



G00001



166166

SO_x ADDITIVE SYSTEMS BASED UPON
USE OF MULTIPLE PARTICLE SPECIES

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. Field of the Invention. This invention generally relates to methods and compositions for reducing sulfur levels in flue gases generated by hydrocarbon catalytic cracking units, coal and/or oil-fired power plants and chemical manufacturing facilities.

2. Description of the Prior Art. Sulfur is often a component of the feedstocks processed by many industrial facilities. It also is found in the fossil fuels used to power and/or create process heat for such facilities. Hence, the sulfur contained in such materials has the potential to become an atmospheric pollutant - especially when it takes the form of those sulfur oxide gases that become a part of the flue gases emitted from such facilities. Such emissions are particularly harmful to the atmosphere and, hence, are the subject of extensive governmental regulation. One of the most commonly used methods for preventing release of these sulfur oxide gases into the atmosphere is to capture them through use of compounds that have an ability to absorb them.

For example, in the case of recovering sulfur oxide gases from flue gases generated by fluid catalytic cracking units (FCC units) used to crack petroleum feedstocks, microspheroidal catalyst particles having chemical activities toward sulfur oxide gases are circulated in admixture with the microspherical particles used to carry out the petroleum cracking function. These hydrocarbon cracking catalyst particles are often referred to as "bulk" or "FCC" catalysts while the sulfur catalyst particles are often referred to as "SO_x additives."

During the hydrocarbon cracking process, a coke-like material that also contains a sulfur component - if sulfur is contained in the petroleum feedstock - is deposited on the SO_x additive particles as well as on the FCC catalyst particles. Both kinds of particles, and hence the coke and sulfur deposited on them, are carried from the FCC unit's reactor to its catalyst regenerator. Here, the coke, and whatever sulfur that is contained in that coke, is "burned off" both kinds of catalyst particles. The sulfur component of such coke/sulfur deposits forms sulfur oxide gases (e.g., sulfur dioxide and sulfur trioxide which are often collectively referred to as "SO_x" gases). Unless captured, these SO_x gases would be emitted to the atmosphere along with other flue gases given off by the catalyst regenerator (e.g., carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, nitrous oxides, etc.).

In other kinds of industrial facilities (e.g., coal-fired power plants and certain chemical

manufacturing plants), SO_x additives are usually employed in the form of larger particles such as pellets that are not circulated throughout the facility in the form of microspheroidal particles, but rather are used in so-called "fluid bed" or "fixed bed" catalyst systems. In such systems, these catalyst pellets perform their SO_x additive functions in a more localized region - as opposed to being circulated throughout the entire unit. These fixed bed and fluid bed systems are usually provided with so-called "swing reactors" which provide more than one fluid bed or fixed bed so that at least one bed can be used to capture SO_x while at least one other bed is being regenerated. Be these swing reactor configurations as they may, they too produce sulfur-containing flue gases. Thus, even though the sulfur contained in the fossil fuels used to power electrical power plants and/or provide process heat for chemical manufacturing facilities is converted into SO_x gases in a manner somewhat different from that of FCC units, the end result is the same; unless captured, their SO_x emissions can and do enter, and pollute, the atmosphere.

Many materials have been used to prevent, or at least reduce, SO_x emissions from all such industrial facilities. The SO_x absorbing component of these additives is normally a metal oxide of one kind or another. Generally speaking, these metal oxides carry out their SO_x capturing function by forming metal sulfates when they are exposed to SO_x -containing gases, especially under high temperature

conditions. A more complete identity of these metal oxides will be provided in later portions of this patent disclosure.

Regardless of their identity, however, regeneration of "sulfated" SO_x additive particles usually involves converting them from their "contaminated" metal sulfate forms back to their "uncontaminated" metal oxide forms. For example, in the case of a FCC unit, the metal sulfate forms of the SO_x additive (that are produced in the catalyst regenerator unit) are circulated, in admixture with regenerated hydrocarbon cracking catalyst, from the catalyst regenerator unit back to the FCC unit's hydrocarbon cracking reactor zone. Here, the petroleum feedstock is cracked and the sulfur components of the SO_x additive particles are converted to hydrogen sulfide gas by the hydrocarbon/ hydrogen rich atmosphere existing in such reactor zones. As a consequence of this, the metal sulfate component of a SO_x additive is reduced to its metal oxide form and, thus, is made ready for subsequent reuse in the catalyst regenerator. The hydrogen sulfide gas produced in the FCC reactor unit is eventually captured and ultimately reduced to elemental sulfur in ways well known to the chemical engineering arts.

In the case of fluid bed or fixed bed catalyst systems such as those used to control SO_x emissions from power plants, the SO_x additive is usually regenerated by passing a hydrocarbon-containing gas through a SO_x additive bed during a swing reactor

regeneration cycle. This operation also serves to convert those metal sulfates contained in the used, SO_x additive pellets back to their metal oxide forms. Methane, propane, and butane gases, as well as hydrogen gas itself, are used to carry out the regeneration of such SO_x additives in these fixed bed or fluid bed systems.

Regardless of the exact nature of the industrial process being carried out, and regardless of the physical size of the SO_x additive materials being used, and regardless of the method used to regenerate such materials, any given SO_x additive system must perform at least three basic functions with respect to the sulfur oxide gases they seek to capture. First, these SO_x additive systems must oxidize SO_2 to SO_3 ; second, they must absorb the SO_3 once it is formed; and third, they must be able to "give up" the captured SO_3 in order to be regenerated. The need to convert SO_2 to SO_3 follows from the fact that very few materials are capable of both absorbing SO_2 gas and withstanding the high temperature conditions where the SO_2 is created. There are, however, many materials (e.g., various metal oxides) that are both capable of absorbing SO_3 and withstanding the high temperature environments where it is formed.

In most cases, these metal oxides are bivalent and/or trivalent metal oxides. For example, magnesia and/or alumina have been widely employed as SO_x additives in many different kinds of hydrocarbon catalytic cracking systems. By way of example, U.S.

Patents 4,423,019; 3,835,031; 4,381,991; 4,497,902; 4,405,443 and 4,369,130 teach SO_x catalytic and/or absorbent activities for various metal oxides.

The prior art also has long recognized that certain metals (e.g., cerium, vanadium, etc.) and their oxides (e.g., ceria, vanadia) can be employed in SO_x additive systems in order to improve their ability to oxidize SO₂ to SO₃. Indeed, it might even be said that, to a very large degree, the prior art with respect to using metal oxide materials as SO_x oxidants and/or absorbents has, for the past several decades, largely concerned itself with finding better ways of associating various catalytically active metals (e.g., cerium, vanadium, etc.) with all manner of metal oxide materials in order to enhance the resulting material's SO_x catalyzing and/or absorbing capabilities.

Some metal oxides also are known to improve the "release" of the sulfur component of "used" SO_x additives when it comes time for them to be reduced back to their metal oxide forms. For example, U.S. Patent 4,589,978 ("the '978 patent") teaches SO_x transfer catalysts based upon the use of rare earth metals such as cerium and lanthanum. The '978 patent also teaches use of alumina to absorb SO₂ by forming aluminum sulfate in circumstances wherein the alumina is employed in the form of a separate and distinct particle species that is used in admixture with other particles that contain a SO₂ → SO₃ oxidant. To these ends, the '978 patent states: "The SO_x transfer catalyst of the present invention

1 March 2002

preferably includes a metal oxide such as alumina to absorb SO_2 as sulfate. The alumina may be circulated as a separate particle or used as a support for the rare earth component. Preferably, the alumina is an active form with high surface area, which includes synthetic alumina in gamma, theta, etc. forms as well as natural aluminas."

We would specifically note here that the '978 patent at least, in principle, recognizes that its alumina SO_2 -absorbing component "may be circulated as a separate particle . . .". We also would note that the above-quoted phrase goes on to say that its alumina may be "used as a support for the rare earth component." Later, the '978 patent goes on to say that its " . . . alumina and rare earth components can be further supported on an inert support or matrix which does not react with SO_2 or SO_3 to form sulfate. The supports for the alumina and rare earth oxidation component may be selected from silica, silica/alumina, zeolites, kieselguhr, celite or alumina." We have quoted these passages from the '978 patent because, in some ways, the teachings of this patent reference define certain "points of departure" that help to establish and define the borders of the novel aspects of the invention described in this patent disclosure. Therefore, the teachings of the '978 patent are incorporated herein in their entirety.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention is based upon three conjunctive findings. The first finding carries applicants' invention beyond the general teachings of the '978 patent concerning the possible use of SO_2 oxidant catalyst particles that might be separate and distinct from the system's SO_2 absorbent particles. The hereindescribed SO_x additive systems and processes - employing at least one $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst component in a first particle species and at least one SO_2 absorbent component in a second particle species - require that at least one $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst component of applicant's overall SO_x additive system be physically separate and distinct from the SO_2 absorbent component of said SO_x additive system. In other words, applicant's SO_x additive systems will have at least two distinct particles species wherein the first particle species primarily carries out a $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation function. The second particle species will primarily carry out a SO_2 absorption function. The second particle species may, however, also carry out a $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation function as well.

