

FLUOROPOLYMER COATING COMPOSITIONS

CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

[0001] This application claims priority under Title 35, U.S.C. §119(e) to U.S. Provisional Patent Application Serial No. 61/324,521, filed on April 15, 2010, entitled FLUOROPOLYMER COATING COMPOSITIONS, the entire disclosure of which is hereby expressly incorporated by reference herein.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

[0001] 1. Field of the Invention.

[0002] The present invention relates to fluoropolymers and, in particular, relates to fluoropolymer compositions having improved properties, in which a non-stick surface and/or abrasion resistant surface is desired. In particular, the present invention relates to fluoropolymer compositions that may be used to form coatings having improved non-stick or release characteristics and/or improved abrasion resistance, as well as to form films and blended powder compositions.

[0003] 2. Description of the Related Art.

[0004] Fluoropolymers are long-chain polymers comprising mainly ethylenic linear repeating units in which some or all of the hydrogen atoms are replaced with fluorine. Examples include polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE), copolymers of tetrafluoroethylene and methyl vinyl ethers, commonly referred to as methylfluoroalkoxy (MFA), copolymers of tetrafluoroethylene and hexafluoropropylene, commonly referred to as fluorinated ethylene propylene or fluoro ethylene propylene (FEP), and copolymers of tetrafluoroethylene and alkyl vinyl ethers such as propylvinyl ether, commonly referred to as perfluoroalkoxy (PFA), poly(chlorotrifluoroethylene) and poly(vinylfluoride).

[0005] Fluoropolymer coatings may be used to coat rigid substrates, such as metal substrates, for example in the field of cookware, as well as other types of metal substrates in industrial applications.

[0006] Glasscloth is one example of a flexible substrate that may be coated with a fluoropolymer coating. The coating typically includes a high molecular weight polytetrafluoroethylene (HPTFE), either by itself or including small amounts of additional polymers and/or fillers. One coating technique involves feeding a glasscloth web through a dip tank containing a dispersion of the fluoropolymer, and then feeding the coated web upwardly through a drying and sintering oven tower to cure or fix the coating. This process is usually repeated a number of times whereby up to 10 or more coating layers may be applied.

[0007] What is needed are improved fluoropolymer compositions for applications such as coatings, that demonstrate improved abrasion resistance and/or release characteristics, and for use in other applications.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0008] The present invention provides blended fluoropolymer compositions that, in one exemplary application, may be applied as a coating to a substrate and, optionally, may be applied to a substrate that has been previously coated with a primer or basecoat and/or a midcoat. In one embodiment, the composition is a blend of at least one high molecular weight trace modified polytetrafluoroethylene (TMHPTFE) and at least one melt-processible fluoropolymer (MPF). After being applied to the substrate, optionally over a primer or basecoat and/or midcoat, and then cured, the present compositions form coatings that demonstrate improved abrasion resistance and/or improved release characteristics and/or increased translucency/transparency and /or improved impermeability. The present compositions may also be used to produce films having a high degree of clarity and impermeability. The present compositions in powder form may be melt or paste extruded to form articles with improved impermeability.

[0009] In one form thereof, the present invention provides a fluoropolymer composition, including at least one high molecular weight polytetrafluoroethylene which has been trace modified with a modifying co-monomer (TMHPTFE) and having a number average molecular weight (M_n) of at least 500,000 and a first melt temperature (T_m) of less than 342° C, the at least

one TMHPTFE present in an amount of between 1 wt.% and 99 wt.% based on the total solids weight of all fluoropolymers in the composition; and at least one melt-processible fluoropolymer (MPF) present in an amount of between 1 wt.% and 99 wt.% based on the total solids weight of all fluoropolymers in the composition, and having a melt flow index (MFI) greater than 10 g/10 min.

[0010] In one embodiment, the at least one TMHPTFE includes less than 1 wt.% of the modifying co-monomer. The modifying co-monomer may be selected from the group consisting of perfluoropropylvinylether (PPVE) and perfluoromethylvinylether (PMVE). The at least one TMHPTFE may have a first melt temperature (T_m) of less than 342° C.

[0011] The at least one MPF may be selected from the group consisting of perfluoroalkoxy (PFA), methylfluoroalkoxy (MFA), and fluorinated ethylene propylene (FEP), and may have a first melt temperature (T_m) of less than 312° C. The at least one TMHPTFE may be present in an amount of between 75 wt.% and 98 wt.% and said at least one MPF is present in an amount of between 2 wt.% and 25 wt.%, based on the combined solids weight of the at least one TMHPTFE and the at least on MPF. The at least one TMHPTFE and the at least one MPF may each be in the form of an aqueous dispersion.

[0012] In another embodiment, the composition lacks low molecular weight polytetrafluoroethylene (LPTFE) having a number average molecular weight (M_n) of less than 500,000 and, in another embodiment, the composition lacks fillers.

[0013] In another form thereof, the present invention provides a method of coating a substrate, including the steps of applying a fluoropolymer composition to the substrate, including at least one high molecular weight polytetrafluoroethylene which has been trace modified with a modifying co-monomer (TMHPTFE), having a number average molecular weight (M_n) of at least 500,000 and a first melt temperature (T_m) of less than 342° C, the at least one TMHPTFE present in an amount of between 1 wt.% and 99 wt.% based on the total solids weight of all fluoropolymers in the composition; and at least one melt-processible fluoropolymer (MPF) present in an amount of between 1 wt.% and 99 wt.% based on the total solids weight of all fluoropolymers in the composition, and having a melt flow index (MFI) greater than 10 g/10 min.

[0014] The method may also include, after the applying step, the additional step of curing the composition to form a coating. The applying step may also further comprise applying the fluoropolymer composition in the form of an aqueous dispersion to the substrate or spraying the fluoropolymer composition in particulate form onto the substrate.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

[0015] The present invention provides blended fluoropolymer compositions that, in one exemplary application, may be applied as a coating to a substrate and, optionally, may be applied to a substrate that has been previously coated with a primer or basecoat and/or a midcoat. In one embodiment, the composition is a blend of at least one high molecular weight trace modified polytetrafluoroethylene (TMHPTFE) and at least one melt-processible fluoropolymer (MPF). After being applied to the substrate, optionally over a primer or basecoat and/or midcoat, and then cured, the present compositions form coatings that demonstrate improved abrasion resistance and/or improved release characteristics and/or increased translucency/transparency and/or improved impermeability. The present compositions may also be used to produce films having a high degree of clarity and impermeability. The present compositions in powder form may be melt or paste extruded to form articles with improved impermeability.

I. Substrates and Coating Types.

[0016] a. Rigid Substrates.

[0017] Suitable rigid substrates to which the present compositions may be applied include metals, metal alloys, and/or rigid plastic materials. Examples include articles of cookware, bakeware, small electrical appliances, fasteners, industrial components such as rollers, or any other rigid substrate to which a coating formed of the present compositions is desired. Suitable metal substrates include aluminum and steel, for example, which may or may not be pre-treated by, for example, roughening.

[0018] The rigid substrate may optionally be coated with a primer (or basecoat) and/or a midcoat prior to application of the present coating compositions. The primer and midcoat may be any type of fluoropolymer-based coating, and commercially available coatings based on high

molecular weight PTFE and/or other fluoropolymers are widely available. The particular compositions of the primer and/or midcoat may vary widely, and are not thought to be critical with respect to the improved properties demonstrated by the coatings disclosed herein.

[0019] b. Flexible Substrates.

[0020] Suitable flexible substrates to which the present coating compositions may be applied include glasscloth of the type commonly used in applications such as food conveyer belts for continuous ovens, architectural fabrics of the type used in stadium roofs and radar domes, as well as heat sealing belts, circuit boards, cooking sheets, and tenting fabrics, for example.

"Glasscloth" or "glass cloth" is a textile material made of woven fibers such as, for example, linen, glass, or cotton.

[0021] Other flexible substrates that may be coated with the present coating compositions include any material including natural or synthetic fibers or filaments, including staple fiber, fiberfill, yarn, thread, textiles, nonwoven fabric, wire cloth, ropes, belting, cordage, and webbing, for example. Exemplary fibrous materials which may be coated with the present coating compositions include natural fibers, such as vegetable, animal, and mineral fibers, including cotton, cotton denim, wool, silk, ceramic fibers, and metal fibers, as well as synthetic fibers, such as knit carbon fabrics, ultra high molecular weight polyethylene (UHMWPE) fibers, poly(ethylene terephthalate) (PET) fibers, para-aramid fibers, including poly-paraphenylene terephthalamide or Kevlar®, and meta-aramid fibers, such as Nomex®, each available from E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, polyphenylene sulfide fibers, such as Ryton®, available from Chevron Phillips Chemical Co., polypropylene fibers, polyacrylic fibers, polyacrylonitrile (PAN) fibers, such as Zoltek®, available from Zoltek Corporation, polyamide fibers (nylon), and nylon-polyester fibers, such as Dacron®, available from Invista North America.

[0022] The flexible substrate may optionally be coated with a primer (or basecoat) and/or a midcoat prior to application of the present coating compositions. The primer and midcoat may be any type of fluoropolymer-based coating, and commercially available coatings based on high molecular weight PTFE are widely available. The particular compositions of the primer and/or midcoat may vary widely, and are not thought to be critical with respect to the improved properties demonstrated by the coatings disclosed herein.

[0023] c. Coating Types.

