

HIGH EFFICIENCY GENE TRANSFER AND EXPRESSION IN MAMMALIAN CELLS BY A MULTIPLE TRANSFECTION PROCEDURE OF MATRIX ATTACHMENT REGION SEQUENCES

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to purified and isolated DNA sequences having protein production increasing activity and more specifically to the use of matrix attachment regions (MARs) for increasing protein production activity in a eukaryotic cell. Also disclosed is a method for the identification of said active regions, in particular MAR nucleotide sequences, and the use of these characterized active MAR sequences in a new multiple transfection method.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Nowadays, the model of loop domain organization of eukaryotic chromosomes is well accepted (Boulikas T, "Nature of DNA sequences at the attachment regions of genes to the nuclear matrix", *J. Cell Biochem.*, 52:14-22, 1993). According to this model chromatin is organized in loops that span 50-100 kb attached to the nuclear matrix, a proteinaceous network made up of RNPs and other nonhistone proteins (Bode J, Stengert-Iber M, Kay V, Schalke T and Dietz-Pfeilstetter A, *Crit. Rev. Euk. Gene Exp.*, 6:115-138, 1996).

The DNA regions attached to the nuclear matrix are termed SAR or MAR for respectively scaffold (during metaphase) or matrix (interphase) attachment regions (Hart C and Laemmli U (1998), "Facilitation of chromatin dynamics by SARs" *Curr Opin Genet Dev* 8, 519-525.)

As such, these regions may define boundaries of independent chromatin domains, such that only the encompassing cis-regulatory elements control the expression of the genes within the domain.

However, their ability to fully shield a chromosomal locus from nearby chromatin elements, and thus confer position-independent gene expression, has not been seen in stably transfected cells (Poljak L, Seum C, Mattioni T and Laemmli U. (1994) "SARs stimulate but do not confer position independent gene expression", *Nucleic Acids Res* 22, 4386-4394). On the other hand, MAR (or S/MAR) sequences have been shown to interact with enhancers to increase local chromatin accessibility (Jenuwein T, Forrester W, Fernandez-Herrero L, Laible G, Dull M, and Grosschedl R. (1997) "Extension of chromatin accessibility by nuclear matrix attachment regions" *Nature* 385, 269-272). Specifically, MAR elements can enhance expression of heterologous genes in cell culture lines (Kalos M and Fournier R (1995) "Position-independent transgene expression mediated by boundary elements from the apolipoprotein B chromatin domain" *Mol Cell Biol* 15, 198-207), transgenic mice (Castilla J, Pintado B, Sola I, Sanchez-Morgado J, and Enjuanes L (1998) "Engineering passive immunity in transgenic mice secreting virus-neutralizing antibodies in milk" *Nat Biotechnol* 16, 349-354) and plants (Allen G, Hall GJ, Michalowski S, Newman W, Spiker S, Weissinger A, and Thompson W (1996), "High-level transgene expression in plant cells: effects of a strong scaffold attachment region from tobacco" *Plant Cell* 8, 899-913). The utility of MAR sequences for developing improved vectors for gene therapy is also recognized (Agarwal M, Austin T, Morel F, Chen J, Bohnlein E, and Plavec I (1998), "Scaffold attachment region-mediated enhancement of retroviral vector expression in primary T

cells" *J Virol* 72, 3720-3728).

Recently, it has been shown that chromatin-structure modifying sequences including MARs, as exemplified by the chicken lysozyme 5' MAR is able to significantly enhance reporter expression in pools of stable Chinese Hamster Ovary (CHO) cells (Zahn-Zabal M, et al., "Development of stable cell lines for production or regulated expression using matrix attachment regions" *J Biotechnol*, 2001, 87(1): p. 29-42). This property was used to increase the proportion of high-producing clones, thus reducing the number of clones that need to be screened. These benefits have been observed both for constructs with MARs flanking the transgene expression cassette, as well as when constructs are co-transfected with the MAR on a separate plasmid. However, expression levels upon co-transfection with MARs were not as high as those observed for a construct in which two MARs delimit the transgene expression unit. A third and preferable process was shown to be the transfection of transgenes with MARs both linked to the transgene and on a separate plasmid (Girod et al., submitted for publication). However, one persisting limitation of this technique is the quantity of DNA that can be transfected per cell. Many multiples transfection protocols have been developed in order to achieve a high transfection efficiency to characterize the function of genes of interest. The protocol applied by Yamamoto et al, 1999 ("High efficiency gene transfer by multiple transfection protocol", *Histochem. J.* 31(4), 241-243) leads to a transfection efficiency of about 80 % after 5 transfections events, whereas the conventional transfection protocol only achieved a rate of <40%. While this technique may be useful when one wishes to increase the proportion of expressing cells, it does not lead to cells with a higher intrinsic productivity. Therefore, it cannot be used to generate high producer monoclonal cell lines. Hence, the previously described technique has two major drawbacks:

- i) this technique does not generate a homogenous population of transfected cells, since it cannot favour the integration of further gene copy, nor does it direct the transgenes to favorable chromosomal loci,
- ii) the use of the same selectable marker in multiple transfection events does not permit the selection of doubly or triply transfected cells.

In patent application WO02/074969, the utility of MARs for the development of stable eukaryotic cell lines has also been demonstrated. However, this application does not disclose neither any conserved homology for MAR DNA element nor any technique for predicting the ability for a DNA sequence to be a MAR sequence.

In fact no clear-cut MAR consensus sequence has been found (Boulikas T, "Nature of DNA sequences at the attachment regions of genes to the nuclear matrix", *J. Cell Biochem.*, 52:14-22, 1993) but evolutionarily, the structure of these sequences seem to be functionally conserved in eukaryotic genomes, since animal MARs can bind to plant nuclear scaffolds and vice versa (Mielke C, Kohwi Y, Kohwi-Shigematsu T and Bode J, "Hierarchical binding of DNA fragments derived from scaffold-attached regions: correlation of properties in vitro and function in vivo", *Biochemistry*, 29:7475-7485, 1990).

The identification of MARs by biochemical studies is a long and unpredictable process; various results can be obtained depending on the assay (Razin SV, "Functional architecture of chromosomal DNA domains", *Crit Rev Eukaryot Gene Expr.*, 6:247-269, 1996). Considering the huge number of expected MARs in a eukaryotic genome and the amount of sequences issued from genome projects, a tool able to filter potential MARs in order to perform targeted experiments would be greatly useful.

Currently two different predictive tools for MARs are available via the Internet. The first one, MAR-Finder (<http://futuresoft.org/MarFinder>; Singh GB, Kramer JA and Krawetz SA, "Mathematical model to predict regions of chromatin attachment to the nuclear matrix", *Nucleic Acid Research*, 25:1419-1425, 1997) is based on set of patterns identified within several MARs and a statistical analysis of the co-occurrence of these patterns. MAR-Finder predictions are dependent of the sequence context, meaning that predicted MARs depend on the context of the submitted sequence. The other predictive software, SMARTest (<http://www.genomatix.de>; Frisch M, Frech K, Klingenhoff A, Cartharius K, Liebich I and Werner T, "In silico prediction of scaffold/matrix attachment regions in large genomic sequences", *Genome Research*, 12:349-354, 2001), use weight-matrices derived from experimentally identified MARs. SMARTest is said to be suitable to perform large-scale analyses. But actually aside its relative poor specificity, the amount of hypothetical MARs rapidly gets huge when doing large scale analyses with it, and in having no way to increase its specificity to restrain the number of hypothetical MARs, SMARTest becomes almost useless to screen for potent MARs from large DNA sequences. Some other softwares, not available via the Internet, also exists; they are based as well on the frequency of MAR motifs (MRS criterion; Van Drunen CM et al., "A bipartite sequence element associated with matrix/scaffold attachment regions", *Nucleic Acids Res*, 27:2924-2930, 1999), (ChrClass; Glazko GV et al., "Comparative study and prediction of DNA fragments associated with various elements of the nuclear matrix", *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 1517:351-356, 2001) or based on the identification of sites of stress-induced DNA duplex (SIDD; Benham C and al., "Stress-induced duplex DNA destabilization in scaffold/matrix attachment regions", *J. Mol. Biol.*, 274:181-196, 1997). However, their suitability to analyze complete genome sequences remains unknown, and whether these tools may allow the identification of protein production-increasing sequences has not been reported.

Furthermore, due to the relatively poor specificity of these softwares (Frisch M, Frech K, Klingenhoff A, Cartharius K, Liebich I and Werner T, "In silico prediction of scaffold/matrix attachment regions in large genomic sequences", *Genome Research*, 12:349-354, 2001), the amount of hypothetical MARs identified in genomes rapidly gets unmanageable when doing large scale analyses, especially if most of these have no or poor activity in practice. Thus, having no way to increase prediction specificity to restrain the number of hypothetical MARs, many of the available programs become almost useless to identify potent genetic elements in view of efficiently increasing recombinant protein production.

Since all the above available predictive methods have some drawbacks that prevent large-scale analyses of genomes to identify reliably novel and potent MARs, the object of this invention is to 1) understand the functional features of MARs that allow improved recombinant protein expression; 2) get a new Bioinformatic tool compiling MAR structural features as a prediction of function, in order to 3) perform large scale analyses of genomes to identify novel and more potent MARs, and, finally 4) to demonstrate improved efficiency to increase the production of recombinant proteins from eukaryotic cells or organisms when using the newly identified MAR sequences.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

This object has been achieved by providing an improved and reliable method for the identification of DNA sequences having protein production increasing activity, in

particular MAR nucleotide sequences, and the use of these characterized active MAR sequences in a new multiple transfection method to increase the production of recombinant proteins in eukaryotic cells.

5

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES

Fig. 1 shows the distribution plots of MARs and non-MARs sequences. Histograms are density plots (relative frequency divided by the bin width) relative to the score of the observed parameter. The density histogram for human MARs in the SMART DB database is shown in black, while the density histogram for the human chromosome 22 are in grey.

10

Fig. 2 shows Scatterplots of the four different criteria used by SMAR Scan® and the AT-content with human MARs from SMART DB.

15

Fig. 3 shows the distribution plots of MAR sequences by organism. MAR sequences from SMART DB of other organisms were retrieved and analyzed. The MAR sequences density distributions for the mouse, the chicken, the sorghum bicolor and the human are plotted jointly.

20

Fig. 4 shows SMAR Scan® predictions on human chromosome 22 and on shuffled chromosome 22. Top plot : Average number of hits obtained by SMAR Scan® with five: rubbled, scrambled, shuffled within nonoverlapping windows of 10 bp, order 1 Markov chains model and with the native chromosome 22. Bottom plot: Average number of MARs predicted by SMAR Scan® in five: rubbled, scrambled, shuffled within non-overlapping windows of 10 bp, order 1 Markov chains model and with the native chromosome 22.

25

Fig. 5 shows the dissection of the ability of the chicken lysozyme gene 5'-MAR to stimulate transgene expression in CHO-DG44 cells. Fragments B, K and F show the highest ability to stimulate transgene expression. The indicated relative strength of the elements was based on the number of high-expressor cells.

30

Fig. 6 shows the effect of serial-deletions of the 5'-end (upper part) and the 3'-end (lower part) of the 5'-MAR on the loss of ability to stimulate transgene expression. The transition from increased to decreased activity coincide with B-, K- and F-fragments.

35

Fig. 7 shows that portions of the F fragment significantly stimulate transgene expression. The F fragment regions indicated by the light grey arrow were multimerized, inserted in pGEGFP Control and transfected in CHO cells. The element that displays the highest activity is located in the central part of the element and corresponds to fragment FIII (black bar labelled minimal MAR). In addition, an enhancer activity is located in the 3'-flanking part of the FIII fragment (dark grey bar labelled MAR enhancer).

40

Fig. 8 shows a map of locations for various DNA sequence motifs within the *cLysMAR*. Fig. 8 (B) represents a Map of locations for various DNA sequence motifs within the *cLysMAR*. Vertical lines represent the position of the computer-predicted sites or sequence motifs along the 3034 base pairs of the *cLysMAR* and its active regions, as presented in Fig. 5. The putative transcription factor sites, (MEF2 05, Oct-1, USF-02, GATA, NFAT) for activators and (CDP, SATB1, CTCF, ARBP/MeCP2) for repressors of transcription, were identified using MatInspector (Genomatix), and CpG islands were identified with CPGPLOT. Motifs previously associated with MAR elements are labelled

45

50

in black and include CpG dinucleotides and CpG islands, unwinding motifs (AATATATT and AATATT), poly As and Ts, poly Gs and Cs, *Drosophila* topoisomerase II binding sites (GTNWAYATTNATTNATNNR) which had identity to the 6 bp core and High mobility group I (HMG-I/Y) protein binding sites. Other structural motifs include nucleosome-binding and nucleosome disfavoured sites and a motif thought to relieve the superhelical strain of DNA. Fig. 8(A) represents the comparison of the ability of portions of the cLysMAR to activate transcription with MAR prediction score profiles with MarFinder. The top diagram shows the MAR fragment activity as in Fig. 5, while the middle and bottom curves show MARFinder-predicted potential for MAR activity and for bent DNA structures respectively.

Fig. 9 shows the correlation of DNA physico-chemical properties with MAR activity. Fig. 9(A), represents the DNA melting temperature, double helix bending, major groove depth and minor groove width profiles of the 5'-MAR and were determined using the algorithms of Levitsky et al (Levitsky VG, Ponomarenko MP, Ponomarenko JV, Frolov AS, Kolchanov NA "Nucleosomal DNA property database", *Bioinformatics*, 15; 582592, 1999). The most active B, K and F fragments depicted at the top are as shown as in Figure 1. Fig. 9(B), represents the enlargement of the data presented in panel A to display the F fragment map aligned with the tracings corresponding to the melting temperature (top curve) and DNA bending (bottom curve). The position of the most active FIB fragment and protein binding site for specific transcription factors are as indicated.

Fig. 10 shows the distribution of putative transcription factor binding sites within the 5'-cLysMAR. Large arrows indicate the position of the CUE elements as identified with SMAR Scan®.

Fig. 11 shows the scheme of assembly of various portions of the MAR. The indicated portions of the cLysMAR were amplified by PCR, introducing BglII-BamHI linker elements at each extremity, and assembled to generate the depicted composite elements. For instance, the top construct consists of the assembly of all CUE and flanking sequences at their original location except that BglII-BamHI linker sequences separate each element.

Fig. 12 represents the plasmid maps.

Fig. 13 shows the effect of re-transfecting primary transfectants on GFP expression. Cells (CHO-DG44) were co-transfected with pSV40EGFP (left tube) or pMAR-SV40EGFP (central tube) and pSVneo as resistance plasmid. Cells transfected with pMAR-SV40EGFP were re-transfected 24 hours later with the same plasmid and a different selection plasmid, pSVpuro (right tube). After two weeks selection, the phenotype of the stably transfected cell population was analysed by FACS.

Fig. 14 shows the effect of multiple load of MAR-containing plasmid. The pMAR-SV40EGFP/ pMAR-SV40EGFP secondary transfectants were used in a third cycle of transfection at the end of the selection process. The tertiary transfection was accomplished with pMAR or pMAR-SV40EGFP to give tertiary transfectants. After 24 hours, cells were transfected again with either plasmid, resulting in the quaternary transfectants (see Table 4).

