

Multichannel Audio Coding

Description

5 The present application concerns parametric multichannel audio coding.

The state of the art method for lossy parametric encoding of stereo signals at low bitrates is based on parametric stereo as standardized in MPEG-4 Part 3 [1]. The general idea is to reduce the number of channels of a multichannel system by computing a downmix
10 signal from two input channels after extracting stereo/spatial parameters which are sent as side information to the decoder. These stereo/spatial parameters may usually comprise inter-channel-level-difference *ILD*, inter-channel-phase-difference *IPD*, and inter-channel-coherence *ICC*, which may be calculated in sub-bands and which capture the spatial image to a certain extend.

15

However, this method is incapable of compensating or synthesizing inter-channel-time-differences (*ITDs*) which is e.g. desirable for downmixing or reproducing speech recorded with an AB microphone setting or for synthesizing binaurally rendered scenes. The *ITD* synthesis has been addressed in binaural cue coding (BCC) [2], which typically uses
20 parameters *ILD* and *ICC*, while *ITDs* are estimated and channel alignment is performed in the frequency domain.

Although time-domain *ITD* estimators exist, it is usually preferable for an *ITD* estimation to apply a time-to-frequency transform, which allows for spectral filtering of the cross-correlation function and is also computationally efficient. For complexity reasons, it is
25 desirable to use the same transforms which are also used for extracting stereo/spatial parameters and possibly for downmixing channels, which is also done in the BCC approach.

This, however, comes with a drawback: accurate estimation of stereo parameters is ideally performed on the aligned channels. But if the channels are aligned in the frequency domain, e.g. by a circular shift in the frequency domain, this may cause an offset in the analysis windows, which may negatively affect the parameter estimates. In the case of BCC, this mainly affects the measurement of *ICC*, where increasing window offsets
30 eventually push the *ICC* value towards zero even if the input signals are actually totally coherent.
35

Thus, it is an object to provide a concept for parameter computation in multichannel audio coding which is capable of compensating inter-channel-time-differences while avoiding negative effects on the spatial parameter estimates.

5

This object is achieved by the subject-matter of the enclosed independent claims.

The present application is based on the finding that in multichannel audio coding, an improved computational efficiency may be achieved by computing at least one comparison parameter for *ITD* compensation between any two channels in the frequency domain to be used by a parametric audio encoder. Said at least one comparison parameter may be used by the parametric encoder to mitigate the above-mentioned negative effects on the spatial parameter estimates.

15 An embodiment may comprise a parametric audio encoder that aims at representing stereo or generally spatial content by at least one downmix signal and additional stereo or spatial parameters. Among these stereo/spatial parameters may be *ITDs*, which may be estimated and compensated in the frequency domain, prior to calculating the remaining stereo/spatial parameters. This procedure may bias other stereo/spatial parameters, a problem that otherwise would have to be solved in a costly way be re-computing the frequency-to-time transform. In said embodiment, this problem may be rather mitigated by applying a computationally cheap correction scheme which may use the value of the *ITD* and certain data of the underlying transform.

25 An embodiment relates to a lossy parametric audio encoder which may be based on a weighted mid/side transformation approach, may use stereo/spatial parameters *IPD*, *ITD*, as well as two gain factors and may operate in the frequency domain. Other embodiments may use a different transformation and may use different spatial parameters as appropriate.

30

In an embodiment, the parametric audio encoder may be both capable of compensating and synthesizing *ITDs* in frequency domain. It may feature a computationally efficient gain correction scheme which mitigates the negative effects of the aforementioned window offset. Also a correction scheme for the BCC coder is suggested.

35

Advantageous implementations of the present application are the subject of the dependent claims. Preferred embodiments of the present application are described below with respect to the figures, among which:

5 Fig. 1 shows a block diagram of a comparison device for a parametric encoder according to an embodiment of the present application;

Fig. 2 shows a block diagram of a parametric encoder according to an embodiment of the present application;

10

Fig. 3 shows a block diagram of a parametric decoder according to an embodiment of the present application.

15 Fig. 1 shows a comparison device 100 for a multi-channel audio signal. As shown, it may comprise an input for audio signals for a pair of stereo channels, namely a left audio channel signal $l(\tau)$ and a right audio channel signal $r(\tau)$. Other embodiments, may of course comprise a plurality of channels to capture the spatial properties of sound sources.

20 Before transforming the time domain audio signals $l(\tau)$, $r(\tau)$ to the frequency domain, identical overlapping window functions 11, 21 $w(\tau)$ may be applied to the left and right input channel signals $l(\tau)$, $r(\tau)$ respectively. Moreover, in embodiments, a certain amount of zero padding may be added which allows for shifts in the frequency domain. Subsequently, the windowed audio signals may be provided to corresponding discrete Fourier transform (DFT) blocks 12, 22 to perform corresponding time to frequency
25 transforms. These may yield time-frequency bins $L_{t,k}$ and $R_{t,k}$, $k = 0, \dots, K - 1$ as frequency transforms of the audio signals for the pair of channels.

30 Said frequency transforms $L_{t,k}$ and $R_{t,k}$, may be provided to an *ITD* detection and compensation block 20. The latter may be configured to derive, to represent the *ITD* between the audio signals for the pair of channels, an *ITD* parameter, here ITD_t , using the frequency transforms $L_{t,k}$ and $R_{t,k}$ of the audio signals of the pair of channels in said analysis windows $w(\tau)$. Other embodiments may use different approaches to derive the *ITD* parameter which might also be determined before the DFT blocks in the time domain.

