

TITLE

METHODS AND COMPOSITIONS FOR REDUCING OR PREVENTING VASCULAR CALCIFICATION DURING PERITONEAL DIALYSIS THERAPY

BACKGROUND

[0001] The present disclosure relates generally to medical treatments. More specifically, the present disclosure relates to methods and compositions for reducing, preventing or reducing the progression of vascular calcification in patients in conjunction with peritoneal dialysis therapy and the replacement of deficient pyrophosphate levels.

[0002] Due to disease, insult or other causes, a person's renal system can fail. In renal failure of any cause, there are several physiological derangements. The balance of water, minerals and the excretion of daily metabolic load are no longer possible in renal failure. During renal failure, toxic end products of nitrogen metabolism (e.g., urea, creatinine, uric acid and others) can accumulate in blood and tissues.

[0003] Kidney failure and reduced kidney function have been treated with dialysis. Dialysis removes waste, toxins and excess water from the body that would otherwise have been removed by normal functioning kidneys. Dialysis treatment for replacement of kidney functions is critical to many people because the treatment is life saving. One who has failed kidneys could not continue to live without replacing at least the filtration functions of the kidneys.

[0004] Past studies have shown that end stage renal disease ("ESRD") patients are deficient in pyrophosphate. Pyrophosphate may be instrumental in prevention of calcification of soft tissues and pyrophosphate deficiencies may be a risk factor in vascular calcification and calciphylaxis. The potential use of exogenously delivered pyrophosphate as a treatment or preventative, or indeed the verification of its role in preventing vascular calcification in vivo has not, however, been clearly demonstrated or actively pursued.

[0005] The stability of pyrophosphate is such that oral delivery of the molecule is not preferred because of low bioavailability through this administration route. Subcutaneous injection of pyrophosphate has been explored but development of skin necrosis makes this administration route not preferable.

[0006] Bisphosphonates, more chemically stable analogs of pyrophosphate, have been explored for the treatment of vascular calcification. Because their primary route of

elimination is through the kidney and they are quite stable compounds, bisphosphonates can accumulate to toxic levels in patients with compromised or no kidney function. While a number of these analogs are currently used to treat osteoporosis, their use in end stage renal disease is contraindicated because patients cannot excrete the drug and unlike pyrophosphate they are not broken down by the ubiquitous circulating pyrophosphate degradative enzymes such as alkaline phosphatase. Their accumulation is thought to result in softening of bone thereby reducing their applicability.

SUMMARY

[0007] The present disclosure relates to methods and compositions for reducing, preventing or reducing the progression of vascular calcification in patients. In a first aspect, the present invention refers to the use of pyrophosphate ranging between 30 μM and 150 μM in the manufacture of a medicament for reducing, preventing or reducing the progression of vascular calcification in a patient wherein the pyrophosphate is comprised in a dialysis solution and wherein the solution is to be administered to the patient during peritoneal dialysis therapy. In a second aspect, the present invention refers to a dialysis solution comprising a therapeutically effective amount of pyrophosphate ranging between 30 μM and 150 μM . In a third aspect, the present invention refers to a multi-part dialysis product comprising: a first part comprising at least one of a concentrated pyrophosphate solution or a pyrophosphate powder; and a second part comprising a dialysis solution, the combination of the first part and the second part forming a mixed solution comprising a therapeutically effective amount of pyrophosphate ranging between 30 μM and 150 μM . In a fourth aspect, the present invention refers to a solution comprising a therapeutically effective amount of pyrophosphate ranging between 30 μM and 150 μM . In a fifth aspect, the present invention refers to a multi-part dialysis product comprising: a first part comprising at least one of a concentrated pyrophosphate solution or a pyrophosphate powder; and a second part comprising a dialysis solution, wherein the first part is stored in a separate container from the second part, the combination of the first part and the second part capable of forming a mixed solution comprising a therapeutically effective amount of pyrophosphate ranging between 30 μM and 150 μM . In a sixth aspect, the present invention refers to the use of pyrophosphate ranging between 30 μM and 150 μM in the manufacture of a medicament for reducing, preventing or reducing the progression of vascular calcification in a patient, wherein the patient is to be administered during peritoneal dialysis therapy at least one of a concentrated pyrophosphate solution or a pyrophosphate powder that is diluted prior to or during the administration to provide the patient a therapeutically effective amount of pyrophosphate. In a general example, the method comprises administering to a patient during peritoneal dialysis

therapy a dialysis solution including a therapeutically effective amount of pyrophosphate ranging between about 30 μM and about 400 μM . Formulations of dialysis solutions according to the dose ranges claimed in the present disclosure allow therapeutically effective amounts of pyrophosphate to be delivered to peritoneal dialysis therapy patients. The therapeutically effective amounts of pyrophosphate are sufficient to be maintained in the patient's body to reduce, prevent or reduce the progression of vascular calcification without adversely affecting the patient. The peritoneal dialysis therapy can be, for example, automated peritoneal dialysis, continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis or continuous flow peritoneal dialysis.

[0008] In an example of the method, the pyrophosphate ranges between about 30 μM and about 300 μM in the dialysis solution. The dialysis solution can be in the form of a single solution, a concentrate that is subsequently diluted, or a multi-part dialysis product. The pyrophosphate can be pyrophosphoric acid, salt of pyrophosphate or a combination thereof. In an example, the pyrophosphate is tetra sodium pyrophosphate.

[0009] In an example of the method, the dialysis solution includes one or more dialysis components including osmotic agents, buffers, electrolytes or a combination thereof. The osmotic agent can be glucose, glucose polymers, glucose polymer derivatives, cyclodextrins, modified starch, hydroxyethyl starch, polyols, fructose, amino acids, peptides, proteins, amino sugars, glycerol, N-acetyl glucosamine or a combination thereof. The buffer

can be bicarbonate, lactate, pyruvate, acetate, citrate, tris, amino acids, peptides, an intermediate of the KREBS cycle or a combination thereof.

