

This invention relates to rotary positive displacement machines.

In some fluid handling machines, especially in gas compressors having rotors with inter-engaging teeth or lobes and recesses, the rotors, in cooperation with the walls of intersecting bores of the compressor casing, define a pair of separate, variable volume chambers, which, cyclically merge into one. During each cycle, one of the chambers "pre pressurizes" while the other chamber remains at inlet pressure. The pre-pressurized gas in the one chamber subsequently throttles into the other with a significant loss, thus constituting a marked inefficiency in such prior art machines.

U.S. Patent 4,068,988 issued 17th January 1978, to Paul Dale Webb et al, for a "Positive-Displacement, Fluid Machine", disclosed a novel means for equalizing chamber pressures, or for reducing pre-pressurization of a chamber, through the employment of an inter-chamber conduit. The present invention sets forth an alternative novel means for effecting early equalization of such chambers pressure.

U.S. Patent 3,472,445 shows in Figs. I to IV a rotary machine having single lobe rotors. A disadvantage with single lobe rotors is that during a portion of each rotor rotation there is a dwell period during which no displacement occurs. The dwell period can be seen in Figs. IV and V of Patent 3,472,445, last about 90 degrees and during the dwell period, no gas is drawn into the inlet port 16 and the flow through same is completely stopped once per rotation. Thus (with single lobe rotors) the flow of gas into the inlet port has a start-stop-start-stop action which would have a detrimental effect on efficiency and noise.

U.S. Patent 3,472,445 shows in Fig. XX a machine wherein each rotor has two lobes. However, the two rotors are identical and there is no teaching of the need, construction, and advantages of making the double lobe rotors dissimilar as taught in embodiments of the present invention.

The objectives sought for preferred embodiments of the present invention are as follows:—

1. The first object is to reduce to a negligible amount a certain precomposition and subsequent throttling loss when the machine is operated as a compressor, or an expansion loss when the machine is operated as an expansion engine. This objective is secured by making the lobes on the port controlling first rotor small in angle.

2. A second object is to provide large angle lobes on the coacting rotor as this leads to better efficiency as will subsequently be explained under reasons a, b, and c. Thus, this invention teaches the concept of using non-identical rotors with small angle lobes 24 on the

port controlling first rotor and larger angle lobes on the coacting second rotor.

3. An advantage is that a separate external conduit (with the attendant flow losses therein) is not required in order to secure the first objective.

4. Another object is to arrive at and form a decision as to the optimum number of lobes on each rotor for this specific type of rotary machine, i.e. should there be one, two, three or four lobes per rotor. Should one rotor contain more lobes than the other rotor. It will be shown that the optimum combination is to employ exactly two lobes on each rotor.

5. An advantage is that the cubic displacement per rotation of the rotors (for a given rotor diameter and width) has been substantially increased. This advantage is obtained by employing rotors with double lobes (instead of single lobes) as will be described.

6. A sixth objective is to reduce the per cent leakage and also reduce the overall size and weight of the machine. These advantages are made possible because of the increased displacement per rotation as described in the previous paragraph 5, and as will be described in more detail.

7. An advantage (not new) is that the rotors and higher pressure ports are profiled in such a way that the clearance volume is zero (or near zero) so that when operating as a compressor, the machine delivers (through the ports) all the full pressure gas it compresses and none it throttled (wasted) back to inlet pressure except a small portion due to leakage and running clearance. This feature is not new with this invention as it was described in patent 3,472,445.

The dump pockets in Fig. 7 constitute a non-delivered volume but the gas therein is dumped at a low pressure (and not full discharge pressure) as will be described, therefore, the dump pockets are not counted when determining clearance volume.

8. Another advantage (not new) is that there are no geometric leak paths such as are associated with some screw type machines.

9. Another advantage (not new) is that the rotors are simpler to construct compared to screw type machine.

10. Another advantage (as a compressor) is that with two lobes per rotor (instead of a single lobe) the said dwell period has been eliminated and thus the flow of gas or air into the port 34 is more steady in character so that the start-stop-start-stop action of single lobe rotors has been eliminated. This will aid efficiency, reduce noise and permit smooth running in general.

11. An unexpected feature is that the dump pockets (shown in Fig. 7) have a very low energy loss. This loss (due to dumping at low pressure) is calculated to be less than one tenth

of one per cent of the total adiabatic work of the machine as will be described for Fig. 7.

12. An advantage (not new) is that no oil is required directly on the rotors and thus in a compressor the output of air can be oil free.

13. Another object of this invention is to provide a rotary machine having an operating pressure ratio as high as 3 to 1 per stage as will be described in the description of Fig. 7.

According to the invention there is provided a rotary, positive displacement machine, with interengaging rotors having hubs with grooves and co-operating lobes adapted to handle a working fluid, comprising a casing structure having a pair of intersecting bores; a first rotor mounted for rotation in one of said bores; a second rotor mounted for rotation in the other of said bores; timing gear means constraining said two rotors to rotate in timed, interengaging relation; said casing structure having a high pressure port for the flow therethrough of working fluid at high pressure; said casing structure further having a low pressure port for the flow of the working fluid therethrough at lower pressure; said high pressure port being located in an end wall of said one bore; said first rotor having means for alternately wholly covering and uncovering said high pressure port, to control flow of working fluid through said high pressure port; said first rotor further having *exactly* two lobes; and said second rotor also having *exactly* two lobes; said lobes on said first and second rotors having peripheral, circumferentially-extended surfaces which define close-clearance interfaces with inner surfaces of their respective bores characterised in that said peripheral surfaces of said lobes of said second rotor each occupy an angle (B) of approximately twice that of said peripheral surfaces of said lobes of said first rotor; and said high pressure port is covered and uncovered only by said first rotor.