35 The deriving of the *ITD* parameter for calculating an *ITD* may involve calculation of a – possibly weighted – auto- or cross-correlation function. Conventionally, this may be

calculated from the time-frequency bins $L_{t,k}$ and $R_{t,k}$ by applying the inverse discrete Fourier transform (IDFT) to the term $(L_{t,k}R_{t,k}^*\omega_{t,k})_k$.

The proper way to compensate the measured *ITD* would be to perform a channel alignment in time domain and then apply the same time to frequency transform again to the shifted channel[s] in order to obtain *ITD* compensated time frequency bins. However, to save complexity, this procedure may be approximated by performing a circular shift in frequency domain. Correspondingly, *ITD* compensation may be performed by the *ITD* detection and compensation block 20 in the frequency domain, e.g. by performing the circular shifts by circular shift blocks 13 and 23 respectively to yield

$$L_{t,k,comp} \leftarrow e^{-i\frac{\pi}{K}ITD_t k} L_{t,k} \quad (1)$$

and

$$R_{t,k,comp} \leftarrow e^{i\frac{\pi}{K}ITD_t k} R_{t,k} \quad (2),$$

where ITD_t may denote the *ITD* for a frame t in samples.

In an embodiment, this may advance the lagging channel and may delay the lagging channel by $ITD_t/2$ samples. However, in another embodiment – if delay is critical – it may be beneficial to only advance the lagging channel by ITD_t samples, which does not increase the delay of the system.

As a result, *ITD* detection and compensation block 20 may compensate the *ITD* for the pair of channels in the frequency domain by circular shift[s] using the *ITD* parameter ITD_t to generate a pair of *ITD* compensated frequency transforms $L_{t,k,comp}$, $R_{t,k,comp}$ at its output. Moreover, the *ITD* detection and compensation block 20 may output the derived *ITD* parameter, namely ITD_t , e.g. for transmission by a parametric encoder.

As show in Fig. 1, comparison and spatial parameter computation block 30 may receive the *ITD* parameter ITD_t and the pair of *ITD* compensated frequency transforms $L_{t,k,comp}$, $R_{t,k,comp}$ as its input signals. Comparison and spatial parameter computation block 30 may use some or all of its input signals to extract stereo/spatial parameters of the multi-channel audio signal such as inter-phase-difference *IPD*.

Moreover, comparison and spatial parameter computation block 30 may generate – based on the ITD parameter ITD_t and the pair of ITD compensated frequency transforms $L_{t,k,comp}$, $R_{t,k,comp}$ – at least one comparison parameter, here two gain factors $g_{t,b}$ and $r_{t,b,corr}$, for a parametric encoder. Other embodiments may additionally or alternatively use the frequency transforms $L_{t,k}$, $R_{t,k}$ and/or the spatial/stereo parameters extracted in comparison and spatial parameter computation block 30 to generate at least one comparison parameter.

The at least one comparison parameter may serve as part of a computationally efficient correction scheme to mitigate the negative effects of the aforementioned offset in the analysis windows $w(\tau)$ on the spatial/stereo parameter estimates for the parametric encoder, said offset caused by the alignment of the channels by the circular shifts in the DFT domain within ITD detection and compensation block 20. In an embodiment, at least one comparison parameter may be computed for restoring the audio signals of the pair of channels at a decoder, e.g. from a downmix signal.

Fig. 2 shows an embodiment of such a parametric encoder 200 for stereo audio signals in which the comparison device 100 of Fig. 1 may be used to provide the ITD parameter ITD_t , the pair of ITD compensated frequency transforms $L_{t,k,comp}$, $R_{t,k,comp}$ and the comparison parameters $r_{t,b,corr}$ and $g_{t,b}$.

The parametric encoder 200 may generate a downmix signal $DMX_{t,k}$ in downmix block 40 for the left and right input channel signals $l(\tau)$, $r(\tau)$ using the ITD compensated frequency transforms $L_{t,k,comp}$, $R_{t,k,comp}$ as input. Other embodiments may additionally or alternatively use the frequency transforms $L_{t,k}$, $R_{t,k}$ to generate the downmix signal $DMX_{t,k}$.

The parametric encoder 200 may calculate stereo parameters – such as e.g. IPD – on a frame basis in comparison and spatial parameter calculation block 30. Other embodiments may determine different or additional stereo/spatial parameters. The encoding procedure of the parametric encoder 200 embodiment in Fig. 2 may roughly follow the following steps, which are described in detail below.

1. Time to frequency transform of input signals using windowed DFTs

in window and DFT blocks 11, 12, 21, 22

2. *ITD estimate and compensation in the frequency domain*

in *ITD* detection and compensation block 20

3. *Stereo parameter extraction and comparison parameter calculation*

5 in comparison and spatial parameter computation block 30

4. *Downmixing*

in downmixing block 40

5. *Frequency-to-time transform followed by windowing and overlap add*

in IDFT block 50

10

The parametric audio encoder 200 embodiment in Fig. 2 may be based on a weighted mid/side transformation of the input channels in the frequency domain using the *ITD* compensated frequency transforms $L_{t,k,comp}$, $R_{t,k,comp}$ as well as the *ITD* as input. It may further compute stereo/spatial parameters, such as *IPD*, as well as two gain factors capturing the stereo image. It may mitigate the negative effects of the aforementioned window offset.

