

Description

Method for performing an alignment measurement of two patterns in different layers on a semiconductor wafer

5

The present invention relates to a method for performing an alignment measurement of two patterns in different layers on a semiconductor wafer, which comprises a set of exposure fields having a fixed number of fields being selected for said alignment measurement, each of said exposure fields being provided with at least one alignment structure.

10

Throughout this document the term alignment structure refers to any measurement mark used for identifying absolute or relative positions on a semiconductor wafer, e.g. alignment marks for aligning a wafer on a stage in an exposure tool or overlay targets for comparing the deviation of two patterns in different layers or registration marks for measuring absolute positions on a wafer by comparison with reference data, which can originate from a library or a reference wafer.

15

20

Due to the rapidly decreasing minimum structure sizes of integrated circuits, strong requirements are set to the minute exposure of a semiconductor wafer with a mask pattern. An accurate overlay is commonly accomplished by aligning a dedicated alignment mark that has been structured on the wafer surface in a previous exposure step, i. e. the recent layer, with another alignment mark being associated with a mask pattern to be projected onto the wafer in the current step.

25

30

In an exposure tool, this alignment of the semiconductor wafer is performed prior to exposure by a position comparison of the structured alignment mark of the recent layer with the virtual, not yet projected alignment mark of the current layer.

35

After exposure, the accurateness of the performed alignment can be monitored in an overlay tool, where the position of associated alignment marks - both now being structured in subsequent layers - can be measured in x- and y-direction, and the difference between them then being compared with a threshold value.

Current overlay specifications require a maximum overlay tolerance of 35 - 50 nm in the case of high-end products. The alignment marks, of which the position differences are measured during exposure alignment or overlay control, are commonly positioned in the scribelines, or frames of each exposure field on the wafer. A common alignment procedure during exposure is to select a set of exposure fields already structured in the previous exposure step having a predefined number of position. In each of these, e.g. nine exposure fields, four alignment marks are measured in x- and y-direction and compared against their virtual counterpart through the optics. Having determined the position differences, shift, scaling, and rotation of the current layer to be projected with respect to the previous layer is known and the position and movement of the wafer stage can be adjusted.

The information associated with the selected set of exposure fields determined for alignment is commonly tabulated and read out by the alignment control software, which automatically performs each of the alignment measurement steps.

A similar procedure is valid for the overlay control. In several post-processing steps such as chemical-mechanical polishing (CMP) or etching, the alignment marks structured in a previous exposure step are often damaged or obscured, particularly if some process change occurred. In these cases, the structure of the alignment marks has to be adapted to the process and changes therefore may lead deteriorated alignment marks. Thus, a sufficient alignment procedure, or overlay control, is in many instances not feasible, particularly if

the processes described above occur systematically, e. g. at the wafer edges. Therefore, the alignment quality decreases resulting in a lowered wafer yield.

5 To circumvent this, overlay or alignment control programs commonly flag other signals if preselected exposure fields and alignment marks cannot be detected or give two poor measurement signals. Unfortunately, the system then needs operator input how to proceed with the present case, which consumes expensive time and requires larger operator staff.
10

It is therefore a primary objective of the present invention to decrease the time needed for an alignment measurement or overlay measurement of a semiconductor wafer and to increase
15 the wafer yield.

The objective is solved by a method for performing an alignment measurement of two patterns in different layers on a semiconductor wafer, which comprises a set of exposure fields
20 having a fixed number being selected for said alignment measurement, each of said exposure fields being provided with at least one alignment structure, comprising the steps of providing the semiconductor wafer to a processing tool for performing said alignment measurement, selecting a first alignment
25 structure in a first one of the set of exposure fields having a fixed number, performing an offset measurement using said first alignment structure, with issuing an error signal representing the case that said offset measurement either is not feasible due to a poor alignment structure quality or
30 provides an offset beyond a tolerance range, selecting a second exposure field in response to said error signal at a substitute, which is not included in the set of exposure fields on said semiconductor wafer with the exception of the first exposure field, selecting a second alignment structure
35 in said second exposure field, performing an offset measurement using said second alignment structure, and continuing with measuring a second relative offset of an alignment

structure in a next exposure field of said set of exposure fields.

