

02 AUG 1999

RE-ORTHOGONALIZATION OF WIDEBAND CDMA SIGNALS

BACKGROUND

The present invention relates generally to radiocommunication systems and, more particularly, to signal processing techniques in spread spectrum radiocommunication systems.

CDMA and spread spectrum communications have been around since the days of World War II. Early applications were predominantly military oriented. However, today there has been an increasing interest in using spread spectrum systems in commercial applications, including digital cellular radio, land mobile radio, and indoor and outdoor personal communication networks.

The cellular telephone industry has made phenomenal strides in commercial operations in the United States as well as the rest of the world. Growth in major metropolitan areas has far exceeded expectations and is outstripping system capacity. If this trend continues, the effects of rapid growth will soon reach even the smallest markets. Innovative solutions are required to meet these increasing capacity needs as well as maintain high quality service and avoid rising prices.

Throughout the world, one important step in cellular systems is to change from analog to digital transmission. Equally important is the choice of an effective digital transmission scheme for implementing the next generation cellular technology. Furthermore, it is widely believed that the first generation of Personal Communication Networks (PCNs), employing low cost, pocket-size, cordless telephones that can be carried comfortably and used to make or receive calls in the home, office, street, car, etc., will be provided by cellular carriers using the next generation digital cellular system infrastructure. The key feature demanded in these new systems is increased traffic capacity.

Currently, channel access is achieved using Frequency Division Multiple Access (FDMA) and Time Division Multiple Access (TDMA) methods. In FDMA, a communication channel is a single radio frequency band into which a

signal's transmission power is concentrated. System capacity is limited by the available frequencies as well as by limitations imposed by channel reuse. In TDMA systems, a channel consists of a time slot in a periodic train of time intervals over the same frequency. System capacity is limited by the available time slots as well as by limitations imposed on channel reuse.

With FDMA or TDMA or hybrid FDMA/TDMA systems, the goal is to ensure that two potentially interfering signals do not occupy the same frequency at the same time. In contrast, Code Division Multiple Access (CDMA) allows signals to overlap in both time and frequency. Thus, all CDMA signals share the same frequency spectrum. In the frequency or the time domain, the multiple access signals appear to overlap one another.

There are a number of advantages associated with CDMA communication techniques. The capacity limits of CDMA-based cellular systems are projected to be up to twenty times that of existing analog technology as a result of the properties of a wide band CDMA system, such as improved coding gain/modulation density, voice activity gating, sectorization and reuse of the same spectrum in every cell. CDMA transmission of voice by a high bit rate decoder ensures superior, realistic voice quality. CDMA also provides for variable data rates allowing many different grades of voice quality to be offered. The scrambled signal format of CDMA completely eliminates cross talk and makes it very difficult and costly to eavesdrop or track calls, ensuring greater privacy for callers and greater immunity from air time fraud.

In a "traditional" direct-sequence CDMA system, the informational data stream to be transmitted is impressed upon a much higher rate data stream known as a signature sequence to generate a transmitted sequence. The informational data stream and the high bit rate signature sequence stream are combined by effectively multiplying the two bit streams together, assuming the binary values of the two bit streams are represented by +1 or -1. The informational data stream may consist of M 'ary complex symbol values instead of binary +1 or -1 values. This combination of the higher bit rate signal with

the lower bit rate data stream is called coding or spreading the informational data stream signal. Each informational data stream or channel is allocated a unique signature sequence.

Typically, the signature sequence data are binary, giving rise to stream of bits referred to as "chips." One way to generate this signature sequence is with a pseudo-noise (PN) process that appears random, but can be replicated by an authorized receiver. It is common for the period of the signature sequence to occupy one data symbol period, so that each data symbol is spread by the same N_c -chip signature sequence. A randomizing code sequence with a very long period may be added on top of this. In general, this signature sequence may be represented by real and imaginary numbers, corresponding to sending a chip value on the carrier frequency (I channel) or on a 90-degree shifted version of the carrier frequency (Q channel). Also, the signature sequence may be a composite of two sequences, where one of these sequences is a Walsh-Hadamard code word.