15

For spatial parameter extraction in comparison and spatial parameter computation block 30, the *ITD* compensated time-frequency bins $L_{t,k,comp}$ and $R_{t,k,comp}$ may be grouped in sub-bands, and for each sub-band the inter-phase-difference *IPD* and the two gain factors may be computed. Let I_b denote the indices of frequency bins in sub-band b . Then the *IPD* may be calculated as

20

$$IPD_{t,b} = \arg(\sum_{k \in I_b} L_{t,k,comp} R_{t,k,comp}^*) \quad (3).$$

25

The two above-mentioned gain factors may be related to band-wise phase compensated mid/side transforms of the pair of *ITD* compensated frequency transforms $L_{t,k,comp}$ and $R_{t,k,comp}$ given by equations (4) and (5) as

30

$$M_{t,k} = L_{t,k,comp} + e^{iIPD_{t,b}} R_{t,k,comp} \quad (4)$$

and

$$S_{t,k} = L_{t,k,comp} - e^{iIPD_{t,b}} R_{t,k,comp} \quad (5)$$

35

for $k \in I_b$.

The first gain factor $g_{t,b}$ of said gain factors may be regarded as the optimal prediction gain for a band-wise prediction of the side signal transform S_t from the mid signal transform M_t in equation (6):

$$S_{t,k} = g_{t,b} M_{t,k} + \rho_{t,k} \quad (6)$$

such that the energy of the prediction residual $\rho_{t,k}$ in equation (6) as given by equation (7) as

$$\sum_{k \in I_b} |\rho_{t,k}|^2 \quad (7)$$

is minimal. This first gain factor $g_{t,b}$ may be referred to as side gain.

The second gain factor $r_{t,b}$ describes a ratio of the energy of the prediction residual $\rho_{t,k}$ relative to the energy of the mid signal transform $M_{t,k}$ given by equation (8) as

$$r_{t,b} = \left(\frac{\sum_{k \in I_b} |\rho_{t,k}|^2}{\sum_{k \in I_b} |M_{t,k}|^2} \right)^{1/2} \quad (8)$$

and may be referred to as residual gain. The residual gain $r_{t,b}$ may be used at the decoder such as the decoder embodiment in Fig. 3 to shape a suitable replacement for the prediction residual $\rho_{t,k}$ of the mid/side transform.

In the encoder embodiment shown in Fig. 2, both gain factors $g_{t,b}$ and $r_{t,b}$ may be computed as comparison parameters in comparison and spatial parameter computation block 30 using the energies $E_{L,t,b}$ and $E_{R,t,b}$ of the ITD compensated frequency transforms $L_{t,k,comp}$ and $R_{t,k,comp}$ given in equations (9) as

$$E_{L,t,b} = \sum_{k \in I_b} |L_{t,k,comp}|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad E_{R,t,b} = \sum_{k \in I_b} |R_{t,k,comp}|^2 \quad (9)$$

and the absolute value of their inner product

$$X_{L/R,t,b} = \left| \sum_{k \in I_b} L_{t,k,comp} R_{t,k,comp}^* \right| \quad (10)$$

given in equation (10).

- 5 Based on said energies $E_{L,t,b}$ and $E_{R,t,b}$ together with the inner product $X_{L/R,t,b}$, the side gain factor $g_{t,b}$ may be calculated using equation (11) as

$$g_{t,b} = \frac{E_{L,t,b} - E_{R,t,b}}{E_{L,t,b} + E_{R,t,b} + 2X_{L/R,t,b}} \quad (11).$$

- 10 Furthermore, the residual gain factor $r_{t,b}$ may be calculated based on said energies $E_{L,t,b}$ and $E_{R,t,b}$ together with the inner product $X_{L/R,t,b}$ and the side gain factor $g_{t,b}$ using equation (12) as

$$r_{t,b} = \left(\frac{(1 - g_{t,b})E_{L,t,b} + (1 + g_{t,b})E_{R,t,b} - 2X_{L/R,t,b}}{E_{L,t,b} + E_{R,t,b} + 2X_{L/R,t,b}} \right)^{1/2} \quad (12).$$

15

In other embodiments, other approaches and/or equations may be used to calculate the side gain factor $g_{t,b}$ and the residual gain factor $r_{t,b}$ and/or different comparison parameters as appropriate.

- 20 As mentioned before, the *ITD* compensation in frequency domain typically saves complexity but – without further measures – comes with a drawback. Ideally, for clean anechoic speech recorded with an AB-microphone set-up, the left channel signal $l(\tau)$ is substantially a delayed (by delay d) and scaled (by gain c) version of the right channel $r(\tau)$. This situation may be expressed by the following equation (13) in which

25

$$l(\tau) = c r(\tau - d) \quad (13).$$

After proper *ITD* compensation of the unwindowed input channel audio signals $l(\tau)$ and $r(\tau)$, an estimate for the side gain factor $g_{t,b}$ would be given in equation (14) as

30

$$g_{t,b} = \frac{c-1}{c+1} \quad (14)$$

with a disappearing residual gain factor $r_{t,b}$ given as

$$r_{t,b} = 0 \quad (15).$$

However, if channel alignment is performed in the frequency domain as in the embodiment in Fig. 2 by *ITD* detection and compensation block 20 using circular shift blocks 13 and 23 respectively, the corresponding DFT analysis windows $w(\tau)$ are rotated as well. Thus, after compensating *ITDs* in the frequency domain, the *ITD* compensated frequency transform $R_{t,k,comp}$ for the right channel may be determined in form of time-frequency bins by the DFT of

$$w(\tau)r(\tau) \quad (16),$$

whereas the *ITD* compensated frequency transform $L_{t,k,comp}$ for the left channel may be determined in form of time-frequency bins as the DFT of

$$w(\tau + ITD_t)r(\tau) \quad (17),$$

wherein w is the DFT analysis window function.

