable water; EF50 grey water without CO₂ treatment; EF50 grey water with CO₂ treatment; 100% OPC grey water without CO₂ treatment; 100% OPC grey water with CO₂ treatment; Control with additional EF50 powder; Control with additional 100% OPC powder. Where the additional solids in the grey

water are cementitious in nature samples 6 and 7 were prepared with the same amount of solids as in the grey water. In all cases this was introduced as additional anhydrous binder.

[00318] In all cases the samples performance was equivalent or better than a control produced with potable water (**Figure 11**). There was also a strength enhancement at later time points, for example, 7 and/or 28 days. See **Figures 13** (Washwater of 100% OPC, SG 1.1) and **14** (Washwater 50% cement, 30% slag, 20% class F fly ash).

[00319] Cooling. Samples of grey water with two different compositions (EF50 and 100%OPC) were prepared at a specific gravity of 1.1 and stored at one of two temperatures: Low temperature = 40°F; Room temperature = approximately 65°F. A combination of cooling and CO₂ treatment provided a synergistic improvement in mortar set time, see **Figure 12**.

EXAMPLE 3

[00320] Binder powder was added to samples of water and allowed to age either 1 or 7 days. The binder powder for a given water sample matched the composition of the binder for the mortar later produced from the water; e.g., if the mortar were to be made with 100% OPC, binder powder for wash water was 100% OPC; if the mortar were to be made with 75/25 OPC/class F fly ash, a 75/25 OPC/class F fly ash was used. Water was either left untreated, or treated with CO₂ consistently over the aging period. An excess of CO₂ was supplied to allow thorough carbonation. Following aging of the mix water mortar samples were prepared according to the following recipe: 1350g EN Sand, 535g cement. Set time was measured from calorimetry as the thermal indicator of set (the hydration time to reach a thermal power of 50 % of the maximum value of the main hydration peak, ASTM C1679).

[00321] The results are shown in **Figures 15-18** and **TABLE 2**. 15 different batches were aggregated for each condition, and results are shown as BOX PLOTS showing 1st quartile, median, and 3rd quartile. Whiskers show max and min. **Figure 15** shows set time relative to a potable water control with the same binder composition and w/b. Set time is reduced in untreated water (average 73% to 71%). Set time is improved to neutral if CO₂ treatment is used (Average is 98% at 1 day, 91% at 7 days). **Figure 16** shows set time at 7 days relative to set time at 1 day. Water aging did not have a large effect on set time for either case (decline in average by 2% for untreated and 6% in CO₂ treated water). **Figure 17** shows mortar slump (workability) relative to a potable water control with the same binder composition and w/b. Slump was compromised when using wash water, and became worse with age if the water was not treated. The average declined from 62% to 32% in the untreated

water, and 63% to 51% in the treated water; thus, carbon dioxide treatment mitigated the further decrease in slump in aging wash water compared to untreated. **Figure 18** shows mortar slump at 7 days relative to mortar slump at 1 day. Workability was worse for 7 day wash water than 1 day was water if it is untreated, but, as noted, there was small to no change observed if CO₂ treatment was applied. The results are also summarized in TABLE 2.

TABLE 2**Effect of CO₂ treatment of wash water on set time and workability**

Slump Summary vs Potable Water Reference					Relative Change in Slump	
Metric	Untreated Aged 1 Day	Untreated Aged 7 Days	CO₂ Treated Aged 1 Day	CO₂ Treated Aged 7 Days	Untreated	CO₂ Treated
Average	62%	32%	63%	51%	-29%	-11%
Median	60%	32%	64%	52%	-29%	-5%
Min	43%	14%	40%	0%	-50%	-50%
Max	83%	54%	79%	88%	0%	9%
1st Quartile	52%	27%	56%	43%	-37%	-18%
3rd Quartile	70%	35%	73%	66%	-22%	2%

Set Time Summary vs Potable Water Reference					Relative Change in Set Time	
Metric	Untreated Aged 1 Day	Untreated Aged 7 Days	CO₂ Treated Aged 1 Day	CO₂ Treated Aged 7 Days	Untreated	CO₂ Treated
Average	73%	71%	98%	91%	-2%	-6%
Median	73%	71%	102%	96%	-1%	-5%
Min	64%	61%	67%	58%	-11%	-19%
Max	90%	85%	116%	110%	8%	3%
1st Quartile	67%	68%	90%	86%	-5%	-13%
3rd Quartile	77%	75%	112%	101%	2%	-1%

EXAMPLE 4

[00322] This Example describes the effects of duration of exposure of wash water to carbon dioxide.

[00323] Binder powder was added to samples of water to create simulated wash water at specific gravity of 1.1. The water samples were mixed for varying durations, starting about 30 minutes after they were first produced. The water was either left untreated, or treated with CO₂ consistently over the mixing period. An excess of CO₂ was supplied to allow thorough carbonation. The pH of the water and CO₂ uptake of the solids was measured. Water samples were allowed to age either 1 or 7 days. Following aging of the mix water mortar samples were prepared according to the standard recipe. 1350g EN Sand, 535g cement.

[00324] As expected, CO₂ uptake of wash water solids increased with treatment time (**Figure 19**), with a corresponding decrease in the pH of the wash water (**Figure 20**). One-day (**Figure 21**), 7-day (**Figure 22**), and 28-day strength (**Figure 23**) were all increased in mortar cubes made with wash water aged 1 day that had been treated with carbon dioxide compared to cubes made with untreated wash water. One-day (**Figure 24**) and 7-day strength (**Figure 25**) were increased in mortar cubes made with wash water aged 7 days that had been treated with carbon dioxide compared to cubes made with untreated wash water; 28-day strength decreased for cubes made with wash water with lower carbon dioxide uptake but increased for those made with wash water with higher carbon dioxide uptake (**Figure 26**).

EXAMPLE 5

[00325] Cemex Demopolis cement was used as wash water solids (100% cement), added to potable water until specific gravity 1.10, then aged 1 or 7 days, with and without CO₂ treatment. Control mortar cubes were produced using potable water, reference cubes were produced using potable water and additional cement equivalent to the solids contained within the wash water.

[00326] **Figure 27** shows that adding more cement to the control reduced the workability (slump). If that same amount of cement was present in one day old wash water the workability was reduced by about 50%. If the wash water was untreated and used at 7 days aging then the workability decreased further, but if treated with CO₂ the performance at 7 days aging was no worse than at 1 day. **Figures 28-30** show 1-, 7-, and 28-day compressive strengths for mortar cubes made with the wash waters. In sum, in 5 of 6 comparisons (two of the one day wash water samples and all three of the 7 day wash water samples) mortar the

wash water treated with CO₂ was stronger than a mortar made with an equivalent amount of extra cement. Samples made with CO₂ treated wash water were equivalent or better strength than those with the untreated wash water at any sample age and any wash water age.

EXAMPLE 6

[00327] Lab wash water samples were produced through additions of neat cement and slag into potable water. After aging for 1 or 7 days the solids and liquids were separated via suction filtration for further analysis. Solids were rinsed with isopropyl alcohol to remove any residual water and allowed to dry. Dried solids were submitted for analysis via X-ray diffraction (XRD), nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Filtrate was passed through a 0.20 µm filter and submitted for chemical analysis via ICP-OES.

[00328] ICP-OES Analysis of filtrate passing 0.20 µm filter shows distinct changes in ions concentrations depending on the water treatment. The following ions were found to be present in lower concentrations following CO₂ treatment of the lab-produced wash water: Calcium, Potassium, Sodium, Strontium (**Figures 31-34**). The following ions were found to be present in greater concentrations following CO₂ treatment of the lab-produced wash water: Sulfur, Silicon (**Figures 35 and 36**). The CO₂ treatment was found to decrease the pH of wash water filtrate (**Figure 37**). Data are shown in tabular form in **Figures 38 and 39**.

[00329] SEM. For 100% OPC wash water, at 250 magnification (**Figure 40**): Hexagonal particles in untreated cases characteristic of portlandite. At 1000 magnification (**Figure 41**): Untreated WW: Observe needle morphology at 1 days and presence of at 7 days suggests ongoing precipitation and growth of hydration products. At 25,000 magnification (**Figure 42**): Untreated WW: mixture of fuzzy and needle-like hydration products characteristic of normal cement hydration. Features mature and become larger by 7-days, hence less detail at 25k magnification in 7-day versus 1-day. CO₂ Treated WW: Abundance of small box-like products characteristic of calcite observable at 25 k mag. Microstructure of CO₂ treated case appears generally the same between 1 and 7 days of aging.

[00330] For 75% OPC + 25% Slag wash water: At 250 magnification (**Figure 43**): Hexagonal particles in untreated cases characteristic of portlandite. Large, faceted, unreacted particles characteristic of slag At 3500 magnification (**Figure 44**): Untreated WW: Observe of fuzzy/needle morphology at 1 days which becomes more smooth by 7days. Additional smaller plat-like morphologies observable at 7 days. Suggests ongoing maturation of the reaction products. At 25,000 magnification (**Figure 45**): Untreated WW: mixture of fuzzy

and needle-like hydration products characteristic of normal cement hydration. Features mature and become larger by 7-days, hence less detail at 25k magnification in 7-day versus 1-day. CO₂ Treated WW: Abundance of small box-like products characteristic of calcite observable at 25 k mag. Microstructure of CO₂ treated case appears generally the same between 1 and 7 days of aging.