[0010] In another example, the present disclosure provides a dialysis solution including a therapeutically effective amount of pyrophosphate ranging between about 30 μM and about 400 μM . In an alternative example, the pyrophosphate ranges between about 30 μM and about 300 μM . The dialysis solution can be in the form of a single solution, a concentrate or a multi-part dialysis product. The pyrophosphate can be pyrophosphoric acid, salt of pyrophosphate or a combination thereof. In an example, the pyrophosphate is tetra sodium pyrophosphate.

[0011] In an example of the dialysis solution, the solution further includes one or more dialysis components such as osmotic agents, buffers, electrolytes or a combination thereof. The osmotic agent can be glucose, glucose polymers, glucose polymer derivatives, cyclodextrins, modified starch, hydroxyethyl starch, polyols, fructose, amino acids, peptides, proteins, amino sugars, glycerol, N-acetyl glucosamine or a combination thereof. The buffer can be bicarbonate, lactate, pyruvate, acetate, citrate, tris, amino acids, peptides, an intermediate of the KREBS cycle or a combination thereof.

[0012] In an example of the dialysis solution, the dialysis solution can be in the form of at least two dialysis parts housed separately and the pyrophosphate is present with at least one of the dialysis parts and sterilized with said dialysis part.

[0013] In an alternative example, the present disclosure provides a multi-part dialysis product including a first part having a concentrated pyrophosphate solution and/or a pyrophosphate powder, and a second part having a dialysis solution. The combination of the first part and the second part form a mixed solution having a therapeutically effective amount of pyrophosphate ranging between about 30 μM and about 400 μM .

[0014] In another example, the present disclosure provides a solution comprising a therapeutically effective amount of pyrophosphate ranging between about 30 μM and about 400 μM .

[0015] In yet another example, the present disclosure provides a method of reducing, preventing or reducing the progression of vascular calcification in a patient. The method comprises administering to the patient during peritoneal dialysis therapy a concentrated pyrophosphate solution and/or a pyrophosphate powder that is diluted prior to or during the administration to provide the patient a therapeutically effective amount of pyrophosphate ranging between about 30 μM and about 400 μM . The concentrated

pyrophosphate solution can be diluted with a separate dialysis solution prior to or during the administration.

[0016] An advantage of the present disclosure is to provide improved peritoneal dialysis therapies.

[0017] Another advantage of the present disclosure is to provide dialysis solutions including a therapeutically effective amount of pyrophosphate for reducing, preventing or reducing the progression of vascular calcification in patients.

[0018] Yet another advantage of the present disclosure is to provide improved methods of providing dialysis to patients.

[0019] Still another advantage of the present disclosure is to provide improved treatments for reducing, preventing or reducing the progression of vascular calcification in patients as a part of peritoneal dialysis therapies.

[0020] Another advantage of the present disclosure is replacing the “physiologically normal” level of pyrophosphate that was lost as a result of chronic kidney disease, kidney failure and/or dialysis, and required to not only prevent or treat vascular calcification, but also other conditions presently undefined, but requiring the normal physiological level.

[0021] Additional features and advantages are described herein, and will be apparent from, the following Detailed Description and the figures.

DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES

[0022] FIG. 1 shows plasma pyrophosphate concentrations after intravenous administration of 4 ml/kg of 2.25 mM pyrophosphate solution (open squares) or intraperitoneal administration of 60 ml/kg of 0.150 μ M pyrophosphate solution (open circles).

[0023] FIG. 2 shows in a quantitative manner the ApoE KO/CRF Model: Effect of Daily “Peritoneal Dialysis” with a pyrophosphate additive on Development of Calcification (Aortic Total Calcium).

[0024] FIGS. 3A and 3B show in a quantitative manner the ApoE/CRF Model: Effect of Daily “Peritoneal Dialysis” with a pyrophosphate additive on Development of Calcification (Aortic Valve Calcification).

[0025] FIG. 4 shows in a quantitative manner the ability of the doses tested in a pyrophosphate-containing solution to block the development of vascular calcification.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

[0026] The present disclosure relates to methods and compositions for reducing, preventing or reducing the progression of vascular calcification in patients. For example, the dialysis solutions in embodiments of the present disclosure are formulated to reduce, prevent or reduce the progression of vascular calcification due to pyrophosphate deficiencies or inadequacies in patients who have chronic renal disease, renal failure and/or are undergoing peritoneal dialysis therapies. The pyrophosphate is provided in a therapeutically effective amount in the dialysis solution so that it will remain at an effective level within the patient and will not adversely affect the health of the patient.

[0027] In a general embodiment, the present disclosure provides a method of reducing, preventing or reducing the progression of vascular calcification in a patient. The method comprises administering to the patient during peritoneal dialysis therapy a dialysis solution including a therapeutically effective amount of pyrophosphate ranging between about 30 μM and about 400 μM . The patient may have, or be prone to, vascular calcification or have a pyrophosphate deficiency. Formulations of dialysis solutions, according to the dose ranges claimed in the present disclosure, allow therapeutic amounts of pyrophosphate to be delivered to peritoneal dialysis patients.

[0028] In another embodiment, the present disclosure provides a dialysis solution including a therapeutically effective amount of pyrophosphate ranging between about 30 μM and about 400 μM . More specifically, the amount of the pyrophosphate can be about 30 μM , 35 μM , 40 μM , 45 μM , 50 μM , 55 μM , 60 μM , 65 μM , 70 μM , 75 μM , 80 μM , 85 μM , 90 μM , 95 μM , 100 μM , 110 μM , 120 μM , 130 μM , 140 μM , 150 μM , 160 μM , 170 μM , 180 μM , 190 μM , 200 μM , 225 μM , 250 μM , 275 μM , 300 μM , 325 μM , 350 μM , 375 μM , 400 μM and the like. It should be appreciated that any two amounts of the pyrophosphate recited herein can further represent end points in a therapeutically preferred range of the pyrophosphate. For example, the amounts of 40 μM and 150 μM can represent the individual amounts of the pyrophosphate as well as a preferred range of the pyrophosphate in the dialysis solution between about 40 μM and about 150 μM .

