



159159

Work Piece with a Hard Layer of AlCr-containing Material and Process for its Production

This invention relates to the technology involving work pieces that are coated with a system of thin layers including at least one layer of an (Al_yCr_{1-y}) X composition as specified in claims 1 and 2. The invention further relates to a PVD process for depositing at least one (Al_yCr_{1-y}) X-layer on a work piece as specified in claim 16.

Specifically, this invention encompasses the following:

- Hard-material-coated work pieces with one or with a sequence of several different layers of aluminum chrome nitride or carbonitride.
- Tools coated with aluminum chrome nitride or carbonitride layers, in particular cutting and machining tools (drills, routers, indexable inserts, screw taps, shapers, hobs, dies, swages, drawing punches etc.), and the use of such tools.
- Components coated with AlCrN or AlCrCN layers, in particular components in the realm of mechanical engineering / machine building, such as toothed wheels, pumps, cup rams, piston rings, injector needles, complete bearing assemblies or their individual components, and the use of such components.
- A process for producing layers of aluminum chrome nitride or carbonitride with a defined layer structure.

Various types of AlCrN layers have been known in prior art. For example, JP 09-041127 describes a wear-resistant hard layer of the following composition: $(Al_{1-y}X_y)Z$, where $X = Cr, V$ or Mg , $Z = N, C, B, CN, BN$ or CBN and $0 < y \leq 0.3$. That layer has been successfully used for extending the life of indexable inserts.

In 'Multicomponent hard thin layers...', Thin Layers (Proc. 4th Int. Sympos. Trends & New Applications of Thin Layers, 1993), DGM Info.gesellschaft Oberursel, 1993, p. 73, D. Schulz and R. Wilberg describe a CrAlN layer which according to a drill test doubles the life of a drill bit coated with TiAlN. The layer was deposited by a hollow cathode process which, however, results in strong fluctuations in the chrome/aluminum distribution in the (CrAl)N layer due to a discontinuous evaporation pattern.

In 'Oxidation resistance of $Cr_{1-x}Al_xN$ & $Ti_{1-x}Al_xN$ layers', Surf. & Coat. Tech., Vol. 165, 2 (2003), p. 163-167, M. Kawate mentions a $Cr_{1-x}Al_xN$ layer which, with a high Al content and a wurtzite structure, displays improved oxidation resistance in comparison with conventional TiAlN layers.

In 'Investigations of Mechanical & Tribol Properties of CrAlN + C Thin Coatings Deposited on Cutting



G00002

Tools', E. Lugscheider, K. Bobzin, K. Lackner compare arc-deposited CrAlN layers with CrAlN coatings that have been additionally provided with an even harder, carbonaceous cover layer. All of the layers display a coefficient of friction that rapidly rises to high levels.

It is the technical objective of this invention to introduce (Al_yCr_{1-y}) X-coated work pieces such as chipping, metal-cutting and shaping tools and components used in machine and die construction, as well as a process for depositing such coatings on a work piece while avoiding the drawbacks of prior-art methodology.

Examples include work pieces whose coating, at least in terms of the Al/Cr ratio, is of an adjustably uniform or selectably variable composition and which at least in certain applications offer greater wear resistance than work pieces coated with previously known layers.

To investigate the wear resistance of $(Al_yCr_{1-y})N$ - or CN-coated tools, Cr layers with different aluminum concentrations were deposited on a variety of work pieces, using an industrial RCS-type Balzers coating system as referred to for instance in EP 1186681, fig. 3-6, description page 12, line 26, to page 14, line 9. By reference, said publication thus becomes an integral part of this patent application. For that purpose, the pre-cleaned work pieces were mounted, according to their diameter, either on double-rotating or, for diameters under 50 mm, on triple-rotating substrate carriers while two titanium and four powder-metallurgically produced targets of different AlCr alloys were installed in six cathode arc sources on the walls of the coating system.

Next, radiant heaters likewise installed in the coating system heated the work pieces to a temperature of about 500°C and, with a bias voltage of -100 to -200 V applied in an Argon atmosphere at a pressure of 0.2 Pa, the workpiece surfaces were subjected to etch-polishing with Ar ions.

Thereupon, by operating the two Ti sources at a power output of 3.5 kW (140 A) in a pure nitrogen atmosphere, a pressure of 3 Pa and a substrate voltage of -50V over a period of 5 minutes, a TiN bonding layer about 0.2 μ m thick was deposited, followed by the activation of the four AlCr sources with a power of 3 kW for a period of 120 minutes, which deposited a layer of AlCrN. An optimized transition between the layers was obtained by simultaneously operating all sources for 2 minutes. Thereafter, a nitride layer on an AlCr base was deposited in a pure nitrogen atmosphere, again at a pressure of 3 Pa and a substrate voltage of -50V. In principle, the process pressure for each of these steps may be set in the range from 0.5 to about 8 Pa, preferably between 2.5 and 5 Pa, and for nitride layers either a pure nitrogen atmosphere or a mixture of nitrogen and an inert gas such as argon may be used, for

carbonitride layers a mixture of nitrogen and a carbonic gas, with the admixture of an inert gas if necessary. Correspondingly it is possible, when depositing oxygenous or boronic coatings, to admix oxygen or a boronic gas in conventional fashion.

Table 1 shows such characteristics of the layers as their crystallographic structure, their thickness, their hardness, their wear resistance and the bonding strength of AlCrN layers as a function of their chemical composition and crystal structure as well as the composition of the targets employed. Process parameters such as target yield, substrate bias voltage, process pressure and temperature are summarized in Table 2.