Applicants' second finding revolves around the fact that certain ingredients are particularly effective in formulating each of the different particle species of applicant's overall SO_x additive system. Applicant's third finding is that some of

the ingredients taught in the '978 patent (and, indeed, that are taught throughout the prior art regarding SO_x additives) should be used rather sparingly -- or better yet, not used at all -- in applicants' multi-particle, SO_x additive systems. More will be said about these limitations and/or prohibitions in subsequent parts of this patent disclosure.

The hereinafter described invention also is based upon applicants' recognition that use of most prior art SO_x additive systems depends heavily upon some rather crude empiricisms that, for reasons that also will be hereinafter more fully described, result in a great deal of waste of the ingredients used to make SO_x additives. More specifically, applicants have recognized that there are situations in the use of SO_x additives where an industrial plant operator may want relatively less SO₂ → SO₃ oxidation capacity, and relatively more SO₃ absorption capacity in a given SO_x additive system. There also are situations where just the opposite is true.

By way of example of the first situation, a FCC operator may want a relatively large amount of SO₂ oxidation capacity in those cases where the bulk, hydrocarbon cracking catalyst being employed in the FCC unit at a given time is itself also capable of absorbing some of the SO₃ formed in the catalyst regenerator unit. This ability follows from the fact that some FCC, hydrocarbon cracking catalysts are made with matrix materials (e.g., alumina and

magnesia) that will absorb SO_3 , as well as serve as matrix-forming materials for the hydrocarbon cracking catalyst particles that are embedded in the overall catalyst particle (e.g., magnesia and alumina are often employed in the matrix-forming materials used to bind various zeolite particles that are employed in many bulk, hydrocarbon cracking catalyst). Many other hydrocarbon cracking catalysts, however, are made with matrix-forming materials that have little or no SO_3 absorbing ability.

Thus, in those cases where a given hydrocarbon cracking catalyst being employed in an FCC unit also has the added ability to absorb SO_3 , the overall SO_x additive system (wherein the term "system" should be taken to imply the presence of at least one $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst component, and at least one SO_3 absorbent component) should contain enough sulfur oxidant to produce all the SO_3 that the total catalyst system can absorb - taking into consideration the fact that a given FCC hydrocarbon cracking catalyst may itself have the ability to absorb some of the SO_3 produced by the sulfur oxidant catalyst. In other words, in those cases where a given FCC hydrocarbon-cracking catalyst also has the ability to absorb SO_3 , the FCC operator would prefer a mixture of SO_2 oxidant and SO_3 absorbent that is relatively "rich" in the oxidant component of the SO_x additive system.

On the other hand, in those cases where the hydrocarbon cracking catalyst matrix has little or

no SO_3 absorption capability, little or no "extra" $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidant is needed beyond what is needed to produce the amount of SO_3 that actually can be absorbed by the SO_3 -capturing component of the SO_x additive system. The operator's adjustment problem (indeed, his dilemma) follows from the fact that, notwithstanding the teachings of the '978 patent concerning the possibility of using separate SO_3 absorbents, commercially available SO_x additive systems are formulated in the form of a single particle species that contains both the $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidant component (e.g., ceria, vanadia, etc.) and the SO_3 absorbent component (e.g., alumina, magnesia, etc.). Thus, when using such single particle SO_x additive systems, if the operator wants to add more oxidant, more absorbent is inherently added. Consequently, in certain operations, addition of more $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ catalyst will "waste" some of the SO_3 absorbent capacity of any SO_x additive that is introduced into the unit in the form of a single particle species.

Conversely, a need for relatively more SO_3 absorbent occurs when a SO_x additive is provided to a FCC unit that is being used in a mode of operation known as "partial burn." In this mode of operation, the combustion air admitted to the FCC regenerator unit is purposely limited so that there only will be a small excess of oxygen in the flue gas. This is done in order to limit undesired combustion of carbon monoxide to carbon dioxide. Thus, in this mode of operation, a FCC operator would prefer to

1 March 2002

have a relatively larger amount of absorbent and a relatively smaller amount of oxidant. That is to say that the operator would prefer to limit the amount of oxidant so that there is only enough of it to convert sulfur dioxide to sulfur trioxide without there also being enough to convert carbon monoxide to carbon dioxide. In this case, if the operator wants to add more absorbent, more oxidant is inherently added where only a single particle species SO_x additive is available. Consequently, the "extra" oxidant component of a single particle SO_x additive will be wasted during this mode of operation - indeed, it will be detrimental to it.

FCC operators also experience many "upset" conditions. Not the least of these are those caused by changes in the sulfur concentration in feedstocks. Such upsets often require that changes be quickly made in either the concentration of the SO_x oxidant or the concentration of the absorbent. Consequently, most operators usually respond to such upset conditions by immediately addressing the most pressing upset condition existing at the moment - regardless of waste of "unused" component oxidant, or waste of "unused" absorbent, that may be contained in a single particle SO_x additive system. Indeed, many such upsets could be prevented if the plant operator had a multi-particle SO_x additive system at his command.

Applicants also have found that there are even more subtle considerations that can be addressed through independent addition of either the $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow$

SO₃ oxidant catalyst, or the SO₃ absorbent. They revolve around the "aging rate" of the oxidant component of an SO_x additive system relative to the usually different "aging rate" of its SO₃ absorbent component. In actual industrial operations such as petroleum cracking, there is no presently known way of accurately predicting the useful life of either the oxidation component, or the absorbent component, of a given SO_x additive in a given FCC unit. That is to say that depending on such factors as the nature of the feedstock being processed, the product being produced and the mechanical features of a given refinery, the SO₂ oxidant may "die" before the SO₃ absorbent, or vice-versa. Thus, in a SO_x additive system comprised of only a single particle species that contains both a SO_x oxidation component and a SO_x absorbent component, there is usually a subtle waste of functional capacity of one or the other of these two components because they rarely "die" at the same time. In other words, in a single particle species SO_x additive system, one of these two components usually dies while the other component still has some remaining "useful life". In effect, the hereindescribed compositions and processes provide a method for "using up" any remaining useful life in either of these two components. Therefore, a major advantage of applicant's multi-particle SO_x additive systems - relative to single particle SO_x additive systems - is their ability to maximize usage of each of the two main active components of an SO_x additive system

(i.e., the $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidant component and the SO_3 absorbent component), regardless of how they age relative to each other in any given industrial facility.

Thus, overall, use of the hereindescribed compositions and processes provide the FCC operator with many operating advantages that are not attainable through use of single particle species, SO_x additive systems. These advantages include greater flexibility in: (1) compensating for cracking catalyst that do - or do not - have SO_3 absorption capabilities, (2) controlling partial burn operations, (3) preventing, and more effectively and efficiently controlling upsets in the operation of a given industrial facility and (4) more completely utilizing all of the active ingredients in a given SO_x additive system under ever-varying operating conditions.

General Nature of Applicant's SO_x Additive Systems

The first component of applicants' overall SO_x additive system is a $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst component. This component can be made and used in the form of microspheroidal particles, pellets, lumps, etc. depending upon its intended end use. The second component is a SO_3 absorbent component. It too can be made and used in the form of particles, pellets, etc. Thus, for the purposes of this patent disclosure, the term "particle(s)" should be taken to include those pellets used in

1 March 2002

fixed bed and moving systems - as well as those smaller, microspheroidal particles used in FCC operations. In order to practice this particular invention however, at least one SO_3 absorbent component particle species must be used in the form of physically separate and distinct particles, pellets, etc. from at least one $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst particle species.

The relative proportions of the $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst component to the SO_3 absorbent component can vary considerably in the practice of this invention. For example a $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst component (which may comprise one or more species of $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation particle(s)) can comprise from about 10 to about 90 weight percent of applicants' overall SO_x additive system. Similarly, the SO_3 absorbent component (which may, likewise, comprise one or more species of SO_3 absorbent particle(s)) can constitute from about 10 to about 90 weight percent of the additive system. These two components can be separately introduced into a given industrial facility, or they can be premixed and introduced into such a facility as a mixture.

It also should be noted that applicants' $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ catalyst components may inherently have some SO_3 absorbent capability and that applicants' SO_3 absorbent components may inherently have some $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst ability. Nonetheless, practice of this invention requires that at least one of applicants' particle species primarily carry

out a SO_2 oxidation function while and at least one other, physically separate and distinct particle species, carries out the SO_3 absorption function. This SO_3 absorption function may be the only duty of the SO_3 absorbent component. In some embodiments of this invention, however, the SO_3 absorbent component also may be provided with a $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst so that the resulting material is capable of carrying a SO_2 oxidation function as well as a SO_3 absorption function.

The $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation component of the hereindescribed SO_x additive systems may itself be comprised of two or more separate and distinct particle species. For example a first $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst component could employ ceria as its $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation ingredient while a second $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidant catalyst component employs vanadia as its $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidant ingredient. The same is also true of applicants' SO_3 absorbent component. For example, a first SO_3 absorbent component could employ a calcium oxide or calcium aluminate SO_3 absorbent while a second SO_3 absorbent component employs a magnesium oxide SO_3 absorbent component. And, as was noted in the preceding paragraph, at least one SO_3 absorbent catalyst species can be provided with an $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst and thereby simultaneously serve as a "second" oxidation catalyst component as well as a SO_3 absorbent component. In such cases, the SO_3 absorbent will serve to pick up SO_3 produced by the separate and

distinct $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst particle as well as the SO_3 produced by the SO_2 oxidant in the SO_3 absorbent particle itself.