It has been observed that such channel alignment in the frequency domain mainly affects the residual prediction gain factor $r_{t,b}$, which grows larger with increasing ITD_t . Without any further measures, the channel alignment in the frequency domain would thus add additional ambience to an output audio signal at a decoder as shown in Fig. 3. This additional ambience is undesired, especially when the audio signal to be encoded contains clean speech, since artificial ambience impairs speech intelligibility.

Consequently, the above-described effect may be mitigated by correcting the (prediction) residual gain factor $r_{t,b}$ in the presence of non-zero *ITDs* using a further comparison parameter.

In an embodiment, this may be done by calculating a gain offset for the residual gain $r_{t,b}$, which aims at matching an expected residual signal $e(\tau)$ when the signal is coherent and temporally flat. In this case, one expects a global prediction gain $\hat{g}$ given by equation (18) as

$$\hat{g} = \frac{c+1}{c-1} \quad (18)$$

and a disappearing global $I\hat{P}D$ given by $I\hat{P}D = 0$. Consequently, the expected residual signal $e(\tau)$ may be determined using equation (19) as

$$e(\tau) = \frac{2c}{1+c} (w(\tau) - w(\tau + ITD_t))r(\tau) \quad (19).$$

In an embodiment, the further comparison parameter besides side gain factor $g_{t,b}$ and residual gain factor $r_{t,b}$ may be calculated based on the expected residual signal $e(\tau)$ in comparison and spatial parameter computation block 30 using the ITD parameter ITD_t and a function equaling or approximating an autocorrelation function $W_X(n)$ of the analysis window function w given in equation (20) as

$$W_X(n) = \sum_{\tau} w(\tau)w(\tau + n) \quad (20).$$

If M_r denotes the short term mean value of $r^2(\tau)$ the energy of the expected residual signal $e(\tau)$ may approximately be calculated by equation (21) as

$$\frac{8c^2}{(1+c)^2} (W_X(0) - W_X(ITD_t))M_r \quad (21).$$

With the windowed mid signal given by equation (22) as

$$m_t(\tau) = (w_t(\tau) + c w_t(\tau + ITD_t))r(\tau) \quad (22),$$

the energy of this windowed mid signal $m_t(\tau)$ may be approximated by equation (23) as

$$[(1 + c^2)W_X(0) + 2 c W_X(ITD_t)]M_r \quad (23).$$

In an embodiment, the above-mentioned function used in the calculation of the comparison parameter in comparison and spatial parameter computation block 30 equals or approximates a normalized version $\hat{W}_X(n)$ of the autocorrelation function $W_X(n)$ of the analysis window as given in equation (23a) as

$$\hat{W}_X(n) = W_X(n)/W_X(0) \quad (23a).$$

Based on this normalized autocorrelation function $\widehat{W}_X(n)$, said further comparison parameter $\hat{r}_t$ may be calculated using equation (24) as

$$\hat{r}_t = \frac{2c}{c+1} \sqrt{2 \frac{1 - \widehat{W}_X(ITD_t)}{1 + c^2 + 2c \widehat{W}_X(ITD_t)}} \quad (24)$$

- 5 to provide an estimated correction parameter for the residual gain $r_{t,b}$. In an embodiment, comparison parameter $\hat{r}_t$ may be used as an estimate for the local residual gains $r_{t,b}$ in sub-bands b . In another embodiment, the correction of the residual gains $r_{t,b}$ may be affected by using comparison parameter $\hat{r}_t$ as an offset. I.e. the values of the residual gain $r_{t,b}$ may be replaced by a corrected residual gain $r_{t,b,corr}$ as given in equation (25) as

10

$$r_{t,b,corr} \leftarrow \max\{0, r_{t,b} - \hat{r}_t\} \quad (25).$$

Thus, in an embodiment, a further comparison parameter calculated in comparison and spatial parameter computation block 30 may comprise the corrected residual gain $r_{t,b,corr}$ that corresponds to the residual gain $r_{t,b}$ corrected by the residual gain correction parameter $\hat{r}_t$ as given in equation (24) in form of the offset defined in equation (25).

15

Hence, a further embodiment relates to parametric audio coding using windowed DFT and [a subset of] parameters IPD according to equation (3), side gain $g_{t,b}$ according to equation (11), residual gain $r_{t,b}$ according to equation (12) and $ITDs$, wherein the residual gain $r_{t,b}$ is adjusted according to equation (25).

20

In an empirical evaluation, the residual gain estimates $\hat{r}_t$ may be tested with different choices for the right channel audio signal $r(\tau)$ in equation (13). For white noise input signals $r(\tau)$, which satisfy the temporal flatness assumption, the residual gain estimates $\hat{r}_t$ are quite close to the average of the residual gains $r_{t,b}$ measured in sub-bands as can be seen from table 1 below.

25

$ITD \backslash c$	1	2	4	8	16	32
ms	0.0893	0.0793	0.0569	0.0351	0.0196	0.0104
	(0.0885)	(0.0785)	(0.0565)	(0.0349)	(0.0195)	(0.0104)
ms	0.1650	0.1460	0.1045	0.0640	0.0357	0.0189
	(0.1631)	(0.1458)	(0.1039)	(0.0640)	(0.0357)	(0.0189)
ms	0.2348	0.2073	0.1472	0.0896	0.0498	0.0263
	(0.2327)	(0.2062)	(0.1473)	(0.0904)	(0.0504)	(0.0267)
ms	0.3005	0.2644	0.1862	0.1125	0.0621	0.0327
	(0.2992)	(0.2627)	(0.1885)	(0.1151)	(0.0641)	(0.0339)

Table 1: Average of measured residual gains $r_{t,b}$ for panned white noise with ITD and residual gain estimates $\hat{r}_t$ (stated in brackets).