Fig. 15 shows comparative performance of SMAR prediction algorithms exemplified by region WP18A10A7. (A) SMAR Scan® analysis was performed with default settings. (B) SIDD analysis (top curve and left-hand side scale), and the attachment of several

DNA fragments to the nuclear matrix in vitro (bar-graph, right-hand side scale) was taken from Goetze et al (Goetze S, Gluch A, Benham C, Bode J, "Computational and in vitro analysis of destabilized DNA regions in the interferon gene cluster: potential of predicting functional gene domains." *Biochemistry*, 42:154-166, 2003).

Fig. 16 represents the results of a gene therapy-like protocol using MARs. The group of mice injected by MAR-network, induced from the beginning of the experiment, display a better induction of the hematocrit in comparison of mice injected by original network without MAR. After 2 months, hematocrits in "MAR-containing group" is still at values higher (65%) than normal hematocrit levels (45-55%).

Fig. 17 represents the scatterplot for the 1757 S/MAR sequences of the AT (top) and TA (bottom) dinucleotide percentages versus the predicted DNA bending as computed by SMAR Scan®.

Fig. 18 represents the dinucleotide percentage distribution plots over the 1757 non-S/MARs sequences.

Fig.19 shows the effect of various S/MAR elements on the production of recombinant green fluorescent protein (GFP). Populations of CHO cells transfected with a GFP expression vector containing or a MAR element, as indicated, were analyzed by a fluorescence-activated cell sorter (FACS®), and typical profiles are shown. The profiles display the cell number counts as a function of the GFP fluorescence levels.

Fig. 20 depicts the effect of the induction of hematocrit in mice injected by MAR-network.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to a purified and isolated DNA sequence having protein production increasing activity characterized in that said DNA sequence comprises at least one bent DNA element, and at least one binding site for a DNA binding protein.

Certain sequences of DNA are known to form a relatively "static curve", where the DNA follows a particular 3-dimensional path. Thus, instead of just being in the normal B-DNA conformation ("straight"), the piece of DNA can form a flat, planar curve also defined as bent DNA (Marini, *et al.*, 1982 "Bent helical structure in kinetoplast DNA", *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 79: 7664-7664).

Surprisingly, Applicants have shown that the bent DNA element of a purified and isolated DNA sequence having protein production increasing activity of the present invention usually contains at least 10% of dinucleotide TA, and/or at least 12% of dinucleotide AT on a stretch of 100 contiguous base pairs. Preferably, the bent DNA element contains at least 33% of dinucleotide TA, and/or at least 33% of dinucleotide AT on a stretch of 100 contiguous base pairs. These data have been obtained by the method described further.

According to the present invention, the purified and isolated DNA sequence usually comprises a MAR nucleotide sequence selected from the group comprising the sequences SEQ ID Nos 1 to 27 or a cLysMAR element or a fragment thereof. Preferably, the purified and isolated DNA sequence is a MAR nucleotide sequence

selected from the group comprising the sequences SEQ ID Nos 1 to 27, more preferably the sequences SEQ ID Nos 24 to 27.

5 Encompassed by the present invention are as well complementary sequences of the above-mentioned sequences SEQ ID Nos 1 to 27 and the cLysMAR element or fragment, which can be produced by using PCR or other means.

10 An "element" is a conserved nucleotide sequences that bears common functional properties (i.e. binding sites for transcription factors) or structural (i.e. bent DNA sequence) features.

15 A part of sequences SEQ ID Nos 1 to 27 and the cLysMAR element or fragment refers to sequences sharing at least 70% nucleotides in length with the respective sequence of the SEQ ID Nos 1 to 27. These sequences can be used as long as they exhibit the same properties as the native sequence from which they derive. Preferably these sequences share more than 80%, in particular more than 90% nucleotides in length with the respective sequence of the SEQ ID Nos 1 to 27.

20 The present invention also includes variants of the aforementioned sequences SEQ ID Nos 1 to 27 and the cLysMAR element or fragment, that is nucleotide sequences that vary from the reference sequence by conservative nucleotide substitutions, whereby one or more nucleotides are substituted by another with same characteristics.

25 The sequences SEQ ID Nos 1 to 23 have been identified by scanning human chromosome 1 and 2 using SMAR Scan®, showing that the identification of novel MAR sequences is feasible using the tools reported thereafter whereas SEQ ID No 24 to 27 have been identified by scanning the complete human genome using the combined SMAR Scan® method.

30 In a first step, the complete chromosome 1 and 2 were screened to identify bent DNA element as region corresponding to the highest bent, major groove depth, minor groove width and lowest melting temperature as shown in figure 3. In a second step, this collection of sequence was scanned for binding sites of regulatory proteins such as SATB1, GATA, etc. as shown in the figure 8B) yielding sequences SEQ ID 1-23.
35 Furthermore, sequences 21-23 were further shown to be located next to known gene from the Human Genome Data Base.

40 With regard to SEQ ID No 24 to 27 these sequences have been yielded by scanning the human genome according to the combined method and were selected as examples among 1757 MAR elements so detected.

45 Molecular chimera of MAR sequences are also considered in the present invention. By molecular chimera is intended a nucleotide sequence that may include a functional portion of a MAR element and that will be obtained by molecular biology methods known by those skilled in the art.

50 Particular combinations of MAR elements or fragments or sub-portions thereof are also considered in the present invention. These fragments can be prepared by a variety of methods known in the art. These methods include, but are not limited to, digestion with restriction enzymes and recovery of the fragments, chemical synthesis or polymerase chain reactions (PCR).

Therefore, particular combinations of elements or fragments of the sequences SEQ ID

Nos 1 to 27 and cLysMAR elements or fragments are also envisioned in the present invention, depending on the functional results to be obtained. Elements of the cLysMAR are e.g. the B, K and F regions as described in WO 02/074969, the disclosure of which is hereby incorporated herein by reference, in its entirety. The preferred elements of the cLysMAR used in the present invention are the B, K and F regions. Only one element might be used or multiple copies of the same or distinct elements (multimerized elements) might be used (see Fig. 8 A)).

By fragment is intended a portion of the respective nucleotide sequence. Fragments of a MAR nucleotide sequence may retain biological activity and hence bind to purified nuclear matrices and/or alter the expression patterns of coding sequences operably linked to a promoter. Fragments of a MAR nucleotide sequence may range from at least about 100 to 1000 bp, preferably from about 200 to 700 bp, more preferably from about 300 to 500 bp nucleotides. Also envisioned are any combinations of fragments, which have the same number of nucleotides present in a synthetic MAR sequence consisting of natural MAR element and/or fragments. The fragments are preferably assembled by linker sequences. Preferred linkers are BglII-BamHI linker.

"Protein production increasing activity" refers to an activity of the purified and isolated DNA sequence defined as follows: after having been introduced under suitable conditions into a eukaryotic host cell, the sequence is capable of increasing protein production levels in cell culture as compared to a culture of cell transfected without said DNA sequence. Usually the increase is 1.5 to 10 fold, preferably 4 to 10 fold. This corresponds to a production rate or a specific cellular productivity of at least 10 pg per cell per day (see Example 11 and Fig.13).

As used herein, the following definitions are supplied in order to facilitate the understanding of this invention.

"Chromatin" is the protein and nucleic acid material constituting the chromosomes of a eukaryotic cell, and refers to DNA, RNA and associated proteins.

A "chromatin element" means a nucleic acid sequence on a chromosome having the property to modify the chromatin structure when integrated into that chromosome.

"Cis" refers to the placement of two or more elements (such as chromatin elements) on the same nucleic acid molecule (such as the same vector, plasmid or chromosome).

"Trans" refers to the placement of two or more elements (such as chromatin elements) on two or more different nucleic acid molecules (such as on two vectors or two chromosomes).

Chromatin modifying elements that are potentially capable of overcoming position effects, and hence are of interest for the development of stable cell lines, include boundary elements (BEs), matrix attachment regions (MARs), locus control regions (LCRs), and universal chromatin opening elements (UCOE).

Boundary elements ("BEs"), or insulator elements, define boundaries in chromatin in many cases (Bell A and Felsenfeld G. 1999; "Stopped at the border: boundaries and insulators, *Curr Opin Genet Dev* 9, 191-198) and may play a role in defining a transcriptional domain in vivo. BEs lack intrinsic promoter/enhancer activity, but rather are thought to protect genes from the transcriptional influence of regulatory elements in the surrounding chromatin. The enhancer-block assay is commonly used to identify

insulator elements. In this assay, the chromatin element is placed between an enhancer and a promoter, and enhancer-activated transcription is measured. Boundary elements have been shown to be able to protect stably transfected reporter genes against position effects in *Drosophila*, yeast and in mammalian cells. They have also been shown to increase the proportion of transgenic mice with inducible transgene expression.

Locus control regions ("LCRs") are cis-regulatory elements required for the initial chromatin activation of a locus and subsequent gene transcription in their native locations (Grosveld, F. 1999, "Activation by locus control regions?" *Curr Opin Genet Dev* 9, 152-157). The activating function of LCRs also allows the expression of a coupled transgene in the appropriate tissue in transgenic mice, irrespective of the site of integration in the host genome. While LCRs generally confer tissue-specific levels of expression on linked genes, efficient expression in nearly all tissues in transgenic mice has been reported for a truncated human T-cell receptor LCR and a rat LAP LCR. The most extensively characterized LCR is that of the globin locus. Its use in vectors for the gene therapy of sickle cell disease and β -thalassemias is currently being evaluated.

"MARs", according to a well-accepted model, may mediate the anchorage of specific DNA sequence to the nuclear matrix, generating chromatin loop domains that extend outwards from the heterochromatin cores. While MARs do not contain any obvious consensus or recognizable sequence, their most consistent feature appears to be an overall high A/T content, and C bases predominating on one strand (Bode J, Schlake T, RiosRamirez M, Mielke C, Stengart M, Kay V and KlehrWirth D, "Scaffold/matrix-attached regions: structural properties creating transcriptionally active loci", *Structural and Functional Organization of the Nuclear Matrix: International Review of Cytology*, 162A:389-453, 1995). These regions have a propensity to form bent secondary structures that may be prone to strand separation. They are often referred to as base-unpairing regions (BURs), and they contain a core-unwinding element (CUE) that might represent the nucleation point of strand separation (Benham C and al., Stress induced duplex DNA destabilization in scaffold/matrix attachment regions, *J. Mol. Biol.*, 274:181-196, 1997). Several simple AT-rich sequence motifs have often been found within MAR sequences, but for the most part, their functional importance and potential mode of action remain unclear. These include the A-box (AATAAAYAAA), the T-box (TTWTWTTWTT), DNA unwinding motifs (AATATATT, AATATT), SATB1 binding sites (H-box, A/T/C25) and consensus Topoisomerase II sites for vertebrates (RNYNCCNNGYNGKTNYNY) or *Drosophila* (GTNWAYATTNATNNR).

Ubiquitous chromatin opening elements ("UCOE", also known as "ubiquitously-acting chromatin opening elements") have been reported in WO 00/05393.

An "enhancer" is a nucleotide sequence that acts to potentiate the transcription of genes independent of the identity of the gene, the position of the sequence in relation to the gene, or the orientation of the sequence. The vectors of the present invention optionally include enhancers.

A "gene" is a deoxyribonucleotide (DNA) sequence coding for a given mature protein. As used herein, the term "gene" shall not include untranslated flanking regions such as RNA transcription initiation signals, polyadenylation addition sites, promoters or enhancers.

A "product gene" is a gene that encodes a protein product having desirable characteristics such as diagnostic or therapeutic utility. A product gene includes, e. g.,

structural genes and regulatory genes.

5 A "structural gene" refers to a gene that encodes a structural protein. Examples of structural genes include but are not limited to, cytoskeletal proteins, extracellular matrix proteins, enzymes, nuclear pore proteins and nuclear scaffold proteins, ion channels and transporters, contractile proteins, and chaperones. Preferred structural genes encode for antibodies or antibody fragments.

10 A "regulatory gene" refers to a gene that encodes a regulatory protein. Examples of regulatory proteins include, but are not limited to, transcription factors, hormones, growth factors, cytokines, signal transduction molecules, oncogenes, proto-oncogenes, transmembrane receptors, and protein kinases.

15 "Orientation" refers to the order of nucleotides in a given DNA sequence. For example, an inverted orientation of a DNA sequence is one in which the 5' to 3' order of the sequence in relation to another sequence is reversed when compared to a point of reference in the DNA from which the sequence was obtained. Such reference points can include the direction of transcription of other specified DNA sequences in the source DNA and/or the origin of replication of replicable vectors containing the
20 sequence.

"Eukaryotic cell" refers to any mammalian or non-mammalian cell from a eukaryotic organism. By way of non-limiting example, any eukaryotic cell that is capable of being maintained under cell culture conditions and subsequently transfected would be
25 included in this invention. Especially preferable cell types include, e. g., stem cells, embryonic stem cells, Chinese hamster ovary cells (CHO), COS, BHK21, NIH3T3, HeLa, C2C12, cancer cells, and primary differentiated or undifferentiated cells. Other suitable host cells are known to those skilled in the art.

30 The terms "host cell" and "recombinant host cell" are used interchangeably herein to indicate a eukaryotic cell into which one or more vectors of the invention have been introduced. It is understood that such terms refer not only to the particular subject cell but also to the progeny or potential progeny of such a cell. Because certain modifications may occur in succeeding generations due to either mutation or
35 environmental influences, such progeny may not, in fact, be identical to the parent cell, but are still included within the scope of the term as used herein.

40 The terms "introducing a purified DNA into a eukaryotic host cell" or "transfection" denote any process wherein an extracellular DNA, with or without accompanying material, enters a host cell. The term "cell transfected" or "transfected cell" means the cell into which the extracellular DNA has been introduced and thus harbours the extracellular DNA. The DNA might be introduced into the cell so that the nucleic acid is replicable either as a chromosomal integrant or as an extra chromosomal element.

45 "Promoter" as used herein refers to a nucleic acid sequence that regulates expression of a gene.

50 "Co-transfection" means the process of transfecting a eukaryotic cell with more than one exogenous gene, or vector, or plasmid, foreign to the cell, one of which may confer a selectable phenotype on the cell.

The purified and isolated DNA sequence having protein production increasing activity also comprises, besides one or more bent DNA element, at least one binding site for a DNA binding protein.

- 5 Usually the DNA binding protein is a transcription factor. Examples of transcription factors are the group comprising the polyQpolyP domain proteins.
Another example of a transcription factor is a transcription factor selected from the group comprising SATB1, NMP4, MEF2, S8, DLX1, FREAC7, BRN2, GATA 1/3, TATA, Bright, MSX, AP1, C/EBP, CREBP1, FOX, Freac7, HFH1, HNF3alpha, Nkx25,
10 POU3F2, Pit1, TTF1, XFD1, AR, C/EBPgamma, Cdc5, FOXD3, HFH3, HNF3 beta, MRF2, Oct1, POU6F1, SRF, V\$MTATA_B, XFD2, Bach2, CDP CR3, Cdx2, FOXJ2, HFL, HP1, Myc, PBX, Pax3, TEF, VBP, XFD3, Brn2, COMP1, Evf1, FOXP3, GATA4, HFN1, Lhx3, NKX3A, POU1F1, Pax6, TFIIA or a combination of two or more of these transcription factors are preferred. Most preferred are SATB1, NMP4, MEF2 and
15 polyQpolyP domain proteins.