Such $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalysts and such SO_3 absorbents can be used in association with the same kind of, or with different, support materials. Applicants also have found that their oxidation catalyst component(s) and their SO_x absorbent component(s) are preferably used in admixture with each other - as opposed to being used sequentially - that is to say by locating a zone where the SO_3 absorption takes place "down stream" from a different zone where the $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation takes place. And as a final note on the general use of the hereindescribed SO_x additive systems, applicants would note that their SO_x additive systems can be used with a very wide variety of hydrocarbon cracking catalysts. At the very least, such hydrocarbon cracking catalysts would include any of those natural or synthetic crystalline aluminosilicate zeolites (e.g., faujasite zeolites of the X and Y type) commonly used for such purposes, as well as various amorphous metal oxides, (e.g., amorphous alumina) having hydrocarbon cracking activities. Those skilled in this art will appreciate that a hydrocarbon cracking catalyst component will constitute a major portion of those hydrocarbon cracking catalyst/ SO_x additive mixtures used in industrial facilities such as FCC units. For the most part, applicants' SO_x additives will comprise only from about 0.5 to about 10.0 weight

percent of such hydrocarbon cracking catalysts/SO_x additive mixtures.

SO₂ → SO₃ Oxidation Catalyst Components

Applicants' SO₂ → SO₃ oxidation catalyst component is comprised of at least two general kinds of ingredients. The first of these two general kinds of ingredients is a sulfur dioxide oxidation catalyst ingredient that is inherently capable of oxidizing SO₂ to SO₃ in an environment where the SO₃ is created. Most preferably, this SO₂ → SO₃ oxidation catalyst ingredient will comprise: (1) a metal selected from the group consisting of those metals having an atomic number of at least 20, a metal from Groups 1B and 11B of the Periodic Table, a metal from Groups III to VIII of the Periodic Table, and/or a rare earth metal of the Periodic Table. Of these metals, cerium, vanadium, platinum, palladium, rhodium, iridium, molybdenum, tungsten, copper, chromium nickel, manganese, cobalt, iron, ytterbium and uranium are preferred. And, of these, cerium and vanadium are the most preferred - and especially when they are used in conjunction with each other - but not necessarily in the same particle species.

These metals may be employed in their "free" or uncompounded metallic forms (e.g., metallic platinum) as well as in chemically compounded forms (e.g., in the form of their oxides) in applicants' end products. Thus the term "metal", for the

purposes of this patent disclosure should be taken to mean chemically compounded metals as well as uncompounded, elemental metals. It also should be noted that when these metals are first introduced into the "wet" reaction systems used in making the hereindescribed SO_x additives, they may be in various salt forms, e.g., their oxides, nitrates, acetates, and carbonates - indeed, in most cases, the salt forms of these metals are generally preferred over their elemental, metallic forms as starting ingredients for applicants' $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ catalysts. For example, the preferred forms of cerium in applicants' wet reaction systems are ceria, cerium acetate, cerium nitrate and cerium carbonate. Moreover, some metal salt forms such as a ceria component of the wet reaction systems used to create the hereindescribed SO_x additives may, in turn, be previously prepared by decomposing their various other salt forms such as cerium acetate, cerium nitrate, or cerium carbonate. Similarly, the more preferred forms of vanadium in applicants' SO_x catalyst components will include vanadium oxide, and/or the decomposition products of various vanadium salts such as those of ammonium vanadate or vanadium oxalate.

Next, it should be noted that the calcination step of the hereindescribed processes will serve to convert any non-oxide ingredients (e.g., cerium acetate, cerium nitrate, cerium carbonate, etc.) used in the wet reaction systems into their oxide forms (e.g., cerium acetate, nitrate, carbonate,

etc. will be converted to cerium oxide). That is to say that a component of a SO_x catalyst or absorbent of this patent disclosure (e.g., a binder component) can be made from a non-oxide form of the metal that is used in the "wet" reaction system, but is subsequently converted to an oxidation of that metal by the calcination step of applicants' process. It also should be noted that these $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidizing metals may be associated with the binder by placing them in the reaction mixtures along with the binder ingredients; or they may be made by impregnating solutions containing ions of these metals into a dried form of applicants' $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst forming materials or dried forms of their SO_3 absorbent materials.

Supports for the Oxidants

The second general kind of ingredient in applicants' $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst component is a binder (or support) material for the $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst ingredient. For the purposes of this patent disclosure the terms "binder" and "support" should be regarded as being equivalent. Such binder or support materials preferably are made from metal oxide ingredients selected from the group consisting of calcium aluminate, aluminum silicate, magnesium aluminate, aluminum titanate, zinc titanate, aluminum zirconate, magnesia, alumina (Al_2O_3), aluminum hydroxide compounds, aluminum-containing metal oxide compounds (other than alumina

(Al_2O_3) or aluminum hydroxide compounds), zirconia, titania, silica, bastnaesite, various clays (and especially kaolin clay), and/or clay/phosphate materials such as those taught in U.S. Patents 5,190,902 and 5,288,739 (hence, the teachings of these two patents are hereby incorporated by reference into this patent disclosure).

Limitations re: Supports for the Oxidants

The second and third aspects of this invention revolve round applicants' further findings that in order to effectively use the hereindescribed multi-particle SO_x additive systems, the oxidant support materials and SO_x absorbent materials of applicants' SO_x additive systems must be made with large proportions of certain materials, and not made with certain other less undesired materials, or, at the very least, be made with relatively little of the undesired materials. These limitations and/or prohibitions in formulating applicants' multi-particle, SO_x additive systems form a part of the overall novelty of this invention because the hereinafter identified "low concentration", or "prohibited", ingredients are presently used, in large proportions, in formulating a wide variety of "single particle species," SO_x additives.

For example, applicants have found that the amount of alumina (Al_2O_3) used in their support materials for their oxidation catalyst ingredients should not constitute any more than about 10 weight percent of the overall oxidation catalyst component.

More preferably, applicants' oxidation catalyst components will contain no alumina (Al_2O_3) whatsoever. This stands in sharp contrast to the fact that many single particle species, SO_x additives are often comprised of from 50 to 95% alumina (Al_2O_3). Similarly, applicants have found that the amount of aluminum hydroxide compounds (as the term "aluminum hydroxide" is defined in the next paragraph) should not constitute any more than about 10 weight percent of the oxidation catalyst component of the SO_x additive systems of this patent disclosure. And here again, it is even more preferred that no aluminum hydroxide compound whatsoever be used in applicants' oxidation catalyst components. This too, stands in sharp contradistinction to the fact that many aluminum hydroxide compounds are likewise used in very high concentrations in many single particle, SO_x additives.

Be that as it may, for the purposes of this patent disclosure, the term "aluminum hydroxide compound(s)" should be taken to mean aluminum hydroxide in any of its many phase forms. For example, an aluminum hydroxide classification "tree diagram" such as that found on page 9, ACS Monograph 184, Industrial Alumina Chemicals, Misra, Chanakya, 1986, which is incorporated by reference herein, shows that the term "aluminum hydroxide" can have a rather broad meaning that includes many different phase forms of that compound. For example, this reference points out that there are "crystalline"

forms of aluminum hydroxide that include a first group of crystalline trihydroxides $\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3$, whose members are gibbsite, bayerite, and nordstrandite. This classification diagram also shows a second group ("Oxide-Hydroxides AlOOH ") of crystalline, aluminum hydroxides comprised of boehmite and diaspora. Another separate and distinct group of aluminum hydroxides in this classification diagram is found in another branch of the tree diagram under the heading "gelatinous" - which is distinguished from the "crystalline" forms of aluminum hydroxide noted above. The gelatinous group is comprised of pseudoboehmite and X-Ray indifferent aluminum hydroxide (which is also often referred to as "amorphous alumina"). In any case, all of these materials should be considered as "aluminum hydroxide compound(s)" for the purposes of this patent disclosure and their concentrations in the oxidation catalyst components of this patent disclosure should not be more than about 10% by weight of said oxidation catalyst component. Better yet, these materials should not be used at all in applicants' $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst components. Again, these prohibitions and limitations are quite unexpected since alumina (Al_2O_3) and many of these alumina hydroxide compounds have been widely used, in very large proportions, in many prior art, single particle species, SO_x additives.

By way of contrast, aluminum-containing compounds (that are not alumina or aluminum hydroxides) that are suitable for use in applicants'

oxidation catalyst components, in proportions larger than 10 weight percent, would include compounds wherein aluminum is chemically reacted with elements, or groups of elements, other than the oxygen of alumina (Al_2O_3) or the $(\text{OH})^-$ groups found in the aluminum hydroxide compounds noted in the preceding paragraph. Examples of such aluminum-containing compounds (that are not alumina or aluminum hydroxides) would include aluminum silicate, aluminum titanate, aluminum zirconate and magnesium aluminate. Such aluminum-containing compounds may constitute from about 5 to about 99 percent of applicants' overall oxidation catalyst component. The other materials suitable for use as support materials in applicants' oxidation catalyst components (e.g., magnesia, zirconia, titania, silica, bastnaesite, kaolin clay and/or clay-phosphate materials, etc.) may likewise constitute from about 5 to about 99 weight percent of the oxidation catalyst components of this patent disclosure. As a final note with respect to those other materials suitable for use as support materials for the oxidation catalyst component of applicants' SO_x additive system, it is preferred that when the oxidation catalyst ingredient is a platinum group metal, then the support material should contain relatively little or no silica (e.g., no more than about 10 weight percent of the oxidation catalyst component).