[00331] XRD: Untreated WW – Large contribution in the XRD pattern from Ca(OH)₂ with smaller contributions from various calcium silicates and hydration product. CO₂ treated Wash Water – Large contribution in the XRD pattern from CaCO₃ with smaller contributions from various calcium silicates and hydration products. No contribution from Ca(OH)₂. All CaCO₃ is present as calcite, as indicated by large contribution at ~29°. All Ca(OH)₂ is present as portlandite, as indicated by large contribution at ~18°. See **Figures 46 and 47**.

[00332] NMR (Figures 48 and 49): Silicon: Silicon is present in cement and slag. Unreacted cement phases present in all samples, giving peaks around -70 ppm. Unreacted slag phases are present in all samples, giving peaks around -75 ppm. As the silicates react the silicon signal shifts to more negative values due to polymerization. Untreated WW: Silicon environment in untreated WW changes giving more contribution to signal from -75 to -90, increasing with age. This suggests a microstructure that is changing with time. CO₂ Treated WW: Silicon environment in CO₂ treated WW changes dramatically, giving more contribution to signal from -80 to -120, centered around -100

[00333] CO₂ treated silicon environment displays less change from 1-7 days as compared to untreated case. This suggests different levels of Si polymerization in the CO₂ treated case and less “change” from 1-7 days in the CO₂ treated case.

[00334] Aluminum: Aluminum is present in cement and slag. Untreated WW: Al environment in untreated WW produces sharp peak around 10 ppm that changes with sample age. Some signal from unreacted cement Al is visible at 1 day in the 100% OPC case. This suggests a microstructure that is changing with time. CO₂ Treated WW: CO₂ treatment completely modifies Al environment. CO₂ treated Al environment displays less change from 1-7 days as compared to untreated case. This suggests different Al local environment in the CO₂ treated case compared to the untreated case. The untreated case has Al in normal hydration products, like ettringite, while the CO₂ treatment seems to incorporate Al ions into amorphous C-A-S-H phases. The CO₂ treated case demonstrates less “change” in the Al local environment from 1-7 days.

EXAMPLE 7

[00335] Various wash waters that matched the corresponding mortar mix were either untreated or subject to continuous agitation, with and without carbon dioxide treatment, and the performance of mortar cubes made with the wash water, as described elsewhere herein, was measured.

[00336] **Figure 50** shows the results for compressive strength of mortar cubes made with one-day old wash water subject to continuous agitation, wash water solids and mortar at 25% slag/75% OPC (Cemex Cemopolis cement). Wash water increased strength compared to control (potable), and carbon dioxide-treated wash water increased strength even more. Slumps were control: 108, Untreated wash water: 45; CO₂-treated wash water, 45 (all slumps in mm).

[00337] **Figure 51** shows the results for compressive strength of mortar cubes made with one-day old wash water subject to continuous agitation, wash water solids and mortar at 25% class C fly ash/75% OPC (Cemex Cemopolis cement). Wash water increased strength, Untreated wash water was better than CO₂ treated wash water at 1 and 7 days, but only the CO₂ treated water imparted a strength benefit at 28 days. Slumps were control: 125, Untreated wash water: 90; CO₂-treated wash water, 90.

[00338] **Figure 52** shows the results for compressive strength of mortar cubes made with one-day old wash water subject to continuous agitation, wash water solids and mortar at 25% class F fly ash/75% OPC (Cemex Cemopolis cement). Wash water increased strength, Untreated wash water was better than CO₂ treated wash water at 1 and 7 days, but both showed equal benefit at 28 days. Slumps were control: 118, Untreated wash water: 70; CO₂-treated wash water, 90.

[00339] **Figure 53** shows the results for compressive strength of mortar cubes made with one-day old wash water subject to continuous agitation, wash water solids and mortar at 100% OPC (Cemex Cemopolis cement). Reference was extra cement equivalent to the mass of the suspended solids in the wash water. Increased cement improved early but not late strength. CO₂ wash water was better than untreated wash water at all ages. CO₂ wash water was better than extra cement addition at all ages. Slumps were control: 110, Reference with cement: 100; Untreated wash water: 55; CO₂-treated wash water, 50.

[00340] **Figure 54** shows the results for compressive strength of mortar cubes made with seven-day old wash water subject to continuous agitation, wash water solids and mortar at 100% OPC (Cemex Cemopolis cement). Reference was extra cement equivalent to the mass of the suspended solids in the wash water. Increased cement improved early but not late

strength. CO₂ wash water was equivalent to or better than untreated wash water at all ages. CO₂ wash water was better than extra cement addition at later ages, and better than potable water control at 1 and 28 days. Slumps were control: 110, Reference with cement: 100; Untreated wash water: 30; CO₂-treated wash water, 60.

EXAMPLE 8

[00341] Lab scale concrete production compared concrete batches made with potable water, untreated wash water and wash water treated with carbon dioxide. The wash water was used at two ages (1 day and 5 days old). The sample production included three different control batches, each at a different w/c. This allows for interpretations of compressive strength if there is a variation in w/b among the test batches.

TABLE 3
Description of water in batches

Sample	Mix water	Water Age	Batch
Control L, w/b=0.56	Potable water	n/a	1
Control M, w/b = 0.67	Potable water	n/a	4
Control H, w/b = 0.75	Potable water	n/a	7
Reference UT1	Untreated	1 day	2
Reference UT5	Untreated	5 day	6
CO ₂ -1	CO ₂ treated	1 day	3
CO ₂ -5	CO ₂ treated	5 day	5

[00342] The wash water was sourced from a ready mixed truck through washing it after it had emptied its load. The collected wash water was sieved past a 80 µm screen and then was bottled (2L plastic bottles). If appropriate, the wash water was carbonated in the same manner as wash water for the mortar testing (given an excess of CO₂ achieved through periodic topping up and under agitation). The specific gravity of the wash water during carbonation was between 1.20 and 1.25. When used in concrete the water was diluted to a specific gravity of about ~1.08.

[00343] The batches were produced with a total binder loading of 307 kg/m³ including the cement, fly ash, and solids contained within the wash water. The batches with lower and higher w/b ratios deviated from this binder loading. In terms of w/b the binder fraction included the cement, fly ash and solids contained in the wash water. The binder batches was 80% cement and 20% fly ash. Batch comparisons are made relative to the baseline of the Control M batch.

TABLE 4
Concrete mix designs in kg/m³

	Control L	Control M	Control H	UTWW 1	UTWW 5	CO2WW 1	CO2WW 5
Cement	258	246	221	231	231	231	231
Fly Ash	64	61	55	58	58	58	58
WW Solids	0	0	0	17	18	18	18
Total Binder	322	307	276	306	307	307	307
Sand	847	822	882	822	822	822	822
Stone	1025	995	964	995	995	995	995
Batch Water	181	207	207	211	207	207	207
Rel% cement	105%	100%	90%	94%	94%	94%	94%
Rel% fly ash	105%	100%	90%	94%	94%	94%	94%
Rel% binder	105%	100%	90%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[00344] The wash water batches included less cement and fly ash (each reduced 6%) in a proportion equivalent to the suspended solids contained within the wash water.

[00345] The fresh properties were measured and compared relative to the Control M batch.

TABLE 5
Concrete fresh properties

	Control	Control	Control	UTWW	UTWW	CO2WW	CO2WW
--	---------	---------	---------	------	------	-------	-------

	L	M	H	1	5	1	5
Temperature (°C)	20.1	20.3	19.4	19.8	19	19.7	19.8
Slump (in)	6.0	6.5	5.0	6.0	4.5	6.0	6.0
Air (%)	1.8%	1.5%	1.1%	1.6%	1.1%	1.6%	1.2%
Unit Mass (kg/m³)	2410	2373	2381	2373	2390	2376	2373
Norm Unit Mass (kg/m³)	2454	2409	2408	2411	2416	2414	2402
Rel.slump	92%	100%	77%	92%	69%	92%	92%
Relative air	120%	100%	73%	107%	73%	107%	80%
Rel. unit mass	101%	100%	100%	100%	101%	100%	100%

[00346] The effects of various treatments on set acceleration of mortar cubes made with the wash waters are shown in **Figure 55**. The CO₂ reduced the set acceleration. The CO₂ reduced the Initial set acceleration by 48% for 1 day wash water, and 64% for 5 day old wash water. The CO₂ reduced the Final set acceleration by 39% for 1 day wash water, and 66% for 5 day old wash water.

[00347] The effects of various treatments on strength of mortar cubes made with the wash waters are shown in **Figures 56-58**. Concrete was an average of 3 specimens in all cases. **Figure 56** shows that for 1 day old wash water the concrete performs equivalent to the control at 28 days. There is 6 percent less binder in the wash water mix designs, so the corresponding amount of wash water solids contributes to the concrete strength. **Figure 57** shows the strength of concrete produced with untreated wash water aged 5 days is 13 to 17% lower than the control concrete (13% lower at 28 days). If the wash water is treated with CO₂ the performance relative to the control is only 2 to 7% lower (2% lower at 28 days CO₂ improves the strength of concrete produced with 5 day old wash water. **Figure 58** shows increasing the age of the wash water from 1 to 5 days meant the concrete produced with untreated water showed a strength decrease of 12-15%. If the wash water was treated with CO₂ the strength with 5 day old wash water was only 2-3% less than with 1 day old wash water.