- SATB1, NMP4 and MEF2, for example, are known to regulate the development and/or tissue-specific gene expression in mammals. These transcription factors have the capacity to alter DNA geometry, and reciprocally, binding to DNA as an allosteric ligand
20 modifies their structure. Recently, SATB1 was found to form a cage-like structure circumscribing heterochromatin (Cai S, Han HJ, and Kohwi-Shigematsu T, "Tissue-specific nuclear architecture and gene expression regulated by SATB1" *Nat Genet*, 2003, 34(1): p. 42-51).

- 25 Yet another object of the present invention is to provide a purified and isolated cLysMAR element and/or fragment, a sequence complementary thereof, a part thereof sharing at least 70% nucleotides in length, a molecular chimera thereof, a combination thereof and variants.

- 30 More preferably, the cLysMAR element and/or fragment are consisting of at least one nucleotide sequence selected from the B, K and F regions.

- A further object of the present invention is to provide a synthetic MAR sequence comprising natural MAR element and/or fragments assembled between linker
35 sequences.

- Preferably, the synthetic MAR sequence comprises a cLysMAR element and/or fragment a sequence complementary thereof, a part thereof sharing at least 70% nucleotides in length, a molecular chimera thereof, a combination thereof and variants.
40 Also preferably, linker sequences are BglII-BamHI linker.

- An other aspect of the invention is to provide a method for identifying a MAR sequence using a Bioinformatic tool comprising the computing of values of one or more DNA sequence features corresponding to DNA bending, major groove depth and minor
45 groove width potentials and melting temperature. Preferably, the identification of one or more DNA sequence features further comprises a further DNA sequence feature corresponding to binding sites for DNA binding proteins, which is also computed with this method.

- 50 Preferably, profiles or weight-matrices of said bioinformatic tool are based on dinucleotide recognition.

The bioinformatic tool used for the present method is preferably, SMAR Scan®, which contains algorithms developed by Gene Express ([http://srs6.bionet.nsc.ru/srs6bin/cgi-bin/wgetz?-e+\[FEATURES-SiteID:'nR'\]](http://srs6.bionet.nsc.ru/srs6bin/cgi-bin/wgetz?-e+[FEATURES-SiteID:'nR'])) and based on Levitsky *et al.*, 1999. These algorithms recognise profiles, based on dinucleotides weight-matrices, to compute the theoretical values for conformational and physicochemical properties of DNA.

Preferably, SMAR Scan® uses the four theoretical criteria also designated as DNA sequence features corresponding to DNA bending, major groove depth and minor groove width potentials, melting temperature in all possible combination, using scanning windows of variable size (see Fig. 3). For each function used, a cut-off value has to be set. The program returns a hit every time the computed score of a given region is above the set cut-off value for all of the chosen criteria. Two data output modes are available to handle the hits, the first (called "profile-like") simply returns all hit positions on the query sequence and their corresponding values for the different criteria chosen. The second mode (called "contiguous hits") returns only the positions of several contiguous hits and their corresponding sequence. For this mode, the minimum number of contiguous hits is another cut-off value that can be set, again with a tunable window size. This second mode is the default mode of SMAR Scan®. Indeed, from a semantic point of view, a hit is considered as a core-unwinding element (CUE), and a cluster of CUEs accompanied by clusters of binding sites for relevant proteins is considered as a MAR. Thus, SMAR Scan® considers only several contiguous hits as a potential MAR.

To tune the default cut-off values for the four theoretical structural criteria, experimentally validated MARs from SMART DB (<http://transfac.gbf.de/>- SMART DB) were used. All the human MAR sequences from the database were retrieved and analyzed with SMAR Scan® using the "profile-like" mode with the four criteria and with no set cut-off value. This allowed the setting of each function for every position of the sequences. The distribution for each criterion was then computed according to these data (see Fig. 1 and 3).

The default cut-off values of SMAR Scan® for the bend, the major groove depth and the minor groove width were set at the average of the 75th quantile and the median. For the melting temperature, the default cut-off value should be set at the 75th quantile. The minimum length for the "contiguous-hits" mode should be set to 300 because it is assumed to be the minimum length of a MAR (see Fig. 8 and 9). However, one skilled in the art would be able to determine the cut-off values for the above-mentioned criteria for a given organism with minimal experimentation.

Preferably, DNA bending values are comprised between 3 to 5 ° (radial degree). Most preferably they are situated between 3.8 to 4.4 °, corresponding to the smallest peak of Fig. 1.

Preferably the major groove depth values are comprised between 8.9 to 9.3 Å (Angström) and minor groove width values between 5.2 to 5.8 Å. Most preferably the major groove depth values are comprised between 9.0 to 9.2 Å and minor groove width values between 5.4 to 5.7 Å.

Preferably the melting temperature is comprised between 55 to 75 ° C (Celsius degree). Most preferably, the melting temperature is comprised between 55 to 62 ° C.

The DNA binding protein of which values can be computed by the method is usually a transcription factor preferably a polyQpolyP domain or a transcription factor selected

from the group comprising SATB1, NMP4, MEF2, S8, DLX1, FREAC7, BRN2, GATA 1/3, TATA, Bright, MSX, AP1, C/EBP, CREBP1, FOX, Freac7, HFH1, HNF3alpha, Nkx25, POU3F2, Pit1, TTF1, XFD1, AR, C/EBPgamma, Cdc5, FOXD3, HFH3, HNF3 beta, MRF2, Oct1, POU6F1, SRF, V\$MTATA_B, XFD2, Bach2, CDP CR3, Cdx2, FOXJ2, HFL, HP1, Myc, PBX, Pax3, TEF, VBP, XFD3, Brn2, COMP1, Evil, FOXP3, GATA4, HFN1, Lhx3, NKX3A, POU1F1, Pax6, TFIIA or a combination of two or more of these transcription factors.

However, one skilled in the art would be able to determine other kinds of transcription factors in order to carry out the method according to the present invention.

In case SMAR Scan® is envisaged to perform, for example, large scale analysis, then, preferably, the above-mentioned method further comprises at least one filter predicting DNA binding sites for DNA transcription factors in order to reduce the computation.

The principle of this method combines SMAR Scan® to compute the structural features as described above and a filter, such as for example, the pfsearch, (from the pftools package as described in Bucher P, Karplus K, Moeri N, and Hofmann K, "A flexible search technique based on generalized profiles", *Computers and Chemistry*, 20:324, 1996) to predict the binding of some transcription factors.

Examples of filters comprise, but are not limited to, pfsearch, MatInspector, RMatch Professional and TRANSFAC Professional

This combined method uses the structural features of SMAR Scan® and the predicted binding of specific transcription factors of the filter that can be applied sequentially in any order to select MARs, therefore, depending on the filter is applied at the beginning or at the end of the method.

The first level selects sequences out of the primary input sequence and the second level, consisting in the filter, may be used to restrain among the selected sequences those which satisfy the criteria used by the filter.

In this combined method the filter detects clusters of DNA binding sites using profiles or weightmatrices from, for example, MatInspector (Quandt K, Frech K, Karas H, Wingender E, Werner T, "MatInd and MatInspector New fast and versatile tools for detection of consensus matches in nucleotide sequence data", *Nucleic Acids Research*, 23, 48784884, 1995.). The filter can also detect densities of clusters of DNA binding sites.

The combined method is actually a "wrapper" written in Perl for SMAR Scan® and, in case the pfsearch is used as a filter, from the pftools. The combined method performs a twolevel processing using at each level one of these tools (SMAR Scan® or filter) as a potential "filter", each filter being optional and possible to be used to compute the predicted features without doing any filtering.

If SMAR Scan® is used in the first level to filter subsequences, it has to be used with the "all the contiguous hits" mode in order to return sequences. If the pfsearch is used in the first level as first filter, it has to be used with only one profile and a distance in nucleotide needs to be provided. This distance is used to group together pfsearch hits that are located at a distance inferior to the distance provided in order to return sequences; The combined method launches pfsearch, parses its output and returns

sequences corresponding to pfsearch hits that are grouped together according to the distance provided. Then whatever the tool used in the first level, the length of the sub-sequences thus selected can be systematically extended at both ends according to a parameter called "hits extension".

The second and optional level can be used to filter out sequences (already filtered sequences or unfiltered input sequences) or to get the results of SMAR Scan® and/or pfsearch without doing any filtering on these sequences. If the second level of combined method is used to filter, for each criteria considered cutoff values (hit per nucleotide) need to be provided to filter out those sequences (see Fig. 20).

Another concern of the present invention is also to provide a method for identifying a MAR sequence comprising at least one filter detecting clusters of DNA binding sites using profiles or weightmatrices. Preferably, this method comprises two levels of filters and in this case, SMAR Scan® is totally absent from said method. Usually, the two levels consist in pfsearch.

Also embraced by the present invention is a purified and isolated MAR DNA sequence identifiable according to the method for identifying a MAR sequence using the described bioinformatic tool, the combined method or the method comprising at least one filter.

Analysis by the combined method of the whole human genome yielded a total of 1757 putative MARs representing a total of 1 065 305 base paires. In order to reduce the number of results, a dinucleotide analysis was performed on these 1757 MARs, computing each of the 16 possible dinucleotide percentage for each sequence considering both strands in the 5' to 3' direction.

Surprisingly, Applicants have shown that all of the "super" MARs detected with the combined method contain at least 10% of dinucleotide TA on a stretch of 100 contiguous base pairs. Preferably, these sequences contain at least 33% of dinucleotide TA on a stretch of 100 contiguous base pairs.

Applicants have also shown that these same sequences further contain at least 12% of dinucleotide AT on a stretch of 100 contiguous base pairs. Preferably, they contain at least 33% of dinucleotide AT on a stretch of 100 contiguous base pairs.

An other aspect of the invention is to provide a purified and isolated MAR DNA sequence of any of the preceding described MARs, comprising a sequence selected from the sequences SEQ ID Nos 1 to 27, a sequence complementary thereof, a part thereof sharing at least 70% nucleotides in length, a molecular chimera thereof, a combination thereof and variants.

Preferably, said purified and isolated MAR DNA sequence comprises a sequence selected from the sequences SEQ ID Nos 24 to 27, a sequence complementary thereof, a part thereof sharing at least 70% nucleotides in length, a molecular chimera thereof, a combination thereof and variants. These sequences 24 to 27 correspond to those detected by the combined method and show a higher protein production increasing activity over sequences 1 to 23.

The present invention also encompasses the use of a purified and isolated DNA sequence comprising a first isolated matrix attachment region (MAR) nucleotide sequence which is a MAR nucleotide sequence selected from the group comprising

- a purified and isolated DNA sequence having protein production increasing activity,
 - a purified and isolated MAR DNA sequence identifiable according to the method for identifying a MAR sequence using the described bioinformatic tool, the combined method or the method comprising at least one filter,
 - the sequences SEQ ID Nos 1 to 27,
 - a purified and isolated cLysMAR element and/or fragment,
 - a synthetic MAR sequence comprising natural MAR element and/or fragments assembled between linker sequences,
- a sequence complementary thereof, a part thereof sharing at least 70% nucleotides in length, a molecular chimera thereof, a combination thereof and variants or a MAR nucleotide sequence of a cLysMAR element and/or fragment, a sequence complementary thereof, a part thereof sharing at least 70% nucleotides in length, a molecular chimera thereof, a combination thereof and variants for increasing protein production activity in a eukaryotic host cell.

Said purified and isolated DNA sequence usually further comprises one or more regulatory sequences, as known in the art e.g. a promoter and/or an enhancer, polyadenylation sites and splice junctions usually employed for the expression of the protein or may optionally encode a selectable marker. Preferably said purified and isolated DNA sequence comprises a promoter which is operably linked to a gene of interest.

The DNA sequences of this invention can be isolated according to standard PCR protocols and methods well known in the art.

Promoters which can be used provided that such promoters are compatible with the host cell are, for example, promoters obtained from the genomes of viruses such as polyoma virus, adenovirus (such as Adenovirus 2), papilloma virus (such as bovine papilloma virus), avian sarcoma virus, cytomegalovirus (such as murine or human cytomegalovirus immediate early promoter), a retrovirus, hepatitis-B virus, and Simian Virus 40 (such as SV 40 early and late promoters) or promoters obtained from heterologous mammalian promoters, such as the actin promoter or an immunoglobulin promoter or heat shock promoters. Such regulatory sequences direct constitutive expression.

Furthermore, the purified and isolated DNA sequence might further comprise regulatory sequences which are capable of directing expression of the nucleic acid preferentially in a particular cell type (e. g., tissue-specific regulatory elements are used to express the nucleic acid). Tissue-specific regulatory elements are known in the art. Non-limiting examples of suitable tissue-specific promoters include the albumin promoter (liver-specific; Pinkert, et al., 1987. *Genes Dev.* 1: 268-277), lymphoid-specific promoters (Calame and Eaton, 1988. *Adv. Immunol.* 43: 235-275), in particular promoters of T cell receptors (Winoto and Baltimore, 1989. *EMBOJ.* 8: 729-733) and immunoglobulins (Banerji, et al., 1983. *Cell* 33: 729-740; Queen and Baltimore, 1983. *Cell* 33:741-748), neuron-specific promoters (e. g., the neurofilament promoter; Byrne and Ruddle, 1989. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 86: 5473-5477), pancreas-specific promoters (Edlund, et al., 1985. *Science* 230: 912-916), and mammary gland-specific promoters (e. g., milk whey promoter; U. S. Pat. No. 4,873,316 and European Application No. 264,166).

Developmentally-regulated promoters are also encompassed. Examples of such promoters include, e.g., the murine hox promoters (Kessel and Gruss, 1990. *Science* 249: 374-379) and thea-fetoprotein promoter (Campes and Tilghman, 1989. *Genes*

Dev. 3: 537-546).

Regulatable gene expression promoters are well known in the art, and include, by way of non-limiting example, any promoter that modulates expression of a gene encoding a desired protein by binding an exogenous molecule, such as the CRE/LOX system, the TET system, the doxycycline system, the NFkappaB/UV light system, the Leu3p/isopropylmalate system, and the GLVPc/GAL4 system (See e. g., Sauer, 1998, Methods 14 (4): 381-92 ; Lewandoski, 2001, Nat. Rev. Genet 2 (10): 743-55; Legrand-Poels et al., 1998, J. Photochem. Photobiol. B. 45: 18; Guo et al., 1996, FEBS Lett. 390 (2): 191-5; Wang et al., PNAS USA, 1999, 96 (15): 84838). However, one skilled in the art would be able to determine other kinds of promoters that are suitable in carrying out the present invention.

Enhancers can be optionally included in the purified DNA sequence of the invention then belonging to the regulatory sequence, e.g. the promoter.

The "gene of interest" or "transgene" preferably encodes a protein (structural or regulatory protein). As used herein "protein" refers generally to peptides and polypeptides having more than about ten amino acids. The proteins may be "homologous" to the host (i.e., endogenous to the host cell being utilized), or "heterologous," (i.e., foreign to the host cell being utilized), such as a human protein produced by yeast. The protein may be produced as an insoluble aggregate or as a soluble protein in the periplasmic space or cytoplasm of the cell, or in the extracellular medium. Examples of proteins include hormones such as growth hormone or erythropoietin (EPO), growth factors such as epidermal growth factor, analgesic substances like enkephalin, enzymes like chymotrypsin, receptors to hormones or growth factors, antibodies and include as well proteins usually used as a visualizing marker e.g. green fluorescent protein.

