

COMPOSITIONS FOR THE TREATMENT OF RHEUMATOID ARTHRITIS AND METHODS OF USING SAME

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

5 The present invention relates to the field of therapeutic treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. More specifically, the invention relates to the use of interleukin-6 receptor (IL-6R) antagonists, such as anti-IL-6R antibodies combined with disease modifying antirheumatic drugs, to treat rheumatoid arthritis.

10 BACKGROUND

It is estimated that approximately 0.5% to 1% of the adult population in North America and Europe is affected by rheumatoid arthritis (RA). RA affects women twice as often as men and the incidence is highest among women over 40 years of age.

RA is characterized by persistent synovitis and progressive destruction of cartilage and bone in multiple joints. The hallmark of the disease is a symmetric polyarthritis characteristically involving the small joints of the hands and feet. The inflammatory process can also target other organs, characteristically bone marrow (anemia), eye (scleritis, episcleritis), lung (interstitial pneumonitis, pleuritis), cardiac (pericarditis) and skin (nodules, leukocytoclastic vasculitis). Systemic inflammation is characterized by laboratory abnormalities, such as anemia, elevated erythrocyte sedimentation rate, fibrinogen and C-reactive protein (CRP) and by clinical symptoms of fatigue, weight loss, muscle atrophy in affected joint areas. The presence of polyclonal high-titer rheumatoid factors and anticyclic citrullinated peptide (anti-CCP) antibodies provides evidence of immune dysregulation. It has been estimated that 65% to 70% of RA patients have progressive disease that leads to joint destruction, disability and premature death.

There is a need in the art for improved treatment regimens for the improvement of symptoms associated with RA.

30 SUMMARY

The present disclosure provides a method of treating rheumatoid arthritis in a subject in need thereof. The method includes administering to the subject an effective amount of sarilumab (SAR153191) and a member of the group consisting of leflunomide, sulfasalazine and hydroxychloroquine. In certain embodiments, the subject was previously ineffectively treated for rheumatoid arthritis by administering a

TNF- α antagonist. Specifically, subject could have been treated for at least three months with the TNF- α antagonist or could have been intolerant of the TNF- α antagonist. The TNF- α antagonist could be etanercept, infliximab, adalimumab, golimumab or certolizumab. In other embodiments, the subject was previously
5 ineffectively treated for rheumatoid arthritis by administering methotrexate.

The sarilumab could be administered at between 50 and 150 mg per week or between 100 and 200 mg per two weeks.

In certain specific embodiments, sarilumab and leflunomide are administered to the subject. The leflunomide can be administered orally. The leflunomide can also be
10 administered at between 10 and 20 mg per day to the subject.

In other specific embodiments, sarilumab and sulfasalazine are administered to the subject. The sulfasalazine can be administered orally. The sulfasalazine can also be administered at between 1000 to 3000 mg per day to the subject.

In other specific embodiments, sarilumab and hydroxychloroquine are
15 administered to the subject. The hydroxychloroquine can be administered orally. The hydroxychloroquine can also be administered at between 200 to 400 mg per day to the subject.

In some embodiments, as a result of the treatment, the subject achieves a 20% or 50% improvement in the American College of Rheumatology core set disease index
20 after 12 weeks of treatment. In other embodiments, as a result of the treatment, the subject achieves a 20%, 50% or 70% improvement in the American College of Rheumatology core set disease index after 24 weeks of treatment.

In some embodiments, as a result of the treatment, the subject achieves a lower disease activity score after 12 weeks of treatment than the subject had before
25 treatment. The disease activity score can be less than or equal to 2.6 at 12 weeks. The disease activity score can decrease by greater than 1.2 between start of treatment and 12 weeks. The disease activity score can be less than or equal to 3.2 at 12 weeks. The disease activity score can decrease by greater than 0.6 between start of treatment and 12 weeks. The disease activity score can be less than or equal to 5.1 at 12 weeks.

30 In some embodiments, as a result of the treatment, the subject achieves a lower disease activity score after 24 weeks of treatment than the subject had before treatment. The disease activity score can be less than or equal to 2.6 at 24 weeks. The disease activity score can decrease by greater than 1.2 between start of treatment and 24 weeks. The disease activity score can be less than or equal to 3.2 at 24 weeks.

The disease activity score can decrease by greater than 0.6 between start of treatment and 24 weeks. The disease activity score can be less than or equal to 5.1 at 24 weeks.

The present disclosure also provides a method of treating rheumatoid arthritis in a subject in need thereof comprising administering to the subject an effective amount of sarilumab and methotrexate, wherein the subject was previously ineffectively treated for rheumatoid arthritis by administering an anti-TNF- α therapeutic. In certain
5 embodiments, the subject was previously ineffectively treated for rheumatoid arthritis by administering methotrexate. The methotrexate can be administered at between 10 to 25 mg per week to the subject.

10 In certain embodiments, the subject is a mammal. The mammal can be a human. In certain embodiments, the human is descended from individuals from Asia or the Pacific. Humans descended from individuals from Asia or the Pacific can be administered between 6 and 25 mg per week of methotrexate.

In certain embodiments, the subject was previously ineffectively treated for
15 rheumatoid arthritis by administering a TNF- α antagonist. Specifically, subject could have been treated for at least three months with the TNF- α antagonist or could have been intolerant of the TNF- α antagonist. The TNF- α antagonist could be etanercept, infliximab, adalimumab, golimumab or certolizumab. In other embodiments, the subject was previously ineffectively treated for rheumatoid arthritis by administering
20 methotrexate.

The sarilumab could be administered at between 50 and 150 mg per week or between 100 and 200 mg per two weeks.

In some embodiments, as a result of the treatment, the subject achieves a 20% or 50% improvement in the American College of Rheumatology core set disease index
25 after 12 weeks of treatment. In other embodiments, as a result of the treatment, the subject achieves a 20%, 50% or 70% improvement in the American College of Rheumatology core set disease index after 24 weeks of treatment.

In some embodiments, as a result of the treatment, the subject achieves a lower disease activity score after 12 weeks of treatment than the subject had before
30 treatment. The disease activity score can be less than or equal to 2.6 at 12 weeks.

The disease activity score can decrease by greater than 1.2 between start of treatment and 12 weeks. The disease activity score can be less than or equal to 3.2 at 12 weeks. The disease activity score can decrease by greater than 0.6 between start of treatment and 12 weeks. The disease activity score can be less than or equal to 5.1 at 12 weeks.

In some embodiments, as a result of the treatment, the subject achieves a lower disease activity score after 24 weeks of treatment than the subject had before treatment. The disease activity score can be less than or equal to 2.6 at 24 weeks.

5 The disease activity score can decrease by greater than 1.2 between start of treatment and 24 weeks. The disease activity score can be less than or equal to 3.2 at 24 weeks. The disease activity score can decrease by greater than 0.6 between start of treatment and 24 weeks. The disease activity score can be less than or equal to 5.1 at 24 weeks.

10 The disclosure also provides a pharmaceutical composition comprising an effective amount of sarilumab and a member of the group consisting of leflunomide, sulfasalazine and hydroxychloroquine. The sarilumab could be present at between 50 and 150 mg per dose or between 100 and 200 mg per dose.

In certain specific embodiments, the composition includes sarilumab and leflunomide. The leflunomide can be present in an oral dosage form. The leflunomide can be present in the composition at between 10 and 20 mg per dose.

15 In other specific embodiments, the composition includes sarilumab and sulfasalazine. The sulfasalazine can be present in an oral dosage form. The sulfasalazine can be present in the composition at between 1000 to 3000 mg per day to the subject.

20 In other specific embodiments, the composition includes sarilumab and hydroxychloroquine. The hydroxychloroquine can be present in an oral dosage form. The hydroxychloroquine can be present in the composition at between 200 to 400 mg per day to the subject.

Examples of embodiments of the invention are listed below:

25

Embodiment 1: A method of treating rheumatoid arthritis in a subject in need thereof comprising administering to the subject an effective amount of sarilumab (SAR153191) and a member of the group consisting of leflunomide, sulfasalazine and hydroxychloroquine.

30

Embodiment 2: The method of embodiment 1, wherein the subject was previously ineffectively treated for rheumatoid arthritis by administering a TNF- α antagonist.

Embodiment 3: The method of embodiment 2, wherein the TNF- α antagonist is a
35 biologic anti-TNF- α antagonist.

Embodiment 4: The method of embodiment 2 or 3, wherein the subject was treated for at least three months with the TNF- α antagonist.

- 5 Embodiment 5: The method of embodiment 2 or 3, wherein the subject was intolerant of the TNF- α antagonist.

Embodiment 6: The method of embodiment 2 or 3, wherein the TNF- α antagonist is selected from the group consisting of etanercept, infliximab, adalimumab, golimumab
10 and certolizumab.

Embodiment 7: The method of embodiment 2 or 3, wherein the subject was previously ineffectively treated for rheumatoid arthritis by administering methotrexate.

- 15 Embodiment 8: The method of embodiment 1, wherein the sarilumab is administered at between 50 and 150 mg per week.

Embodiment 9: The method of embodiment 1, wherein the sarilumab is administered at between 100 and 200 mg per two weeks.
20

Embodiment 10: The method of embodiment 1, wherein sarilumab and leflunomide are administered to the subject.

Embodiment 11: The method of embodiment 10, wherein the leflunomide is
25 administered orally.

Embodiment 12: The method of embodiment 10, wherein the leflunomide is administered at between 10 and 20 mg per day to the subject.