[00348] It appeared that the air content may have been impacted by the wash water. While there was no apparent impact when using 1 day old wash water, both the batches of concrete made with 5 day old wash water (both untreated and CO₂treated) had an air content about 20

to 30% lower than the control. Unit mass and normalized unit mass (normalized for air differences) were consistent among the batches.

EXAMPLE 9

[00349] In this example, a concrete batching facility utilizes the methods and compositions of the invention to treat concrete truck wash water with carbon dioxide, and to utilize the treated wash water, including solids, in subsequent concrete batches. Table 6 shows expected economic savings as well as carbon dioxide sequestration and offsets; the Table assumes an annual plant concrete production of 75,000 m³; it will be appreciated that values can be adjusted for greater or lesser production, depending on plant size. In addition, depending on efficiencies of operations and other factors, amounts of carbon dioxide sequestered, economic savings, and the like can vary; however, the Table indicates how values for carbon dioxide sequestration and economic offsets may be calculated.

[00350] It can be seen that there is both an environmental advantage and an economic advantage to treating concrete wash water and other waste produced in concrete production (e.g., returned concrete) with carbon dioxide, which is typically combined with reuse of wash water solids in subsequent batches. The amount of CO₂ offset in this example is 6.7% of the total CO₂ emissions of the plant; as carbon dioxide from cement production is a significant portion of world greenhouse gas production, this represents a significant impact on greenhouse gas emissions. Depending on efficiency of carbon dioxide uptake and amount of solids re-used, this number can be even higher, e.g., greater than 8% or even greater than 10%. Additionally, the concrete plant realizes savings of hundreds of thousands of dollars per year, just from the value of cement avoided and the reduction in landfill costs. An additional economic impact, not shown in Table 6, can come in areas where there is a price on carbon, especially if cement production and concrete production are coupled.

TABLE 6

Metrics associated with CO₂ treated wash water and reuse in concrete

Plant Details	Metric		US		Comment
	Value	Unit	Value	Unit	
Annual plant production	75,000	m ³	98096	yd ³	
Solids from Washing					
Truck capacity	8	m ³	10.6	yd ³	Capacity of concrete mixer truck
Trucks per year	9,375	trucks			
Mass of material remaining in	272	kg	600	lbs	NRMCA Discussion

	Metric		US		
Plant Details	Value	Unit	Value	Unit	Comment
drum					
Washout rate	50%				Truck washes out drum after every second load
Annual Mass of solids from washing out	1,275	tonnes	1,405	tons	
Cement fraction in solids from washing out	60%				Estimate
Cement in solids from washing out	765	tonnes	844	tons	
CO ₂ sequestered by cement fraction	40%	%bwc			bwc= by weight cement, Based on lab testing
Amount of CO ₂ sequestered annually	306	tonnes	338	tons	

Liquids from Washing					
Wastewater generated per cubic meter of concrete	120	L	32	gal	From NRMCA Industry-Wide EPD
Density of wastewater	1.00	kg/L	8.4	lbs/gal	Assume only clarified liquid portion of wastewater
Fraction of wastewater requiring neutralization	90%				Assume some liquid lost to evaporation, etc..
Annual wastewater produced	8,100	Tonnes	8,929	tons	
Initial wastewater pH	13	-			Assume all [OH-]
Final wastewater pH	7				
Required change in [OH-] conc	0.100	mol/L			
number of [OH-] moles	809,999	mols			
Molecular weight of CO ₂	44	g/mol			
number of CO ₂ moles	405,000	mols			Assume 2OH- + CO ₂ -> CO ₃ ²⁻ + H ₂ O
Amount of CO ₂ sequestered annually	18	Tonnes	20	tons	

Solids from Returned Concrete					
Concrete return rate	5%				From NRMCA Report "Crushed Returned Concrete as Aggregates for New Concrete"
Fraction of returned concrete requiring disposal	75%				Assume some concrete is rebatched or used for saleable products (barriers, etc..)
Annual returned concrete disposal	2,813	m ³	3679	yd ³	
Average cement loading	338	kg/ m ³	570	lbs/ yd ³	NRMCA Benchmark cement loading 4000 psi mix 570

Plant Details	Metric		US		Comment
	Value	Unit	Value	Unit	
Annual cement in returned concrete	951	tonnes	1048	tons	lbs/yd3 or 338 kg/m3
Amount of CO ₂ sequestered annually	380	tonnes	419	tons	

Potential CO ₂ Reduction					
Cement related emissions generation					
Total annual cement use	25,350	tonnes	27,944	tons	PCA EPD 2016 concludes 1.040 NRMCA_BenchmarkReportV2_20161006.pdf
Cement emissions intensity	<i>1.040</i>				
Distance cement plant to concrete producer	<i>117.6</i>	km/delivery	<i>73.1</i>	mi/delivery	
Emissions factor of transport			<i>1.430</i>	Kg CO ₂ /vehicle-mile	emission-factors_nov_2015.pdf
		kg CO ₂ / delivery		kg CO ₂ /delivery	
Vehicle emissions	104.5	tonnes	104.5	tons	google search
Truck capacity	27.2	tonnes	30	tons	
		kg CO ₂ / tonne cement		kg CO ₂ /ton cement	
Specific transport emission of cement	3.8	tonne cement	3.5	ton cement	
Total transport emissions of cement	97.4		107.3		
Total annual cement related CO ₂ emissions	26,461	tonnes	29,169	tons	

Solids from Washing					
CO ₂ Sequestered in solids from washing	306	tonnes	338	tons	
Potential CO ₂ offset – solids from washing	1.2%		1.2%		

Liquids from Washing					
CO ₂ sequestered in liquids from washing	18	tonnes	20	tons	
Potential CO ₂ offset (cement baseline) – liquids from washing	0.1%		0.1%		
Potential CO ₂ offset (concrete baseline)– liquids from washing	0.1%		0.1%		

Solids from Returned Concrete					
--------------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--

Plant Details	Metric		US		Comment
	Value	Unit	Value	Unit	
CO ₂ sequestered in solids from returned concrete	380	tonnes	419	tons	Needs sophisticated handling to treat effectively (reclaimer, etc..)
Potential CO ₂ offset (cement baseline) – solids from returned concrete	1.4%		1.4%		
Potential CO ₂ offset (concrete baseline)– solids from returned concrete	1.3%		1.3%		

Washwater reuse allowing for cement reduction					
CO ₂ treated solids available for cement replacement	1276	tonnes	1406	tons	Assume 5 parts treated solids can replace 4 parts virgin cement
Rate of cement replacement	80%				
Total cement replaced	1021	tonnes	1125	tons	
Avoided CO ₂ from reusing CO ₂ treated solids in place of virgin cement	1061	tonnes	117	tons	
Potential CO ₂ offset - avoided cement	4.0%		4.0%		
Potential CO ₂ offset (concrete baseline) - avoided cement	3.6%		3.6%		

Reduced Cement Transportation					
Cumulative avoided transport emission	3.9	tonnes	4.3	tons	
Potential CO ₂ offset (cement baseline)- avoided cement transport	0.015%		0.015%		
Potential CO ₂ offset (concrete baseline)- avoided cement transport	0.013%		0.013%		

Combined impacts					
CO ₂ Sequestered in solids from washing	306	tonnes	338	tons	Washwater
CO ₂ sequestered in liquids from washing	18	tonnes	20	tons	Washwater
CO ₂ sequestered in solids from returned concrete	380	tonnes	419	tons	Washwater

Plant Details	Metric		US		Comment
	Value	Unit	Value	Unit	
Avoided CO ₂ from reusing CO ₂ treated solids in place of virgin cement	1061	tonnes	1170	tons	Cement reduction
Avoided cement transport emissions	4	tonnes	4	tons	Cement reduction
Total	1770	tonnes	1951	tons	
Cumulative potential CO ₂ offset vs. cement emissions	6.7%		6.7%		
Cumulative potential CO ₂ offset vs. concrete footprint	6.0%		6.0%		
Cumulative CO ₂ utilization	704	tonnes	776	tons	Excludes avoided

Economic Impacts					EPA 2014 MSW Landfills - 2012 US National Average
Landfill tipping rate	<i>\$50</i>	\$/tonne	<i>\$45</i>	\$/ton	
Total cement reprocessed in washwater	1716	tonnes	1892	tons	
Cement price	<i>\$165</i>	\$/tonne	<i>\$150</i>	\$/ton	
Avoided landfill costs	\$85,124		\$85,124		
Value of cement avoided	\$168,750		\$168,750		
Total economic benefit	\$253,874		\$253,874		
Concrete price	<i>\$128.48</i>		<i>\$98.23</i>		
Concrete producer cost fraction	80%		80%		
Plant operating cost	\$7,708,779		7,708,779		
Relative economic impact	-3%		-3%		

Numbers in **bold** are **CO₂ sequestered outputs**

Numbers in *italics* are *inputs and variables*

Numbers in plain text are constants or background calculations

[00351] The batches were produced with a total binder loading of 307 kg/m³ including the cement, fly ash, and solids contained within the wash water. The batches with lower and higher w/b ratios deviated from this binder loading. In terms of w/b the binder fraction included the cement, fly ash and solids contained in the wash water. The binder batches was 80% cement and 20% fly ash. Batch comparisons are made relative to the baseline of the Control M batch.