Preferably the purified DNA sequence further comprises at least a second isolated matrix attachment region (MAR) nucleotide sequence selected from the group comprising

- a purified and isolated DNA sequence having protein production increasing activity,
- a purified and isolated MAR DNA sequence identifiable according to the method for identifying a MAR sequence using the described bioinformatic tool, the combined method or the method comprising at least one filter,
- the sequences SEQ ID Nos 1 to 27,
- a purified and isolated cLysMAR element and/or fragment,
- a synthetic MAR sequence comprising natural MAR element and/or fragments assembled between linker sequences,

a sequence complementary thereof, a part thereof sharing at least 70% nucleotides in length, a molecular chimera thereof, a combination thereof and variants. The isolated matrix attachment region (MAR) nucleotide sequence might be identical or different. Alternatively, a first and a second identical MAR nucleotide sequence are used.

Preferably, the MAR nucleotide sequences are located at both the 5' and the 3' ends of the sequence containing the promoter and the gene of interest. But the invention also envisions the fact that said first and or at least second MAR nucleotide sequences are located on a sequence distinct from the one containing the promoter and the gene of interest.

Embraced by the scope of the present invention is also the purified and isolated DNA sequence comprising a first isolated matrix attachment region (MAR) nucleotide sequence which is a MAR nucleotide sequence selected from the group comprising

- a purified and isolated DNA sequence having protein production increasing activity,
- a purified and isolated MAR DNA sequence identifiable according to the method for identifying a MAR sequence using the described bioinformatic tool, the combined method or the method comprising at least one filter,
- the sequences SEQ ID Nos 1 to 27,
- a purified and isolated cLysMAR element and/or fragment,
- a synthetic MAR sequence comprising natural MAR element and/or fragments assembled between linker sequences,

a sequence complementary thereof, a part thereof sharing at least 70% nucleotides in length, a molecular chimera thereof, a combination thereof and variants that can be used for increasing protein production activity in a eukaryotic host cell by introducing the purified and isolated DNA sequence into a eukaryotic host cell according to well known protocols. Usually applied methods for introducing DNA into eukaryotic host cells applied are e.g. direct introduction of cloned DNA by microinjection or microparticle bombardment; electrotransfer; use of viral vectors; encapsulation within a carrier system; and use of transfecting reagents such as calcium phosphate, diethylaminoethyl (DEAE) –dextran or commercial transfection systems like the Lipofect-AMINE 2000 (Invitrogen). Preferably, the transfection method used to introduce the purified DNA sequence into a eukaryotic host cell is the method for transfecting a eukaryotic cell as described below.

The purified and isolated DNA sequence can be used in the form of a circular vector. Preferably, the purified and isolated DNA sequence is used in the form of a linear DNA sequence as vector.

As used herein, "plasmid" and "vector" are used interchangeably, as the plasmid is the most commonly used vector form. However, the invention is intended to include such other forms of expression vectors, including, but not limited to, viral vectors (e. g., replication defective retroviruses, adenoviruses and adeno-associated viruses), which serve equivalent functions.

The present invention further encompasses a method for transfecting a eukaryotic host cell, said method comprising

- a) introducing into said eukaryotic host cell at least one purified DNA sequence comprising at least one DNA sequence of interest and/or at least one purified and isolated DNA sequence comprising a MAR nucleotide sequence or other chromatin modifying elements,
- b) subjecting within a defined time said transfected eukaryotic host cell to at least one additional transfection step with at least one purified DNA sequence comprising at least one DNA sequence of interest and/or with at least one purified and isolated DNA sequence comprising a MAR nucleotide sequence or other chromatin modifying elements
- c) selecting said transfected eukaryotic host cell.

Preferably at least two up to four transfecting steps are applied in step b).

In order to select the successful transfected cells, a gene that encodes a selectable marker (e. g., resistance to antibiotics) is generally introduced into the host cells along with the gene of interest. The gene that encodes a selectable marker might be located

on the purified DNA sequence comprising at least one DNA sequence of interest and/or at least one purified and isolated DNA sequence consisting of a MAR nucleotide sequence or other chromatin modifying elements or might optionally be co-introduced in separate form e.g. on a plasmid. Various selectable markers include those that confer resistance to drugs, such as G418, hygromycin and methotrexate. The amount of the drug can be adapted as desired in order to increase productivity

Usually, one or more selectable markers are used. Preferably, the selectable markers used in each distinct transfection steps are different. This allows selecting the transformed cells that are "multi-transformed" by using for example two different antibiotic selections.

Any eukaryotic host cell capable of protein production and lacking a cell wall can be used in the methods of the invention. Examples of useful mammalian host cell lines include human cells such as human embryonic kidney line (293 or 293 cells subcloned for growth in suspension culture, Graham et al., J. Gen Virol 36, 59 (1977)), human cervical carcinoma cells (HELA, ATCC CCL 2), human lung cells (W138, ATCC CCL 75), human liver cells (Hep G2, HB 8065); rodent cells such as baby hamster kidney cells (BHK, ATCC CCL 10), Chinese hamster ovary cells/-DHFR (CHO, Urlaub and Chasin, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 77, 4216 (1980)), mouse sertoli cells (TM4, Mather, *Biol. Reprod* 23, 243-251 (1980)), mouse mammary tumor (MMT 060562, ATCC CCL51); and cells from other mammals such as monkey kidney CV1 line transformed by SV40 (COS-7, ATCC CRL 1651); monkey kidney cells (CV1 ATCC CCL 70); African green monkey kidney cells (VERO-76, ATCC CRL-1587); canine kidney cells (MDCK, ATCC CCL 34); buffalo rat liver cells (BRL 3A, ATCC CRL 1442); myeloma (e.g. NS0) /hybridoma cells.

Preferably, the selected transfected eukaryotic host cells are high protein producer cells with a production rate of at least 10 pg per cell per day.

Most preferred for uses herein are mammalian cells, more preferred are CHO cells.

The DNA sequence of interest of the purified and isolated DNA sequence is usually a gene of interest preferably encoding a protein operably linked to a promoter as described above. The purified and isolated DNA sequence comprising at least one DNA sequence of interest might comprise additionally to the DNA sequence of interest MAR nucleotide sequence or other chromatin modifying elements.

Purified and isolated DNA sequence comprising a MAR nucleotide sequence are for example selected from the group comprising the sequences SEQ ID Nos 1 to 27 and/or particular elements of the cLysMAR e.g. the B, K and F regions as well as fragment and elements and combinations thereof as described above. Other chromatin modifying elements are for example boundary elements (BEs), locus control regions (LCRs), and universal chromatin opening elements (UCOE) (see Zahn-Zabal et al. already cited). An example of multiple transfections of host cells is shown in Example 12 (Table 3).

The first transfecting step (primary transfection) is carried out with the gene of interest (SV40EGFP) alone, with a MAR nucleotide sequence (MAR) alone or with the gene of interest and a MAR nucleotide sequence (MAR-SV40EGFP). The second transfecting step (secondary transfection) is carried out with the gene of interest (SV40EGFP) alone, with a MAR nucleotide sequence (MAR) alone or with the gene of interest and a MAR nucleotide sequence (MAR-SV40EGFP), in all possible combinations resulting from the first transfecting step.

Preferably the eukaryotic host cell is transfected by:

- a) introducing a purified DNA sequence comprising one DNA sequence of interest and additionally a MAR nucleotide sequence,
b) subjecting within a defined time said transfected eukaryotic host cell to at least one additional transfection step with the same purified DNA sequence comprising one DNA sequence of interest and additionally a MAR nucleotide sequence of step a).

Also preferably, the MAR nucleotide sequence of the of the purified and isolated DNA sequence is selected from the group comprising

- a purified and isolated DNA sequence having protein production increasing activity,
- a purified and isolated MAR DNA sequence identifiable according to the method for identifying a MAR sequence using the described bioinformatic tool, the combined method or the method comprising at least one filter,
- the sequences SEQ ID Nos 1 to 27,
- a purified and isolated cLysMAR element and/or fragment,
- a synthetic MAR sequence comprising natural MAR element and/or fragments assembled between linker sequences,

a sequence complementary thereof, a part thereof sharing at least 70% nucleotides in length, a molecular chimera thereof, a combination thereof and variants.

Surprisingly, a synergy between the first and second transfection has been observed. A particular synergy has been observed when MAR elements are present at one or both of the transfection steps. Multiple transfections of the cells with pMAR alone or in combination with various expression plasmids, using the method described above have been carried out. For example, Table 3 shows that transfecting the cells twice with the pMAR-SV40EGFP plasmid gave the highest expression of GFP and the highest degree of enhancement of all conditions (4.3 fold). In contrast, transfecting twice the vector without MAR gave little or no enhancement, 2.8-fold, instead of the expected two-fold increase. This proves that the presence of MAR elements at each transfection step is of particular interest to achieve the maximal protein synthesis.

As a particular example of the transfection method, said purified DNA sequence comprising at least one DNA sequence of interest can be introduced in form of multiple unlinked plasmids, comprising a gene of interest operably linked to a promoter, a selectable marker gene, and/or protein production increasing elements such as MAR sequences.

The ratio of the first and subsequent DNA sequences may be adapted as required for the use of specific cell types, and is routine experimentation to one ordinary skilled in the art.

The defined time for additional transformations of the primary transformed cells is tightly dependent on the cell cycle and on its duration. Usually the defined time corresponds to intervals related to the cell division cycle.

Therefore this precise timing may be adapted as required for the use of specific cell types, and is routine experimentation to one ordinary skilled in the art.

Preferably the defined time is the moment the host cell just has entered into the same phase of a second or a further cell division cycle, preferably the second cycle.

This time is usually situated between 6h and 48 h, preferably between 20h and 24h after the previous transfecting event.

Also encompassed by the present invention is a method for transfecting a eukaryotic host cell, said method comprising co-transfecting into said eukaryotic host cell at least one first purified and isolated DNA sequence comprising at least one DNA sequence of

interest, and a second purified DNA comprising at least one MAR nucleotide selected from the group comprising:

- a purified and isolated DNA sequence having protein production increasing activity,
- 5 - a purified and isolated MAR DNA sequence identifiable according to the method for identifying a MAR sequence using the described bioinformatic tool, the combined method or the method comprising at least one filter,
- the sequences SEQ ID Nos 1 to 27,
- a purified and isolated cLysMAR element and/or fragment,
- 10 - a synthetic MAR sequence comprising natural MAR element and/or fragments assembled between linker sequences,

a sequence complementary thereof, a part thereof sharing at least 70% nucleotides in length, a molecular chimera thereof, a combination thereof and variants.

Said first purified and isolated DNA sequence can also comprise at least one MAR nucleotide as described above.

Also envisioned is a process for the production of a protein wherein a eukaryotic host cell is transfected according to the transfection methods as defined in the present invention and is cultured in a culture medium under conditions suitable for expression of the protein. Said protein is finally recovered according to any recovering process known to the skilled in the art.

Given as an example, the following process for protein production might be used.

The eukaryotic host cell transfected with the transfection method of the present invention is used in a process for the production of a protein by culturing said cell under conditions suitable for expression of said protein and recovering said protein. Suitable culture conditions are those conventionally used for in vitro cultivation of eukaryotic cells as described e.g. in WO 96/39488. The protein can be isolated from the cell culture by conventional separation techniques such as e.g. fractionation on immunoaffinity or ion-exchange columns; precipitation; reverse phase HPLC; chromatography; chromatofocusing; SDS-PAGE; gel filtration. One skilled in the art will appreciate that purification methods suitable for the polypeptide of interest may require modification to account for changes in the character of the polypeptide upon expression in recombinant cell culture.

The proteins that are produced according to this invention can be tested for functionality by a variety of methods. For example, the presence of antigenic epitopes and ability of the proteins to bind ligands can be determined by Western blot assays, fluorescence cell sorting assays, immunoprecipitation, immunochemical assays and/or competitive binding assays, as well as any other assay which measures specific binding activity.

The proteins of this invention can be used in a number of practical applications including, but not limited to:

1. Immunization with recombinant host protein antigen as a viral/pathogen antagonist.
- 45 2. Production of membrane proteins for diagnostic or screening assays.
3. Production of membrane proteins for biochemical studies.
4. Production of membrane protein for structural studies.
5. Antigen production for generation of antibodies for immuno-histochemical mapping, including mapping of orphan receptors and ion channels.

Also provided by the present invention is a eukaryotic host cell transfected according to any of the preceding transfection methods. Preferably, the eukaryotic host cell is a mammalian host cell line.

As already described, example of useful mammalian host cell lines include human cells such as human embryonic kidney line (293 or 293 cells subcloned for growth in suspension culture, Graham et al., *J. Gen Virol* 36, 59 (1977)), human cervical carcinoma cells (HELA, ATCC CCL 2), human lung cells (W138, ATCC CCL 75), human liver cells (Hep G2, HB 8065); rodent cells such as baby hamster kidney cells (BHK, ATCC CCL 10), Chinese hamster ovary cells/-DHFR (CHO, Urlaub and Chasin, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 77, 4216 (1980)), mouse sertoli cells (TM4, Mather, *Biol. Reprod* 23, 243-251 (1980)), mouse mammary tumor (MMT 060562, ATCC CCL51); and cells from other mammals such as monkey kidney CV1 line transformed by SV40 (COS-7, ATCC CRL 1651); monkey kidney cells (CV1 ATCC CCL 70); African green monkey kidney cells (VERO-76, ATCC CRL-1587); canine kidney cells (MDCK, ATCC CCL 34); buffalo rat liver cells (BRL 3A, ATCC CRL 1442); myeloma (e.g. NS0) /hybridoma cells.

Most preferred for uses herein are CHO cells.

The present invention also provides for a cell transfection mixture or Kit comprising at least one purified and isolated DNA sequence according to the invention.

The invention further comprises a transgenic organism wherein at least some of its cells have stably incorporated at least one DNA sequence of

- a purified and isolated DNA sequence having protein production increasing activity,
- a purified and isolated MAR DNA sequence identifiable according to the method for identifying a MAR sequence using the described bioinformatic tool, the combined method or the method comprising at least one filter,
- the sequences SEQ ID Nos 1 to 27,
- a purified and isolated cLysMAR element and/or fragment,
- a synthetic MAR sequence comprising natural MAR element and/or fragments assembled between linker sequences,

a sequence complementary thereof, a part thereof sharing at least 70% nucleotides in length, a molecular chimera thereof, a combination thereof and variants.

Preferably, some of the cells of the transgenic organisms have been transfected according the methods described herein.

Also envisioned in the present invention is a transgenic organism wherein its genome has stably incorporated at least one DNA sequence of

- a purified and isolated DNA sequence having protein production increasing activity,
- a purified and isolated MAR DNA sequence identifiable according to the method for identifying a MAR sequence using the described bioinformatic tool, the combined method or the method comprising at least one filter,
- the sequences SEQ ID Nos 1 to 27,
- a purified and isolated cLysMAR element and/or fragment,
- a synthetic MAR sequence comprising natural MAR element and/or fragments assembled between linker sequences,

a sequence complementary thereof, a part thereof sharing at least 70% nucleotides in length, a molecular chimera thereof, a combination thereof and variants.

