

Description

The present invention relates to elevator systems and to dispatching cars in an elevator system. More particularly the invention relates to the assignment of hall calls to a selected one of a group of elevators serving floor landings of a building in common, based on weighted Relative System Response (RSR) considerations.

These RSR considerations include factors which take into account system operating characteristics in accordance with a scheme of operation, which includes a plurality of desirable factors, the assignments being made based upon a relative balance among the factors, in essence assigning "bonuses" and "penalties" to the cars in determining which cars are to be assigned to which hall calls.

Background Art

- General Information -

In an elevator system, using a Relative System Response (RSR) measure to assign elevator cars to hall calls, the car to hall call travel time is expressed in terms of various time related penalties. These penalties are added together and summed with various penalties that penalize undesirable operating characteristics. Bonuses are given for desirable operating situations and these are subtracted from the sum of penalties resulting in the Relative System Response or RSR value. These values are calculated for each car for a given hall call and the car with the minimum RSR value is assigned to answer the hall call. The penalties and bonuses selected for various time delays and operating characteristics are either fixed or they are varied based on, for example, the past five (5) minute average hall call waiting time and the current hall call registration time.

The above schemes treat hall calls equally without regard to the number of people waiting behind the hall call. They also treat all cars equally without regard to the current car load, unless the car is fully loaded. They consider only the current car load, but not the expected car load when the car reaches the hall call floor. As a result the car assigned in one cycle is often de-assigned later, because the car later becomes full, and another car is assigned. Often the assigned car does not have adequate capacity. So, when it stops and picks up people, some people are left out, and they then need to re-register the hall call, resulting in increased waiting time and user irritation. An extra car has to be sent there, thus increasing the number of car stops and decreasing the system's handling capacity. When a large number of people are waiting, although more than one car will be needed to serve the waiting people, the prior RSR system still assign only one car, resulting in delayed service and large waiting time for a large number of people.

When the cars stop at "en route" floors, the passenger transfer time depends on the number of people boarding and de-boarding the car. By using a fixed car stop penalty, the delays due to "en route" stops are only partially penalized. Large "en route" stops have a high probability of the cars being delayed, cars becoming full before reaching the hall call floor and cars making additional car call stops for car calls generated at "en route" hall call floors. These are detrimental to system performance, as they often cause hall call reassignment, but are not properly penalized.

Often heavily loaded cars are stopped for picking up one or two people. This increases the service time to a large number of people. The prior RSR systems do not distribute car load and car stops as effectively as possible, due to the lack of knowledge of the number of people waiting behind the hall calls and the number of people expected to be de-boarding and boarding the car at "en route" stops, and hence the expected car load when the car reaches the hall call floor.

For further general background information on RSR elevator car assignment systems, either with fixed or variable bonuses and penalties, reference is had to assignee's U.S. Patent 4,363,381 issued to Joseph Bittar on December 14, 1982, and EP-A-0342008, respectively. These approaches are further discussed below in the sub-section entitled "RSR Assignments of Prior Approaches."

- Prediction Approaches of Invention -

In contrast to the noted prior approaches the current invention uses an "artificial intelligence" methodology to, preferably, collect traffic data and predict traffic levels at all floors in a building at all times of the working day based on historic and real time traffic predictions. It computes passenger de-boarding rates at car call stops and boarding rates at hall call stops. It uses these rates and the current car load to predict the car load and spare capacity when the car would reach a particular or specific hall call stop. These predictions and other factors are then used to appropriately vary the RSR penalties and bonuses for assignment of each hall call to one or more cars.

Part of the strategy of the present invention in its accurate prediction or forecasting of traffic demands at

all times of the working day is to use single exponential smoothing and/or linear exponential smoothing. It is noted that some of the general prediction or forecasting techniques of the present invention are discussed in general (but not in any elevator context or in any context analogous thereto) in Forecasting Methods and Applications by Spyros Makridakis and Steven C. Wheelwright (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1978), particularly in Section 3.3: "Single Exponential Smoothing" and Section 3.6: "Linear Exponential Smoothing."

Disclosure of Invention

The present invention originated from the need to distribute the car load and car stops equitably, so as to minimize the service time and the waiting time of passengers and improve handling capacity. This distribution is achieved by, for example, "knowing" through traffic prediction the number of people waiting behind the hall call, and the number of people expected to be boarding and de-boarding at various car stops, and the currently measured car load.

Using this information, the car load when the car reaches the hall call floor is calculated, and the resulting spare capacity estimated. This spare capacity is matched with the predicted number of people waiting at the hall call floor. Any mismatch between predicted spare capacity and the number of people waiting at the hall call then is used to allow or disallow the car to answer the hall call, using a hall call mismatch penalty.

The dwell times at various floors are computed using the predicted car load and the passenger de-boarding and boarding rates. The car stop penalty and the hall stop penalty are varied as functions of the dwell time and the number of people waiting behind the hall call. Thus, the car stops for hall call and car call are penalized based on the expected passenger transfer time and the expected number of people waiting behind the hall call to be assigned, so that, when a large number of people is waiting, a car with fewer "en route" stops is selected.

The stopping of a heavily loaded car to pick up a few people increases service time for a large number of people. Therefore, this is penalized by, for example, using a car load penalty which varies proportionally to the number of people in the car, but at a lower rate as a function of the number of people waiting behind the hall call.

These penalties are included in the RSR value computations. Thus, the resulting RSR value is affected by the car load at the hall call floor, the number of people waiting at the hall call floor and the number of people boarding and de-boarding the car at "en route" stops. All of these values are obtained by using "artificial intelligence" based traffic prediction methodology.

The resulting RSR procedure being enhanced with the present invention, is thus more responsive to traffic conditions and distributes car loads and stops more efficiently, resulting in lower waiting time and service time and higher handling capacity.

Past system information is recorded in "historic" and "real time" data bases, and the stored information used for further predictions.

Thus, the present invention dispatches elevator cars to be dispatched based on a dispatcher procedure with variable bonuses and penalties using "artificial intelligence" ("AI") techniques based on historic and real time traffic predictions to predict the number of people behind a hall call, the expected boarding and de-boarding rates at "en route" stops, and the expected car load at the hall call floor, and varying the RSR bonuses and penalties based on this information to distribute car loads and stops more equitably.

Exemplary approaches and other related RSR techniques achieving the foregoing are described and detailed further below.

The invention may be practised in a wide variety of elevator systems, utilizing known technology, in the light of the teachings of the invention, which are discussed in detail hereafter.

Other features and advantages will be apparent from the specification and claims and from the accompanying drawings, which illustrate an exemplary embodiment of the invention.

Brief Description of Drawings

Figure 1 is a simplified, schematic block diagram, partially broken away, of an exemplary elevator system in which the present invention may be incorporated; while

Figure 2 is a simplified, schematic block diagram of an exemplary car controller, which may be employed in the system of Figure 1, and in which the invention may be implemented.

Figures 3A & 3B, in combination, provide a simplified, logic flow diagram for the exemplary method used to collect and predict traffic and passenger boarding and de-boarding rates at various floors in the preferred embodiment of the present invention.

Figures 4A and 4B are general illustrations of matrix diagrams illustrating the collection of the real time data in arrays used in the exemplary embodiment of the present invention, showing the collection of "up" board-

ing counts and "up" hall stop counts at various floors.

Figure 5 is a simplified, logic flow diagram for the exemplary method used to compute the hall call mismatch penalty in the exemplary embodiment of the present invention.

Figure 6 is a simplified, logic flow diagram for the exemplary method used to compute variable car stop and hall stop penalties in the exemplary embodiment of the present invention.

Figure 7 is a graph illustrating a typical variation of the car load penalty with the car load and the number of people waiting behind the hall call used in the exemplary embodiment of the present invention.

- Exemplary Elevator Application -

For the purposes of detailing an exemplary application of the present invention, the disclosures of the above referenced Bittar U.S. Patent 4,363,381, as well as of the commonly owned U.S. Patent 4,330,836 entitled "Elevator Cab Load Measuring System" of Donofio & Games issued May 18, 1982, are referred to.

The preferred application for the present invention is in an elevator control system employing a micro-processor-based group controller dispatcher using signal processing means, which communicates with the cars of the elevator system to determine the conditions of the cars and responds to hall calls registered at a plurality of landings in the building serviced by the cars under the control of the group controller, to provide assignments of the hall calls to the cars based on the weighted summation for each car, with respect to each call, of a plurality of system response factors indicative of various conditions of the car irrespective of the call to be assigned, as well as indicative of other conditions of the car relative to the call to be assigned, assigning "bonuses" and "penalties" to them in the weighted summation. An exemplary elevator system and an exemplary car controller (in block diagram form) are illustrated in Figures 1 & 2, respectively, of the '381 patent and described in detail therein.

It is noted that Figures 1 & 2 hereof are substantively identical to the same figures of the '381 patent and the above-referenced, co-pending application EP-A-0342008. For the sake of brevity the elements of Figures 1 & 2 are merely outlined or generally described below, as was done in the co-pending application, while any further, desired operational detail can be obtained from the '381 patent, as well as other of our prior patents.

In Figure 1, a plurality of exemplary hoistways, HOISTWAY "A" 1 and HOISTWAY "F" 2 are illustrated, the remainder not being shown for simplicity purposes. In each hoistway, an elevator car or cab 3, 4 is guided for vertical movement on rails (not shown).

Each car is suspended on a steel cable 5, 6, that is driven in either direction or held in a fixed position by a drive sheave/motor/brake assembly 7, 8, and guided by an idler or return sheave 9, 10 in the well of the hoistway. The cable 5, 6 normally also carries a counterweight 11, 12, which is typically equal to approximately the weight of the cab when it is carrying half of its permissible load.

Each cab 3, 4 is connected by a traveling cable 13, 14 to a corresponding car controller 15, 16, which is typically located in a machine room at the head of the hoistways. The car controllers 15, 16 provide operation and motion control to the cabs, as is known in the art.

In the case of multi-car elevator systems, it has long been common to provide a group controller 17, which receives up and down hall calls registered on hall call buttons 18-20 on the floors of the buildings and allocates those calls to the various cars to response, and distributes cars among the floors of the building, in accordance with any one of several various modes of group operation. Modes of group operation may be controlled in part, for example, by a lobby panel ("LOB PNL") 21, which is normally connected by suitable building wiring 22 to the group controller in multi-car elevator systems.

The car controllers 15, 16 also control certain hoistway functions, which relates to the corresponding car, such as the lighting of "up" and "down" response lanterns 23, 24, there being one such set of lanterns 23 assigned to each car 3, and similar sets of lanterns 24 for each other car 4, designating the hoistway door where service in response to a hall call will be provided for the respective up and down directions.

The position of the car within the hoistway may be derived from a primary position transducer ("PPT") 25, 26. Such a transducer is driven by a suitable sprocket 27, 28 in response to a steel tape 29, 30, which is connected at both of its ends to the cab and passes over an idler sprocket 31, 32 in the hoistway well.

Similarly, although not required in an elevator system to practice the present invention, detailed positional information at each floor, for more door control and for verification of floor position information derived by the "PPT" 25, 26, may employ a secondary position transducer ("SPT") 33, 34. Or, if desired, the elevator system in which the present invention is practiced may employ inner door zone and outer door zone hoistway switches of the type known in the art.

The foregoing is a description of an elevator system in general, and, as far as the description goes thus far, is equally descriptive of elevator systems known to the prior art, as well as an exemplary elevator system which could incorporate the teachings of the present invention.

All of the functions of the cab itself may be directed, or communicated with, by means of a cab controller 35, 36 in accordance with the present invention, and may provide serial, time-multiplexed communications with the car controller, as well as direct, hard-wired communications with the car controller by means of the traveling cables 13 & 14. The cab controller, for instance, can monitor the car call buttons, door open and door close buttons, and other buttons and switches within the car. It can also control the lighting of buttons to indicate car calls and provide control over the floor indicator inside the car, which designates the approaching floor.

The cab controller 35, 36 interfaces with load weighing transducers to provide weight information used in controlling the motion, operation, and door functions of the car. The load weighing data used in the invention may use the system disclosed in the above cited '836 patent.

An additional function of the cab controller 35, 36 is to control the opening and closing of the door, in accordance with demands therefor, under conditions which are determined to be safe.

The makeup of microcomputer systems, such as, may be used in the implementation of the car controllers 15, 16, a group controller 17, and the cab controllers 35, 36, can be selected from readily available components or families thereof, in accordance with known technology as described in various commercial and technical publications. The software structures for implementing the present invention, and peripheral features which may be disclosed herein, may be organized in a wide variety of fashions.

- RSR Assignments of Prior Approaches -

As noted above, an earlier car assignment system, which established the RSR approach and was described in the commonly owned '381 patent, included the provision of an elevator control system in which hall calls were assigned to cars based upon Relative System Response (RSR) factors and provided the capability of assigning calls on a relative basis, rather than on an absolute basis, and, in doing so, used specific, pre-set values for assigning the RSR "bonuses" and "penalties".