EXAMPLE 10

[00352] This Example demonstrates that carbon dioxide treatment of wash water can have an effect on particle size distribution in the wash water.

[00353] A Malvern MS3000 was used to measure particle size, stirring speed 2400 rpm, data acquisition time of 60 sec per measurement, 20 measurements per sample, ultrasonic dispersion of 10-20 seconds, liquid medium used was isopropyl alcohol.

[00354] Eight samples were produced, dried and subjected to particle size distribution analysis

Anhydrous cement sample

Paste (w/c 0.5) hydrated 3 hours

Paste hydrated 3 hours and diluted to specific gravity 1.05

3h old 1.05 wash water sample treated with CO₂ to neutral pH

24 hr untreated wash water

24 hr CO₂ treated wash water

7 day untreated wash water

7 day CO₂ treated wash water

[00355] In the untreated wash water, the proportion of fines decreased with age, probably as smaller cement particles react (**Figure 59**). At 7 days, there is a bimodal particle distribution, and a coarse particle fraction appears. Without being bound by theory, it is thought that hydration product builds up on particles and increases with time.

[00356] In the CO₂-treated wash water, the particle distribution became finer immediately after CO₂ treatment and stays finer (**Figure 60**). The distribution did not change with aging (the particles are stable). There was a mild bimodal distribution at 7 days, but no coarsening.

[00357] The median particle size (D_{v50}) increased in untreated wash water between 1 and 7 days. The median particle size was decreased by CO₂ treatment, with the median particle size about half of the initial particle size, and did not change between 1 and 7 days (**Figure 61**).

[00358] The finest fraction of particles (D_{v10}, 10% of the particles finer than this diameter) shifted to greater diameters in all treatments. Without being bound by theory, it is thought that the finest cement particles have reacted. The shift is greater in untreated than in CO₂-treated wash water. The shift increases as the untreated wash water ages 1 to 7 days, presumably as the finer particles continue to react. In contrast, the finest fraction is stable in CO₂-treated wash water aged 1 to 7 days (**Figure 62**).

[00359] The D_{v90} (90% of particles finer than this diameter) shows that particles were coarsening in the untreated wash water, with a large increase seen between 1 and 7 days. In contrast, the CO₂ treatment reduces the coarse fraction compared to anhydrous cement, and

the particles in the CO₂-treated wash water were not coarsening when aged 1 to 7 days (**Figure 63**).

[00360] **Figure 64** is a bar plot showing the 10th, 50th, and 90th percentiles of the various samples.

[00361] Sauter mean diameter (SMD) is the diameter of a sphere that has the same volume/surface area ratio as the population of interest. In untreated wash water, it doubles with 3 hr hydration, and increases another 30% from 1 to 7 days. The CO₂ treatment decreased the Sauter diameter by 28%. It was stable with aging from 1 to 7 days (**Figure 65**).

[00362] The De Brouckere diameter (D(4,3)) is the weighted average volume diameter, assuming spherical particles of the same volume as the actual particles. Often the one number is used to describe a PSD. For untreated wash water the D(4,3) increases, with a doubling over 1 to 7 days aging. The CO₂ treatment dropped the D(4,3) to about half that of the initial cement, and it remained stable with aging (**Figure 66**).

[00363] Specific surface area (SSA) by laser diffraction is a calculated value assuming spherical particles. All treatments reduced the specific surface area relative to the starting condition. The untreated wash water SSA was about half the initial value at 24 hours and declined another 25% from 1 to 7 days (**Figure 67**). The CO₂ treatment caused the SSA to increase 60%, and the SSA was stable at about 25% below the initial value (**Figure 68**). The untreated wash water showed a drop in SSA with a coarsening of particles at 3 hours, a shift to finer particles at 24 hrs, and a decline in SSA and increase in median diameter at 7 days. Treatment moved to a lower median diameter and higher SSA, and stayed there.

[00364] This Example demonstrates that carbon dioxide treatment can have a rapid and lasting effect on particle size and distribution in wash water, compared to untreated wash water.

EXAMPLE 11

[00365] In this example, the effect of various flow rates of carbon dioxide in wash water samples of differing densities was examined.

[00366] Carbon dioxide was delivered at a constant flow rate (12.1 LPM CO₂) through an inductor that was circulating 50L of water, with varying mass of solids, in a plastic container. The water was contained in a 77-liter plastic container in which was a submersible pump, 1/3 HP sump pump. On the downstream end of the pump was plastic tubing of 2 foot length, ¼ inch OD. CO₂ gas injection occurred at one point along the length of the tubing. Once materials were loaded into the reactor, the pump was activated, and water was agitated

through the action of the recycling pump. As described, CO₂ delivery was integrated into the pumping step. **TABLE 7** shows the various conditions tested:

TABLE 7
Conditions for carbon dioxide injection into wash water

Sample	Cement (kg)	Fly ash (kg)	Specific Gravity	CO ₂ Input (LPM)	CO ₂ Input (g/min)
1.05 - M flow - 1C	3.75	0	1.05	7.9	15.6
1.075 - M flow - 1C	5.694	0	1.075	7.9	15.6
1.10 - M flow - 1C	7.683	0	1.10	7.9	15.6
1.05 - H flow - 1C	3.75	0	1.05	12.1	24.0
1.075 - H flow - 1C	5.694	0	1.075	12.1	24.0
1.05 - H flow - 0.8C	2.8125	0.9375	1.05	12.1	24.0

Variables:

Specific gravity (1.05, 1.07, 1.10)

CO₂ Flow rate (15.6 g CO₂/min, 24.0 g/min)

Solids: (100% cement, 80% cement with 20% fly ash)

Constants

Water volume 50 litres

Premix time before CO₂ addition: 15 min

[00367] The results are shown in TABLE 8 and **Figures 69-83**.

TABLE 8

	Final pH	Final %CO ₂	Efficiency	Approx Time to ph 6.5 or lower (min)

1.05 - M flow - 1C	6.25	36%	58%	160
1.075 - M flow - 1C	6.30	33%	50%	240
1.10 - M flow - 1C	6.25	34%	49%	330
1.05 - H flow - 1C	6.16	30%	56%	80
1.075 - H flow - 1C	6.30	33%	47%	145
1.05 - H flow - 0.8C	6.17	24%	44%	65

Final %CO₂ is by weight of cement in the solids

[00368] **Figures 69 and 70** show that at a constant flow rate, an increase in solids content increased the time to neutralizing the pH. **Figure 71** shows that, for a constant solids content in the water, a reduction in the cement fraction reduced the time to neutral pH. **Figures 72 and 73** show that, for constant specific gravity (solids content), an increase in the CO₂ flow rate reduced the time to neutral pH. **Figures 74 and 75** show that the carbon content of the solids increased with treatment time in a linear manner. For a given flow rate, an increase in solids content led to an increase in time to the final % CO₂. **Figure 76** shows that the carbon content of the solids increased with treatment time, in a linear manner. For a given flow rate and solids content, an increase in the cement fraction of the solids led to an increase in the time to final % CO₂. **Figures 77 and 78** show that, for a given specific gravity/solid content, the rate of CO₂ uptake increases if the flow rate increases. **Figures 79 and 80** show that, for a given flow rate, the change in pH correlates well with the % CO₂ uptake of the solids. A change in specific gravity does not have a significant impact on the relationship. If calibrated, the pH may be a suitable predictor of the uptake of the solids. **Figure 81** shows that the uptake/pH relationship appears unaffected by the cement content of the solids. **Figures 82 and 83** show that a change in flow rate does not notably change the relationship between CO₂ uptake and solution pH.

[00369] This Example demonstrates the effect of injection rate on rate of carbon dioxide uptake, and indicates that pH may be a reliable indicator of the progress of uptake. This

Example used system without feedback control of carbon dioxide injection. Feedback control of injection can improve uptake efficiencies, e.g., to at least 60, 70, 80, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, or 99%. See Example 14.

EXAMPLE 12

[00370] This Example shows one type of control system and logic for injection of carbon dioxide into a wash water.

[00371] In some embodiments, carbon dioxide is introduced into wash water, as described herein, and readings are taken of carbon dioxide content in the headspace above wash water in a tank, and temperature of the wash water is also taken. **Figure 84** shows exemplary control logic.

[00372] The control logic premise in this Example is that headspace CO₂ and wash water temperature readings will impact the CO₂ flow rate used. The flow will be 100% of the target flow rate when the conditions favor it to be so, and less than 100% when a sensor feedback identifies that a variable has reach the desired target or detects inefficient CO₂ injection. All readings have situations where they alone should stop the flow.

[00373] Sensor data should reflect the following: appropriate values depend upon proper sampling and averaging, and a confirmation logic confirms that the readings are in the expected range as based upon the reading time interval. A change in CO₂ and temperature between readings is reasonable, though a shift that is too great (too high or too low) can trigger an alarm or error--if an anomaly is detected an error should be sent and standby logic ensure continued safe operation (temperature, pH) and shut down if the CO₂ meter is malfunctioning.