Transgenic eukaryotic organisms which can be useful for the present invention are for example selected from the group comprising mammals (mouse, human, monkey etc) and in particular laboratory animals such as rodents in general, insects (drosophila,

etc), fishes (zebra fish, etc.), amphibians (frogs, newt, etc..) and other simpler organisms such as c. elegans, yeast, etc....

5 Yet another object of the present invention is to provide a computer readable medium comprising computer-executable instructions for performing the method for identifying a MAR sequence as described in the present invention.

10 The foregoing description will be more fully understood with reference to the following Examples. Such Examples, are, however, exemplary of methods of practising the present invention and are not intended to limit the scope of the invention.

EXAMPLES

Example 1: SMAR Scan® and MAR sequences

A first rough evaluation of SMAR Scan® was done by analyzing experimentally defined human MARs and non-MAR sequences. As MAR sequences, the previous results from the analysis of human MARs from SMART Db were used to plot a density histogram for each criterion as shown in Fig. 1. Similarly, non-MAR sequences were also analyzed and plotted. As non-MAR sequences, all Ref-Seq-contigs from the chromosome 22 were used, considering that this latter was big enough to contain a negligible part of MAR sequences regarding the part of non-MAR sequences.

The density distributions shown in Fig. 1 are all skewed with a long tail. For the highest bend, the highest major groove depth and the highest minor groove width, the distributions are right skewed. For the lowest melting temperature, the distributions are left-skewed which is natural given the inverse correspondence of this criterion regarding the three others. For the MAR sequences, biphasic distributions with a second weak peak, are actually apparent. And between MAR and non-MAR sequences distributions, a clear shift is also visible in each plot.

Among all human MAR sequences used, in average only about 70% of them have a value greater than the 75th quantile of human MARs distribution, this for the four different criteria. Similarly concerning the second weak peak of each human MARs distribution, only 15% of the human MAR sequences are responsible of these outlying values. Among these 15% of human MAR sequences, most are very well documented MARs, used to insulate transgene from position effects, such as the interferon locus MAR, the beta-globin locus MAR (Ramezani A, Hawley TS, Hawley RG, "Performance- and safety-enhanced lentiviral vectors containing the human interferon-beta scaffold attachment region and the chicken beta-globin insulator", *Blood*, 101:4717-4724, 2003), or the apolipoprotein MAR (Namciu, S, Blochinger KB, Fournier REK, "Human matrix attachment regions insulate transgene expression from chromosomal position effects in *Drosophila melanogaster*", *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, 18:2382-2391, 1998). Always with the same data, human MAR sequences were also used to determine the association between the four theoretical structural properties computed and the AT-content. Fig. 2 represents the scatterplot and the corresponding correlation coefficient r for every pair of criteria.

Example 2: Distribution plots of MAR sequences by organism

MAR sequences from SMART DB of other organisms were also retrieved and analyzed similarly as explained previously. The MAR sequences density distributions for the mouse, the chicken, the sorghum bicolor and the human are plotted jointly in Fig. 3.

Example 3: MAR prediction of the whole chromosome 22

All RefSeq contigs from the chromosome 22 were analyzed by SMAR Scan® using the default settings this time. The result is that SMAR Scan® predicted a total of 803 MARs, their average length being 446 bp, which means an average of one MAR predicted per 42 777 bp. The total length of the predicted MARs corresponds to 1% of the chromosome 22 length. The AT-content of the predicted regions ranged from

65,1% to 93.3%; the average AT-content of all these regions being 73.5%. Thus, predicted MARs were AT-rich, whereas chromosome 22 is not AT-rich (52.1% AT).

SMARTest was also used to analyze the whole chromosome 22 and obtained 1387 MAR candidates, their average length being 494 bp representing an average of one MAR predicted per 24 765 bp. The total length of the predicted MARs corresponds to 2% of the chromosome 22. Between all MARs predicted by the two softwares, 154 predicted MARs are found by both programs, which represents respectively 19% and 11% of SMAR Scan® and SMARTest predicted MARs. Given predicted MARs mean length for SMAR Scan® and SMARTest, the probability to have by chance an overlapping between SMAR Scan® and SMARTest predictions is 0.0027% per prediction.

To evaluate the specificity of SMAR Scan® predictions, SMAR Scan® analyses were performed on randomly shuffled sequences of the chromosome 22 (Fig. 4). Shuffled sequences were generated using 4 different methods: by a segmentation of the chromosome 22 into nonoverlapping windows of 10 bp and by separately shuffling the nucleotides in each window; by "scrambling" which means a permutation of all nucleotides of the chromosome; by "rubbling" which means a segmentation of the chromosome in fragments of 10 bp and a random assembling of these fragments and finally by order 1 Markov chains, the different states being the all the different DNA dinucleotides and the transition probabilities between these states being based on the chromosome 22 scan. For each shuffling method, five shuffled chromosome 22 were generated and analyzed by SMAR Scan® using the default settings. Concerning the number hits, an average of 3 519 170 hits (sd: 18 353) was found for the permuted chromosome 22 within nonoverlapping windows of 10 bp, 171 936,4 hits (sd: 2 859,04) for the scrambled sequences and 24 708,2 hits (sd: 1 191,59) for the rubbled chromosome 22 and 2 282 hits in average (sd: 334,7) for the chromosomes generated according to order 1 Markov chains models of the chromosome 22, which respectively represents 185% (sd: 0.5% of the mean), 9% (sd: 1.5%), 1% (sd: 5%) and 0.1% (sd: 15%) of the number of hits found with the native chromosome 22. For the number of MARs predicted, which thus means contiguous hits of length greater than 300, 1 997 MARs were predicted with the shuffled chromosome 22 within windows of 10 bp (sd: 31.2), only 2.4 MARs candidates were found in scrambled sequences (sd: 0.96) and none for the rubbled and for the sequences generated according to Markov chains model, which respectively represents 249% and less than 0.3% of the number of predicted MARs found with the native chromosome 22. These data provide indications that SMAR Scan® detects specific DNA elements which organization is lost when the DNA sequences are shuffled.

Example 4: Analysis of known matrix attachment regions in the Interferon locus with SMAR Scan®

The relevance of MAR prediction by SMAR Scan® was investigated by analyzing the recently published MAR regions of the human interferon gene cluster on the short arm of chromosome 9 (9p22). Goetze et al. (already cited) reported an exhaustive analysis of the WP18A10A7 locus to analyze the suspected correlation between BURs (termed in this case stress-induced duplex destabilization or SIDD) and *in vitro* binding to the nuclear matrix (Fig. 9, lower part). Three of the SIDD peaks were in agreement with the *in vitro* binding assay, while others did not match matrix attachment sites. Inspection of the interferon locus with SMAR Scan® (Fig. 9, top part) indicated that three majors peaks accompanied by clusters of SATB1, NMP4 and MEF2 regulators binding sites

correlated well with the active MARs. Therefore, we conclude that the occurrence of predicted CUEs and binding sites for these transcription factors is not restricted to the cLysMAR but may be a general property of all MARs. These results also imply that the SMAR Scan® program efficiently detects MAR elements from genomic sequences.

Example 5: Accuracy of SMAR Scan® prediction and comparison with other predictive tools

The accuracy of SMAR Scan® was evaluated using six genomic sequences for which experimentally determined MARs have been mapped. In order to perform a comparison with other predictive tools, the sequences analyzed are the same with the sequences previously used to compare MAR-Finder and SMARTest. These genomic sequences are three plant and three human sequences (Table 1) totalizing 310 151 bp and 37 experimentally defined MARs. The results for SMARTest and MAR-Finder in Table 1 come from a previous comparison (Frisch M, Frech K, Klingenhoff A, Cartharius K, Liebich I and Werner T, In silico pre-diction of scaffold/matrix attachment regions in large genomic sequences, *Genome Research*, 12:349-354, 2001.). MAR-Finder has been used with the default parameters excepted for the threshold that has been set to 0.4 and for the analysis of the protamine locus, the AT-richness rule has been excluded (to detect the non AT-rich MARs as was done for the protamine locus).

Sequence, description and reference	Length (kb)	Experimentally defined MARs positions (kb)	SMARTest prediction positions (kb)	MAR-Finder prediction positions (kb)	SMART Scan prediction positions (kb)
<i>Oryza Sativa</i> putative ADP-glucose pyro- phosphorylase subunit SH2 and putative NADPH dependant reductase A1 genes (U70541). [4]	30.034	0.0-1.2 5.4-7.4 17.3-18.5 20.0-23.1	- 6.5-7.0 15.2-15.7 16.2-16.6 17.6-18.3 19.6-20.1 20.7-21.3 23.6-23.9 25.0-25.4 27.5-27.9	- - 15.7-15.9 - 17.5-18.4 19.8-20.4 21.3-21.5 23.9-24.2 24.7-25.1 -	- - 15.6-16 - 17.6-18.2 21.6-22 - 23.4-23.8 - -
<i>Sorghum bicolor</i> ADP- glucose pyrophospho- rylase subunit SH2. NADPH-dependant reductase A1-b genes (AF010283). [4]	42.446	0.0-1.5 7.1-9.7 22.4-24.7 32.5-33.7 41.6-42.3	- - 21.3-21.9 22.9-24.0 - 27.3-27.6 -	- - - 23.2-24.2 - 26.9-27.5 -	- 7.4-7.7 21.5-21.8 22.9-23.2 23.6-24.0 27.3-27.6 33.4-33.9
<i>Sorghum bicolor</i> BAC clone 110K5 (AF124045). [37]	78.195	~0.9 ~5.8 ~6.3 ~9.3 ~15.0 ~18.5 ~21.9 ~23.3 ~25.6 ~29.1 ~34.6 ~44.1 ~48.5 ~57.9 ~62.9 ~67.1 ~69.3 ~73.7	- - - - 15.1-15.8 - 21.7-22.0 - - - - - 44.1-44.5 47.9-49.5 - 63.1-63.7 - - 74.3-74.7	- - - - - - - - - - - - - 47.9-49.4 - - - -	- - - - - - 21.4-21.9 - - - 29.2-29.5 - 39.0-40.0 48.1-48.6 48.8-49.3 - - 74.3-74.6
Human alpha-1-antitry- sin and corticosteroid binding globulin intergenic region (AF156545). [35]	30.461	2.6-6.3 22.0-30.4	5.5-6.0 - 25.7-26.2 27.5-27.8 -	3.0-3.2 5.1-6.0 24.9-25.3 25.5-25.8 26.2-26.4 27.5-28.2	5.4-5.8 - 25.8-26.4 - -
Human protamine locus (U15422). [24]	53.060	8.8-9.7 32.6-33.6 37.2-39.4 51.8-53.0	- - - -	8.0-8.9 ^a 33.9-34.8 ^a 33.9-34.8 ^a -	- - - -
Human beta-globin locus (U01317). [21]	75.955	1.6-3.0 15.6-19.0 44.7-52.7 60.0-70.0	- 18.0-18.4 - 34.4-34.9 - 56.6-57.1 59.8-60.3 65.6-66.0	- 15.5-16.0 18.0-18.4 - 50.6-50.8 56.5-57.2 58.1-58.5 63.0-63.6	2.3-2.6 15.3-15.6 - - - - 62.8-63.1 -

			67.6-67.9 68.8-69.1	68.7-69.3 -	66.3-66.7 -
Sum(kb)	310.151	at least 56.1	14.5	13.8	9.5
Total numbers :		37	28	25	22
Average kb /predicted MAR			11.076	12.406	14.097
True positives [number of experimentally defined MAR found]			19[14]	20[12]	17[14]
False positives			9	5	5
False negatives			23	25	23
Specificity			19/28= 68%	20/25= 80%	17/22= 77%
Sensitivity			14/37= 38%	12/37= 32%	14/37= 38%

Table 1: Evaluation of SMAR Scan® accuracy

- 5 Six different genomic sequences, three plant and three human sequences, for which experimentally defined MARs are known, were analyzed with MAR-Finder, SMARTest and SMAR Scan®. True positive matches are printed in bold, minus (-) indicates false negative matches. Some of the longer experimentally defined MARs contained more than one in silico prediction, each of them was counted as true positive match.
- 10 Therefore, the number of true in silico predictions is higher than the number of experimentally defined MARs found. Specificity is defined as the ratio of true positive predictions, whereas sensitivity is defined as the ratio of experimentally defined MARs found. * AT-rich rule excluded using MAR-Finder.
- 15 SMARTest predicted 28 regions as MARs, 19 (true positives) of these correlate with experimentally defined MARs (specificity: 68%) whereas 9 (32%) are located in non-MARs (false positives). As some of the longest experimentally determined MARs contains more than one in silico prediction, the 19 true positives correspond actually to 14 different experimentally defined MARs (sensitivity: 38%). MARFinder
- 20 predicted 25 regions as MARs, 20 (specificity: 80%) of these correlate with experimentally defined MARs corresponding to 12 different experimentally defined MARs (sensitivity: 32%). SMAR Scan® predicted 22 regions, 17 being true positives (specificity: 77%) matching 14 different experimentally defined MARs (sensitivity: 38%).
- 25 As another example, the same analysis has been applied to human chromosomes 1 and 2 and lead to the determination of 23 MARs sequences (SEQ ID N° 1 to 23). These sequences are listed in Annex 1 in ST25 format.

Example 6: Analyses of the whole genome using the combined method (SMAR Scan®-pfsearch)

In order to test the potential correlation between the structural features computed by SMAR Scan® and the S/MAR functional activity, the whole human genome has been analyzed with the combined method with very stringent parameters, in order to get sequences with the highest values for the theoretical structural features computed, which are called "super" S/MARs below. This was done with the hope to obtain predicted MAR elements with a very potential to increase transgene expression and recombinant protein production. The putative S/MARs hence harvested were first analyzed from the bioinformatics perspective in an attempt to characterize and classify them.

6.1 S/MARs predicted from the analysis of the whole human genome

As whole human genome sequence, all human RefSeq (National Center for Biotechnology Information, The NCBI handbook [Internet]. Bethesda (MD): National Library of Medicine (US), Oct. Chapter 17, The Reference Sequence (RefSeq) Project, 2002 (Available from <http://www.ncbi.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?db=Books>) contigs (release 5) were used and analyzed with the combined method, using SMAR Scan® as filter in the first level processing, employing default settings except for the highest bend cutoff value, whereas a stringent threshold of 4.0 degrees (instead of 3.202 degrees) has been used for the DNA bending criterion.

In the second level processing, predicted transcription factors binding have been sought in the sequences selected from the previous step without doing any filtering on these sequences.

The analysis by the combined method of the whole human genome came up with a total of 1757 putative "super" S/MARs representing a total of 1 065 305 bp (0.35% of the whole human genome). Table 2 shows for each chromosome: its size, its number of genes, its number of S/MARs predicted, its S/MARs density per gene and its kb per S/MAR. This table shows that there are very various gene densities per S/MAR predicted for the different chromosomes (standard deviation represents more than 50% of the mean of the density of genes per S/MAR predicted and the fold difference between the higher and the lower density of genes per S/MAR is 6,5). Table 2 also shows that the kb per S/MAR varies less than the density of genes per S/MAR (standard deviation represents 25% of the mean of kb per S/MAR and the fold difference between the higher and the lower kb per S/MAR is 3.2).