[0024] In particular, in one embodiment, the present composition is applied over an underlying coating, or undercoat. The undercoat may be a basecoat, which is the coating applied directly to an underlying substrate (sometimes referred to as a primer), optionally together with one or more midcoats. In these embodiments, the present composition, when used as a coating may be referred to herein as either an "overcoat" or a "topcoat" and these terms are generally interchangeable. In other embodiments, the present coating composition may be applied directly to a substrate to form a coating in direct contact with the substrate whereby the coating is not applied over any undercoats. In further embodiments, the present coating system may itself also be an undercoat.

[0025] The present compositions generally includes at least one high molecular weight trace modified polytetrafluoroethylene (TMHPTFE) and at least one melt-processible fluoropolymer (MPF), characteristics of which are discussed below.

II. Trace modified high molecular weight polytetrafluoroethylene (TMHPTFE)

[0026] The present compositions include a first component in the form of at least one type or grade of trace modified high molecular weight polytetrafluoroethylene PTFE (TMHPTFE).

[0027] "Trace modified" as used herein, refers to high molecular weight polytetrafluoroethylene (HPTFE) that includes a small amount of modifying co-monomer, also referred to herein as "TMHPTFE", in which case the HPTFE is a co-polymer known in the art as "modified PTFE" or "trace modified PTFE". Examples of the modifying co-monomer include perfluoropropylvinylether (PPVE), other modifiers, such as hexafluoropropylene (HFP), chlorotrifluoroethylene (CTFE), perfluorobutylethylene (PFBE), or other perfluoroalkylvinylethers, such as perfluoromethylvinylether (PMVE) or perfluoroethylvinylether (PEVE). The modifying co-monomer may be present in an amount less than 1 wt.%, for example, based on the weight of the HPTFE. The modifying co-monomer may also be present in an amount less than 0.8 wt.%, less than 0.6 wt.%, less than 0.5 wt.%, or less than 0.4 wt.%, for example, based on the weight of the HPTFE.

[0028] The number average molecular weight (M_n) of the TMHPTFE is typically at least 500,000, and may be at least 750,000 or at least 1,000,000, and suitable TMHPTFEs in the form of liquid dispersions and/or powders are available from many commercial sources. Liquid TMHPTFE dispersions typically include surfactants for stability, though "unstabilized" TMHPTFE dispersions, typically having less than 1.0 wt.% surfactant, are also available and may also be used. When a powder is used, the powder will typically be dispersed in a liquid to prepare the present compositions.

[0029] An alternative manner of characterizing the molecular weight of the TMHPTFE is by its first melt temperature (T_m), as determined by a suitable method such as differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), which first melt temperature (T_m) for TMHPTFE may be either equal to or less than 342°C. In other embodiments, the first melt temperature of the TMHPTFE may be either equal to or less than 340°C, either equal to or less than 338°C, or either equal to or less than 335°C.

[0030] As is known in the art, high molecular weight PTFE of the type used in the present compositions has a melt flow rate (MFR) that is very small, typically too small to measure according to the methods of ASTM D1238/ISO 1133 referenced below in connection with the MFR of the MPFs disclosed herein, therefore, the high molecular weight PTFE of the type used in the present compositions may be characterized as being non-melt flowable or having a zero MFR.

[0031] In some embodiments, the TMHPTFE is typically of the type produced by a polymerization process that is well known in the art as dispersion polymerization or emulsion polymerization. In some embodiments, however, the TMHPTFE may be of the type produced by the polymerization process well known in the art as granular or suspension polymerization, which yields PTFE known in the art as granular PTFE resin or granular PTFE molding powder. The foregoing type of TMHPTFE is also fibrillatable, meaning that it tends to fibrillate when subjected to pressure and/or shear forces.

[0032] Exemplary suitable TMHPTFE's are set forth in Table 1 below, and include D410, D310, DX9027, available from Daikin Industries, Inc. Non-trace modified HPTFE's are also set forth in Table 1 below and are used as controls in the Examples herein, including D210,

available from Daikin Industries, Inc., SFN-C01, available from Chenguang R.I.C.I, Chengdu, 610036 P.R. China, and 5035Z and 5050, available from Dyneon LLC.

Table 1
Characteristics of exemplary high molecular weight polytetrafluoroethylenes (TMHPTFE)

Grade	PTFE type	Modifying co-monomer (PPVE) content	1st melt point (° C)/melt enthalpy (J/g)
D410	TMHPTFE	0.42	344.24/68.36
D310	TMHPTFE	0.45	338.78/65.44
DX9027	TMHPTFE	0.49	339.12/65.66
D210	HPTFE	0	339.77/68.01
SFN-C01	HPTFE	0	344.82/67.80
5035Z	HPTFE	0	346.52/65.91
5050	HPTFE	0	343.99/73.85

III. Melt processible fluoropolymers (MPF).

[0033] The present compositions include a second component in the form of at least one type or grade of melt processible fluoropolymer (MPF).

[0034] The melt processible fluoropolymer may be a liquid dispersion of one or more melt processible fluoropolymers (MPF), such as perfluoroalkoxy (PFA) (copolymers of tetrafluoroethylene (TFE) and perfluoroalkylvinyl ethers), including methylfluoroalkoxy (MFA) (a copolymer of tetrafluoroethylene (TFE) and perfluoromethylvinyl ether (PMVE)) and ethylfluoroalkoxy (EFA) (a copolymer of tetrafluoroethylene (TFE) and perfluoroethylvinyl ether (PEVE)); and fluorinated ethylene propylene (FEP), for example.

[0035] The MPF may be produced by a polymerization process that is well known in the art as dispersion polymerization or emulsion polymerization. These polymerization processes may be conducted with chain transfer agents, which reduce the average molecular weight of the fluoropolymers produced, and/or via other methods whereby the polymerization process is controlled to form a liquid dispersion of directly polymerized particles of MPF.

[0036] In most embodiments, the MPF, after being produced by dispersion polymerization or emulsion polymerization, is thereafter not agglomerated, irradiated, or thermally degraded. In particular, the MPF will not have been subjected to any agglomeration steps during its manufacture, and therefore retains a small mean particle size as described below.

[0037] The liquid dispersion of MPF in most embodiments will be an aqueous dispersion, though the MPF may be dispersed in other solvents and/or MPF originally in an aqueous phase may be phase transferred into another solvent, such as organic solvents including hexane, acetone, or an alcohol.

[0038] The MPF, when produced as described above, will typically have a mean particle size of 1.0 microns (μm) or less, 0.9 microns (μm) or less, 0.75 microns (μm) or less, 0.5 microns (μm) or less, 0.4 microns (μm) or less, 0.3 microns (μm) or less, or 0.2 microns (μm) or less, as determined by laser scattering interferometry, for example. In particular, the MPF may have a mean particle size as low as 30, 50, 100, or 150 nm, or as large as 200, 250, or 350 nm, for example.

[0039] In other embodiments, MPF powders could also be used, which will typically be dispersed in a liquid to form the present compositions.

[0040] The MPF may be provided in the form of an aqueous dispersion which is stabilized, unstabilized, or minimally stabilized. As used herein, "unstabilized" or "minimally stabilized" refers to an aqueous dispersion that includes less than 1.0 wt.% of a traditional surfactant, such as non-ionic surfactant or an anionic surfactant, based on the weight of the MPF aqueous dispersion. In some embodiments, the MPF dispersion may be provided in the form of an aqueous dispersion having less than 1.0 wt.% surfactant, less than 0.8 wt.% surfactant, less than 0.6 wt.% surfactant, or even less than 0.5 wt.% surfactant. In other embodiments, the MPF dispersion may be provided in the form of an aqueous dispersion that is "stabilized", typically having 1-12 wt.% surfactant.

[0041] The MPF may have a melt flow index (MFI) of at least 10, at least 12, at least 15, or at least 18, as determined by ASTM D1238/ISO 1133, in particular, ASTM D123804C. It is understood that MFI is sometimes also known in the art as melt flow rate (MFR) or melt index (MI), and is expressed as "g/10 min". The relatively high MFI of the MPFs disclosed for use in the present compositions is indicative of the MPF's having relatively low molecular weight as compared to many known MPFs.

[0042] Similar to the TMHPTFE, the molecular weight of the MPF may be characterized by its first melt temperature (T_m), as determined by a suitable method such as differential

scanning calorimetry (DSC), which first melt temperature (T_m) for the MPF may be either equal to or less than 312°C, either equal to or less than 310°C, or either equal to or less than 308°C.

[0043] Also, the MPF will typically have a co-monomer content, *i.e.*, a content of one or more monomers other than tetrafluoroethylene (TFE), of as little as 0.05 wt.%, 0.1 wt.%, or 1.5 wt.% to as much as 3.0 wt.% or greater, 4.0 wt.% or greater, 4.5 wt.% or greater, 5.0 wt.% or greater, 5.5 wt.% or greater, or 6.0 wt.% or greater.

[0044] Exemplary suitable MPFs are set forth in Table 2 below, and include MPF's having relatively higher MFI values, such as 6900Z (PFA), available from Dyneon LLC, TE9568 (FEP), available from DuPont, TE9568 (FEP), available from DuPont, Neoflon ND-110 (FEP), available from Daikin, 3F Shanghai (FEP), available from Shanghai 3F, 6300 FEP, available from Dyneon LLC, and D5220X (MFA) and 5220 (MFA), available from Solvay. Other MPFs having relatively lower MFI values are also set forth in Table 2 below, and some are used as controls in the Examples herein, including TE7224 (PFA), available from DuPont, and Hyflon XPH 6202-1 (MFA), available from Solvay.