[00374] Adjustable feedback variables with suggested limits:

CO₂ concentration in the headspace

Lower limit = 400 ppm

Upper limit = 1000 ppm

Temperature of the water

Lower limit = 20°C

Upper limit = 40°C

Maximum flow

Max flow is determined onsite for the configuration used to ensure full uptake in new washwater over a given treatment interval

pH of the water

Lower limit = 6

Upper limit = 14

Specific gravity of the water

Lower limit = 1.00

Upper limit = 1.30

[00375] Below is some of the logic that can be incorporated into the logic to control flow rates based on the condition of the wash. This Example uses a linear interpolation between 100% and 0% of maximum uptake flow between expected min/max sensor readings for simplicity, but it will be appreciated that changing the CO₂ factor and temperature factor equations would be relatively simple when data supports the change, e.g., non-linear interpolations as appropriate.

[00376] Logic examples Conditions:

Determine CO₂ flow rate based upon the headspace CO₂ contentration, reduce flow if the headspace concentration increases

- if CO₂ < CO₂ (LL),

then CO₂ factor = 100

- if CO₂ > CO₂ (UL)

then CO₂ factor = 0

- if CO₂ (LL) < CO₂ < CO₂ (UL)

then CO₂ factor = (CO₂ (UL) - CO₂) / (1 - (100 / (CO₂ (UL) - CO₂ (LL))))

Use temperature factor to change CO₂ flow, higher temperatures can be used to trigger CO₂ flow reductions

- if Temp < Temp C (LL)

then Temp factor = 100

- if Temp > Temp C (UL)

then Temp factor = 0

- if Temp C (LL) < Temp < Temp C (UL)

then Temp factor = (Temp (UL) - Temp) / (100 / (Temp (UL) - Temp (LL)))

The temperature readings can also determine cooling loop operation, e.g., increase cooling if the wash water temperature increases. A cooling loop can be a supply of liquid CO₂ in a conduit. Liquid supplied to a gas injection needs to undergo a phase change, and the heat from the wash water can be used to achieve the change.

Determine cooling loop operation based upon the temperature of the wash water, increase cooling if the water temperature increases

Determine mass flow control setting based upon the CO₂ in the headspace and the temperature of the wash water, increase cooling if the water temperature increases

Flow = MAX FLOW x Co₂ factor x Temp factor

Additional factors (pH, specific gravity) may also be included in the flow calculation.

[00377] Wash Water System Logic

Initialization:

Read CO₂ Max Flow (SLPM) [HMI Input]

Read CO₂ Lower and Upper Limit (PPM) [HMI Input]

Read Temp Lower and Upper Limit (deg C) [HMI Input]

Read pH Lower Limit (pH) [HMI Input]

Read Adjust Time "X" (Sec) [HMI Input]

Read Discharge Pump Flow Rate (LPM) [HMI Input]

Send Zero setpoint (SLPM) to MFC [Analog Output 1 – 4-20mA] after 5 secs

Auto Mode (Selector Switch on HMI):

System in IDLE mode

Turn on output relay to Circulation Pump [120VAC Output 1]

Read pH of water [Analog Input 3 – 4-20mA]

Read CO₂ sensor [Analog Input 1 – 4-20mA]

Read Temperature Sensor [Analog Input 2 – 4-20mA]

Read Data from MFC [Analog Input 8 – 4-20mA]

If <pH Limit:

Remain in IDLE until pH rises above limit

If >pH Limit:

Calculate CO₂ Factor with CO₂ sensor reading

IF CO₂ < CO₂ (LL), CO₂ Factor=1.0

IF $\text{CO}_2 > \text{CO}_2 (\text{UL})$, $\text{CO}_2 \text{ Factor} = 0.0$

IF $\text{CO}_2 (\text{LL}) < \text{CO}_2 < \text{CO}_2 (\text{UL})$,

$$\text{CO}_2 \text{ Factor} = (\text{CO}_2 (\text{UL}) - \text{CO}_2) / (\text{CO}_2 (\text{UL}) - \text{CO}_2 (\text{LL}))$$

Calculate Temp Factor with Temp sensor reading

IF $T < T(\text{LL})$, Temp Factor = 1.0

IF $T > T(\text{UL})$, Temp Factor = 0.0

IF $T(\text{LL}) < T < T(\text{UL})$,

$$\text{Temp Factor} = (T(\text{UL}) - T) / (T(\text{UL}) - T(\text{LL}))$$

Calculate MFC setpoint with CO_2 Max Flow, CO_2 Factor and Temp Factor

$$\text{MFC Setpoint} = \text{Max Flow} * \text{CO}_2 \text{ Factor} * \text{Temp Factor}$$

Turn on output relay to gas solenoid [120VAC Output 2]

Send setpoint to MFC [Analog Output 1 – 4-20mA]

LOOP TIMER – Adjust factors and setpoint every “X” seconds

Send adjusted setpoint to MFC [Analog Output 1 – 4-20mA]

IF <pH Limit or Critical Alarm Triggers:

Send Zero setpoint to MFC [Analog Output 1 – 4-20mA]

Turn off relay to gas solenoid [120VAC Output 2]

Send system to IDLE

Manual Mode (Selector Switch on HMI):

Read pH of water [Analog Input 3 – 4-20mA]

Read CO_2 sensor [Analog Input 1 – 4-20mA]

Read Temperature Sensor [Analog Input 2 – 4-20mA]

Read Data from MFC [Analog Input 8 – 4-20mA]

Read Manual MFC Flow (SLPM) [HMI Input]

Start/Stop Circulation Pump Switch – Turn on/off output relay to pump [120VAC Output 1]

Start/Stop Gas Injection Switch

Turn on/off output relay to gas solenoid [120VAC Output 2]

Send Manual MFC Flow to MFC [Analog Output 1 – 4-20mA] when switched on

Send Zero setpoint to MFC [Analog Output 1 – 4-20mA] when switched off

Start/Stop Exhaust Fan – Turn on/off relay to fan [120VAC Output 3]

Start/Stop Cooling Loop – Turn on/off relay to loop [120VAC Output 4]

IF Critical Alarm Triggers:

Send 0 setpoint to MFC [Analog Output 1 – 4-20mA]

Turn off relay to gas solenoid [120VAC Output 2]

Discharge Pump:

Truck Discharge Button

Read Discharge Volume (L) [HMI Input]

Calculate Discharge Time (secs)

Discharge Time=Discharge Volume/Pump Flow Rate*60

Turn on output relay to discharge pump [120VAC Output 4]

Turn off output relay to discharge pump [120VAC Output 4] when timer expires

Alarms:

High Water Temp – Upper limit + 10C – Red bar on bottom of HMI, activate cooling loop
[120VAC Output 4]

Low Water Temp – 10C – Red bar on bottom of HMI

Critical Low Water Temp – 2C – Critical Alarm (Alarm Pop-up & Shuts down system)

Low CO₂ Temp – 5C – Critical Alarm (Alarm Pop-up & Shuts down system)

Low CO₂ Pressure – 20 psi – Critical Alarm (Alarm Pop-up & Shuts down system)

High CO₂ Pressure – 95 psi – Red bar on bottom of HMI

Critical CO₂ Pressure – 110psi – Critical Alarm (Alarm Pop-up & Shuts down system)

High CO₂ Sensor – Upper Limit – Red bar on bottom of HMI, activate exhaust fan [120VAC Output 3]

Critical CO₂ Sensor – 2000 PPM - Critical Alarm (Alarm Pop-up & Shuts down system)

Exhaust fan remains on until CO₂ level at Lower Limit

0 Discharge Volume – 0 entered as discharge volume – Alarm pop-up (Enter Discharge Volume)

PLC Battery Low – PLC battery below minimum voltage

0 Discharge Pump Flow Rate – Discharge pump flow rate is set to 0

Data:

Data are recorded via HMI (once every 2 seconds)

MFC data – only when gas solenoid is open (i.e. system is injecting CO₂, otherwise everything is 0)

All other sensors:

Auto Mode – All times

Manual Mode – Only when pump is on

[00378] This Example demonstrates one form of control logic. It will be appreciated that fewer, more, or different characteristics may be monitored, and carbon dioxide flow rates adjusted as appropriate.

EXAMPLE 13

[00379] This Example demonstrates that carbon dioxide addition to wash water does not necessarily result in a stable pH.

[00380] The following conditions were used:

Water Volume: 50 L

Cement: 3.75 kg

Specific gravity: 1.05

CO₂ Flow: 12.1 LPM

Initial pH: 9.8

Initial Temp: 17.3°C

CO₂ applied 90 minutes. pH dropped from 11.8 to 6.2

pH monitored thereafter.

[00381] The apparatus of Example 11 was used. CO₂ was applied for 90 minutes, and the pH dropped from 11.8 to 6.2. The CO₂ flow was stopped and pH was monitored thereafter. The pH steadily increased in the absence of CO₂ addition (see **Figure 85**).

EXAMPLE 14

[00382] In this Example, an industrial prototype operation was studied.

[00383] Wash water was sampled from two trucks. Conditions are as shown in TABLE 9

TABLE 9

Conditions for industrial prototype test

	Truck 1	Truck 2
Washout	11:30 AM	1:00 PM
Batched	9:54 AM	11:22 AM
Age	1h 36min	1h 38min
Quantity	5.46m ³	0.77m ³
Admixture	None	DARACCELL
Admix amount		1000mL

Strength class	30MPa	35 MPa
-------------------	-------	--------

[00384] The trucks were washed into a storage container. After each washing the wash out slurry was allowed to settle for 10 minutes. Slurry was then pumped from the storage tank into the treatment vessel. 720 litres of wash water were collected. The treatment vessel had a 3HP pump submerged at the bottom of the vessel, which pumped water through an approximately 15-foot piping loop, extending up out of the vessel and looped over the top, then directed back down to the bottom of the vessel. Carbon dioxide was injected at 4 points in the first two feet of the loop, so that there was a long extent of the loop in which carbon dioxide could be absorbed into the wash water. The carbon dioxide content of the air in the headspace of the vessel was monitored, and the flow rate was adjusted if carbon dioxide content became too high. With this control system, efficiency of carbon dioxide uptake was approximately 90%. The CO₂ treatment started at 1:30 pm, so half of the wash water was 3 hours and 36 minutes after the start of the mixing, and half was 2 hours 8 min from the start of mixing.