Chromosome	Number of genes per chromosome	Size of the chromosome (millions bp)	Number of S/MARs predicted	Density of genes per S/MAR	Kb per S/MAR
1	2544	230	85	29.9	2705
2	1772	241	143	12.3	1685
3	1406	198	101	13.9	1960
4	1036	190	118	8.7	1610
5	1233	180	116	10.6	1551
6	1247	170	94	13.2	1808
7	1383	160	179	7.7	1754
8	942	145	77	12.2	1883
9	1100	119	48	22.9	2479
10	1003	133	71	14.1	1873
11	1692	132	67	25.2	1970
12	1278	131	78	16.3	1679
13	506	97	70	7.2	1385
14	1168	88	36	32.4	2444
15	895	83	35	25.5	2371
16	1107	81	41	27	1975
17	1421	80	37	38.4	2162
18	396	75	51	7.7	1470
19	1621	56	36	45.02	1555
20	724	60	28	25.8	2142
21	355	34	18	19.7	1888
22	707	34	28	25.2	1214
X	1168	154	170	6.8	905
Y	251	25	30	8.3	833
Sum	26 955	3 050	1 757	457	433 12
Mean	1 123	127	73	19	1 804
Sd	510	72.8	45	10	462

Table 2: Number of S/MARs predicted per chromosome. The number of genes per chromosome

corresponds to the NCBI human genome statistics (Build 34 Version 3) (National Center for Biotechnology Information, The NCBI handbook [Internet]. Bethesda (MD): National Library of Medicine (US), Oct. Chapter 17, The Reference Sequence (RefSeq) Project, 2002 (Available from <http://www.ncbi.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?db=Books>) based on GenBank annotations. Chromosome sizes are the sum of the corresponding human RefSeq (National Center for Biotechnology Information, The NCBI handbook [Internet]. Bethesda (MD): National Library of Medicine (US), Oct. Chapter 17, The Reference Sequence (RefSeq) Project, 2002 (Available from <http://www.ncbi.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?db=Books>) (release 5) contig lengths

6.2 Bioinformatics analysis of "super" MARS for transcription factor binding sites

The 1757 predicted "super" S/MARs sequences obtained previously by SMAR Scan® were then analyzed for potential transcription factors binding sites. This has been achieved using RMatch™ Professional (Kel AE, Gossling E, Reuter I, Cheremushkin E, KelMargoulis OV, Wingender E, MATCH: A tool for searching transcription factor binding sites in DNA sequences, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 31(13):35769, 2003), a weight matrixbased tool based on TRANSFAC (Wingender E, Chen X, Fricke E, Geffers R, Hehl R, Liebich I, Krull M, Matys V, Michael H, Ohnhauser R, Pruss M, Schacherer F, Thiele S, Urbach S, The TRANSFAC system on gene expression regulation, *Nucleic Acids Research*, 29(1):2813, 2001). Match™ 2.0 Professional has been used with most of the default settings Match™ analysis was based on TRANSFAC Professional, release 8.2 (20040630). The sums of all transcription factors binding prediction on the 1757 sequences analyzed according to Match™ are in Table 3. Based on this table, only the transcription factors totalizing at least 20 hits over the 1757 sequences analyzed were considered for further analyses.

Hereafter are some of the human transcription factors that are the most often predicted to bind on the 1757 putative S/MAR sequences and their Match description: Cdc5 (cell division control protein 5) a transcriptional regulator/repressor, Nkx3A a homeodomain protein regulated by androgen, POU1F1 (pituitaryspecific positive transcription factor 1) which is specific to the pituitary and stimulates cells proliferation. Thus, in addition to SATB1, NMP4 and MEF2, other transcription factors can participate in the activity of MARS.

API	1	AR	2	Bach2	1	Brn2	1
C/EBP	20	C/EBPgamma	5	CDP CR3	1	COMP1	2
CREBP1	34	Cdc5	858	Cdx2	35	Evi1	472
FOX	78	FOXD3	79	FOXJ2	244	FOXP3	29
Freac7	272	GATA1	2	GATA3	142	GATA4	125
HFH1	12	HFH3	1	HLF	275	HNF1	337
HNF3alpha	23	IINF3beta	71	HP1	2	Lhx3	22
MEF2	114	MRF2	57	Myc	18	NKX3A	849
Nkx25	2	Oct1	191	PBX	5	POU1F1	483
POU3F2	11	POU6F1	29	Pax3	3	Pax6	20
Pit1	505	SRF	8	TEF	2852	TFIIA	14
TTF1	1	V\$MTATA_B	4	VBP	53	Vmw65	1
XFD1	65	XFD2	418	XFD3	2		

Table 3 is a summary of all transcription factors binding prediction (totalizing 20 hits or more) on the 1757 sequences analyzed.

5 6.3 Bioinformatics analysis of predicted "super" MARs for dinucleotide frequencies

Various computer analysis were performed in order to easily identify "super" S/MAR sequences using an explicit criterion that could be identified without computing. Among those, a di-nucleotide analysis was performed on the 1757 superMARs, computing
10 each of the 16 possible dinucleotide percentage for each sequence considering both strands in the 5' > 3' direction.

A summary (min., max., median, mean, 25th percentile and 75th percentile) as well as the histograms of each dinucleotide percentage over the 1757 S/MAR sequences are respectively presented in Table 4. A similar analysis was performed on randomly
15 selected sequences from the human genome, representing randomly selected non-S/MAR sequences (which might however contain some MARs). Table 5 represents respectively a summary of the dinucleotide content analysis for these sequences.

Table 4: Dinucleotide percentages over the 1757 S/MAR sequences

20

	AA %	AC %	AG %	AT %
Minimum	0.000	0.0000	0.0000	18.50
25th percentile	4.234	0.9372	0.1408	32.11
Median	7.843	2.2408	0.4777	34.68
Mean	7.184	3.2117	1.0865	34.32
75th percentile	10.110	4.7718	1.5096	36.94
Maximum	17.290	12.9479	8.1230	50.00
	CA %	CC %	CG %	CT %
Minimum	0.0000	0.00000	0.0000	0.0000
25th percentile	0.9695	0.00000	0.0000	0.1408
Median	1.9776	0.00000	0.0000	0.4777
Mean	2.6977	0.14123	0.2709	1.0865
75th percentile	3.7543	0.09422	0.1256	1.5096
Maximum	10.4061	4.24837	7.4410	8.1230
	GA %	GC %	GG %	GT %
Minimum	0.00000	0.0000	0.00000	0.0000
25th percentile	0.08696	0.0000	0.00000	0.9372
Median	0.32616	0.0000	0.00000	2.2408
Mean	0.63347	0.2104	0.14123	3.2117
75th percentile	0.83333	0.1914	0.09422	4.7718
Maximum	5.77889	9.8793	4.24837	12.9479
	TA %	TC %	TG %	TT %
Minimum	28.63	0.00000	0.0000	0.000
25th percentile	33.48	0.08696	0.9695	4.234
Median	35.22	0.32616	1.9776	7.843
Mean	35.29	0.63347	2.6977	7.184
75th percentile	37.14	0.83333	3.7543	10.110
Maximum	50.00	5.77889	10.4061	17.290

25 Considering the results of the predicted S/MAR elements and of the nonS/MAR sequences in the summary tables, noticeable differences can be noticed in the AT et TA dinucleotide contents between these two groups of sequences. AT and TA represent respectively at least 18,5 % and 28.6 % of the dinucleotide content of the predicted S/MAR sequences, whereas the minimum percentages for the same dinucleotides in

nonS/MAR sequences are respectively 0.3 % and 0%. Similarly, the maximum CC and GG content in S/MAR sequences is 4.2 %, whereas in nonS/MAR sequences the percentages for these two dinucleotides can amount up to 20.8 %.

- 5 The correlation between AT and TA dinucleotide percentages and the DNA highest bend as computed by SMAR Scan® is depicted in Fig. 17 for the predicted S/MAR sequences and in Fig.18 for the nonS/MAR sequences. The different scatterplots of these figures show that the TA percentage correlates well with the predicted DNA bend as predicted by SMAR Scan®.

10

Table 5: Dinucleotide percentages over the 1757 nonS/MAR sequences summary

	AA %	AC %	AG %	AT %
Minimum	0.000	1.735	1.512	0.3257
25th percentile	7.096	4.586	6.466	5.1033
Median	9.106	5.016	7.279	6.8695
Mean	8.976	5.054	7.184	7.0108
75th percentile	10.939	5.494	7.969	8.7913
Maximum	17.922	13.816	12.232	23.1788
	CA %	CC %	CG %	CT %
Minimum	3.571	0.8278	0.0000	1.512
25th percentile	6.765	4.1077	0.4727	6.466
Median	7.410	5.5556	0.8439	7.279
Mean	7.411	5.9088	1.2707	7.184
75th percentile	8.010	7.2460	1.5760	7.969
Maximum	15.714	20.8415	12.6074	12.232
	GA %	GC %	GG %	GT %
Minimum	1.319	0.4967	0.8278	1.735
25th percentile	5.495	3.2615	4.1077	4.586
Median	6.032	4.4092	5.5556	5.016
Mean	6.065	4.7468	5.9088	5.054
75th percentile	6.602	5.8824	7.2460	5.494
Maximum	10.423	16.0000	20.8415	13.816
	TA %	TC %	TG %	TT %
Minimum	0.000	1.319	3.571	0.000
25th percentile	3.876	5.495	6.765	7.096
Median	5.625	6.032	7.410	9.106
Mean	5.774	6.065	7.411	8.976
75th percentile	7.464	6.602	8.010	10.939
Maximum	24.338	10.423	15.714	17.922

- 15 Four of the novel super MARs were randomly picked and analyzed for AT and TA dinucleotide content, and compared with the previously known chicken lysMAR, considering windows of 100 base pairs (Table 6).

Surprisingly, Applicants have shown that all of the super MARs have AT dinucleotide frequencies greater than 12%, and TA dinucleotides greater than 10% of the total dinucleotides analysed in a window of 100base pairs of DNA. The most efficient MARs display values around 34% of the two dinucleotide pairs.

20

Table 6. Summary of %AT and TA dinucleotide frequencies of experimentally verified MARs

25

CLysMAR (average of CUEs)	AT% : 12.03	TA% : 10.29	SEQ ID No
P1_68	AT% : 33.78	TA% : 33.93	SEQ ID No
P1_6	AT% : 34.67	TA% : 34.38	SEQ ID No

P1 42	AT% : 35.65	TA% : 35.52	SEQ ID No
Mean value for all human "super"MARs	AT% : 34.32	TA% : 35.29	
Mean value for all human non-MARs	AT% : 7.01	TA% : 5.77	

6.4 Analysis of orthologous intergenic regions of human and mouse genomes

- 5 In order to get an insight on S/MAR evolution, orthologous intergenic regions of human and mouse genomes have been analysed with SMAR Scan®. The data set used is composed of 87 pairs of complete orthologous intergenic regions from the human and mouse genomes (Shabalina SA, Ogurtsov AY, Kondrashov VA, Kondrashov AS, Selective constraint in intergenic regions of human and mouse genomes, *Trends Genet*, 17(7):3736, 2001) (average length ~12 000 bp) located on 12 human and on 12 mouse chromosomes, the synteny of these sequences was confirmed by pairwise sequence alignment and consideration of the annotations of the flanking genes (experimental or predicted).
- 15 Analysis of the 87 human and mouse orthologous intergenic sequences have been analysed with SMAR Scan® using its default settings. Analysis of the human sequences yielded a total of 12 S/MARs predicted (representing a total length of 4 750 bp), located on 5 different intergenic sequences.
- 20 Among the three human intergenic sequences predicted to contain a "super" S/MAR using SMAR Scan® stringent settings, one of the corresponding mouse orthologous intergenic sequence is also predicted to contain a S/MAR (human EMBL ID: Z96050, position 28 010 to 76 951 orthologous to mouse EMBL ID: AC015932, positions 59 884 to 89 963). When a local alignment of these two orthologous intergenic sequences is performed, the best local alignment of these two big regions correspond to the regions predicted by SMAR Scan® to be S/MAR element. A manual search for the mouse orthologs of the two other human intergenic sequences predicted to contain a "super" S/MAR was performed using the Ensembl Genome Browser (<http://ensembl.org>). The mouse orthologous intergenic sequences of these two human sequences were retrieved using Ensembl orthologue predictions (based on gene names), searching the orthologous mouse genes for the pairs of human genes flanking these intergenic regions.
- 35 Because SMAR Scan® has been tuned for human sequences and consequently yields little "super"MARs with mouse genomic sequences, its default cutoff values were slightly relaxed for the minimum size of contiguous hits to be considered as S/MAR (using 200 bp instead of 300 bp). Analysis by SMAR Scan® of these mouse sequences predicted several S/MARs having high values for the different computed structural features. This finding suggests that the human MAR elements are conserved across species.
- 40

Example 7 : Dissection of the chicken lysozyme gene 5'- MAR

- 45 The 3000 base pair 5'-MAR was dissected into smaller fragments that were monitored for effect on transgene expression in Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells. To do so, seven fragments of ~400 bp were generated by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). These PCR-amplified fragments were contiguous and cover the entire MAR sequence when placed end-to-end. Four copies of each of these fragments were ligated in a head-to-tail orientation, to obtain a length corresponding to approximately half of that of

the natural MAR. The tetramers were inserted upstream of the SV40 promoter in pGEGFPControl, a modified version of the pGL3Control vector (Promega). The plasmid pGEGFPControl was created by exchanging the luciferase gene of pGL3Control for the EGFP gene from pEGFP-N1 (Clontech). The 5'-MAR-fragment-containing plasmids thus created were co-transfected with the resistance plasmid pSVneo in CHO-DG44 cells using LipofectAmine 2000 (Invitrogen) as transfection reagent, as performed previously (Zahn-Zabal, M., et al., "Development of stable cell lines for production or regulated expression using matrix attachment regions" *J Biotechnol*, 2001. 87(1): p. 29-42.). After selection of the antibiotic (G-418) resistant cells, polyclonal cell populations were analyzed by FACS for EGFP fluorescence.

Transgene expression was expressed at the percentile of high expressor cells, defined as the cells which fluorescence levels are at least 4 orders of magnitude higher than the average fluorescence of cells transfected with the pGEGFPControl vector without MAR. Fig. 5 shows that multimerized fragments B, K and F enhance transgene expression, despite their shorter size as compared to the original MAR sequence. In contrast, other fragments are poorly active or fully inactive.

Example 8 : Specificity of B, K and F regions in the MAR context

The 5'-MAR was serially deleted from the 5'-end (Fig.6, upper part) or the 3'-end (Fig.6, lower part), respectively. The effect of the truncated elements was monitored in an assay similar to that described in the previous section. Figure 6 shows that the loss of ability to stimulate transgene expression in CHO cells was not evenly distributed.

In this deletion study, the loss of MAR activity coincided with discrete regions of transition which overlap with the 5'-MAR B-, K- and F-fragment, respectively. In 5' deletions, activity was mostly lost when fragment K and F were removed. 3' deletions that removed the F and b elements had the most pronounced effects. In contrast, flanking regions A, D, E and G that have little or no ability to stimulate transgene expression on their own (Fig. 5), correspondingly did not contribute to the MAR activity in the 5'- and 3'-end deletion studies (Fig. 6).