According to the present invention, a different alignment
5 structure, or alignment mark equivalently, is selected if the measurement of the position offset cannot be carried out. For example, this may be due to the obscuring or damaging effects as mentioned above. Instead of signalling an alarm to the operator for, e. g. interrupting the exposure process, a sub-
10 stitute alignment structure is chosen, which provides a still sufficient amount of data for performing the adjustment determination during the alignment step, or a full characterisation of the overlay quality in a metrology inspection.

15 In order to retain the positions of the alignment mark across the wafer map as far as possible constant, the second alignment structure selected can be chosen from the same exposure field where the error occurred. Since the measurement of an additional alignment mark requires only a few seconds, while
20 the overall quality is retained, a large amount of time is saved as compared with an operator intervention.

Most preferably, the alignment mark chosen from the same exposure field has a position in the vicinity of the damaged or
25 obscured alignment structure. But the second alignment structure may also be selected from a different exposure field, which is not part of the set of exposure fields being preselected. In this case, the total number of selected exposure fields is also retained holding the amount of positional in-
30 formation constant that is necessary to perform the alignment.

The present method is preferably implemented in overlay or alignment control programs selecting the necessary exposure
35 fields and alignment marks positions from a stored table. If the error signal is issued which represents the problem of poor alignment structure quality or offset tolerance violati-

on, a substitute exposure field and alignment mark position which is preferably stored in the same table previously, thereby being associated with the initial fixed set of exposure fields and alignment marks. In such an example, each exposure field of the set of exposure fields has its own substitute exposure field.

The present method is applicable to any alignment or overlay measurement of a process tool in semiconductor wafer manufacturing. It is not restricted to exposure tools or overlay metrology tools, but can also be used in, e. g. alignment procedures for other metrology tools like defect inspection, scanning electron microscopes, or processing tools needing an alignment because they affect distinct parts of the wafer surface.

The position of the second exposure field with respect to the first exposure field can advantageously be adapted to the problem that is to be expected by the damaging or obscuring process, for example it can be the next exposure field in the same row of the wafer map, or it can generally be chosen to be the next exposure field in the direction of the wafer centre or vice-versa. It is also possible that the second exposure field is randomly chosen, thereby always considering that an exposure field already being a member of the fixed number of exposure fields being preselected must not be measured twice. In a further aspect, the case that the second alignment mark also reveals problems with obscuration or damage is considered. According to the present invention, a third exposure field with a third alignment structure is then selected for performing the offset measurement of the alignment marks from the different layers. The procedure of selecting even further exposure fields as a substitute can be continued until a threshold number of substitute fields representing the case that obviously any alignment mark is obscured, or perhaps a wrong mask pattern is projected onto the already structured wafer surface.

A method for refining the idea of the present invention concerning a self-learning system using the results of repeatedly performing the method of the present invention as input to a neural network is considered. The neural network is trained using the steps according to the method of the present invention. If, for example, selected exposure field repeatedly result in a mismeasurement or flyer resulting in a misalignment, it reacts by altering the selection of substitution fields or even the predefined set of exposure fields. The same is valid for the selection of the alignment marks in an exposure field. This idea can also be implemented by fuzzy logic.

Since an alignment and overlay quality can be retained or even enhanced due to the selection of exposure fields and their substitutes, the wafer yield is increased and the time spent in system maintenance or repair is advantageously reduced.

Further advantages and aspects are evident from the dependent claims.

The invention will be better understood by reference to the following description of embodiments of the invention taken in conjunction with the accompanying drawings, wherein

figure 1 shows a first alignment structure with poor quality initiating a selection of a different alignment structure in the same field (lower left) or in another field (lower right),

figure 2 shows an exposure field map of a semiconductor wafer with a 1 designating exposure fields with alignment marks being selected for a measurement, and a 2 or a 3 designating substitutes,

figure 3 shows a graph established for matching substitute targets with standard targets.

An alignment structure 20 embodied as an overlay target to be measured in a metrology tool, which has poor quality due to previous processing steps, is shown in the upper portion of figure 1. The alignment structure 20 is positioned in the upper left corner of the scribeline of each exposure field 2 of a semiconductor wafer 1. In this example the alignment structure 20 consists a) of 4 quadratically arranged twin-trenches formed in a previously structured deep trench layer of a 0.14 μm DRAM-pattern to form a reference target 31, and b) of four smaller equally structured bars in a resist layer, which is the gate contact layer, inside the square given in a) to provide a resist target 30.