SO₂ Absorbent Components

Although one material (e.g., a hydrotalcite or magnesia/alumina solid solution such as that prepared according to Example 1 herein) may serve as both an SO₂ absorbent and as its own binder material in the practice of this invention, applicants' SO₂ absorbent component will, however, more preferably comprise at least one sulfur trioxide (SO₃) absorbent ingredient and at least one, chemically different, support material for that SO₂ absorbent ingredient. For example, a SO_x additive may be comprised of a hydrotalcite SO₂ absorbent supported by a calcium aluminate binder.

Regardless of the identity of the support ingredient, all such SO₂ absorbent ingredient(s) will be selected primarily for their ability to both "pick up" and "give up" sulfur trioxide. Metal oxides selected from the group consisting of hydrotalcite, hydrotalcite-like compounds, magnesia, alumina, calcium aluminate and calcium oxide are particularly effective as SO₂ absorbents in the practice of this invention. Other useful ingredients for creating SO₂ absorbents will include magnesium acetate, magnesium nitrate, magnesium hydroxide, magnesium carbonate, magnesium formate, magnesium chloride, magnesium aluminate, hydrous magnesium silicate, magnesium calcium silicate, calcium silicate, as well as other magnesium-containing compounds.

Of these materials, hydrotalcite and various hydrotalcite-like materials are particularly

preferred. Those skilled in this art will appreciate that the material commonly referred to as "hydrotalcite" has a magnesium aluminate hydroxy carbonate structure with the classical formula $\text{Mg}_3\text{Al}_2(\text{OH})_6\text{CO}_3 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$. This material is described in ICDD (International Center for Diffraction Data) Card Number 22-0700 as "magnesium aluminum carbonate hydroxide hydrate/hydrotalcite." The chief differentiating characteristics of materials possessing this hydrotalcite structure include the unique x-ray diffraction pattern depicted in ICDD Card Number 22-0700 as well as the material's ability to experience an endothermic reaction at about 300-450°C. This reaction corresponds to the loss of both the OH water (water of hydration) and CO_2 . This structural change is however reversible, meaning that once heated above 450°C, further reaction with water will cause this hydrotalcite structure to reappear, as can be verified by subsequent x-ray diffraction tests, and the resulting material will again show an ability to undergo its characteristic endothermic reaction.

Next, it should be noted that, strictly speaking, the above statements apply to hydrotalcite having the above-noted classical formula. It is also the case however that changes in the processing conditions (composition, temperature, pressure, humidity, etc.) used to make catalytic materials containing hydrotalcite also can result in production of materials having non-stoichiometric hydrotalcite structures and which possess XRD

patterns which differ from those given in ICDD Card Number 22-0700. Such hydrotalcite-like materials will include (but not be limited to) other magnesium aluminum hydroxide-containing compounds, e.g., magnesium aluminum hydroxide hydrate (ICDD Card No. 35-0965), magnesium aluminum hydrate (ICDD Card No. 35-1275) and magnesium aluminum hydroxide hydrate (ICDD Card No. 35-0964). Although an exact match may not always be made by XRD, such structures can be further surmised empirically by running various tests (e.g., differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA)) on a given sample. This is based upon the fact that the bonding of certain components (e.g., carbonate and/or OH water) to such "hydrotalcite-like" structures will have a certain energy related to it with respect to breaking the bonds and a subsequent absorption of heat. Also accompanying such reactions is a loss in mass of the sample. TGA can measure such losses while DSC procedures can measure both the type of reaction as well as the heat absorbed or evolved.

Next it should be noted that since the ability of such hydrotalcite-like materials to absorb SO_x is not particularly dependent on their compositions strictly adhering to the previously noted classical formula for hydrotalcite, many other non-stoichiometric compositions of hydrotalcite and hydrotalcite-like composition also can be used in the practice of this invention. Preferably, all of these compositions should contain OH water and/or

carbonate in their molecular structures. Consequently, compositions possessing such hydrotalcite-like structures may be employed for the practice of this invention. Such "hydrotalcite-like" materials would include, but by no means be limited to, Mannaseite and Indigirite. Those skilled in this art also will appreciate the literature also frequently refers to such materials "anionic clay minerals," although they may be synthetically produced. In any case, those anionic clay minerals that contain magnesium and aluminum, are particularly preferred for the practice of this invention. Thus, for the purposes of this patent disclosure, applicants' use of the term "hydrotalcite" should be taken to include not only hydrotalcite forms having the above-noted classical formula, but also hydrotalcite-like structures or anionic clay structures such as those of Manasseite and Indigirite.

Next it should be again noted that applicants' SO₂ absorbent components also may be provided with their own SO₂ → SO₃ oxidation catalyst ingredient(s). By way of example only, one or more of the particle species that make up applicants' SO₂ absorbent component may - as an option, and not a requirement - be provided with SO₂ → SO₃ catalysts selected from the group consisting of cerium, vanadium, platinum, palladium, rhodium, iridium, molybdenum, tungsten, copper, chromium, nickel, manganese, cobalt, iron, ytterbium, and uranium. Of these possible SO₂ → SO₃ oxidation catalysts,

1 March 2002

vanadia has proven to be a particularly effective SO₂ oxidation catalyst in the context of adding a SO₂ oxidant to applicants' SO₂ absorbent component(s). It also should be noted in passing that when vanadia is used in a SO₂ absorbent component, it is highly preferred that the SO₂ absorbent also have a magnesia component as well. Applicants have found that the presence of magnesia in their SO₂ absorbent component(s) serves to prevent the "escape" of the vanadia from the SO₂ absorbent - and thereby preventing "vanadia poisoning" of the hydrocarbon cracking catalyst with which these SO_x additives are used.

And, as was the case with applicants' SO₂ → SO₃ oxidation catalyst components, the SO₂ absorbent starting ingredient metal(s) can be in their oxide, acetate, nitrate, chloride, carbonate, formate, etc. forms when they are first introduced into the wet reaction systems employed to formulate these SO_x absorbent components. Again, the metal salt forms that are most suitable for creating applicants' SO₂ absorbent components are preferably selected from the group consisting of calcium aluminate, hydrotalcite, hydrotalcite-like compounds, calcium oxide, aluminum oxide, magnesium oxide (and especially its periclase phase), magnesium acetate, magnesium nitrate, magnesium chloride, magnesium hydroxide, magnesium carbonate, magnesium formate, magnesium aluminates, hydrous magnesium silicates (e.g., talc), magnesium calcium silicates (e.g., dolomite), and calcium silicate (e.g.,

1 March 2002

wollastonite). Here again, however, applicants' calcination step will usually convert any of the non-oxide metal forms of these salts to their metal oxide forms.

Supports for SO₂ Absorbent Ingredients

Applicants' SO₂ absorbent ingredients are preferably used in conjunction with a support material selected from the group consisting of calcium aluminate, aluminum nitrohydrate, aluminum chlorohydrate, magnesia, silica (SiO₂), silicon-containing compounds (other than silica), alumina (Al₂O₃), titania, zirconia, various clays and/or clay-phosphate materials (and especially those whose phosphate source is phosphoric acid or dibasic ammonium phosphate e.g., those produced by the methods taught in U.S. Patents 5,190,902 and 5,288,739). Again, the presence of magnesia in the SO₂ absorbent is highly preferred when said absorbent also has a vanadia component in the same particle.

Next, it should be noted that, for the purposes of this patent disclosure, the term "silica" should be taken to mean silicon dioxide (SiO₂) in any of its various phase forms. By way of contrast with the term "silica", applicants intend that the term "silicon-containing compounds (other than silica)" should be taken to mean a compound wherein a three dimensional network of cations and oxygen is formed which consists of silica tetrahedra and at least one other non-silicon containing oxide component.

1 March 2002

Examples of such silicon-containing compounds falling under applicants' use of this term would include calcium silicate, magnesium silicate, and a variety of aluminum silicates and, hence, a wide variety of clay materials that contain silicates (e.g., kaolinite, serpentine, pyrophyllite, talc, smectite montmorillonite, vermiculite, illite, mica, brittle mica, chlorite and palygorskite).

Additional Findings re:
Supports for SO₂ Absorbent Ingredients

Applicants have found that in the case of silica (SiO₂), no more than about 10 weight percent of it should be used in any one particle species of applicants' SO₂ absorbent component. It is even more preferred that no silica (SiO₂) whatsoever be so employed. Applicants have also found that when kaolin clay is used in their SO₂ absorbent components, it too should be used in somewhat limited proportions; applicants have found for example that, contrary to the fact that many single particle species SO_x additives have kaolin concentrations up to 90 percent, kaolin should not constitute more than about 50 weight percent of any given particle species of applicants' SO₂ absorbent component - and it is even more preferred that no more about 30% of it be used in any given SO₂ absorbent component particle.