Typically the data symbols are binary. Thus, transmission of the signature sequence or its inverse represents one bit of information. In general, to send information symbol b using signature sequence $s(n)$, one transmits

$$t(n) = b s(n) \quad (1)$$

The receiver correlates the received signal with the known signature sequence to produce a detection statistic, which is used to detect b . For binary information symbols, when a large positive correlation results, a "0" is detected; when a large negative correlation results, a "1" is detected.

A plurality of coded information signals modulate a radio frequency carrier, for example by phase shift keying (PSK), and are jointly received as a composite signal at the receiver. Each of the spread signals overlaps all of the other spread signals, as well as noise-related signals, in both frequency and time. If the receiver is authorized, then the composite signal is correlated with one of

the unique signature sequences, and the corresponding information signal can be isolated and decoded.

In the above example, a data symbol b directly modulates a signature sequence $s(n)$, which is commonly referred to as coherent modulation. The data symbol can be binary ($+1$ or -1), quaternary ($+1$, $+j$, -1 , $-j$), or, in general, M 'ary, taking on any of M possible values. This allows $\log_2(M)$ information bits to be represented by one information symbol b . In another traditional CDMA modulation scheme, the information is contained in how b changes from one symbol to the next, this being referred to as differentially coherent modulation. In this case, the true information is usually given by $b(t) b^*(t-T_s)$, where $*$ denotes complex conjugation, t is a time index, and T_s is the information symbol period. In yet another traditional CDMA modulation scheme, sometimes referred to as noncoherent modulation, an M 'ary information symbol is conveyed by transmitting one of M different signature sequences.

Another CDMA technique, called "enhanced CDMA", also allows each transmitted sequence to represent more than one bit of information. A set of code words, typically orthogonal code words or bi-orthogonal code words, is used to code a group of information bits into a much longer code sequence or code symbol. A signature sequence is used to scramble the binary code sequence before transmission. This can be done by modulo-2 addition of the two binary sequences. At the receiver, the known scramble mask is used to descramble the received signal, which is then correlated to all possible code words. The code word with the largest correlation value indicates which code word was most likely sent, indicating which information bits were most likely sent. One common orthogonal code is the Walsh-Hadamard (WH) code. Enhanced CDMA can be viewed as a special case of noncoherent modulation.

In both traditional and enhanced CDMA, the "information bits" or "information symbols" referred to above can also be coded bits or symbols, where the code used is a block or convolutional code. One or more information bits can form a data symbol. Also, the signature sequence or scramble mask can

be much longer than a single code sequence, in which case a subsequence of the signature sequence or scramble mask is added to the code sequence.

In many radio communication systems, the received signal includes two components: an I (in-phase) component and a Q (quadrature) component. This results because the transmitted signal has two components, and/or the intervening channel or lack of coherent carrier reference causes the transmitted signal to be divided into I and Q components. In a typical receiver using digital signal processing, the received I and Q component signals are sampled every T_c seconds, where T_c is the duration of a chip, and stored.

U.S. Patents Nos. 5,151,919 and 5,218,619 to Paul W. Dent describe a CDMA system which allows several subscribers to communicate on the same radio frequency with a base station, which patents are incorporated here by reference. Unlike more traditional CDMA systems, interference is prevented in these patented systems by, for example, decoding signals successively in strength order from strongest to weakest, and subtracting the decoded signals from the received composite signal after decoding.

The exemplary implementations described in the foregoing incorporated patents use digital signal processing for descrambling a signal by use of its known scrambling code, transforming the signal to the spectral domain, and then notching out the spectral component associated with that signal. After notching, the remaining, non-zero components represent the transform of the other signals which have been descrambled with the first signal's code. The remainder is then transformed back to the waveform domain and the descrambling code re-applied to restore the signals to their original domain with one of them now subtracted.