[0029] Pharmacokinetic experiments were designed to examine the possibility that, when delivered via a peritoneal dialysis solution, exogenous pyrophosphate might enter the circulation slowly rather than being quickly degraded in the peritoneum and provide for continued administration with the potential for daily elevation of plasma and soft tissue

levels. Studies regarding administration via an intraperitoneal or intravenous route showed that the intravenous delivered dose was available in the circulating plasma in its nearly full amount; however, it rapidly disappeared with a half-life of 5-10 minutes. In contrast, the same overall amount administered by way of a peritoneal dialysis solution through an intraperitoneal route, appeared more slowly in the plasma, peaking at a lower level with about 40% being biologically available and exhibiting a plasma half life of over two hours. With intraperitoneal administration, measurable levels of pyrophosphate persisted over several hours. This suggests the possibility of a superior delivery by the intraperitoneal route for replacing and maintaining pyrophosphate with the methods or formulations described herein.

[0030] It has been surprisingly found that the low end of the therapeutically effective range of pyrophosphate described herein is higher than what the skilled artisan would have understood based on the previous literature. The lower limit of the therapeutic pyrophosphate range in accordance with embodiments of the present disclosure was derived from a combination of data collected from a series of studies. For example, using an animal model that uniquely demonstrates disease similar to that developing in chronic kidney disease and end stage kidney disease on dialysis, it was found that solutions containing 150 μM pyrophosphate produced a complete blockade of vascular calcification. A decline in effectiveness was observed when the pyrophosphate concentration was reduced to 30 μM pyrophosphate indicating that 30 μM pyrophosphate produces some therapeutic effect. The inventors concluded that the lower limit of the therapeutic pyrophosphate range is about 30 μM pyrophosphate as concentrations of 30 μM pyrophosphate and higher are therapeutically effective while concentrations below 30 μM will likely no longer be effective.

[0031] It has also been surprisingly found that the upper end of the therapeutically effective and safe range of pyrophosphate is much lower than what the skilled artisan would have understood based on the previous literature. This was determined from a combination of animal efficacy and dose-finding studies as well as animal toxicity studies. A maximum tolerable dose suitable for administration was determined. At dosage amounts higher than about 400 μM pyrophosphate, the patient may experience adverse health due to toxicological effects. Chronic toxicity studies showed that, whereas systemic toxicity did not occur until pyrophosphate was dosed at upper millimolar concentrations (as reported in the literature), a local effect was observed in dose finding studies at 600 μM pyrophosphate and above and that the no-observed-effect level ("NOEL") was 300 μM pyrophosphate. Based on the absence of observed toxic effects at 300 μM pyrophosphate and the presence of observed

toxic effects at 600 μM pyrophosphate, the inventors concluded that the upper limit of the therapeutic range is about 400 μM pyrophosphate.

[0032] In an alternative embodiment, the methods and dialysis solutions of the present disclosure can be used to replace the “physiologically normal” level of pyrophosphate in a patient that was lost as a result of chronic kidney disease, kidney failure and/or dialysis. The physiologically normal level of pyrophosphate is required to not only prevent or treat vascular calcification, but also other conditions presently undefined, but requiring the normal physiological level.

[0033] The dialysis solutions in any embodiments of the present disclosure can be sterilized using any suitable sterilizing technique such as, for example, autoclave, steam, high pressure, ultra-violet, filtration or combination thereof. The dialysis solutions can also be sterilized before, during or after one or more dialysis components and one or more pyrophosphates are combined.

[0034] The pyrophosphates can be, for example, pyrophosphoric acid, salts of pyrophosphate or combinations thereof. Salts of pyrophosphates include sodium pyrophosphate, potassium pyrophosphate, calcium pyrophosphate, magnesium pyrophosphate, etc. In an embodiment, the pyrophosphate is tetra sodium pyrophosphate.

[0035] In another embodiment, the present disclosure provides a dialysis solution including a therapeutically effective amount of pyrophosphate and one or more dialysis components such as osmotic agents, buffers and electrolytes. The dialysis solutions can preferably contain the dialysis components in an amount to maintain the osmotic pressure of the solution greater than the physiological osmotic pressure (e.g., greater than about 285 mOsmol/kg).

[0036] The osmotic agent can be glucose, glucose polymers (e.g., maltodextrin, icodextrin), glucose polymer derivatives, cyclodextrins, modified starch, hydroxyethyl starch, polyols (e.g., xylitol), fructose, amino acids, peptides, proteins, amino sugars, glycerol, N-acetyl glucosamine or combination thereof. The buffer can include bicarbonate, lactate, pyruvate, acetate, citrate, tris (i.e., trishydroxymethylaminomethane), amino acids, peptides, an intermediate of the KREBS cycle or a combination thereof. The electrolytes can include sodium, potassium, magnesium, calcium, chloride and the like suitable for dialysis treatments.

[0037] The bicarbonate buffer can be an alkaline solution such that the bicarbonate can remain stable without the use of a gas barrier overpouch or the like. The pH of the bicarbonate solution part can be adjusted with any suitable type of ingredient, such as sodium

hydroxide and/or the like. Illustrative examples of the bicarbonate solution of the present disclosure can be found in U.S. Patent No. 6,309,673, entitled BICARBONATE-BASED SOLUTION IN TWO PARTS FOR PERITONEAL DIALYSIS OR SUBSTITUTION IN CONTINUOUS RENAL REPLACEMENT THERAPY, issued on October 30, 2001, the disclosure of which is herein incorporated by reference.