In a preferred embodiment, the angle (A) of said peripheral surfaces of said first rotor lobes is a maximum of 35 degrees of arc, each; and said angle (B) of said peripheral surfaces of said second rotor lobes is a minimum of 50 degrees of arc, each.

In a preferred embodiment, said angle (A) of said peripheral surfaces of said first rotor lobes is not less than approximately five degrees of arc, and not more than approximately nineteen degrees of arc; and said angle (B) of said peripheral surfaces of said second rotor lobes is not less than approximately twenty-one degrees of arc, and not more than approximately fifty degrees of arc.

In a preferred embodiment each hub has two grooves therein; each groove being located adjacent to a respective lobe; said hubs being profiled so as to rotate in sealing relation to each other during a portion of each rotation; and wherein said grooves in said hub of said first rotor each occupy an angle of approximately twice that of said peripheral surfaces of said

lobes of said first rotor.

Advantageously the high pressure port occupies an angle approximately corresponding to the angle (B) occupied by each of said peripheral surfaces of said lobes of said second rotor.

Advantageously the high pressure port occupies an angle substantially corresponding to the angle occupied by each of said grooves in said hub of said first rotor.

In the preferred embodiment, each said lobe is adapted to interengage with a respective groove in the opposite rotor hub as the rotors rotate; the amount of the built-in-compression (expansion) ratio is determined by the angular extent of said higher pressure port; said two rotors being adapted to rotate at equal R.P.M. in opposite directions of rotation; the diameter of the pitch circle of each rotor is equal to the distance between the axes of rotation of the two rotors; each said pitch circle having its center at the axis of its respective rotor; each of said lobes having profiles which are concave on one face of the lobe and partly convex on the other face of the lobe; said convex faces lying outside the pitch circle of their respective rotor; said rotors comprising means defining two low pressure dump pockets per rotor rotation; each said dump pocket being bounded by said concave faces of two lobes each of said dump pockets dumping slightly pressurised working fluid back to lower inlet pressure when operating as a compressor machine.

In this preferred embodiment the said included angle of the first rotor lobes is measured at a radial location which is three quarters of the radial distance from the rotor pitch circle to the outer radius of the rotor; and wherein the said included angle of the second rotor lobes is measured at a radial location which is one fourth of the radial distance from the rotor pitch circle to the outer radius of the rotor.

Prior Art and Embodiments are Depicted in the Drawings as Follows:

Figs. 1, 2 and 3 are line drawings illustrative of prior art rotors and casings (with the rotors in elevation and the casings in section) in successive, compressor-function rotative positions. These Figures depict the unwarranted precompression and subsequent internal throttling loss encountered with such prior art construction;

Fig. 4 illustrates an embodiment of this invention in which significant precompression is virtually eliminated, the same also being an elevational line drawing of the rotors but the casing in section;

Fig. 5 illustrates an alternative embodiment of this invention, in a cross-sectional elevational view;

Fig. 6 illustrates a further alternative embodiment of the invention;

Fig. 7 is the same as Fig. 4 except the rotors

have been rotated to show the dump pockets 63.

General Method of Operation — Fig. 4

Operation of the novel machine 10 as a compressor will be first explained by referring to Fig. 4. A first rotor 12 and a second rotor 14 are rotatably mounted in the intersecting bores 16 and 18 in the casing structure or housing 20. The first rotor 12 has a hub 22 and two teeth or lobes 24 projecting radially outwardly from the hub to the outer radius of the rotor. The second rotor. The second rotor 14 has a hub 26 and two larger angle teeth or lobes 28 projecting radially outward from the hub to the outer radius of the rotor. Each hub has grooves 30 and 32 located adjacent its respective lobes 24 and 28. Timing gears mounted on the rotor shafts (not shown) constrain the two rotors to rotate in timed interengaging relation. A source of power is applied to a rotor shaft so as to rotate the rotors in the direction shown (when operating as a compressor). The working fluid or gas to be compressed enters an inlet port 34, is compressed internally within the machine and is then delivered through two ports 36 (only one is shown, partially in dotted line) which are located in the opposite end walls of the housing 20. The ports 36 are alternately covered and uncovered by the first rotor 12 so as to control the flow of the working fluid through the ports. The compressed gas is then conducted from the two ports 36 to a common outlet (not shown).

When operating as an expansion engine, rotation is reversed, high pressure motive gas is supplied to the end ports 36 and the lower pressure exhaust gas leaves at port 34.

The ports 36 (in the housing end walls) are referred to as the higher pressure ports and the port 34 is referred to as the lower pressure port since this designation is applicable for operation of the machine 10 either as a compressor or as an expansion engine.

Most of the discussion herein pertains to operation of the machine 10 as a compressor, only for purpose of simplicity, however those improvements described for a compression cycle would also benefit the operation of the machine 10 as an expansion engine.

Fig. 4 shows the small chamber C which is near the end of delivery and is being closed out. To obtain zero (or near zero) clearance volume, all the gas in chamber C is to be delivered through the ports 36 so as to avoid wasting any compressed gas. To obtain this feature, the following requirements are needed: (a) the trailing edge of port 36 should be circular arc projected from or by the outer radius of the second rotor, (b) the convex face of lobe 28 should be tangent to the outer radius of the same lobe, (c) the circumferential width (at the pitch circle) of said convex face should be at least as large as the radial height of said convex face from the pitch circle outward, and (d) the tip of lobe 28 should sweep in sealing proximity

across the concave face of lobe 24. Zero clearance volume and the construction therefore was described in Detail in US—patent 3,472,445.