An overlay measurement comprises measuring a deviation of the centre of the resist target 30 with respect to the already structured reference target 31 of the previously formed layer. While the resist pattern 30 is clearly visible on the SEM-image in the upper portion of figure 1, the reference target 31 of the previously structured layer reveals a poor structure contrast due to e.g. a local focus spot having occurred during a recent exposure or due to chemical mechanical polishing (CMP), thereby being obliterated.

If the alignment structure 20 is located an exposure field 2, which is part of the set of exposure fields 10 being selected for an alignment measurement, as shown in figure 2, the measurement of x-y-deviations in centre positions of the respective layer patterns 30, 31 are hard to be carried out. In particular, the centre position of reference target 31 of the previously structured pattern is hard to be determined. The control unit of the metrology tool therefore generates an error signal indicating that an offset measurement is not feasible since either the alignment structure provides poor quality or cannot be detected at all. The error signal is

then evaluated by the control program, which has access to a table comprising the position of a second alignment structure 21b in a substitute exposure field 11, which is uniquely associated with the previous exposure field 10. The relative position inside the exposure field 10, 11 is the same for both alignment structures 20, 21b.

If particularly local phenomena like focus spots led to the problem of obliteration of the alignment structure 20, the probability that an alignment measurement can be performed in the corresponding alignment structure 21b of an exposure field sufficiently far away is considerably larger than in the first exposure field. In the case of the alignment structure 21b in the lower right corner of figure 1 both centre positions, i.e. the centre position of the resist target 30 and of the twin-trench reference target 31b, can easily be measured, and thus an accurate overlay determination can be achieved. Therefore, the inter-field change 101 in figure 1 as performed by the metrology tool control program in response to the error signal provides a high degree of automation, thereby saving time and improving product quality.

In figure 1 another embodiment of the present invention is shown in the lower left corner. The control program of the metrology tool recognizes from the error signal content, which originates from a detection of poor quality in the alignment structure 20, that the problem with the twin-trench reference target 31 is particularly due to effects of chemical mechanical polishing (CMP). The problem can be circumvented by switching from the alignment target 20 with twin-trench reference targets 31 having a structure width of 0,4 μm to another alignment structure 21a in an exposure field 11, which is essentially the same as the exposure field 10 that has a trench reference target 31a with a structure width of 1,2 μm (with a single-trench).

This may be advantageous, if larger structure sizes do not suffer such as strong as in the case of small structure sizes. In this embodiment, the second alignment structure 21a has a distance of approximately 60 μm from the first alignment structure 20 having poor quality. The position of this second alignment structure 21a is also stored in a reference table used by the metrology tool control program to drive the optics to this position.

Thus, combined with the advantages of advanced process control providing information of possible problems occurring during recent processes including CMP or exposure, the control program of the metrology tool is able to determine whether to perform an inter-field change 101 or an intra-field change 100.

It is also possible to combine both changes 100, 101 i.e. first to try out intra-field changes 100, and if this change provides no improvement, then second followed by an inter-field change 101.

A further improvement is achieved by applying corrections due to a measured reproducible offset between the intra-field substitute targets 21a and the standard targets 20 into the control overlay measurement. A corresponding measurement is shown in figure 3. There, an offset of -1 nm in overlay accuracy between the gate contact alignment pattern 30a of the alignment structure 21a as a substitute and the corresponding gate contact pattern 30 of the standard alignment structure 20 has been determined. The corresponding difference in y-direction amounts to +4 nm. These results may be used as input corrections for those alignment measurements, where the first alignment structure 20 cannot be measured due to poor quality and is therefore skipped in favour of the substitute alignment structure 21a. As expected, these offsets are considerably smaller than typical overlay specifications 200 amounting to +/- 60 nm. The values provided above were meas-

10

ured for the same lot, where both alignment structures 20, 21a provided feasible measurements.

As is also shown in figure 2 the second substitute exposure fields 11 of the nine selected exposure fields 10 do not require relative positions to the originating exposure field 10, which are arranged systematically, e.g. the next field to the right in all cases. Rather, they may individually be chosen by experience, or by implementing neural networks. This is particularly advantageous, if certain exposure fields repeatedly deliver mismeasurements, or if a certain relative position of a substitute exposure field 11 repeatedly provides high quality overlay measurements. The selected set may then be altered by incorporating the substitute exposure field 11 into the set, and by sorting out the exposure field 10 hitherto uniquely associated with it.