- 5 For speech signals $r(\tau)$, the temporal flatness assumption is frequently violated, which typically increases the average of the residual gains $r_{t,b}$ (see table 2 below compared to table 1 above). The method of residual gain adjustment or correction according to equation (25) may therefore be considered as being rather conservative. However, it may still remove most of the undesired ambience for clean speech recordings.

10

$ITD \backslash c$	1	2	4
ms	0.1055	0.1022	0.0874
	(0.0885)	(0.0785)	(0.0565)
ms	0.1782	0.1634	0.1283
	(0.1631)	(0.1458)	(0.1039)
ms	0.2435	0.2191	0.1657
	(0.2327)	(0.2062)	(0.1473)
ms	0.3050	0.2720	0.2014
	(0.2992)	(0.2627)	(0.1885)

Table 2: Average of measured residual gains $r_{t,b}$ for panned mono speech with ITD and residual gain estimates $\hat{r}_t$ (stated in brackets).

- 15 The normalized autocorrelation function $\hat{W}_X$ given in equation (23a) may be considered to be independent of the frame index t in case a single analysis window w is used. Moreover, the normalized autocorrelation function $\hat{W}_X$ may be considered to vary very slowly for typical analysis window functions w . Hence, $\hat{W}_X$ may be interpolated accurately from a small table of values, which makes this correction scheme very efficient in terms of complexity.

20

Thus, in embodiments, the function for the determination of the residual gain estimates or residual gain correction offset $\hat{r}_t$ as a comparison parameter in block 30 may be obtained by interpolation of the normalized version $\hat{W}_X$ of the autocorrelation function of the

analysis window stored in a look-up table. In other embodiment, other approaches for an interpolation of the normalized autocorrelation function $\widehat{W}_X$ may be used as appropriate.

For BCC, as described in [2], a similar problem may arise when estimating inter-channel-coherence ICC in sub-bands. In an embodiment, the corresponding $ICC_{t,b}$ may be
 5 estimated by equation (26) using the energies $E_{L,t,b}$ and $E_{R,t,b}$ of equation (9) and the inner product of equation (10) as

$$ICC_{t,b} = \frac{X_{L/R,t,b}}{\sqrt{E_{L,t,b} \cdot E_{R,t,b}}} \quad (26).$$

10

By definition, the ICC is measured after compensating the $ITDs$. However, the non-matching window functions w may bias the ICC measurement. In the above-mentioned clean anechoic speech setting described by equation (13), the ICC would be 1 if
 15 calculated on properly aligned input channels.

However, the offset – caused by the rotation of the analysis windows functions $w(\tau)$ in the frequency domain when compensating an ITD of ITD_t in frequency domain by circular
 20 shift[s] – may bias the measurement of the ICC towards $\hat{ICC}_t$ as given in equation (27) as

$$\hat{ICC}_t = \widehat{W}_X(ITD_t) \quad (27).$$

In an embodiment, the bias of the ICC may be corrected in a similar way compared to the correction of the residual gain $r_{t,b}$ in equation (25), namely by making the replacement as
 25 given in equation (28) as

$$ICC_{b,t} \leftarrow 1 + \min\{ICC_{b,t} - \hat{ICC}_t, 0\} \quad (28).$$

25

Thus, a further embodiment relates to parametric audio coding using windowed DFT and [a subset of] parameters IPD according to equation (3), ILD , ICC according to equation
 30 (26) and $ITDs$, wherein the ICC is adjusted according to equation (28).

In the embodiment of parametric encoder 200 shown in Fig. 2, downmixing block 40 may reduce the number of channels of the multichannel, here stereo, system by computing a downmix signal $DMX_{t,k}$ given by equation (29) in the frequency domain. In an

embodiment, the downmix signal $DMX_{t,k}$ may be computed using the *ITD* compensated frequency transforms $L_{t,k,comp}$ and $R_{t,k,comp}$ according to

$$DMX_{t,k} = \frac{e^{-i\beta} L_{t,k,comp} + e^{i(IPD_{t,b} - \beta)} R_{t,k,comp}}{\sqrt{2}} \quad (29).$$

5

In equation (29), β may be a real absolute phase adjusting parameter calculated from the stereo/spatial parameters. In other embodiments, the coding scheme as shown in Fig. 2 may also work with any other downmixing method. Other embodiments may use the frequency transforms $L_{t,k}$ and $R_{t,k}$ and optionally further parameters to determine the downmix signal $DMX_{t,k}$.

10

In the encoder embodiment of Fig. 2, an inverse discrete Fourier transform (IDFT) block 50 may receive the frequency domain downmix signal $DMX_{t,k}$ from downmixing block 40. IDFT block 50 may transform downmix time-frequency bins $DMX_{t,k}$, $k = 0, \dots, K - 1$, from the frequency domain to the time domain to yield time domain downmix signal $dmx(\tau)$. In embodiments, a synthesis window $w_s(\tau)$ may be applied and added to the time domain downmix signal $dmx(\tau)$.