In U.S. Patent No. 5,218,619, it is disclosed that imperfect signal subtraction caused by errors in the amount of signal subtracted due to interference from other, weaker, overlapping signals may be eliminated by subtracting an already subtracted signal again in suitable amount, after having subtracted some of said other signals. This resubtraction process, referred to as reorthogonalization, can be performed by digital signal processors. However,

this technique has the characteristic that the amount of processing increases with at least the fourth power of the spectrum bandwidth, making this technique costly for wideband signals.

SUMMARY

5 A different method and receiver which provide, among other features, for resubtraction of an already subtracted signal in order to eliminate subtraction errors, termed re-orthogonalization, and which can be optimized for wideband operations, are described herein. According to exemplary embodiments, pairwise combinations of spreading codes are used to despread received
10 composite signals. For example, these pairwise combinations can include a first spreading code associated with a signal that has already been subtracted and a second spreading code associated with a signal which is weaker than that associated with the first spreading code. In this way both resubtraction and subtraction are performed at the same time. According to another exemplary
15 embodiment, signal echos can also be subtracted by despreading using a time shifted version of the spreading code.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The foregoing, and other, objects, features and advantages of the present invention will be more readily understood upon reading the following detailed
20 description in conjunction with the drawings in which:

Figure 1 is a block diagram illustrating a CDMA receiver according to an exemplary embodiment of the present invention;

Figure 2a is a block diagram illustrating re-orthogonalization at an intermediate frequency according to an exemplary embodiment of the present
25 invention;

Figure 2b is a block diagram illustrating re-orthogonalization at an intermediate frequency according to another exemplary embodiment of the present invention;

Figure 3 is a block diagram of an exemplary high-pass filtering embodiment according to the present invention;

Figure 4 is a block diagram illustrating removal of delayed signals rays according to an exemplary embodiment of the present invention; and

5 Figure 5 is a block diagram illustrating monitoring and processing of rays according to another exemplary embodiment of the present invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

Figure 1 shows a block diagram of a subtractive CDMA receiver according to an exemplary embodiment of the present invention. An antenna 10 receives a composite of overlapping CDMA communications signals using the same radio frequency. The composite signal is filtered in filter 11 to remove out-of-band interference, amplified by low noise amplifier 12 and then filtered again using filter 13 to remove image noise. The resultant signal can then be converted to a suitable intermediate frequency by heterodyne mixing in mixer 14 with a signal from local oscillator signal 15, which can be a programmable frequency synthesizer, to select any of several CDMA channels. The intermediate frequency signal is further filtered in IF filters 16 and 18 and amplified in amplifiers 17 and 19 in order to remove signals at other CDMA channel frequencies and to raise the signal to a convenient level. It will be appreciated by those skilled in the art that the foregoing description is that of a single superheterodyne receiver. However, it should be recognized that this type of receiver is used purely as an illustration. For example, a double superheterodyne receiver employing two frequency downconversions to the final intermediate frequency could equally be used or as will be further described, a so-called homodyne receiver can be employed in which a direct conversion from the received frequency at the antenna to a baseband frequency quadrature signal takes place.

In Figure 1, the last stage of conversion using quadrature local oscillator 22, quadrature mixers 20,21 and optional low-pass filters 23,24 is a homodyne

conversion, resulting in CDMA signals I and Q. The I and Q signals represent the original composite signal but frequency-translated down to around DC or zero frequency.

A common problem with homodyne receivers is that spurious DC offset components from the quadrature mixers 20 and 21 can be much stronger than the wanted signal, hindering detection of that signal. This problem is addressed in U.S. Patent No. 5,241,702 entitled "DC Offset Compensation" to Paul W. Dent which disclosure is incorporated here by reference. This patent utilizes differentiation of the I,Q signals to remove unwanted DC components, but may also remove wanted DC or low-frequency components of the signal. By utilizing known characteristics of what the total wanted signal waveform should look like however, the wanted components which have been unintentionally removed can be restored. This principle can be adapted to the subtractive CDMA receiver of Figure 1 to obtain advantageous characteristics. The I,Q components of the strongest signal in the composite signal are first descrambled using the *a priori* information of that signal's scrambling (i.e., spreading) code. For example, this *a priori* information can be used to program code generator 33 to generate a replica C1 of the spreading code associated with the strongest signal. This code replica is applied to balanced mixers 25 and 26 to produce despread I,Q signals at their outputs. Spurious DC offset from homodyne downconvertors 20 and 21 now appears as a component that is spread using the strongest signal's spreading code. It will be recognized by those skilled in the art that mixers 25 and 26 can be implemented in a number of ways including, for example, diode ring mixers, transistor Gilbert cells, phase reversing switches and the like.