However, because the bonuses and penalties were fixed and preselected, waiting times sometimes became large, depending on the circumstances of the system. Thus, although the '381 invention was a substantial advance in the art, further substantial improvement was possible and was achieved in the invention of EP-A-0342008.

In that invention the bonuses and penalties were varied, rather than preselected and fixed as in the '381 invention, as functions, for example, of recently past average hall call waiting time and current hall call registration time, which could be used to measure the relatively current intensity of the traffic in the building. An exemplary average time period which could be used was five (5) minutes, and a time period of that order was preferred.

During system operation, the average hall call waiting time for the selected past time period was estimated using, for example, the clock time at hall call registration and the hall call answering time for each hall call and the total number of hall calls answered during the selected time period. The hall call registration time was computed, from the time when the hall call was registered until the time when the hall call was to be assigned. According to that invention, the penalties and bonuses were selected, so as to give preference to the hall calls that remain registered by a long time, relative to the past selected period's average waiting time of the hall calls.

When the hall call registration time was large compared to the past selected time period's average wait time, then the call would have high priority and thus should not wait for, for example, cars having a coincident car call stop or a contiguous stop and should not wait for cars having less than the allowable number of calls assigned, MG (motor generator) set on and not parked. Thus, for these situations, the bonuses and penalties would be varied by decreasing them.

When the hall call registration time was small compared to the selected time period's average waiting time, the reverse situation would be true, and the bonuses and penalties would be varied for them by increasing them.

The functional relationship used to select the bonuses and penalties related, for example, the ratio of hall call registration time to the average past selected time period's hall call waiting time to the increases and decreases in the values of the bonuses and penalties.

As a variant to the foregoing, the bonuses and penalties could be decreased or increased based on the difference between the current hall call registration time and the past selected time period's average hall call waiting time as a measure of current traffic intensity.

- Exemplary "AI" Based Variable Bonuses/Penalties -

The "AI" principles used in the invention and the application of the invention in a detailed exemplary embodiment will be discussed first, and then the exemplary embodiment will be further discussed in association with the drawings.

Between, for example, 6:00 AM and midnight, that is for the whole active work day, at each floor in the building in each direction, the following traffic data is collected for short periods of time, for example, each one (1) minute interval, in terms of the:

- number of hall call stops made,
- number of passengers boarding the cars using car load measurements at the floors,
- number of car call stops made, and
- number of passengers de-boarding the cars, again using car load measurements at the floors.

At the end of each interval, the data collected during, for example, the past three intervals at various floors in terms of passenger counts and car stop counts are analyzed. If the data shows that car stops were made at any floor in any direction in, for example, two (2) out of the three (3) past minutes and on the average more than, for example, two (2) passengers boarded or two (2) passengers de-boarded each car at that floor and direction, during at least two (2) intervals, the real time prediction for that floor and direction is initiated.

The traffic for the next few two (2) or three (3) intervals for that floor, direction and traffic type (boarding or de-boarding) is then predicted, using preferably a linear exponential smoothing model. Both passenger counts and car stop counts (hall call stops or car call stops) are thus predicted. The traffic preferably is also predicted for a few look-ahead intervals beyond the next interval.

Large traffic volume may be caused by normal traffic patterns occurring on each working day of the week or due to special events occurring on the specific day.

The real time prediction is terminated, when the total number of cars stopping at the floor in that direction and for that traffic type is less than, for example, two (2) for four (4) consecutive intervals and the average number of passengers boarding the cars or de-boarding the cars during each of those intervals is less than, for example, two (2.0).

Whenever significant traffic levels have been observed at a floor in a direction and real time traffic predictions made, the real time collected data for various intervals is saved in the historic data base, when the real time prediction is terminated. The floor where the traffic was observed, the traffic direction and type of traffic in terms of boarding or de-boarding counts and hall call stops or car call stops are recorded in the historic data base. The starting and ending times of the traffic and the day of the week are also recorded in the historic data base.

Once a day, at midnight, the data saved during the day in the historic data base is compared against the data from the previous days. If the same traffic cycle repeats each working day within, for example, a three (3) minute tolerance of starting and ending times and, for example, a fifteen (15%) percent tolerance in traffic volume variation during the first four and last four short intervals, the current day's data is saved in the normal traffic patterns file.

If the data does not repeat on each working day, but if the pattern repeats on each same day of the week within, for example, a three (3) minute tolerance of starting and ending times and, for example, a fifteen (15%) percent tolerance in traffic volume variation during the first four and last four intervals, the current day's data is saved in the normal weekly patterns file.

After the data collected during the day are thus analyzed and saved in the normal patterns file and normal weekly patterns file, all the data in those files for various floors, directions, traffic types are used to predict traffic for the next day. For each floor, direction and traffic type, the various occurrences of historic patterns are identified one by one. For each such occurrence, the traffic for the next day is predicted using the data at the previous occurrence and the predicted data at the last occurrence and using the exponential smoothing model. All normal traffic patterns and normal weekly traffic patterns expected to be occurring on the next day are thus predicted and saved in the current days historic prediction data base.

At the end of each data collection interval, the floors and directions where significant traffic has been observed, are identified. After the real time traffic for the significant traffic type has been predicted, the current day's historic prediction data base is checked to identify if historic traffic prediction has been made at this floor and direction for the same traffic type for the next interval.

If so, then the two predicted values are combined to obtain optimal predictions. These predictions will give equal weight to historic and real time predictions and hence will use a weighing factor of one-half (0.5) for both. If however, once the traffic cycle has started, the real time predictions differ from the historic prediction by more than, for example, twenty (20%) percent in, for example, four (4) out of six (6) one minute intervals, the real time prediction will be given a weight of, for example, three-quarters (0.75) and the historic prediction a weight of one-quarter (0.25), to arrive at a combined optimal prediction.

The real time predictions shall be made for passenger boarding or de-boarding counts and car hall call or car call stop counts for up to three (3) or four (4) minutes from the end of the current interval. The historic prediction data for up to three or four minutes will be obtained from the previously generated data base. So the combined predictions for passenger counts and car counts can also be made for up to three to four minutes

from the end of the current interval.

If no historic predictions have been made at that floor for the same direction and traffic type for the next few intervals, the real time predicted passenger counts and car counts for the next three (3) or four (4) minutes are used as the optimal predictions.

5 Using this predicted data, the passenger boarding rate and de-boarding rate at the floor where significant traffic occurs are then calculated. The boarding rate is calculated as the ratio of total number of passengers boarding the cars at the floor in that direction during that interval to the number of hall call stops made at that floor in that direction during the same interval. The de-boarding rate is calculated as the ratio of number of passengers de-boarding the cars at that floor, in that direction in that interval to the number of car call stops made
10 at that floor in that direction in the same interval.

The boarding rate and de-boarding rate for the next three (3) to four (4) minutes for the floors and directions where significant traffic is observed are thus calculated once a minute. If the traffic at a floor and a direction is not significant, i.e. less than, for example, two (2) persons board the car or de-board the car on the average, the boarding or de-boarding rates are not calculated.

15 Then, when a hall call is received, for each car the expected car load at the hall call floor is computed. The car load, when the car reaches the hall call floor, equals the current car load plus the sum of the passengers predicted to be boarding at "en route" hall call stops already assigned to the car, minus the sum of the passengers predicted to be de-boarding the cars at the already registered car call stops.

20 In computing this car load, if the traffic at any of the "en route" hall call stops or car call stops is not significant and hence has not been predicted, it is assumed that only one (1) person will board the car at the hall call stop and only one (1) person will de-board the car at the car call stop.

The computed car load is used to compute spare capacity in the car in terms of passengers. The expected boarding rate at the hall call floor is compared against the spare capacity. A penalty, termed the "hall call mismatch penalty" ("HCM"), is used to allow or disallow the car to answer the hall call, as follows.

25 If the floor of hall call origination does not have significant traffic, then, since only one (1) person is assumed to be boarding the car at the hall call floor, the car is eligible for assignment, if it is not fully loaded, i.e. the load does not exceed, for example, eighty (80%) percent of the capacity. So, if the computed car load, when the car reaches the current hall call floor, is less than eighty (80%) percent, the "HCM" is set to zero. If the computed car load exceeds eighty (80%) percent, the "HCM" is set to, for example, "200". This approach is different from
30 the approach of the '381 patent, which uses current car load to allow or disallow the car for assignment and does not consider the boarding and de-boarding rates at "en route" hall call and car call stops. This approach thus minimizes hall call reassignment, due to a car becoming fully loaded at en route stops.

The RSR dispatcher of the '381 patent also does not use the estimated number of people waiting at the hall call floor to select the car for assignment.

35 In the present invention, if the floor of hall call origination has significant traffic, then after the car load at the hall call floor is computed, the spare capacity in the car is computed in terms of the number of passengers. If the predicted boarding rate at the hall call floor is less than or equal to ($\leq$) "the single car limiting queue size" and, if the spare capacity in the car is equal to or greater ($\geq$) than the average boarding rate at the hall call floor, then the car is eligible for assignment, the "HCM" is set to zero. If the average boarding rate at the hall
40 call floor is less than ($<$) the single car limiting queue size, but the spare capacity in the car is less than the average boarding rate at the hall call floor, then the car is not eligible for assignment for the hall call floor. Therefore, the "HCM" is set to, for example, "200".

Thus, the stopping of multiple cars to pick up a small number of people is avoided. This improves car productivity by minimizing car stops.

45 If the average boarding rate at the hall call floor exceeds the single car limiting queue size, then, if the car's spare capacity is less than the "multi-car minimum pick-up limit", say, for example, two (2) persons, the car is not eligible for assignment and its "HCM" is set to "200".

If, when the average boarding rate at the hall call floor exceeds the single car limiting queue size, the car's spare capacity equals or exceeds ($\geq$) the multi-car minimum pick-up limit, the "HCM" penalty is set to zero.

50 Then, if the car's spare capacity is less than ($<$) the average boarding rate at the hall call floor, the car will generate a "second car requested ('SCR') signal. If the car with the lowest RSR does not generate a "SCR" signal, that car alone will answer the hall call. If the car with the lowest RSR generates a "SCR" signal, the car with the next lowest RSR also will answer the hall call.

55 The single car limiting queue size and the multi-car minimum pick-up limit are functions of traffic density at that time. The values are learned by the system and changed, for example, once every five (5) minutes.

When the first car answers the hall call, if it is not fully loaded when it closes the doors at the hall call floor, it produces a cancel "SCR" message, indicating that all of the waiting passengers have been picked up. The other car answering the hall call due to the "SCR" signal will then de-assign itself for that hall call.

The RSR dispatcher of the '381 patent uses a fixed car stop penalty and hall stop penalty. Typical values for the car stop penalty ("CSP") is ten (10) and that for the hall stop penalty ("HSP") is eleven (11).

When the traffic data is predicted and the car load estimated at the various car stop and hall stop floors, the car's remaining capacity and the expected passenger boarding and de-boarding rates are used to compute the required door dwell time (car stop time) at the floor, using an appropriate mathematical model based on, for example, real world observations.

So for each car call stop and hall call stop, the car stop penalty will be incremented if the required car stop time exceeds, for example, one (1) second and the hall stop time exceeds, for example, three (3.0) seconds. For, for example, each two (2.0) seconds increase in the stop time, the car stop/hall stop penalty is increased by, for example, one (1). Thus, if a car is expected to spend too much time at "en route" stops, because it drops off or picks up a lot of people, this car is adequately penalized.

Additionally, the penalty for a car stop and a hall stop preferably will be varied as a function of the number of people waiting behind the hall call to be assigned.

This is because with each "en route" stop, there is increased probability of car being delayed and getting more loaded due to unpredictable events. Also, when a car makes "en route" stops for hall calls, these in turn can generate additional car call stops in the future, thus further delaying the car. Both the unexpected delays and loads can result in the hall call being reassigned later. Selecting a car with fewer "en route" stops provides better dependability in car arrival at hall call floor. Since high dependability and low probability of reassignment of hall call is desired with longer queues, the car stop penalties increase with queue size. Thus, if there are more people waiting behind the hall call, "en route" stops will be more penalized, while short waiting queues will use low penalties. This will select cars with fewer "en route" stops to serve long queues. This scheme results in less waiting time to a large number of people, resulting in a lower average waiting time for the system.

The table below shows the typical increase of car stop penalties when the dwell time is on (1.0) second for a car stop and three (3.0) seconds for a hall stop.

NUMBER OF PEOPLE AT HALL CALL	2	3	4	5	6	8	10	12	12+
INCREASE IN "CSP"	0	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	8
INCREASE IN "HSP"	0	0	1	2	4	5	7	9	12

The penalty increases are variable as a function of the traffic intensity. At heavy traffic conditions fewer stops are desired to serve hall calls with long queues; so the penalties increase faster with the queue size. The hall calls with short queues may then be served by cars having more "en route" stops.