Fig. 7 shows the rotor positions where the ports 36 are still covered by the first rotor. The rotors will rotate about sixty degrees more from the Fig. 7 position before the ports 36 start to be uncovered and during this period, internal compression takes place in the chambers 38 and 40. The rotor and port profiles shown in Figs. 4 and 7 are calculated and drawn approximately to scale for a 3 to 1 pressure ratio. Thus in a two stage air compressor (with atmospheric inlet), the discharge pressure of the second stage would be $3 \times 3 \times 14.7 = 132.3$ PSIA = 117 PSIG or 912 Pascal. The ports 36 start to be uncovered approximately 25 degrees ahead of the theoretical pressure ratio 3 location. Thus during said 25 degrees, there is a slight amount of backflow of air from the discharge line back into chambers 38 and 40. Such backflow represents a small energy loss which is more than compensated for in increased port area so that the net loss due to throttling through the ports 36 is less. Said early port opening might be compared (in a general way) to advancing the spark of an internal combustion engine.

This invention teaches the use of two lobes per rotor and no more. If (for instance) the machine instead has three or four lobes per rotor, then each lobe would have less angular distance to travel during the compression phase and thus the discharge ports 36 would have to be much smaller in angle to secure the same built-in pressure ratio — a serious disadvantage. In fact, if there were say four lobes per rotor, the ports 36 would be reduced to almost nothing and the 3 to 1 internal built-in pressure ratio would still not be achieved.

Discussion of Loss Problem Encountered with Prior Art — Figs. 1 to 3

In Fig. 1, both rotors are identical to the second rotor 14 (Fig. 4) and so are designated 14a and 14b. In such prior art machine 10a, and when operating as a compressor, the pressure (14.7 PSIA or 101 Pascal) in chambers 38 and 40 is still at or near inlet pressure. Chambers 38 and 40 have a volume of 29.9 cubic inches or 0.0193 cubic metres. The leading tip 42 of lobe 28a is just beginning to enter chamber 40 and this is the start of "precompression" (an undesirable effect). Fig. 2 shows the rotor positions after forty degrees of rotation from their Fig. 1 positions. As can be seen in Fig. 2, the lobe 28a has projected into chamber 40, reducing the chamber volume to 17.9 cubic inches or 0.0115 cubic metres from 29.9 cubic inches (0.0193 cubic metres) and thus causing a "precompression" in chamber 40. With the proportions as drawn, neglecting leakage, and assuming atmospheric inlet pressures at ports 34 and chamber 38, the pressure in chamber

40 (at the Fig. 2 rotor positions) is calculated to be 25.2 PSIA (or 10.5 PSIG above atmospheric) or 174 Pascal.

Figure 3 illustrates the rotor positions after fifty degrees of rotation from the Fig. 1 positions. The total chamber volume is 0.0096 cubic metres or 14.9 cubic inches and the pressures are 30 PSIA or 207 Pascal in chamber 40 and 15.4 PSIA or 106 Pascal in Chamber 38. A throttling loss occurs at 44 as the "precompressed air" in chamber 40 throttles into chamber 38. It is an object of this invention to reduce such loss in a simple manner, as explained in the following text.

Detailed Description of This Invention — Fig. 4

Reverting to Fig. 4, the port controlling rotor 12 is referred to as the first rotor, and the coacting rotor 14 is referred to as the second rotor. The first rotor 12 is provided with smaller angle lobes 24 which have an angle of arc "A" of about 15 degrees as shown. The second rotor 14 has larger lobes 28 which have an angle of arc "B" of about thirty to forty degrees as shown. With such an arrangement, the precompression effect is much less. With the proportions as drawn, neglecting leakage, and assuming atmospheric inlet pressure at port 34 and chamber 38 the pressure in chamber 40 (at the Fig. 4 rotor positions, and with the novel rotors) is calculated to be 15.77 PSIA or 1 PSIG above atmospheric (109 Psacal). This 1 PSIG or 109 Pascal is compared with 10.5 PSIG or 174 Pascal in Fig. 2 of the prior art. Thus the effect of precompression (and subsequent throttling of same) is greatly reduced.

From the standpoint of compression efficiency, the second rotor 14 should have lobes 28 with a larger included angle than that of the first rotor 12. There are three separate reasons for this (a, b and c as follows):

(a) In a rotary compressor, the uncovered area of the discharge ports 36 becomes less and less as the lobes approach the end of each delivery phase of the rotor cycle (see the rotor positions shown in Fig. 1). If the second rotor 14 is provided with a lobe 28 having a thirty degree (or larger) angle of arc B (Fig. 4), then it can finish its portion of the delivery phase of the cycle (as shown in Fig. 1) prior to the completion of the first rotor lobe delivery. Result: there is less pressure drop through the discharge ports 36 during the last critical phase of each delivery portion of the cycle.

(b) If the second rotor 14 is provided with lobes 28 with the larger thirty degree angle of arc B, then the first rotor 12 (the port controlling rotor) can be provided with thirty degree grooves 30. Result: the discharge ports 36 are uncovered longer by the larger thirty degree grooves 30 in the port controlling first rotor 12. Thus, there is less pressure drop through the discharge ports 36 than if all the grooves 30, 32 and all the lobes 24; 28 were fifteen degrees of arc or less.