Table 2
Characteristics of exemplary melt processible fluoropolymers (MPF)

MPF (type)	Solids content (wt.%)	Mean particle size (μm)	Measured Comonomer Content % by weight	Melt flow rate (MFR) (g/10 min)	First melt temperature (DSC) (°C)
DuPont TE7224 (PFA)	58.6	0.26	2.21	2.4	313.0 (shoulder 321.2)
Dyneon 6900Z (PFA)	49.4	0.31	0.05	19.4	310.25
TE3916 (PFA)	59.2	--	--	--	327.2
DuPont TE9568 (FEP)	55.6	0.17	--	11.9	257.84
Daikin Neoflon ND-110 (FEP)	56.5	0.16	--	--	232.83
3F Shanghai FR463A (FEP)	24	--	--	--	259.9

6300 (FEP)	55	--	--	10	255
Solvay Hyflon XPH 6202-1 (MFA)	27.2	0.28	--	4.5	306.31 (shoulder 287.29)
D5220X (MFA)	55	0.18	--	6.5	310
5220 (MFA)	55	0.2	--	--	310

IV. TMHPTFE/MPF compositions.

[0045] In the present compositions, based on the solids content of all fluoropolymer components of the present compositions, the TMHPTFE(s) are present in an amount of as little as 1 wt.%, 2 wt.%, 4 wt.%, 10 wt.%, 30 wt.%, 35 wt.%, 40 wt.%, 45 wt.%, 55 wt.%, 60 wt.%, or 70 wt.%, or as great as 80 wt.%, 90 wt.%, 95 wt.%, 96 wt.%, or 98 wt.%, or within a range defined between any pair of the foregoing values, and the MPF(s) are present in an amount of as little as 1 wt.%, 2 wt.%, 4 wt.%, 5 wt.%, 10 wt.%, or 20 wt.%, or as great as 30 wt.%, 40 wt.%, 45 wt.%, 55 wt.%, 60 wt.%, 65 wt.%, 70 wt.%, 96 wt.%, or 98 wt.%, or within a range defined between any pair of the foregoing values.

[0046] In particular embodiments, based on the combined weight of the at least one TMHPTFE and the at least one MPF, the amount of TMHPTFE(s) present in the composition may be as little as 50 wt.%, 60 wt.%, 70 wt.%, 75 wt.%, 82 wt.%, or 90 wt.%, for example, and may as great as 70 wt.%, 75 wt.%, 82 wt.%, 90 wt.%, 96 wt.%, or 98 wt.%, for example, or within any range defined between any pair of the foregoing values and/or the values in the Examples herein, and amount of MPF(s) present in the composition may be as little as 2 wt.%, 4 wt.%, 10 wt.%, 18 wt.%, 25 wt.%, or 30 wt.%, for example, or as great as 10 wt.%, 18 wt.%, 25 wt.%, 30 wt.%, 40 wt.%, or 50 wt.%, for example, or within any range defined between any pair of the foregoing values and/or the values in the Examples herein.

[0047] In other particular embodiments, based on the combined weight of the at least one TMHPTFE and the at least one MPF, the amount of TMHPTFE(s) present in the composition may be between 70 and 98 wt.%, between 80 and 96 wt.%, between 82 and 96 wt.%, between 85 and 92 wt.%, or between 90 wt.% to 95 wt.% for example, and the MPF(s) may be present in respective corresponding amounts of between 2 and 30 wt.%, between 4 and 20 wt.%, between 4

and 18 wt.%, between 8 and 15 wt.%, or between 5 and 10 wt.%, for example, based on the total weight of all fluoropolymers in the composition.

[0048] The compositions described herein may also include suitable additives, such as engineering polymers as described above, as well as surfactants, fillers, reinforcement additives, and pigments, if desired. Compositions may also be formulated to lack any or all of the foregoing additives. In particular, the present compositions may lack fillers, such as silica, zirconia, or other inorganic fillers.

[0049] The compositions may also be formulated to lack low molecular weight polytetrafluoroethylene (LPTFE) having a number average molecular weight (M_n) of less than 500,000.

V. Application procedures

[0050] To form the present compositions, aqueous dispersions of the components of the present composition may be blended in any order with slow stirring, for example, or via another low or medium shear method which minimizes the potential for agglomeration, coagulation, or fibrillation of the fluoropolymer particles. When liquid dispersions are used, the dispersions may have varying solids contents, and one of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that the wet weights of the liquid TMHPTFE, and MPF dispersions may be selected based on the solids contents of the dispersions and the desired relative weight percent ratios of the TMHPTFE and MPF that are desired in the resulting blended compositions.

[0051] When aqueous dispersions are used, the dispersions may have varying solids contents. The wet weights of the aqueous dispersions of the first and second fluoropolymers to be blended are selected based on the solids contents of the dispersions and the desired relative weight percents of the fluoropolymers. Powders of the fluoropolymers may also be blended and then dispersed.

[0052] The compositions can be prepared by any standard formulation technique such as simple addition and low shear mixing. The compositions may be applied directly to a substrate, or may be applied over a primer and/or midcoat or may be themselves overcoated by any known technique, and are then cured to provide a coated substrate with a coating having improvements in abrasion resistance and release characteristics. The particular compositions of the primer

and/or midcoat may vary widely, and are not thought to be critical with respect to the improved properties demonstrated by the coatings disclosed herein.

[0053] The fluoropolymer composition may be applied to a substrate in the form of an aqueous dispersion, followed by curing. In another embodiment, the fluoropolymer composition may be sprayed in particulate form onto a substrate, followed by curing.

[0054] The compositions may be applied to a dry film thickness (DFT) of between 5 and 80 microns, depending on the application, and may be cured at a temperature above about 350°C for between 2 and 10 minutes, depending on the applied thickness. Depending on the application and degree of thickness desired, the compositions may be applied in several layers.

[0055] It has been found that blending of the dispersions facilitates interaction of the TMHPTFEs and MPFs on a submicron level to facilitate intimate blending such that, when the blended fluoropolymer composition is dried, a crystal structure representing a true alloy of the fluoropolymers is formed, having melt characteristics that differ from those of the individual fluoropolymers. The blended fluoropolymer composition may be used to provide a coating having improved abrasion resistance, gloss, adhesion, and higher contact angles.

VI. Physical properties.

[0056] Coatings and films prepared from the compositions described above may exhibit one or more of the following properties, together with additional properties, as evidenced by the following Examples.

[0057] The present compositions, when applied to a flexible substrate, either directly to the flexible substrate or over an underlying coating, or formed into a film, exhibits a contact angle of at least 100°, and may have a contact angle of at least 111°, 120°, 130°, or 135°, for example, as measured for a water droplet according to the Young Relation. Contact angle may be measured according to ASTM D7334-08 with any suitable commercially available instrument, such as the “Drop Shape Analysis” system (DSA10), available from Kruss GmbH of Hamburg, Germany.

[0058] The present compositions, when applied to a substrate, either directly to the substrate or over an underlying coating, or formed into a film, exhibits a surface roughness (Ra, arithmetic mean deviation of the roughness profile, measured in microns) of less than 1.5

microns, and may have a surface roughness of less than 1.3 microns, 1.2 microns, 1.0 microns, or 0.9 microns, for example, as determined according to EN ISO 13565.

[0059] The present compositions, when applied to a flexible substrate, either directly to the flexible substrate or over an underlying coating, or formed into a film, exhibits a measured gloss, in % reflectance, of at least 15, and may have a measured gloss of at least 25, 30, 35, 40, or 45, for example, as measured at 60° with any suitable commercially available instrument, such as a Microgloss 60° glossmeter, available from Byk-Gardner, in accordance with the following standards: BS3900/D5, DIN EN ISO 2813, DIN 67530, EN ISO 7668, ASTM D523, ASTM D1455, ASTM C346, ASTM C584, ASTM D2457, JIS Z 8741, MFT 30064, TAPPI T 480. Units of measurement are expressed as % reflectance.

[0060] The present compositions, when applied to a flexible substrate, either directly to the flexible substrate or over an underlying coating, or formed into a film, exhibits a light transmission of at least 50%, and may have a measured light transmission of at least 59%, for example.

[0061] The present compositions, when applied to a flexible substrate, either directly to the flexible substrate or over an underlying coating, exhibits adhesion, as obtained in accordance with Example 2 below, of at least 3 lb/f, at least 3.5 lb/f, at least 4 lb/f, or at least 4.5 lb/f instantaneous force, and/or at least 3 lb/f, at least 3.5 lb/f, at least 4 lb/f, or at least 4.2 lb/f kinetic force, as measured by the peel test described herein.

EXAMPLES

[0062] The following non-limiting Examples illustrate various features and characteristics of the present invention, which is not to be construed as limited thereto. Throughout the Examples and elsewhere herein, percentages are by weight unless otherwise indicated.

Example 1

Exemplary compositions and application to a rigid substrate, e.g., cookware

[0063] It is well known in the art that aqueous solutions of polyamic acid can be prepared by the dissolution of a polyamide-imide (PAI) powder in water, such as Torlon® AI-10, available from Solvay Advanced Polymers, LLC (Torlon® is a registered trademark of Solvay Advanced Polymers, LLC) in the presence of various components including amines, such as dimethylethanolamine (DMAE) and co-solvents, such as furfuryl alcohol and n-methyl pyrrolidone (NMP).

[0064] A more detailed description of the preparation of aqueous PAI solutions can be found in U.S. Patent No. 4,014,834, the disclosure of which is expressly incorporated by reference herein. The polyamic acid solution can then be formulated into a base coat by the addition of various additives.