- 30 Embodiment 13: The method of embodiment 1, wherein sarilumab and sulfasalazine are administered to the subject.

Embodiment 14: The method of embodiment 13, wherein the sulfasalazine is administered orally.
35

Embodiment 15: The method of embodiment 13, wherein the sulfasalazine is administered at between 1000 to 3000 mg per day to the subject.

Embodiment 16: The method of embodiment 1, wherein sarilumab and
5 hydroxychloroquine are administered to the subject.

Embodiment 17: The method of embodiment 16, wherein the hydroxychloroquine is administered orally.

10 Embodiment 18: The method of embodiment 16, wherein the hydroxychloroquine is administered at between 200 to 400 mg per day to the subject.

Embodiment 19: The method of any of embodiments 1-18, wherein the subject achieves a 20% improvement in the American College of Rheumatology core set
15 disease index after 12 weeks of treatment.

Embodiment 20: The method of any of embodiments 1-18, wherein the subject achieves a 50% improvement in the American College of Rheumatology core set disease index after 12 weeks of treatment.

20 Embodiment 21: The method of any of embodiments 1-18, wherein the subject achieves a 20% improvement in the American College of Rheumatology core set disease index after 24 weeks of treatment.

25 Embodiment 22: The method of any of embodiments 1-18, wherein the subject achieves a 50% improvement in the American College of Rheumatology core set disease index after 24 weeks of treatment.

Embodiment 23: The method of any of embodiments 1-18, wherein the subject
30 achieves a 70% improvement in the American College of Rheumatology core set disease index after 24 weeks of treatment.

Embodiment 24: The method of any of embodiments 1-18, wherein the subject achieves a lower disease activity score after 12 weeks of treatment than the subject had
35 before treatment.

Embodiment 25: The method of any of embodiments 1-18, wherein the disease activity score is less than or equal to 2.6 at 12 weeks.

- 5 Embodiment 26: The method of any of embodiments 1-18, wherein the disease activity score decreases by greater than 1.2 between start of treatment and 12 weeks.

Embodiment 27: The method of any of embodiments 1-18, wherein the disease activity score is less than or equal to 3.2 at 12 weeks.

10

Embodiment 28: The method of any of embodiments 1-18, wherein the disease activity score decreases by greater than 0.6 between start of treatment and 12 weeks.

- 15 Embodiment 29: The method of any of embodiments 1-18, wherein the disease activity score is less than or equal to 5.1 at 12 weeks.

Embodiment 30: The method of any of embodiments 1-18, wherein the subject achieves a lower disease activity score after 24 weeks of treatment than the subject had before treatment.

20

Embodiment 31: The method of any of embodiments 1-18, wherein the disease activity score is less than or equal to 2.6 at 24 weeks.

- 25 Embodiment 32: The method of any of embodiments 1-18, wherein the disease activity score decreases by greater than 1.2 between start of treatment and 24 weeks.

Embodiment 33: The method of any of embodiments 1-18, wherein the disease activity score is less than or equal to 3.2 at 24 weeks.

- 30 Embodiment 34: The method of any of embodiments 1-18, wherein the disease activity score decreases by greater than 0.6 between start of treatment and 24 weeks.

Embodiment 35: The method of any of embodiments 1-18, wherein the disease activity score is less than or equal to 5.1 at 24 weeks.

35

Embodiment 36: A method of treating rheumatoid arthritis in a subject in need thereof comprising administering to the subject an effective amount of sarilumab and methotrexate, wherein the subject was previously ineffectively treated for rheumatoid arthritis by administering an anti-TNF- α antagonist.

5

Embodiment 37: The method of embodiment 36, wherein the subject was previously ineffectively treated for rheumatoid arthritis by administering methotrexate.

Embodiment 38: The method of embodiment 36, wherein the methotrexate is
10 administered at between 10 to 25 mg per week to the subject.

Embodiment 39: The method of embodiment 36, wherein the subject is a mammal.

Embodiment 40: The method of embodiment 37, wherein the mammal is a human.
15

Embodiment 41: The method of embodiment 38, wherein the human is descended from individuals from Asia or the Pacific.

Embodiment 42: The method of embodiment 39, wherein the humans descended from
20 individuals from Asia or the Pacific are administered between 6 and 25 mg per week of methotrexate.

Embodiment 43: The method of embodiment 36, wherein the subject was treated for at least three months with the TNF- α antagonist.
25

Embodiment 44: The method of embodiment 36, wherein the subject was intolerant of the TNF- α antagonist.

Embodiment 45: The method of embodiment any one of embodiments 36-44, wherein
30 the TNF- α antagonist is a biologic anti-TNF- α antagonist.

Embodiment 46: The method of embodiment 44, wherein the TNF- α antagonist is selected from the group consisting of etanercept, infliximab, adalimumab, golimumab and certolizumab.
35

Embodiment 47: The method of embodiment 36, wherein the sarilumab is administered at between 50 and 150 mg per week.

Embodiment 48: The method of embodiment 36, wherein the sarilumab is administered
5 at between 100 and 200 mg per two weeks.

Embodiment 49: The method of any of embodiments 36-48, wherein the subject achieves a 20% improvement in the American College of Rheumatology core set disease index after 12 weeks of treatment.
10

Embodiment 50: The method of any of embodiments 36-48, wherein the subject achieves a 50% improvement in the American College of Rheumatology core set disease index after 12 weeks of treatment.

15 Embodiment 51: The method of any of embodiments 36-48, wherein the subject achieves a 20% improvement in the American College of Rheumatology core set disease index after 24 weeks of treatment.

Embodiment 52: The method of any of embodiments 36-48, wherein the subject
20 achieves a 50% improvement in the American College of Rheumatology core set disease index after 24 weeks of treatment.

Embodiment 53: The method of any of embodiments 36-48, wherein the subject achieves a 70% improvement in the American College of Rheumatology core set
25 disease index after 24 weeks of treatment.

Embodiment 54: The method of any of embodiments 36-48, wherein the subject achieves a lower disease activity score after 12 weeks of treatment than the subject had before treatment.
30

Embodiment 55: The method of any of embodiments 36-48, wherein the disease activity score is less than or equal to 2.6 at 12 weeks.

Embodiment 56: The method of any of embodiments 36-48, wherein the disease activity
35 score decreases by greater than 1.2 between start of treatment and 12 weeks.

Embodiment 57: The method of any of embodiments 36-48, wherein the disease activity score is less than or equal to 3.2 at 12 weeks.

- 5 Embodiment 58: The method of any of embodiments 36-48, wherein the disease activity score decreases by greater than 0.6 between start of treatment and 12 weeks.

Embodiment 59: The method of any of embodiments 36-48, wherein the disease activity score is less than or equal to 5.1 at 12 weeks.

10

Embodiment 60: The method of any of embodiments 36-48, wherein the subject achieves a lower disease activity score after 24 weeks of treatment than the subject had before treatment.

- 15 Embodiment 61: The method of any of embodiments 36-48, wherein the disease activity score is less than or equal to 2.6 at 24 weeks.

Embodiment 62: The method of any of embodiments 36-48, wherein the disease activity score decreases by greater than 1.2 between start of treatment and 24 weeks.

20

Embodiment 63: The method of any of embodiments 34-45, wherein the disease activity score is less than or equal to 3.2 at 24 weeks.

- 25 Embodiment 64: The method of any of embodiments 34-45, wherein the disease activity score decreases by greater than 0.6 between start of treatment and 24 weeks.

Embodiment 65: The method of any of embodiments 34-45, wherein the disease activity score is less than or equal to 5.1 at 24 weeks.

- 30 Embodiment 66: A pharmaceutical composition comprising an effective amount of sarilumab and a member of the group consisting of leflunomide, sulfasalazine and hydroxychloroquine.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

The disclosure provides pharmaceutical compositions and methods of using these compositions for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and the improvement
5 of at least one symptom of RA. These compositions include at least one antibody that specifically binds human interleukin-6 receptor (hIL-6R) and at least one disease modifying antirheumatic drug (DMARD).

Anti-hIL-6R Antibodies

10 The present disclosure includes methods that comprise administering to a patient a human antibody, or an antigen-binding fragment thereof, that binds specifically to hIL-6R. As used herein, the term "hIL-6R" means a human cytokine receptor that specifically binds human interleukin-6 (IL-6). In certain embodiments, the antibody that is administered to the patient binds specifically to the extracellular domain of hIL-6R.
15 The extracellular domain of hIL-6R is shown in the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:1.

Unless specifically indicated otherwise, the term "antibody," as used herein, shall be understood to encompass antibody molecules comprising two immunoglobulin heavy chains and two immunoglobulin light chains (*i.e.*, "full antibody molecules") as
20 well as antigen-binding fragments thereof. The terms "antigen-binding portion" of an antibody, "antigen-binding fragment" of an antibody, and the like, as used herein, include any naturally occurring, enzymatically obtainable, synthetic, or genetically engineered polypeptide or glycoprotein that specifically binds an antigen to form a complex. Antigen-binding fragments of an antibody may be derived, *e.g.*, from full
25 antibody molecules using any suitable standard techniques such as proteolytic digestion or recombinant genetic engineering techniques involving the manipulation and expression of DNA encoding antibody variable and (optionally) constant domains. Such DNA is known and/or is readily available from, *e.g.*, commercial sources, DNA libraries (including, *e.g.*, phage-antibody libraries), or can be synthesized. The DNA may be
30 sequenced and manipulated chemically or by using molecular biology techniques, for example, to arrange one or more variable and/or constant domains into a suitable configuration, or to introduce codons, create cysteine residues, modify, add or delete amino acids, *etc.*

Non-limiting examples of antigen-binding fragments include: (i) Fab fragments;
35 (ii) F(ab')₂ fragments; (iii) Fd fragments; (iv) Fv fragments; (v) single-chain Fv (scFv)

molecules; (vi) dAb fragments; and (vii) minimal recognition units consisting of the amino acid residues that mimic the hypervariable region of an antibody (e.g., an isolated complementarity determining region (CDR)). Other engineered molecules, such as diabodies, triabodies, tetrabodies and minibodies, are also encompassed within the expression "antigen-binding fragment," as used herein.