(c) A large angle of arc B has a longer leak path for the leakage of air past the lobes 28. Test data show that a long leak path has more flow resistance than a short leak path or a sharp edge. Result: less leakage.

Detailed Description of This Invention — Fig. 5

The cross-section view of an alternative embodiment 10b of the invention (Fig. 5) is taken perpendicular to the axis of the rotors 12a and 14c and midway along the axial width of the rotors. The rotors 12a and 14c shown here are similar to those shown in Fig. 4 except the first rotor 12a is provided with a flat disc 50 mounted on each axial end and rotatable therewith. The purpose of the flat discs is to permit the outer edge 52 of the higher pressure ports 36a to be extended to near the outer radius of the rotor 12a. Thus the port area is approximately doubled so as to permit longer rotors and/or higher RPM. Each end of the second rotor 14c is milled or profiled, along dotted lines 54, so as to interengage with the periphery of a respective disc 50.

The axially intermediate, cross-section profiles of the Fig. 5 rotors 12a and 14c are identical with the cross-section profiles of the Fig. 4 rotors 12 and 14. More specifically, the lobes 24a on the first rotor 12a are small in angle, whereas the lobes 28 on the second rotor 14c are larger in angle.

Detailed Description of This Invention — Fig. 6

Figure 6 shows how the rotors of the novel machine 10, 10b etc., may be modified, in a general way, within the scope and teaching of the invention. As in the other Figures, the port controlling first rotor 12b is shown on the left and the coacting second rotor 14d is on the right.

The first rotor tooth 24b is proportioned with a small included angle 56 (about twenty-six degrees as drawn) for the same reason given for Fig. 4: to prevent precompression, and subsequent throttling of the gas. The tooth is larger in angle at 58 but this has little or no effect on the tooth's ability to prevent precompression. The radial location for measuring the angle 56 is arbitrarily taken at 3/4ths of the way from the pitch circle 60 to the outer radius 62 of the rotor as shown.

The pitch circles of a pair of rotors is defined as follows: If the two rotors rotate at the same rotative speed, then the pitch circles of the two rotors are of equal diameter and each pitch circle has a diameter equal to the distance between the axis of rotation of the two rotors. Each pitch circle has its centre on the axis of rotation of its respective rotor.

The second rotor tooth 28a is proportioned with a large angle 64 (fifty to sixty degrees) for the same reasons given for the large angle "B" in Fig. 4. The radial location for measuring the angle 64 is arbitrarily taken at one fourth of the way from the pitch circle 60a to the outer radius

of the rotor 14d as shown in Fig. 6. This invention therefore teaches the concept of making angle 56 substantially less than angle 64 (for the reasons stated in connection with Fig. 4).

Dump Pockets — Fig. 7

Fig. 7 shows the Fig. 4 rotors at the formation of dump pockets 63. The gas contained in the pockets 63 is only slightly pressurized and in about the next five degrees of rotor rotation this low pressure gas is dumped back to inlet pressure. In the first stage of an air compressor having a pressure ratio of 3 to 1 per stage, the calculated power loss due to dump pockets 63 is less than one tenth of one per cent of the adiabatic work of compression. The reasons for such an unexpectedly low power loss due to dump pockets are: (a) The calculated pressure at dumping is only about 3 PSIG or 123 Pascal, (b) The volume of the dump pockets is 7% of the total displacement, and (c) the power or energy loss is that due to internal compression only as there is no loss due to delivery work since the 3 PSIG or 123 Pascal gas is merely dumped back to inlet pressure and not delivered to a discharge line.

To calculate the energy loss due to dump pockets, proceed as follows: The work of internal compression only (no delivery) is

$$\frac{P_2 V_2 - P_1 V_1}{1 - K}$$

from any text on thermodynamics. Use absolute pressures. Deduct the area below the atmospheric line as this is not a work item.

The Optimum Number of Lobes for Each Rotor is Two — For the Following Four Reasons

1. Double lobe rotors have a net cubic displacement per rotation which is 18% more than for single lobe rotors. This is because single lobe rotors have a dwell period during which no displacement occurs as can be seen in Figs. IV and V of US Patent 3,472,445. More displacement per rotation is a very desirable feature since it increases capacity and reduces per cent leakage and therefore double lobe rotors are (for this reason) preferable over single lobe rotors.

2. There is no point, however, in going to three lobes or four lobes per rotor as this would gain nothing further in displacement since said dwell period is eliminated in going from one lobe per rotor to two lobes per rotor. Three or four lobe rotors would cut down on the angle (and thus area) of the higher pressure ports for a given built-in pressure ratio (a serious disadvantage). Further, three or four lobe rotors would be more expensive to make and more critical to time with timing gears.

3. If the rotors have two lobes per rotor (instead of one), then the dwell period is

eliminated and the inlet flow (as a compressor) is more steady so as to avoid that start-stop-start-stop flow action.

4. Double lobe rotors have dump pockets (63 Fig. 7) but single lobe rotors do not have dump pockets and thus this led me to believe for several years that single lobe rotors were superior to double lobe rotors. Later on however, I calculated that the power loss due to dump pockets is less than one tenth of one per cent of the adiabatic work of compression — a negligible amount at previously described.

Even after deducting for the dump pockets 63, the displacement of double lobe rotors is still 18% greater than single lobe rotors.