[0038] A variety of different and suitable acidic and/or basic agents can be utilized to adjust the pH of the osmotic, buffer and/or electrolyte solutions or concentrates. The acids can include one or more physiologically acceptable acids, such as lactic acid, pyruvic acid, acetic acid, citric acid, hydrochloric acid and the like. The acids can be in an individual solution having a pH that ranges from about 5 or less, about 4 or less, about 3 or less, about 2 or less, about 1 or less, and any other suitable acidic pH. The use of an organic acid, such as lactic acid, alone or in combination with another suitable acid, such as a suitable inorganic acid including hydrochloric acid, another suitable organic acid (e.g. lactic acid/lactate, pyruvic acid/pyruvate, acetic acid/acetate, citric acid/citrate) and the like in the acid solution can make the solution more physiologically tolerable according to an embodiment.

[0039] In alternative embodiments, the dialysis solution/concentrate can be in the form of a single peritoneal dialysis solution or a multi-part dialysis product including two or more dialysis parts (e.g., individual solutions/concentrates that make up the final dialysis solution when mixed) with each dialysis part including one or more dialysis components. An amount of pyrophosphate can be added to the single peritoneal dialysis solution or one or more of the dialysis parts of the multi-part dialysis product and sterilized with the dialysis part. The two or more dialysis parts can be stored and sterilized separately, for example, in separate containers or a multi-chamber container. When mixed, the resulting dialysis solution has a therapeutically effective amount of pyrophosphate.

[0040] In an alternative embodiment, the present disclosure provides a multi-part dialysis product including a first part having at least one of a concentrated pyrophosphate solution or a pyrophosphate powder, and a second part having a peritoneal dialysis solution. In an embodiment, the multi-part dialysis product is a single container having two separate parts and breaking a barrier or a peelable seal between the two parts of the multi-part dialysis product can make a final mixed solution having a therapeutically effective amount of pyrophosphate ranging between about 30 μ M and about 400 μ M. The dialysis solution can include one or more dialysis components such as osmotic agents, buffers, electrolytes or a combination thereof.

[0041] In another embodiment, the first part having the concentrated pyrophosphate solution or the pyrophosphate powder can be kept in a separate container or cartridge apart from the second part having the peritoneal dialysis solution (e.g., stored in a second container) to be subsequently mixed with the peritoneal dialysis solution at the time of the dialysis therapy using any suitable mixing techniques such as, for example, an automated peritoneal dialysis cycler. In this regard, the combination of the first part and the second part are capable of forming a mixed solution comprising a therapeutically effective amount of pyrophosphate ranging between about 30 μM and about 400 μM that can be administered to the patient.

[0042] It should be appreciated that the individual dialysis parts of the multi-part dialysis solutions can be housed or contained in any suitable manner such that the dialysis solutions can be effectively prepared and administered. A variety of containers can be used to house the two or more dialysis parts, such as separate containers (e.g., vials and bags) that are connected by a suitable fluid communication mechanism. The two or more separate parts of a dialysis solution can be separately sterilized and stored in the containers. In an embodiment, the pyrophosphate can be added to at least one of the dialysis parts and sterilized with that dialysis part. The dialysis part not containing the pyrophosphate can also be sterilized.

[0043] In an embodiment, the dialysis parts can be stored separately, for example, in separate compartments or chambers of the same container (e.g., of a multi- or twin-chambered bag) and combined prior to or during dialysis treatment. An activation of a barrier such as, for example, a peel seal or frangible between the chambers can allow for mixing of the contents of both chambers. The container can be covered with a gas impermeable outer-container. Alternatively, the sterilized dialysis parts can be stored separately and be combined at any time to form a complete ready-to-use dialysis solution as previously discussed.

[0044] As previously discussed, a suitable family of compounds capable of serving as osmotic agents in dialysis solutions is that of glucose polymers or their derivatives, such as icodextrin, maltodextrins, hydroxyethyl starch and the like. While these compounds are suitable for use as osmotic agents, they can be sensitive to low and high pH, especially during sterilization and long-term storage. Glucose polymers, such as icodextrin, can be used in addition to or in place of glucose in peritoneal dialysis solutions. In general, icodextrin is a polymer of glucose derived from the hydrolysis of corn starch. It has a molecular weight of

12-20,000 Daltons. The majority of glucose molecules in icodextrin are linearly linked with α (1-4) glucosidic bonds (>90%) while a small fraction (<10%) is linked by α (1-6) bonds.

[0045] The sterilized dialysis solutions of the present disclosure can be used in a variety of suitable peritoneal dialysis therapies. For example, the dialysis solutions can be used during peritoneal dialysis therapies such as automated peritoneal dialysis, continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis, continuous flow peritoneal dialysis and the like.

[0046] It should be appreciated that the dialysis solutions of the present disclosure can include any other suitable components/ingredients for dialysis treatment in addition to those components described above. In an embodiment, the pH of the single (e.g., mixed) dialysis solutions can have a broad range, preferably between about 4 to about 9. In another embodiment, the pH of the (mixed) dialysis solutions can have a broad range, preferably between about 5 to about 8.

[0047] In yet another embodiment, the present disclosure provides a method of reducing, preventing or reducing the progression of vascular calcification in a patient. The method comprises administering to the patient during peritoneal dialysis therapy at least one of a concentrated pyrophosphate solution or a pyrophosphate powder that is diluted prior to or during the administration to provide the patient a therapeutically effective amount of pyrophosphate ranging between about 30 μ M and about 400 μ M. The concentrated pyrophosphate solution can be diluted with a separate dialysis solution prior to or during the administration. The concentrated pyrophosphate solution can be diluted with the dialysis solution automatically or manually using a suitable dialysis machine.