The DC offset from homodyne downconvertors 20 and 21 can also be prevented from producing a spurious code component from I,Q despreaders 25 and 26 by including a high pass filter element in filters 23 and 24. The high pass filter removes DC offset components but only insignificantly affects the wideband composite CDMA signal reaching despreaders 25 and 26.

The signal despread with code C1 comprises a narrow spectral component (i.e., despread signal number 1) plus the rest of the composite signal still in wideband spread form. The other signals in the composite remain spread since code C1 is not correlated to their spreading codes. In fact the second strongest
 5 signal, which was originally spread with code C2, is at this point spread with a modulo-2 combination of C1 and C2.

The first despread signal can now be extracted from the outputs of despreaders 25 and 26 and further processed to extract information. This extracted information could, for example, take the form of digital data, digitized
 10 speech, analog FM or single-sideband speech modulation. Digital signals are preferred, as they lend themselves to other advantageous processing such as error correction coding and enciphering. Single-sideband, however, has the advantage that a significant self-interference reduction is realized due to the mean power in a speech signal being much less than its peak power. In a digital speech system,
 15 this advantage is limited to a factor of about two, which is obtained by turning off the transmission of the temporarily quiet party in a two-way conversation.

The first despread signal is then removed from the outputs of despreaders 25 and 26 by high-pass filters 27 and 28. The high pass filters are tailored to suppress the narrowband despread signal in the same way as filters 23 and 24
 20 can be tailored to suppress the homodyne offset, while leaving the remaining, non-despread signals largely unaffected. The I,Q remainder signals from filters 27 and 28 can now be respread with the code C1 prior to despread with the code C2. Moreover, these two steps can be performed at the same time by despread the remainder with $C1+C2$, which is generated by the code
 25 generator 33 using a-priori knowledge of the codes of both the first (strongest) and second (second strongest) signals as well as their relative timing.

Despreading with $C1+C2$, where the symbol "+" here means modulo-2 (bitwise) addition, results in the second signal appearing in narrowband, despread form at the output of despreaders 29 and 30. This narrowband signal can be
 30 extracted for I,Q processing to demodulate and decode information, and can also

be removed by high-pass filters 31 and 32 prior to despreading with $C2+C3$ and so forth until either all signals have been decoded or until a desired signal has been reached and decoded. In this way, a desired signal can lie well under the signal strength of the strongest signal and still be readable.

5 Two sources of subtraction imperfections can limit how far below the strongest signal a desired signal can still be read. Firstly, time-dispersion, that is delayed echos of a signal, add components that do not become despread using codes such as $C1$ or $C2$, because the echos are shifted one or more chips in time and then do not correlate perfectly their associated spreading code. The echos
10 are then unsubtracted. To compensate for unsubtracted echos, the second stage of subtraction using code $C2$ can be carried out using a $C2$ code that is a time-shifted version of the $C1$ code, according to the echo delay. The second stage despreading code then appears to be $C1$ modulo-2 added to a delayed version of $C1$, namely $C1(t).C1(t-T)$, where the symbol "." represents multiplication if
15 chips of $C1$ are given the arithmetic values ± 1 or module-2 addition if chips are given the Boolean values 1 or 0. To implement this exemplary embodiment, the first two stages of subtraction illustrated in Figure 1 can be used to remove the first signal and its echos. The second signal would then be despread and subtracted in subsequent, similar stages.