[00385] CO₂ was added to the wash water for 3 hours (1h 47 minutes of CO₂, injection paused 38 minutes to change the mass flow meter, injection for 35 minutes more). The pH declined from 12.26 to 11.0. The CO₂ in the headspace was close to ambient for the first portion of the test, and slightly elevated later in the test.

[00386] Conditions during the test are shown in TABLE 10.

TABLE 10
Conditions during industrial prototype test

Time (min)	WATER pH	WATER TEMP (°C)	CO2 in HEADSPACE (ppm)	FLOW (SLPM)	CO2 PRESSURE (psi)	Specific Gravity	Net % CO2 by weight of cement in solids
0	12.26	19.32				1.055	0.3%
15	12.26	19.49	498	52	31.28	1.058	2.8%
30	11.70	20.66	498	49	31.24	1.055	4.8%
45	11.63	21.93	449	45	31.38	1.06	8.3%
60	11.44	22.76	449	48	32.51	1.057	10.0%
75	11.43	23.10	449	48	32.74	1.058	9.8%
90	11.04	24.85	498	43	32.62	1.056	14.9%
105	11.04	24.58	449	35	28.80	1.058	17.5%

120	10.90	25.18			n/a		17.5%
135	11.23	25.34			n/a	1.069	16.7%
150	11.26	25.64	648	32	23.96	1.069	17.5%
165	11.22	25.57	648	28	24.21	1.07	17.6%
180	11.00	25.68	648	28	24.43		16.1%

[00387] At 1140 min a total of 11.5 kg of carbon dioxide had been delivered to the wash water. A carbon analysis determined the solids had a raw carbon content of 11.8% by final mass of solids. This was determined to be a net carbon content of 13.3% by weight of initial solids mass. The solids were estimated to be 90% binder and 10% sand. The binder was estimated to be 80% cement and 20% fly ash. Thus, an estimate of the CO₂ uptake by weight of cement was determined to be 17.8% by weight of initial cement.

[00388] The specific gravity of the wash water at the conclusion was 1.08. An estimated 778 kg of wash water was comprised of 87 kg of solids, and 691 kg of water. The 87 kg of solids contained 11.8% CO₂ by mass meaning there was 10.3 kg of bound CO₂ and 76.7 kg baseline solids (which includes some undetermined amount of chemically bound water). As compared to the total delivery of the CO₂ the carbon dioxide was mineralized at a rate of 89%.

[00389] **Figure 86** shows pH and specific gravity vs. treatment time. Specific gravity increased as pH decreased. **Figure 87** shows specific gravity and net %CO₂ in solids (by weight of cement) vs treatment time. The specific gravity and CO₂ content increases with treatment time. **Figure 88** shows pH and net % CO₂ in solids (by weight of cement) vs treatment time. CO₂ content increases and pH decreases with treatment time.

[00390] This Example demonstrates that a high carbon dioxide uptake can be achieved using wash water from ready-mix trucks, and feedback control of carbon dioxide delivery can achieve an efficiency of uptake of 89% or greater.

EXAMPLE 15

[00391] This Example demonstrates the effect of a set-retarding admixture, in this case sodium gluconate, used at different concentrations, on properties of concrete made with CO₂-treated wash water.

[00392] Concrete production procedure

- Add coarse aggregate and sand to mixer
- Mix 15 seconds

- Add 80% of the mix water
- Mix 15 seconds
- Add cement and fly ash
- Mix 15 seconds
- Add remaining mix water (tailwater) and admixtures
- Mix 3 minutes

[00393] Mix design

Sand Mass (kg/m ³)	785
Coarse Agg Mass (kg/m ³)	1085
Cement Mass (kg/m ³)	310
Mix water (kg/m ³)	194
w/b	0.63
Cement Type	Lafarge Brookfield

- Aggregates in default mix design are saturated surface dry (SSD)
- Mix water usage calculated per batch upon the moisture condition of the aggregates.
In some cases, the aggregates were under SSD and thus additional mix water was required to compensate.

[00394] CO₂ Treatment: In each case the CO₂ treatment of the wash water used a flow rate of 7.9 LPM for 75 minutes. The apparatus of Example 11 was used, except wash water volume was 30L, not 50L.

[00395] Test 1: 0.225% Gluconate immediately after washing, CO₂ before use as mix water

[00396] A washwater slurry was made with 2 kg cement and 20 kg of water. The slurry was mixed slowly for three hours. Sodium gluconate was then added at 0.225% bwc and mixed in. At 24 hrs, the slurry was diluted with an additional 10 kg of water and added to a 50 L carbon dioxide treatment loop. The specific gravity was measured to be 1.05. A control sample of wash water was taken, and a CO₂ dosage ramp was started. Samples were removed at various times. Concrete was made using the water samples as mix water shortly after the waters were sampled. The CO₂ treated water was used to produce concrete at 35, 60, 80 and 105 minutes after of the start of treatment.

[00397] It was observed that the slump was reduced when wash water was used as mix water, but the concrete was still workable. The CO₂ did not impact the set time acceleration, but it did counteract the slower hydration (as observed through calorimetry, examining the energy

release in J/g) due to the retarder. The counteraction of the CO₂ towards the retarder increased with increasing CO₂ uptake.

[00398]

Table 7: Trial details of wash water stabilized with 0.225% bwc sodium gluconate

Batch ID	Control	WW	CO2-1	CO2-2	CO2-3
Volume (m ³)	0.0258	0.0258	0.0258	0.0258	0.0258
Sand (kg/m ³)	785	785	785	785	785
Coarse Aggregate (kg/m ³)	1085	1085	1085	1085	1085
Cement (kg/m ³)	310	310	310	310	310
Target mix water (kg/m ³)	194	194	194	194	194
Potable Water Mass (kg/m ³)	184	0	0	0	0
Aggregate water (kg/m ³)	10	-4	-4	-4	-4
Wash water mass (kg/m ³)	0	198	198	198	198
Total potable mix water (kg/m ³)	194	-4	-4	-4	-4
WW fraction of mix water	0%	102%	102%	102%	102%
Cement in mix water (kg/m ³)	0	14	14	14	14
Total cement (kg/m ³)	310	324	324	324	324
w/c ratio (virgin cement)	0.63	0.63	0.63	0.63	0.63
w/c ratio (total cement)	0.63	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60
Net wash water uptake (%bwc)	0%	0%	7.5%	21.7%	25.9%
Slump (mm)	140	90	70	80	80
Relative slump	100%	64%	50%	57%	57%
Temperature (°C)	17.8	18.5	20.6	22.5	23.1
Set time via calorimetry	3 h 4min	2 h 39 min	2 h 44 min	2 h 39 min	2 h 44 min
Relative energy - 8 hours	100%	101%	115%	141%	148%
Relative energy - 12 hours	100%	92%	101%	116%	120%
Relative energy - 16 hours	100%	88%	96%	108%	111%
Relative energy - 20 hours	100%	85%	93%	103%	106%

[00399] Test 2: 1% NaG – gluconate after washing, CO₂ at 24 hours

[00400] A washwater slurry was made with 2 kg cement and 30 kg of water. Sodium gluconate was added at 1.0% bwc to the washwater slurry three hours after cement was added to water. At 24 hrs, the water was added to the 50 L carbon dioxide treatment loop. The specific gravity was measured to be 1.05. A control sample of wash water was taken, and a CO₂ dosage ramp was started. Samples were removed at various intervals. Concrete was made with the water samples as mix water shortly after the waters were sampled.

[00401] The increased dose of gluconate increased the slump of the untreated wash water concrete to be greater than the reference batch. The treatment of the water with the CO₂ caused the slump to decrease with increasing uptake. The concrete did maintain some workability, at about half the level of the reference. The sodium gluconate caused a slower set time in the untreated wash water batch, but in all but the lowest level of CO₂ the set times were similar to the reference and the highest level of CO₂ led to set acceleration.