[0048] The dialysis solutions of the present disclosure can be made by any suitable methods. In an embodiment, the method comprises providing two or more solution parts with at least one part including one or more dialysis components such as an osmotic agent, a buffer or an electrolyte and another part including at least one of a concentrated pyrophosphate solution or a pyrophosphate powder. Alternatively, pyrophosphate in a therapeutically effective range as discussed above can be added to one or more separate dialysis parts of a multi-part dialysis product and sterilized with the dialysis part. The dialysis parts are subsequently mixed to form the final dialysis solution.

[0049] The sterilization can be performed, for example, by autoclave, steam, high pressure, ultra-violet, filtration or combination thereof. The sterilizing can be performed at a temperature and a pH that does not result in significant breakdown of the pyrophosphate in the dialysis solution. For example, a suitable buffer can be used to maintain the pH at a level that minimizes pyrophosphate degradation. In an alternative embodiment, the method

comprises preparing a single dialysis solution including one or more of an osmotic agent, an electrolyte and a buffer along with a therapeutically effective amount of pyrophosphate and sterilizing the dialysis solution.

EXAMPLES

[0050] By way of example and not limitation, the following examples are illustrative of various embodiments of the present disclosure and further illustrate experimental testing conducted with dialysis solutions including pyrophosphates.

EXAMPLE 1

Pharmacokinetic/bioavailability studies

[0051] Fifty-four male Sprague Dawley rats approximately 250 g each were randomized to two groups, intravenous (“IV”) administration and intraperitoneal (“IP”) administration. Both groups received a pyrophosphate (“PPi”) dose of 2.0 mg/kg. Group 1 was administered a 4 mL/kg dose of pH adjusted (7.4) saline solution containing PPi 2.25 mM and P-32 labeled PPi (50 μ Ci, specific activity 84.5 Ci/mmol) via infusion through a tail vein as a single bolus, followed by a 0.2 mL saline flush. Group 2 was administered a 60 mL/kg dose of a 0.15 mM solution of PPi and P-32 labeled PPi (50 μ Ci, specific activity 84.5 Ci/mmol) in PHYSIONEAL® 40 dialysis solution via a single intraperitoneal injection. Blood (IV) and blood (IP) and peritoneal fluid (IP) were collected at various time points through 8 hours post dosing.

[0052] Plasma and peritoneal fluid were analyzed by two methods: a liquid scintillation method for total radioactive amounts and an HPLC method with radioactive detection for separation of pyrophosphate from phosphate and other phosphate-containing compounds.

[0053] Pharmacokinetic parameters were obtained from plasma and peritoneal fluid concentrations using a noncompartmental model. Parameters included determination of the maximum concentration (“ C_{max} ”), time to reach C_{max} (“ T_{max} ”), plasma half-life (“ $t_{1/2}$ ”) and area under the concentration time curve from 0 to last measurable time point (AUC_{0-t}). Bioavailability (“F”) of the IP dose in plasma was calculated using $F\% = (AUC_{IP}/dose_{IP})/(AUC_{IV}/dose_{IV}) \times 100$. Pharmacokinetic data is shown in Tables 1-2.

Table 1: Pharmacokinetic parameters determined from mean plasma concentrations of pyrophosphate following intravenous or intraperitoneal administration.

Parameter	Intravenous	Intraperitoneal
Dose ($\mu\text{g/kg}$)	2035.15	2002.10
C_{max} ($\mu\text{g/mL}$)	7.50	0.248
T_{max} (hr)	0.05	0.44
$t_{1/2}$ (hr)	0.12	2.19
AUC_{0-t} ($\text{hr} \cdot \mu\text{g/mL}$)	1.40	0.529
F%	NA	38.34%

Table 2: Pharmacokinetics of intravenous and intraperitoneal administration of pyrophosphate as measured using radiolabeled pyrophosphate

	Intravenous		Intraperitoneal	
Time point	Mean PPI ($\mu\text{g/mL}$)	Standard Error ($\mu\text{g/mL}$)	Mean PPI ($\mu\text{g/mL}$)	Standard Error ($\mu\text{g/mL}$)
Immediate	7.497	1.765	0.000	0.000
5 min	4.062	1.248	0.104	0.034
10 min	0.951	0.080	0.226	0.021
15 min	0.842	0.157	0.213	0.097
20 min	0.482	0.010	0.197	0.066
25 min	0.231	0.043	0.248	0.052
30 min	0.150	0.032	0.143	0.124
40 min	0.080	0.015	0.184	0.019
50 min	0.043	0.009	0.118	0.009
1 hr	0.021	0.007	0.134	0.025
1.5 hr	0.027	0.025	0.120	0.054

2 hr	0.010	0.017	0.121	0.009
3 hr	0.000	0.000	0.105	0.050
4 hr	0.012	0.021	0.021	0.037
6 hr	0.030	0.026	0.046	0.040
8 hr	0.030	0.009	0.000	0.000

[0054] The data in Tables 1-2 and FIG. 1 provide evidence that intraperitoneal delivery of pyrophosphate results in a protracted delivery of pyrophosphate compared to that obtained by intravenous delivery of pyrophosphate. The protracted delivery is demonstrated by the longer half-life of PPi in plasma obtained by IP delivery (2.19 hours) as opposed to the shorter half-life of PPi in plasma (0.12 hours) obtained with IV delivery. The total delivered dose of pyrophosphate, given by AUC_{0-t} (hr* μ g/mL), is lower in intraperitoneal administration than in intravenous administration. Equivalently, the bioavailability of pyrophosphate delivered intraperitoneally is less than 100% and is seen here to be 38%. The bioavailability of pyrophosphate delivered via intraperitoneal administration constitutes a novel finding. The pharmacokinetic data collected in these studies, and particularly the pyrophosphate bioavailability, demonstrate how one could successfully dose the drug and the limitations of such dosing.