15

Furthermore, as in the embodiment in Fig. 2, a core encoder 60 may receive domain downmix signal $dmx(\tau)$ to encode the single channel audio signal according to MPEG-4 Part 3 [1] or any other suitable audio encoding algorithm as appropriate. In the embodiment of Fig. 2, the core-encoded time domain downmix signal $dmx(\tau)$ may be combined with the *ITD* parameter ITD_t , the side gain $g_{t,b}$ and the corrected residual gain $r_{t,b,corr}$ suitably processed and/or further encoded for transmission to a decoder.

25

Fig 3. shows an embodiment of multichannel decoder. The decoder may receive a combined signal comprising the mono/downmix input signal $dmx(\tau)$ in the time domain and comparison and/or spatial parameters as side information on a frame basis. The decoder as shown in Fig. 3 may perform the following steps, which are described in detail below.

30

1. *Time-to-frequency transform of the input using windowed DFTs*
in DFT block 80
2. *Prediction of missing residual in frequency domain*
in upmixing and spatial restoration block 90

35

3. *Upmixing in frequency domain*
in upmixing and spatial restoration block 90
4. *ITD synthesis in frequency domain*
in ITD synthesis block 100
5. *Frequency-to-time domain transform, windowing and overlap add*
in IDFT blocks 112, 122 and window blocks 111, 121

The time-to-frequency transform of the mono/downmix signal input signal $dmx(\tau)$ may be done in a similar way as for the input audio signals of the encoder in Fig. 2. In certain
10 embodiments, a suitable amount of zero padding may be added for an *ITD* restoration in the frequency domain. This procedure may yield a frequency transform of the downmix signal in form of time-frequency bins $DMX_{t,k}$, $k = 0, \dots, K - 1$.

In order to restore the spatial properties of the downmix signal $DMX_{t,k}$, a second signal,
15 independent of the transmitted downmix signal $DMX_{t,k}$ may be needed. Such a signal may e.g. be (re)constructed in upmixing and spatial restoration block 90 using the corrected residual gain $r_{t,b,corr}$ as comparison parameter – transmitted by an encoder such as the encoder in Fig. 2 – and time delayed time-frequency bins of the downmix signal $DMX_{t,k}$ as given in equation (30):

20

$$\hat{p}_{t,k} = r_{t,b,corr} \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{k \in I_b} |DMX_{t,k}|^2}{\sum_{k \in I_b} |DMX_{t-d_b,k}|^2}} DMX_{t-d_b,k} \quad (30)$$

for $k \in I_b$.

In other embodiments, different approaches and equations may be used to restore the
25 spatial properties of the downmix signal $DMX_{t,k}$ based on the transmitted at least one comparison parameter.

Moreover, upmixing and spatial restoration block 90 may perform upmixing by applying the inverse to the mid/side transform at the encoder using the downmix signal $DMX_{t,k}$ and
30 the side gain $g_{t,b}$ as transmitted by the encoder as well as the reconstructed residual signal $\hat{p}_{t,k}$. This may yield decoded *ITD* compensated frequency transforms $\hat{L}_{t,k}$ and $\hat{R}_{t,k}$ given by equations (31) and (32) as

$$\hat{L}_{t,k} = \frac{e^{i\beta(DMX_{t,k}(1+g_{t,b})+\hat{p}_{t,k})}}{\sqrt{2}} \quad (31)$$

and

$$\hat{R}_{t,k} = \frac{e^{i(\beta-IPD_b)(DMX_{t,k}(1-g_{t,b})-\hat{p}_{t,k})}}{\sqrt{2}} \quad (32)$$

5

for $k \in I_b$, where β is the same absolute phase rotation parameter as in the downmixing procedure in equation (29).

Furthermore, as shown in Fig. 3, the decoded *ITD* compensated frequency transforms $\hat{L}_{t,k}$ and $\hat{R}_{t,k}$ may be received by *ITD* synthesis/decompensation block 100. The latter may apply the *ITD* parameter ITD_t in frequency domain by rotating $\hat{L}_{t,k}$ and $\hat{R}_{t,k}$ as given in equations (33) and (34) to yield *ITD* decompensated decoded frequency transforms $\hat{L}_{t,k,decomp}$ and $\hat{R}_{t,k,decomp}$:

$$15 \quad \hat{L}_{t,k,decomp} \leftarrow e^{i\frac{\pi}{K}ITD_t k} \hat{L}_{t,k} \quad (33)$$

and

$$\hat{R}_{t,k,decomp} \leftarrow e^{-i\frac{\pi}{K}ITD_t k} \hat{R}_{t,k}, \quad (34).$$

20

In Fig. 3, the frequency-to-time domain transform of the *ITD* decompensated decoded frequency transforms in form of time-frequency bins $\hat{L}_{t,k,decomp}$ and $\hat{R}_{t,k,decomp}$, $k = 0, \dots, K-1$ may be performed by IDFT blocks 112 and 122 respectively. The resulting time domain signals may subsequently be windowed by window blocks 111 and 121 respectively and added to the reconstructed time domain output audio signals $\hat{l}(\tau)$ and $\hat{r}(\tau)$ of the left and right audio channel.

The above described embodiments are merely illustrative for the principles of the present invention. It is understood that modifications and variations of the arrangements and the details described herein will be apparent to others skilled in the art. It is the intent, therefore, to be limited only by the scope of the impending patent claims and not by the specific details presented by way of description and explanation of the embodiments herein.