Table 8: Trial details of wash water stabilized with 1.0% bwc sodium gluconate

Batch ID	Control	WW	CO2-1	CO2-2	CO2-3	CO2-4	CO2-5
Volume (m ³)	0.0258	0.0258	0.0258	0.0258	0.0258	0.0258	0.0258
Sand (kg/m ³)	785	785	785	785	785	785	785
Coarse Aggregate (kg/m ³)	1085	1085	1085	1085	1085	1085	1085
Cement (kg/m ³)	310	310	310	310	310	310	310
Target mix water (kg/m ³)	194	194	194	194	194	194	194
Potable Water Mass (kg/m ³)	184	198	198	198	198	198	198
Aggregate water (kg/m ³)	-15	-28	-28	-28	-28	-28	-28
Wash water mass (kg/m ³)	24	23	23	23	23	23	23
Total potable mix water (kg/m ³)	169	171	171	171	171	171	171
WW fraction of mix water	13%	12%	12%	12%	12%	12%	12%
Cement in mix water (kg/m ³)	0	14	14	14	14	14	14
Total cement (kg/m ³)	310	324	324	324	324	324	324
w/c ratio (virgin cement)	0.63	0.63	0.63	0.63	0.63	0.63	0.63
w/c ratio (total cement)	0.63	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60
Treatment Time (min)	n/a	0	31	47	59	78	87
Net wash water uptake (%bwc)	0.0%	0.0%	4.6%	8.8%	16.1%	23.5%	33.2%
Slump (mm)	140	170	115	95	85	60	75
Relative slump	100%	121%	82%	68%	61%	43%	54%
Temperature (°C)	17.5	19.3	20	20.9	22	23.3	23.4
Set time via calorimetry	3 h 19 min	3 h 59 min	5 h 19 min	3 h 44 min	3 h 24 min	3 h 34 min	2 h 39 min
Relative energy - 8 hours	100%	103%	85%	126%	133%	137%	180%
Relative energy - 12 hours	100%	104%	103%	113%	112%	111%	134%
Relative energy - 16 hours	100%	104%	110%	110%	108%	107%	123%

Relative energy - 20 hours	100%	103%	113%	108%	105%	106%	118%
----------------------------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------

[00402] Test 3: 2% Na – gluconate after washing, CO₂ at 24 hours

[00403] A washwater slurry was made with 2 kg cement and 30 kg of water. Sodium gluconate was added at 2.0% bwc to the washwater slurry three hours after cement was added to water. At 24 hrs, the water was added to the 50 L carbon dioxide treatment loop. The specific gravity was measured to be 1.05. A control sample of wash water was taken, and a CO₂ dosage ramp was started. Samples were removed at various intervals. Concrete was made with the water samples as mix water shortly after the waters were sampled.

[00404] At this dose of sodium gluconate the slump of the untreated wash water concrete was greater than the reference batch and the set time was retarded by more than two hours. The heat of hydration was similarly lagging behind the reference. The treatment of the water with the CO₂ caused the slump to decrease slightly but it was comparable to the control. The longest treatment time, providing 20.5% uptake by weight of cement, caused the slump to reduce slightly though it was 96% of the control. The set time increased at the first two CO₂ treatment durations but was only retarded by 35 minutes at the highest uptake. The heat of hydration showed a further reduction once the carbon dioxide was added but it trended back towards the control at the second sample and surpassed the control at the final sample. The one day compressive strength was lowest for the untreated wash water but increased with increasing CO₂ treatment time to exceed the reference by 14% at 45 minutes treatment/12.6% uptake and 18% at 56 minutes treatment/20.5% uptake

Table 9: Trial details of wash water stabilized with 2.0% bwc sodium gluconate

Batch ID	Control	WW	CO ₂ -1	CO ₂ -2	CO ₂ -3
Volume (m ³)	0.0258	0.0242	0.0242	0.0242	0.0242
Sand (kg/m ³)	785	785	785	785	837
Coarse Aggregate (kg/m ³)	1085	1084	1084	1084	1157
Cement (kg/m ³)	310	310	310	310	331
Target mix water (kg/m ³)	194	194	194	194	194
Potable Water Mass (kg/m ³)	184	0	0	0	0
Aggregate water (kg/m ³)	10	-4	-4	-4	-4
Wash water mass (kg/m ³)	0	198	198	198	198
Total potable mix water (kg/m ³)	194	-4	-4	-4	-4
WW fraction of mix water	0%	102%	102%	102%	102%
Cement in mix water (kg/m ³)	0	14	14	14	14
Total cement (kg/m ³)	310	324	324	324	345

w/c ratio (virgin cement)	0.63	0.63	0.63	0.63	0.59
w/c ratio (total cement)	0.63	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.56
Treatment Time (min)	n/a	0	27	45	56
Net wash water uptake (%bwc)	0.0%	0.0%	4.1%	12.6%	20.5%
Slump (mm)	140	180	150	150	135
Relative slump	100%	129%	107%	107%	96%
Temperature (°C)	17.5	18.5	19.6	20.6	22.5
24 h strength (MPa)	13.0	11.0	12.4	14.8	15.4
Relative 24h strength	100%	84%	95%	114%	118%
Set time via calorimetry	3 h 19 min	5 h 34 min	8 h 54 min	7 h 29 min	3 h 54 min
Relative energy - 8 hours	100%	57%	30%	48%	147%
Relative energy - 12 hours	100%	79%	54%	69%	123%
Relative energy - 16 hours	100%	89%	79%	86%	118%
Compressive strength, 24 hrs	100%	84%	96%	114%	118%

[00405] Summary of slump and uptake data from the three doses of sodium gluconate in Tests 1-3

The reference batch with potable water had a slump of 140 mm in all tests. The lowest dose of sodium gluconate (0.225%) did not counteract the slump reduction due to use of wash water as mix water, and there was no impact of increasing CO₂ uptake, with all levels of CO₂ remaining at lower slump than control. The 1% dose restored the slump in the wash water not treated with CO₂ to a level higher than the reference. The slump declined as the CO₂ uptake increased to levels below control. The 2% dose restored the slump in the untreated wash water to a level greater than the reference. At 4% and 13% CO₂ uptake the slump was comparable to the control. At 20% CO₂ uptake the slump was slightly lower than control. Thus, at the highest sodium gluconate dose the reduction in slump was less sensitive to uptake.

[00406] Test 4: Stability of Treated Wash Water

[00407] Washwater was made with 2 kg Cement and 30 kg of water. Sodium gluconate was added to the washwater at 2% bwc three hours after the cement was added to water. After 24 hours, the washwater was added to the small prototype (50 L loop) and agitated. The specific gravity was measured to be 1.05. A control sample of wash water was taken and the wash water was treated with CO₂. A sample was taken after the treatment was complete as well as at 1, 3 and 24 hours afterwards. Concrete was made using the various water samples as mix water shortly after the waters were sampled.

[00408] The workability was not affected by the aging of the treated wash water. The 24 hour strength decreased slightly with increasing age of the treated wash water.

Table 10: Trial details of wash water stabilized with 2.0% bwc sodium gluconate with various post treatment hold times

Batch ID	Control	WWT	1hr WWT	3hr WWT	24hr WWT
Volume (m ³)	0.0258	0.0242	0.0242	0.0242	0.0242
Sand (kg/m ³)	785	785	785	785	785
Coarse Aggregate (kg/m ³)	1085	1084	1084	1084	1084
Cement (kg/m ³)	310	310	310	310	310
Target mix water (kg/m ³)	194	194	194	194	194
Potable Water Mass (kg/m ³)	184	0	0	0	0
Aggregate water (kg/m ³)	10	-4	-4	-4	-4
Wash water mass (kg/m ³)	0	198	198	198	198
Total potable mix water (kg/m ³)	194	-4	-4	-4	-4
WW fraction of mix water	0%	102%	102%	102%	102%
Cement in mix water (kg/m ³)	0	14	14	14	14
Total cement (kg/m ³)	310	324	324	324	324
w/c ratio (virgin cement)	0.63	0.63	0.63	0.63	0.63
w/c ratio (total cement)	0.63	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60
Post Treatment Hold Time (min)	n/a	0	1 h	3 h	24 h
Net wash water uptake (%bwc)	0.0%	16.0%			
Slump (mm)	140	150	150	165	155
Relative slump	100%	107%	107%	118%	111%
Temperature (°C)	17.5	22.2	22	20.9	19.2
24 h strength (MPa)	13.0	13.2	14.0	12.4	11.0
Relative 24h strength	100%	101%	107%	95%	84%

[00409] Test 5: Carbonation treatment immediately after 2% NaG

[00410] Washwater was made with 2 kg Cement and 30 kg of water. Sodium gluconate was added to the washwater at 2% bwc three hours after the cement was added to water. The slurry was mixed for 15 minutes. The washwater was then added to the small prototype (50L loop) and agitated. The specific gravity was measured to be 1.05. A control sample of wash water was taken, and the wash water was treated with CO₂ for 1 hour and 15 min. Concrete was made using potable water and with the treated water, immediately after CO₂ treatment and 24 hours after treatment.

[00411] The slump of the CO₂ treated wash water concrete was comparable to the control. The sodium gluconate caused the untreated wash water to have a set time increased by more than two hours. The CO₂ treatment reduced the set retardation to 50 minutes compared to

control. The one day strength was less than the reference but by three days the strength was comparable to the reference. The effect is consistent with retarded concrete.