20 To further process a signal despread in this way, the narrowband I,Q outputs from despreaders 25, 26, 29 and 30 can be fed to further processing circuitry (not shown) known as a RAKE combiner, where complex weighted sums are formed to decode the signal making maximum use of all the signal energy in both the direct wave and the echo. This RAKE combining process
25 may be extended to include any number of significant delayed echoes. It is also sometimes desirable, e.g., in CDMA cellular downlink processing, to include weighted sums of despread echo components that do not exist, which of course one would not then attempt to subtract. Despreading non-existent echos with shifted codes for this purpose can be accomplished by despreaders (not
30 shown) running side-by-side with a despreaders pair such as pair 25 and 26 that is

despreading an echo having significant energy. However, only despreader pair 25 and 26 and other despreaders that despread real echos pass signals on to filters such as filters 27 and 28, while the parallel despreader pairs (not shown) that are operating on non-existent echos pass signals on only to further
5 processing such as the RAKE combiner described above. In addition to traditional RAKE combiners, a RAKE combiner modified according to the inventive principles of U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 08/187,062 entitled "Method for Demodulation of Downlink CDMA Signals" can also be employed, which patent application is incorporated here by reference. This modification of
10 the RAKE combining technique is appropriate for a CDMA mobile phone system application in the direction of transmission from base-to-mobile, i.e. for the mobile receiver, while conventional RAKE techniques are appropriate for the mobile-to-base direction, i.e. the base station receiver.

A second cause of imperfect subtraction is that an amount not only of the
15 despread signal is removed, but also low frequency components of other signals, spread with, for example, $C1+C2$, $C1+C3$... etc. at the output of the first despreader pair, e.g., despreaders 25 and 26. If all codes $C1, C2, C3$ etc. belong to a set closed with respect to the property that the modulo-2 sum of any pair comprises an equal number of 1's and 0's, then this cause of imperfect
20 subtraction may be reduced. For example, if all codes $C1, C2, C3$... belong to a closed set with respect to modulo-2 addition and all have equal numbers of 1's and 0's, the requirement is fulfilled. The Walsh-Hadamard orthogonal code set is such a set but has a limited number of members. An alternative expanded set was developed by Bottomley and Dent and is disclosed in U.S. Patent No.
25 5,353,352 entitled "Multiple Access Coding for Mobile Radio Communications" which patent is incorporated here by reference.

With any form of coding however, residuals of imperfect subtraction at an earlier stage may be further reduced at a later stage by re-subtraction in a manner similar to that described in U.S. Patent No. 5,218,619. To accomplish
30 this resubtraction in the context of the exemplary circuitry of Figure 1, code $C1$

can be used again at a later despread stage, e.g., beyond despreaders 29 and 30 and before despread signal n with code C_n . This re-subtraction stage would actually use a despread code C_{n-1} .C1 according to the aforementioned principle of combining respread with a previous code C_{n-1} and despread by the next code. Resubtraction of signal 2 may thus be accomplished using code C2 again in the stage before using $C(n+1)$ and the despread code would be C_n .C2, and so on. Resubtraction of strong multipath echoes may also need to be performed in a similar manner.

The code generator 33 can be programmed with knowledge of timing from a synchronization controller (not shown) and a signal strength tracker and sorter (not shown) which are however described in the above-incorporated U.S. Patent No. 5,218,619. It will also be appreciated that despread a homodyne converted signal to obtain a narrowband signal around DC, which is then subtracted from the spread spectrum composite using a high pass filter is equivalent to low-pass filtering the despread result and then subtracting that result. Another analogous technique would be to despread a signal at a non-zero intermediate frequency to obtain a narrowband signal around that intermediate frequency and then to notch out the narrowband signal using a bandstop filter.