Example 9:Structure of the F element

The 465 bp F fragment was further dissected into smaller sub-fragments of 234, 243, 213 bp and 122, 125 and 121 bp, respectively. Fragments of the former group were octamerized (8 copies) in a head-to-tail orientation, while those of the latter group were similarly hexa-decamerized (16 copies), to maintain a constant length of MAR sequence. These elements were cloned in pGEGFPControl vector and their effects were assayed in CHO cells as described previously. Interestingly, fragment FIII retained most of the activity of the full-length F fragment whereas fragment FII, which contains the right-hand side part of fragment FIII, lost all the ability to stimulate transgene expression (Fig. 7). This points to an active region comprised between nt 132 and nt 221 in the FIB fragment. Consistently, multiple copies of fragments FI and FIB, which encompass this region, displayed similar activity. FIIA on its own has no activity. However, when added to FIB, resulting in FIII, it enhances the activity of the former. Therefore FIIA appears to contain an auxiliary sequence that has little activity on its own, but that strengthens the activity of the minimal domain located in FIB.

Analysis of the distribution of individual motifs within the lysozyme gene 5'-MAR is shown in Fig. 8 A, along with some additional motifs that we added to the analysis. Most of these motifs were found to be dispersed throughout the MAR element, and not

specifically associated with the active portions. For instance, the binding sites of transcription factors and other motifs that have been associated with MARs were not preferentially localized in the active regions. It has also been proposed that active MAR sequences may consist of combination of distinct motifs. Several computer programs (MAR Finder, SMARTest, SIDD duplex stability) have been reported to identify MARs as regions of DNA that associate with the DNA matrix. They are usually based on algorithms that utilizes a predefined series of sequence-specific patterns that have previously been suggested as containing MAR activity, as exemplified by MAR Finder, now known as MAR Wiz. The output of these programs did not correlate well with the transcriptionally active portions of the *cLysMAR*. For instance, peaks of activity obtained with MAR Finder did not clearly match active MAR sub-portion, as for instance the B fragment is quite active in vivo but scores negative with MAR Finder (Fig. 8B, compare the top and middle panels). Bent DNA structures, as predicted by this program, did not correlate well either with activity (Fig. 8B, compare the top and bottom panels). Similar results were obtained with the other available programs (data not shown).

The motifs identified by available MAR prediction computer methods are therefore unlikely to be the main determinants of the ability of the *cLysMAR* to increase gene expression. Therefore, a number of other computer tools were tested. Surprisingly, predicted nucleosome binding sequences and nucleosome disfavoring sequences were found to be arranged in repetitively interspersed clusters over the MAR, with the nucleosome favouring sites overlapping the active B, K and F regions. Nucleosome positioning sequences were proposed to consist of DNA stretches that can easily wrap around the nucleosomal histones, and they had not been previously associated with MAR sequences.

Nucleosome-favouring sequences may be modelled by a collection of DNA features that include moderately repeated sequences and other physico-chemical parameters that may allow the correct phasing and orientation of the DNA over the curved histone surface. Identification of many of these DNA properties may be computerized, and up to 38 different such properties have been used to predict potential nucleosome positions. Therefore, we set up to determine if specific components of nucleosome prediction programs might correlate with MAR activity, with the objective to construct a tool allowing the identification of novel and possibly more potent MARs from genomic sequences.

To determine whether any aspects of DNA primary sequence might distinguish the active B, K and F regions from the surrounding MAR sequence, we analyzed the 5'-MAR with MAR Scan®. Of the 38 nucleosomal array prediction tools, three were found to correlate with the location of the active MAR sub-domains (Fig. 9A). Location of the MAR B, K and F regions coincides with maxima for DNA bending, major groove depth and minor groove width. A weaker correlation was also noted with minima of the DNA melting temperature, as determined by the GC content. Refined mapping over the MAR F fragment indicated that the melting temperature valley and DNA bending summit indeed correspond the FIB sub-fragment that contains the MAR minimal domain (Fig. 9B). Thus active MAR portions may correspond to regions predicted as curved DNA regions by this program, and we will refer to these regions as CUE-B, CUE-K and CUE-F in the text below. Nevertheless, whether these regions correspond to actual bent DNA and base-pair unwinding regions is unknown, as they do not correspond to bent DNA as predicted by MAR Wiz (Fig.9B).

Example 10 : Imprints of other regulatory elements in the F fragment

Nucleosome positioning features may be considered as one of the many specific chromatin codes contained in genomic DNA. Although this particular code may contribute to the activity of the F region, it is unlikely to determine MAR activity alone, as the 3' part of the F region enhanced activity of the minimal MAR domain contained in the FIB portion. Using the MatInspector program (Genomatix), we searched for transcription factor binding sites with scores higher than 0.92 and found DNA binding sequences for the NMP4 and MEF2 proteins in the 3' part of the F fragment (Fig. 8B). To determine whether any of these transcription factor-binding sites might localize close to the B and K active regions, the entire 5'-MAR sequence was analyzed for binding by NMP4 and MEF2 and proteins reported to bind to single-stranded or double-stranded form of BURs. Among those, SATB1 (special AT-rich binding protein 1) belongs to a class of DNA-binding transcription factor that can either activate or repress the expression of nearby genes. This study indicated that specific proteins such as SATB1, NMP4 (nuclear matrix protein 4) and MEF2 (myogenic enhancer factor 2), have a specific distribution and form a framework around the minimal MAR domains of *cLysMAR* (Fig. 10). The occurrence of several of these NMP4 and SATB1 binding sites has been confirmed experimentally by the EMSA analysis of purified recombinant proteins (data not shown).

Example 11 : Construction of artificial MARs by combining defined genetic elements

To further assess the relative roles of the various MAR components, the *cLysMAR* was deleted of all three CUE regions (Fig. 11, middle part), which resulted in the loss of part of its activity when compared to the complete MAR sequence similarly assembled from all of its components as a control (Fig. 11, top part). Consistently, one copy of each CUE alone, or one copy of each of the three CUEs assembled head-to-tail, had little activity in the absence of the flanking sequences. These results strengthen the conclusion that optimal transcriptional activity requires the combination of CUEs with of flanking sequences. Interestingly, the complete MAR sequence generated from each of its components, but containing also BglII-BamHI linker sequences (AGATCC) used to assemble each DNA fragment, displayed high transcriptional activity (6 fold activation) as compared to the 4.8 fold noted for the original MAR element in this series of assays (see Fig. 5).

We next investigated whether the potentially curved DNA regions may also be active in an environment different from that found in their natural MAR context. Therefore, we set up to swap the CUE-F, CUE-B and CUE-K elements, keeping the flanking sequences unchanged. The sequences flanking the CUE-F element were amplified by PCR and assembled to bracket the various CUEs, keeping their original orientation and distance, or without a CUE. These engineered ~1.8 kb MARs were then assayed for their ability to enhance transgene expression as above. All three CUE were active in this context, and therefore their action is not restricted to one given set of flanking sequences. Interestingly, the CUE-K element was even more active than CUE-F when inserted between the CUE-F flanking sequences, and the former composite construct exhibited an activity as high as that observed for the complete natural MAR (4.8 fold activation). What distinguishes the CUE-K element from CUE-F and CUE-B is the presence of overlapping binding sites for the MEF-2 and SatB1 proteins, in addition to its CUE feature. Therefore, fusing CUE-B with CUE-F-flanking domain results in a higher density of all three binding sites, which is likely explanation to the increased activity. These results indicate that assemblies of CUEs with sequences containing binding sites

for proteins such as NMP4, MEF-2, SatB1, and/or polyPpolyQ proteins constitute potent artificial MAR sequences.

Example 12 : Expression vectors

5 Three expression vectors according to the present invention are represented on Figure 12.

Plasmid pPAG01 is a 5640 bp pUC19 derivative. It contains a 2960 bp chicken DNA fragment cloned in *Bam*H1 and *Xba*I restriction sites. The insert comes from the border of the 5'-end of the chicken lysozyme locus and has a high A/T-content.

10

Plasmid pGEGFP (also named pSV40EGFP) control is a derivative of the pGL3-control vector (Promega) in which the luciferase gene sequence has been replaced by the EGFP gene sequence from the pEGFP-N1 vector (Clontech). The size of pGEGFP plasmid is 4334bp.

15

Plasmid pUbCEGFP control is a derivative of the pGL3 with an Ubiquitin promoter.

20

Plasmid pPAG01GFP (also named pMAR-SV40EGFP) is a derivative of pGEGFP with the 5'-Lys MAR element cloned in the MCS located just upstream of the SV40 promoter. The size of the pPAG01EGF plasmid is 7285bp.

Example 13 : Effect of the additional transfection of primary transfectant cells on transgene expression

25 One day before transfection, cells were plated in a 24-well plate, in growth medium at a density of 1.35×10^5 cells/well for CHO-DG44 cells. 16 hours post-inoculum, cells were transfected when they reached 30-40% confluence, using Lipofect-AMINE 2000 (hereinafter LF2000), according to the manufacturer's instructions (Invitrogen). Twenty-seven microliters of serum free medium (Opti-MEM; Invitrogen) containing 1.4 μ l of
30 LF2000 were mixed with 27 μ l of Opti-MEM containing 830 ng of linear plasmid DNA. The antibiotic selection plasmid (pSVneo) amounted to one tenth of the reporter plasmid bearing the GFP transgene. The mix was incubated at room temperature for 20 min, to allow the DNA-LF2000 complexes to form. The mixture was diluted with 300 μ l of Opti-MEM and poured into previously emptied cell-containing wells. Following 3
35 hours incubation of the cells with the DNA mix at 37°C in a CO₂ incubator, one ml of DMEM-based medium was added to each well. The cells were further incubated for 24 hours in a CO₂ incubator at 37°C. The cells were then transfected a second time according to the method described above, except that the resistance plasmid carried another resistance gene (pSVpuro). Twenty-four hours after the second transfection,
40 cells were passaged and expanded into a T-75 flask containing selection medium supplemented with 500 μ g/ml G-418 and 5 μ g/ml puromycin. After a two week selection period, stably transfected cells were cultured in 6-well plates. Alternatively, the cell population was transfected again using the same method, but pTKhygro (Clontech) and pSVdhfr as resistance plasmids. The expression of GFP was analysed with
45 Fluorescence-activated cell sorter (FACS) and with a Fluoroscanner.

50 Fig.13 shows that the phenotype of the twice-transfected cells (hereafter called secondary transfectants) not only was strongly coloured, such that special bulb and filter were not required to visualize the green color from the GFP protein, but also contained a majority of producing cells (bottom right-hand side FACS histogram) as compared to the parental population (central histogram). This level of fluorescence corresponds to specific cellular productivities of at least 10 pg per cell per day. Indeed,

cells transfected only one time (primary transfectants) that did not express the marker protein were almost totally absent from the cell population after re-transfection. Bars below 10^1 units of GFP fluorescence amounted 30% in the central histogram and less than 5% in the right histogram. This suggested that additional cells had been transfected and successfully expressed GFP.

Strikingly, the amount of fluorescence exhibited by re-transfected cells suggested that the subpopulation of cells having incorporated DNA twice expressed much more GFP than the expected two-fold increase. Indeed, the results shown in Table 2 indicate that the secondary transfectants exhibited, on average, more than the two-fold increase of GFP expected if two sets of sequences, one at each successive transfection, would have been integrated independently and with similar efficiencies. Interestingly, this was not dependent on the promoter sequence driving the reporter gene as both viral and cellular promoter-containing vectors gave a similar GFP enhancement (compare lane 1 and 2). However, the effect was particularly marked for the MAR-containing vector as compared to plasmids without MAR- (lane 3), where the two consecutive transfections resulted in a 5.3 and 4.6 fold increase in expression, in two distinct experiments.

Type of plasmids	Primary transfection	Secondary transfection	EGFP fluorescence Fold increase
pUbCEGFP	4'992	14'334	2.8
pSV40EGFP	4'324	12'237	2.8
pMAR-SV40EGFP	6'996	36'748	5.3

Type of plasmids	Primary transfection	Secondary transfection	EGFP fluorescence Fold increase
pUbCEGFP	6'452	15'794	2.5
pSV40EGFP	4'433	11'735	2.6
pMAR-SV40EGFP	8'116	37'475	4.6

Table 7. Effect of re-transfecting primary transfectants at 24 hours interval on GFP expression. Two independent experiments are shown. The resistance plasmid pSVneo was co-transfected with various GFP expression vectors. One day post-transfection, cells were re-transfected with the same plasmids with the difference that the resistance plasmid was changed for pSVpuro. Cells carrying both resistance genes were selected on 500 µg/ml G-418 and 5µg/ml puromycin and the expression of the reporter gene marker was quantified by Fluoroscanner. The fold increases correspond to the ratio of fluorescence obtained from two consecutive transfections as compared to the sum of fluorescence obtained from the corresponding independent transfections. The fold increases that were judged significantly higher are shown in bold, and correspond to fluorescence values that are consistently over 2-fold higher than the addition of those obtained from the independent transfections.

The increase in the level of GFP expression in multiply transfected cells was not expected from current knowledge, and this effect had not been observed previously.

Taken together, the data presented here support the idea that the plasmid sequences that primarily integrated into the host genome would facilitate integration of other plasmids by homologous recombination with the second incoming set of plasmid molecules. Plasmid recombination events occur within a 1-h interval after the plasmid DNA has reached the nucleus and the frequency of homologous recombination

between co-injected plasmid molecules in cultured mammalian cells has been shown to be extremely high, approaching unity (Folger, K.R., K. Thomas, and M.R. Capecchi, Nonreciprocal exchanges of information between DNA duplexes coinjected into mammalian cell nuclei. *Mol Cell Biol*, 1985. 5(1): p. 59-69], explaining the integration of multiple plasmid copies. However, homologous recombination between newly introduced DNA and its chromosomal homolog normally occurs very rarely, at a frequency of 1 in 10^3 cells receiving DNA to the most [Thomas, K.R., K.R. Folger, and M.R. Capecchi, High frequency targeting of genes to specific sites in the mammalian genome. *Cell*, 1986. 44(3): p. 419-28.]. Thus, the results might indicate that the MAR element surprisingly acts to promote such recombination events. MARs would not only modify the organization of genes in vivo, and possibly also allow DNA replication in conjunction with viral DNA sequences, but they may also act as DNA recombination signals.

Example 14 : MARs mediate the unexpectedly high levels of expression in multiply transfected cells

If MAR-driven recombination events were to occur in the multiple transfections process, we expect that the synergy between the primary and secondary plasmid DNA would be affected by the presence of MAR elements at one or both of the transfection steps. We examined this possibility by multiply transfections of the cells with pMAR alone or in combination with various expression plasmids, using the method described previously. Table 3 shows that transfecting the cells twice with the pMAR-SV40EGFP plasmid gave the highest expression of GFP and the highest degree of enhancement of all conditions (4.3 fold). In contrast, transfecting twice the vector without MAR gave little or no enhancement, 2.8-fold, instead of the expected two-fold increase. We conclude that the presence of MAR elements at each transfection step is necessary to achieve the maximal protein synthesis.