An antigen-binding fragment of an antibody will typically comprise at least one variable domain. The variable domain may be of any size or amino acid composition and will generally comprise at least one CDR which is adjacent to or in frame with one or more framework sequences. In antigen-binding fragments having a V_H domain associated with a V_L domain, the V_H and V_L domains may be situated relative to one another in any suitable arrangement. For example, the variable region may be dimeric and contain V_H - V_H , V_H - V_L or V_L - V_L dimers. Alternatively, the antigen-binding fragment of an antibody may contain a monomeric V_H or V_L domain.

In certain embodiments, an antigen-binding fragment of an antibody may contain at least one variable domain covalently linked to at least one constant domain. Non-limiting, exemplary configurations of variable and constant domains that may be found within an antigen-binding fragment of an antibody of the present invention include: (i) V_H - C_{H1} ; (ii) V_H - C_{H2} ; (iii) V_H - C_{H3} ; (iv) V_H - C_{H1} - C_{H2} ; (v) V_H - C_{H1} - C_{H2} - C_{H3} ; (vi) V_H - C_{H2} - C_{H3} ; (vii) V_H - C_L ; (viii) V_L - C_{H1} ; (ix) V_L - C_{H2} ; (x) V_L - C_{H3} ; (xi) V_L - C_{H1} - C_{H2} ; (xii) V_L - C_{H1} - C_{H2} - C_{H3} ; (xiii) V_L - C_{H2} - C_{H3} ; and (xiv) V_L - C_L . In any configuration of variable and constant domains, including any of the exemplary configurations listed above, the variable and constant domains may be either directly linked to one another or may be linked by a full or partial hinge or linker region. A hinge region may consist of at least 2 (e.g., 5, 10, 15, 20, 40, 60 or more) amino acids which result in a flexible or semi-flexible linkage between adjacent variable and/or constant domains in a single polypeptide molecule. Moreover, an antigen-binding fragment of an antibody of the present invention may comprise a homo-dimer or hetero-dimer (or other multimer) of any of the variable and constant domain configurations listed above in non-covalent association with one another and/or with one or more monomeric V_H or V_L domain (e.g., by disulfide bond(s)).

The term "specifically binds," means that an antibody or antigen-binding fragment thereof forms a complex with an antigen that is relatively stable under physiologic conditions. Specific binding can be characterized by a dissociation constant of at least about 1×10^{-6} M or smaller. In other embodiments, the dissociation constant is at least about 1×10^{-7} M, 1×10^{-8} M, or 1×10^{-9} M. Methods for determining whether two

molecules specifically bind are well known in the art and include, for example, equilibrium dialysis, surface plasmon resonance, and the like.

As with full antibody molecules, antigen-binding fragments may be monospecific or multispecific (e.g., bispecific). A multispecific antigen-binding fragment of an antibody will typically comprise at least two different variable domains, wherein each variable domain is capable of specifically binding to a separate antigen or to a different epitope on the same antigen. Any multispecific antibody format, including the exemplary bispecific antibody formats disclosed herein, may be adapted for use in the context of an antigen-binding fragment of an antibody of the present invention using routine techniques available in the art.

In specific embodiments, the antibody or antibody fragment for use in the method of the invention may be a multispecific antibody, which may be specific for different epitopes of one target polypeptide or may contain antigen-binding domains specific for epitopes of more than one target polypeptide. An exemplary bi-specific antibody format that can be used in the context of the present invention involves the use of a first immunoglobulin (Ig) C_{H3} domain and a second Ig C_{H3} domain, wherein the first and second Ig C_{H3} domains differ from one another by at least one amino acid, and wherein at least one amino acid difference reduces binding of the bispecific antibody to Protein A as compared to a bi-specific antibody lacking the amino acid difference. In one embodiment, the first Ig C_{H3} domain binds Protein A and the second Ig C_{H3} domain contains a mutation that reduces or abolishes Protein A binding such as an H95R modification (by IMGT exon numbering; H435R by EU numbering). The second C_{H3} may further comprise an Y96F modification (by IMGT; Y436F by EU). Further modifications that may be found within the second C_{H3} include: D16E, L18M, N44S, K52N, V57M, and V82I (by IMGT; D356E, L358M, N384S, K392N, V397M, and V422I by EU) in the case of IgG1 antibodies; N44S, K52N, and V82I (IMGT; N384S, K392N, and V422I by EU) in the case of IgG2 antibodies; and Q15R, N44S, K52N, V57M, R69K, E79Q, and V82I (by IMGT; Q355R, N384S, K392N, V397M, R409K, E419Q, and V422I by EU) in the case of IgG4 antibodies. Variations on the bi-specific antibody format described above are contemplated within the scope of the present invention.

In other specific embodiments, the antibody is sarilumab (SAR153191). The heavy chain variable region of sarilumab is shown below as SEQ ID NO:2.

The light chain variable region of sarilumab is shown below as SEQ ID NO:3.

A "neutralizing" or "blocking" antibody, as used herein, is intended to refer to an antibody whose binding to hIL-6R results in inhibition of the biological activity of hIL-6. This inhibition of the biological activity of hIL-6 can be assessed by measuring one or more indicators of hIL-6 biological activity known to the art, such as hIL-6-induced
5 cellular activation and hIL-6 binding to hIL-6R (see examples below).

The fully-human anti-IL-6R antibodies disclosed herein may comprise one or more amino acid substitutions, insertions and/or deletions in the framework and/or CDR regions of the heavy and light chain variable domains as compared to the corresponding germline sequences. Such mutations can be readily ascertained by
10 comparing the amino acid sequences disclosed herein to germline sequences available from, for example, public antibody sequence databases. The present invention includes antibodies, and antigen-binding fragments thereof, which are derived from any of the amino acid sequences disclosed herein, wherein one or more amino acids within one or more framework and/or CDR regions are back-mutated to the corresponding germline
15 residue(s) or to a conservative amino acid substitution (natural or non-natural) of the corresponding germline residue(s) (such sequence changes are referred to herein as "germline back-mutations"). A person of ordinary skill in the art, starting with the heavy and light chain variable region sequences disclosed herein, can easily produce numerous antibodies and antigen-binding fragments which comprise one or more
20 individual germline back-mutations or combinations thereof. In certain embodiments, all of the framework and/or CDR residues within the VH and/or VL domains are mutated back to the germline sequence. In other embodiments, only certain residues are mutated back to the germline sequence, *e.g.*, only the mutated residues found within the first 8 amino acids of FR1 or within the last 8 amino acids of FR4, or only the
25 mutated residues found within CDR1, CDR2 or CDR3. Furthermore, the antibodies of the present invention may contain any combination of two or more germline back-mutations within the framework and/or CDR regions, *i.e.*, wherein certain individual residues are mutated back to the germline sequence while certain other residues that differ from the germline sequence are maintained. Once obtained, antibodies and
30 antigen-binding fragments that contain one or more germline back-mutations can be easily tested for one or more desired property such as, improved binding specificity, increased binding affinity, improved or enhanced antagonistic or agonistic biological properties (as the case may be), reduced immunogenicity, *etc.* Antibodies and antigen-binding fragments obtained in this general manner are encompassed within the present
35 invention.

The term "epitope" refers to an antigenic determinant that interacts with a specific antigen binding site in the variable region of an antibody molecule known as a paratope. A single antigen may have more than one epitope. Epitopes may be either conformational or linear. A conformational epitope is produced by spatially juxtaposed amino acids from different segments of the linear polypeptide chain. A linear epitope is one produced by adjacent amino acid residues in a polypeptide chain. In certain circumstance, an epitope may include moieties of saccharides, phosphoryl groups, or sulfonyl groups on the antigen.

The anti-hIL-6R can be sarilumab (SAR153191). In one embodiment, sarilumab is defined as an antibody comprising the heavy chain variable region of SEQ ID NO:2 and the light chain variable region of SEQ ID NO:3.

DMARDs

Disease modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs) include methotrexate, sulfasalazine, hydroxychloroquine and leflunomide. According to the compositions and methods of the disclosure, DMARDs can be administered as follows. Methotrexate can be administered from 10 to 25 mg per week orally or intramuscularly. In another embodiment, methotrexate is administered from 6 to 25 mg/week orally or intramuscularly for patients who are from the Asia-Pacific region or who are descended from people who are from the Asia-Pacific region. The Asia-Pacific region includes Taiwan, South Korea, Malaysia, Philippines, Thailand and India. In certain embodiments, methotrexate is administered at between 6 and 12, 10 and 15, 15 and 20 and 20 and 25 mg per week. In other embodiments, methotrexate is administered at 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24 or 25 mg per week. Leflunomide can be administered from 10 to 20 mg orally daily. In certain embodiments, leflunomide can be administered at between 10 and 12, 12 and 15, 15 and 17 and 18 and 20 mg per day. In other embodiments, leflunomide is administered at 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19 or 20 mg per day. Sulfasalazine can be administered from 1000 to 3000 mg orally daily. In certain embodiments, sulfasalazine can be administered at between 1000 and 1400, 1400 and 1800, 1800 and 2200, 2200 and 2600, and 2600 and 3000 mg per day. In other embodiments, sulfasalazine is administered at 1000, 1100, 1200, 1300, 1400, 1500, 1600, 1700, 1800, 1900, 2000, 2100, 2200, 2300, 2400, 2500, 2600, 2700, 2800, 2900 or 3000 mg per day. Hydroxychloroquine can be administered from 200 to 400 mg orally daily. In certain embodiments, hydroxychloroquine can be administered at between 200 and 240, 240

and 280, 280 and 320, 320 and 360 and 360 and 400 per day. In other embodiments, hydroxychloroquine can be administered at 200, 210, 220, 230, 240, 250, 260, 270, 280, 290, 300, 310, 320, 330, 340, 350, 360, 370, 380, 390 or 400 mg per day.