Table 3 shows a test sequence in which AlCrN layers were deposited using targets with an Al/Cr ratio equal to 3 and by applying different substrate voltages. The wear resistance was determined using a precision abrasion tester of the Fraunhofer Institute IST at Braunschweig and employing for the measuring of the abrasion rate a modified DIN EN 1071-2-based calotte grinding process. Details of that process are contained in Michler, Surf. & Coat. Tech., Vol. 163-164 (2003), page 547, column 1 and fig. 1. By reference, said publication becomes an integral part of this patent application.

The following will explain this invention in more detail based on an example and with reference to the attached graphs in which:

- Fig. 1 shows XRD spectra of an AlCrN structure with B1 and B4
Fig. 2 shows XRD spectra of AlCrN layers as a function of the chemical Al/Cr composition:
 A=75/25, C=50/50, D=25/75

As indicated in Table 1 and fig. 1 and stated by Kawate in 'Microhardness and lattice parameter of $\text{Cr}_{1-x}\text{Al}_x\text{N}$ layers', J. Vac Sci. Technol. A 20(2), Mar/Apr 2002; p. 569-571, Al concentrations of greater than 70 At% of the metal content in the layer showed a hexagonal (B4) layer structure while lower Al concentrations showed a cubic (B1) layer structure. The HV values measured for hexagonal layers were about 2100 $\text{HV}_{0.03}$, while the HV values measured for cubic layer structures were higher at about 2800-3100 $\text{HV}_{0.03}$ (see Table 1). With higher Cr concentrations (sample D) the hardness measured was about 2300 $\text{HV}_{0.03}$. In contrast to the AlN lattice of the high-aluminum coatings illustrated in fig. 2 A, this composition displays a CrN lattice as shown in fig. 2 D.

Subsequent determinations were aimed at the life of AlCrN-coated 6 mm HSS drill bits used on DIN 1.2080 steel with a hardness of 230 HB at a feed rate of 0.12 mm and a cutting speed of 35 m/min as described in Example 1, below. In the process it was found that, contrary to what JP 09-041127

describes as a particularly suitable $\text{Al}_y\text{Cr}_{1-y}\text{N}$ range of $1 < y \leq 0.7$, a chrome content greater than 0.3 is more advantageous. When the chrome concentration is greater than or equal to 0.8, the performance in this area of application deteriorates again due to the existing CrN lattice. Compared to hexagonal AlCrN layers in this test, the life extension of those with a cubic structure was 235%.

For layers in a transitional region with an Al content of between 60% and 75At% it is possible by way of the process parameters to set not only the preferred orientation but also the basic structure of the crystal lattice. As shown in the example of Test B (Table 2), a low pressure of 1 Pa and a substrate voltage of -50V produced a hexagonal structure, whereas a pressure range of 3 Pa and a substrate voltage of -50V results in a cubic structure. The hexagonal structure is thus deposited at a relatively low bias voltage and low pressure while the preferred cubic structure is deposited at a higher pressure and a relatively higher bias voltage. At higher Al concentrations it is no longer possible to produce a cubic layer structure.

Work pieces according to this invention therefore feature a cubic $(\text{Al}_y\text{Cr}_{1-y})\text{X}$ coating of the following composition: $\text{X} = \text{N}$ or CN but preferably N , and $0.2 \leq Y < 0.7$, preferably $0.40 \leq Y \leq 0.68$. The structure of the layer in this case is microcrystalline with an average grain size of about 20-120 nm.

Processes according to the invention are characterized by a procedure in which a cubic $(\text{Al}_y\text{Cr}_{1-y})\text{X}$ layer is deposited with a composition as defined above. For the cathodic arc process described, target compositions with a 75 to 15% aluminum content lend themselves particularly well. In the case of a high aluminum content the process parameters must be set as described in order to produce a cubic crystal structure.

In that context it will be advantageous to use powder-metallurgically produced and especially cold-pressed targets that may be more solid than fused or sintered AlCr targets which especially in the case of a high Al content tend to contain brittle phases.

Targets of that type are cold-pressed through mixing the pulverulent base materials followed by repeated reshaping for instance in a forging press, compacted at temperatures below 660°C under fluxing and cold-fusion, and brought to a final condition with a theoretical density of about 96 - 100%.

It has also been found that in the case of an AlCrN coating that was deposited for instance with targets of the Al/Cr=3 composition, the wear resistance can be influenced through the substrate bias voltage.

As the substrate bias voltage is increased, the abrasion resistance decreases (see Table 3). Already with a very small negative substrate voltage, not specifically shown in the Table, of just a few volts (3 - 10V and any voltage in between) it is possible to obtain a significant improvement in comparison with floating substrates (having no external voltage supply). The wear resistance of Al/Cr=3 reaches its maximum at about -20V, then drops off again at higher voltages. The tests conducted for determining the wear pattern suggest an optimal substrate voltage range of between 3 and 150V and especially between 5 and 40V, in which range a very low abrasion rate of between 0.4 and 1.0 and especially between 0.4 and 0.8 $\text{m}^3\text{m}^{-1}\text{N}^{-1}10^{-15}$ has been measured. Similar conditions apply to cubic layers, per this invention, of different Al/Cr compositions, which did not reveal any abrasion rates of greater than 1.5 $\text{m}^3\text{m}^{-1}\text{N}^{-1}10^{-15}$. It should be mentioned, however, that the wear resistance even of coatings deposited on floating substrates with a high substrate voltage is substantially greater than that of conventional TiAlN coatings whose abrasion coefficient is significantly higher. For example, for a TiAlN layer deposited in analogous fashion as the AlCrN coatings (Experiment 2, Al 47 at%, Ti 53 at%) an abrasion rate of 3.47 $\text{m}^3\text{m}^{-1}\text{N}^{-1}10^{-15}$ was measured.