Table 8

Primary transfection		Secondary transfection		
Type of plasmid	EGFP-fluorescence	Type of plasmid	EGFP-fluorescence	Fold increase
pMAR	0	pMAR	0	0
		pSV40EGFP	15'437	2.3-2.5
		pMAR-SV40EGFP	30'488	2.6-2.7
pMAR-SV40EGFP	11'278	pMAR-SV40EGFP	47'027	4.3-5.3
		pMAR	12'319	1.0-1.1
pSV40EGFP	6'114	pSV40EGFP	17'200	2.8
		pMAR	11'169	1.8-2.3

Interestingly, when cells were first transfected with pMAR alone, and then re-transfected with pSV40EGFP or pMAR-SV40EGFP, the GFP levels were more than doubled as compared to those resulting from the single transfection of the later plasmids (2.5 and 2.7 fold respectively, instead of the expected 1-fold). This indicates that the prior transfection of the MAR can increase the expression of the plasmid used in the second transfection procedure. Because MARs act only locally on chromatin structure and gene expression, this implies that the two types of DNA may have integrated at a similar chromosomal locus. In contrast, transfecting the GFP expression vectors alone, followed by the MAR element in the second step, yielded little or no improvement of the GFP levels. This indicates that the order of plasmid

transfection is important, and that the first transfection event should contain a MAR element to allow significantly higher levels of transgene expression.

If MAR elements favoured the homologous recombination of the plasmids remaining in episomal forms from the first and second transfection procedures, followed by their co-integration at one chromosomal locus, one would expect that the order of plasmid transfection would not affect GFP levels. However, the above findings indicate that it is more favourable to transfect the MAR element in the first rather than in the second transfection event. This suggests the following molecular mechanism: during the first transfection procedure, the MAR elements may concatemerize and integrate, at least in part, in the cellular chromosome. This integrated MAR DNA may in turn favour the further integration of more plasmids, during the second transfection procedure, at the same or at a nearby chromosomal locus.

Example 15 : MARs as long term DNA transfer facilitators

If integrated MARs mediated a persistent recombination-permissive chromosomal structure, one would expect high levels of expression even if the second transfection was performed long after the first one, at a time when most of the transiently introduced episomal DNA has been eliminated. To address this possibility, the cells from Table 3, selected for antibiotic resistance for three weeks, were transfected again once or twice and selected for the incorporation of additional DNA resistance markers. The tertiary, or the tertiary and quaternary transfection cycles, were performed with combinations of pMAR or pMAR-SV40EGFP, and analyzed for GFP expression as before.

Table 9

Tertiary transfection			Quaternary transfection		
Type of plasmid	EGFP-fluorescence	Fold increase	Type of plasmid	EGFP-fluorescence	Fold increase
pMAR	18368	2.2	pMAR pMAR-SV40EGFP	43'186 140'000	2.4 7.6
pMAR-SV40EGFP	16544	2.0	pMAR-SV40EGFP pMAR	91'000 33'814	5.5 2.0

Table 9. MARs act as facilitator of DNA integration.

The pMAR-SV40EGFP/ pMAR-SV40EGFP secondary transfectants were used in a third cycle of transfection at the end of the selection process. The tertiary transfection was accomplished with pMAR or pMAR-SV40EGFP, and pTKhygro as selection plasmid, to give tertiary transfectants. After 24 hours, cells were transfected again with either plasmid and pSVdhfr, resulting in the quaternary transfectants which were selected in growth medium containing 500 µg/ml G-418 and 5µg/ml puromycin, 300 µg/ml hygromycin B and 5µM methotrexate. The secondary transfectants initially exhibited a GFP fluorescence of 8300. The fold increases correspond to the ratio of fluorescence obtained from two consecutive transfections as compared to the sum of

fluorescence obtained from the corresponding independent transfections. The fold increases that were judged significantly higher are shown in bold, and correspond to fluorescence values that are 2-fold higher than the addition of those obtained from the independent transfections.

These results show that loading more copies of pMAR or pMAR-SV40EGFP resulted in similar 2-fold enhancements of total cell fluorescence. Loading even more of the MAR in the quaternary transfection further enhanced this activity by another 2.4-fold. This is consistent with our hypothesis that newly introduced MAR sequences may integrate at the chromosomal transgene locus by homologous recombination and thereby further increase transgene expression.

When the cells were transfected a third and fourth time with the pMAR-SV40EGFP plasmid, GFP activity further increased, once again to levels not expected from the addition of the fluorescence levels obtained from independent transfections. GFP expression reached levels that resulted in cells visibly glowing green in day light (Fig. 14). These results further indicate that the efficiency of the quaternary transfection was much higher than that expected from the efficacy of the third DNA transfer, indicating that proper timing between transfections is crucial to obtain the optimal gene expression increase, one day being preferred over a three weeks period.

We believe that MAR elements favour secondary integration events in increasing recombination frequency at their site of chromosomal integration by relaxing closed chromatin structure, as they mediate a local increase of histone acetylation (Yasui, D., et al., SATB1 targets chromatin remodelling to regulate genes over long distances. *Nature*, 2002. 419(6907): p. 641-5.]. Alternatively, or concomitantly, MARs potentially relocate nearby genes to subnuclear locations thought to be enriched in trans-acting factors, including proteins that can participate in recombination events such as topoisomerases. This can result in a locus in which the MAR sequences can bracket the pSV40EGFP repeats, efficiently shielding the transgenes from chromatin-mediated silencing effects.

Example 16 : Use of MARs identified with SMAR Scan® II to increase the expression of a recombinant protein.

Four MAR elements were randomly selected from the sequences obtained from the analysis of the complete human genome sequence with SMAR Scan® or the combined method. These are termed 1_6, 1_42, 1_68, (where the first number represents the chromosome from which the sequence originates, and the second number is specific to the predicted MAR along this chromosome) and X_S29, a "super" MAR identified on chromosome X. These predicted MARs were inserted into the pGEGFPControl vector upstream of the SV40 promoter and enhancer driving the expression of the green fluorescent protein and these plasmids were transfected into cultured CHO cells, as described previously (Zahn-Zabal, M., et al., *Development of stable cell lines for production or regulated expression using matrix attachment regions*. J Biotechnol, 2001. 87(1): p. 29-42). Expression of the transgene was then analyzed in the total population of stably transfected cells using a fluorescent cell sorter (FACS) machine. As can be seen from Fig. 19, all of these newly identified MARs increased the expression of the transgene significantly above the expression driven by the chicken lysosyme MAR, the "super" MAR X_S29 being the most potent of all of the newly identified MARs.

Example 17: Effect on hematocrit of *in vivo* expression of mEpo by electrotransfer of Network system with and without Human MAR (1-68).

- 5 The therapeutic gene encodes EPO (erythropoietin), an hormone used for the treatment of anemia. The EPO gene is placed under the control of a doxycycline inducible promoter, in a gene switch system described previously called below the Network system (Imhof, M. O., Chatellard, P., and Mermod, N. (2000). A regulatory network for efficient control of transgene expression. *J. Gene. Med.* **2**, 107-116.). The
- 10 EPO and regulatory genes are then injected in the muscle of mice using an *in vivo* electroporation procedure termed the electrotransfer, so that the genes are transferred to the nuclei of the muscle fibers. When the doxycycline antibiotic is added to the drinking water of the mice, this compound is expected to induce the expression of EPO, which will lead to the elevation of the hematocrit level, due to the increase in red blood
- 15 cell counts mediated by the high levels of circulating EPO. Thus, if the MAR improved expression of EPO, higher levels of hematocrit would be expected.

In vivo experiments were carried out on 5 week-old C57BL6 female mice (Iffa Credo-Charles River, France). 30µg of plasmid DNA in normal saline solution was delivered by

20 trans-cutaneous injections in the tibialis anterior muscle. All injections were carried out under Ketaminol (75 mg/kg) and Narcoxyl (10 mg/kg) anesthesia. Following the intramuscular injection of DNA, an electrical field was applied to the muscle. A voltage of 200 V/cm was applied in 8 ms pulses at 1Hz (Bettan M, Darteil R, Caillaud JM, Soubrier F, Delaere P, Branelec D, Mahfoudi A, Duverger N, Scherman D. 2000. "High-

25 level protein secretion into blood circulation after electric pulse-mediated gene transfer into skeletal muscle". *Mol Ther.* **2**: 204-10).

16 mice were injected by the Network system expressing EPO without the 1_68 MAR and 16 other mice were injected with the Network system incorporating the MAR in 5' of

30 the promoter/enhancer sequences driving the expression of the activator and EPO genes. In each group, half of the mice were submitted to doxycycline in drinking water from the beginning of the experiment (day 0 – the day of electrotransfer) and in the other half, doxycycline was put in drinking water starting at day 21.

35 Blood samples were collected using heparinated capillaries by retro-orbital puncture at different times after the injection of plasmids. Capillaries were centrifuged 10 minutes at 5000 rpm at room temperature and the volumetric fraction of blood cells is assessed in comparison to the total blood volume and expressed as a percentile, determining the hematocrit level.

40 As can be deduced from Fig. 16 The group of mice injected by MAR-network, induced from the beginning of the experiment, display a better induction of the hematocrit in comparison of mice injected by original network without MAR. After 2 months, haematocrits in "MAR-containing group" is still at values higher (65%) than normal

45 hematocrit levels (45-55%).

More importantly, late induction (day 21) is possible only in presence of MAR but not from mice where the Network was injected without the MAR. Thus the MAR likely protects the transgenes from silencing and allows induction of its expression even after

50 prolong period in non-inducing conditions.

Overall, the MAR element is able to increase the expression of the therapeutic gene as detected from its increased physiological effect on the hematocrit.

CLAIMS

1. A purified and isolated DNA sequence having protein production increasing activity, characterized in that said DNA sequence comprises a) at least one bent
5 DNA element, wherein the bent DNA element contains at least 33% of dinucleotide TA and/or at least 33% of dinucleotide AT on a stretch of 100 contiguous base pairs, b) at least one binding site for a DNA binding protein, c) and that it is a MAR nucleotide sequence with the sequence SEQ ID No 25, or a sequence complimentary thereof, or
10 a sequence having at least 90% identity with said sequence SEQ ID No 25.
2. The purified and isolated sequence of claims 1, characterized in that said DNA binding protein is a transcription factor.
- 15 3. The purified and isolated sequence of claim 2, characterized in that the transcription factor is selected from the group comprising the polyQpolyP domain proteins.
4. The purified and isolated sequence of claim 2, characterized in that the
20 transcription factor is selected from the group comprising SATB1, NMP4, MEF2, S8, DLX1, FREAC7, BRN2, GATA 1/3, TATA, Bright, MSX, AP1, C/EBP, CREBP1, FOX, Freac7, HFH1, HNF3alpha, Nkx25, POU3F2, Pit1, TTF1, XFD1, AR, C/EBPgamma, Cdc5, FOXD3, HFH3, HNF3 beta, MRF2, Oct1, POU6F1, SRF, V\$MTATA_B, XFD2, Bach2, CDP CR3, Cdx2, FOXJ2, HFL, HP1, Myc, PBX, Pax3,
25 TEF, VBP, XFD3, Brn2, COMP1, Evl, FOXP3, GATA4, HFN1, Lhx3, NKX3A, POU1F1, Pax6, TFIIA and Vmw65 or a combination of two or more of these transcription factors.
5. The use of a purified and isolated DNA sequence comprising a first isolated
30 matrix attachment region (MAR) nucleotide sequence which is a MAR nucleotide sequence selected from the group comprising:
 - a purified and isolated DNA sequence of any of claims 1 to 4,
 - the sequence SEQ ID No 25,

or a sequence complementary thereof, for increasing protein production activity in a eukaryotic host cell.

6. The use of the purified and isolated DNA sequence of claim 5, characterized in that said purified and isolated DNA sequence further comprises a promoter operably linked to a gene of interest.

7. The use of the purified and isolated DNA sequence of claims 5 or 6, characterized in that said purified and isolated DNA sequence further comprises at least a second isolated matrix attachment region (MAR) nucleotide sequence which is a MAR nucleotide sequence selected from the group comprising:

- a purified and isolated DNA sequence of any of claims 1 to 4,
- the sequence SEQ ID No 25,

or a sequence complementary thereof, for increasing protein production activity in a eukaryotic host cell.

8. The use of the purified and isolated DNA sequence of claim 7, characterized in that said first and at least second MAR sequences are located at both the 5' and the 3' ends of the sequence containing the promoter and the gene of interest.

9. The use of the purified and isolated DNA sequence of claim 7, characterized in that said first and/or at least second MAR sequences are located on a sequence distinct from the one containing the promoter and the gene of interest.

10. The use of the purified and isolated DNA sequence of any of claims 5 to 9, characterized in that said purified and isolated DNA sequence is in the form of a linear DNA sequence as vector.

11. A method for transfecting a eukaryotic host cell, said method comprising a) introducing into said eukaryotic host cell at least one purified DNA sequence comprising at least one purified and isolated DNA sequence consisting of a MAR nucleotide sequence of any of claims 1 to 4, b) subjecting within a defined time said transfected eukaryotic host cell to at least one additional transfection step with at least one purified DNA sequence comprising at least one DNA sequence of interest

and/or with at least one purified and isolated DNA sequence consisting of a MAR nucleotide sequence or other chromatin modifying elements, and c) selecting said transfected eukaryotic host cell.

5 12. The method of claim 11, characterized in that said DNA sequence of interest is a gene of interest coding for a protein operably linked to a promoter.

10 13. The method of claims 11 or 12, characterized in that the selected transfected eukaryotic host cells are high protein producer cells with a production rate of at least 10 pg per cell per day.

14. The method of any of claims 11 to 13, characterized in that the MAR nucleotide sequence is selected from the group comprising:
- a purified and isolated DNA sequence of any of claims 1 to 4,
15 - the sequence SEQ ID No 25,
or a sequence complementary thereof.

15. The method of any of claims 11 to 14, characterized in that the MAR nucleotide is a purified and isolated sequence according to any of claims 1 to 4, or
20 a sequence complementary thereof.

16. The method of any of claims 11 to 15, characterized in that the defined time corresponds to intervals related to the cell division cycle.

25 17. The method of claim 16, characterized in that the defined time is the moment the host cell just has entered into a second cell division cycle.

18. A method for transfecting a eukaryotic host cell, said method comprising co-transfecting into said eukaryotic host cell at least one first purified and isolated DNA
30 sequence comprising at least one DNA sequence of interest, and a second and isolated purified DNA comprising at least one MAR nucleotide selected from the group comprising:

- a purified and isolated DNA sequence of any of claims 1 to 4,
- the sequence SEQ ID No 25,

or a sequence complementary thereof.

- 5 19. A process for the production of a protein wherein a) a eukaryotic host cell transfected according to any of claims 11 to 18 is cultured in a culture medium under conditions suitable for expression of said protein and b) said protein is recovered.
- 10 20. A eukaryotic host cell transfected according to any one of claims 11 to 18.
21. A cell transfection mixture or kit comprising at least one DNA sequence according to any of claims 1 to 4.
- 15 22. A transgenic non-human organism characterized in that at least some of its cells have stably incorporated at least one DNA sequence of any of claims 1 to 4.
23. A transgenic non-human organism characterized in that its genome has stably incorporated at least one DNA sequence of any of claims 1 to 4.
- 20 24. The transgenic non-human organism of claims 22 or 23 characterized in that some of its cells have been transfected according to the method of any of claims 11 to 18.
- 25 25. A eukaryotic host cell transfected with at least one DNA sequence according to any of claims 1 to 4.