When the number of people behind a hall call is predicted, using the "artificial intelligence" techniques of the present invention, and the car load, when the car reaches the hall call floor is computed, a car load penalty ("CLP") is used to penalize the stopping of heavily loaded cars, in the absence of a coincident car call stop at the hall call floor. The penalty is variable and increases proportionally to the number of people in the car. The rate of increase is high, when the number of people waiting behind the hall call is low. When the number of people waiting behind the hall call is high, the car load penalty increases with the car load at a lower rate.

If the car has a coincident car call stop, the "CLP" is set to zero ("0").

The variation of the car load penalty ("CLP") with the car load and the number of people waiting behind the hall call can be expressed by a linear correlation model, as follows:

$$CLP = a_{cll} (C_{ld} - C_{ldl}) - b_{phc} * N_{phc}$$

where " a_{cll} " and " b_{phc} " are correlation coefficients;

" C_{ld} " is the car load when the car reaches the hall call floor;

" C_{ldl} " is the set car load limit; and

" N_{phc} " is the number of people waiting at the hall call floor.

Exemplary variations for " a_{cll} " and " b_{phc} " are in the range of three-tenths to three (0.3-3.0) and one-half to one and a half (0.5-1.5), respectively, and for " C_{ldl} " four to twelve (4-12).

When the car load is less the " C_{id} ", there is no car load penalty. This limit depends upon the number of people behind the hall call.

As can be seen, the model prefers lightly loaded cars to serve short queues.

The can take only as many people as there is spare capacity. Thus, the linear equations should not be used if the number of people behind the hall call exceeds the spare capacity. This is taken care of by limiting the car assignment. Thus, if there is not adequate spare capacity, the hall call mismatch penalty ("HCM") precludes a car assignment or, alternatively, more than one car is assigned to answer the hall call.

Thus, the car load penalty increases with the car load (" C_{id} "), but decreases with the number of people behind the hall call (" N_{phc} "), and is applied until the sum of " $C_{id} + N_{phc}$ " approaches or reaches the car capacity.

Thus, the "CLP" can be computed using the above equation. The equation is specified in terms of the values of " a_{cid} ", " C_{id} " and " b_{phc} " and is used for different values of " N_{phc} " from, for example, one (1) to twelve (12). When " N_{phc} " exceeds twelve (12), the equation for twelve (12) passengers is used.

As a particular example of the foregoing, used as the exemplary embodiment of the present invention, the logic block diagram of Figures 3A & 3B illustrates the exemplary methodology to collect and predict traffic and compute boarding and de-boarding rates. In steps 3-1 & 3-2 the traffic data is collected for, for example, each one (1) minute interval during an appropriate time frame covering at least all of the active work day, for example, from 6:00 AM until midnight, in terms of the number of passengers boarding the car, the number of hall call stops made, the number of passengers de-boarding the car, and the number of car call stops made at each floor in the "up" and "down" directions. The data collected for, for example, the latest one (1) hour is saved in the data base, as generally shown in Figures 4A & 4B and step 3-1a.

In steps 3-3 to 3-4a at the end of each minute the data is analyzed to identify if car stops were made at any floor in the "up" and "down" direction in, for example, two (2) out of three (3) one minute intervals and, if on the average more than, for example, two (2) passengers de-boarded or boarded each car during those intervals. If so, significant traffic is considered to be indicated. The traffic for, for example, the next three (3) to four (4) minutes is then predicted in step 3-6 at that floor for that direction using real time data and a linear exponential smoothing model, as generally described in the Makridakis & Wheelwright text cited above, particularly Section 3.6, and, as applied to elevator dispatching, in EP-A-0348152.

Thus, if the traffic "today" varies significantly from the previous days' traffic, this variation is immediately used in the predictions.

If this traffic pattern repeats each day or each same day of the week at this floor, the data would have been stored in the historic data base and the data for each two (2) or three (3) minute intervals predicted the previous night for this day, using, for example, the method of moving averages or, more preferably, a single exponential smoothing model, which model is likewise generally described in the text of Makridakis & Wheelwright cited above, particularly Section 3.3, and, as applied to elevator dispatching, in EP-A-0348152.

If such prediction is available, the historic and real time predictions are combined to obtain optimal predictions in step 3-10. The predictions can combine both the real time predictions and the historic predictions in accordance with the following relationship:

$$X = ax_h + bx_r$$

where "X" is the combined prediction " x_h " is the historic prediction and " x_r " is the real time prediction for the short time period for the floor, and "a" and "b" are multiplying factors.

Initially, "a" and "b" values of one-half (0.5) are used. If real time predictions differ from historic predictions by more than, for example, twenty (20%) percent for several intervals, the "a" value is reduced and the "b" value is increased, as previously mentioned.

If historic predictions are not available, real time prediction is used for the optimal predictions, as shown in step 3-11.

As can be seen in the figure, other detailed steps or features are included in the procedure of Figures 3A & 3B, but are considered to be self-explanatory in view of the foregoing.

Then, for each floor and direction where significant traffic has been predicted in step 3-12, the average boarding rate is calculated as, for example, the ratio of the predicted number of people boarding the car during the interval to the number of hall call stops made in that interval. The average de-boarding rate is computed in step 3-13 as the ratio of the predicted number of people de-boarding the car during an interval to the number of car call stops made in that interval. These rates are calculated for the next three to four minutes and saved in the data base.

Then, when a hall call is received from a floor, the RSR value for each car is calculated, taking into account the hall call mismatch penalty, the car stop and hall stop penalty and the car load penalty, which are all varied based on the predicted number of people behind the hall call, the predicted car load at the hall call floor and the predicted boarding and de-boarding rate at "en route" stops.

With reference to the logic block diagram of Figure 5, which illustrates the exemplary methodology to com-

pute the hall call mismatch penalty, for a given car and hall call in step 5-1 the car load at the hall call floor is computed by adding to the current car load the sum of the boarding rates at "en route" hall stops and then subtracting from the results the sum of the de-boarding rates at the "en route" car stops.

If in step 5-2 the current hall call floor does not have predicted traffic, in step 5-3, if the predicted car load equals or exceeds, for example, eighty percent (80%) of the car's capacity, in step 5-5 the car's hall call mismatch penalty ("HCM") is set to a high value, for example, two hundred ("200") to preclude this car's assignment to the hall call. If not, that is the predicted car load is less than eighty percent of capacity, then, in step 5-4 the hall call mismatch penalty is set to zero.

On the other hand, if the current hall call floor does have predicted traffic in step 5-2 and if the predicted number of people waiting behind the hall call is less than or equal ($\leq$) to the single car limiting queue, for example, five (5), the logic branches to step 5-7. At this step, if the car's spare capacity equals or exceeds ($\geq$) the waiting queue size, the "HCM" is set to zero in step 5-9; otherwise, it is set to "200" in step 5-8. If in step 5-6 the queue size exceeds the single car limiting queue size, then, if the car's spare capacity exceeds the "multi-car minimum pick-up limit," the "HCM" is set to zero in step 5-11; otherwise it is set to "200" in step 5-12 to preclude this car's assignment to this hall call. If necessary, namely if the car capacity is less than the queue behind the hall call, in step 5-14 a second car request ("SCR") is then made when the RSR value is computed.

As can be seen in the figure, other detailed steps or features are included in the procedure of Figure 5, but are considered to be self-explanatory.

With reference to Figure 6, which illustrates the exemplary methodology used to compute the variable car stop and hall stop penalties, for each scheduled "en route" stop the current car load and the expected boarding rates at "en route" hall call stops and de-boarding rates at "en route" car call stops are used in steps 6-1 & 6-2 to compute the car load when the car arrives at the stop, the remaining capacity after the passenger de-boarding is complete and the total passenger transfer counts. In step 6-3 the required door dwell time is computed using these parameters and an appropriate mathematical model based on real world observations.

In step 6-4 the penalty for each car stop ("CSP") and hall stop ("HSP") of the car is calculated by adding to the nominal values of these penalties increases based on the number of people waiting behind the hall call (" N_{phc} "), using for example the table presented above.

In step 6-5 the penalties so computed are further increased by, for example, "1" for each additional two (2) seconds of dwell time above the minimum one (1) second for car call stop and the minimum three (3) seconds for hall call stop.

With reference to the graph of Figure 7, a typical variation of the car load penalty with the car load and the number of people behind the hall call is illustrated for an exemplary four thousand (4,000) pound (1814kg) capacity car, in which " N_{phc} ", i.e., the number of people waiting at the hall call floor, varies from one (1) to twelve (12) passengers. The graph is based on the equation discussed above.

The penalties so calculated are used in the RSR calculation with other bonuses and penalties to compute the final, enhanced RSR values. The RSR calculation with variable bonuses and penalties of the above referenced patent application EP-A-0342008 may be used with the enhancements of this invention. Thus, the traffic predicted using the "artificial intelligence" methodology of the present invention may be used to vary the bonuses and penalties and compute the resulting RSR values. When cars are assigned to hall calls using this approach, the car stops and the car loads are more equitably distributed, resulting in better service.

Although this invention has been shown and described with respect to detailed, exemplary embodiments thereof, it should be understood by those skilled in the art that various changes in form, detail, methodology and/or approach may be made without departing from the scope of this invention.

Claims

1. A group controller dispatcher for an elevator system, which system has a group of elevator cars for servicing a plurality of floor landings in a building at which hall calls can be placed, the group controller dispatcher including signal processor means responsive to signals indicative of conditions of the cars for providing, for the cars, with respect to each hall call registered, a signal representing the summation of relative system response (RSR) factors, indicative of the relative degree to which the assigning of any hall call to said car is in accordance with a scheme of overall system response applicable to the cars, wherein the response factors identify different routines to dispatch a car to answer the hall call, the relative system response factors being weighted with respect to other response factors to represent an increase in time expected for the group of cars to answer the hall call by following one dispatching routine as opposed to another routine and for assigning each registered hall call to the car provided with the lowest summation

pute the hall call mismatch penalty, for a given car and hall call in step 5-1 the car load at the hall call floor is computed by adding to the current car load the sum of the boarding rates at "en route" hall stops and then subtracting from the results the sum of the de-boarding rates at the "en route" car stops.

If in step 5-2 the current hall call floor does not have predicted traffic, in step 5-3, if the predicted car load equals or exceeds, for example, eighty percent (80%) of the car's capacity, in step 5-5 the car's hall call mismatch penalty ("HCM") is set to a high value, for example, two hundred ("200") to preclude this car's assignment to the hall call. If not, that is the predicted car load is less than eighty percent of capacity, then, in step 5-4 the hall call mismatch penalty is set to zero.

On the other hand, if the current hall call floor does have predicted traffic in step 5-2 and if the predicted number of people waiting behind the hall call is less than or equal ($\leq$) to the single car limiting queue, for example, five (5), the logic branches to step 5-7. At this step, if the car's spare capacity equals or exceeds ($\geq$) the waiting queue size, the "HCM" is set to zero in step 5-9; otherwise, it is set to "200" in step 5-8. If in step 5-6 the queue size exceeds the single car limiting queue size, then, if the car's spare capacity exceeds the "multi-car minimum pick-up limit," the "HCM" is set to zero in step 5-11; otherwise it is set to "200" in step 5-12 to preclude this car's assignment to this hall call. If necessary, namely if the car capacity is less than the queue behind the hall call, in step 5-14 a second car request ("SCR") is then made when the RSR value is computed.

As can be seen in the figure, other detailed steps or features are included in the procedure of Figure 5, but are considered to be self-explanatory.

With reference to Figure 6, which illustrates the exemplary methodology used to compute the variable car stop and hall stop penalties, for each scheduled "en route" stop the current car load and the expected boarding rates at "en route" hall call stops and de-boarding rates at "en route" car call stops are used in steps 6-1 & 6-2 to compute the car load when the car arrives at the stop, the remaining capacity after the passenger de-boarding is complete and the total passenger transfer counts. In step 6-3 the required door dwell time is computed using these parameters and an appropriate mathematical model based on real world observations.

In step 6-4 the penalty for each car stop ("CSP") and hall stop ("HSP") of the car is calculated by adding to the nominal values of these penalties increases based on the number of people waiting behind the hall call (" N_{phc} "), using for example the table presented above.

In step 6-5 the penalties so computed are further increased by, for example, "1" for each additional two (2) seconds of dwell time above the minimum one (1) second for car call stop and the minimum three (3) seconds for hall call stop.

With reference to the graph of Figure 7, a typical variation of the car load penalty with the car load and the number of people behind the hall call is illustrated for an exemplary four thousand (4,000) pound (1814kg) capacity car, in which " N_{phc} ", i.e., the number of people waiting at the hall call floor, varies from one (1) to twelve (12) passengers. The graph is based on the equation discussed above.

The penalties so calculated are used in the RSR calculation with other bonuses and penalties to compute the final, enhanced RSR values. The RSR calculation with variable bonuses and penalties of the above referenced patent application EP-A-0342008 may be used with the enhancements of this invention. Thus, the traffic predicted using the "artificial intelligence" methodology of the present invention may be used to vary the bonuses and penalties and compute the resulting RSR values. When cars are assigned to hall calls using this approach, the car stops and the car loads are more equitably distributed, resulting in better service.