[0065] A typical base coat composition ("Base Coat A") was prepared, utilizing an aqueous solution of PAI prepared as described above and containing the components set forth in Table 3 below:

Table 3
Base Coat A

Component	Weight %
Deionized Water	57.8
Keystone Black Dispersion	1.8
D-310 PTFE Dispersion	9.2
TE 9568 FEP Dispersion	5.2
Foamblast 389 Defoamer	0.06
Surfynol 440	0.79
Triton X-100	0.11
Torlon AI-10 powder	5.63
NMP	2.70
Furfuryl Alcohol	1.54
Dimethylaminoethanol (DMAE)	1.53
Ludox AM	7.3
E-330 Alumina	4.33
Ultramarine Blue	2.0
Petro AG Special Powder	0.01

[0066] Test samples were prepared by spraying Base Coat A onto pre-cleaned aluminum pans, followed by heating in an oven at 100°C for two minutes. Topcoats were then applied by spraying the primed pans with either Topcoats 1-5, the components of which are set forth in

Table 4 below. The coated panels were then cured for 10 minutes in an oven at 430°C. As applied, the dry-film thickness (DFT) of the basecoat was approximately 8 µm, and that of the topcoats were approximately 25 µm.

Table 4
Topcoats 1-5

COMPONENT	TOPCOATS 1 and 2 Controls (Weight %)	TOPCOATS 3 and 4 (Weight %)	TOPCOAT 5 (Weight %)
DX-9027 TMHPTFE Dispersion	60.0	63.1	60.33
TE-7224 PFA Dispersion	4.1	-	-
6900GZ PFA Dispersion	-	4.6	8.8
TE-3887 LPTFE Dispersion	3.1	-	-
Foamblast 384E Defoamer	0.1	0.1	0.1
Deionized Water	15.75	15.23	14.56
Carbopol EP-1	0.3	0.3	0.29
Neocryl A-081-W	7.2	7.3	6.98
Keystone Black Dispersion	0.5	0.5	0.48
Iriodin 153	0.5	0.5	0.48
Triton X-100	0.85	0.83	0.79
Carbowax PE Glycol 1450	1.0	0.95	0.91
Triethanolamine	2.22	2.22	2.12
Palmac 750 Oleic Acid	0.64	0.64	0.62
12% Cerium Hexcem	0.57	0.57	0.55
Surfynol 440	0.54	0.54	0.52
Aromatic 100	1.46	1.46	1.39
DGBE glycol Ether	1.17	1.17	1.12

[0067] The cured test pans were then tested by the Mechanical Scratch Adhesion Test (MSAT) and food-release properties, and the results are set forth in Table 5 below, in which Topcoat 1 and Topcoat 2 when applied over Base Coat A are referred to as controls.

[0068] Table 5 sets out the formulations and results obtained for coating compositions applied to cookware, and the detailed test protocols are given below.

Table 5
Summary of data obtained on cookware

No.	Topcoat 1 Control	Topcoat 2 Control	Topcoat 3	Topcoat 4	Topcoat 5
Lot Number	D9913A	D9913B	D9914AZ	D9914BZ	D9914AZ-2PFA
TMHPTFE used	DX9027	DX9027	DX9027	DX9027	DX9027
LPTFE used	TE 3887	TE 3887	none	none	none
MPF used	TE 7224PFA	TE 7224PFA	6900GZ PFA	6900GZ PFA	6900GZ PFA
60° Gloss, %	29	28.7	31.5	31.2	35.8
Five-Egg Release Test, rated 1-5 with 5 best	5	5	4.8	5	5
MSAT Rating, 1-9 with 9 best (DFT, μm)	7 (33)	7 (30)	6 (28)	6 (28)	8 (36)
Dry [TMHPTFE] as % total FP	89.4%	89.4%	94.3%	94.3%	89.2%
Dry [LPTFE] as % total FP	4.5%	4.5%	0%	0%	0%
Dry [MPF] as % total FP	6.1%	6.1%	5.7%	5.7%	10.8

[0069] An examination of Table 5 reveals that Topcoats 3-5 (particularly Topcoat 5) formulated with blends of DX9027 with 6900GZ yield excellent release and gloss characteristics significantly better than Topcoats 1 and 2 which were formulated with low molecular weight PTFE (LPTFE) in accordance with U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 12/567,330, filed on September 25, 2009 (published as U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2010/0080955), assigned to the assignee of the present application, the disclosure of which is incorporated by reference herein.

[0070] It is believed that such unexpected properties of the DX9027/6900GZ blends (Topcoats 3-5) arise from the relatively lower molecular weights of the TMHPTFE component as compared to other trace modified TMHPTFE grades such as D410 and/or the relatively high MFI (hence relatively low molecular weight) of the MPF component which in this case for 6900GZ has a MFI of 19.4 versus that of TE7224 which has a MFI of 2.4. The molecular weight of the TMHPTFEs is determined from the first melt peak temperature which is significantly

higher for D410 though the levels of PPVE modification are similar, as shown in Table 1. Also, although the PPVE content of 6900GZ is significantly lower than that of TE7224 the former's first melt point is also very low indicating that it is a low mwt PFA material thereby yielding a high MFI.

Mechanical Scratch Adhesion Test (MSAT).

[0071] 1. Scope. Coatings for cookware are susceptible to abuse and damage by scratching and cutting with metal utensils. This method describes a procedure and equipment that inflicts abuse on coatings, is reproducible, objective and quick. A weighted ball point pen tip affixed to a balance arm is placed on the coated surface which is revolving on a turntable. At the same time, the balance arm oscillates from side to side by means of a revolving cam. The turntable and cam are driven by constant speed DC motors. The speed of the turntable and cam are controlled by variable DC power supplies. The amplitude of oscillation is controlled by the degree of eccentricity in the cam. The weight is variable. By adjusting the speeds of the motors and the amplitude various scratch patterns may be obtained. These can be adjusted to cover a small or large surface area.

[0072] To further simulate the conditions encountered by coatings for nonstick cookware, the test piece (panel or pan) is covered with hot oil. The temperature of the oil is maintained with IR heat lamps and is monitored with a thermometer or thermocouple.

[0073] 2. Equipment and Materials

- 2.1 Mechanical scratch adhesion apparatus with set of weights.
- 2.2 Medium point standard ball point pen refill cartridges (Pentech Part # 85330 or equivalent).
- 2.3 Hot plate.
- 2.4 Cooking oil.
- 2.5 Thermometer or digital read out with thermocouple wire.
- 2.6 Small 'C' clamps.
- 2.7 Shallow pan approximately 10 inches (25 cm) in diameter.
- 2.8 Set (2 or 3) of 250 watt infrared heating lamps on stands.

[0074] 3. Procedure.

[0075] 3.1 Insert a ball point pen refill into the stylus assembly. (Note - a new pen refill is used for each test.) Check the balance and level of the balance arm with test piece in position. Adjust if necessary. Remove the test piece. Set the amplitude of the oscillation by

choosing the proper cam setting. (Typical cam setting is the second screw hole from the center.) Set the minimum and maximum radius by loosening the balance arm retaining screw and adjusting at the extremes of the cam. Usually, a center circle of about 2 inches (51 mm) is allowed in the test pattern.

[0076] 3.2 Without weight on the balance arm, and holding the pen above the turntable, adjust the speed of the turntable and the cam. It is important to adjust the speed of both the turntable and the cam so that repeating patterns are eliminated or minimized. The pen should travel in a new path over as much of the wear area as possible. Although other speeds may be acceptable, the following speeds have reduced start-up problems.

Turntable: 15 rpm. or 10 revolutions in 39.4-39.6 seconds

Cam: 21 rpm. or 10 revolutions in 28.5-28.9 seconds

[0077] 3.3 Place a piece of paper on the turntable and hold in place with tape. Load the pen with a lightweight (approx. 200 grams). Place the pen on the paper and trace the scratch pattern it will follow. If a repeating pattern occurs, adjust the speed of either the turntable or cam. Save the pattern. This is also a check of the functioning of the pen. If it does not write, replace it.

[0078] 3.4 Remove paper. Center pan on turntable. If testing panels, place shallow pan on turntable and place panels in pan. Panels must be of a size large enough to accommodate the size of the scratch pattern. Using 'C' clamps, anchor the pan and panel to the turntable. Holding the pen above the test piece, turn on the turntable and cam and observe several revolutions to ensure that the scratch pattern is entirely on the test piece. Turn the unit off.

[0079] 3.5 Heat sufficient cooking oil to cover test surface by about 1/8 to 1/4 inch (3-6 mm). Heat to test temperature, typically 300°F (150°C). (CAUTION: Above about 150°C, cooking oils emit fumes and strong odors. Also, they become quite flammable. If running over 150°C, conduct test in a well ventilated area, preferably in a fume hood.) Pour hot oil into pan. Position IR lamps close to pan and turn on to maintain temperature of the oil. Some pretesting of the proper position of the lamps will be required to maintain the temperature within a range of 40°F (5°C). Monitor every 5 minutes during test, and adjust position of the lamps to hold this tolerance. (A continuously reading temperature gauge is most convenient for this measurement.)

[0080] 3.6 Place the proper weight on the balance arm. Typically, this will vary from 250 to 1000 grams, with 500 grams being used most frequently. Start both motors and place the pen gently on the coated surface. Allow the test to run for the required length of time.

[0081] 4. Evaluation

[0082] 4.1 Record the following information:

Speed of turntable and cam in rpm

Cam amplitude setting (number or distance from inside to outside radius in cm)

Load on pen point in grams

Temperature of oil

Duration of test

All test piece parameters (substrate and substrate preparation, coating, thickness, cure, etc.)