The process described above, and especially the use of powder-metallurgically produced TiAl targets, has made it possible to deposit low-roughness coatings. The HRMS $[Ra]$ values measured are in the range between 0.1 and 0.2 μm and are thus in the same range as those of CrN coatings produced in comparable fashion. Further smoothing of the coatings has been accomplished using a magnetic field generator encompassing two counter-polarized magnet systems, which generator is so designed that the component B_1 of the resulting field, extending in a direction perpendicular to the surface, displays essentially constant small or zero values over a major part of that surface. The values of the perpendicular magnetic field component B_1 were set at below 30, preferably below 20 and most desirably below 10 Gauss. The HRMS $[Ra]$ values of the $(\text{Al}_y\text{Cr}_{1-y})$ X layers thus deposited were in the 0.05 and 0.15 μm range. The magnetic field was generated by two mutually counter-polarized coils coaxially positioned behind the target.

It is also possible when depositing $(\text{Al}_y\text{Cr}_{1-y})$ X coatings to use other, preferably highly conductive, nitride or metallic bonding layers or indeed, for certain applications, to dispense with these. For example, for achieving a particularly high productivity level it is possible to apply an AlCr/AlCrN in lieu of a TiN bonding layer, which allows all arc sources of a coating system to be equipped with AlCr targets and to increase the coating throughput rate.

It is further possible to deposit gradient coatings for instance with an Al content that is incremented

toward the surface, either by using two types of targets with different Al/Cr ratios or, starting with a Cr and/or CrN bonding layer, bringing about a progressive change in the layer composition for instance by a continuous or stepwise adjustment of the corresponding target output in a coating chamber equipped with both Cr and AlCr targets. The important factor for an industrial application of this type of coating system is the ability to reproducibly adjust the process parameters essentially over the entire progression of the coating process and thus over the entire thickness of the layer. Minimal compositional fluctuations occurring for instance on a single- or multiple-rotation substrate carrier can be additionally utilized for producing a nanostructure over part or all of the thickness of the layer, i.e. for lamination in the nano or micrometer range. Due to the process involved in that case, the use of unalloyed chrome and aluminum targets will result in the deposition of a more coarsely structured hard layer than would be the case with alloyed AlCr targets.

Not very suitable for this purpose, however, are prior-art processes in which for instance the evaporation of at least one component is either discontinuous or difficult to control, since that does not allow for a reproducible quality of the coating.

It is also possible, of course, to produce this type of coatings in other vacuum coating systems for instance by sputtering, although it may be necessary to compensate for the low ionization of the process gas inherent in sputtering processes by traditional provisions such as special bonding layers, additional ionization etc. in order to obtain comparable bonding of the layer.

$\text{Cr}_{1-x}\text{Al}_x\text{N}$ layers of this type, having a cubic structure, essentially lend themselves well for coating the most diverse work pieces advantageously. Examples include cutting tools such as routers, hobs, spherical, planar and profiling cutters, as well as drills, taps, clearing tools, reamers and indexable inserts for lathing and milling processes or shaping tools such as dies, swages, drawing dies, ejector cores or thread formers. Other examples of advantageous utilization of these protective layers include injection molds for instance for injection-molded metal alloys, synthetic resins or thermoplastics, and especially injection molds as used in the production of plastic components or of data-storage media such as CDs, DVDs and the like. Another application area includes structural components that must meet stringent requirements in terms of wear and perhaps also high oxidation resistance. Examples in the pump and engine industry include sealing washers, pistons, plungers, gears, valve drives, e.g. cup rams and rockers, or needles for injection-nozzles, compressor shafts, pump spindles, and many denticulated or mortised components.

Given the essentially similar behaviour of $(\text{Al}_y\text{Cr}_{1-y})\text{X}$ coatings, they are likely to improve wear

resistance when for subsequent layer systems the target composition and coating parameters are selected in such fashion that a cubic layer structure is obtained.

(Al_yCr_{1-y}) X layers are layers in which X = N, C, B, CN, BN, CBN, NO, CO, BO, CNO, BNO, CBNO, but preferably N or CN and $0.2 \leq Y < 0.7$ and preferably $0.40 \leq Y \leq 0.68$.

Accordingly, (Al₆₆Cr₃₄) NO layers with different N/O ratios were deposited and their properties tested. The coating parameters selected were similar to those described above. The settings were 1 to 5 Pa for the overall pressure, between 20 and 60 sccm for the oxygen flow (the remainder being nitrogen), between -40 to -150V for the substrate voltage, 450°C for the temperature and a 140 A 3.5 kW current for the source power. The layers produced had O/N ratios of about 0.2, 0.6 and 2.2. Various milling tests showed the coatings with a lower oxygen content to be of superior quality. The results were significantly better than those obtained in lifetime tests with conventional TiN or TiCN.

The fact that these (Al_yCr_{1-y}) X layers offer better gliding qualities than conventional TiAlN coatings opens up interesting possibilities from both the economic and the ecologic perspectives in connection with the operation of tools, especially cutting and shaping tools by being able to eliminate or to minimize the amount of lubricants needed. With regard to the economic aspects it should be remembered that the cost of cooling lubricants especially in the case of cutting tools can be significantly higher than the cost of the tool itself.

The gliding quality of a coating containing an (Al_yCr_{1-y}) X layer per this invention can be further improved by additionally applying an outer slip layer. This slip layer should preferably have a lower hardness value than the (Al_yCr_{1-y}) X layer and should have good lead-in properties.