Although this invention has been shown and described with respect to detailed, exemplary embodiments thereof, it should be understood by those skilled in the art that various changes in form, detail, methodology and/or approach may be made without departing from the scope of this invention.

Claims

1. A group controller dispatcher for an elevator system, which system has a group of elevator cars for servicing a plurality of floor landings in a building at which hall calls can be placed, the group controller dispatcher including signal processor means responsive to signals indicative of conditions of the cars for providing, for the cars, with respect to each hall call registered, a signal representing the summation of relative system response (RSR) factors, indicative of the relative degree to which the assigning of any hall call to said car is in accordance with a scheme of overall system response applicable to the cars, wherein the response factors identify different routines to dispatch a car to answer the hall call, the relative system response factors being weighted with respect to other response factors to represent an increase in time expected for the group of cars to answer the hall call by following one dispatching routine as opposed to another routine and for assigning each registered hall call to the car provided with the lowest summation



of relative system response factors with respect to such hall call, so that the call assignment is made to the car under a dispatching routine that provides an improved overall system response as opposed to the routine achieving the quickest response to the registered hall call; characterized in that said signal processor means further comprises:

5 signal processing means for -

- providing further signals for measuring and collecting passenger traffic data in the building covering at least the active part of the work day, including information on the following factors--

-- the number of passengers boarding the car,

-- the number of hall call stops made,

10 -- the number of passengers de-boarding the car, and

-- the number of car call stops made at each floor in the "up; and the "down" directions,

- predicting the number of passengers awaiting behind the hall calls as a function of this data for at least a short period of time before the occurrence of a specific hall call to be assigned; and

15 - assigning the specific hall call to at least one of the cars based at least on the expected number of passengers awaiting behind the hall call and the predicted car load when the car reaches the hall call floor; and

20 varying bonus and penalty assignment means associated with said signal processing means for varying the assigned bonuses and penalties for the weighted relative system response factors for each car based on the expected number of passengers awaiting behind the hall call, the predicted car load when the car reaches the hall call floor and the predicted boarding and de-boarding rates at any "en route" stops, as estimated by the signal processing means, with the amounts of the bonuses and penalties being assigned to the elevator cars being varied as the number of estimated awaiting passengers and the estimate car load when the car reaches the hall call floor vary.

25 2. The group controller dispatcher of Claim 1, characterized in that said signal processing means comprises: significant traffic indication means providing further signals indicating when a significant number of passengers have been measured boarding or de-boarding the cars based on an average over the last at least three short periods in at least the majority of the said at least three short periods of time, the significant number of passengers being at least two passengers.

30 3. The group controller dispatcher of Claim 1 or 2, further characterized by there being further included: data storage means storing the data included on said factors including at least the past several days' historic data if significant traffic had been indicated.

35 4. The group controller dispatcher of Claim 3, wherein said signal processing means provides further signals: predicting the number of passengers boarding cars, number of hall call stops made, number of passengers de-boarding the cars, and the number of car call stops made at various floors in the "up" and "down" directions for the next short time period of the order of no more than some few minutes using data collected for past like short periods of time during that same day providing real time predictions.

40 5. The group controller dispatcher of Claim 4, wherein said signal processing means provides further signals for:

45 determining if historic passenger traffic data is available for at least a past few similar days' similar time period, and, if such historic passenger traffic data is available, using said historic passenger data in predicting the number of boarding and de-boarding passenger counts and hall call and car call stop counts using exponential smoothing.

6. The group controller dispatcher of Claim 5, wherein said signal processing means provides further signals for:

50 obtaining optimal predictions combining both real time predictions and historic predictions.

7. The group controller dispatcher of Claim 6, wherein:

said short time period is of the order of about one minute for identifying significant traffic and two to three minutes for the real time and the historic predictions.

55 8. The group controller dispatcher of Claim 6 or 7, characterized in that said signal processing means provides further signals for:

combining both real time predictions and historic predictions in accordance with the following relationship



$$X = ax_h + bx_r$$

where "X" is the combined prediction, " x_h " is the historic prediction and " x_r " is the real time prediction for the short time period for the floor, and "a" and "b" are multiplying factors.

- 5 9. The group controller dispatcher of Claim 2, wherein said signal processing means generates:
a further signal representing the average boarding rate at the floor in each direction based on a
selected relationship between the predicted number of people boarding the car during the interval and
the number of hall call stops made in that interval; and
10 another signal representing the average de-boarding rate at the floor in each direction based on a
selected relationship between the predicted number of people de-boarding the car during the interval and
the number of hall call stops made in that interval.
10. The group controller dispatcher of Claim 9, wherein:
said relationships are the ratios of the two indicated factors, respectively.
- 15 11. The group controller dispatcher of any preceding claim, wherein said signal processing means generates:
a further signal representing the car load when the car reaches the hall call floor, with the car load
at the hall call floor being based on the current car load plus the sum of boarding rates at already registered
hall call stops "en route" minus the sum of de-boarding rates at any car call stops "en route".
- 20 12. The group controller dispatcher of any preceding claim, wherein said signal processing means includes
means for
computing a hall call mismatch penalty based on the predicted number of people behind the hall
call and the predicted car load at the hall call floor.
- 25 13. The group controller dispatcher of Claim 12, wherein said signal processing means generates further sig-
nals:
computing the hall call mismatch penalty, in which separate signals are provided -
- when no more than two people wait;
- when the predicted queue is $\leq$ the single car limiting queue;
30 - when the predicted queue is greater than the single car limiting queue; and
- when the car spare capacity is greater than the multi-car minimum pick-up limit;
precluding car assignment to the hall call, if the predicted car load exceeds a set limit at the hall
call floor;
precluding assignment of a car to a hall call, if the predicted queue is less than a set single car lim-
35 iting queue size and the car's spare capacity is less than the predicted queue; and
assigning another car to the same hall call when the computed spare capacity indicates that the
assignment of a single car will be insufficient to pick-up the predicted total number of passengers waiting
behind the hall call.
- 40 14. The group controller dispatcher of any preceding claim wherein said signal processing means generates
further signals:
computing a car stop penalty and a hall stop penalty, in which separate signals are provided rep-
resenting the door dwell time at each car stop and hall stop based on the remaining capacity after pas-
senger de-boarding and the total number of passengers to be transferred at the stops.
- 45 15. The group controller dispatcher of Claim 14, wherein said signal processing means generates further sig-
nals:
computing the car stop penalty and the hall stop penalty based on the dwell time calculated and
the predicted number of people waiting behind the hall call using a look-up table.
- 50 16. The group controller dispatcher of any preceding claim, wherein said signal processing means generates
further signals:
indicating if the car has a coincident car call stop at the hall call floor, and,
if the car does not have a coincident car call stop, then computing a car load penalty ("CLP") as a
55 function of the predicted number of people to be in the car after passenger de-boarding at the hall call
floor and the number of people waiting behind the hall call (" N_{phc} "), using the relationship -

$$CLP = a_{clid} (C_{ld} - C_{ldt}) - b_{phc} * N_{phc}$$

wherein " a_{clid} ", " b_{phc} " and " C_{ldt} " are constants, the car load penalty being increased with the predicted car



load ("C_{ld}"), but decreased with the number of people behind the hall call, with the penalty being applied until the sum of "C_{ld} + N_{phc}" reaches the car's total capacity.

17. The group controller dispatching of any of Claims 12-15 or 16, wherein said signal processing means generates further signals:
 - calculating the RSR value for each car taking into account the hall call mismatch penalty and the variable car stop and hall stop penalties and variable car loading penalty, minimizing the resulting RSR.
18. The group control dispatcher according to any of Claims 1-15 or 16, wherein said dispatcher is part of an elevator system, said system including:
 - a plurality of cars for transporting passengers from a main floor to a plurality of contiguous floors spaced from the main floor;
 - car call means, one associated with each of said cars, for entering car calls for each car; and
 - car motion control means associated with said cars for moving each car in accordance with the assignment of the hall calls to the cars based on signals from said signal processing means.
19. A method of enhancing the overall system response of a group controller dispatcher for assigning the hall calls in an elevator system to the elevator cars in the system, which system has a group of elevator cars for servicing a plurality of floor landings in a building at which hall calls can be placed, the group controller dispatcher including signal processing means responsive to signals indicative of conditions of the cars for providing for the cars, with respect to each hall call registered, a signal representing the summation of relative system response (RSR) factors, indicative of the relative degree to which the assigning of any hall call to said car is in accordance with a scheme of overall system response applicable to the cars, wherein the response factors identify different routines to dispatch a car to answer the hall call, the relative system response factors being weighted with respect to other response factors to represent an increase in time expected for the group of cars to answer the hall call by following one dispatching routine as opposed to another routine and for assigning each registered hall call to the car provided with the lowest summation of relative system response factors with respect to such hall call, so that the call assignment is made to the car under a dispatching routine that provides an improved overall system response as opposed to the routine achieving the quickest response to the registered hall call; the method comprising the following steps:
 - (a) providing electrical signals for measuring and collecting passenger traffic data in the building covering at least the active part of the work day, including information on the following factors --
 - the number of passengers boarding the car,
 - the number of hall call stops made,
 - the number of passengers de-boarding the car, and
 - the number of car call stops made at each floor in the "up" and the "down" directions,
 and predicting the number of passengers awaiting behind the hall calls as a function of this data for at least a short period of time before the occurrence of a specific hall call to be assigned; and
 - (b) providing further electrical signals for assigning the specific hall call to at least one of the cars based at least on the expected number of passenger awaiting behind the hall call and the predicted car load when the car reaches the hall call floor; and
 - (c) varying the assigned bonuses and penalties for the weighted relative system response factors for each car based on the expected number of passengers awaiting behind the hall call, the predicted car load when the car reaches the hall call floor and the predicted boarding and de-boarding rates at any "en route" stops, as estimated by the signal processing means, with the amounts of the bonuses and penalties being assigned to the elevator cars being varied as the number of estimated awaiting passengers and the estimated car load when the car reaches the hall call floor vary.
20. The method of claim 19, wherein there is included the following step(s):
 - providing further electrical signals indicating when a significant number of passengers have been measured boarding or de-boarding the cars based on an average over the last at least three short periods in at least the majority of the said at least three short periods of time, the significant number of passengers being at least two passengers.
21. The method of Claim 20, wherein there is included the following step(s):
 - storing the data included on said factors in data storage means and including at least the past several days' historic data if significant traffic had been indicated.



22. The method of Claim 21, wherein there is included the following step(s):
 predicting the number of passengers boarding cars, number of hall call stops made, number of passengers de-boarding the cars, and the number of car call stops made at various floors in the "up" and "down" directions for the next short time period of the order of no more than some few minutes using data collected for past like short periods of time during that same day providing real time predictions.
23. The method of Claim 22, wherein there is included the following step(s):
 determining if historic passenger traffic data is available for at least a past few similar days' similar time period, and, if such historic passenger traffic data is available, using said historic passenger data in predicting the number of boarding and de-boarding passenger counts and hall call and car call stop counts using exponential smoothing.
24. The method of Claim 23, wherein there is included the following step(s):
 obtaining optimal predictions combining both real time predictions and historic predictions.
25. The method of Claim 24, wherein there is included the following step(s):
 combining both real time predictions and historic predictions in accordance with the following relationship
- $$X = ax_h + bx_r$$
- where "X" is the combined prediction, " x_h " is the historic prediction and " x_r " is the real time prediction for the short time period for the floor, and "a" and "b" are multiplying factors.
26. The method of Claim 20, wherein there is included the following step(s):
 computing the average boarding rate at the floor in each direction based on a selected relationship between the predicted number of people boarding the car during the interval and the number of hall call stops made in that interval; and
 computing the average de-boarding rate at the floor in each direction based on a selected relationship between the predicted number of people de-boarding the car during the interval and the number of hall call stops made in that interval.
27. The method of any of Claims 19 to 26, wherein there is included the step(s) of:
 computing the car load when the car reaches the hall call floor based on the current car load plus the sum of boarding rates at any hall call stops "en route" minus the sum of de-boarding rates at already registered car call stops "en route".
28. The method of any of Claims 19 to 27, wherein there is included the step(s) of:
 computing a hall call mismatch penalty based on the predicted number of people behind the hall call and the predicted car load at the hall call floor.
29. The method of Claim 28, wherein there is included the step(s) of:
 computing the hall call mismatch penalty, in which separate signals are provided -
 - when no more than two people wait;
 - when the predicted queue is $\leq$ the single car limiting queue;
 - when the predicted queue is greater than the single car limiting queue; and
 - when the car spare capacity is greater than the multi-car minimum pick-up limit;
 precluding car assignment to the hall call, if the predicted car load exceeds a set limit at the hall call floor;
 precluding assignment of a car to a hall call, if the predicted queue is less than a set single car limiting queue size and the car's spare capacity is less than the predicted queue; and
 assigning another car to the same hall call when the computed spare capacity indicates that the assignment of a single car will be insufficient to pick-up the predicted total number of passengers waiting behind the hall call.
30. The method of any of Claims 19 to 29, wherein there is included the step(s) of:
 computing the door dwell time at each car stop and hall stop based on the remaining capacity after passenger de-boarding and the total number of passengers to be transferred at the stops.
31. The method of Claim 30, wherein there is included the step(s) of:
 computing the car stop penalty and the hall stop penalty based on the dwell time calculated and



the predicted number of people waiting behind the hall call using a look-up table.