5 *Therapeutic Administration and Formulations*

The methods described herein comprise administering a therapeutically effective amount of an anti-hIL-6R antibody and a DMARD to a patient. As used herein, the phrase "therapeutically effective amount" means a dose of anti-hIL-6R antibody and a DMARD that results in a detectable improvement in one or more symptoms associated
10 with rheumatoid arthritis or which causes a biological effect (e.g., a decrease in the level of a particular biomarker) that is correlated with the underlying pathologic mechanism(s) giving rise to the condition or symptom(s) of rheumatoid arthritis. For example, a dose of anti-hIL-6R antibody with one or more DMARDs which causes an improvement in any of the following symptoms or conditions is deemed a "therapeutically effective
15 amount": chronic disease anemia, fever, depression, fatigue, rheumatoid nodules, vasculitis, neuropathy, scleritis, pericarditis, Felty's syndrome and/or joint destruction.

A detectable improvement can also be detected using the American College of Rheumatism (ACR) rheumatoid arthritis classification criteria. For example a 20% (ACR20), 50% (ACR50) or 70% (ACR70) improvement from baseline can be used to
20 show detectable improvement.

The disease activity score (DAS28) can be used to show detectable improvement. DAS28 is a composite score of tender joints count based on 28 joints, a swollen joints count based on 28 joints, a general health assessment and a marker of inflammation which can be assessed by measuring C-reactive protein (CRP) levels. The
25 disease response can be presented using the European League against Rheumatism (EULAR) response criteria. A good response by this criteria is an improvement of greater than 1.2 in DAS28 score with a present score of greater than or equal to 3.2. A moderate response is an improvement of greater than 0.6 but less than or equal to 1.2 in DAS28 score and a present score of greater than 3.2. Non-response is an
30 improvement of less than 0.6 in DAS28 score and a present score of greater than 5.1. DAS28 remission is a DAS28 score of less than 2.6.

In accordance with the methods of the present invention, a therapeutically effective amount of anti-hIL-6R antibody that is administered to the patient will vary depending upon the age and the size (e.g., body weight or body surface area) of the
35 patient as well as the route of administration and other factors well known to those of

ordinary skill in the art. In certain embodiments, the dose of anti-hIL-6R antibody administered to the patient is from about 10 mg to about 500 mg. For example, the present invention includes methods wherein about 10 mg, about 15 mg, about 20 mg, about 25 mg, about 30 mg, about 35 mg, about 40 mg, about 45 mg, about 50 mg, about 55 mg, about 60 mg, about 65 mg, about 70 mg, about 75 mg, about 80 mg, about 85 mg, about 90 mg, about 95 mg, about 100 mg, about 105 mg, about 110 mg, about 115 mg, about 120 mg, about 125 mg, about 130 mg, about 135 mg, about 140 mg, about 145 mg, about 150 mg, about 155 mg, about 160 mg, about 165 mg, about 170 mg, about 175 mg, about 180 mg, about 185 mg, about 190 mg, about 195 mg, about 200, about 205 mg, about 210 mg, about 215 mg, about 220 mg, about 225 mg, about 230 mg, about 235 mg, about 240 mg, about 245 mg, about 250 mg, about 255 mg, about 260 mg, about 265 mg, about 270 mg, about 275 mg, about 280 mg, about 285 mg, about 290 mg, about 295 mg, about 300, about 325 mg, about 350 mg, about 375 mg, about 400 mg, about 425 mg, about 450 mg, about 475 mg, about 500 mg, or more of anti-hIL-6R antibody is administered to the patient per week.

In one embodiment, the hIL-6R antibody is administered at 100-150 mg per week. In another embodiment, the hIL-6R antibody is administered at 100-200 mg per every two weeks. In other embodiments, the hIL-6R antibody is administered at about 100 or about 150 mg per week. In other embodiments, the hIL-6R antibody is administered at about 100, 150 or 200 mg per every two weeks.

The amount of anti-hIL-6R antibody that is administered to the patient may be expressed in terms of milligrams of antibody per kilogram of patient body weight (*i.e.*, mg/kg). For example, the methods of the present invention include administering an anti-hIL-6R antibody to a patient at a daily dose of about 0.01 to about 100 mg/kg, about 0.1 to about 50 mg/kg, or about 1 to about 10 mg/kg of patient body weight.

The methods of the present invention include administering multiple doses of an anti-hIL-6R antibody to a patient over a specified time course. For example, the anti-hIL-6R antibody can be administered about 1 to 5 times per day, about 1 to 5 times per week, about 1 to 5 times per month or about 1 to 5 times per year. In certain embodiments, the methods of the invention include administering a first dose of anti-hIL-6R antibody to a patient at a first time point, followed by administering at least a second dose of anti-hIL-6R antibody to the patient at a second time point. The first and second doses, in certain embodiments, may contain the same amount of anti-hIL-6R antibody. For instance, the first and second doses may each contain about 10 mg to about 500 mg, about 20 mg to about 300 mg, about 100 mg to about 200 mg, or about

100 mg to about 150 mg of the antibody. The time between the first and second doses may be from about a few hours to several weeks. For example, the second time point (*i.e.*, the time when the second dose is administered) can be from about 1 hour to about 7 weeks after the first time point (*i.e.*, the time when the first dose is administered).

5 According to certain exemplary embodiments of the present invention, the second time point can be about 1 hour, about 4 hours, about 6 hours, about 8 hours, about 10 hours, about 12 hours, about 24 hours, about 2 days, about 3 days, about 4 days, about 5 days, about 6 days, about 7 days, about 2 weeks, about 4 weeks, about 6 weeks, about 8 weeks, about 10 weeks, about 12 weeks, about 14 weeks or longer after the first time
10 point. In certain embodiments, the second time point is about 1 week or about 2 weeks. Third and subsequent doses may be similarly administered throughout the course of treatment of the patient.

The invention provides methods of using therapeutic compositions comprising anti-IL-6R antibodies or antigen-binding fragments thereof and one or more DMARDs.
15 The therapeutic compositions of the invention will be administered with suitable carriers, excipients, and other agents that are incorporated into formulations to provide improved transfer, delivery, tolerance, and the like. A multitude of appropriate formulations can be found in the formulary known to all pharmaceutical chemists: Remington's Pharmaceutical Sciences, Mack Publishing Company, Easton, PA. These formulations
20 include, for example, powders, pastes, ointments, jellies, waxes, oils, lipids, lipid (cationic or anionic) containing vesicles (such as LIPOFECTIN™), DNA conjugates, anhydrous absorption pastes, oil-in-water and water-in-oil emulsions, emulsions carbowax (polyethylene glycols of various molecular weights), semi-solid gels, and semi-solid mixtures containing carbowax. See also Powell *et al.* "Compendium of
25 excipients for parenteral formulations" PDA (1998) J Pharm Sci Technol 52:238-311.

The dose may vary depending upon the age and the weight of a subject to be administered, target disease, conditions, route of administration, and the like. Various delivery systems are known and can be used to administer the pharmaceutical composition of the invention, *e.g.*, encapsulation in liposomes, microparticles,
30 microcapsules, receptor mediated endocytosis (see, *e.g.*, Wu *et al.* (1987) J. Biol. Chem. 262:4429-4432). Methods of introduction include, but are not limited to, intradermal, intramuscular, intraperitoneal, intravenous, subcutaneous, intranasal, epidural, and oral routes. The composition may be administered by any convenient route, for example by infusion or bolus injection, by absorption through epithelial or
35 mucocutaneous linings (*e.g.*, oral mucosa, rectal and intestinal mucosa, *etc.*) and may

be administered together with other biologically active agents. Administration can be systemic or local. The hIL-6R antibody can be administered subcutaneously. The DMARD can be administered orally or intramuscularly.

The pharmaceutical composition can also be delivered in a vesicle, in particular a liposome (see Langer (1990) Science 249:1527-1533). In certain situations, the pharmaceutical composition can be delivered in a controlled release system, for example, with the use of a pump or polymeric materials. In another embodiment, a controlled release system can be placed in proximity of the composition's target, thus requiring only a fraction of the systemic dose.