Figure 2 illustrates the aforescribed re-orthogonalization principle applied by way of spectral nulling. A receiver 100 downconverts the received signal, if necessary, to a suitable intermediate frequency. The intermediate frequency is then despread using the code C1 of the strongest signal in despread 101. The narrowband, despread signal is then nulled out in the spectral domain by nulling filter 102. The residual signal is then respread with code C1 in respread 103 prior to being despread in 104 with code C2, nulling out signal 2 in filter 105 and respread with C2 in block 106. According to this exemplary embodiment, re-orthogonalization of the signal with respect to C1, i.e. by subtracting out again a component that correlates with C1 after having subtracted or nulled out other signals, is shown as second C1 despread 107, second nulling filter for C1-correlated components 108 and second C1

respreader 109. After the resubtraction stage represented by blocks 107, 108 and 109, the residual signal can be further processed to extract other signals, and later resubtraction of C2 and C1 for a third time. Indeed resubtraction of any or all of previously subtracted signals may be performed to prevent accumulation of subtraction imperfections that hinder the decoding of weak signals.

Figure 2b shows that, according to another exemplary embodiment, the respreading stage 103 and despreading stage 104 of Figure 2a can be combined into a single despreader 203 using a code waveform that is an arithmetic product (or a modulo-2 sum, for binary codes) of code waveforms C1 and C2. The despreaders of the resubtraction stage 205 and 206, when this combination is made, use code C1 combined with the immediately preceding code denoted by C(n-1) and C1 combined with the immediately following code, denoted by Cn, respectively.

Figure 3 shows an exemplary embodiment wherein the inventive resubtraction techniques are implemented using high-pass filtering of despread I,Q signals. A low noise amplifier 41 and filters 40 and 42 select and amplify the desired frequency band and apply a signal to homodyne downconverter 50. It will be realized by persons skilled in the art that further stages of amplification, filtering and downconversion to an intermediate frequency can optionally precede the homodyne downconverter 50. Homodyne downconverter 50 includes quadrature mixers 51 and 52 driven by cosine and sine local oscillator waves that mix with the signal to produce complex I,Q baseband output signals. The local oscillator frequency ω_0 is centered on the desired channel to be processed so that the signal is converted into I,Q baseband frequencies centered on DC. DC offset from hardware imperfections can thus interfere with wanted signal processing and is preferably removed by high pass filters 60. The high pass filters have low attenuation over most of the wide spread-spectrum signal bandwidth and this does not substantially reduce wanted signal energy.

First despreader 70 includes mixers or phase reversing switches 71 and 72 and operates to despread a first signal using code C1. The first signal despread

is preferably the strongest signal. The despread signal component is compressed to a narrow bandwidth around DC which is removed by high-pass filters 81 and 82 in signal remover 80. Other signals that are not despread by code C1 are left substantially unattenuated by signal remover 80. High pass filters 81 and 82 are
5 used in signal remover 80 when it is desired to remove a signal centered on zero, i.e., DC. In the event that some signal to be removed has a frequency offset relative to ω_0 , it will appear after despread as narrowband I,Q signals centered on a frequency other than DC and then filters 81 and 82 can be notch or bandstop filters.

10 After removal of the first signal in filter 80, the residual is respread using code C1 and despread using the code C2 of the next signal to be extracted. This can be accomplished in block 83 using structure which is similar to that of first despreaders 70 but using a modulo-2 combination $C1 * C2$ of codes C1 and C2, where the operator "*" signifies a bitwise exclusive-OR operation. Block 83 is
15 also understood to contain filters to remove the despread C2 signal component before passing the new residual to the next stage, and so forth to final stage 84. If only a single signal is to be decoded, the cascade of stages terminates when the output signal is the desired signal with stronger interfering signals having been removed in previous stages. Each stage may optionally, however, output its
20 despread signal component before removal, the output components being used to decode other signals such as in a cellular base station when all signals from mobile stations are desired to be decoded.

When the signal strength difference is large between the strongest signal S1 removed using code C1 and the final signal to be despread and decoded using
25 Cn in a stage (not shown) following stage 84, residual errors caused by imperfect signal removal due to noise and multiple-user interference effects can remain, but as described in the above-incorporated U.S. Patent No. 5,218,619, the inventor showed that residual subtraction errors from a stage using code C1 would still be correlated with the code C1 and could be more accurately identified after first
30 subtracting other signals. Thus, according to an aspect of the present invention,

the stage designated by reference numeral 84, which could in fact be any signal removal stage after 50, includes simultaneously resspreading with the despsreading code C_{n-1} of the immediately preceding stage combined with despsreading again using the code $C1$ in order to despsread and filter out residual components

5 correlated with $C1$ that were not perfectly removed in stage 50. Likewise, any residual component correlated with $C2$ that was not removed in stage 83 can be further reduced by including similar re-removal stages at any point in the chain after stage 83, preferably after removing further signals to allow better identification of the small residual error.