As a byproduct an operator is implicitly informed, that certain exposure fields are inflicted with problems, which is due to previous processing. This helps to faster identify the cause, e.g. locally enhanced particle contamination, chuck problems, lens problems etc. of the exposure tool or any other process tool in the fab.

List of reference numerals

- 1 semiconductor wafer
- 2 exposure field
- 5 10 exposure field selected for alignment measurement, first
said of exposure fields
- 11 substitute exposure fields, second exposure field
- 12 further substitute exposure field, third exposure field
- 20 alignment structure selected for alignment measurement
- 10 21a substitute alignment structure in same exposure field,
second alignment structure
- 21b substitute alignment structure in other exposure field,
second alignment structure
- 30 alignment pattern in first layer
- 15 31 alignment pattern in second layer
- 31a alignment pattern of substitute structure with different
size
- 31b alignment pattern in second layer of substitute struc-
ture with same size
- 20 100 intra-field change
- 101 inter-field change
- 200 overlay specification tolerance

Claims:

1. Method for performing an alignment measurement of two patterns in different layers on a semiconductor wafer (1), which
5 comprises a set of exposure fields (10) having a fixed number being selected for said alignment measurement, each of said exposure fields (10) being provided with at least one alignment structure (20), comprising the steps of:
- 10 - providing the semiconductor wafer (1) to a processing tool for performing said alignment measurement,
- selecting a first alignment structure (20) in a first exposure field (10) of the set of exposure fields (10) having a fixed number,
15 - performing an offset measurement using said first alignment structure (20), with issuing an error signal representing the case, that said offset measurement either is not feasible due to a poor alignment structure quality or provides an offset beyond a tolerance range (200),
20 - selecting a second exposure field (11) in response to said error signal as a substitute, which is not included in the set of exposure fields on said semiconductor wafer (1) with the exception of the first exposure field (10),
- selecting a second alignment structure (21a, 21b) in said
25 second exposure field (11),
- performing an offset measurement using said second alignment structure (21a, 21b),
- continuing with measuring a second relative offset of an alignment structure in a next exposure field of said set of
30 exposure fields (10).
2. Method according to claim 1,
c h a r a c t e r i z e d i n t h a t
said second exposure field (11) is the same as the first
35 exposure field (10).
3. Method according to claim 1,

characterized in that
said second exposure field (11) is different from the first
exposure field (10).

5 4. Method according to claim 2,
characterized in that
the second alignment structure (21a) in the same exposure
field (10, 11) is selected, such that the relative distance
between the first (20) and second alignment structure (21a)
10 is more than 10 microns and less than 100 microns.

5. Method according to anyone of claims 2 or 4,
characterized in that
the second alignment structure (21a) is selected, such that
15 the dimension of its minimum linewidth is different from the
minimum linewidth of the first alignment structure (20) by at
least 20 percent.

6. Method according to anyone of claims 2 to 5,
20 characterized by
- issuing an error signal when performing the offset measure-
ment using said second alignment structure (21a)
representing the case, that said offset measurement either
is not feasible due to a poor alignment structure quality
25 or provides an offset beyond a tolerance range,
- selecting a third exposure field (12) in response to said
error signal as a substitute, which is not included in the
set of exposure fields on said semiconductor wafer (1) with
the exception of said first exposure field (10),
30 - performing an offset measurement using a third alignment
structure (21b).

7. Method according to anyone of claims 1 to 6,
characterized in that
35 said processing tool is an exposure tool, and said alignment
measurement is performed to provide a wafer stage adjustment.

8. Method according to anyone of claims 1 to 6,
c h a r a c t e r i z e d i n t h a t
said processing tool is an overlay metrology tool, and said
alignment measurement is performed to control the quality of
5 a recent manufacturing process carried out on said
semiconductor wafer (1).

9. Method for performing a series of alignment measurements
of each two patterns in different layers on a semiconductor
10 wafer (1), which comprises a set of exposure fields (10)
having a fixed number being selected for said alignment
measurement, each of said exposure fields being provided with
at least one alignment structure (20),
c h a r a c t e r i z e d i n t h a t
15 - a neuronal network is trained using the steps of any claim
from 1 through 8,
- a signal is issued by said neuronal network in case that an
error signal is detected repeatedly in offset measurements
in different layers for at least one exposure field (10) of
20 said semiconductor wafer (1),
- the selection of said set of exposure fields (10) is
altered in response to said signal.