30

References

- [1] MPEG-4 High Efficiency Advanced Audio Coding (HE-AAC) v2
- [2] Jürgen Herre, *FROM JOINT STEREO TO SPATIAL AUDIO CODING - RECENT*
5 *PROGRESS AND STANDARDIZATION*, Proc. of the 7th Int. Conference on digital Audio
Effects (DAFX-04), Naples, Italy, October 5-8, 2004
- [3] Christoph Tourney and Christof Faller, *Improved Time Delay Analysis/Synthesis for*
Parametric Stereo Audio Coding, AES Convention Paper 6753, 2006
- [4] Christof Faller and Frank Baumgarte, *Binaural Cue Coding Part II: Schemes and*
10 *Applications*, IEEE Transactions on Speech and Audio Processing, Vol. 11, No. 6,
November 2003

Claims

1. Comparison device for a multi-channel audio signal configured to:

5

derive, for an inter-channel time difference between audio signals for at least one pair of channels, at least one *ITD* parameter of the audio signals of the at least one pair of channels in an analysis window,

10

compensate the *ITD* for the at least one pair of channels in the frequency domain by circular shift using the at least one *ITD* parameter to generate at least one pair of *ITD* compensated frequency transforms,

15

compute, based on the at least one *ITD* parameter and the at least one pair of *ITD* compensated frequency transforms, at least one comparison parameter including at least one side gain of at least one pair of mid/side transforms of the at least one pair of *ITD* compensated frequency transforms, the at least one side gain being a prediction gain of a side transform from a mid transform of the at least one pair of mid/side transforms, and at least one corrected residual gain corresponding to at least one residual gain corrected by a residual gain correction parameter, the at least one residual gain being a function of an energy of a residual in a prediction of the side transform from the mid transform relative to an energy of the mid transform,

20

25

wherein the comparison device is configured to compute the at least one side gain and the at least one residual gain using the energies and the inner product of the at least one pair of *ITD* compensated frequency transforms.

2. The comparison device according to claim 1, further configured to use frequency transforms of the audio signals of the at least one pair of channels in the analysis window for deriving the at least one *ITD* parameter.

30

3. The comparison device according to claim 1 or 2, further configured to:

35

compute the at least one comparison parameter using a function equaling or approximating an autocorrelation function of the analysis window and the at least one *ITD* parameter.

4. The comparison device according to claim 3, wherein

the function equals or approximates a normalized version of the autocorrelation function of the analysis window.

5. Comparison device for a multi-channel audio signal configured to:

derive, for an inter-channel time difference between audio signals for at least one pair of channels, at least one *ITD* parameter of the audio signals of the at least one pair of channels in an analysis window,

compensate the *ITD* for the at least one pair of channels in the frequency domain by circular shift using the at least one *ITD* parameter to generate at least one pair of *ITD* compensated frequency transforms,

compute, based on the at least one *ITD* parameter and the at least one pair of *ITD* compensated frequency transforms, at least one comparison parameter,

wherein the comparison device is configured to compute the at least one comparison parameter using a function equaling or approximating an autocorrelation function of the analysis window and the at least one *ITD* parameter, and

wherein the function equals or approximates a normalized version of the autocorrelation function of the analysis window, and

wherein the comparison device is further configured to obtain the function by interpolation of the normalized version of the autocorrelation function of the analysis window stored in a look-up table.

6. Comparison device for a multi-channel audio signal configured to:

derive, for an inter-channel time difference between audio signals for at least one pair of channels, at least one *ITD* parameter of the audio signals of the at least one pair of channels in an analysis window,

compensate the *ITD* for the at least one pair of channels in the frequency domain by circular shift using the at least one *ITD* parameter to generate at least one pair of *ITD* compensated frequency transforms,

5 compute, based on the at least one *ITD* parameter and the at least one pair of *ITD* compensated frequency transforms, at least one comparison parameter including at least one side gain of at least one pair of mid/side transforms of the at least one pair of *ITD* compensated frequency transforms, the at least one side gain being a prediction gain of a side transform from a mid transform of the at least one pair of mid/side transforms, and at least one corrected residual gain corresponding to at least one residual gain corrected by a residual gain correction parameter, the at least one residual gain being a function of an energy of a residual in a prediction of the side transform from the mid transform relative to an energy of the mid transform,

15 wherein the comparison device is further configured to:

correct the at least one residual gain by an offset corresponding to the residual gain correction parameter $\hat{r}_t$ computed as $\hat{r}_t = \frac{2c}{c+1} \sqrt{2 \frac{1 - \hat{W}_X(ITD_t)}{1 + c^2 + 2c\hat{W}_X(ITD_t)}}$, wherein c is a scaling gain between the audio signals of the at least one pair of channels and $\hat{W}_X(n)$ is a function approximating a normalized version of the autocorrelation function of the analysis window.

7. Comparison device for a multi-channel audio signal configured to:

25 derive, for an inter-channel time difference between audio signals for at least one pair of channels, at least one *ITD* parameter of the audio signals of the at least one pair of channels in an analysis window,

30 compensate the *ITD* for the at least one pair of channels in the frequency domain by circular shift using the at least one *ITD* parameter to generate at least one pair of *ITD* compensated frequency transforms,

compute, based on the at least one *ITD* parameter and the at least one pair of *ITD* compensated frequency transforms, at least one comparison parameter, wherein

the at least one comparison parameter comprises at least one inter-channel coherence correction parameter for correcting an estimate of the *ICC* determined in the frequency domain of the at least one pair of audio signals based on the at least one *ITD* parameter.

5

8. The comparison device according to any one of claims 1 to 7, further configured to:

10

generate at least one downmix signal for the audio signals of the at least one pair of channels, wherein the at least one comparison parameter is computed for restoring the audio signals of the at least one pair of channels from the at least one downmix signal.