Applicants also would note that, for the purposes of this patent disclosure, the term "kaolin clay" should be taken to mean those clays composed

of layers of silica and alumina sheets wherein the ratio of silica sheet to alumina sheet gives rise to a 1:1, dimorphic, or two-sheet, kaolin clay unit wherein the tips of the silica tetrahedra project into an hydroxyl plane of the material's octahedral sheet and replaces two thirds of the hydroxyl ions (bearing in mind that the alumina sheet in such clays has an upper and a lower plane both consisting of hydroxyl ions between which is a plane of Al^{3+} ions, that are octahedrally coordinated to the hydroxyl groups). Be this definition as it may, applicants' limitations regarding kaolin are also rather surprising in that this type of clay has been widely used, in large concentrations, in many prior art, single particle, SO_x additives. This admonition-limitation regarding the use of kaolin clay in applicants' SO_2 absorbent components also stands in sharp contrast to the fact that kaolin can be used, in large proportions, in the $SO_2 \rightarrow SO_3$ oxidation catalyst component of applicants' SO_x additive systems.

Silicon can however be used in applicants' SO_2 absorbent components when it is a part of various compounds other than silica and kaolin clay. For example, silicon employed in the form of magnesium silicate, aluminum silicate, silicon titanate, and/or silicon zirconate may constitute up to about 40 weight percent of these support materials for the SO_2 absorbent. Applicants also prefer that those atoms or groups of atoms that are chemically combined with a silicon component of such non-

kaolin, silicon containing compounds be present in excess of the stoichiometric amount required to react with the silicon. And as a final note on the subject of silicon-containing compounds (other than kaolin), applicants have generally found that use of aluminum silicate in applicants' SO₂ absorbents produces especially good results.

Still Further Findings re: SO₂ Absorbents

Applicants have noted that, contrary to single particle, SO_x additive systems wherein only a rather limited choice of absorbents is available (e.g., the preferred SO_x absorbent materials in such single particle SO_x additives being magnesia and alumina), use of applicants' multi-particle SO_x additive systems considerably broadens applicants' choice of the SO₂ absorbents to include many other materials that were heretofore unacceptable for use in single particle SO_x additives. For example, oxides of the metallic elements in Group 1a and 2a of the Periodic Table have not been widely used in SO_x additives, but serve nicely as SO_x absorbents in applicants' SO₂ absorbent components. And the same is also true of various oxides of certain rare earth metals e.g., cerium, lanthanum and praseodymium.

Applicants' comparative experimental work with respect to the relative merits of single particle species SO_x additives versus the multi-particle SO_x additive systems of this patent disclosure generally showed that most prior art SO₂ absorbents can be divided into three broad categories; those that are

clearly unsuitable for use in FCC units, those that absorb moderate amounts of SO_2 and are very stable in such units, and those that absorb large amounts of SO_2 , but are not very stable in such high temperature environments. Generally speaking, applicants found that in using single particle species SO_x additives (those having both SO_2 oxidants and SO_2 absorbents in the same particle), emphasis is better placed upon materials with moderate absorption capabilities and good stability. In applicants' multi-particle SO_x additive systems, however, it has been found that there are instances where materials with high SO_2 absorption and low stability can be used to great advantage. For example, the results of applicants' studies regarding the relative absorption capabilities of absorbents made from various magnesium based materials are shown in Table I.

TABLE I

<u>Magnesia Source</u>	<u>Absorption, % Weight</u>
Dolomite	78
MgO (first type)	110
MgO (second type)	147
MgO (third type)	151
MgO (fourth type)	142
Magnesium Acetate	74
Magnesium Nitrate	11
Magnesium Chloride	57
Magnesium Hydroxide	79
Magnesium Carbonate	144
Magnesium Formate	105
Hydrotalcite	---

Some of the more absorbent magnesium-containing compounds performed very well in applicants' SO_x

absorbent components even though they do not perform particularly well in single particle SO_x additives. Applicants also have found that magnesia is particularly effective as a SO_x absorbent ingredient in their SO_x absorbent components when the magnesia ingredient is obtained from thermal decomposition of certain magnesia salts such as magnesium acetate, magnesium hydroxy acetate and magnesium formate.

Additional Findings re: Hardening Agents

One of the major disadvantages of using magnesia in applicants' SO_x absorbents is that particles that contain large percentages of it tend to be too "soft" for use in FCC units. To correct this deficiency with respect to FCC applications (fluid-bed and fixed-bed systems are not as sensitive to this concern), applicants found that use of certain well known hardening agents can be used to great advantage. In general, applicants have found that such hardening agents should be powdered materials that are insoluble in the reaction slurry used to formulate the ingredients for the SO_x absorbent component. Preferably, powdered materials will have particles whose average diameters of less than about 2.5 microns. It is also preferred that these hardening agents not be chemically reactive with the other ingredients in the wet reaction slurry into which they are introduced. Another desired property of these hardening agents is their own inherent ability to absorb SO_x . To these ends, applicants have found

that some particularly useful hardening agents for the practice of this invention are various forms of aluminum silicates, magnesium aluminates, magnesium silicate and magnesium calcium silicate. Moreover, certain natural and synthetic clays, that are active cracking catalysts, also can be used to advantage as hardening agents in applicants' SO_2 absorbent components since they too contain active sites that are capable of absorbing SO_2 . Examples of clays useful in this regard would include halloysite, rectorite, hectorite, montmorillinite, synthetic montmorillinite, sepiolite, activated sepiolite and, with the previously noted proportion limitation (i.e., not more than 50 weight percent of the SO_2 absorbent component), kaolin.

Expressed in patent claim language, a particularly preferred embodiment of applicants' SO_x additive systems will comprise a $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst component and an SO_2 absorbent component wherein: (1) the $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst is comprised of a metal selected from the group consisting of a metal having an atomic number of at least 20, a metal from Group 1B of the Periodic Table, a metal from Group 11B of the Periodic Table, a metal from Groups III to VIII of the Periodic Table and a rare earth metal of the Periodic Table; and (b) a binder selected from the group of metal-containing compounds consisting of calcium aluminate, aluminum silicate, aluminum titanate, aluminum zirconate, zinc titanate, magnesia, alumina, aluminum-containing metal oxide compound,

aluminum hydroxide, clay, zirconia, titania, silica, clay/phosphate material and bastnaesite and which, if employed at all, contains no more than about 10 weight percent aluminum hydroxide and no more than about 10 weight percent alumina (Al_2O_3); and (2) a SO_2 absorbent component that is physically separate and distinct from the $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst component and comprises: (a) a metal oxide selected from the group consisting of magnesia, alumina, calcium aluminate, calcium oxide, hydrotalcite, hydrotalcite-like compounds and, as an optional ingredient, also contains a support material selected from the group of metal oxides consisting of calcium aluminate, magnesia, alumina, silica, kaolin clay, titania clays, clay/phosphate material and zirconia and, which, if employed at all, contains no more than about 10 weight percent silica and no more than about 50 weight percent kaolin clay.

FURTHER DETAILED DESCRIPTIONS OF THE INVENTIONRelative Proportions of Ingredients

The relative proportions of the various ingredients in applicants' initial, "wet" reaction compositions, will not equal the relative proportions of those ingredients in the "dry", post-calcined, end products (e.g., in the microspheroidal particle or pellet forms in which applicants' products are ultimately made and then used). This follows from the fact that the liquid media and certain volatile ingredient(s) that are used to create applicants' initial, wet, reaction compositions will be virtually completely driven off during applicants' subsequent spray drying and calcining steps. Indeed, many of these ingredients will undergo a change in their chemical identity as a result of applicants' calcination step. For example, magnesium acetate, carbonate, nitrate, etc. ingredients will each be converted to magnesium oxide as a result of the calcination step of applicants' overall manufacturing process. Thus, TABLE II below gives the broad ranges and the preferred concentration for the various ingredients that comprise applicants' post-calcined, "dry", end product SO_x additives. It also should be understood that many optional ingredients (other than optional hardening agent ingredients that take the form of metal oxides) can be used to enhance the manufacturing of either the $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation

1 March 2002

catalyst component or the SO₂ absorbent component of applicants' SO_x additive systems. These optional ingredients might include viscosity agents, gas evolution agents, etc. If they are used, they will usually constitute from about 1 to about 20 weight percent of a given "wet" reaction mixture. For the most part, however, most optional ingredients of these latter kinds will be used to enhance the manufacturing process, but will be completely driven off by applicant's spray drying and/or calcination steps. In any event, regarding the ingredients that are found in applicants' post-calcined materials, the preferred concentrations thereof are:

TABLE II

SO_x Additive Systems

<u>SO₂ → SO₃ Oxidation Catalyst Component</u>	<u>Wt. % Range</u>	<u>Wt. % Preferred</u>
SO ₂ → SO ₃ oxidant	5 to 95	30
Binder material	<u>5 to 95</u>	<u>70</u>
Total	100%	100%
<u>SO₂ Absorbent Component</u>		
SO ₂ absorbent	5 to 100	60
SO ₂ Support	5 to 100	30
Optional Hardening Agent Ingredient(s)	0 to 20	8
Optional SO ₂ → SO ₃ Oxidation Catalyst <u>Ingredient</u>	<u>0 to 5</u>	<u>2</u>
Total	100%	100%

Spray Drying Operations

When they are used, the spray drying processes used to create microspheroidal forms of applicants' $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidant catalyst components and SO_3 absorbent components each can be carried out by well known techniques. Generally speaking, such spray drying should be designed to produce microspheroidal particles having a range of sizes such that essentially all such particles will be retained by a Standard U.S. 200 mesh screen and essentially all such particles will be passed by a Standard U.S. 60 mesh screen.