Claims

1. A rotary, positive displacement machine (10), with interengaging rotors (12, 14) having hubs (22, 26) with grooves (30, 32) and co-operating lobes (24, 28) adapted to handle a working fluid, comprising a casing structure (20) having a pair of intersecting bores (16, 18), a first rotor (12) mounted for rotation in one of said bores (16); a second rotor (14) mounted for rotation in the other of said bores (18); timing gear means constraining said two rotors (12, 14) to rotate in timed, interengaging relation; said casing structure (20) having a high pressure port (36) for the flow therethrough of working fluid at high pressure; said casing structure (20) further having a low pressure port (34) for the flow of the working fluid there-through at lower pressure; said high pressure port (36) being located in an end wall of said one bore (16); said first rotor (12) having means for alternately wholly covering and uncovering said high pressure port (36), to control flow of working fluid through said high pressure port (36); said first rotor (12) further having *exactly* two lobes (24); and said second rotor (14) also having *exactly* two lobes (28); said lobes (24, 28) on said first and second rotors (12, 14) having peripheral, circumferentially-extended surfaces which define close-clearance interfaces with inner surfaces of this respective bores (16, 18) characterised in that said peripheral surfaces of said lobes (28) of said second rotor (14) each occupy an angle (B) of approximately twice that of said peripheral surfaces of said lobes (24) of said first rotor (12); and said high pressure port (36) is covered and uncovered only by said first rotor (12).

2. A rotary, positive displacement machine, according to Claim 1, wherein the angle (A) of said peripheral surfaces of said first rotor lobes (24) is a maximum of 35 degrees of arc, each; and said angle (B) of said peripheral surfaces of said second rotor lobes (28) is a minimum of 50 degrees of arc, each.

3. A rotary, positive displacement machine, according to Claim 1, wherein said angle (A) of said peripheral surfaces of said first rotor lobes (24) is not less than approximately five degrees



14

of the rotor 14d as shown in Fig. 6. This invention therefore teaches the concept of making angle 56 substantially less than angle 64 (for the reasons stated in connection with Fig. 4).

Dump Pockets — Fig. 7

Fig. 7 shows the Fig. 4 rotors at the formation of dump pockets 63. The gas contained in the pockets 63 is only slightly pressurized and in about the next five degrees of rotor rotation this low pressure gas is dumped back to inlet pressure. In the first stage of an air compressor having a pressure ratio of 3 to 1 per stage, the calculated power loss due to dump pockets 63 is less than one tenth of one per cent of the adiabatic work of compression. The reasons for such an unexpectedly low power loss due to dump pockets are: (a) The calculated pressure at dumping is only about 3 PSIG or 123 Pascal, (b) The volume of the dump pockets is 7% of the total displacement, and (c) the power or energy loss is that due to internal compression only as there is no loss due to delivery work since the 3 PSIG or 123 Pascal gas is merely dumped back to inlet pressure and not delivered to a discharge line.

To calculate the energy loss due to dump pockets, proceed as follows: The work of internal compression only (no delivery) is

$$\frac{P_2 V_2 - P_1 V_1}{1 - K}$$

from any text on thermodynamics. Use absolute pressures. Deduct the area below the atmospheric line as this is not a work item.

The Optimum Number of Lobes for Each Rotor is Two — For the Following Four Reasons

1. Double lobe rotors have a net cubic displacement per rotation which is 18% more than for single lobe rotors. This is because single lobe rotors have a dwell period during which no displacement occurs as can be seen in Figs. IV and V of US Patent 3,472,445. More displacement per rotation is a very desirable feature since it increases capacity and reduces per cent leakage and therefore double lobe rotors are (for this reason) preferable over single lobe rotors.

2. There is no point, however, in going to three lobes or four lobes per rotor as this would gain nothing further in displacement since said dwell period is eliminated in going from one lobe per rotor to two lobes per rotor. Three or four lobe rotors would cut down on the angle (and thus area) of the higher pressure ports for a given built-in pressure ratio (a serious disadvantage). Further, three or four lobe rotors would be more expensive to make and more critical to time with timing gears.

3. If the rotors have two lobes per rotor (instead of one), then the dwell period is

eliminated and the inlet flow (as a compressor) is more steady so as to avoid that start-stop-start-stop flow action.

4. Double lobe rotors have dump pockets (63 Fig. 7) but single lobe rotors do not have dump pockets and thus this led me to believe for several years that single lobe rotors were superior to double lobe rotors. Later on however, I calculated that the power loss due to dump pockets is less than on tenth of one per cent of the adiabatic work of compression — a negligible amount at previously described.

Even after deducting for the dump pockets 63, the displacement of double lobe rotors is still 18% greater than single lobe rotors.

Claims

1. A rotary, positive displacement machine (10), with interengaging rotors (12, 14) having hubs (22, 26) with grooves (30, 32) and co-operating lobes (24, 28) adapted to handle a working fluid, comprising a casing structure (20) having a pair of intersecting bores (16, 18), a first rotor (12) mounted for rotation in one of said bores (16); a second rotor (14) mounted for rotation in the other of said bores (18); timing gear means constraining said two rotors (12, 14) to rotate in timed, interengaging relation; said casing structure (20) having a high pressure port (36) for the flow therethrough of working fluid at high pressure; said casing structure (20) further having a low pressure port (34) for the flow of the working fluid there-through at lower pressure; said high pressure port (36) being located in an end wall of said one bore (16); said first rotor (12) having means for alternately wholly covering and uncovering said high pressure port (36), to control flow of working fluid through said high pressure port (36); said first rotor (12) further having *exactly* two lobes (24); and said second rotor (14) also having *exactly* two lobes (28); said lobes (24, 28) on said first and second rotors (12, 14) having peripheral, circumferentially-extended surfaces which define close-clearance interfaces with inner surfaces of this respective bores (16, 18) characterised in that said peripheral surfaces of said lobes (28) of said second rotor (14) each occupy an angle (B) of approximately twice that of said peripheral surfaces of said lobes (24) of said first rotor (12); and said high pressure port (36) is covered and uncovered only by said first rotor (12).