32. The method of any of Claims 19 to 31, wherein there is included the step(s) of:

computing a car load penalty ("CLP") as a function of the predicted number of people to be in the car after passenger de-boarding at the hall call floor and the number of people waiting behind the hall call ("N_{phc}"), using the relationship -

$$CLP = a_{cl} (C_{ld} - C_{ld}) - b_{phc} * N_{phc}$$

wherein "a_{cl}", "b_{phc}" and "C_{ld}" are constants, the car load penalty being increased with the predicted car load ("C_{ld}"), but decreased with the number of people behind the hall call, with the penalty being applied until the sum of "C_{ld} + N_{phc}" reaches the car's total capacity.

33. The method of any of Claims 28-31 or 32, wherein there is included the step(s) of:

calculating the RSR value for each car taking into account the hall call mismatch penalty and the variable car stop and hall stop penalties and variable car load penalty, minimizing the resulting RSR.

34. The group controller dispatcher of any of Claims 1 to 18 wherein the specific hall call assignment is made to the selected car by said varying bonus and penalty assignment means providing an improved overall system response for the hall calls with varying passengers traffic.

Patentansprüche

1. Gruppensteuerungszuteiler für ein Aufzugsystem, das eine Gruppe von Fahrkörben zur Bedienung mehrerer in einem Gebäude befindlicher Geschoß-Haltestellen aufweist, an denen Rufkommandos absetzbar sind, wobei der Gruppensteuerungszuteiler eine auf für Zustände der Körbe bezeichnende Signale ansprechende Signalprozessoreinrichtung aufweist, um für die Körbe in bezug auf jedes registrierte Rufkommando ein Signal zu erzeugen, welches die Summierung von Faktoren eines Relativ-Systemansprechverhaltens (RSR) repräsentiert, die das relative Maß angibt, in welchem die Zuordnung jeglichen Rufkommandos zu dem Korb in Einklang steht mit einem auf die Körbe anwendbaren Programm für das Gesamt-Systemansprechverhalten, wobei die Faktoren des Ansprechverhaltens unterschiedliche Routinen zum Zuteilen eines Korbs zur Bedienung des Rufkommandos identifizieren, die Faktoren des Relativ-Systemansprechverhaltens bezüglich anderer Ansprech-Faktoren gewichtet werden, um eine Zunahme der Zeit zu berücksichtigen, die für die Gruppe von Körben zum Bedienen des Rufkommandos erwartet wird, wenn einer bestimmten Zuteilungsroutine und nicht einer anderen Routine gefolgt wird, und um jedes registrierte Rufkommando dem Korb mit der niedrigsten Summierung der Faktoren des Relativ-Systemansprechverhaltens für ein solches Rufkommando zuzuordnen, so daß die Rufzuteilung für den Korb gemäß einer solchen Zuteilungsroutine erfolgt, die im Gegensatz zu der Routine, die das schnellste Ansprechen auf das registrierte Rufkommando erzielt, zu einem verbesserten Gesamt-System-Ansprechverhalten führt; dadurch gekennzeichnet, daß die Signalprozessoreinrichtung weiterhin aufweist:

eine Signalverarbeitungseinrichtung, um

- weitere Signale zur Verfügung zu stellen, um Fahrgastverkehrsdaten in dem Gebäude zu messen und zu sammeln, welche zumindest den aktiven Teil des Arbeitstages abdecken, darunter Information bezüglich folgender Faktoren:

-- die Anzahl von den Fahrkorb betretenden Fahrgästen,

-- die Anzahl erfolgter Rufkommando-Halte,

-- die Anzahl von Fahrgästen, die den Korb verlassen, und

-- die Anzahl Fahrkommando-Halten, die in jedem Geschoß in Richtung "auf" und "ab" erfolgen,

- die Anzahl von hinter den Rufkommandos wartenden Fahrgästen als Funktion dieser Daten für zumindest eine kurze Zeitspanne vor dem Auftreten eines spezifischen, zuzuordnenden Rufkommandos vorherzusagen; und

- das spezifische Rufkommando zumindest einem der Körbe auf der Grundlage zumindest der erwarteten Anzahl von Fahrgästen, die hinter dem Rufkommando warten, und der vorhergesagten Korbbelastung, wenn der Korb das Rufkommando-Geschoß erreicht, zuzuordnen; und

eine zu der Signalverarbeitungseinrichtung gehörige Zuordnungseinrichtung für variierenden Bonus und variierende Strafe, um die zugeordneten Bonuspunkte und Strafpunkte für die gewichteten Faktoren des Relativ-Systemansprechverhaltens für jeden Korb auf der Grundlage der erwarteten Anzahl von hinter dem Rufkommando wartenden Fahrgästen, der vorhergesagten Korbbelastung, wenn der Korb das Rufkommando-Geschoß erreicht, und der vorhergesagten Zustieg- und Ausstieg-Raten bei jedem Zwi-



schenhalt, wie sie von der Signalverarbeitungseinrichtung abgeschätzt werden, zu variieren, wobei die den Fahrkörben zugeordneten Beträge der Bonus- und Strafpunkte variiert werden, wenn die Anzahl der geschätzten wartenden Fahrgäste und die geschätzte Korbbelastung, wenn der Korb das Rufkommando-Geschoß erreicht, variieren.

2. Gruppensteuerungszuteiler nach Anspruch 1, dadurch gekennzeichnet, daß die Signalverarbeitung aufweist:
eine Signalisiereinrichtung für signifikanten Verkehr, die weitere Signale liefert, welche signalisieren, wenn eine sinifikante Anzahl von Fahrgästen gemessen wurde, die in die Körbe zugestiegen oder die Körbe verlassen hat, basierend auf einem Mittelwert von den letzten zumindest drei kurzen Zeitspannen in zumindest der Mehrheit der zumindest drei kurzen Zeitspannen, wobei die signifikante Anzahl von Fahrgästen mindestens zwei Fahrgäste beträgt.
3. Gruppensteuerungszuteiler nach Anspruch 1 oder 2, dadurch gekennzeichnet, daß weiterhin vorgesehen ist:
eine Datenspeichereinrichtung zum Speichern der Daten für die Faktoren, darunter zumindest die historischen Daten für die vergangenen einigen Tage, wenn signifikanter Verkehr signalisiert worden ist.
4. Gruppensteuerungszuteiler nach Anspruch 3, bei dem die Signalverarbeitungseinrichtung weiterhin folgende Signale liefert:
Vorhersagen der Anzahl von die Fahrkörbe betretenden Fahrgästen, der Anzahl erfolgter Rufkommando-Halte, der Anzahl von die Fahrkörbe verlassenden Fahrgästen, und die Anzahl von Fahrkommando-Halten, die in verschiedenen Geschossen in "Auf"- und "Ab"- Richtung in der nächsten kurzen Zeitspanne in der Größenordnung von nicht mehr als einigen wenigen Minuten erfolgen, wobei zur Erzielung von Echtzeitvorhersagen Daten verwendet werden, die während der vergangenen ähnlichen kurzen Zeitspannen während desselben Tages erfaßt wurden.
5. Gruppensteuerungszuteiler nach Anspruch 4, bei dem Signalverarbeitungseinrichtung weiterhin Signale liefert,
um zu bestimmen, ob historische Fahrgastverkehrsdaten für zumindest eine ähnliche Zeitspanne einiger vergangener ähnlicher Tage verfügbar sind, und, falls derartige historische Fahrgastverkehrsdaten verfügbar sind, die historischen Fahrgastdaten dazu zu verwenden, unter Zuhilfenahme der exponentiellen Glättung die Anzahl von zusteigenden und aussteigenden Fahrgastzählungen und Zählungen von Rufkommando- und Fahrkommando-Halten vorherzusagen.
6. Gruppensteuerungszuteiler nach Anspruch 5, bei dem die Signalverarbeitungseinrichtung weiterhin Signale bereitstellt,
um optimale Vorhersagen zu erhalten, indem Echtzeitvorhersagen und historische Vorhersagen kombiniert werden.
7. Gruppensteuerungszuteiler nach Anspruch 6, bei dem
die kurze Zeitspanne in der Größenordnung von etwa einer Minute liegt, um signifikanten Verkehr festzustellen, und zwei oder drei Minuten für die Echtzeitvorhersage- und die historische Vorhersage beträgt.
8. Gruppensteuerungszuteiler nach Anspruch 6 oder 7, dadurch gekennzeichnet, daß die Signalverarbeitungseinrichtung außerdem Signale bereitstellt,
um sowohl die Echtzeitvorhersagen als auch die historischen Vorhersagen zu kombinieren nach Maßgabe der folgenden Beziehung

$$X = ax_h + bx_r$$

wobei "X" die kombinierte Vorhersage, " x_h " die historische Vorhersage und " x_r " die Echtzeitvorhersage für die kurze Zeitspanne für das Geschoß und "a" und "b" Multiplikationsfaktoren sind.

9. Gruppensteuerungszuteiler nach Anspruch 2, bei dem die Signalverarbeitungseinrichtung erzeugt:
ein weiteres Signal, welches die mittlere Zusteigerate in dem Geschoß in jeder Richtung repräsentiert, basierend auf einer ausgewählten Beziehung zwischen der vorhergesagten Anzahl von in den Korb zusteigenden Personen während des Zeitintervalls und der Anzahl von in dem Intervall erfolgten Rufkommando-Halten; und
ein weiteres Signal, welches die durchschnittliche Aussteige-Rate in dem Geschoß in jeder Richtung repräsentiert, basierend auf einer ausgewählten Beziehung zwischen der vorhergesagten Anzahl von Per-



sonen, die den Korb während des Intervalls verlassen, und der Anzahl von in diesem Intervall erfolgten Rufkommando-Halten.

- 5 10. Gruppensteuerungszuteiler nach Anspruch 9, bei dem die Beziehungen die Verhältnisse der zwei angegebenen Faktoren sind.
- 10 11. Gruppensteuerungszuteiler nach irgendeinem vorhergehenden Anspruch, bei dem die Signalverarbeitungseinrichtung erzeugt:
ein weiteres Signal, welches die Korbbelastung repräsentiert, wenn der Korb das Rufkommando-Geschoß erreicht, wobei die Korbbelastung in dem Rufkommando-Geschoß basiert auf der derzeitigen Korbbelastung zuzüglich der Summe der Zustiege-Raten bereits registrierter Zwischen-Rufkommando-Halte, abzüglich der Summe der Aussteige-Raten bei jeglichen Zwischen-Fahrkommando-Halten.
- 15 12. Gruppensteuerungszuteiler nach jedem vorhergehenden Anspruch, bei dem die Signalverarbeitungseinrichtung eine Einrichtung enthält, um auf der Grundlage der vorhergesagten Anzahl von Personen hinter dem Rufkommando und der vorhergesagten Korbbelastung in dem Rufkommando-Geschoß eine Rufkommando-Mißverhältnisstrafe zu berechnen.
- 20 13. Gruppensteuerungszuteiler nach Anspruch 12, bei der die Signalverarbeitungseinrichtung weitere Signale erzeugt, um die Rufkommando-Mißverhältnisstrafe zu berechnen, wobei getrennte Signale bereitgestellt werden,
- wenn nicht mehr als zwei Personen warten,
- wenn die vorhergesagte Schlange $\leq$ der Grenzwarteschlange für den einzelnen Korb ist;
25 - wenn die vorhergesagte Warteschlange größer ist als die Grenzwarteschlange für den einzelnen Korb; und
- wenn noch freie Korkapazität größer ist als die kleinste Mehrkorb-Aufnahmegrenze;
Ausschließen der Korbzuordnung zu dem Rufkommando, wenn die vorhergesagte Korbbelastung eine eingestellte Grenze an dem Rufkommando-Geschoß übersteigt;
30 Ausschließen der Zuordnung eines Korbs zu einem Rufkommando, wenn die vorhergesagte Warteschlange kleiner ist als eine eingestellte Einzelkorb-Grenzwarteschlangengröße und die noch freie Korkapazität kleiner ist als die vorhergesagte Warteschlange; und
Zuordnen eines anderen Korbs zu demselben Rufkommando, wenn die berechnete Restkapazität bedeutet, daß die Zuordnung eines einzelnen Korbes nicht ausreicht, um die vorhergesagte Gesamtzahl von Fahrgästen, die hinter dem Rufkommando warten, aufzunehmen.
35
- 40 14. Gruppensteuerungszuteiler nach jedem vorhergehenden Anspruch, bei dem die Signalverarbeitungseinrichtung weitere Signale erzeugt, um eine Fahrkommando-Halt-Strafe und eine Rufkommando-Halt-Strafe zu berechnen, wobei getrennte Signale vorgesehen sind, die repräsentativ sind für die Türöffnungszeit bei jedem Fahrkommando-Halt und Rufkommando-Halt, basierend auf der Restkapazität nach dem Aussteigen der Fahrgäste und der Gesamtzahl von an den Haltestellen zu transferierenden Fahrgästen.
- 45 15. Gruppensteuerungszuteiler nach Anspruch 14, bei dem die Signalverarbeitungseinrichtung weitere Signale erzeugt, um die Fahrkommando-Halt-Strafe und die Rufkommando-Halt-Strafe zu berechnen auf der Grundlage der berechneten Verweilzeit und der vorhergesagten Anzahl von hinter dem Rufkommando wartender Personen, wobei eine Nachschlagetabelle verwendet wird.
- 50 16. Gruppensteuerungszuteiler nach jedem vorhergehenden Anspruch, bei dem die Signalverarbeitungseinrichtung weitere Signale erzeugt, um zu signalisieren, wenn der Korb einen zufälligen Fahrkommando-Halt in dem Rufkommando-Geschoß aufweist, und wenn der Korb keinen zufälligen Fahrkommando-Halt hat, dann eine Korbbelastungstrafe (CLP) zu berechnen als eine Funktion der vorhergesagten Anzahl von Personen, die sich in dem Korb befinden, nachdem Fahrgäste in dem Rufkommando-Geschoß ausgestiegen sind, und der Anzahl von Personen, die hinter dem Rufkommando warten (" N_{phc} "), wobei die Beziehung
55
$$CLP = a_{cll} (C_{ld} - C_{ld}) - b_{phc} * N_{phc}$$