Optional Drying Procedures

It should also be noted that in addition to a spray drying step that may be used to produce microspheroidal forms of applicants' $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst components and SO_3 absorbent components, the hereindescribed manufacturing processes may be further enhanced by use of separate and distinct drying steps. They normally will be carried out after the drying which naturally results from the spray drying step (or from those other drying steps used to produce pellet forms of applicants' materials). Such additional drying will further serve to remove any remaining traces of the liquid medium used to create the reaction mixtures which may be still present in the interstices of the particles and/or associated with such materials as water of hydration. Drying times for such distinct

drying steps will normally take from about 0.2 hours to about 24 hours at temperatures which preferably range from about 200°F to about 500°F (at atmospheric pressure), but in all cases, at temperatures greater than the boiling point of the liquid medium employed (e.g., greater than 212°F in the case of water) in the "wet" reaction compositions.

Calcining Procedures

After spray drying (in the case of microspheroidal particles) or desiccation (in the case of pellet forms of applicants' materials) - it remains only to take the solid matrix of the anhydrous materials thus produced and convert their various non-oxide ingredients to their oxide forms. This is preferably done by a calcination step. In effect, the calcination step serves to drive off any volatile components and replace them with oxygen and thereby produce a final product having predominantly the oxide forms of the ingredients. The calcination step also serves to drive off, as gaseous oxides, all but the "desirable" components of the resulting metal oxide materials. For example, this calcination step will drive off the liquid media and any acid, viscosity agent and/or gas evolution agents that may have been used in the original wet, reaction mixture that existed before drying procedures were implemented. Such calcination is readily accomplished by heating the products of the spray drying step - or of the optional desiccation

step - at temperatures ranging from about 600°C to about 700°C (preferably at atmospheric pressure) for from about 20 minutes to about 60 minutes, and most preferably at about 650°C for about 30 minutes.

TGA Measurements

Measurements of the absorption rate of SO_x on various experimental additives were obtained on a modified thermogravimetric analysis unit (TGA). The equipment consisted of a Polymer Laboratories STA 1500[®] thermogravimetric unit coupled with a microcomputer. Generally speaking, approximately 10 milligrams of a given sample was loaded into a ceramic sample boat and heated under various conditions. SO_x pick-up was normalized to the weight at the point where SO_x gas commenced to be introduced. The composition of the SO₂ mix gas employed was usually 2000 ppm SO₂, 5% CO₂, 1% O₂, with the balance being nitrogen. In the case of tests of SO₂ → SO₃ oxidants, mixtures (e.g., 50/50 mixtures by weight) of an absorbent and an oxidant were used and the weight of the SO₃ picked up the absorbent was measured. In general, when the objective of the experiment was to test an SO₂ → SO₃ oxidant, a standard absorbent was used; this standard absorbent was prepared by the process described in Example 1. In other experiments, unsupported magnesia powder was employed.

On the other hand, when the purpose of an experiment was to test an absorbent, a standard oxidant, prepared as described in Example 7, was used. The results of the TGA experiments were taken in two forms. In one, the percent weight change after two hours of exposure to the SO₂ gas mixture was measured. This result gave the maximum absorption capability of the mixture. In the second form of reporting the results was a calculation of the initial rate of absorption; these results were expressed as the % change in weight of the sample per minute. To these ends, applicants established certain criteria based on the TGA results that must be met by a SO_x additive if it is to have commercial viability. For example, applicants have found that a SO_x additive must have an initial pick-up rate of at least about 0.10 percent per minute in such tests, it also preferably will have a maximum absorption of 40% by weight and lastly it must be regenerable. That is to say that after it reaches its maximum gain in weight it must rapidly return to the base line when hydrogen is passed over the sample. Applicants have also found that if a SO_x additive has a maximum absorption greater than 40%, this improvement is not always realized in commercial operations. For example, applicants developed an additive that had a maximum pickup of 70% on the TGA; in commercial trials however this material did not perform any better than an additive with a 50% maximum pickup. That is to say there was

no corresponding reduction in the amount of additive required to remove a certain amount of SO_x.

Comparisons with Certain Prior Art
Single Particle Species, SO_x Additives

Applicants conducted various tests aimed at comparing the multi-particle, SO_x additive systems of this patent disclosure with various prior art, single particle, SO_x additives. These comparative tests were largely based upon comparative TGA tests. By way of example only, the results of some of these tests are summarized in TABLE III.

TABLE III

Composition	Percent Increase in mass after 2 hours (weight percent)	Initial Rate of Absorption (weight percent /minute)
Single Particle SO _x Additive	61	1.9
Dual Particle Sample A*	48	1.5
Dual Particle Sample B*	53	1.6
Dual Particle Sample C*	52	1.6

*Note that the following statements help to better describe the Dual Particle samples:

Sample A: Sample A was comprised of a mixture of absorbent particles from Example 1 and oxidant particles from Example 7. The mixture contained 50 weight percent absorbent and 50 weight percent oxidant.

1 March 2002

Sample B: Sample B was comprised of a mixture of absorbent particles from Example 2 and oxidant particles from Example 6. The mixture contained 50 weight percent absorbent and 50 weight percent oxidant.

Sample C: Sample C was comprised of a mixture of absorbent particles from Example 3 and oxidant particles from Example 6. The mixture contained 50 weight percent absorbent and 50 weight percent oxidant.

In all cases, the initial rate of absorption was determined for the first 15 minutes of SO_x pickup.

Other Findings re: Comparative Tests

Applicants' comparative experimental program also established that many hydrocarbon cracking catalysts commonly used in FCC units display some, albeit limited, ability to absorb SO₂. This is probably due to the widespread use of certain active forms of alumina in many FCC hydrocarbon cracking catalysts. Applicants believe that the limitations on the ability of these materials to absorb SO₂, generally follows from the fact that there are no SO₂ → SO₃ oxidant catalyst materials in such hydrocarbon cracking catalyst particles. In other words, applicants concluded that many hydrocarbon cracking catalysts could also serve to absorb SO₃ if a oxidation catalyst for converting SO₂ to SO₃ also were used in conjunction with the hydrocarbon cracking catalysts. Applicants also found that this

1 March 2002

can be achieved even when at least one oxidation catalyst is not physically associated with an SO_2 absorbent in the same additive particle and not physically associated with a hydrocarbon cracking catalyst in the same particle. Several of applicants' experiments confirmed that all of this was indeed the case. When applicants' SO_2 absorbent materials were in fact added to such hydrocarbon cracking systems, it was found that a wide variety of FCC hydrocarbon cracking catalyst (and especially bottoms cracking systems) also serve to absorb SO_2 , while still performing their hydrocarbon cracking function - if sufficient capability to catalyze the conversion of SO_2 to SO_3 exists in the overall catalyst system. Applicants also found that their SO_x additive systems can provide this "extra" SO_2 to SO_2 oxidation function particularly well when they are used in admixture with hydrocarbon cracking catalysts and can, therefore, form the basis of particularly effective hydrocarbon cracking catalyst/ SO_x additive systems - and especially those comprised of a major amount (e.g., 90-99.5% by weight - on a dry weight basis) of a bulk, hydrocarbon cracking catalyst and a minor amount (e.g., 0.5-10% by weight on a dry weight basis) of applicants' SO_x additive system.

Expressed in patent claim language such methods for extending the useful life of a SO_x additive system having a $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst component and a SO_2 absorbent component will comprise: (1) employing the SO_x additive system in

the form of at least two physically distinct particle species wherein a first particle species contains the $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst component and carries out a primary function of oxidizing sulfur dioxide to sulfur trioxide and the second particle species is physically separate and distinct from the first particle species and carries out the function of absorbing the SO_3 produced by the $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst component; (2) employing the $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst component in the form of first particle that comprises: (a) a sulfur $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst comprised of a metal selected from the group consisting of a metal having an atomic number of at least 20, a metal from Group 1B of the Periodic Table, a metal from Group 11B of the Periodic Table, a metal from Groups III and VIII of the Periodic Table and a rare earth metal of the Periodic Table; and (b) a binder selected from the group of metal-containing compounds consisting of calcium aluminate, calcium silicate, aluminum titanate, zinc titanate, aluminum zirconate, magnesia, alumina, aluminum-containing metal oxide compound, aluminum hydroxide, clay, zirconia, titania, silica, clay, clay/phosphate material and bastnaesite and which, if employed at all, contains no more than about 10 weight percent aluminum hydroxide and no more than about 10 weight percent alumina (Al_2O_3); and (3) using the SO_3 absorbent component in the form of a second particle that comprises: (a) a metal oxide selected from the group

consisting of calcium aluminate, alumina, hydrotalcite, hydrotalcite-like compounds, magnesia and calcium oxide, and, as an optional ingredient, a support material selected from the group of metal oxides consisting of calcium aluminate, magnesia, alumina, aluminum nitrohydrate, aluminum chlorohydrate, silica, alumina, titania, kaolin clay, clay/phosphate material and zirconia, and which, if employed at all, contains no more than about 10 weight percent silica and no more than about 50 weight percent kaolin clay.