Table 11: Trial details of wash water stabilized with 2.0% bwc sodium gluconate immediately before treatment with CO₂

Batch ID	Control	WW	WWT	24hr WWT
Volume (m ³)	0.0258	0.0242	0.0242	0.0242
Sand (kg/m ³)	785	785	785	785
Coarse Aggregate (kg/m ³)	1085	1084	1084	1084
Cement (kg/m ³)	310	310	310	310
Target mix water (kg/m ³)	194	194	194	194
Potable Water Mass (kg/m ³)	184	0	0	0
Aggregate water (kg/m ³)	10	-4	-4	-4
Wash water mass (kg/m ³)	0	198	198	198
Total potable mix water (kg/m ³)	194	-4	-4	-4
WW fraction of mix water	0%	102%	102%	102%
Cement in mix water (kg/m ³)	0	14	14	14
Total cement (kg/m ³)	310	324	324	324
w/c ratio (virgin cement)	0.63	0.63	0.63	0.63
w/c ratio (total cement)	0.63	0.60	0.60	0.60
Post Treatment Hold Time (min)	n/a	n/a	0	24 h
Net wash water uptake (%bwc)	0.0%	0.0%	20.1%	20.6%
Slump (mm)	140	180	160	160
Relative slump	100%	129%	114%	114%
Temperature (°C)	17.5	18.5	22.1	18.6
24 h strength (MPa)	13.0	11.0	9.5	
Relative 24h strength	100%	84%	73%	
3 d strength (MPa)	21.6		20.7	
Relative 3 d strength	100%		96%	
Set time via calorimetry	3 h 19 min	5 h 34 min	4 h 9 min	
Relative energy - 8 hours	100%	57%	106%	
Relative energy - 12 hours	100%	79%	89%	
Relative energy - 16 hours	100%	89%	89%	

[00412] Test 6: Carbonation treatment immediately after 1.5% NaG

[00413] Washwater was made with 2 kg Cement and 30 kg of water. Sodium gluconate was added to the washwater at 1.5% bwc three hours after the cement was added to water. The

slurry was mixed for 15 minutes. The washwater was then added to the small prototype (50L tank) and agitated. The specific gravity was measured to be 1.05. A control sample of wash water was taken, and the wash water was treated with CO₂ for 1 hour and 15 minutes.

Concrete was made using potable water and with the treated water.

[00414] The slumps of the two batches of concrete were comparable with the stabilized and CO₂ treated batch being higher. The set times were within 10 minutes of each other and the heats of hydration were increased for the treated batch at the three intervals examined. The one day compressive strength was improved, while the 7-day compressive strength was slightly lower.

Table 12: Trial details of wash water stabilized with 1.5% bwc sodium gluconate immediately before treatment with CO₂

Batch ID	Control	WWT
Volume (m ³)	0.0242	0.0242
Sand (kg/m ³)	785	785
Coarse Aggregate (kg/m ³)	1084	1084
Cement (kg/m ³)	310	310
Target mix water (kg/m ³)	194	194
Potable Water Mass (kg/m ³)	184	0
Aggregate water (kg/m ³)	10	-4
Wash water mass (kg/m ³)	0	198
Total potable mix water (kg/m ³)	194	-4
WW fraction of mix water	0%	102%
Cement in mix water (kg/m ³)	0	14
Total cement (kg/m ³)	310	324
w/c ratio (virgin cement)	0.63	0.63
w/c ratio (total cement)	0.63	0.60
Slump (mm)	80	95
Relative slump	100%	119%
Temperature (°C)	17	21.2
24 h strength (MPa)	11.0	12.3
Relative 24h strength	100%	112%
7 d strength (MPa)	30.6	28.2
Relative 7 d strength	100%	92%
28 d strength (Mpa)	38.2	37.6
Relative 28 d strength	100%	98%

Set time via calorimetry	3 h 19 min	3 h 29 min
Relative energy - 8 hours	100%	173%
Relative energy - 12 hours	100%	138%
Relative energy - 16 hours	100%	129%

EXAMPLE 16

[00415] Wash water with sodium gluconate, treated with CO₂, and held before use as concrete mix water

[00416] Washwater was made with 1 kg Cement and 15 kg of water. Sodium gluconate was added to the washwater at 1.5% bwc three hours after the cement was added to water. The slurry was mixed for 15 minutes. The washwater was then added to the small prototype and agitated. The specific gravity was measured to be 1.05. The wash water was treated with CO₂ for 1 hour and 15 minutes. 24 hrs after the completion of the CO₂ treatment, the treated water was used to make a batch of concrete. A parallel batch of wash water was produced using a dose of 2.0% gluconate and used to make another concrete batch after 7 days of storage.

[00417] The slumps of the two batches of concrete with treated wash water were comparable to (and slightly higher than) the potable water control. The one day compressive strength was improved by using the treated wash water. The aging of the wash water improved the properties of the concrete so produced.

[00418] _

<u>Batch ID</u>	<u>Control</u>	<u>WWT</u>	<u>WWT</u>
<u>Volume (m³)</u>	<u>0.0242</u>	<u>0.0243</u>	<u>0.0243</u>
<u>Sand (kg/m³)</u>	<u>785</u>	<u>781</u>	<u>781</u>
<u>Coarse Aggregate (kg/m³)</u>	<u>1084</u>	<u>1080</u>	<u>1080</u>
<u>Cement (kg/m³)</u>	<u>310</u>	<u>309</u>	<u>309</u>
<u>Target mix water (kg/m³)</u>	<u>194</u>	<u>193</u>	<u>193</u>
<u>Potable Water Mass (kg/m³)</u>	<u>184</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>Aggregate water (kg/m³)</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>-4</u>	<u>-4</u>
<u>Wash water mass (kg/m³)</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>197</u>	<u>197</u>
<u>Total potable mix water (kg/m³)</u>	<u>194</u>	<u>-4</u>	<u>-4</u>
<u>WW fraction of mix water</u>	<u>0%</u>	<u>102%</u>	<u>102%</u>
<u>Cement in mix water (kg/m³)</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>14</u>

<u>Total cement (kg/m³)</u>	<u>310</u>	<u>323</u>	<u>323</u>
<u>w/c ratio (virgin cement)</u>	<u>0.63</u>	<u>0.63</u>	<u>0.63</u>
<u>w/c ratio (total cement)</u>	<u>0.63</u>	<u>0.60</u>	<u>0.60</u>
<u>Slump (mm)</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>125</u>
<u>Relative slump</u>	<u>100%</u>	<u>125%</u>	<u>156%</u>
<u>Temperature (°C)</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>16.5</u>	<u>16.4</u>
<u>24 h strength (MPa)</u>	<u>11.0</u>	<u>14.4</u>	<u>14.9</u>
<u>Relative 24h strength</u>	<u>100%</u>	<u>131%</u>	<u>136%</u>
<u>7 d strength (MPa)</u>	<u>30.6</u>	<u>30.8</u>	<u>32.4</u>
<u>Relative 7 d strength</u>	<u>100%</u>	<u>101%</u>	<u>106%</u>
<u>28 d strength (MPa)</u>	<u>38.2</u>	<u>39.0</u>	<u>42.0</u>
<u>Relative 28 d strength</u>	<u>100%</u>	<u>102%</u>	<u>110%</u>

-

[00419] While preferred embodiments of the present invention have been shown and described herein, it will be obvious to those skilled in the art that such embodiments are provided by way of example only. Numerous variations, changes, and substitutions will now occur to those skilled in the art without departing from the invention. It should be understood that various alternatives to the embodiments of the invention described herein may be employed in practicing the invention. It is intended that the following claims define the scope of the invention and that methods and structures within the scope of these claims and their equivalents be covered thereby.

CLAIMS

What is claimed is

1. A method of preparing a concrete mix comprising

(i) adding concrete materials to a mixer;

(ii) adding mix water to the mixer, wherein the mix water comprises carbonated concrete wash water, and wherein the carbonated concrete wash water is prepared by a method comprising transporting concrete wash water from a holding tank holding the concrete wash water into a recirculation loop operably connected to the holding tank, introducing carbon dioxide into the wash water in the recirculation loop, and moving the wash water back into the holding tank via the recirculation loop; and

(iii) mixing the water and the concrete materials to produce a concrete mix.

2. The method of claim 1 wherein the carbonated concrete wash water comprises at least 10% of the total mix water.

3. The method of claim 1 wherein the carbonated concrete mix water comprises at least 40% of the total mix water.

4. The method of claim 1 wherein the carbonated concrete wash water has a density of at least 1.10 g/cm³.

5. The method of claim 1 wherein the concrete mix is sufficiently workable for its intended use, and the carbonated wash water is of an age that the same mix made with the wash water of the same age in the same proportions, but without carbonation would not be sufficiently workable for its intended use.

6. The method of claim 1 wherein the mix water comprises carbonated wash water in an amount that results in a concrete mix that is at least 5% stronger at a time after pouring than the same concrete mix made without carbonated wash water.

7. The method of claim 6 wherein the time after pouring is 1 day, 7 days, 28 days, or any combination thereof.

8. The method of claim 1 wherein the mix water comprises carbonated wash water in an amount that allows the concrete mix to contain at least 5% less cement than, and retain a compressive strength after pouring of within 5% of, the same concrete mix made without carbonated wash water and with the extra 5% cement.
9. The method of claim 1 further comprising transporting carbonated wash water from the holding tank to a concrete mixer.
10. The method of claim 1 wherein the method of preparing the carbonated wash water further comprises monitoring at least one of pH, specific gravity, carbon dioxide in air above the holding tank, or temperature of the wash water.
11. The method of claim 1 further comprising contacting the concrete mix with additional carbon dioxide while it is mixing.
12. The method of claim 1 wherein carbon dioxide is introduced into the wash water in the recirculation loop in the first half of the loop only.
13. The method of claim 1 further comprising controlling the introduction of carbon dioxide into the recirculation loop by a control system, wherein the control system monitors one or more conditions comprising at least activity of a pump that pumps new wash water into the holding tank, determines whether or not to add carbon dioxide, and sends a signal to a valve controlling carbon dioxide addition to the recirculation loop based on the determination of whether or not to add carbon dioxide.