[0083] 4.2 Remove test piece, drain oil, and wash in warm water and mild detergent. Blot dry with paper towel. Visually observe the damage to the coating. This may be done on a comparative basis against other test specimens. In general, performance levels have been rated as follows:

Mechanical Scratch Test Ratings

9	No effect. Light scratching of the surface. No breakthrough at any place in the scratch pattern.
8	Slight. Light scratching of the surface. Inner circle of pattern is showing slight cut through to basecoat and possibly some cuts to substrate. Outer circle not cut through.
6	Moderate. Moderate scratching between inner and outer circle. Inner and outer circle both cut through to basecoat and possibly to substrate (inner usually worse than outer).
4	Considerable. Less than 25% loss of coating between inner and outer circle (estimate and record amount). Considerable cut through to substrate and fraying at the inner and outer circles.
2	Severe. Between 25% to 50% loss of coating adhesion between inner and outer circle. Severe loss of coating at inner and outer circles. Metal substrate quite apparent.
0	Total Failure. Greater than 50% loss of adhesion and coating surface.

[0084] 5. Comments/Precautions.

- [0085] 5.1 The preferred approach to running this test is to establish a set of operating parameters for the turntable and cam speeds, the oscillating amplitude, and oil temperature. Then vary the load or time. Once this has been established, setting up individual tests proceeds quickly and smoothly.
- [0086] 5.2 Check the balance and oscillation of the balance arm frequently to ensure that it has not become loose and changed.
- [0087] 5.3 Check the speed of the turntable and cam frequently, and adjust accordingly.
- [0088] 5.4 This test can be run cold, i.e., without hot oil.
- [0089] 5.5 With a different stylus and with no rotation of the cam, this test may be run as the Ball Penetration Test, Whitford Test Method 137B. Other styli may be used as well to test for different properties.

Egg Release Test.

- [0090] 1. Scope. This procedure is used as a quick method of determining the ability of food to be released from a nonstick coating for cookware. When used with care, this test may be used as an on-line control test to measure the consistency of production. The test is somewhat subjective and dependent upon the equipment used and the technique of the tester.
- [0091] 2. Equipment and Materials.
- [0092] 2.1 8 inch (20 cm) electric stove burner rated 1500 watts or gas range burner.
- [0093] 2.2 Contact pyrometer or IR temperature gun (capable of measuring to 500°F/260°C).
- [0094] 2.3 Plastic, metal or coated metal spatula.
- [0095] 2.4 Timer or watch with second hand.
- [0096] 2.5 Cold, fresh, large size hen eggs.
- [0097] 2.6 Tap water, mild dish detergent, paper towels.
- [0098] 3. Procedure.
- [0099] 3.1 Wash coated utensil to be tested with tap water and mild detergent solution. Rinse several times in hot tap water and blot dry with a paper towel.

- [00100] 3.2 Turn on electric or gas burner to a medium setting ("5" on an electric burner or one-half on for gas). Allow burner to come to temperature for 3-5 minutes.
- [00101] 3.3 Place the utensil on the center of burner. Allow to heat while monitoring the temperature with the pyrometer or IR temperature gun. Allow the utensil to heat to 290-310°F (143-154°C). Alternately, if a pyrometer is not available, the temperature may be judged by sprinkling a few drops of water onto the surface periodically as the utensil heats. The test temperature has been reached when the drops of water steam and "dance" immediately upon contact with the surface.
- [00102] 3.4 Crack and gently place the contents of one cold, fresh egg in the center of the utensil. Do not tip or swirl the utensil or cause the egg to run.
- [00103] 3.5 Allow the egg to cook for two (2) minutes undisturbed. Monitor temperature of the pan as the egg cooks. Record the temperature of the utensil. The temperature on the utensil should rise to 380-420°F (193-215°C) at the end of two minutes. If the end point temperature is outside this range, adjust the burner control up or down as appropriate and repeat the test. (Note: The correct burner control setting may be determined in advance using a separate utensil of the same construction as the test utensil.)
- [00104] 3.6 At the end of two minutes, lift egg with spatula. Free egg completely from the surface, noting the amount of effort required. Once the egg has been freed, remove the utensil from the burner and tilt. Note the ease or difficulty with which the egg slides in the bottom of the utensil.
- [00105] 3.7 Return utensil to burner. Turn egg over and break yolk with spatula. Allow egg to cook another two (2) minutes. Repeat Step 3.6. In addition, make note of any staining and the amount of material adhering to the utensil.
- [00106] 4. Evaluation.
- [00107] 4.1 Record effort required to free egg from surface. Egg that lifts easily from surface with no sticking around edges indicates excellent release. Diminishing release down to complete sticking may be noted by amount of effort required to lift the egg.
- [00108] 4.2 A numerical and descriptive rating system is as follows:

Egg Release Test Ratings

Excellent (5)	No sticking in center or edges of egg. Slides easily without pushing with spatula. Leaves no mark or residue.
Good (4)	Slight sticking around edges. Slides easily if moved with spatula. Leaves slight mark, but no residue.
Fair (3)	Moderate sticking on edges; slight sticking in center. Slides only if steeply tilted and shaken, and must be pushed with spatula. Leaves mark, and slight residue.
Poor (2)	Requires considerable effort to free egg, but can be freed intact with spatula. Does not slide. Leaves moderate residue.
Very Poor (1)	Egg cannot be freed from surface without breaking up.

[00109] 4.3 If a control sample is available, record results as much better than, better than, equal, worse than or much worse than the control.

[00110] 5. Comments/Precautions.

[00111] 5.1 The results of this test are subjective and are best applied on a relative basis using a known standard as control. Repeatability will be good for the same tester and equipment. Repeatability will be improved with experienced testers using the same equipment.

[00112] 5.2 Results may vary if utensils of different materials of construction or size are compared. In every case, the burner control settings should be adjusted to provide the same heat up profile for best correlation of results.

60° Gloss.

[00113] Gloss measurements were obtained using a Microgloss 60° glossmeter, available from Byk-Gardner. The gloss meter conformed to the following standards: BS3900/D5, DIN EN ISO 2813, DIN 67530, EN ISO 7668, ASTM D523, ASTM D1455, ASTM C346, ASTM C584, ASTM D2457, JIS Z 8741, MFT 30064, TAPPI T 480. Units of measurement are expressed as % reflectance.

Example 2**Exemplary compositions and application to
a flexible substrate, e.g., glasscloth**

[00114] In this Example, coatings were made from compositions of blended fluoropolymers including TMHPTFE(s) and MPF(s) in accordance with the first embodiment of present invention.

[00115] In this Example, these compositions were coated onto glasscloth over basecoats and/or midcoats, and the resulting coating systems were tested for abrasion resistance, release properties, and other properties in the remaining Examples.

[00116] The formulations of the basecoat and midcoats are set forth in Tables 6A and 6B, respectively, and are expressed as wet weight fractions whereas the topcoat components, set forth in Table 6C, are expressed as dry weight fractions.

Table 6A**Basecoat formulations**

Coating #	Grade of glass cloth substrate	PTFE	PFA	FEP	LPTE	THV	Water	Solids	# of passes
Basecoat	2116	0.5	0	0	0	0	0.5	30	2

Table 6B**Midcoat formulations**

Coating #	PTFE	PFA	FEP	LPTE	THV	PAI	PPS	Ceramic	Water	Solids	# of passes
Midcoat	0.92	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.08	50	2

Table 6C**Topcoat formulations**

Coating #	Base Coat	Mid Coat	Top Coat (PTFE)	Top coat (MFA)	Top Coat (PFA)	Top Coat (FEP)	Top Coat (LPTE)	Coating Weight
Control	PTFE	PTFE	1	0	0	0	0	280

A								
Control B	PTFE	PTFE	0	0	1	0	0	307
Control C	PTFE	PTFE	0.9	0	0.053	0	0.047	290
Control D	PTFE	PTFE	1	0	0	0	0	296
HLB1	PTFE	PTFE	0.85	0	0.15	0	0	302
HLB2	PTFE	PTFE	0.9	0	0.1	0	0	314
HLB3	PTFE	PTFE	0.95	0	0.05	0	0	318
HLB4	PTFE	PTFE	0.9	0	0.1	0	0	314
HLB5	PTFE	PTFE	0.9	0.1	0	0	0	310
HLB6	PTFE	PTFE	0.9	0	0	0.1	0	297
HLB7	PTFE	PTFE	0.9	0	0	0.1	0	302
HLB8	PTFE	PTFE	0.9	0	0.1	0	0	304
HLB9	PTFE	PTFE	0.9	0	0.1	0	0	284
HLB10	PTFE	PTFE	0.9	0	0.1	0	0	289
HLB11	PTFE	PTFE	0.9	0.1	0	0	0	289
HLB12	PTFE	PTFE	0.9	0	0	0.1	0	288
HLB13	PTFE	PTFE	0.85	0.15	0	0	0	275
HLB14	PTFE	PTFE	0.95	0.05	0	0	0	278
HLB15	PTFE	PTFE	0.85	0	0.15	0	0	278
HLB16	PTFE	PTFE	0.95	0	0.05	0	0	275
HLB17	PTFE	PTFE	0.85	0	0	0.15	0	277
HLB18	PTFE	PTFE	0.95	0	0	0.05	0	286
HLB19	PTFE	PTFE	0.8	0	0.2	0	0	278
HLB20	PTFE	PTFE	0.7	0	0.3	0	0	277
HLB21	PTFE	PTFE	0.6	0	0.4	0	0	274
HLB22	PTFE	PTFE	0.5	0	0.5	0	0	274

[00117] The fluoropolymer components of the Topcoats were as follows:

[00118] PTFE (TMHPTFE) – Daikin D310, solids = 60% for Control A, B, and C and HLB1 to HLB 8

[00119] PTFE (TMHPTFE) – Daikin D410, solids = 60% for Control D and HLB9 to HLB18

[00120] MFA - Solvay Hyflon MFA XPH 6202-1, Lot# Lab, solids = 27.2%.