The slip layer system may be constituted of at least one metal or of the carbide of at least one metal and dispersed carbon, MeC/C, with the metal being one of group IVb, Vb and/or VIb or silicon. A particularly suitable example with excellent lead-in properties is a WC/C cover layer with a hardness that can be selected between 1000 and 1500 HV. CrC/C layers offer similar characteristics, albeit with a somewhat higher friction coefficient.

Deep-hole drills thus coated, after producing one to three boreholes, displayed an excellent smoothing of the lead-in cutting surfaces, something that has so far been attainable only with a time-consuming mechanical finishing effort. These properties are also of particular interest for application on slide-

friction- or roller-exposed components especially when operated with minimal or no lubrication, or when at the same time an uncoated opposite companion element is to be protected.

Other possibilities for creating outer layers with a slip surface include nonmetallic, diamond-like carbon layers or MoS_x , WS_x or titanium-containing MoS_x or MoW_x coatings.

In the manner described, the slip layer can be applied directly on the $(\text{Al}_y\text{Cr}_{1-y})$ X layer, or on an additional bonding layer that may be constituted of a metal, a nitride, a carbide, a carbonitride or indeed of a gradient layer for instance with a progressive transition between the $(\text{Al}_y\text{Cr}_{1-y})$ X layer and the slip layer for effecting the best possible bond of the composite layer system.

For example, it is possible to produce WC/C or CrC/C layers, after the application of a sputtered or arc-deposited Cr or Ti bonding layer, preferably by sputtering WC targets with the addition of a carbonaceous gas. The proportion of carbonic gas is increased over time so as to obtain a larger free-carbon percentage in the layer.

Illustrated below are examples of other advantageous applications of different $(\text{Al}_y\text{Cr}_{1-y})$ X hard-coated tools used in a variety of cutting operations.

Example #1: Milling of structural steel

The tool: Hard-metal end-milling cutter

Diameter $D = 8$ mm, number of teeth $z = 3$

Material: Structural steel Ck45, DIN 1.1191

Milling parameters:

Cutting speed $v_c = 200/400$ m/min

Feed rate $v_f = 2388/4776$ mm/min

Radial width of contact $a_e = 0.5$ mm

Axial width of contact $a_p = 10$ mm

Cooling: 5% emulsion

Process: Climb milling

Abrasion criterion: Flank wear $VB = 0.12$ mm

Experiment No.	Metal content (At%) Layer			Life span t at $VB = 0.12$ mm In minutes	
	Ti	Al	Cr	$v_c = 200$ m/min	$v_c = 400$ m/min
1 (TiCN)	100	-	-	71	9
2 (TiAlN)	53	47	-	42	15
3 (AlCrN) B1	-	69.5	30.5	167	40
4 (AlCrN) B4		72	28	41	7
5 (AlCrN) B1	-	41.5	58.5	150	12
6 (AlCrN) B1	-	19	81	17	4

Example #1 shows a comparison of the life span of coated HM milling tools tested with different cutting parameters.

It is clearly evident that, compared to conventional industrially employed coatings such as TiCN and TiAlN, the AlCrN described offer longer life spans. The results also show that, as in Example #1, the tool life is extended with an augmented Al content, provided the cubic B1 structure is maintained (compare Experiment No. 3, 5, 6). This is attributable primarily to improved oxidation resistance and hardness as the Al content is augmented (see Table 1). It is especially in dry and high-speed processing (e.g. $v_c = 400$ m/min) that this excellent oxidation resistance of the AlCrN

coating proves advantageous. Apart from that, it is noted in this test as well that a shearing of the crystal lattice from the B1 to the B4 structure causes the wear resistance to deteriorate (compare Experiments 3 and 4).

Example #2: Milling austenitic steel

The tool: Hard-metal end-milling cutter

Diameter $D = 8$ mm, number of teeth $z = 3$

Material: Austenitic steel X 6 CrNiMoTi 17 12 2, DIN 1.4571

Milling parameters:

Cutting speed $v_c = 240$ m/min

Tooth feed rate $f_z = 0.08$ mm

Radial width of contact $a_e = 0.5$ mm

Axial width of contact $a_p = 10$ mm

Cooling: 5% emulsion

Process: Climb milling

Abrasion criterion: Flank wear $VB = 0.1$ mm

Experiment No.	Metal content (At%) Layer			Tool life travel l_T at $VB = 0.1$ mm in meters
	Ti	Al	Cr	
7 (TiCN)	100	-	-	33
8 (AlTiN)	35	65	-	45
9 (AlCrN) B1	-	69.5	30.5	54

Example #2 shows a comparison of the life span of coated HM milling tools. Here as well, the AlCrN layer resulted in improved wear resistance compared to the hard layers currently used in the industry. The extended life span through the use of AlCrN was obtained due, for one, to an as yet undocumented lower propensity for streaking - compared to Ti in TiAlN layers - of Cr as the second element of the alloy, and, for another, to the good wear resistance, shown in Table 1, of the AlCrN layers (A, B, D) of this invention, while at the same time offering high hardness values.