The injectable preparations may include dosage forms for intravenous, subcutaneous, intracutaneous and intramuscular injections, local injection, drip infusions, *etc.* These injectable preparations may be prepared by methods publicly known. For example, the injectable preparations may be prepared, *e.g.*, by dissolving, suspending or emulsifying the antibody or its salt described above in a sterile aqueous medium or an oily medium conventionally used for injections. As the aqueous medium for injections, there are, for example, physiological saline, an isotonic solution containing glucose and other auxiliary agents, *etc.*, which may be used in combination with an appropriate solubilizing agent such as an alcohol (*e.g.*, ethanol), a polyalcohol (*e.g.*, propylene glycol, polyethylene glycol), a nonionic surfactant [*e.g.*, polysorbate 80, HCO-50 (polyoxyethylene (50 mol) adduct of hydrogenated castor oil)], *etc.* As the oily medium, there are employed, *e.g.*, sesame oil, soybean oil, *etc.*, which may be used in combination with a solubilizing agent such as benzyl benzoate, benzyl alcohol, *etc.* The injection thus prepared can be filled in an appropriate ampoule.

Advantageously, the pharmaceutical compositions for oral or parenteral use described above are prepared into dosage forms in a unit dose suited to fit a dose of the active ingredients. Such dosage forms in a unit dose include, for example, tablets, pills, capsules, injections (ampoules), suppositories, *etc.* The amount of the DMARD contained is generally about 5 to 3000 mg per dosage form in an oral unit dose depending on the specific DMARD used. The amount of the hIL-6R antibody contained is generally about 100 to 200 mg per subcutaneous dosage form.

In accordance with the methods disclosed herein, the anti-hIL-6R antibody (or pharmaceutical formulation comprising the antibody) can be administered to the patient using any acceptable device or mechanism. For example, the administration can be accomplished using a syringe and needle or with a reusable pen and/or autoinjector delivery device. The methods of the present invention include the use of numerous

reusable pen and/or autoinjector delivery devices to administer an anti-hIL-6R antibody (or pharmaceutical formulation comprising the antibody). Examples of such devices include, but are not limited to AUTOPEN™ (Owen Mumford, Inc., Woodstock, UK), DISETRONIC™ pen (Disetronic Medical Systems, Bergdorf, Switzerland), HUMALOG MIX 75/25™ pen, HUMALOG™ pen, HUMALIN 70/30™ pen (Eli Lilly and Co., Indianapolis, IN), NOVOPEN™ I, II and III (Novo Nordisk, Copenhagen, Denmark), NOVOPEN JUNIOR™ (Novo Nordisk, Copenhagen, Denmark), BD™ pen (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ), OPTIPEN™, OPTIPEN PRO™, OPTIPEN STARLET™, and OPTICLIK™ (sanofi-aventis, Frankfurt, Germany), to name only a few. Examples of disposable pen and/or autoinjector delivery devices having applications in subcutaneous delivery of a pharmaceutical composition of the present invention include, but are not limited to the SOLOSTAR™ pen (sanofi-aventis), the FLEXPEN™ (Novo Nordisk), and the KWIKPEN™ (Eli Lilly), the SURECLICK™ Autoinjector (Amgen, Thousand Oaks, CA), the PENLET™ (Haselmeier, Stuttgart, Germany), the EPIPEN (Dey, L.P.), and the HUMIRA™ Pen (Abbott Labs, Abbott Park, IL), to name only a few.

The use of a microinfusor to deliver an anti-hIL-6R antibody (or pharmaceutical formulation comprising the antibody) to a patient is also contemplated herein. As used herein, the term "microinfusor" means a subcutaneous delivery device designed to slowly administer large volumes (e.g., up to about 2.5 mL or more) of a therapeutic formulation over a prolonged period of time (e.g., about 10, 15, 20, 25, 30 or more minutes). See, e.g., U.S. 6,629,949; US 6,659,982; and Meehan *et al.*, J. Controlled Release 46:107-116 (1996). Microinfusors are particularly useful for the delivery of large doses of therapeutic proteins contained within high concentration (e.g., about 100, 125, 150, 175, 200 or more mg/mL) and/or viscous solutions.

Combination Therapies

The present invention includes methods of treating rheumatoid arthritis which comprise administering to a patient in need of such treatment an anti-hIL-6R antibody in combination with at least one additional therapeutic agent. Examples of additional therapeutic agents which can be administered in combination with an anti-hIL-6R antibody in the practice of the methods of the present invention include, but are not limited to DMARDs, and any other compound known to treat, prevent, or ameliorate rheumatoid arthritis in a human subject. Specific, non-limiting examples of additional therapeutic agents that may be administered in combination with an anti-hIL-6R

antibody in the context of a method of the present invention include, but are not limited to methotrexate, sulfasalazine, hydroxychloroquine and leflunomide. In the present methods, the additional therapeutic agent(s) can be administered concurrently or sequentially with the anti-hIL-6R antibody. For example, for concurrent administration, a pharmaceutical formulation can be made which contains both an anti-hIL-6R antibody and at least one additional therapeutic agent. The amount of the additional therapeutic agent that is administered in combination with the anti-hIL-6R antibody in the practice of the methods of the present invention can be easily determined using routine methods known and readily available in the art.

10 The disclosure of the invention provides for pharmaceutical compositions comprising any of the following:

 A composition comprising between 100 and 150 mg of sarilumab (SAR153191) and 10-25 mg of methotrexate.

 A composition comprising between 100 and 200 mg of sarilumab (SAR153191) and 10-25 mg of methotrexate.

 A composition comprising between 100 and 150 mg of sarilumab (SAR153191) and 6-25 mg of methotrexate.

 A composition comprising between 100 and 200 mg of sarilumab (SAR153191) and 6-25 mg of methotrexate.

20 A composition comprising between 100 and 150 mg of sarilumab (SAR153191) and 10-20 mg of leflunomide.

 A composition comprising between 100 and 200 mg of sarilumab (SAR153191) and 10-20 mg of leflunomide.

 A composition comprising between 100 and 150 mg of sarilumab (SAR153191) and 1000-3000 mg of sulfasalazine.

 A composition comprising between 100 and 200 mg of sarilumab (SAR153191) and 1000-3000 mg of sulfasalazine.

 A composition comprising between 100 and 150 mg of sarilumab (SAR153191) and 200-400 mg of hydroxychloroquine.

30 A composition comprising between 100 and 200 mg of sarilumab (SAR153191) and 200-400 mg of hydroxychloroquine.

 The disclosure of the invention provides for methods of improving symptoms associated with rheumatoid arthritis comprising any of the following:

 A method comprising administering between 100 and 150 mg of sarilumab (SAR153191) and 10-25 mg of methotrexate per week to a subject in need thereof.

A method comprising administering between 100 and 200 mg of sarilumab (SAR153191) every two weeks and 10-25 mg of methotrexate per week to a subject in need thereof.

5 A method comprising administering between 100 and 150 mg of sarilumab (SAR153191) and 6-25 mg of methotrexate per week to a subject in need thereof.

A method comprising administering between 100 and 200 mg of sarilumab (SAR153191) every two weeks and 6-25 mg of methotrexate per week to a subject in need thereof.

10 A method comprising administering between 100 and 150 mg of sarilumab (SAR153191) per week and 10-20 mg of leflunomide per day to a subject in need thereof.

A method comprising administering between 100 and 200 mg of sarilumab (SAR153191) every two weeks and 10-20 mg of leflunomide per day to a subject in need thereof.

15 A method comprising administering between 100 and 150 mg of sarilumab (SAR153191) per week and 1000-3000 mg of sulfasalazine per day to a subject in need thereof.

20 A method comprising administering between 100 and 200 mg of sarilumab (SAR153191) every two weeks and 1000-3000 mg of sulfasalazine per day to a subject in need thereof.

A method comprising administering between 100 and 150 mg of sarilumab (SAR153191) per week and 200-400 mg of hydroxychloroquine per day to a subject in need thereof.

25 A method comprising administering between 100 and 200 mg of sarilumab (SAR153191) every two weeks and 200-400 mg of hydroxychloroquine per day to a subject in need thereof.

Biomarkers

30 The present disclosure includes methods of treating rheumatoid arthritis by administering to a patient in need of such treatment a therapeutically effective amount of a human antibody or antibody binding fragment thereof which specifically binds to hIL-6R and a therapeutically effective amount of one or more DMARDs, wherein the level of one or more RA-associated biomarkers in the patient is modified (*e.g.*, increased, decreased, *etc.*, as the case may be) following administration. In a related
35 aspect, the present invention includes methods for decreasing an RA-associated

biomarker in a patient by administering to the patient a therapeutically-effective amount of a human antibody or antigen-binding fragment thereof which specifically binds to hIL-6R and a therapeutically effective amount of one or more DMARDs.

Examples of RA-associated biomarkers include, but are not limited to, e.g., high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP), serum amyloid A (SAA), erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), serum hepcidin, interleukin-6 (IL-6), and hemoglobin (Hb). As will be appreciated by a person of ordinary skill in the art, an increase or decrease in an RA-associated biomarker can be determined by comparing the level of the biomarker measured in the patient at a defined time point after administration of the anti-IL-6R antibody to the level of the biomarker measured in the patient prior to the administration (*i.e.*, the "baseline measurement"). The defined time point at which the biomarker can be measured can be, e.g., at about 4 hours, 8 hours, 12 hours, 1 day, 2 days, 3 days, 4 days, 5 days, 6 days, 7 days, 8 days, 9 days, 10 days, 15 days, 20 days, 35 days, 40 days or more after administration of the anti-hIL-6R antibody.