2. A rotary, positive displacement machine, according to Claim 1, wherein the angle (A) of said peripheral surfaces of said first rotor lobes (24) is a maximum of 35 degrees of arc, each; and said angle (B) of said peripheral surfaces of said second rotor lobes (28) is a minimum of 50 degrees of arc, each.

3. A rotary, positive displacement machine, according to Claim 1, wherein said angle (A) of said peripheral surfaces of said first rotor lobes (24) is not less than approximately five degrees

of arc, and not more than approximately nineteen degrees of arc; and said angle (B) of said peripheral surfaces of said second rotor lobes (28) is not less than approximately twenty-one degrees of arc, and not more than approximately fifty degrees of arc.

4. A rotary, positive displacement machine, according to any one of Claims 1 to 3, wherein each hub (22, 26) has two grooves (30, 32) therein; each groove (30, 32) being located adjacent a respective lobe (24, 28); said hubs (22, 26) being profiled so as to rotate in sealing relation to each other during a portion of each rotation; and wherein said grooves (30) in said hub (22) of said first rotor (12) each occupy an angle of approximately twice that of said peripheral surfaces of said lobes (24) of said first rotor (12).

5. A rotary, positive displacement machine, according to Claim 4, wherein said high pressure port (36) occupies an angle approximately corresponding to the angle (B) occupied by each of said peripheral surfaces of said lobes (28) of said second rotor (14).

6. A rotary, positive displacement machine, according to Claim 4, wherein said high pressure port (36) occupies an angle substantially corresponding to the angle occupied by each of said grooves (30) in said hub (22) of said first rotor (12).

7. A rotary positive displacement machine as claimed in any one of Claims 1 to 6, wherein

each said lobe (24, 28) is adapted to interengage with a respective groove (30, 32) in the opposite rotor hub (22, 26) as the rotors (12, 14) rotate; the amount of the built-in-compression (expansion) ratio is determined by the angular extent of said higher pressure port (36); said two rotors (12, 14) being adapted to rotate at equal R.P.M. in opposite directions of rotation; the diameter of the pitch circle of each rotor (12, 14) is equal to the distance between the axes of rotation of the two rotors (12, 14); each said pitch circle having its center at the axis of its respective rotor (12, 14); each of said lobes (24, 26) having profiles which are concave on one face of the lobe (24, 26) and partly convex on the other face of the lobe (24, 26); said convex face lying outside the pitch circle of their respective rotor (12, 14); said rotors (12, 14) comprising means defining two low pressure dump pockets (63) per rotor rotation; each said dump pocket (63) being bounded by said concave faces of two lobes (24, 26) each of said dump pockets (63) dumping slightly pressurized working fluid back to lower inlet pressure when operating as a compressor machine.

8. A rotary positive displacement machine, as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein the said included angle (56) of the first rotor lobes (24b) is measured at a radial location which is three quarters of the radial distance from the rotor pitch circle to the outer radius of the rotor; and wherein the said

included angle (64) of the second rotor lobes (28a) is measured at a radial location which is one fourth of the radial distance from the rotor pitch circle to the outer radius of the rotor (14d).

Revendications

1. Machine rotative à déplacement positif (10), comprenant des rotors (12, 14) s'engageant l'un dans l'autre et présentant des moyeux (22, 26) pourvus de gorges (30, 32) et de lobes (24, 28) coopérant avec les gorges, adaptés pour fonctionner avec un fluide de travail, comportant un carter (20) ayant une paire d'alésages (16, 18) se recoupant, un premier rotor (12) monté à rotation dans l'un des alésages (16), un second rotor (14) monté à rotation dans l'autre de ces alésages (18), un train d'engrenages accouplant les deux rotors (12, 14) et les amenant à tourner en synchronisme et en relation d'engagement mutuel, le carter (20) présentant une lumière à haute pression (36) pour l'écoulement à travers elle du fluide de travail à pression élevée, le carter (20) comportant en outre une lumière à basse pression pour l'écoulement à travers elle du fluide de travail à une pression plus basse, la lumière à haute pression (36) étant prévue dans une paroi, frontale du premier alésage (16) contenant le premier rotor (12), le premier rotor (12) comportant des moyens pour masquer et démasquer totalement, d'une manière alternative, la lumière à haute pression (36), afin de commander l'écoulement du fluide de travail à travers cette lumière à haute pression (36), le premier rotor (12) comportant en outre *exactement* deux lobes (24) et le second rotor (14) comportant également *exactement* deux lobes (28), les lobes (24, 28) prévus sur les premier et second rotors (12, 14) présentant des surfaces périphériques, s'étendant circonférentiellement, qui définissent des interfaces à très faible jeu avec des surfaces internes de leurs alésages respectifs (16, 18), caractérisée en ce que les surfaces périphériques des lobes (28) du second rotor (14) occupent chacune un angle (B) qui est égal approximativement au double de celui des surfaces périphériques des lobes (24) du premier rotor (12), et la lumière à haute pression (36) est masquée et démasquée uniquement par le premier rotor (12).