10 Figure 4 illustrates that some of the signal removal stages can be used for removal of differently-delayed rays of the same signal by using a delayed version $C1_{t,T}$ of the code sequence $C1_t$. Rays are preferably removed in descending signal strength order. For example, assuming ray 1 of signal 1 is the strongest received ray of all; then it is despsread in a first stage 91 using code $C1_t$. The
15 despsread components of the rays of the same signal (e.g., signal 1 ray 1, signal 1 ray 2, etc.) may be fed to combiner 95 which may be, for example, a RAKE combiner, that tracks the phase and amplitude of every ray and performs coherent combination with the aid of complex weights to enhance the signal for decoding in decoder 96. Block 95 can alternatively be a selection combiner for
20 selecting for decoding always the strongest ray of signal 1, which, however, should always be arranged to be that removed in stage 91 by using the appropriate code delay $C1_t$, $C1_{t,T}$, etc. in stage 1. Block 92 illustrates that rays of other signals may be despsread and removed before a second ray of signal 1 is despsread, which is desirable if the other signal rays are stronger than signal 1 ray
25 2.

Signal 1 ray 2 is despsread in stage 93 by using code $C1$ delayed by T , i.e., the code sequence $C1_{t,T}$ where T is chosen to correspond as closely as possible to the delay of the second strongest ray of signal 1 relative to the strongest ray of signal 1. The despsread ray 2 component is fed to combiner 95
30 before being filtered out from the signal passed to subsequent stages represented

by block 94. Block 94 can proceed to despread and remove other rays of signal 1, rays of other signals, or to re-subtract components correlated with any of code $C_{1,i}$, code $C_{1,T}$ or any other code or delayed code used previously in an earlier signal removal stage. As shown in U.S. Patent Application Serial No.

5 08/187,062, to Paul Dent et al., which has been incorporated by reference above, it can be desirable to form combinations in combiner 95 including signal rays that are not received. This phenomenon arises in a mobile receiver for decoding a CDMA signal from a base station in the presence of multiple-user noise originating in the same base station. Those phantom rays that have a
10 negative delay relative to the strongest ray or direct ray are used when the optimum receiver is a so-called "inverse channel filter approximation" and not a RAKE receiver, or a hybrid of the two when both same-base and other-base noise is to be combatted. Phantom rays do not, however, have to be subtracted as they do not constitute real interference to other signals.

15 Figure 5 shows an exemplary system for despreading of phantom rays in despreaders 191, 291, 197, 297, 98 and 198 that do not produce a cascade output signal because they do not perform a signal removal function, only a despreading function. Despreaders 91, 97 and 298 that despread real rays, however, include removal of the despread energy and generation of a cascade for connection to the
20 next stage. Combiner 95 combines all despread rays, real or phantom, corresponding to the same signal, for example, signal 1, to produce an enhanced ratio of wanted signal to noise-plus-interference for information decoder 96. Figure 5 thus illustrates both parallel connected despreaders and cascade connected despreaders, those that produce the cascading signal as an output
25 incorporating removal of despread signal energy by high-pass I,Q filtering or notch filtering at an intermediate frequency, while those that do not produce a cascade output are used to despread phantom rays or rays that are not presently sufficiently strong to warrant their removal, but which are being monitored in case they become so. It is to be understood that any signal removal stage can
30 also constitute a re-subtraction stage for reducing residual errors left from a

previous subtraction of a signal using the same code. In that case, the despread signal from a resubtraction stage is not combined in the combiner 95 for the signal decoding, which can be effected, for example, by either not connecting resubtraction stages to combiners 95 or, alternatively, giving their despread output signals zero weight in combiner 95.