According to certain embodiments of the present invention, a patient may exhibit a decrease in the level of one or more of hsCRP, SAA, ESR and/or hepcidin following administration of an anti-hIL-6R antibody to the patient. For example, at about week 12 following weekly administration of anti-hIL-6R antibody and one or more DMARDs the patient may exhibit one or more of the following: (i) a decrease in hsCRP by about 40%, 45%, 50%, 55%, 60%, 65%, 70%, 75%, 80%, 85%, 90%, 95% or more; (ii) a decrease in SAA by about 40%, 45%, 50%, 55%, 60%, 65%, 70%, 75%, 80%, 85%, 90%, 95% or more; (iii) a decrease in ESR by about 15%, 20%, 25%, 30%, 35%, 40%, 45%, 50%, 55% or more; and/or (iv) a decrease in hepcidin by about 30%, 35%, 40%, 45%, 50%, 55%, 60%, 65%, 70%, 75% or more.

According to certain other embodiments of the present invention, a patient may exhibit an increase in the level of one or more of Hb or IL-6 following administration of an anti-hIL-6R antibody and one or more DMARDs to the patient. For example, at about week 12 following weekly administration of anti-hIL-6R antibody and one or more DMARDs the patient may exhibit one or more of the following: (v) an increase in Hb by about 0.5%, 1.0%, 1.5%, 2.0%, 2.5%, 3.0%, 3.5%, 4.0%, 4.5%, 5.0%, 5.5%, 6.0% or more; and/or (vi) an increase in IL-6 by about 100%, 150%, 200%, 250%, 300%, 350%, 400%, 450%, 500%, 550%, 600%, 650%, 700%, 750%, 800% or more.

The present invention includes methods for determining whether a subject is a suitable patient for whom administration of an anti-hIL-6R antibody would be beneficial. For example, if an individual, prior to receiving an anti-hIL-6R antibody and/or one or

more DMARDs, exhibits a level of an RA-associated biomarker which signifies the disease state, the individual is therefore identified as a suitable patient for whom administration of an anti-hIL-6R antibody would be beneficial. According to certain exemplary embodiments, an individual may be identified as a good candidate for anti-hIL-6R/DMARD therapy if the individual exhibits one or more of the following: (i) a level of hsCRP greater than about 4 mg/L (e.g., about 4.5 mg/L, about 5.0 mg/L, about 5.5 mg/L, about 6.0 mg/L, about 7.0 mg/L, about 10.0 mg/L, about 15.0 mg/L, about 20.0 mg/L, or more); (ii) a level of SAA greater than about 3800 ng/mL (e.g., about 4000 ng/mL, 4500 ng/mL, about 5000 ng/mL, about 5500 ng/mL, about 6000 ng/mL, about 10,000 ng/mL, about 20,000 ng/mL, about 25,000 ng/mL, about 30,000 ng/mL, about 35,000 ng/mL, about 40,000 ng/mL, about 45,000 ng/mL, or more); (iii) an ESR greater than about 15 mm/hr (e.g., about 16 mm/hr, about 17 mm/hr, about 18 mm/hr, about 19 mm/hr, about 20 mm/hr, about 21 mm/hr, about 22 mm/hr, about 25 mm/hr, about 30 mm/hr, about 35 mm/hr, about 40 mm/hr, about 45 mm/hr, about 50 mm/hr, or more); and/or (iv) a level of hepcidin greater than about 60 ng/mL (e.g., about 62 ng/mL, about 64 ng/mL, about 68 ng/mL, about 70 ng/mL, about 72 ng/mL, about 74 ng/mL, about 76 ng/mL, about 78 ng/mL, about 80 ng/mL, about 82 ng/mL, about 84 ng/mL, about 85 ng/mL, about 90 ng/mL, about 95 ng/mL, about 100 ng/mL, about 105 ng/mL, or more). Additional criteria, such as other clinical indicators of RA, may be used in combination with any of the foregoing RA-associated biomarkers to identify an individual as a suitable candidate for anti-hIL-6R therapy.

Patient Population

In certain embodiments, the methods and compositions described herein are administered to specific patient populations. These populations include patients that have previously been treated for rheumatoid arthritis with treatment regimens other than the combination of an anti-hIL-6R antibody and one or more DMARDs. These treatment regimens include anti-TNF- α therapy, e.g., biologic anti-TNF- α treatment regimens. Biologic anti-TNF- α antagonists include etanercept, infliximab, adalimumab, golimumab and certolizumab pegol. These treatment regimens also include DMARD therapy in the absence of anti-hIL-6R antibody.

DMARDs used in this therapy include methotrexate, sulfasalazine, hydroxychloroquine and leflunomide. The DMARDs may be administered alone or in combination with another therapy that is not an anti-hIL-6R antibody. In a specific embodiment, the previous treatment regimen was methotrexate. In another

embodiment, treatment with methotrexate is maintained in patient treated with an anti-hIL-6R antibody. In certain embodiments, the patient has previously been administered both anti-TNF- α and DMARD therapies. The therapies may be performed sequentially in any order or simultaneously. In certain embodiments, these therapies have been
5 received by the patient within 2 years prior to receiving the combination of an anti-hIL-6R antibody and one or more DMARDs. In other embodiments, these therapies have been received within 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 or 10 years prior to receiving the combination of an anti-hIL-6R antibody and one or more DMARDs.

In certain embodiments, the methods and compositions described herein are
10 administered to specific patient populations that have received one or more of the treatment regimens described above wherein these treatments have not been effective. As used herein, a treatment has not been effective when a dose of anti-TNF- α and a DMARD do not result in a detectable improvement in one or more symptoms associated with rheumatoid arthritis or do not cause a biological effect (*e.g.*, a decrease in the level
15 of a particular biomarker) that is correlated with the underlying pathologic mechanism(s) giving rise to the condition or symptom(s) of rheumatoid arthritis.

In another example, a treatment has not been effective when a dose of anti-TNF- α does not result in a detectable improvement in one or more symptoms associated with rheumatoid arthritis or does not cause a biological effect (*e.g.*, a
20 decrease in the level of a particular biomarker) that is correlated with the underlying pathologic mechanism(s) giving rise to the condition or symptom(s)

In another example, a treatment has not been effective when a dose of anti-hIL-6R antibody and a DMARD that does not result in a detectable improvement in one or more symptoms associated with rheumatoid arthritis or which does not cause a
25 biological effect that is correlated with the underlying pathologic mechanism(s) giving rise to the condition or symptom(s) of rheumatoid arthritis.

In certain embodiment, sarilumab is administered to a patient who has previously been inefficiently treated with a DMARD. As used herein, a treatment with a DMARD has not been effective when a patient still presents an "active disease" after
30 treatment. Patients present an active disease when they exhibit at least 8 of 68 tender joints and 6 of 66 swollen joints, and high sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) >10 mg/L (>1.0 mg/dL). In a specific embodiment, patients have previously been inefficiently treated with MTX. In such example, patients may have received continuous treatment with MTX 10 to 25 mg/week (or per local labeling requirements if the dose range differs)
35 for at least 12 weeks and on a stable dose of MTX for a minimum of 8 weeks and still

present a moderate-to-severely active RA, defined as: (i) at least 8 of 68 tender joints and 6 of 66 swollen joints, and (ii) high sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) >10 mg/L (>1.0 mg/dL).

For example, a treatment which does not cause an improvement in any of the following symptoms or conditions is deemed ineffective: chronic disease anemia, fever, depression, fatigue, rheumatoid nodules, vasculitis, neuropathy, scleritis, pericarditis, Felty's syndrome and/or joint destruction.

A detectable improvement can also be detected using the American College of Rheumatism (ACR) rheumatoid arthritis classification criteria. For example a 20% (ACR20), 50% (ACR50) or 70% (ACR70) improvement from baseline can be used to show detectable improvement.

The disease activity score (DAS28) can be used to show detectable improvement. DAS28 is a composite score of tender joints count based on 28 joints, a swollen joints count based on 28 joints, a general health assessment and a marker of inflammation which can be assessed by measuring C-reactive protein (CRP) levels. The disease response can be presented using the European League against Rheumatism (EULAR) response criteria. A good response by this criteria is an improvement of greater than 1.2 in DAS28 score with a present score of greater than or equal to 3.2. A moderate response is an improvement of greater than 0.6 but less than or equal to 1.2 in DAS28 score and a present score of greater than 3.2. Non-response is an improvement of less than 0.6 in DAS28 score and a present score of greater than 5.1. DAS28 remission is a DAS28 score of less than 2.6. A detectable improvement can also be shown by measuring an improvement in any of the components of the DAS28 score.

25

EXAMPLES

Example 1. Combination of Sarilumab and Methotrexate is Effective in Treatment of Rheumatoid Arthritis in Patients where Methotrexate Treatment is Ineffective.

A worldwide, double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized study was performed in patients with rheumatoid arthritis with an inadequate response to methotrexate (MTX). Patients who were included in the study had the following criteria. Patients needed to have active disease defined as: at least 6 of 66 swollen joints and 8 of 68 tender joints and; hs-CRP > 6 mg/L. Patients also needed to have had continuous treatment with methotrexate (MTX) – 10 to 25 mg/wk (or 6 to 25 mg/wk for patients within Asia-Pacific region for 12 weeks.

The study includes two parts. The first part (Part A) of the study was a 12-week, 6-arm dose-ranging part intended to select the two best dose regimens based on efficacy (reduction in signs and symptoms) and safety. The second part (Part B) of the study is a 52-week part to confirm the efficacy and safety of these two selected dose regimens on reduction in signs and symptoms, inhibition of progression of structural damage, improvement in physical function, and induction of major clinical response.