2. Machine rotative à déplacement positif, suivant la revendication 1, caractérisée en ce que l'angle (A) des surfaces périphériques des lobes (24) du premier rotor s'étend sur un arc maximal de 35°, sur chaque lobe, et l'angle (B) des surfaces périphériques des lobes (28) du second rotor s'étend sur un arc d'au moins 50°, sur chaque lobe.

3. Machine rotative à déplacement positif, suivant la revendication 1, caractérisée en ce que l'angle (A) des surfaces périphériques des lobes (24) du premier rotor n'est pas inférieur à environ cinq degrés d'arc et il n'est pas supérieur à environ dix-neuf degrés d'arc; tandis que

l'angle (B) des surfaces périphériques des lobes (28) du second rotor n'est pas inférieur à environ vingt-et-un degrés d'arc et n'est pas supérieur à environ cinquante degrés d'arc.

4. Machine rotative à déplacement positif, suivant l'une quelconque des revendications 1 à 3, caractérisée en ce que chaque moyeu (22, 26) comporte deux gorges (30, 32), chacune de ces gorges (30, 32) étant adjacente à un lobe respectif (24, 28), les moyeux étant profilés de manière à tourner en établissant une jonction étanche l'un avec l'autre pendant une partie de chaque tour, et chacune des gorges (30) du moyeu (22) du premier rotor (12) occupe un angle égal approximativement au double des surfaces périphériques des lobes (24) du premier rotor (12).

5. Machine rotative à déplacement positif, suivant la revendication 4, caractérisée en ce que la lumière à haute pression (36) occupe un angle correspondant approximativement à l'angle (B) occupé par chacune des surfaces périphériques des lobes (28) du second rotor (14).

6. Machine rotative à déplacement positif, suivant la revendication 4, caractérisée en ce que la lumière à haute pression (36) occupe un angle correspondant sensiblement à l'angle occupé par chaque gorge (30) dans le moyeu (22) du premier rotor (12).

7. Machine rotative à déplacement positif, suivant l'une quelconque des revendications 1 à 6, caractérisée en ce que chaque lobe (24, 28) est adapté de manière à s'engager dans une gorge respective (30, 32) dans le moyeu (22, 26) du rotor opposé, lorsque les rotors (12, 14) tournent l'amplitude du taux de compression (expansion) interne est déterminée par l'extension angulaire de la lumière à haute pression (36), les deux rotors étant adaptés de manière à tourner à la même vitesse dans des sens opposés; le diamètre du cercle primitif de chaque rotor (12, 14) est égal à la distance entre les axes de rotation des deux rotors (12, 14); chaque cercle primitif a son centre sur l'axe du rotor respectif (12, 14); chacun des lobes (24, 26) présente des profils qui sont concaves sur une face du lobe (24, 26) et partiellement convexes sur l'autre face du lobe (24, 26); les faces convexes de chaque lobe se trouvent à l'extérieur du cercle primitif du rotor respectif (12, 14); et les rotors (12, 14) comprennent des moyens définissant deux poches tampons (63) à basse pression par tour des rotors, chacune des poches (63) étant délimitée par les faces concaves de deux lobes (24, 26) et assurant la détente du fluide de travail légèrement sous pression pour le ramener à la pression d'admission plus basse lorsque la machine fonctionne en compresseur.

8. Machine rotative à déplacement positif, suivant l'une quelconque des revendications précédentes, caractérisée en ce que l'angle au centre (56) des lobes (24b) du premier rotor est mesuré en un emplacement, dans le sens radial,

qui se trouve aux trois quarts de la distance radiale en partant du cercle primitif du rotor vers le cercle périphérique externe de ce rotor; et l'angle au centre (64) des lobes (28a) du second rotor est mesuré en un emplacement, dans le sens radial, qui se trouve au quart de la distance radiale en partant du cercle primitif du rotor jusqu'au cercle périphérique externe du rotor (14d).

Patentansprüche

1. Rotationsverdrängungsmaschine (10) mit ineinandergreifenden Rotoren (12, 14), welche Naben (22, 26) mit Aussparungen (30, 32) und zusammenwirkende der Behandlung eines Arbeitsfluids angepaßte Vorsprünge (24, 28) besitzen, bestehend aus einem Gehäuse (20) mit einem Paar von sich schneidenden Bohrungen (16, 18); einem ersten in einer der Bohrungen (16) drehbar angebrachten Rotor (12); einem zweiten drehbar in der anderen der Bohrungen (18) angebrachten Rotor (14); einem Steuerungsgetriebe, welches die beiden Rotoren (12, 14) zur Rotation in synchronisierter ineinandergreifender Relation zwingt; das Gehäuse (20) besitzt eine Hochdruckdurchgangsöffnung (36) für den Durchfluß des Arbeitsfluids bei hohem Druck; das Gehäuse (20) besitzt ferner eine Niederdruckdurchgangsöffnung (34) für den Durchfluß des Arbeitsfluids bei Niederdruck; die Hochdruckdurchgangsöffnung (36) ist in einer Endwandung der einen Bohrung (16) angeordnet; der erste Rotor (12) besitzt Mittel für abwechselndes vollständiges Abdecken und Aufdecken der Hochdruckdurchgangsöffnung (36), zur Steuerung des Durchflusses des Arbeitsfluids durch die Hochdruckdurchgangsöffnung (36); der erste Rotor (12) besitzt weiterhin *genau* zwei Vorsprünge (28); der zweite Rotor (14) besitzt ebenfalls *genau* zwei Vorsprünge (28); die Vorsprünge (24, 28) am ersten und zweiten Rotor (12, 14) besitzen an der Außenseite befindliche, sich in Umfangsrichtung erstreckende Flächen, welche mit engem Spiel Grenzflächen mit inneren Flächen ihrer zugehörigen Bohrungen (16, 18) bilden, dadurch gekennzeichnet, daß die an der Außenseite befindlichen Flächen der Vorsprünge (28) des zweiten Rotors (14) jeweils einen Winkel (B) einnehmen, der annähernd zweimal so groß ist wie derjenige (A) der an der Außenseite befindlichen Flanken der Vorsprünge (24) des ersten Rotors (12); und daß die Hochdruckdurchgangsöffnung (36) nur durch den ersten Rotor (12) abgedeckt und aufgedeckt ist.