Configuring the implementations of Figures 3, 4 or 5 such that signals or rays are subtracted in the desired signal-strength dependent order may be conveniently effected by selection of the code sequences delivered from code generator 35 to each despreading stage to correspond to the signal or ray that stage must deal with. The programming of the code generator to output different codes to different stages at different times may be accomplished by tracking the changing amplitudes of different signals and rays in combiner 95. After sorting into signal and ray-strength order, control signals can be fed from combiner 95 to adapt the code generator so that the code delivered to stage 1 (i.e., Fig. 3, block 70 or Figs. 4 and 5, block 91) corresponds to the strongest ray and the codes delivered to following stages correspond to successively diminishing signal or ray strengths. Thus, no actual switching of signal paths is required to dynamically adapt the inventive receiver to dynamically changing signal strength conditions. The code generator 33 can be implemented using any conventional method for initially synchronizing its codes C1,C2,C3... with the timing of corresponding received signals S1,S2,S3... and maintaining that synchronization. Dynamically selecting the code and code delay of the code sequence delivered to each despreading stage largely accomplishes the sync maintenance operation automatically, however.

The above-described exemplary embodiments are intended to be illustrative in all respects, rather than restrictive, of the present invention. Thus the present invention is capable of many variations in detailed implementation that can be derived from the description contained herein by a person skilled in the art. All such variations and modifications are considered to be within the scope and spirit of the present invention as defined by the following claims.

What is claimed :-

1. A receiver for receiving a multiplicity of overlapping spread-spectrum signals and decoding at least one of said signals, comprising:

receiving means for receiving said overlapping signals and producing a downconverted signal for processing;

5 code generator means for producing replicas of spreading codes used by said overlapping signals and time-shifted replicas of said spreading codes which are delayed by one or more code symbol periods;

despreading means for despreading said downconverted signal using different time-shifted replicas of a first spreading code and producing
10 corresponding first despread signals at least one of which forms a cascade signal output to a second despreading means; and

at least one processing means connected to jointly process said first despread signals that have been despread using timeshifted replicas of the first spreading code in order to extract desired information carried by a first signal
15 using that spreading code.

2. The receiver of claim 1, wherein said despreading means includes respreading means to produce a cascade output for cascade connection and wherein said receiver further comprises:

additional despreading stages each stage being cascade connected
20 to a cascade output of an immediately preceding stage to produce successive multiple despread signals from each cascaded stage using time-shifted replicas of spreading codes, each cascaded stage including filtering means for removing energy associated with a despread signal ray and respreading means to produce the cascade output for input to the next stage.

25 3. The receiver of claim 2 in which said cascade-connected stages remove signal energy associated with received signal rays in descending order of received signal ray strength.



4. The receiver of claim 1, wherein said despreading means further comprises:

5 filtering means to remove energy from one of said first despread signals corresponding to a received ray of a signal spread using said first spreading code while leaving energy corresponding to other signals or rays substantially unaltered.

5. The receiver of claim 2 in which said additional despreading means for despreading signals corresponding to echos which are being received at less than a predetermined strength to monitor for echos having significant strength.

6. The receiver of claim 2 in which said additional despreading means includes means for despreading signals corresponding to echos which are being received at less than a predetermined strength in order to provide despread signals to said at least one processing means for compensation of correlated interference on echos of said desired signal.

7. The receiver of claim 2 in which at least one of said cascade-connected despreading means removes residual energy associated with a signal ray using the same time-shift of the same spreading code as used in a preceding stage.

20 8. A receiver for receiving a multiplicity of overlapping spread-spectrum signals and decoding at least one of said overlapping spread-spectrum signals comprising:

receiving means for producing I and Q quadrature baseband signals;

25 high-pass filtering means for removing unwanted DC offsets from said I and Q quadrature baseband signals;



code generator means to provide replicas of spreading codes used by said overlapping signals;

I and Q signal despreading means for combining said quadrature baseband signals with a first of said spreading code replicas to obtain despread I
5 and Q signals; and

processing means for jointly processing said I and Q signals to extract desired information.