The operationally seamless design nature of this study resides in the fact that Part B is starting to test patients just after the last patient was randomized in Part A without waiting for the dose selection based on its results. Thus part B patients belong to 2 distinct cohorts according to the time of their enrollment:

Cohort 1 of patients randomized before the dose selection: these patients are randomized into six arms (as the ones of Part A). After dose selection, the patients randomized in the two selected doses and the placebo regimens continue the 52-week trial but those randomized in the three other arms are discontinued from the present study but proposed to join an open label extension (see LTS11210).

Cohort 2 of patients randomized after the dose selection: these patients are randomized into three arms, the two selected ones and placebo.

Part A

Patients were assessed at a screening visit for confirmation of the diagnosis, disease activity, eligibility to the study and verification of concomitant therapy. Complete examination and laboratory tests including hematology, chemistry profile, lipid profile, liver enzymes and acute phase reactants, HbA1c, hepatitis B and C and serum pregnancy test for women of childbearing potential were performed. An ECG evaluation was also performed. A PPD test and QuantiFERON were performed to exclude any tuberculosis as well as a chest X-ray (if a documented negative X-ray performed in the last 3 months is not available).

After confirmation of eligibility, patients were randomized in a balanced manner, in this international multi-center, double-blind, parallel group placebo-controlled, 12-week study treatment of six arms of SAR153191 or placebo given subcutaneously weekly with MTX cotherapy. The doses are shown in Figure 1.

Methothrexate was administered for each patient as it had been before the study. This was at 10 to 25 mg/wk, or 6 to 25 mg/wk for patients within Asia-Pacific region; Taiwan, South Korea, Malaysia, Philippines, Thailand, and India.

During the first visit, patients were reminded of the list of prohibited medications, and that they should continue taking MTX at their current stable dose until the end of

the study with folic acid as per local recommendation to prevent MTX toxicity. The patients were trained to prepare and self administer the IMP and were reminded to have injection strictly 7 days apart. At dosing time points occurring outside site visits, SAR153191 was injected by the patient himself, by a trained professional caregiver or
5 by a trained qualified person.

Patients had six additional visits at weeks 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12. Efficacy assessment and laboratory test including hematology, chemistry profile, lipid profile, liver enzymes and acute phase reactants were assessed throughout the study to allow calculation of the main efficacy scores, and follow up of safety aspects. At
10 randomization visit and at Week 2, 4, 8, and 12, a complete joint examination for tender joint count and swollen joint count was performed by an assessor independent from the Investigator and the patient's data, in order to calculate the ACR score (primary end-point). In order to maintain the blind, the Investigator, the Sponsor and the patient will be blind to CRP and serum IL6 levels during the study.

15 A close monitoring of adverse events including potential infections assessed in part by monitoring of body temperature was performed at every visit. Presence of tuberculosis was checked through specific patient assessment (check for any signs or symptoms, or contact with active TB). Neurological abnormalities (history and physical examination) or autoimmune diatheses (ANA, ds-DNA antibodies) were tested at
20 baseline and end of treatment visit.

Specific blood and urine samples were taken during the study to test potential biomarkers that may be predictive of disease response or adverse events. These included a single sample for DNA (after the patient has signed a specific informed consent form) and several samples obtained sequentially throughout the study for RNA
25 expression-profiling and protein biomarker analyses. Samples were also collected at appropriate time points for pharmacokinetic parameters and antibody to SAR153191.

Patients prematurely discontinued were evaluated at an end of treatment visit with complete clinical and laboratory evaluation. They were considered as non-responders with regard to the ACR score.

30 At the end of treatment visit, all patients were scheduled to complete a Post Treatment Follow-up Visit. Patients who had completed the treatment period were proposed to enter an open-label long-term safety extension study with SAR153191.

Results

Human patients treated with sarilumab (REGN88/SAR153191) in combination
35 with the standard RA treatment, methotrexate (MTX), achieved a significant and

clinically meaningful improvement in signs and symptoms of moderate-to-severe rheumatoid arthritis (RA) compared to patients treated with MTX alone. The 306-patient, dose-ranging, multinational, randomized, multi-arm, double-blind, placebo-controlled study was performed that compared five different dose regimens of sarilumab in combination with MTX to placebo plus MTX. The primary endpoint of the study was the proportion of patients achieving at least a 20% improvement in RA symptoms (ACR20) after 12 weeks.

A dose response was observed in patients receiving sarilumab in combination with MTX. An ACR20 response after 12 weeks was seen in 49.0% of patients receiving the lowest sarilumab dose regimen and 72.0% of patients receiving the highest dose regimen compared to 46.2% of patients receiving placebo and MTX ($p=0.02$, corrected for multiplicity, for the highest sarilumab dose regimen) (Figure 2). The most common adverse events ($>5\%$) reported more frequently in active-treatment arms included infections (non-serious), neutropenia, and liver-function test abnormalities. The types and frequencies of adverse events were consistent with those previously reported with IL-6 inhibition. The incidence of serious adverse events among the five sarilumab treatment groups and the placebo group were comparable.

Sarilumab also demonstrated significant benefit compared to placebo in secondary endpoints, including ACR 50, ACR 70, and DAS 28 scores, additional measures of clinical activity used in RA trials. More specifically:

- An ACR50 response after 12 weeks was seen in 22% of patients receiving the lowest sarilumab dose regimen and 30% of patients receiving the highest dose regimen compared to 15% of patients receiving placebo and MTX (Figure 3)
- The ACR70 was also significantly higher in the 200 mg q2w group versus placebo. An ACR70 response after 12 weeks was seen in 16% of patients receiving the highest dose regimen compared to 2% of patients receiving placebo and MTX (Figure 3).

These results provide evidence that IL-6R blockade with sarilumab represents a promising new anti-inflammatory investigational therapy for reducing RA disease symptoms.

Part B

Patients will be assessed at a screening visit for confirmation of the diagnosis disease activity, eligibility to the study and verification of concomitant therapy. The Investigator will check that the patient is either positive anticyclic citrullinated peptide

antibody (CCP) or positive rheumatoid factor (RF) or that he/she has a confirmed bone erosion on an X-ray. If necessary, for patients who are both CCP and RF negative and have no X-ray, a centrally-reviewed screening X-ray will be performed and considered also as the baseline X-ray assessment for the study.

5 Cohort 1: Patients randomized before the dose selection.

Recruitment in for the long term safety extension study will start just after the last patient has been randomized in Part A. After confirmation of eligibility, patients will be randomized, in a balanced manner stratified by prior biologic use and by regions, in an international, multi-center, double-blind, parallel group placebo-controlled, study
10 treatment of 6 arms of SAR153191 (5 active dose regimens) or placebo given subcutaneously weekly with MTX cotherapy.

At the beginning of every patient visit for Cohort 1 patients, the Investigator will check through IVRS list that the patient is still "eligible" for the study, *i.e.*, that the patient is not to be discontinued because of randomization in a nonselected arm. Indeed,
15 when the pivotal dose regimens are selected from Part A, only patients randomized in the corresponding arms or placebo will still be considered eligible for the study and will continue in the study for a total of 52 weeks. The other patients (randomized in the nonselected dose regimens) will be considered no longer eligible by IVRS. The Investigator will propose these patients to participate in an open extension study with
20 SAR153191 at the highest dose regimen available at the time the patient is enrolled.

The initial randomization will remain blinded for all patients.

Cohort 2: Patients randomized after the dose selection – Pivotal Part.

At day 1, after confirmation of eligibility, patients will be randomized, in a balanced manner stratified by prior biologic use and by regions, in an international,
25 multi-center, double-blind, parallel group, placebo-controlled, study of 3 arms of SAR153191 (2 pivotal dose regimens) or placebo given subcutaneously with MTX cotherapy.

Both Cohorts:

In either cohort, patients will be evaluated at Week 2, at Week 4, and every 4
30 weeks until Week 28 and then every 8 weeks until Week 52 for efficacy and safety assessments and laboratory tests.

The same procedures as described in Part A will be applied in Part B. In addition, an X-ray evaluation of the hands and feet joints will be performed at baseline, Week 24 and Week 52. Radiographs de-identified of any patient information will be sent

to central readers for calculation of the Sharp score (a specific scoring system of joints destruction). Health economic assessments will be also added such as SF-36.

From Week 16, patients with lack of efficacy defined as less than 20% improvement from baseline in either swollen joints count (SJC) or tender joints count (TJC) for 2 consecutive visits, or any other clear lack of efficacy based on Investigator judgment will be proposed to be rescued with open-label SAR153191 highest available dose at the time of transfer into the rescue treatment arm, and will continue in the study according to their planned visit schedule. Blood samples for laboratory analysis will be taken two weeks after the switch for safety purpose. They will be considered nonresponders for the primary endpoint (ACR20). These patients will stay in the study and continue all visits.

In selected countries, patients who meet lack of efficacy criteria at Part B treatment Visit 7/Week 16, or thereafter, will be permanently discontinued from treatment, and will not be eligible to participate in the open treatment rescue arm. Instead, the patients will have a follow-up visit to evaluate safety 6 weeks after the End of Treatment visit.

For any patient who discontinues prematurely or who is prematurely rescued with open SAR153191, an additional X-ray evaluation will be performed at the time of withdrawal or rescue, unless a study X-ray assessment has been performed within the preceding 3 months (a window of 3 months between 2 X-ray evaluations should be considered to avoid over X-ray exposure).

Patients completing Part B (including those in the open-label rescue arm) will be proposed to be rolled into an open label extension study at the maximum dose regimen at the time of enrollment. All patients will be scheduled to complete the Post Treatment Follow-up Visit. If the patient agrees to enter the SAR153191 open-label long-term extension study, and is confirmed to be eligible, the Post Treatment Follow-up Visit will not be completed.