[00121] PFA - du Pont PFA TE7224, (Lot# 0804330005, Solids = 58.6%) for Control C, HLB8 and HLB10 of Table 6C the other PFA containing formulations used Dyneon 6900GZ except HLB4 which used Dyneon 6910RG

[00122] LPTFE - SFN-D, Chenguang,

[00123] All of the coating compositions were mixed using a standard mixer under medium shear for 5–7 minutes. All mixed coatings were applied to glasscloth in the laboratory using draw down bars. The glasscloth substrate grades were produced by PD Interplas or Porcher Industries. The coated substrate is subjected to a flash off in a laboratory box oven set at 260°C (500°F) for 2 minutes followed by curing in a laboratory box oven set at 400°C (752°F) for 1 minute.

[00124] The basecoat, midcoat and PTFE of the topcoat of the control samples were all standard PTFE dispersions.

Roughness, Gloss and Contact Angle of Coated Glasscloth samples

[00125] The test protocols employed for these measurements were as was given for previous examples in this document

Table 7

Roughness, Gloss and Contact Angle of Coated Glasscloth samples

Formula	RA	Gloss	CA- Water
Control A	1.37	15.8	112.02
Control B	2.79	7.8	112.94
Control C	0.91	36.8	128.08
Control D	0.87	32.48	119.37
HLB1	0.6	42.83	.
HLB2	0.78	36.73	91.91
HLB3	0.78	36.08	107.7
HLB4	0.72	36	109.83
HLB5	0.96	40.9	104.51
HLB6	0.85	33.47	110.72
HLB7	0.89	30.96	107.58
HLB8	0.96	30.05	111.39
HLB9	1.17	29.92	107.06
HLB10	1.24	30.24	119.92

HLB11	1.19	30.34	121.24
HLB12	1.15	28.68	112.83
HLB13	1.53	37.2	110.54
HLB14	0.91	41.4	112.79
HLB15	1.09	41.3	121.92
HLB16	1.49	41.5	110.22
HLB17	1.33	36.5	115.13
HLB18	1.28	38.4	103.97
HLB19	1.39	39.9	103.37
HLB20	2.05	38.5	118.32
HLB21	1.45	35.2	108.39
HLB22	1.31	26.3	114.92

[00126] The results in Table 7 above show a significant improvement in smoothness, and increase in gloss, and an increase in the contact angle of water over the control topcoats for coating compositions made in accordance with the first and second embodiments of the present invention when applied to flexible glass substrates. HLB1 was significantly smoother than Control C (a 3-component blend) as disclosed in U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 12/567,330, filed on September 25, 2009 (Published as U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2010/0080955) and U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 12/567,446, filed on September 25, 2009 (Published as U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2010/0080959), each assigned to the assignee of the present application, the disclosure of which are incorporated by reference herein.

Reciprocating abrasion test

[00127] A reciprocating abrasion test (RAT) was conducted on each coating under the test protocol set forth at the end of this Example. The results are set forth in Table 8 below:

Table 8
Reciprocating abrasion test (RAT)

Coating #	RAT Ambient initial	RAT ambient 10%
Control A	2000	5000

Control B	9000	14000
Control C	7000	11000
Control D	6000	10000
HLB1	7000	10000
HLB2	11000	23000
HLB3	4000	6000
HLB4	4000	8000
HLB5	4000	7000
HLB6	5000	8000
HLB7	7000	10000
HLB8	3000	5000
HLB9	4000	7000
HLB10	3000	5000
HLB11	3000	5000
HLB12	6000	9000
HLB13	4000	6000
HLB14	7000	18000
HLB15	8000	13000
HLB16	4000	12000
HLB17	4000	13000
HLB18	3000	11000
HLB19	6000	10000
HLB20	3000	6000
HLB21	4000	6000
HLB22	2000	5000

[00128] The results in the table above show that there is up to a 50% improvement in linear abrasion resistance with the topcoats made in accordance with the first and second embodiments of the present disclosure when applied to flexible glass substrates over the control topcoats that were formulated in accordance with the disclosures of the above-referenced U.S. Patent Application Serial Nos. 12/567,330 and 12/567,446.

Reciprocating abrasion test protocol (RAT)

[00129] The reciprocating abrasion test was conducted based on the complete protocol set forth below with the following modifications: (1) the coated sample were tested until 10% exposure of substrate; (2) the test was performed using a 3kg weight at ambient temperature; and (3) the Scotchbrite 3M (7447) pads were changed every 1000 cycles.

[00130] The complete test protocol is as follows:

[00131] Scope. This test measures the resistance of coatings to abrasion by a reciprocating Scotch-Brite pad. The test subjects coating abrasion in a back and forth motion. The test is a measure of the useful life of coatings that have been subjected to scouring and other similar forms of damage caused by cleaning. TM 135C is specific to a test apparatus built by Whitford Corporation of West Chester, PA. However, it is applicable to similar test methods such as the one described in British Standard 7069-1988.

[00132] Equipment and Materials.

[00133] (1) A test machine capable of holding a Scotch-Brite abrasive pad of a specific size to the surface to be tested with a fixed force and capable of moving the pad in a back and forth (reciprocating) motion over a distance to 10 - 15 cm (4 to 6 inches). The force and motion are applied by a free falling, weighted stylus. The machine must be equipped with a counter, preferably one that may be set to shut off after a given number of cycles.

[00134] (2) Scotch-Brite pads of required abrasiveness cut to required size. Scotch-Brite pads are made by 3M Company, Abrasive Systems Division, St Paul, MN 55144-1000. Pads come in grades with varying levels of abrasiveness as follows:

Lowest --7445, **7448**, 6448, 7447, 6444, **7446**, 7440, 5440 -- Highest

[00135] Scotch-Brite pads may be used at temperatures up to 150°C (300°F). Equivalent pads may be used.

[00136] (3) Hot plate to heat test specimens. (Optional)

[00137] (4) Detergent solution or oil for performing test in with a liquid. (Optional)

[00138] Procedure.

[00139] Before beginning the test, the end point must be defined. Usually, the end point is defined when some amount of substrate has been exposed. However, the end point may be defined as a given number of strokes even if substrate is not exposed. The present inventors use a 10% exposure of substrate over the abraded area as the standard definition of end point. Other end points may be used.

[00140] Secure the part to be tested under the reciprocating pad. The part must be firmly fastened with bolts, clamps or tape. The part should be as flat as possible and long enough so that the pad does not run off an edge. Bumps in the surface will wear first, and overrunning an edge can tear the pad and cause premature scratching and a false result.

[00141] Cut a piece of Scotch Brite of required abrasiveness to the exact size of the "foot" of the stylus. The present inventors use Grade 7447 as standard, and the "foot" of the stylus on the test machine is 5 cm (2 inches) in diameter. Attach the pad to the bottom of the "foot." The Scotch-Brite pad is fixed to the "foot" by means of a piece of "Velcro" glued to the bottom of the foot.

[00142] If the machine has an adjustable stroke length, set the required length. The present inventors use a 10 cm (4 inch) stroke length as standard. Lower the pad onto the surface of the piece to be tested. Make sure that the weight is completely free. The present inventors used a 3.0 Kg weight as standard, but this can be varied.

[00143] If the machine is equipped with a counter, set the counter to the required number of strokes. One stroke is a motion in one direction. If the machine does not have an automatic counter, the counter must be watched so that the machine can be turned off at the proper time. The machine is stopped at various intervals to change the abrasive pad. The abrasiveness of the pad changes (usually becomes less effective) as the pad fills with debris. The present inventors changed pads at intervals of 1,000 strokes. One thousand strokes is the preferred interval between pad changes.

[00144] Start the test machine. Allow to run until an end point is reached or until a required number of strokes are attained before changing the pad.

[00145] Inspect the test piece carefully at the beginning and end of each start up. As the end point is approached, the substrate will begin to show through the coating. When close to the end point, observe the test piece constantly. Stop the machine when the end point has been reached.

[00146] Evaluation.

[00147] Record the following for the test machine:

[00148] 1. Grade and size of Scotch-Brite pad.

[00149] 2. Load on stylus

- [00150] 3. Number of strokes between pad changes.
- [00151] 4. Length of stroke.
- [00152] 5. Definition of end point.
- [00153] 6. Number of strokes to end point.
- [00154] Duplicate tests provide greater reliability. Indicate if end point is a single result or the average of several results.
- [00155] Record the description of the coating, the film thickness, and the substrate and surface preparation.
- [00156] If the test is conducted to a specific number of strokes, record the number of strokes. Record a description of the amount of wear, such as percent of substrate exposed, or number of strokes to first substrate exposure. Optionally, record the film thickness and/or weight before and after testing.
- [00157] If the test is performed at elevated temperature, record the temperature of the test. If performed with a liquid, record the specifics of the liquid.
- [00158] Comments/Precautions.
- [00159] Both sides of a Scotch-Brite pad may be used. Pads must be cut precisely to fit the "foot." Ragged edges or rough spots on the pad will give false results. Test pieces must be flat and free from dirt or other particles. This test method is similar to the abrasion test described in BS 7069:1988, Appendix A1. When tested according to BS 7069, test pieces are immersed in 50 cm³ of a 5 g/liter solution of household dish washing detergent in water. The test runs for 250 cycles with pads changed every 50 cycles.