Representative SO₂ Absorbent Systems

Example 1

An alumina/magnesium hydroxy acetate/clay slurry was prepared by adding 2495 grams of Condea P-3[®] Alumina Sol to 10.29 liters of water containing 111 grams of 84% concentrated acetic acid. The additions were performed under moderate agitation conditions. Thereafter, 2343 grams of Condea P3[®] Alumina Sol, prepared in the manner noted above were added to 2523 grams of magnesium hydroxy acetate. To the resulting slurry, 345 grams of Theille RC-32[®] kaolin clay and 800 grams water were added. The resulting slurry was spray dried and the particle products of the spray drying were calcined at 650°C for 30 minutes in a muffle furnace.

Example 2

An alumina/magnesium hydroxy acetate/vanadium oxalate slurry was prepared by adding 2495 grams of Condea P-3[®] Alumina Sol to 10.29 liters of water that contained 111 grams of 84% concentrated acetic acid. These additions were performed under moderate agitation conditions. Thereafter, 2524 grams of P3[®] alumina sol, prepared in the manner described above, was added to 2524 grams of magnesium hydroxy acetate. To the resulting slurry, 211 grams of vanadium oxalate and 800 grams of water were added. The slurry was then spray dried and the resulting particles calcined at 650°C for 30 minutes in a muffle furnace.

Example 3

An alumina/magnesium hydroxy acetate/clay/vanadium oxalate slurry was prepared by adding, under moderate agitation, 2495 grams of Condea P-3[®] Alumina Sol to 10.29 liters of water containing 111 grams of 84% concentrated acetic acid. The additions were performed under moderate agitation. Thereafter, 2246 grams of Condea P3[®] Alumina Sol, prepared as noted above, were added to 2419 grams of magnesium hydroxy acetate. To the resulting slurry, 345 grams of Theile RC-32[®] kaolin clay slurry, 211 grams vanadium oxalate and 500 grams of water were added. The slurry was spray dried and the resulting particles calcined at 650°C for 30 minutes in a muffle furnace.

Example 4

An alumina sol was first prepared by adding 2495 grams Condea P-3 Alumina Sol to 10.29 liters water containing 111 grams 84% concentrated acetic acid. The additions were performed under moderate agitation conditions. Next, an aqueous slurry of magnesium silicate (R.T. Vanderbilt Ceramitalc No. 1) was prepared to produce a 37% solids concentration. The resulting slurry was reduced in particle size using a commercially available attritor mill. The duration of milling was determined by the time required to obtain an average particle size of 2-3 μm . 2343 grams of Condea P3 alumina sol, prepared as noted above, was added to 2523 grams of magnesium hydroxy acetate. To this slurry, 490 grams of the magnesium silicate slurry and 800 grams water were added. The completed slurry was spray dried and then calcined at 650°C for 30 minutes in a muffle furnace.

Example 5

2501 grams of P3 alumina sol was added to 2023 grams of magnesium hydroxy acetate. To this slurry, 409 grams of cerium nitrate was added; this was followed by the addition of 213 grams of vanadium oxalate and 382 grams of Theile RC-32 kaolin clay slurry. The slurry was spray dried and then calcined at 650°C for 30 in a muffle furnace.

Representative $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ Oxidant/Binder Systems

Example 6

2092 grams of P3 alumina sol was added to 1692 grams of magnesium hydroxy acetate. To this slurry, 897 grams of cerium nitrate was added; this was followed by the addition of 382 grams of Theile RC-32 kaolin clay slurry to the system. The slurry was spray dried and then calcined at 650°C for 30 minutes in a muffle furnace.

Example 7

1864 grams of P3 alumina sol was added to 1508 grams of magnesium hydroxy acetate. To this slurry, 897 grams of cerium nitrate was added; this was followed by the addition of 448 grams of vanadium oxalate and 382 grams of Theile RC-32 kaolin clay slurry. The slurry was spray dried and then calcined at 650°C for 30 minutes in a muffle furnace.

Example 8

A commercially available oxidation catalyst sold under the trade name COP 850 was used as an oxidant. This material consists of 850 ppm of platinum impregnated on a substrate of alpha alumina.

Examples 9-13

The following SO_x pick up test results were produced by mixtures of absorbent particles and oxidant particles. The Thermogravimetric Analyzer previously described was used as the SO_x pick up measuring instrument. The SO_x absorbence results of the various mixtures were compared to those produced by a single particle. These measurements are summarized in the following Table IV:

TABLE IV

<u>Test Sample Made According to Example</u>	<u>Absorbent</u>	<u>Oxidant</u>
5	Single Particle	
9	50% Ex. 1	50% Ex. 7
10	50% Ex. 2	50% Ex. 6
11	50% Ex. 3	50% Ex. 6
12	50% Ex. 4	50% Ex. 6
13	75% Ex. 2	25% Ex. 8

<u>Test Sample Made According to Example</u>	<u>Increase in mass after 2 hours. % wt.</u>	<u>Initial rate of Absorption. % wt/min.</u>
5	63	1.9
9	51	1.8
10	57	1.7
11	53	1.7
12	55	1.7
13	55	2.0

Representative SO₂ → SO₃ Oxidant in Clay-Phosphate
Binder Systems

Example 14

A clay/phosphate/cerium nitrate solution was prepared (according to the general teachings of U.S. Patent 5,190,902) by adding 1403 grams of Theile RC-

32[®] kaolin clay slurry to 591 milliliters of water in a high speed mixer. To this mixture, 192 grams of phosphoric acid was then added. To the resulting system, 440 grams of cerium nitrate solution was added. The slurry formulation was then spray dried and the resulting particles calcined at 650°C for 30 minutes in a muffle furnace. The calcined particles were then mixed with magnesium oxide in a 50/50 weight percent ratio and the resulting material analyzed by a TGA test that showed a SO_x absorption rate of 0.21% per minute for the end product material.

Example 15

A clay/phosphate/cerium nitrate, vanadium oxalate slurry was prepared by adding 1340 grams of Theille RC-32[®] kaolin clay slurry to 1795 milliliters of water in a high speed mixer. To this mixture, 192 grams of phosphoric acid was added. Thereafter, 431 grams of cerium nitrate solution and 232 grams of vanadium oxalate solution were added to the slurry. The slurry was then spray dried and then resulting particles were calcined at 650°C for 30 minutes in a muffle furnace. The calcined particles were then mixed with magnesium oxide in a 50/50 weight percent ratio and analyzed by TGA tests. These tests indicated that the resulting material produced an SO_x absorption of 0.27% per minute.

Example 16

A clay/phosphate/cerium carbonate slurry, was prepared by adding 1403 grams of Theile RC-32[®] kaolin clay slurry to 1200 milliliters of water in a high speed mixer. To this mixture, 192 grams of phosphoric acid was added; thereafter 277 grams of cerium carbonate were added. The completed slurry was spray dried and the resulting particles calcined at 650°C for 30 minutes in a muffle furnace. The calcined material was then mixed with magnesium oxide in a 50/50 weight percent ratio and analyzed by the TGA tests, which showed a SO_x absorption of 0.15% per minute.

Collectively, Examples 9-13 show that a physical mixture of an absorbent (Examples 1-4) and an oxidant (Examples 6-8) give the same SO_x removal as a single particle (Example 5). Example 9 is a mixture of alumina/magnesia absorbent with kaolin clay used as a hardening agent mixed with an oxidant containing ceria and vanadia (Example 7). Example 10 is a mixture of an absorbent (made according to Example 2) in which one of the oxidants, in this case vanadia, is included with the absorbent; the other oxidant, ceria, is in the particle in admixture with the absorbent (made according to Example 6). The significance of this experiment lies in the fact that while vanadia is a minor component in the additive system it is considered to be a catalyst "poison;" hence, including it with the magnesia/alumina insures that it is immobilized and thus cannot be transferred by sublimation from the

SO_x additive mixture to the host catalyst. Example 11 is identical to Example 10 with the exception that kaolin clay is used as a hardening agent in a magnesia/alumina/vanadia absorbent. Example 12 is the same as Example 9 except that magnesium silicate is used as the hardening agent instead of clay. In Example 13 a commercially available oxidation catalyst consisting of platinum on alumina is used in place of the ceria oxidant. The significance of this example is that many FCC units are already using a platinum promoter to convert carbon monoxide to carbon dioxide and this same material may also serve to convert sulfur dioxide to sulfur trioxide and thus the need for a ceria oxidant can be greatly reduced or eliminated entirely.

Example 17

A calcium aluminate support for a SO₂ → SO₃ oxidation catalyst component was prepared by first making an alumina sol consisting of adding 2495 grams of Condea P-3 Alumina Sol and 10.29 liters of water containing 111 grams of 84% concentrated acetic acid. In a separate container, under moderate agitation conditions, 581.8 grams of Huber calcium carbonate was added to 2 liters of water. To this mixture, 4876.9 grams of the previously prepared alumina sol was added. The resulting slurry was spray dried and the particle products of the spray drying were calcined at 650°C for 30 minutes in a muffle furnace.