EXAMPLE 2

Creation of vascular calcification as a model of human disease in the dialysis population

[0055] Homozygous apolipoprotein E knockout (apoE^{-/-}) mice were housed in polycarbonate cages in a pathogen-free, temperature-controlled (25 °C) room with a strict 12-hour light/dark cycle and with free access to lab chow and water. All procedures were in accordance with National Institutes of Health ("NIH") guidelines for the care and use of experimental animals (NIH publication No. 85-23).

[0056] Chronic renal failure ("CRF") was created in 8-wk-old female apoE^{-/-} mice, which were then randomly assigned to 4 groups as follows:

- 1) non-CRF - EKO (ApoE knockout) animals (Control group, 6 mice);

- 2) CRF / EKO animals treated with dialysis solution alone, with no PPI (CRF placebo group, 8 mice);
- 3) CRF / EKO animals treated by low dose PPI in dialysis solution (30 μ M, which approximates to 0.21 mg PPI/kg body weight/day) (CRF PPI low dose group, 8 mice); and
- 4) CRF / EKO animals treated high dose of PPI in dialysis solution (150 μ M, which approximates to 1.10 mg PPI/kg body weight/day) (CRF PPI high dose group, 8 mice).

[0057] A 2-step procedure was used to create CRF in the mice at 10 weeks of age. Briefly, at age of 8 weeks, cortical electrocauterisation was applied to the right kidney through a 2-cm flank incision and contralateral total nephrectomy was performed through a similar incision 2 weeks later. Other mice underwent a 2-step procedure of sham operations with decapsulation of both kidneys with a 14-day distance between the two operations. Blood samples were taken 2 weeks after nephrectomy, and intra-peritoneal catheters were implanted at this time.

[0058] Animals of the CRF group with a urea level > 20 mM (confirming renal impairment [normal mouse serum urea, $\leq$ 12 mM]) were subsequently randomized to the 3 CRF subgroups: two CRF subgroups were treated with PPI, at 2 different doses, (1 intra-peritoneal injection/day for 6 days), and one CRF subgroup received the dialysis solution alone for a time period of 8 weeks. At the end of the study, the heart with the aortic root was then separated from ascending aorta. Cryosections of the aortic root tissue were used for quantification of vascular calcification and were used to assess atherosclerotic lesions at the site of the root. The thoracic part of the aorta was stored at -80 °C and used for quantification of calcium content.

[0059] Mice having high LDL will develop atherosclerosis. Those with the added partial nephrectomy will develop kidney impairment and were expected to produce marked vascular calcification of the heart and aorta, thus mimicking the disease seen in many dialysis patients. All groups were treated in a manner to mimic a peritoneal dialysis therapy, with a peritoneal dialysis solution either in the absence of PPI (sham and CRF placebo control groups) or containing two different doses of PPI (as outlined above).

[0060] To determine the effect of PPI treatment via daily peritoneal delivery on vascular calcification ("VC"), total aortic calcium content was first measured. FIG. 2 shows that the creation of CRF induced a significant mean elevation (approximately 65%) of aortic calcification content compared to the sham group. Previous studies have shown that Apo-E

KO mice have slightly elevated levels over the non-KO background strain (i.e., when neither are CRF). Treatment with the highest dose (150 μ M) PPI completely blocked the effect of CRF on elevation of aortic calcium, producing mean values slightly below those in the sham group. A dose effect was observed, because the lower dose of PPI (30 μ M) produced a moderate reduction, that was not statistically significant (FIG. 2).

[0061] Next, a method was employed whereby morphological image processing algorithms were used for the semi-automated measurement of calcification from sections of aorta stained using von Kossa's silver nitrate procedure (FIGS. 3A and 3B). These were acquired at low magnification power on color images. The process was separated into two sequential phases: 1) segmentation to separate the calcification structures and demarcate the region of the atherosclerotic lesion within the tissue, and 2) the quantification. Calcified structures were measured inside and outside the lesion using a granulometric curve that allows the calculation of statistical parameters of size.

[0062] By using this method, quantification of calcification at the aortic root was determined and is shown in FIGS. 3A and 3B. The area of aortic root calcification measured inside the atherosclerotic lesion in the sham operated (non-CRF) group was observed to be greatly increased (approximately 5-fold) in animals with CRF (FIG. 3A). A strong dose-dependent blockade of this calcification was produced following treatment with PPI. The solution containing the high dose of PPI completely prevented the elevation due to CRF, whereas the solution with the low dose inhibited approximately 50% of the calcification inside the lesion. Examination of the calcification outside the lesion, assumed to be predominately medial calcification, was elevated greatly in the CRF group and was totally blocked by both doses of PPI. In both treated groups, the mean level of calcification appeared to be reduced below that of the sham placebo. Differences from the sham placebo were not statistically significant (FIG. 3B).

[0063] Photography of the typical staining is shown in a qualitative form in FIG. 4. Again, this demonstrates not only the ability of the treatment to block calcification but that the higher 150 μ M dose totally blocks calcification whereas the lower 30 μ M concentration produces a reduced but marked reduction in calcification.

[0064] The data in the APOe-KO/CRF mouse model of vascular calcification demonstrated that a concentration of 150 μ M was sufficient to block the formation of all vascular calcification when administered daily in a peritoneal dialysis solution. The effect was reduced but still significant at a concentration of 30 μ M. Consequently, the data from this efficacy study showed that the lower limit of the therapeutic range was about 30 μ M

pyrophosphate as concentrations of 30 μ M pyrophosphate and higher provided therapeutic effectiveness while concentrations below 30 μ M pyrophosphate would likely no longer be effective.