Taber reciprocating abrasion test

- [00160] A Taber reciprocating abrasion test was conducted according to ASTM D3389 under the following conditions: (1) the test was completed on a Taber 5135 Abraser using the weight loss method; (2) resilient Calibrase wheels H-18 were used with a 250 g load on each abraser arm, and the wheels were resurfaced every 1000 cycles; and (3) the Taber Wear Index was calculated as:

$$TWI = Wt(loss)(mg) / \# \text{ of cycles}$$

[00161] Taber tests generally involve mounting a specimen (typically less than 12.5 mm thickness) to a turntable platform that rotates at a fixed speed. Two abrasive wheels, which are applied at a specific pressure, are lowered onto the specimen surface. As the turntable rotates, the wheels are driven by the sample in opposite directions about a horizontal axis displaced tangentially from the axis of the sample. One abrading wheel rubs the specimen outward toward the periphery and the other, inward toward the centre while a vacuum system removes loose debris during testing.

[00162] The results are set forth in Table 9 below for the coated Glasscloth samples

Table 9

Taber reciprocating abrasion test of coated glasscloth samples

Coating #	TWI 1000	TWI 2000	TWI 3000
Control A	11	10.5	10
Control B	16	13.5	12.3
Control C	19	19.5	21.3
Control D	17	26	23
HLB1	21	20	20.7
HLB2	16	18.5	19.3
HLB3	38	32.5	26.3
HLB4	19	16	17
HLB5	24	30	45
HLB6	23	28.5	34
HLB7	19	23.5	18.3
HLB8	9	19.5	29
HLB9	39	34.5	33
HLB10	44	36.5	33
HLB11	39	34	33.3
HLB12	23	26.5	24.7
HLB13	16	9	13.3
HLB14	19	15	13
HLB15	15	17	16
HLB16	13	19	13.7
HLB17	16	16	13.3
HLB18	14	17.5	12.7

HLB19	14	14	13.7
HLB20	10	9.5	10.3
HLB21	14	12	14
HLB22	16	13	16.7

[00163] The results in Table 9 above show that the Taber Wear Index with the topcoats made in accordance with the first and second embodiments of the present when applied to flexible glass substrates is not significantly different than that of the Controls.

Cooking release tests for coated glasscloth samples

[00164] The cooking release test protocols for this Example were the same as for Examples discussed earlier in this document. The results are summarized in Table 10 below.

Table 10

Cooking release tests for coated glasscloth samples

Coating #	Release (Cookie)	Release (Pizza)	Release (Chicken)
Control A	3	3	2
Control B	3	3	3
Control C	5	5	5
Control D	4	4	3
HLB1	5	5	4
HLB2	5	5	5
HLB3	5	5	5
HLB4	4	5	5
HLB5	4	4	4
HLB5	4	4	4
HLB6	3	4	4
HLB7	4	5	4
HLB8	4	5	5
HLB9	3	4	4
HLB10	3	4	3
HLB11	3	4	3
HLB12	3	4	4
HLB13	5	5	4

HLB14	4	4	4
HLB15	3	4	3
HLB16	4	4	3
HLB17	3	3	2
HLB18	3	3	4
HLB19	4	4	3
HLB20	5	4	4
HLB21	5	5	3
HLB22	4	4	3

[00165] The results in the table above show that there is an improvement in the release, reduction in staining, and ease of cleaning characteristics for all types of food tested over the control topcoats except Control C with the topcoats made in accordance with the first and second embodiments of the present invention when applied to flexible glass substrates.

Light Transmission Test for coated Glasscloth samples

[00166] A light transmission test was conducted using a TES 1334 light meter, available from TES Electronic Corp. of Taipei, Taiwan. Units of measurement are lux (lx).

[00167] Samples were secured on a frame 2 inches in front of a light box and the peak reading was measured. Light transmission is expressed as a percent (%) obtained by dividing the measured lx value for a coated sample by the measured lx value for an uncoated sample.

[00168] The results are set forth in Table 11 below for coated glasscloth samples

Table 11

Light transmission test for coated glasscloth samples

Formula	Reading – lux	% Transmission
No Substrate	4.02	
Control A	0.31	7.71%
Control B	1.41	35.07%
Control C	2.05	51.00%
Control D	2.01	50.00%
HLB1	2.04	50.75%
HLB2	1.84	45.77%

HLB3	1.67	41.54%
HLB4	1.82	45.27%
HLB5	1.92	47.76%
HLB6	1.86	46.27%
HLB7	1.84	45.77%
HLB8	2.19	54.48%
HLB9	1.97	49.00%
HLB10	2.02	50.25%
HLB11	1.98	49.25%
HLB12	1.94	48.26%
HLB13	2.20	54.73%
HLB14	2.38	59.20%
HLB15	1.95	48.51%
HLB16	1.72	42.79%
HLB17	1.67	41.54%
HLB18	1.79	44.53%
HLB19	2.26	56.22%
HLB20	2.00	49.75%
HLB21	2.34	58.21%
HLB22	2.20	54.73%

[00169] The coatings shown here reveal similar light transmission to that of Control C (a 3-component blend).

Adhesion test for Flexible Substrates

[00170] Adhesion tests were conducted under the following conditions: (1) the test was completed on a Lloyd LRX Tensometer; (2) Samples 25mm wide, 200mm in length are prepared by sealing 2 strips of fabric with PFA film (temperature 375°C, 25 seconds).

[00171] The test is conducted at a speed of 100mm/min for a distance of 25mm. An average reading of 3 measurements are quoted, and the units of measurement are lbs/f.

[00172] The results are set forth in Table 12 below for coated glasscloth samples.

Table 12

Adhesion test for coated glasscloth samples

Coating #	Instantaneous Force (lbf)	Kinetic Force (lbf)
Control A	5.93	4.77
Control B	5.09	4.23
Control C	4.74	3.61
Control D	5.72	5.49
HLB1	3.74	3.83
HLB2	4.02	3.72
HLB3	3.47	3.21
HLB4	4.55	4.12
HLB5	3.75	3.81
HLB6	3.59	3.49
HLB7	3.76	3.47
HLB8	4.18	3.19
HLB9	4.44	3.85
HLB10	4.08	3.25
HLB11	4.35	4.22
HLB12	4.08	3.89
HLB13	3.97	4.14
HLB14	3.57	3.33
HLB15	4.38	3.67
HLB16	4.39	3.79
HLB17	4.78	4.12
HLB18	3.74	3.75
HLB19	3.61	3.09
HLB20	3.23	2.92
HLB21	3.67	3.51
HLB22	4.59	3.91

[00173] The results in Table 12 show that the adhesion properties of the control topcoats are maintained in the present coating compositions when applied to flexible glass substrates, indicating that the addition of the coating compositions does not interfere with the adhesion of the coating to the substrate.

Statistical review of the performance of TMHPTFE/MPF
coating compositions on coated Glasscloth

[00174] A comparison of properties of two component topcoats of the type TMHPTFE/MPF with those of the Controls is shown in Table 13 below. When all the data for all the tests are normalized, the ranking shown in the last section of Table 13 is obtained (on a 0-1 scale), where it is clearly seen that the TMHPTFE/MPF topcoats of the present invention are superior overall to those of Controls A&B and comparable to the 3 component blend Control C.

[00175] “Normalized” data are obtained from the following equations:

Equation 1: Normalized Surface Properties Calculation = “NORM SURF”

Mean {[Maximum (Ra) - (Ra)] / [Maximum (Ra) - Minimum (Ra)],

[Gloss - Minimum (Gloss)] / [Maximum (Gloss) - Minimum (Gloss)],

[Contact Angle - Minimum (Contact Angle)] /
[Maximum (Contact Angle) - Minimum (Contact Angle)]}

Equation 2: Normalized Adhesion Calculation = “NORM ADHESION”

Mean {[Instantaneous Force- Minimum (Instantaneous Force)] /
[Maximum (Instantaneous Force) - Minimum (Instantaneous Force)],

[Kinetic Force - Minimum (Kinetic Force)] /
[Maximum (Kinetic Force) - Minimum (Kinetic Force)]}

Equation 3: Normalized Abrasion Calculation = “NORM ABRASION”

Mean {[RAT Ambient Initial- Minimum (RAT Ambient Initial)] /
[Maximum (RAT Ambient Initial) - Minimum (RAT Ambient Initial)],

[RAT Ambient 10% - Minimum (RAT Ambient 10%)] /
[Maximum (RAT Ambient 10%) - Minimum (RAT Ambient 10%)],

[Maximum (TWI 1000) - (TWI 1000)] /
[Maximum (TWI 1000) - Minimum (TWI 1000)],

[Maximum (TWI 2000) - (TWI 2000)] /
[Maximum (TWI 2000) - Minimum (TWI 2000)],

[Maximum (TWI 3000) - (TWI 3000)] /
[Maximum (TWI 3000) - Minimum (TWI 3000)]}

Equation 4: Normalized Release Calculation = “NORM RELEASE”

Mean {[Egg Release - Minimum (Egg Release)] /
[Maximum (Egg Release) - Minimum (Egg Release)],

[Release Cookie - Minimum (Release Cookie)] /
[Maximum (Release Cookie) - Minimum (Release Cookie)],

[Release Pizza- Minimum (Release Pizza)] /
[Maximum (Release Pizza) - Minimum (Release Pizza)],

[Release Chicken - Minimum (Release Chicken)] /
[Maximum (Release Chicken) - Minimum (Release Chicken)]}

Equation 5: Normalized All Data Calculation = “NORM ALL”

Mean {Normalized Surface Properties,
Normalized Adhesion,
Normalized Abrasion,
Normalized Release}

[00176] That is, for each test where a maximum value is desirable the [actual values – the minimum value observed for that test] measured for all samples are divided by the range of values for that test, this normalizes the data on a 0-1 scale with 1 being best. However, if a minimum value is desirable for a test then the [maximum value – actual values] measured for all samples are divided by the range for that test, which again normalizes the data on a 0-1 scale with 1 being best. Then, to combine all tests of a certain type, e.g., release, the mean of all the normalized values is taken. A single value for all tests may then be calculated as per Equation 5 above.