Example #3: Milling hardened steel

The tool: Hard-metal ball-head milling tool

Diameter $D = 10$ mm, number of teeth $z = 2$

Material: K340 (62HRC), corresponds to C 1.1%, Si 0.9%, Mn 0.4%, Cr 8.3%, Mo 2.1%, V 0.5%

Milling parameters:

Cutting speed $v_c = 0 - 120$ m/min

Tooth feed rate $f_z = 0.1$ mm

Radial width of contact $a_e = 0.2$ mm

Axial width of contact $a_p = 0.2$ mm

Cooling: Dry

Process: Climb and upcut milling, finishing

Abrasion criterion: Flank wear $VB = 0.3$ mm

Experiment No.	Metal content (At%) Layer			Tool life travel l_f at $VB = 0.3$ mm in meters
	Ti	Al	Cr	
10 (TiAlN)	53	47	-	70
11 (AlCrN) B1	-	69.5	30.5	90

Example #4: Rough-milling of tool steel

The tool: Hard-metal end-milling cutter

Diameter $D = 10$ mm, number of teeth $z = 4$

Material: Tool steel X 38 CrMoV 5 1, DIN 1.2343 (50HRC)

Milling parameters: Cutting speed $v_c = 60$ m/min

Tooth feed rate $f_z = 0.02$ mm

Radial width of contact $a_e = 2$ mm

Axial width of contact $a_p = 10$ mm

Cooling: Dry

Process: Climb milling, roughing

Abrasion criterion: Flank wear $VB = 0.1$ mm

Experiment No.	Metal content (At%) Layer			Tool life travel l_f at $VB = 0.3$ mm in meters
	Ti	Al	Cr	
12 (AlTiN)	35	65	-	90

13 (AlCrN) B1	-	69.5	30.5	130
---------------	---	------	------	-----

Examples # 3 and # 4 show an improved tool life travel of the AlCrN layers as compared to TiAlN coatings currently used in the industry. AlCrN thus also lends itself particularly well to dry machining that involves demanding requirements in terms of oxidation and wear resistance.

Example #5: Drilling tool steel

The tool: HSS drill (S 6-5-2). Diameter D = 6 mm

Material: Tool steel X 210 Cr 12, DIN 1.2080 (230HB)

Drilling parameters:

Cutting speed $v_c = 35$ m/min

Feed rate $f = 0.12$ mm

Depth of bore $z = 15$ mm, pocket hole

Cooling: 5% emulsion

Abrasion criterion: Torque cutoff (corresponds to a corner abrasion of ≥ 0.3 mm)

Experiment No.	Metal content (At%) Layer		Tool life travel [number of holes / μm layer thickness]
	Al	Cr	
14 (AlCrN) B1	19	81	21
15 (AlCrN) B1	41.5	58.5	52
16 (AlCrCN) B1	41.5	58.5	65
17 (AlCrN) B1	69.5	30.5	108
18 (AlCrN) B4	72	28	46

Example #6 shows a comparison of HSS drills with Al_{0.5}Cr_{1.5}-yN / Al_{0.5}Cr_{1.5}-yCN layers having different Al concentrations, with the number of holes standardized over the layer thickness.

The layers were produced along the parameters per Table 2. As can be seen, the life span is extended as the aluminum content is increased, up to an aluminum concentration of just under 70% of the overall metal content. Any further increase, however, leads to the deposition of a layer with a hexagonal crystal structure and thus to a distinct drop in performance. A performance significantly better than that attainable by prior-art methods (Experiment # 18) manifested itself in the range between 41.5% and 69.5% (Experiments # 15, 17).

Example #6: Deep-hole drilling 5xD in Ck45

The tool: Hard-metal drill. Diameter D = 6.8 mm

Material: Structural steel 1.1191 (Ck45)

Drilling parameters:

Cutting speed $v_c = 120$ m/min

Feed rate $f = 0.2$ mm

Depth of bore $z = 34$ mm, pocket hole

Cooling: 5% emulsion

Abrasion criterion: Corner abrasion VB = 0.3 mm)

Experiment No.	Metal content (At%) Layer					Tool life span t at VB = 0.3 mm in Number of boreholes
	Ti		Al		Cr	
18 (TiAlN)	70		30		-	890
19 (TiAlN)	53		47		-	1135
20 (AlCrN) B1	-		69.5		30.5	2128

Example # 6 shows an improved tool life travel with the AlCrN layer as compared to TiAlN layers currently used for drilling purposes in the industry. In this case the enhanced abrasive wear resistance of the AlCrN coating per this invention has proved valuable.

In addition, drill bits when coated as in Experiment #20 and after the application of a Cr bonding layer,

were provided with a WC/carbon slip layer, which, with all other test conditions being identical, resulted in a significantly extended tool life. Simultaneously performed torque measurements revealed a substantially lower torque than encountered without a slip layer. Moreover, the bores displayed an improved surface quality and, until just before the end of the tool life, no discoloration due to an excessive thermal load was detectable.

Example #7: Tapping 2xD in austenitic steel

The tool: HSS tap for thread size M8

Material: Austenitic steel 1.4571 (X6CrNiMoTi17/12/2)

Cutting parameters:

Cutting speed $v_c = 3$ m/min

Depth of thread: 2XD

Type of thread: Pocket hole

Number of threads: 64

Cooling: 5% emulsion

Abrasion criterion: Torque pattern over the number of threads, visual inspection of wear after 64 threads.

Experiment No.	Metal content (At%) Layer			Average Max. Cutting torque [Nm]	Visual wear (1)
	Ti	Al	Cr		
21 (TiCN)	100	-	-	4.72	+
22 (AlCrN) B1	-	69.5	30.5	4.05	++
23 (AlCrN) B1	-	41.5	58.5	4.23	+++
24 (AlCrN) B1	-	19	81	4.27	+

Explanation of (1)

+satisfactory wear pattern in screw tapping

++good wear pattern in screw tapping

+++very good wear pattern in screw tapping

Compared to prior art (TiCN), all AlCrN layers make it possible to reduce the mean maximum cutting

torque. Moreover, the very good wear resistance of the layers with a higher aluminum content results in an improved wear pattern compared to TiCN. On the other hand, this example shows that, presumably due to the adhesive tendency of aluminum that leads to material streaking and ultimately to peeling, the coating per Experiment # 23 offers a better wear pattern than that of #22.