Example 19

An SO_2 absorbent component containing hydrotalcite was prepared by first preparing a gel of alumina consisting of 189.0 grams of Condea SB Alumina, 28.3 grams of 74% concentrated formic acid and 917 ml of water. In a separate container, under moderate agitation conditions, 808.2 grams of LaRoche hydrotalcite was added to 1147 milliliters of water. To this mixture, 1512 grams of the previously prepared alumina gel was added. Thereafter, 362.1 grams of Theile RC-32 kaolin clay slurry was added to the resulting slurry. The resulting slurry was spray dried and the particle products of the spray drying were calcined at 650°C for 30 minutes in a muffle furnace.

Calcium aluminate is a particularly effective material for the practice of this invention in that it is capable of serving both as a binder (or support) for the $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst component of the SO_x additive system and as a SO_2 absorbent component as well. In other words, calcium aluminate is a binder material that also has SO_2 absorbent capabilities as well. Hence, it can serve especially well as a binder for other SO_2 absorbent materials such as hydrotalcite that are used to make the SO_2 absorbent component of the SO_x additive system.

While this invention has been described with respect to various specific examples and a spirit which is committed to the concept of the use of multi-particle SO_x additive systems, it is to be

1 March 2002

understood that the hereindescribed invention should only be limited by the scope of the following claims. Thus, what is claimed is:

What is claimed is:

1. A fluid SO_x additive system comprising $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst particles and SO_3 absorbent particles wherein:

- (1) the $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst particles comprise:
 - (a) a $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst comprised of a metal selected from the group consisting of a metal having an atomic number of at least 20, a metal from Group 1b of the Periodic Table, a metal from Group 2b of the Periodic Table, a metal from Groups III to VIII of the Periodic Table and a rare earth metal of the Periodic Table; and
 - (b) a binder selected from the group of metal-containing compounds consisting of aluminum silicate, aluminum titanate, aluminum zirconate, magnesium aluminate, magnesia, alumina, aluminum hydroxide, clay, zirconia, titania, silica, clay/phosphate material, bastnaesite and which, if employed at all, contains no more than about 10 weight percent aluminum hydroxide and no more than about 10 weight percent alumina (Al_2O_3); and
- (2) the SO_3 absorbent particles are physically separate and distinct from the $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst particles and comprise a metal oxide selected from the group consisting of magnesia, alumina and calcium oxide.

2. The fluid system of claim 1 wherein the $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst particles contain a metal selected from the group consisting of cerium, vanadium, platinum, palladium, rhodium, molybdenum, tungsten, copper, chromium, nickel, manganese, cobalt, iron, ytterbium, and uranium.

3. The fluid SO_x additive system of claim 1 wherein the $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst particles are comprised of ceria and vanadia.

4. The fluid SO_x additive system of claim 1 wherein the binder for the $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst particles is comprised of a solid solution of magnesia and alumina.

5. The fluid SO_x additive system of claim 1 wherein the oxidation catalyst particles contain no aluminum hydroxide and no alumina (Al_2O_3).

6. The fluid SO_x additive system of claim 1 wherein the SO_3 absorbent particles further comprise a hardening agent.

7. The fluid SO_x additive system of claim 1 wherein the SO_3 absorbent particles further comprise a hardening agent selected from the group consisting of aluminum silicate, magnesium aluminate, magnesium silicate, magnesium calcium silicate and sepiolate.

8. The fluid SO_x additive system of claim 1 wherein the SO_3 absorbent particles further comprise a $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst that is used in addition to the $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst in the $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation particles.

9. The fluid SO_x additive system of claim 1 wherein the SO_3 absorbent particles further comprise a $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst selected from the group consisting of cerium, vanadium, platinum, palladium, rhodium, molybdenum, tungsten, copper, chromium, nickel, manganese, cobalt, iron, ytterbium, and uranium.

10. The fluid SO_x additive system of claim 1 wherein the SO_3 absorbent particles further comprise a $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst that has a vanadia component.

11. The fluid SO_x additive system of claim 1 wherein the SO_3 absorbent particles further comprise a $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst that has a vanadia component and a SO_3 absorbent component that has a magnesia component.

12. The fluid SO_x additive system of claim 1 wherein the $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst particles are themselves comprised of at least two separate and distinct particle species.

13. The fluid SO_x additive system of claim 1 wherein the SO_3 absorbent particles are themselves comprised of at least two separate and distinct particle species.

14. The fluid SO_x additive system of claim 1 wherein the $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst particles and the SO_3 absorbent particles are each microspheroidal, particles suitable for circulation in an FCC unit in admixture with at least one microspheroidal particle species whose primary function is to catalytically crack a hydrocarbon feedstock.

15. The fluid SO_x additive system of claim 1 wherein the $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst particles and the SO_3 absorbent particles are each separate and distinct pellets.

16. The fluid SO_x additive system of claim 1 wherein the $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst particles and the SO_3 absorbent particles are each separate and distinct pellets of a size suitable for use in a fluid bed catalyst system.

17. The fluid $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ additive system of claim 1 wherein the $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst particles comprise from about 10 to about 90 weight percent of said SO_x additive system.

18. The fluid SO_x additive system of claim 1 wherein said fluid SO_x additive system comprises from about 0.5 to about 10.0 weight percent of a bulk hydrocarbon cracking catalyst/ SO_x additive system.

19. A fluid SO_x additive system comprising $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst particles and an SO_3 absorbent particles wherein:

(1) the $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst particles comprise:

(1) a $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst comprised of a metal having an atomic number of at least 20, a metal from Group 1b of the Periodic Table, a metal from Group 2b of the Periodic Table, a metal from Groups III to VIII of the Periodic Table and a rare earth metal of the Periodic Table; and (b) a binder selected from the group of metal-containing compounds consisting of aluminum silicate, aluminum titanate, aluminum zirconate, magnesium aluminate, magnesia, alumina, aluminum hydroxide, clay, zirconia, titania, silica, clay/phosphate material and bastnaesite and which, if employed at all, contains no more than about 10 weight percent aluminum hydroxide and no more than about 10 weight percent alumina (Al_2O_3); and

(2) the SO_3 absorbent particles are physically separate and distinct from the $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst particles and comprises: (a) a metal oxide selected from the group consisting of magnesia, alumina and calcium oxide and (b) a support material selected from the group of metal oxides consisting of magnesia, alumina, silica, kaolin clay, titania, clay/phosphate material and zirconia and, which, if employed at all, contains no more than about 10 weight percent silica and no more than about 50 weight percent kaolin clay.

20. The fluid SO_x additive system of claim 19 wherein the $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst particles contain a metal selected from the group consisting of cerium, vanadium, platinum, palladium, rhodium, molybdenum, tungsten, copper, chromium, nickel, manganese, cobalt, iron, yttrium, and uranium.

21. The fluid SO_x additive system of claim 19 wherein the $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst particles are comprised of ceria and vanadia.

22. The fluid SO_x additive system of claim 19 wherein the binder of the $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst component is comprised of a solid solution of magnesia and alumina.

23. The fluid SO_x additive of claim 19 wherein the oxidation catalyst component contains no aluminum hydroxide and no alumina (Al_2O_3).

24. The fluid SO_x additive system of claim 19 wherein the SO_3 absorbent component further comprises a hardening agent.

25. The fluid SO_x additive system of claim 19 wherein the SO_3 absorbent component further comprises a hardening agent selected from the group consisting of aluminum silicate, magnesium aluminate, magnesium silicate, calcium silicate and sepiolite.

26. The fluid SO_x additive system of claim 19 wherein the SO_x absorbent particles further comprise a $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst that is used in addition to the $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst in the $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst particles.

27. The fluid SO_x additive system of claim 19 wherein the SO_3 absorbent component further comprises a $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst selected from the group consisting of cerium, vanadium, platinum, palladium, rhodium, molybdenum, tungsten, copper, chromium, nickel, manganese, cobalt, iron, ytterbium, and uranium.

28. The fluid SO_x additive system of claim 19 wherein the SO_3 absorbent component further comprises a $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst that has a vanadia component.

29. The fluid SO_x additive system of claim 19 wherein the SO_3 absorbent component further comprises a $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst that has a vanadia component and a SO_3 absorbent component that has a magnesia component.

30. The fluid SO_x additive system of claim 19 wherein the $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst component is itself comprised of at least two separate and distinct particle species.

31. The fluid SO_x additive system of claim 19 wherein the SO_3 absorbent component is itself comprised of at least two separate and distinct particle species.

32. The fluid SO_x additive system of claim 19 wherein the $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst component and the SO_3 absorbent component are each microspheroidal particles suitable for circulation in an FCC unit in admixture with at least one microspheroidal particle species whose primary function is to catalytically crack a hydrocarbon feedstock.

33. The fluid SO_x additive system of claim 19 wherein the $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst component and the SO_3 absorbent component are each pellets.

34. The fluid SO_x additive system of claim 19 wherein the $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst component and the SO_3 absorbent component are each pellets of a size suitable for use in a fluid bed catalyst system.

35. The fluid SO_x additive system of claim 19 wherein the $\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_3$ oxidation catalyst component comprises from about 10 to about 90 weight percent of said SO_x additive system.

36. The fluid SO_x additive system of claim 19 wherein said SO_x additive system comprises from about 0.5 to about 10.0 weight percent of a bulk hydrocarbon cracking catalyst/ SO_x additive system.