verwendet wird, wobei " a_{old} ", " b_{phc} " und " C_{id} " Konstanten sind, die Korbbelastungsstrafe erhöht wird mit der vorhergesagten Korbbelastung (" C_{id} "), jedoch verringert wird mit der Anzahl von Personen hinter dem Rufkommando, wobei die Strafe angewendet wird, bis die Summe von " $C_{\text{id}} + N_{\text{phc}}$ " die Gesamtkapazität des Korbs erreicht.

- 5 17. Gruppensteuerungszuteiler nach irgendeinem der Ansprüche 12 bis 15 oder 16, bei dem Signalverarbeitungseinrichtung weitere Signale erzeugt, um den RSR-Wert für jeden Korb zu berechnen, indem die Rufkommando-Mißverhältnis-Strafe und die veränderlichen Fahrkommando-Halt- und Rufkommando-Halt-Strafen und die veränderliche Korbbelastungsstrafe berücksichtigt werden, um das resultierende RSR zu minimieren.
- 10 18. Gruppensteuerungszuteiler nach irgendeinem der Ansprüche 1 bis 15 oder 16, bei dem der Zuteiler Teil eines Aufzugsystems ist, welches enthält:
eine Mehrzahl von Körben zum Transportieren von Fahrgästen von einem Hauptgeschoß zu einer Mehrzahl von anschließenden Geschossen, die von dem Hauptgeschoß beabstandet sind;
15 eine jeweils einem jeder der Körbe zugeordnete Fahrkommandoeinrichtung zum Eingeben von Fahrkommandos für jeden Korb; und
eine Korbbelegungs-Steuereinrichtung, die den Körben zugeordnet ist, um jeden Korb nach Maßgabe der Zuordnung der Rufkommandos zu den Körben auf der Grundlage von Signalen seitens der Signalverarbeitungseinrichtung zu bewegen.
- 20 19. Verfahren zum Verbessern des Gesamt-Systemansprechverhaltens eines Gruppensteuerungszuteilers, mit dem die Rufkommandos in einem Aufzugsystem den Fahrkörben des Systems zugeordnet werden, welches System eine Gruppe von Fahrkörben zur Bedienung mehrerer in einem Gebäude befindlicher Geschöß-Haltstellen aufweist, an denen Rufkommandos absetzbar sind, wobei der Gruppensteuerungszuteiler eine auf für Zustände der Körbe bezeichnende Signale ansprechende Signalprozessoreinrichtung aufweist, um für die Körbe in bezug auf jedes registrierte Rufkommando ein Signal zu erzeugen, welches die Summierung von Faktoren eines Relativ-Systemansprechverhaltens (RSR) repräsentiert, die das relative Maß angibt, in welchem die Zuordnung jeglichen Rufkommandos zu dem Korb in Einklang steht mit einem auf die Körbe anwendbaren Programm für das Gesamt-Systemansprechverhalten, wobei die Faktoren des Ansprechverhaltens unterschiedliche Routinen zum Zuteilen eines Korbs zur Bedienung des Rufkommandos identifizieren, die Faktoren des Relativ-Systemansprechverhaltens bezüglich anderer Ansprech-Faktoren gewichtet werden, um eine Zunahme der Zeit zu berücksichtigen, die für die Gruppe von Körben zum Bedienen des Rufkommandos erwartet wird, wenn einer bestimmten Zuteilungsroutine und nicht einer anderen Routine gefolgt wird, und um jedes registrierte Rufkommando dem Korb mit der niedrigsten Summierung der Faktoren des Relativ-Systemansprechverhaltens für ein solches Rufkommando zuzuordnen, so daß die Rufzuteilung für den Korb gemäß einer solchen Zuteilungsroutine erfolgt, die im Gegensatz zu der Routine, die das schnellste Ansprechen auf das registrierte Rufkommando erzielt, zu einem verbesserten Gesamt-System-Ansprechverhalten führt; gekennzeichnet durch folgende Schritte:
- 25 (a) Bereitstellen elektrischer Signale, um Fahrgastverkehrsdaten in dem Gebäude zu messen und zu sammeln, welche zumindest den aktiven Teil des Arbeitstages abdecken, darunter Information bezüglich folgender Faktoren:
-- die Anzahl von den Fahrkorb betretenden Fahrgästen,
-- die Anzahl erfolgter Rufkommando-Halte,
30 -- die Anzahl von Fahrgästen, die den Korb verlassen, und
-- die Anzahl Fahrkommando-Halten, die in jedem Geschöß in Richtung "auf" und "ab" erfolgen, und
Vorhersagen der Anzahl von hinter den Rufkommandos wartenden Fahrgästen als Funktion dieser Daten für zumindest eine kurze Zeitspanne vor dem Auftreten eines spezifischen, zuzuordnenden Rufkommandos;
- 35 (b) Bereitstellen weiterer elektrischer Signale, um das spezifische Rufkommando zumindest einem der Körbe auf der Grundlage zumindest der erwarteten Anzahl von Fahrgästen, die hinter dem Rufkommando warten, und der vorhergesagten Korbbelastung, wenn der Korb das Rufkommando-Geschoß erreicht, zuzuordnen; und
- 40 (c) Variieren der zugeordneten Bonuspunkte und Strafpunkte für die gewichteten Faktoren des Relativ-Systemansprechverhaltens für jeden Korb auf der Grundlage der erwarteten Anzahl von hinter dem Rufkommando wartenden Fahrgästen, der vorhergesagten Korbbelastung, wenn der Korb das Ruf-
- 45 50 55



kommando-Geschoß erreicht, und der vorhergesagten Zusteig- und Aussteig-Raten bei jedem Zwischenhalt, wie sie von der Signalverarbeitungseinrichtung abgeschätzt werden, zu variieren, wobei die den Fahrkörben zugeordneten Beträge der Bonus- und Strafpunkte variiert werden, wenn die Anzahl der geschätzten wartenden Fahrgäste und die geschätzte Korbbelastung, wenn der Korb das Rufkommando-Geschoß erreicht, variieren.

20. Verfahren nach Anspruch 19 mit folgendem Schritt (Schritten):
Bereitstellen weiterer elektrischer Signale, welche signalisieren, wenn eine signifikante Anzahl von Fahrgästen gemessen wurde, die in die Körbe zugestiegen oder die Körbe verlassen hat, basierend auf einem Mittelwert von den letzten zumindest drei kurzen Zeitspannen in zumindest der Mehrheit der zumindest drei kurzen Zeitspannen, wobei die signifikante Anzahl von Fahrgästen mindestens zwei Fahrgäste beträgt.
21. Verfahren nach Anspruch 20 mit folgendem Schritt (Schritten):
Speichern der Daten für die Faktoren in einer Datenspeichereinrichtung, darunter zumindest die historischen Daten für die vergangenen einigen Tage, wenn signifikanter Verkehr signalisiert worden ist.
22. Verfahren nach Anspruch 21 mit folgendem Schritt (Schritten):
Vorhersagen der Anzahl von die Fahrkörbe betretenden Fahrgästen, der Anzahl erfolgter Rufkommando-Halte, der Anzahl von die Fahrkörbe verlassenden Fahrgästen, und der Anzahl von Fahrkommando-Halten, die in verschiedenen Geschossen in "Auf"- und "Ab"- Richtung in der nächsten kurzen Zeitspanne in der Größenordnung von nicht mehr als einigen wenigen Minuten erfolgen, wobei zur Erzielung von Echtzeitvorhersagen Daten verwendet werden, die während der vergangenen ähnlichen kurzen Zeitspannen während desselben Tages erfaßt wurden.
23. Verfahren nach Anspruch 22 mit folgendem Schritt (Schritten):
Bestimmen, ob historische Fahrgastverkehrsdaten für zumindest eine ähnliche Zeitspanne einiger vergangener ähnlicher Tage verfügbar sind, und, falls derartige historische Fahrgastverkehrsdaten verfügbar sind, Verwenden der historischen Fahrgastdaten, um unter Zuhilfenahme der exponentiellen Glättung die Anzahl von zusteigenden und aussteigenden Fahrgastzählungen und Zählungen von Rufkommando- und Fahrkommando-Halten vorherzusagen.
24. Verfahren nach Anspruch 23 mit folgendem Schritt (Schritten):
Kombinieren von Echtzeitvorhersagen und historischen Vorhersagen, um optimale Vorhersagen zu erhalten.
25. Verfahren nach Anspruch 24 mit folgendem Schritt (Schritten):
Kombinieren sowohl der Echtzeitvorhersagen als auch der historischen Vorhersagen nach Maßgabe der folgenden Beziehung
$$X = ax_h + bx_r,$$
wobei "X" die kombinierte Vorhersage, " x_h " die historische Vorhersage und " x_r " die Echtzeitvorhersage für die kurze Zeitspanne für das Geschoß und "a" und "b" Multiplikationsfaktoren sind.
26. Verfahren nach Anspruch 20 mit folgendem Schritt (Schritten):
Berechnen der mittleren Zusteigerate in dem Geschoß in jeder Richtung basierend auf einer ausgewählten Beziehung zwischen der vorhergesagten Anzahl von in den Korb zusteigenden Personen während des Zeitintervalls und der Anzahl von in dem Intervall erfolgten Rufkommando-Halten; und
Berechnen der durchschnittlichen Aussteige-Rate in dem Geschoß in jeder Richtung, basierend auf einer ausgewählten Beziehung zwischen der vorhergesagten Anzahl von Personen, die den Korb während des Intervalls verlassen, und der Anzahl von in diesem Intervall erfolgten Rufkommando-Halten.
27. Verfahren nach irgendeinem der Ansprüche 19 bis 26, mit folgendem Schritt (Schritten):
Berechnen der Korbbelastung, wenn der Korb das Rufkommando-Geschoß erreicht, basierend auf der derzeitigen Korbbelastung zuzüglich der Summe der Zusteige-Raten bei jeglichen Zwischen-Rufkommando-Halten, abzüglich der Summe der Aussteige-Raten bei bereits registrierten Zwischen-Fahrkommando-Halten.
28. Verfahren nach irgendeinem der Ansprüche 19 bis 27, mit folgendem Schritt (Schritten):
Berechnen einer Rufkommando-Mißverhältnisstrafe auf der Grundlage der vorhergesagten Anzahl von



Personen hinter dem Rufkommando und der vorhergesagten Korbbelastung in dem Rufkommando-Geschoß.