Example 2. Combination of Sarilumab and DMARDs are Effective in Treatment of Rheumatoid Arthritis in Patients where TNF- α Antagonist and Methotrexate Treatment are Ineffective.

A worldwide, double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized study was performed in patients with rheumatoid arthritis with an inadequate response to methotrexate (MTX) and at least one TNF- α antagonist. Patients who were included in the study had the following criteria. Patients had, in the opinion of the investigator, an inadequate

response to at least one TNF- α antagonist, after being treated for at least 3 months in the last 2 years, or patients being intolerant to at least 1 TNF- α antagonist, resulting in discontinuation. TNF- α antagonists included etanercept, infliximab, adalimumab, golimumab and/or certolizumab pegol. Patients needed to have active disease defined as: at least 6 of 66 swollen joints and 8 of 68 tender joints and; hs-CRP $\geq$ 8 mg/L. Patients also needed to have had continuous treatment with one or a combination of DMARDs for at least 12 weeks prior to baseline and on a stable dose(s) for at least 6 weeks prior to screening. These DMARDs included methotrexate (MTX) – 10 to 25 mg/wk (or 6 to 25 mg/wk for patients within Asia-Pacific region; leflunomide (LEF) – 10 to 20 mg daily; sulfasalazine (SSZ) – 1000 to 3000 mg daily; or hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) - 200 to 400 mg daily.

Table 1 - Groups and forms for both investigational medicinal product and noninvestigational medicinal product

Group	Treatment	Sarilumab 150 mg	Sarilumab 200 mg	Placebo	Background medication as monotherapy or in combination
I	BT + sarilumab every 2 weeks (q2w)	1 SC injection		--	Including: - Methotrexate – 10 to 25 mg/wk (or 6 to 25 mg/wk for patients within Asia- Pacific region) with folic/folinic acid supplement - Leflunomide – 10 to 20 mg daily - Sulfasalazin – 1000 to 3000 mg daily - Hydroxychloroquin – 200 to 400 mg daily
II	BT + sarilumab q2w	--	1 SC injection	--	Same as above
III	BT + placebo q2w	--	--	1 SC injection	Same as above
From Week 12 patients with lack of efficacy defined as less than 20% improvement from baseline in both swollen joint count and tender joint count for 2 consecutive visits will be proposed to be rescued with open label sarilumab at the highest dose in the trial. These patients will continue the trial according to the schedule of visits. BT = background therapy; q2w = every other week; SC = subcutaneous					

Subcutaneous administration will occur in the abdomen or thigh. Each dose will be self-administered (whenever possible), in a single injection. The SC injection sites can be alternated between the 4 quadrants of the abdomen (except the navel or waist area) or the thigh (front and side).

5 Patients and/or their nonprofessional caregivers will be trained to prepare and administer IMP at the start of the double-blind treatment period. This training must be documented in the patients' study file. The study coordinator or designee may administer the first injection comprising the initial dose as part of the training procedure on Day 1 (Visit 2). On days when the patient has a study visit, the IMP will be
10 administered following clinic procedures and blood collection. For doses not given at the study site, diaries will be provided to record information pertaining to these injections; these diaries will be kept as source data in the patients' study file. If the patient is unable or unwilling to administer IMP, arrangements must be made for qualified site personnel and/or caregiver to administer IMP for the doses that are not
15 scheduled to be given at the study site.

If the study visit is not performed at the site as scheduled, the dose will be administered by the patient and/or their caregiver(s) as scheduled.

Treatment will last for 24 weeks. From Week 12, patients with lack of efficacy defined as less than 20% improvement from baseline in both SJC and TJC for 2
20 consecutive visits will be proposed to be rescued with open label sarilumab at the highest dose in the trial. These patients will continue the trial according to the schedule of visits.

In this study, sarilumab is administered on top of DMARD therapy, considered as a background therapy. All patients should continue to receive continuous treatment
25 with one or a combination of nonbiologic DMARD(s) as background therapy for at least 12 weeks prior to baseline and on a stable dose(s) for at least 6 weeks prior to screening:

- methotrexate (MTX) – 10 to 25 mg/wk (or 6 to 25 mg/wk for patients within Asia-Pacific region) with folic/folinic acid supplement
- 30 • leflunomide (LEF) – 10 to 20 mg daily
- sulfasalazin (SSZ) – 1000 to 3000 mg daily
- hydroxychloroquin (HCQ) – 200 to 400 mg daily

Each DMARD dose will be recorded throughout the study on the case report form. At any time, the DMARD dose can be reduced for safety or tolerability reason.
35 Any change in dose and the start date of the new dose should be recorded on the e-

CRF at every visit. DMARD(s) will not be dispensed or supplied by the Sponsor as an IMP.

5 All patients taking MTX will receive folic/folinic acid according to local recommendation in the country where the study is conducted. The dose, route and administration schedule of folic/folinic acid will be recorded with concomitant medications.

Sarilumab and matching placebo will be provided in identically matched glass prefilled syringes. Each prefilled syringe contains 1.14 mL of sarilumab (SAR153191) or matching placebo solution.

10 A list of treatment kit numbers will be generated. A randomization list will be generated by the interactive voice response system (IVRS). Both the randomization and treatment kit lists will be loaded into the IVRS.

The treatment kit numbers will be obtained by the Investigator at the time of patient randomization and subsequent patient scheduled visits via IVRS that will be
15 available 24 hours a day.

In accordance with the double-blind design, Investigators will remain blinded to study treatment and will not have access to the randomization (treatment codes) except under circumstances described in Section 8.7.

20 Patients will be randomized to one of the treatment arms via a centralized randomization system using an IVRS. A patient will be considered randomized when the treatment number has been provided by the IVRS.

At the screening visit, Visit 1, the site coordinator will contact the IVRS to obtain a patient number for each patient who gives informed consent. Each patient will be allocated a patient number associated with the center and allocated in chronological
25 order in each center.

The treatment assignment will be allocated to the patient according to the central randomization list via the IVRS stratified by region and number of previous anti-TNFs (1 versus > 1). At Visit 2 (Day 1), after confirming the patient is eligible for entry into the treatment period, the site coordinator will contact the IVRS in order to receive
30 the first treatment assignments (kit numbers). Patients will be randomized to receive either one of the 2 treatment arms of sarilumab or its matching placebo. The randomization ratio is 1:1:1 (sarilumab 150 mg : sarilumab 200 mg : matching placebo). At subsequent dispensation visits during the treatment period, the site coordinator will call IVRS to obtain the subsequent treatment kits assignment. A confirmation fax/e-mail
35 will be sent to the site after each assignment.

A randomized patient is defined as a patient who is registered and assigned a randomization number from the IVRS, as documented from the IVRS log file. IMP will also be recorded and tracked on the center IMP inventory forms.

5 The compositions and methods of the present disclosure are not to be limited in scope by the specific embodiments describe herein. Indeed, various modifications of the invention in addition to those described herein will become apparent to those skilled in the art from the foregoing description. Such modifications are intended to fall within the scope of the appended claims.

CLAIMS

1. A method of treating rheumatoid arthritis in a subject previously treated by administering methotrexate, leflunomide, sulfasalazine and/or
5 hydroxychloroquine, comprising administering to the subject an effective amount of sarilumab (SAR153191).
2. The method of claim 1, wherein the subject was previously ineffectively treated for rheumatoid arthritis by administering methotrexate, leflunomide, sulfasalazine and/or hydroxychloroquine.
- 10 3. The method of claim 2, wherein methotrexate, leflunomide, sulfasalazine and/or hydroxychloroquine is administered together with sarilumab.
4. The method of claim 3, wherein sarilumab and methotrexate are administered together.
5. The method of claim 4, wherein methotrexate is administered between 6 to 25
15 mg per week.
6. The method of any of claims 1 to 5, wherein the sarilumab is administered at between 50 and 150 mg per week.
7. The method of any of claims 1 to 6, wherein the sarilumab is administered at between 100 and 200 mg per two weeks.
- 20 8. The method of any of claim 1 to 7, wherein the sarilumab is administered at 150 mg per two weeks or 200 mg per two weeks.
9. The method of claim 8, wherein the subject achieves a 20% improvement in the American College of Rheumatology core set disease index after 12 weeks of treatment.
- 25 10. The method of claim 8, wherein the subject achieves a 50% improvement in the American College of Rheumatology core set disease index after 12 weeks of treatment.
11. The method of claim 8, wherein the subject achieves a 70% improvement in the American College of Rheumatology core set disease index after 12 weeks of
30 treatment.
12. The method of any of claims 1 to 3, wherein the subject was previously ineffectively treated for rheumatoid arthritis by administering a TNF- α antagonist.
13. The method of claim 11, wherein the subject has been treated with an anti-TNF- α antagonist for at least 3 months in the last 2 years or the subject was
35 intolerant to at least one TNF- α antagonist.

14. The method of claim 11 or 12, wherein the TNF- α antagonist is a biologic anti-TNF- α .
15. The method of claim 13, wherein the TNF- α antagonist is selected from the group consisting in etanercept, infliximab, adalimumab, golimumab and/or certolizumab pegol.
16. A pharmaceutical composition comprising an effective amount of sarilumab and a member of the group consisting of methotrexate, leflunomide, sulfasalazine and hydroxychloroquine.
17. A combination of:
- a. a pharmaceutical composition comprising sarilumab, and
 - b. a pharmaceutical composition comprising methotrexate, leflunomide, sulfasalazine or hydroxychloroquine
- for sequential or simultaneous use as a medicament.