In addition, taps when coated as in Experiments #22 and #23 and after the application of an AlCr bonding layer, were provided with a WC/carbon slip layer, or, after the application of a Ti bonding layer, with a Ti-containing MoS₂ layer, which again, with all other test conditions being equal, resulted in an extended tool life and a qualitatively better surface finish of the processed material.

Example #8: Hob-milling of Cr-Mo steel

The tool: Hob cutter

Material: DIN S6-7-7-10 (ASP60)

Diameter D = 80 mm, length L = 240 mm, module m = 1.5

25 chip grooves

Angle of pressure $\alpha = 20^\circ$

Basic rack tooth profile: 2, number of teeth: 50, pitch: 25 mm

Material: Cr-Mo steel DIN 34CrMo4

Cutting parameters:

Cutting speed $v_c = 260$ m/min

Feed rate = 2 mm/rev

Quantity: 300

Cooling: Dry cut, compressed air for chip removal

Experiment No.	Metal content (At%) Layer			Wear of cutting edge in [mm]	
	Ti	Al	Cr	Flank wear	Crater wear
25 (TiCN)	100	-	-	0.32	0.062
26 (TiAlN)	53	47	-	0.25	0.042
27 (AlCrN) B4		72	28	0.29	0.053
28 (AlCrN) B1	-	19	81	0.26	0.051
29 (AlCrN) B1	-	41.5	58.5	0.13	0.022
30 (AlCrN) B1	-	69.5	30.5	0.14	0.018

The Experiments 25 to 30 involved the dry-cut testing of different hob cutters of powder-metallurgically produced high-speed steel (HSS) provided with different coatings. The tools coated with layers according to this invention (Experiments 29 and 30) offer significantly improved performance compared to hobs coated with traditional TiCN or TiAlN layers. It can also be seen that AlCrN layers with a low (# 28) or, in the presence of a hexagonal crystal structure (# 27), excessively high Al content provide diminished wear protection.

The following Examples No. 31 through 33 again show the clearly superior characteristics of an AlCrN layer according to this invention, with a cubic crystal lattice, an essentially stoichiometric nitrogen percentage and an Al content of 66%. In this case, hob cutters produced from PM HSS and, respectively, hard metal were tested both under dry-cutting and emulsion-lubricated cutting conditions.

Experiment # 31: Hob cutting

The tool: PM HSS

Diameter D = 80 mm, length L = 240 mm

Material: 16 Mn Cr S

Cutting speed: 180 m/min., dry

(Al_{0.42}Ti_{0.58}) N, Balinite NANO: Quantity 1,809

(Al_{0.83}Ti_{0.37}) N, Balinite X.CEED: Quantity 2,985

(Al_{0.00}Cr_{0.34}) N: Quantity 5,370

Experiment # 32: Hob cutting

The tool: Hard metal (HM)

Diameter $D = 60$ mm, length $L = 245$ mm

Module: 1.5

Pressure angle $\alpha = 20^\circ$

Material: 42 CrMo4

Cutting speed: 350 m/min, dry

(Al _{0.41} Ti _{0.59}) N, Balinite X.TREME:	Quantity 1,722
(Al _{0.63} Ti _{0.37}) N, Balinite X.CEED:	Quantity 2,791
(Al _{0.66} Cr _{0.34}) N:	Quantity >3,400

Experiment # 33: Hob cutting

The tool: PM HSS

Module 2.5Material:16MnCrS

Cutting speed: 140 m/min, emulsion

TiCN, BALINITE B:	Quantity 1,406
(Al _{0.43} Ti _{0.58}) N, Balinite NANO:	Quantity 1,331
(Al _{0.66} Cr _{0.34}) N:	Quantity 1,969

Additional tests, not described here in any detail, yielded good stability even in higher cutting speed ranges as high as $v_c = 450$ m/min. Similarly, the life span of coated hard-metal hob cutters was extended quite significantly in wet-cutting but especially also in dry processing operations.

Example # 9: Rough milling of tool steel

The tool: HSS end milling cutter

Diameter $D = 10$ mm, number of teeth $z = 4$

Material: Tool steel X 40 CrMoV 5 1, DIN 1.2344 (36HRC)

Milling parameters:

Cutting speed $v_c = 60$ m/min

Tooth feed $f_z = 0.05$ mm

Radial width of contact $a_e = 3$ mm

Axial width of contact $a_p = 5$ mm

Cooling: 5% emulsion

Process: Climb milling, roughing

Abrasion criterion: Flank wear $VB = 0.1$ mm

Experiment No.	Metal content (At%) Layer			Tool life travel l_T at $VB = 0.1$ mm in meters
	Ti	Al	Cr	
34 (AlTi) N	35	65	-	6 – 8
35 (AlTi) N	58	42	-	3 – 4
36 (AlTi) CN	50	50	-	3 – 4
37 TiCN	100	-	-	8 – 11
38 (AlCr) N	BL	64	36	12 – 21
39 (AlCr) N	Pulsed	66	34	21 – 28
40 (AlCr) N	-	66	34	12 – 18

BL= bonding layer of TiN
Pulsed = pulsed bias

Example # 10: Longitudinal turning of outer diameter on case hardened steel

The tool: Lathe cutter with brazed-in CBN insert

Material: Case- hardened steel 16 MnCr 5, DIN 1.7131 (49 – 62 HRC)

Lathe-turning parameters: Hard-soft machining with multi-step cutting and partly reduced wall thickness

Cooling: Dry

Abrasion criterion: Quantities up to where a flank wear of $VB = 0.1$ mm is reached.