2. Rotationsverdrängungsmaschine nach Anspruch 1, dadurch gekennzeichnet, daß der Winkel (A) der an der Außenseite befindlichen Flächen der Vorsprünge (24) des ersten Rotors jeweils maximal 35 Kreisgrade einnimmt; und daß der Winkel (B) der an der Außenseite befindlichen Flächen der Vorsprünge (28) des zweiten Rotors jeweils minimal 50 Kreisgrade einnimmt.

Winkel (A) der an der Außenseite befindlichen Flächen der Vorsprünge (24) des ersten Rotors nicht kleiner als annähernd fünf Kreisgrade und nicht größer als annähernd neunzehn Kreisgrade ist; und daß der Winkel (B) der an der Außenseite befindlichen Flächen der Vorsprünge (28) des zweiten Rotors nicht kleiner als annähernd einundzwanzig Kreisgrade und nicht größer als annähernd fünfzig Kreisgrade ist.

4. Rotationsverdrängungsmaschine nach einem der Ansprüche 1 bis 3, dadurch gekennzeichnet, daß jede Nabe (22, 26) zwei darin angebrachte Aussparungen (30, 32) besitzt; jede Aussparung (30, 32) ist einem jeweiligen Vorsprung (24, 28) benachbart angeordnet; die Naben (22, 26) sind profiliert, derart, daß die während eines Teils jeder Rotation abgedichtet zueinander rotieren; und daß die Aussparungen (30) in der Nabe (22) des ersten Rotors (12) jeweils einen Winkel einnehmen, der annähernd dem Zweifachen desjenigen der an der Außenseite befindlichen Flächen der Vorsprünge (24) des ersten Rotors (12) entspricht.

5. Rotationsverdrängungsmaschine nach Anspruch 4, dadurch gekennzeichnet, daß die Hochdruckdurchgangsöffnung (36) einen Winkel einnimmt, der annähernd dem Winkel (B) entspricht, der von jeder der an der Außenseite befindlichen Flächen der Vorsprünge (28) des zweiten Rotors (14) eingenommen wird.

6. Rotationsverdrängungsmaschine nach Anspruch 4, dadurch gekennzeichnet, daß die Hochdruckdurchgangsöffnung (36) einen Winkel einnimmt, der dem Winkel im wesentlichen entspricht, der von jeder der Aussparungen (30) in der Nabe (22) des ersten Rotors (12) eingenommen wird.

7. Rotationsverdrängungsmaschine nach einem der Ansprüche 1 bis 6, dadurch gekennzeichnet,

in der gegenüberliegenden Rotornabe (22, 26) bei der Rotation der Rotoren (12, 14) ausgebildet ist; der Betrag des inneren Kompressions (Expansions)-Verhältnisses ist durch die Winkelerstreckung der Hochdruckdurchgangsöffnung (36) festgelegt; die beiden Rotoren (12, 14) sind zur Rotation mit gleicher Umdrehungszahl in gegensinnigen Drehrichtungen vorgesehen; der Durchmesser des Teilkreises jeden Rotors (12, 14) ist gleich dem Abstand zwischen den Rotationsachsen der beiden Rotoren (12, 14); jeder der Teilkreise hat seinen Mittelpunkt auf der Achse seines jeweiligen Rotors (12, 14); jeder der Vorsprünge (24, 26) besitzt Profile, welche an einer Stirnfläche der Vorsprünge (24, 26) konkav und an der anderen Stirnfläche der Vorsprünge (24, 26) teilweise konvex sind; die konvexen Stirnflächen liegen außerhalb des Teilkreises ihres jeweiligen Rotors (12, 14); die Rotoren (12, 14) umfassen Mittel zur Bildung zweier Niederdrucktaschen (63) je Rotordrehung; jede der Taschen (63) ist durch die konkaven Stirnflächen zweier Vorsprünge (24, 26) begrenzt, wobei beim Arbeiten Als Kompressionsmaschine jede der Taschen (63) geringfügig unter Druck gesetztes Arbeitsfluid zum niedrigeren Einlaßdruck zurückentspannt.

8. Rotationsverdrängungsmaschine nach einem der vorangehenden Ansprüche, dadurch gekennzeichnet, daß der einbeschriebene Winkel (56) der Vorsprünge (24b) des ersten Rotors an einem Radialort gemessen wird, welcher dreiviertel des Radialabstandes vom Rotorteilkreis zu dem Außenradius des Rotors beträgt; und daß der einbeschriebene Winkel (64) der Vorsprünge (28a) des zweiten Rotors an einem Radialort gemessen wird, welcher ein Viertel des Radialabstandes vom Rotorteilkreis zu dem Außenradius des Rotors (14d) beträgt.

45

50

55

60

65

9