EXAMPLE 3

[0065] A maximum tolerated dose study was conducted according to the study design in Table 3. Solutions that were administered were made up of a dextrose concentrate or a modified dextrose concentrate and a buffer concentrate or a modified buffer concentrate mixed in a 3:1 ratio (dextrose:buffer). The composition of the concentrates is shown in Tables 4–7. The PPi was included in the buffer or modified buffer solutions shown in Tables 5 and 7. Each test or control article was administered intraperitoneally once daily for 7 consecutive days to each of five different female rats at volumes of 40 mL/kg via a butterfly needle as a bolus injection. Necropsy was performed one day after the last dose.

[0066] Tissue samples of the diaphragms from all rats were trimmed, processed, embedded in paraffin, and sectioned. Hematoxylin and eosin stained slides were prepared and examined by light microscopy. Microscopic observations were subjectively graded based on the relative severity of the change: Grade 1 = minimal, Grade 2 = mild, Grade 3 = moderate, grade 4 = marked. Treatment related histopathologic changes in sections of diaphragm were limited to chronic inflammation of the peritoneal surface in rats given ≥ 600 μ M pyrophosphate formulations.

[0067] Table 8 summarizes clinical observations following intraperitoneal administration of disodium pyrophosphate to the rats for seven days. In rats given 600 μ M concentration, the diaphragmatic inflammation was graded mild (Grade 2) and was characterized by subserosal areas, rich in fibroblasts, with modest numbers of mononuclear inflammatory cells involving approximately 5-25% of the thickness of the section. At the 900 μ M concentration, the reaction was mild in 1 rat and moderate in 4 of 5 rats and involved up to 50% of the thickness of the section. The reaction included small numbers of eosinophils and mast cells but otherwise was qualitatively similar to the reaction at 600 μ M. The remaining histopathologic observation in the diaphragms from control and treated rats were considered nonspecific inflammatory or degenerative change not related to the administration of control or test articles.

Table 3

Description	Contents		
	Bottle (A)	Bottle (B)	Final Solution PPi concentration
Buffer Control	75 mls Dextrose concentrate	25 mls Buffer Concentrate	0
Test article 1	75 mls Dextrose concentrate	25 mls Buffer Concentrate	150 μ M
Test Article 2	75 mls Dextrose concentrate	25 mls Buffer Concentrate	300 μ M
Modified Buffer control	75 mls Modified Dextrose concentrate	25 mls Modified Buffer Concentrate	0
Test Article 3	75 mls Modified Dextrose concentrate	25 mls Modified Buffer Concentrate	600 μ M
Test Article 4	75 mls Modified Dextrose concentrate	25 mls Modified Buffer Concentrate	900 μ M

Table 4: Dextrose concentrate

Component	MW (g/mol)	Concentration (mM)	Target Amount (per L)
Dextrose, anhydrous	180.2	n/a	51.53 g
Calcium chloride, dihydrate	147	1.67	0.245 g
Magnesium chloride, hexahydrate	203.3	0.334	0.068 g
Sodium chloride	58.44	114	6.66 g
Hydrochloric acid, 1N	n/a	n/a	8.91 ml
Solution pH	n/a	n/a	pH 2.1
Deionized water	To 1000 ml		

n/a: not applicable

Table 5: Buffer Concentrate

Component	MW (g/mol)	Concentration (mM)	Target Amount (per L)	PPI Conc in Final mixed solution (μM)
Sodium lactate	112.06	60	6.72 g	0
Sodium bicarbonate	84.01	111	9.33 g	
Sodium hydroxide, 1N	n/a	n/a	16.1 mL	
Solution pH	n/a	n/a	pH 9.1	
Di-sodium Pyrophosphate	221.94	0.60 mM	0.14 g	150
Di-sodium Pyrophosphate	221.94	1.20 mM	0.27 g	300

n/a: not applicable

Table 6: Modified dextrose concentrate

Component	MW (g/mol)	Concentration (mM)	Target Amount (per L)
Dextrose, anhydrous	180.2	n/a	51.53 g
Sodium chloride	58.44	94.8	5.54 g
Hydrochloric acid, 1N	n/a	n/a	13.3 mL
Solution pH	n/a	n/a	pH 2.1*
Deionized water	To 1000 ml		

n/a: not applicable

* adjust pH with additional HCl if needed

Table 7: Modified buffer concentrate

Component	MW (g/mol)	Concentration (mM)	Target Amount (per L)	PPi Conc. in Final mixed solution (μM)
Sodium lactate	112.06	60	6.72 g	0
Sodium bicarbonate	84.01	111	9.33 g	
Sodium hydroxide, 1N	n/a	n/a	41.67 mL	
Solution pH	n/a	n/a	pH 9.1*	
Di-sodium Pyrophosphate	221.94	2.40 mM	0.54 g	600
Di-sodium Pyrophosphate	221.94	3.60 mM	0.82 g	900

n/a: not applicable

* adjust pH with additional NaOH if needed

Table 8: Clinical observations following intraperitoneal administration of disodium pyrophosphate to rats for seven days

Dosage (μM)	0	150	300	600	900
Observations	1 animal had mild diffuse subacute inflammation 1 had multifocal myofiber degeneration 1 had red cecum	No histopathological observations No visible lesions	1 animal had minimal diffuse myofiber degeneration No visible lesions	All 5 animals had mild diffuse chronic inflammation of the peritoneum No visible lesions	All 5 animals had moderate diffuse chronic inflammation of the peritoneum. 4 of 5 animals had pale areas on diaphragm

Conclusions

[0068] The intraperitoneal administration of peritoneal dialysis solutions containing disodium pyrophosphate at concentrations of 150, 300, 600, or 900 μM to rats for 7 consecutive days at 40 mL/kg/day produced chronic inflammation of the peritoneal surface of the diaphragm at ≥ 600 μM pyrophosphate concentrations. Under the conditions of this study, the no-observed-effect level for the intraperitoneal administration of peritoneal dialysis solutions containing disodium pyrophosphate for 7 consecutive days was 300 μM at 40 mL/kg/day.