Experiment No.	Metal content (At%) Layer			Tool life quantity at $VB = 0.1$ mm
	Ti	Al	Cr	
41 (AlTi) N	35	65	-	90
42 (AlCr) N		66	34	144

Similar results were achieved with powder-metallurgically produced cermets consisting of a TiN, TiC or Ti(CN) hard phase to which in a few individual cases molybdenum and/or tantalum was added. The binder phase used in that process was Ni or Ni/Co.

Example # 11: Forming threads in galvanized sheet metal

Experiment # 43:

The tool: HSS M9 thread former

Material: DC01, corresponding to DIN 1.0330, St 12 ZE

Core hole diameter: 8.34 mm

Cutting parameters: 55 m/s

Rotary cutting speed: 2000 RPM

Reverse cutting speed: 3600 RPM

Lubrication: S26 CA

TiN: 3200 threads

TiCN: 3200 threads

TiAlN: 3500 threads

(Al_{0.68}Cr_{0.34}) N: 8800 threads

Tests with coated CBN (cubic boronitride) and cermet tools: Indexable inserts consisting of different CBN sintered materials with a CBN content of between 30 and 99 percent by volume, the remainder being binders, were coated, on the one hand, with conventional TiAlN layers as per Experiment # 8, and on the other hand with AlCrN layers according to this invention and as shown in Experiments 3, 5 and 6. For the etching and coating process, however, because of the nonconductive nature of the CBN sintered material, a pulsed substrate bias was applied in the medium frequency range and by preference in a frequency range from 20 to 250 kHz.

For materials with a CBN content of up to 90% a pulverulent binder was used that consisted of at least one of the elements of the following group: The nitride, carbide, boride and oxide of the Ti, V or Cr group, i.e. IVa, Va and VIa elements as well as aluminum or Al alloys.

For materials with a CBN content of up to 95% a pulverulent binder was used that consisted of titanium

nitride and at least one of the elements of the following group: Cobalt, nickel, tungsten carbide, aluminum or an aluminum alloy.

For materials with a CBN content higher than 90%, use was also made of a pulverulent binder consisting of titanium nitride and at least one of the elements of the following group: Boride or boronitride of the alkaline or alkaline-earth metals.

Most of the subsequent turning and milling tests revealed a significantly better wear resistance than that of TiAlN coatings. The same was found in a particularly laborious longitudinal outer-diameter turning test that involved the machining, in part by discontinuous stepwise cutting, of an only partially hardened shaft with a complex geometric configuration.

Example #12: Hot-Forging

Tool: Forging jaws 4 pieces, 220x x43 x 30 mm, Böer W360, hardness 54 HRC, 4 tools in the contact at the same time

Work Piece: Round material diameter 22 mm, material 42CrMo4

Process: Temperature work piece before re-shaping 1,050°C

Force of pressure 57 t / per jaw

Cooling: Mollicote + graphite

Experiment No.	Metal content [At%] Layer				Life (Quantity)
	Ti	Al	Cr	Nb / Si / V / W	
43 not coated					500
44 TiAlN	58	42			900
45 AlCrN		64	36		1900
46 AlCrVN		63	31	6	1500
47 AlCrSiN	HS	65	26	9	1800
48 AlCrNbN		62	31	7	1550
49 AlCrWN		65	26	9	1630
50 AlCrYN		62	31	7	1730
51 AlCrMoN		62	31	7	1460

HS Bonding layer made of TiN

Example #13: Hot-Beading

Tool: HM flow drill diameter 10 mm

Work Piece: 1.0338

Process: Tool is pressed against the work piece with approx. 2,800 rpm, 3,000 N.
Through the kinetic energy the work piece is heated to red heat, e.g. approx.
1,000 °C and reshaped

Experiment No.	Metal content [At%] Layer				Life (Quantity)
	Ti	Al	Cr	Nb / Si V / W	
52 not coated					500
53 TiAlN	58	42			900
54 AlCrN		64	36		1700
55 AlCrVN		63	31	6	1530
56 AlCrSiN	HS	65	26	9	1650
57 AlCrNbN		62	31	7	1450
58 AlCrWN		65	26	9	1390
59 AlCrYN		62	31	7	1660
60 AlCrMoN		62	31	7	1340

HS Bonding layer made of TiN

Example #14: Stamping

Tool: 1.2379, slot stamp 20 mm x 10 mm

Work Piece: TRIP 700, 1,2 mm thickness

Process: Shearing, cutting slot 10 %, 500 strokes / min,
cutting force 20 kN

Experiment No.	Metal content [At%] Layer				Life (Quantity)
	Ti	Al	Cr	Nb / Si V / W	

61 not coated					100,000
62 TiAlN	58	42			200,000
63 AlCrN		64	36		350,000
64 AlCrVN		63	31	6	370,000
65 AlCrSiN	HS	65	26	9	280,000
66 AlCrNbN		62	31	7	300,000
67 AlCrWN		65	26	9	340,000
68 AlCrYN		62	31	7	320,000
69 AlCrMoN		62	31	7	290,000

HS

Bonding layer made of TiN

Table 1

Test Ref.	Al/Cr Ratio in Target	Crystal Structure	Al At%	Cr At%	Layer Thickness [μm]	HV 0.03	AV [$\text{m}^3\text{m}^{-1}\text{N}^{-1}10^{-15}$]	Bond
A	3	B1	69.5	30.5	3.2	3100	0.8	HF1
B	3	B4	72	18	4.2	2100	1.0	HF1
C	1	B1	41.5	58.5	3.8	2800	1.5	HF1
D	0.33	B1	19	81	4.1	2300	2.5	HF1