29. Verfahren nach Anspruch 28, mit folgendem Schritt (Schritten):

- 5 Berechnen der Rufkommando-Mißverhältnisstrafe, wobei getrennte Signale bereitgestellt werden,
- wenn nicht mehr als zwei Personen warten,
 - wenn die vorhergesagte Schlange $\leq$ der Grenzwarteschlange für den einzelnen Korb ist;
 - wenn die vorhergesagte Warteschlange größer ist als die Grenzwarteschlange für den einzelnen Korb; und
- 10 - wenn noch freie Korkapazität größer ist als die kleinste Mehrkorb-Aufnahmegrenze;
- Ausschließen der Korbzuordnung zu dem Rufkommando, wenn die vorhergesagte Korbbelastung eine eingestellte Grenze an dem Rufkommando-Geschoß übersteigt;
- Ausschließen der Zuordnung eines Korbs zu einem Rufkommando, wenn die vorhergesagte Warteschlange kleiner ist als eine eingestellte Einzelkorb-Grenzwarteschlangengröße und die noch freie Korkapazität kleiner ist als die vorhergesagte Warteschlange; und
- 15 Zuordnen eines anderen Korbs zu demselben Rufkommando, wenn die berechnete Restkapazität bedeutet, daß die Zuordnung eines einzelnen Korbes nicht ausreicht, um die vorhergesagte Gesamtzahl von Fahrgästen, die hinter dem Rufkommando warten, aufzunehmen.

30. Verfahren nach irgendeinem der Ansprüche 19 bis 29, mit dem Schritt (Schritten):

- 20 Berechnen der Türöffnungszeit bei jedem Fahrkommando-Halt und Rufkommando-Halt, basierend auf der Restkapazität nach dem Aussteigen der Fahrgäste und der Gesamtzahl von an den Haltestellen zu transferierenden Fahrgästen.

31. Verfahren nach Anspruch 30, mit dem Schritt (Schritten):

- 25 Berechnen der Fahrkommando-Halt-Strafe und der Rufkommando-Halt-Strafe auf der Grundlage der berechneten Verweilzeit und der vorhergesagten Anzahl von hinter dem Rufkommando wartender Personen, wobei eine Nachschlagetabelle verwendet wird.

32. Verfahren nach irgendeinem der Ansprüche 19 bis 31, mit dem Schritt (Schritten):

- 30 Berechnen einer Korbbelastungsstrafe (CLP) als eine Funktion der vorhergesagten Anzahl von Personen, die sich in dem Korb befinden, nachdem Fahrgäste in dem Rufkommando-Geschoß ausgestiegen sind, und der Anzahl von Personen, die hinter dem Rufkommando warten (" N_{phc} "), wobei die Beziehung

$$CLP = a_{clid} (C_{ld} - C_{ldl}) - b_{phc} * N_{phc}$$

- 35 verwendet wird, wobei " a_{clid} ", " b_{phc} " und " C_{ldl} " Konstanten sind, die Korbbelastungsstrafe erhöht wird mit der vorhergesagten Korbbelastung (" C_{ld} "), jedoch verringert wird mit der Anzahl von Personen hinter dem Rufkommando, wobei die Strafe angewendet wird, bis die Summe von " $C_{ld} + N_{phc}$ " die Gesamtkapazität des Korbs erreicht.

33. Verfahren nach irgendeinem der Ansprüche 28 bis 31 oder 32, mit dem Schritt (Schritten):

- 40 Berechnen des RSR-Werts für jeden Korb, indem die Rufkommando-Mißverhältnis-Strafe und die veränderlichen Fahrkommando-Halt- und Rufkommando-Haltstrafen und die veränderliche Korbbelastungsstrafe berücksichtigt werden, um das resultierende RSR zu minimieren.

- 45 **34. Gruppensteuerungszuteiler nach irgendeinem der Ansprüche 1 bis 18, bei dem die spezifische Rufkommando-Zuordnung zu dem ausgewählten Korb dadurch erfolgt, daß die Zuordnungseinrichtung für variierenden Bonus und variierende Strafe ein verbessertes Gesamt-Systemansprechverhalten für Rufkommandos mit variierendem Fahrgastverkehr schafft.**

50 Revendications

1. Régulateur de contrôleur de groupe pour un système d'ascenseurs, lequel système possède un groupe de cabines d'ascenseurs destinées à desservir une pluralité de paliers d'étages dans un immeuble, auxquels on peut lancer des appels de palier, le régulateur de contrôleur de groupe comprenant des moyens processeurs de signaux qui répondent à des signaux indicatifs des conditions des cabines en fournissant pour les cabines, pour chaque appel de palier enregistré, un signal représentant la somme de facteurs de réponse relative du système (RSR), indicative du degré relatif auquel l'attribution d'un appel de palier quelconque à ladite cabine est en accord avec un schéma de réponse globale des systèmes applicables



aux cabines, dans lequel les facteurs de réponse identifient différents programmes pour envoyer une cabine pour satisfaire un appel de palier, les facteurs de réponse relative du système étant pondérés par rapport à d'autres facteurs de réponse pour représenter un accroissement du temps avec lequel on s'attend à ce que le groupe de cabines satisfasse l'appel de palier en suivant un programme d'attribution, et non pas à un autre programme, et pour attribuer chaque appel de palier enregistré à la cabine qui est munie de la somme la plus basse de facteurs de réponse relative du système par rapport à cet appel de palier, de sorte que l'attribution de l'appel est exécuté sur la cabine d'après un programme de régulation qui donne une réponse de système globale améliorée, par opposition au programme qui donne la réponse la plus rapide à l'appel de palier enregistré, caractérisé en ce que lesdits moyens processeurs de signaux comprennent en outre :

des moyens de traitement des signaux destinés à

- fournir d'autres signaux pour mesurer et collecter les données de trafic de passagers dans l'immeuble, qui couvrent au moins la partie active de la journée de travail, y compris une information sur les facteurs suivants --

-- le nombre des passagers qui embarquent dans la cabine,

-- le nombre des arrêts sur appels de palier,

-- le nombre des passagers débarquant de la cabine, et

-- le nombre des arrêts sur appels de palier exécutés à chaque palier dans les directions "en montée" et "en descente",

- prédire le nombre de passagers qui attendent à la suite d'appels de palier en fonction de cette donnée pour au moins une courte période qui précède l'occurrence d'un appel de palier spécifique à attribuer ; et

- attribuer l'appel de palier spécifique à au moins une des cabines sur la base d'au moins le nombre escompté de passagers qui attendent à la suite d'un appel de palier et sur la base de la charge de cabine prédite lorsque la cabine atteint l'étage de l'appel de palier ; et

des moyens d'attribution de bonus et de pénalités variables associés auxdits moyens de traitement des signaux pour modifier les bonus et les pénalités attribués pour les facteurs de réponse relatives du système pondérés pour chaque cabine sur la base du nombre escompté de passagers qui attendent à la suite de l'appel de palier, sur la base de la charge de cabine prédite lorsque la cabine atteint l'étage de l'appel de palier et sur la base des nombres prédits d'embarquement et de débarquement aux arrêts éventuels "en route", estimés par les moyens de traitement des signaux, les valeurs des bonus et pénalités qui sont attribuées aux cabines d'ascenseur étant modifiées lorsque le nombre des passagers en attente et la charge estimée de la cabine lorsque la cabine atteint l'étage de l'appel de palier varient.

2. Régulateur de contrôleur de groupe selon la revendication 1, caractérisé en ce que lesdits moyens de traitement des signaux comprennent des moyens d'indication du trafic significatif qui fournissent d'autres signaux indiquant qu'un nombre significatif de passagers a été mesuré à l'embarquement ou au débarquement des cabines, sur la base d'une moyenne exécutée sur au moins trois brèves périodes dans au moins la majorité desdites au moins trois brèves périodes, le nombre significatif de passagers étant d'au moins deux passagers.

3. Régulateur de contrôleur de groupe selon la revendication 1 ou 2, caractérisé en ce qu'il y est inclus en outre :

des moyens de mémorisation des données qui mémorisent les données incluses sur lesdits facteurs, comprenant au moins les données historiques de plusieurs jours passés si un trafic significatif a été indiqué.

4. Régulateur de contrôleur de groupe selon la revendication 3, dans lequel lesdits moyens de traitement des signaux fournissent encore des signaux :

qui prédisent le nombre des passagers embarquant dans les cabines, le nombre des arrêts effectués sur appels de palier, le nombre de passagers débarquant des cabines et le nombre des arrêts sur appels de cabine exécutés à différents étages dans les directions "en montée" et "en descente" pour la brève période suivante, de l'ordre de pas plus de quelques minutes, en utilisant les données collectées pour des brèves périodes analogues passées dans le même jour, en donnant ainsi des prédictions en temps réel.

5. Régulateur de contrôleur de groupe selon la revendication 4, dans lequel lesdits moyens de traitement des signaux fournissent encore des signaux pour :



déterminer si les données historiques de trafic de passagers sont disponibles pour au moins une période analogue des quelques jours passés et, si ces données historiques de trafic de passagers sont disponibles, utiliser ladite donnée historique de passagers pour prédire les comptes du nombre de passagers débarquant et embarquant et les comptes d'arrêts sur appel de palier et sur appel de cabine en utilisant un nivellement exponentiel.

6. Régulateur de contrôleur de groupe selon la revendication 5, dans lequel lesdits moyens de traitement des signaux fournissent encore des signaux pour :

obtenir des prévisions optimales combinant à la fois les prédictions en temps réel et les prédictions historiques.

7. Régulateur de contrôleur de groupe selon la revendication 6, dans lequel :

ladite brève période est de l'ordre d'environ une minute pour identifier un trafic significatif et de deux à trois minutes pour les prédictions en temps réel et les prédictions historiques.

8. Régulateur de contrôleur de groupe selon la revendication 6 ou 7, caractérisé en ce que lesdits moyens de traitement des signaux fournissent encore des signaux pour :

combinaison des prédictions en temps réel et les prédictions historiques en fonction de la relation suivante ;

$$X = ax_h + bx_r$$

où X est la prédiction combinée, "x_h" est la prédiction historique et "x_r" est la prédiction en temps réel pour les brèves périodes pour l'étage et "a" et "b" sont des facteurs multiplicateurs.

9. Régulateur de contrôleur de groupe selon la revendication 2, dans lequel lesdits moyens de traitement des signaux engendrent :

un autre signal représentant le taux moyen d'embarquement à l'étage dans chaque direction, sur la base d'une relation choisie entre le nombre prédit de personnes qui embarquent dans la cabine pendant l'intervalle et le nombre d'arrêts sur appel de palier exécutés dans cet intervalle ; et

un autre signal représentant le taux moyen de débarquement à l'étage dans chaque direction, sur la base d'une relation choisie entre le nombre prédit de personnes débarquant dans la cabine pendant l'intervalle et le nombre d'arrêts sur appel de palier exécutés dans cet intervalle.

10. Régulateur de contrôleur de groupe selon la revendication 9, dans lequel :

lesdites relations sont les rapports des deux facteurs indiqués respectivement.

11. Régulateur de contrôleur de groupe selon une quelconque des revendications précédentes, dans lequel lesdits moyens de traitement des signaux engendrent :

un autre signal représentant la charge de la cabine lorsque la cabine atteint l'étage de l'appel de palier, la charge de la cabine à l'étage de l'appel de palier étant basée sur la charge actuelle de la cabine plus la somme des nombres d'embarquement aux arrêts sur appel de palier "en route" déjà enregistrés, moins la somme des nombres de débarquement aux éventuels arrêts sur appel de cabine "en route".

12. Régulateur de contrôleur de groupe selon une des revendications précédentes, dans lequel lesdits moyens de traitement des signaux comprennent des moyens pour "calculer" une pénalité de discordance des appels de palier basée sur le nombre prédit de personnes attendant à la suite d'un appel de palier et la charge prédite de la cabine à l'étage de l'appel de palier.

13. Régulateur de contrôleur de groupe selon la revendication 12, dans lequel lesdits moyens de traitement des signaux engendrent encore des signaux :

qui calculent la pénalité de discordance des appels de palier, dans lequel des signaux séparés sont fournis -

- lorsque pas plus de deux personnes attendent ;

- lorsque la file d'attente prédite est inférieure ou égale à la file d'attente limite de cabine unique ;

- lorsque la file d'attente prédite est supérieure à la file d'attente limite de cabine unique ; et

- lorsque la capacité de réserve de cabine est supérieure à la limite de prise minimum multicabine ;

qui excluent l'attribution de cabine à l'appel de palier, si la charge de cabine prédite est supérieure à une limite fixée à l'étage de l'appel de palier ;

qui excluent l'attribution d'une cabine à un appel de palier si la file d'attente prédite est inférieure à une longueur de consigne de file d'attente limite pour cabine unique et si la capacité de réserve de cabine



est inférieure à la file d'attente prédite ; et

qui attribuent une autre cabine au même appel de palier lorsque la capacité de réserve calculée indique que l'attribution d'une seule cabine sera insuffisante pour prendre le nombre total prédit de passagers qui attendent à la suite de l'appel de palier.

5

14. Régulateur de contrôleur de groupe selon une quelconque des revendications précédentes, dans lequel lesdits moyens de traitement des signaux engendrent d'autres signaux :

10

qui calculent une pénalité d'arrêt sur appel de cabine et une pénalité d'arrêt sur appel de palier, dans lesquels sont élaborés des signaux séparés représentant le temps d'arrêt de la porte à chaque arrêt sur appel de cabine et à chaque arrêt sur appel de palier, sur la base de la capacité restante après le débarquement des passagers et sur la base du nombre total de passagers qui doivent être transférés aux arrêts.