Table 13

Normalized values

Coating #	NORM ABRASION	NORM RELEASE	NORM SURF	NORM ADHESION	NORM ALL
Control A	0.58	0.00	0.44	0.86	0.47
Control B	0.77	0.11	0.19	0.60	0.42
Control C	0.58	1.00	0.84	0.41	0.71
HLB1	0.50	0.44	0.72	0.96	0.66
HLB2	0.56	0.89	0.91	0.27	0.66
HLB3	0.84	1.00	0.52	0.30	0.67
HLB4	0.23	1.00	0.66	0.10	0.50
HLB5	0.53	0.83	0.69	0.48	0.63

HLB6	0.23	0.56	0.66	0.27	0.43
HLB7	0.34	0.39	0.66	0.18	0.39
HLB8	0.56	0.72	0.60	0.21	0.52
HLB9	0.44	0.83	0.62	0.23	0.53
HLB10	0.18	0.39	0.55	0.41	0.38
HLB11	0.09	0.28	0.66	0.22	0.31
HLB12	0.14	0.28	0.68	0.46	0.39
HLB13	0.44	0.39	0.59	0.35	0.44
HLB14	0.60	0.89	0.61	0.37	0.62
HLB15	0.74	0.56	0.74	0.14	0.55
HLB16	0.69	0.28	0.93	0.36	0.56
HLB17	0.61	0.44	0.65	0.38	0.52
HLB18	0.62	0.00	0.67	0.52	0.45
HLB19	0.58	0.22	0.59	0.26	0.41
HLB20	0.62	0.72	0.63	0.00	0.49
HLB21	0.58	0.78	0.58	0.20	0.53
HLB22	0.49	0.44	0.57	0.44	0.49

[00177] Examining Table 13 in conjunction with Table 6C which gives the formulation details we find that the mean values of “Norm All” are as follows (std errors shown):

1. Those formulations not containing D310, “NORM ALL” = 0.48 +/- 0.03
2. Those formulations containing D310, “NORM ALL” = 0.53 +/- 0.03
 - a. Those containing D310 and not containing TE6900/6910, “NORM ALL” = 0.47 +/- 0.03

[00178] This clearly demonstrates that formulations using D310 and Dyneon 6900 or Dyneon 6910 have overall superior properties to other fluoropolymer combinations.

[00179] Additionally, where the data is grouped by the TMHPTFE component we have:

Table 13A
Effect of TMHPTFE Type

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
D310 -	14	0.532812	0.02714	0.47667	0.58895
D410 -	11	0.481470	0.03062	0.41814	0.54481
N/A	1	0.418478	0.10154	0.20842	0.62854

[00180] From this data, it may be seen that D310 is slightly preferred.

[00181] Where the data is grouped by the PFA component we have:

Table 13B
Effect of PFA Type

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
6900	12	0.531167	0.02875	0.47154	0.59079
6910-	1	0.632502	0.09960	0.42595	0.83906
N/A	11	0.484105	0.03003	0.42183	0.54638
TE7224	2	0.421181	0.07043	0.27513	0.56724

[00182] From this data, it may be seen that Dyneon 6900/6910 is preferred.

[00183] Where MFA is compared with formulations not containing MFA we have:

Table 13C
Effect of MFA vs other MPFs

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
D6202X	4	0.494602	0.05212	0.38703	0.60218
N/A	22	0.508891	0.02222	0.46302	0.55476

[00184] From this data, no significant differences are observed.

[00185] Where the data is grouped by the FEP component we have:

Table 13D
Effect of FEP vs other MPFs

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
3F	1	0.520844	0.09996	0.31405	0.72764
N/A	21	0.521604	0.02181	0.47648	0.56673
TE9568	4	0.424871	0.04998	0.32148	0.52827

[00186] From this data, no significant differences are observed other than those formulations containing FEP TE9568 which were inferior.

[00187] The notation "N/A" in the above Tables 13A-13D means that this group contained no polymers of the type under consideration in that table.

[00188] In view of these results, it is believed that the relatively low 1st melt point of the D310 grade versus D410 indicates the relatively lower molecular weight of D310 since the

degree of PPVE modification is similar in both cases. The combination of D310 with relatively lower molecular weight of the Dyneon PFA (and higher MFI) with respect to TE7224 yields blends with superior properties.

[00189] While this invention has been described as having a preferred design, the present invention can be further modified within the spirit and scope of this disclosure. This application is therefore intended to cover any variations, uses, or adaptations of the invention using its general principles. Further, this application is intended to cover such departures from the present disclosure as come within known or customary practice in the art to which this invention pertains and which fall within the limits of the appended claims.

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1. A fluoropolymer composition, comprising:
 - at least one high molecular weight polytetrafluoroethylene which has been trace modified with a modifying co-monomer (TMHPTFE) and having a number average molecular weight (M_n) of at least 500,000 and a first melt temperature (T_m) of less than 342° C, said modifying co-monomer present in an amount of less than 1 wt.%, based on the weight of said TMHPTFE, said at least one TMHPTFE present in an amount of between 50 wt.% and 90 wt.% based on the total solids weight of all fluoropolymers in said composition; and
 - at least one melt-processible fluoropolymer (MPF) selected from perfluoroalkoxy (PFA) and methylflouroalkoxy (MFA), said MPF present in an amount of between 10 wt.% and 50 wt.% based on the total solids weight of all fluoropolymers in said composition, and having a melt flow index (MFI) greater than 10 g/10 min; and
 - wherein said composition lacks low molecular weight polytetrafluoroethylene (LPTFE) having a number average molecular weight (M_n) of less than 500,000.
2. The composition of Claim 1, wherein said modifying co-monomer is perfluoropropylvinylether (PPVE).
3. The composition of Claim 1, wherein said at least one MPF has a first melt temperature (T_m) of less than 312° C.
4. The composition of Claim 1, wherein said at least one TMHPTFE is present in an amount of between 82 wt.% and 90 wt.% and said at least one MPF is present in an amount of between 10 wt.% and 18 wt.%, based on the combined solids weight of said at least one TMHPTFE and said at least on MPF.
5. The composition of Claim 1, wherein said at least one TMHPTFE and said at least one MPF are each in the form of an aqueous dispersion.

6. The composition of Claim 1, wherein said composition lacks fillers.
7. A method of coating a substrate, comprising the steps of:
 - applying a fluoropolymer composition to the substrate, comprising:
 - at least one high molecular weight polytetrafluoroethylene which has been trace modified with a modifying co-monomer (TMHPTFE), having a number average molecular weight (M_n) of at least 500,000 and a first melt temperature (T_m) of less than 342° C, said modifying co-monomer present in an amount of less than 1 wt.%, based on the weight of said TMHPTFE, the at least one TMHPTFE present in an amount of between 50 wt.% and 90 wt.% based on the total solids weight of all fluoropolymers in the composition; and
 - at least one melt-processible fluoropolymer (MPF) selected from perfluoroalkoxy (PFA) and methylfluoroalkoxy (MFA), the MPF present in an amount of between 10 wt.% and 50 wt.% based on the total solids weight of all fluoropolymers in the composition, and having a melt flow index (MFI) greater than 10 g/10 min; and
 - wherein the composition lacks low molecular weight polytetrafluoroethylene (LPTFE) having a number average molecular weight (M_n) of less than 500,000.
8. The method of Claim 7, further comprising, after said applying step, the additional step of curing the composition to form a coating.
9. The method of Claim 7, wherein said applying step further comprises applying the fluoropolymer composition in the form of an aqueous dispersion to the substrate.
10. The method of Claim 7, wherein said applying step further comprises spraying the fluoropolymer composition in particulate form onto the substrate.
11. The composition of Claim 1, wherein said TMHPTFE is obtained from emulsion polymerization.

12. The composition of Claim 1, wherein said TMHPTFE is obtained from suspension polymerization.

13. The composition of Claim 1, wherein said MPF has a melt flow index (MFI) greater than 15 g/10 min.

14. The composition of Claim 1, wherein the at least one TMHPTFE is present in an amount of between 75 wt.% and 90 wt.% and the at least one melt-processible fluoropolymer (MPF) is present in an amount of between 10 wt.% and 25 wt.% based on the total solids weight of all fluoropolymers in the composition.

15. The method of Claim 7, wherein the fluoropolymer composition is a topcoat and further comprising, prior to said applying step, the additional steps of:

applying and curing a basecoat; and

applying and curing midcoat.

16. The method of Claim 7, wherein the MPF has a melt flow index (MFI) greater than 15 g/10 min.

17. The method of Claim 7, wherein the composition lacks fillers.

18. The method of Claim 7, wherein the at least one TMHPTFE is present in an amount of between 75 wt.% and 90 wt.% and the at least one melt-processible fluoropolymer (MPF) is present in an amount of between 10 wt.% and 25 wt.% based on the total solids weight of all fluoropolymers in the composition.