[0069] Prior studies from others on systemic toxicity indicated that doses well up into the millimolar range would be safe. The previous studies confirmed this with IV dosing, but when dosing via peritoneal dialysis, it was surprisingly found that much lower doses (600 μM) could cause intraperitoneal irritation with inflammation when chronically infused indicating additional limitations at concentrations of 600 μM . Based on the absence of observed toxic effects at 300 μM pyrophosphate and the presence of observed toxic effects at 600 μM pyrophosphate, the inventors concluded that the upper limit of the therapeutic range is about 400 μM pyrophosphate.

[0070] It should be understood that various changes and modifications to the presently preferred embodiments described herein will be apparent to those skilled in the art. Such changes and modifications can be made without departing from the spirit and scope of the present subject matter and without diminishing its intended advantages. It is therefore intended that such changes and modifications be covered by the appended claims.

CLAIMS

The invention is claimed as follows:

1. Use of pyrophosphate ranging between 30 μM and 150 μM in the manufacture of a medicament for reducing, preventing or reducing the progression of vascular calcification in a patient wherein the pyrophosphate is comprised in a dialysis solution and wherein the solution is to be administered to the patient during peritoneal dialysis therapy.
2. The use of Claim 1, wherein the dialysis solution comprises a concentrate.
3. The use of Claim 1, wherein the pyrophosphate is selected from the group consisting of pyrophosphoric acid, salt of pyrophosphate and combinations thereof.
4. The use of Claim 1, wherein the pyrophosphate is tetra sodium pyrophosphate.
5. The use of Claim 1, wherein the dialysis solution comprises a dialysis component selected from the group consisting of osmotic agents, buffers, electrolytes and combinations thereof.
6. The use of Claim 5, wherein the osmotic agent is selected from the group consisting of glucose, glucose polymers, glucose polymer derivatives, cyclodextrins, modified starch, hydroxyethyl starch, polyols, fructose, amino acids, peptides, proteins, amino sugars, glycerol, N-acetyl glucosamine and combinations thereof.
7. The use of Claim 5, wherein the buffer is selected from the group consisting of bicarbonate, lactate, pyruvate, acetate, citrate, tris, amino acids, peptides, an intermediate of the KREBS cycle and combinations thereof.
8. The use of Claim 1, wherein the peritoneal dialysis therapy is selected from the group consisting of automated peritoneal dialysis, continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis and continuous flow peritoneal dialysis.
9. A dialysis solution comprising a therapeutically effective amount of pyrophosphate ranging between 30 μM and 150 μM .

10. The dialysis solution of Claim 9, wherein the dialysis solution comprises a concentrate.
11. The dialysis solution of Claim 9, wherein the pyrophosphate is selected from the group consisting of pyrophosphoric acid, salt of pyrophosphate and combinations thereof.
12. The dialysis solution of Claim 9, wherein the pyrophosphate is tetra sodium pyrophosphate.
13. The dialysis solution of Claim 9 comprising a dialysis component selected from the group consisting of osmotic agents, buffers, electrolytes and combinations thereof.
14. The dialysis solution of Claim 13, wherein the osmotic agent is selected from the group consisting of glucose, glucose polymers, glucose polymer derivatives, cyclodextrins, modified starch, hydroxyethyl starch, polyols, fructose, amino acids, peptides, proteins, amino sugars, glycerol, N-acetyl glucosamine and combinations thereof.
15. The dialysis solution of Claim 13, wherein the buffer is selected from the group consisting of bicarbonate, lactate, pyruvate, acetate, citrate, tris, amino acids, peptides, an intermediate of the KREBS cycle and combinations thereof.
16. The dialysis solution of Claim 9 comprising at least two dialysis parts housed separately and the pyrophosphate is present with at least one of the dialysis parts and sterilized with said dialysis part.
17. A multi-part dialysis product comprising:
 - a first part comprising at least one of a concentrated pyrophosphate solution or a pyrophosphate powder; and
 - a second part comprising a dialysis solution, the combination of the first part and the second part forming a mixed solution comprising a therapeutically effective amount of pyrophosphate ranging between 30 μ M and 150 μ M.
18. The multi-part dialysis product of Claim 17, wherein the dialysis solution comprises a dialysis component selected from the group consisting of osmotic agents, buffers, electrolytes and combinations thereof.

19. The multi-part dialysis product of Claim 18, wherein the osmotic agent is selected from the group consisting of glucose, glucose polymers, glucose polymer derivatives, cyclodextrins, modified starch, hydroxyethyl starch, polyols, fructose, amino acids, peptides, proteins, amino sugars, glycerol, N-acetyl glucosamine and combinations thereof.

20. The multi-part dialysis product of Claim 18, wherein the buffer is selected from the group consisting of bicarbonate, lactate, pyruvate, acetate, citrate, tris, amino acids, peptides, an intermediate of the KREBS cycle and combinations thereof.

21. A solution comprising a therapeutically effective amount of pyrophosphate ranging between 30 μM and 150 μM .

22. A multi-part dialysis product comprising:
a first part comprising at least one of a concentrated pyrophosphate solution or a pyrophosphate powder; and
a second part comprising a dialysis solution, wherein the first part is stored in a separate container from the second part, the combination of the first part and the second part capable of forming a mixed solution comprising a therapeutically effective amount of pyrophosphate ranging between 30 μM and 150 μM .

23. Use of pyrophosphate ranging between 30 μM and 150 μM in the manufacture of a medicament for reducing, preventing or reducing the progression of vascular calcification in a patient, wherein the patient is to be administered during peritoneal dialysis therapy at least one of a concentrated pyrophosphate solution or a pyrophosphate powder that is diluted prior to or during the administration to provide the patient a therapeutically effective amount of pyrophosphate.

24. The use of Claim 23, wherein the concentrated pyrophosphate solution is diluted with a separate dialysis solution prior to or during the administration.