Table 2

Test Ref.	Al/Cr Ratio In Target	P _{Target} [kW]	V _{substrate} [V]	PN2 [Pa]	Temp [°C]
A	3	3	-50	3	450
B	3	3	-50	1	450
C	1	3	-50	3	450
D	0.33	3	-50	3	450

Table 3

Substrate Bias Voltage [V]	AV [$\text{m}^3\text{m}^{-1}\text{N}^{-1}10^{-15}$]
0	1.23
-20	0.47
-40	0.76
-100	0.83
-150	1.0
-200	1.36

Patent Claims:

1. Work piece coated with a system of layer layers at least one of which is composed of $(Al_yCr_{1-y}) X$, where $X = N, C, B, CN, BN, CBN, NO, CO, BO, CNO, BNO$ or $CBNO$ and $0.2 \leq y < 0.7$, with the composition within said layer being either essentially constant or varying over the thickness of the layer continually or in steps, said work piece constituting one of the following tools, specifically a milling tool and in particular a hobbing, spherical-head, planar or profiling cutter, a clearing tool, reamer, indexable insert for turning and milling, a die or an injection mold.
2. Work piece coated with a system of layer layers at least one of which is composed of $(Al_yCr_{1-y}) X$, where $X = N, C, B, CN, BN, CBN, NO, CO, BO, CNO, BNO$ or $CBNO$ and $0.2 \leq y < 0.7$, with the composition within said layer being either essentially constant or varying over the thickness of the layer continually or in steps, said work piece constituting a structural component.
3. Structural component as in claim 2, characterized in that said component is a sealing washer, a toothed wheel, a piston, a part of a valve drive or a needle for an injection nozzle, or that it is toothed.
4. Tool as in claim 1, characterized in that it is a forming tool and in particular an upper die, a bottom swage, a drawing die, an ejector core, a thread former or a punching die.
5. Tool as in claim 1, characterized in that the tool is a hot forming tool, in particular a forging, a beading, a punching tool.
6. Tool as in claim 1, characterized in that it is an injection-molding tool for producing a molded plastic part or a data storage medium.
7. Tool as in claim 1, characterized in that it features a CBN or Cermet base unit or that it is a CBN or Cermet indexable insert.
8. Work piece as in one of the preceding claims, characterized in that the $(Al_yCr_{1-y}) X$ layer has a

cubic crystal structure.

9. Work piece as in one of the preceding claims, characterized in that the rate of wear of the (Al_yCr_{1-y}) X layer is less than or equal to $1.5 \text{ m}^3\text{m}^{-1}\text{N}^{-1}10^{-15}$.
10. Work piece as in one of the preceding claims, characterized in that the Vickers pyramid hardness of the (Al_yCr_{1-y}) X layer is 2300 to 3100.
11. Work piece as in one of the preceding claims, characterized in that the layer structure of the (Al_yCr_{1-y}) X layer is microcrystalline with an average grain size of 20 to 120 nm.
12. Work piece as in one of the preceding claims, characterized in that a bonding layer is applied between the work piece and the (Al_yCr_{1-y}) X layer.
13. Work piece as in claim 12, characterized in that said bonding layer encompasses at least one of the metals of group IV, V or subgroup VI, or aluminum.
14. Work piece as in claim 12 or 13, characterized in that said bonding layer includes at least one nitride, carbide or carbonitride of one or several metals of group IV, V or subgroup VI.
15. Work piece as in one of the preceding claims, characterized in that the minimum of one (Al_yCr_{1-y}) X layer is additionally coated with a slip layer.
16. Work piece as in claim 15, characterized in that said slip layer encompasses a carbide of at least one metal with dispersed carbon, MeC/C, a diamond-like carbon layer, a Si- or metallic diamond-like carbon layer, a MoS_x , a WS_x or a titanium-containing MoS_x or MoW_x layer.
17. PVD process for depositing at least one (Al_yCr_{1-y}) X layer on a work piece, where $X = N, C, B, CN, BN, CBN, NO, CO, BO, CNO, BNO, CBNO$ and $0.2 \leq y < 0.7$, whereby in a vacuum coating system with at least one Al_xCr_{1-z} target with $0.25 \leq z \leq 0.75$ with at least one work piece is installed and said system is operated at a pressure of 0.5 to 8 Pa with the addition of a nitrogen-, carbon-, boron- or oxygen-containing reactive gas and the application on the work piece of a substrate voltage of

between -3 and -150V, as an arc or sputtering source in such fashion that the constituent composition within the said minimum of one ($\text{Al}_y\text{Cr}_{1-y}$) X layer is either essentially constant or varies either continuously or in steps over the thickness of the layer.

18. PVD process as in claim 17, characterized in that $X = \text{N}$ and the reactive gas is nitrogen or oxygen.
19. PVD process as in claims 17 and 18, characterized in that the substrate voltage is pulsed.
20. PVD process as in claims 17 to 19, characterized in that the $\text{Al}_x\text{Cr}_{1-z}$ target is a powder-metallurgically produced target.
21. PVD process as in claim 20, characterized by the use of a target produced by mixing and cold-pressing pulverulent starting materials with repeated subsequent reshaping, for instance in a forging press, at temperatures under 660°C , densification by fluxing and cold fusion, and transformation into its final state with a theoretical density at about 96 – 100%.
22. Process for machining a material, characterized in that it involves the use of a tool per claim 1.
23. Process as in claim 22, characterized in that the machining is performed without the addition of lubricants or cooling agents.
24. Process as in claims 22 and 23, characterized in that the tool is a hard-metal or HSS hob cutter and the cutting speed is 60 to 450 m/min.
25. Process as in claims 22 and 23, characterized in that the tool is an end-milling, spherical-head or roughing cutter.