15

15. Régulateur de contrôleur de groupe selon la revendication 14, dans lequel lesdits moyens de traitement des signaux engendrent en outre des signaux :

qui calculent la pénalité d'arrêt sur appel de cabine et la pénalité d'arrêt sur appel de palier, sur la base du temps d'immobilisation calculé et sur le nombre prédit de personnes qui attendent à la suite de l'appel de palier en utilisant une table de consultation.

20

16. Régulateur de contrôleur de groupe selon une quelconque des revendications précédentes, dans lequel lesdits moyens de traitement des signaux engendrent encore des signaux :

qui indiquent si la cabine a un arrêt sur appel de cabine coïncidant à l'étage d'appel de palier, et si la cabine n'a pas d'arrêt sur appel de cabine en coïncidence, calculent une pénalité de charge de cabine ("CLP") en fonction du nombre prédit de personnes qui doivent se trouver dans la cabine après le débarquement des passagers à l'étage de l'appel de palier et du nombre de personnes qui attendent à la suite de l'appel de palier (" N_{phc} "), en utilisant la relation

25

$$CLP = a_{cl} (C_{cl} - C_{idl}) - b_{phc} * N_{phc}$$

où " a_{cl} ", " b_{phc} " et " C_{idl} " sont des constantes, la pénalité de charge de cabine étant augmentée avec la charge de cabine prédite (" C_{cl} ") mais diminuée avec le nombre de personnes qui attendent à la suite de l'appel de palier, la pénalité étant appliquée jusqu'à ce que la somme de " $C_{cl} + N_{phc}$ " atteigne la capacité totale de la cabine.

30

17. Régulateur de contrôleur de groupe selon une quelconque des revendications 12 à 15 ou 16, dans lequel lesdits moyens de traitement des signaux engendrent encore des signaux :

qui calculent la valeur RSR pour chaque cabine en prenant en compte la pénalité de discordance d'appel de palier et les pénalités variables d'arrêts sur appel de cabine et d'arrêts sur appel de palier, et la pénalité variable de charge de cabine, en réduisant ainsi à un minimum la RSR résultante.

35

18. Régulateur de contrôleur de groupe selon une des revendications 1 à 15 ou 16, dans lequel ledit régulateur fait partie d'un système d'ascenseurs, ledit système comprenant :

40

une pluralité de cabines destinées à transporter des passagers d'un étage principal à une pluralité d'étages contigus espacés de l'étage principal ;

des moyens d'appel de cabine, à raison d'un associé à chacune des cabines, servant à entrer des appels de cabine pour chaque cabine ; et

45

des moyens de commande du mouvement des cabines associés auxdites cabines pour mettre chaque cabine en mouvement conformément à l'attribution des appels de palier aux cabines sur la base de signaux issus desdits moyens de traitement des signaux.

50

19. Procédé pour améliorer la réponse de système globale d'un régulateur de contrôleur de groupe pour attribuer les appels de palier dans un système d'ascenseurs aux cabines d'ascenseurs du système, lequel système possède un groupe de cabines d'ascenseurs destinées à desservir une pluralité de paliers d'étages dans un immeuble, auquel on peut lancer des appels de palier, le régulateur de contrôleur de groupe comprenant des moyens processeurs de signaux qui répondent à des signaux indicatifs des conditions des cabines en fournissant pour les cabines, pour chaque appel de palier enregistré, un signal représentant la somme de facteurs de réponse relative du système (RSR) indicatif du degré relatif auquel l'attribution d'un appel de palier quelconque à ladite cabine est en accord avec un schéma de réponse globale des systèmes applicables aux cabines, dans lequel les facteurs de réponse identifient différents programmes pour dispatcher une cabine afin de satisfaire un appel de palier, les facteurs de réponse relative du système étant pondérés par rapport à d'autres facteurs de réponse pour représenter un accroissement du temps avec lequel on s'attend à ce que le groupe de cabines satisfasse l'appel de palier en suivant

55



un programme d'attribution, et non pas à un autre programme, et pour attribuer chaque appel de palier enregistré à la cabine qui est munie de la somme la plus basse de facteurs de réponse relative du système par rapport à cet appel de palier, de sorte que l'attribution de l'appel est exécutée sur la cabine d'après un programme de régulation qui donne une réponse de système global améliorée, par opposition au programme qui donne la réponse la plus rapide à l'appel de palier enregistré, le procédé comprenant les phases suivantes :

(a) fournir des signaux électriques pour mesurer et collecter les données de trafic de passagers dans l'immeuble, qui couvrent au moins la partie active de la journée de travail, y compris une information sur les facteurs suivants --

- le nombre des passagers qui embarquent dans la cabine,
- le nombre des arrêts exécutés sur appels de palier,
- le nombre des passagers débarquant de la cabine, et
- le nombre des arrêts sur appels de palier exécutés à chaque palier dans les directions "en montée" et "en descente",

et prédire le nombre de passagers qui attendent à la suite d'appels de palier en fonction de cette donnée pour au moins une courte période qui précède l'occurrence d'un appel de palier spécifique à attribuer ; et

(b) fournir d'autres signaux électriques pour attribuer l'appel de palier spécifique à au moins une des cabines sur la base d'au moins le nombre attendu de passagers qui attendent à la suite d'un appel de palier et sur la base de la charge de cabine prédite lorsque la cabine atteint l'étage de l'appel de palier ; et

(c) modifier les bonus et pénalités attribués pour les facteurs de réponse relatives du système pondérés pour chaque cabine sur la base du nombre escompté de passagers qui attendent à la suite de l'appel de palier, sur la base de la charge de cabine prédite lorsque la cabine atteint l'étage de l'appel de palier et sur la base des nombres prédits d'embarquement et de débarquement aux arrêts éventuels "en route", estimés par les moyens de traitement des signaux, les valeurs des bonus et pénalités qui sont attribuées aux cabines d'ascenseur étant modifiées lorsque le nombre des passagers en attente et la charge estimée de la cabine lorsque la cabine atteint l'étage de l'appel de palier varient.

20. Procédé selon la revendication 19, comprenant la phase suivante :

fournir d'autres signaux électriques indiquant qu'un nombre significatif de passagers a été mesuré à l'embarquement ou au débarquement des cabines, sur la base d'une moyenne exécutée sur au moins trois brèves périodes dans au moins la majorité desdites au moins trois brèves périodes, le nombre significatif de passagers étant d'au moins deux passagers.

21. Procédé selon la revendication 20, comprenant la phase suivante :

mémoriser les données incluses sur lesdits facteurs, et comprenant au moins les données historiques de plusieurs jours passés si un trafic significatif a été indiqué.

22. Procédé selon la revendication 21, comprenant la phase suivante :

prédire le nombre des passagers embarquant dans les cabines, le nombre des arrêts effectués sur appels de palier, le nombre de passagers débarquant des cabines et le nombre des arrêts sur appels de cabine exécutés à différents étages dans les directions "en montée" et "en descente" pour la brève période suivante, de l'ordre de pas plus de quelques minutes, en utilisant les données collectées pour des brèves périodes analogues passées dans le même jour, en donnant ainsi des prédictions en temps réel.

23. Procédé selon la revendication 22, qui comprend la phase suivante :

déterminer si la donnée historique de trafic de passagers est disponible pour au moins une période analogue des quelques jours passés et, si ces données historiques de trafic de passagers sont disponibles, utiliser ladite donnée historique de passagers pour prédire les comptes de nombre de passagers débarquant et embarquant et les comptes d'arrêts sur appel de palier et sur appel de cabine en utilisant un nivellement exponentiel.

24. Procédé selon la revendication 23, comprenant la phase suivante :

obtenir des prévisions optimales combinant à la fois les prédictions en temps réel et les prédictions historiques.

25. Procédé selon la revendication 24, comprenant la phase suivante :

combinaison des prédictions en temps réel et les prédictions historiques en fonction de la relation sui-



vante ;

$$X = ax_h + bx_r$$

où X est la prédiction combinée, "x_h" est la prédiction historique et "x_r" est la prédiction en temps réel pour les brèves périodes pour l'étage et "a" et "b" sont des facteurs multiplicateurs.

5

26. Procédé selon la revendication 20, comprenant la phase suivante :

calculer le taux moyen d'embarquement à l'étage dans chaque direction, sur la base d'une relation choisie entre le nombre prédit de personnes qui embarquent dans la cabine pendant l'intervalle et sur la base du nombre d'arrêts sur appel de palier exécutés dans cet intervalle ; et

10

calculer le taux moyen de débarquement à l'étage dans chaque direction, sur la base d'une relation choisie entre le nombre prédit de personnes débarquant dans la cabine pendant l'intervalle et sur la base du nombre d'arrêts sur appel de palier exécutés dans cet intervalle.

27. Procédé selon une quelconque des revendications 19 à 26, qui comprend la phase consistant à :

15

calculer la charge de la cabine lorsque la cabine atteint l'étage de l'appel de palier, la charge de la cabine à l'étage de l'appel de palier étant basée sur la charge actuelle de la cabine plus la somme des nombres d'embarquement aux arrêts sur appel de palier "en route" déjà enregistrés, moins la somme des nombres de débarquement aux éventuels arrêts sur appel de cabine "en route".

28. Procédé selon une quelconque des revendications 19 à 27, comprenant la phase suivante :

20

"calculer" une pénalité de discordance des appels de palier basée sur le nombre prédit de personnes attendant à la suite d'un appel de palier et la charge prédite de la cabine à l'étage de l'appel de palier.

29. Procédé selon la revendication 28, comprenant les phase suivantes :

25

calculer la pénalité de discordance des appels de palier, dans lequel des signaux séparés sont fournis -

- lorsque pas plus de deux personnes attendent ;
- lorsque la file d'attente prédite est inférieure ou égale à la file d'attente limite de cabine unique ;
- lorsque la file d'attente prédite est supérieure à la file d'attente limite de cabine unique ; et
- lorsque la capacité de réserve de cabine est supérieure à la limite de prise minimum multicabine ;

30

exclure l'attribution de cabine à l'appel de palier si la charge de cabine prédite est supérieure à une limite fixée à l'étage de l'appel de palier ;

exclure l'attribution d'une cabine à un appel de palier si la file d'attente prédite est inférieure à une longueur de consigne de file d'attente limite pour cabine unique et si la capacité de réserve de la cabine est inférieure à la file d'attente prédite ; et

35

attribuer une autre cabine au même appel de palier lorsque la capacité de réserve calculée indique que l'attribution d'une seule cabine sera insuffisante pour prendre le nombre total prédit de passagers qui attendent à la suite de l'appel de palier.

30. Procédé selon une quelconque des revendications 19 à 29, comprenant la phase suivante :

40

calculer le temps d'arrêt de la porte à chaque arrêt sur appel de cabine et à chaque arrêt sur appel de palier, sur la base de la capacité restante après le débarquement des passagers et sur le nombre total de passagers qui doivent être transférés aux arrêts.

31. Procédé selon la revendication 30, comprenant la phase consistant à :

45

calculer la pénalité d'arrêt sur appel de cabine et la pénalité d'arrêt sur appel de palier, sur la base du temps d'immobilisation calculé et sur le nombre prédit de personnes qui attendent à la suite de l'appel de palier en utilisant une table de consultation.

32. Procédé selon une quelconque des revendications 19 à 31, comprenant la phase consistant à :

50

calculer une pénalité de charge de cabine ("CLP") en fonction du nombre prédit de personnes qui doivent se trouver dans la cabine après le débarquement des passagers à l'étage de l'appel de palier et le nombre de personnes qui attendent à la suite de l'appel de palier ("N_{phc}"), en utilisant la relation

$$CLP = a_{cll}(C_{ld} - C_{ldl}) - b_{phc} * N_{phc}$$

où "a_{cll}", "b_{phc}" et "C_{ldl}" sont des constantes, la pénalité de charge de cabine étant augmentée avec la charge de cabine prédite ("C_{ld}") mais diminuée avec le nombre de personnes qui attendent à la suite de l'appel de palier, la pénalité étant appliquée jusqu'à ce que la somme de "C_{ld} + N_{phc}" atteigne la capacité totale de la cabine.

55



33. Procédé selon une quelconque des revendications 28 à 31 ou 32, comprenant la phase consistant à :
calculer la valeur RSR pour chaque cabine en prenant en compte la pénalité de discordance d'appel
de palier et les pénalités variables d'arrêts sur appel de cabine et d'arrêts sur appel de palier, et la pénalité
variable de charge de cabine, en réduisant ainsi à un minimum la RSR résultante.
34. Régulateur de contrôleur de groupe selon une quelconque des revendications 1 à 18, dans lequel l'attri-
bution d'un appel de palier spécifique est faite sur la cabine choisie par lesdits moyens d'attribution de
bonus et de pénalités variables qui fournissent une réponse améliorée du système global pour tous les
appels de paliers dans le cas d'un trafic de passagers variable.