9. The comparison device according to any one of claims 1 to 8, further configured to:

15

generate the at least one downmix signal based on the at least one pair of *ITD* compensated frequency transforms.

10. Multi-channel encoder comprising the comparison device according to claim 8 or 9, further configured to:

20

encode the at least one downmix signal, the at least one *ITD* parameter and the at least one comparison parameter for transmission to a decoder.

11. Comparison method for a multi-channel audio signal comprising:

25

deriving, for an inter-channel time difference between audio signals for at least one pair of channels, at least one *ITD* parameter of the audio signals of the at least one pair of channels in an analysis window,

30

compensating the *ITD* for the at least one pair of channels in the frequency domain by circular shift using the at least one *ITD* parameter to generate at least one pair of *ITD* compensated frequency transforms,

35

computing, based on the at least one *ITD* parameter and the at least one pair of *ITD* compensated frequency transforms, at least one comparison parameter including at least one side gain of at least one pair of mid/side transforms of the at least one pair

of *ITD* compensated frequency transforms, the at least one side gain being a prediction gain of a side transform from a mid transform of the at least one pair of mid/side transforms, and at least one corrected residual gain corresponding to at least one residual gain corrected by a residual gain correction parameter, the at least one residual gain being a function of an energy of a residual in a prediction of the side transform from the mid transform relative to an energy of the mid transform,

wherein the at least one side gain and the at least one residual gain are computed using the energies and the inner product of the at least one pair of *ITD* compensated frequency transforms.

12. Comparison method for a multi-channel audio signal comprising:

deriving, for an inter-channel time difference between audio signals for at least one pair of channels, at least one *ITD* parameter of the audio signals of the at least one pair of channels in an analysis window,

compensating the *ITD* for the at least one pair of channels in the frequency domain by circular shift using the at least one *ITD* parameter to generate at least one pair of *ITD* compensated frequency transforms,

computing, based on the at least one *ITD* parameter and the at least one pair of *ITD* compensated frequency transforms, at least one comparison parameter, the at least one comparison parameter being computed using a function equaling or approximating an autocorrelation function of the analysis window and the at least one *ITD* parameter,

wherein the function equals or approximates a normalized version of the autocorrelation function of the analysis window, and the function is obtained by interpolation of the normalized version of the autocorrelation function of the analysis window stored in a look-up table.

13. Comparison method for a multi-channel audio signal comprising:

deriving, for an inter-channel time difference between audio signals for at least one pair of channels, at least one *ITD* parameter of the audio signals of the at least one pair of channels in an analysis window,

5 compensating the *ITD* for the at least one pair of channels in the frequency domain by circular shift using the at least one *ITD* parameter to generate at least one pair of *ITD* compensated frequency transforms,

10 computing, based on the at least one *ITD* parameter and the at least one pair of *ITD* compensated frequency transforms, at least one comparison parameter including at least one side gain of at least one pair of mid/side transforms of the at least one pair of *ITD* compensated frequency transforms, the at least one side gain being a prediction gain of a side transform from a mid transform of the at least one pair of mid/side transforms, and at least one corrected residual gain corresponding to at least one residual gain corrected by a residual gain correction parameter, the at least one residual gain being a function of an energy of a residual in a prediction of the side transform from the mid transform relative to an energy of the mid transform, the at least one residual gain being corrected by an offset corresponding to the residual

gain correction parameter $\hat{r}_t$ computed as $\hat{r}_t = \frac{2c}{c+1} \sqrt{2 \frac{1 - \hat{W}_X(ITD_t)}{1 + c^2 + 2c\hat{W}_X(ITD_t)}}$, wherein

20 c is a scaling gain between the audio signals of the at least one pair of channels and $\hat{W}_X(n)$ is a function approximating a normalized version of the autocorrelation function of the analysis window.

14. Comparison method for a multi-channel audio signal comprising:

25 deriving, for an inter-channel time difference between audio signals for at least one pair of channels, at least one *ITD* parameter of the audio signals of the at least one pair of channels in an analysis window,

30 compensating the *ITD* for the at least one pair of channels in the frequency domain by circular shift using the at least one *ITD* parameter to generate at least one pair of *ITD* compensated frequency transforms,

35 computing, based on the at least one *ITD* parameter and the at least one pair of *ITD* compensated frequency transforms, at least one comparison parameter, wherein

the at least one comparison parameter comprises at least one inter-channel coherence correction parameter for correcting an estimate of the *ICC* determined in the frequency domain of the at least one pair of audio signals based on the at least one *ITD* parameter.

15. Decoder for multi-channel audio signals configured to:

decode at least one downmix signal, at least one inter-channel time difference parameter and at least one comparison parameter computed using a method of any of claims 11 to 14,

upmix the at least one downmix signal for restoring the audio signals of at least one pair of channels from the at least one downmix signal using the at least one comparison parameter to generate at least one pair of decoded *ITD* compensated frequency transforms,

decompensate the *ITD* for the at least one pair of decoded *ITD* compensated frequency transforms of the at least one pair of channels in the frequency domain by circular shift using the at least one *ITD* parameter to generate at least one pair of *ITD* decompensated decoded frequency transforms for reconstructing the *ITD* of the audio signals of the at least one pair of channels in the time domain,

inverse frequency transform the at least one pair of *ITD* decompensated decoded frequency transforms to generate at least one pair of decoded audio signals of the at least one pair of channels.