

The present invention relates to a method for explaining a score associated with a vector by a function. The invention also relates to an associated computer program product and to an information medium on which is encoded such a computer program product. The invention also relates to a system for explaining a score associated with a vector by a function.

In many fields, systems for assisting with decision making are used for evaluating selections which are provided to decision makers. For example, a banker evaluates the capacity of paying back of a customer being based on information such as the monthly salary, the existence of previous loans or the capital brought by the customer. These data are grouped by the system for assisting with decision making, which calculates a score from these data. The banker then decides, depending on the score obtained by the customer, on either granting him/her or not a loan and if necessary at which rate.

The system assisting with decision making uses, for calculating the score, a mathematical model established from the knowledge of experts in the relevant field of application. Thus, such a system for assisting with decision making gives the possibility of rapidly making a decision which may be complex, notably in the case when each alternative comprises a great number of parameters. Indeed, instead of having to consider the whole of the parameters, some of which may be favorable and others unfavorable, the decision maker will only have to consider the calculated score. Further, such mathematical models are generally elaborated from the know how of several experts.

However, the mathematical model used may not be applied in the same way to all the situations and its complexity may make the global score not very understandable for the decision maker. This is all the more true if the number of relevant parameters is high. It may therefore be important for the decision maker to know which parameters have played the most significant role in the calculation of the score, in order to be able to accordingly adapt the decision made.

Therefore there exist methods giving the possibility of generating an explanation of a score. However, the application of such methods assumes a large number of calculations, and the time required for elaborating the explanation may be too high for certain fields of application. If the computing time is reduced, the method becomes less accurate.

Further, the generated explanation is not always relevant.

Further, such methods are generally related to the decision making assistance system used. The explanations are generated from the mathematical model used. It is

therefore not easy to adapt the existing methods to systems using other mathematical models. Thus, the existing explanation methods assume the knowledge of the mathematical model with which it will be used.

There is therefore a need for an explanation method for explaining a score, that is obtained by using a function that is either known or not known, which presents better performance elements in particular in terms of relevance of the explanation.

To this end, the invention provides a score explanation method for explaining a score associated with a vector of a first number of parameters by means of a function, each parameter being ordered with an indicator varying from one to the first number, the first number being an integer that is strictly greater than one, the method including at least the following steps:

a1) providing a first score associated with a first vector containing first values of the parameters;

b) generating a first set of lists, each list comprising a second number of indicators, the second number being an integer that is strictly less than the first number and strictly greater than zero;

c) generating, from a list, of at least a third vector wherein each parameter has a third value;

the third value being equal to the corresponding first value when the list does not include an indicator of the corresponding parameter, and different from the corresponding first value in the opposite case;

d) calculating the score of at least one third vector;

e) evaluating, from the scores calculated for each of the third vectors, an indicator of significance of each parameter;

f) elaborating, from the evaluated indicators of significance, an explanation of the first score.

According to one particular embodiment, the method includes one or more of the following characteristic features, taken into consideration in isolation or in accordance with any technically possible combinations:

- the indicators of significance calculated during the step e are either Shapley values, or Sobol' indices;

- the first set comprises a total number of lists, the total number satisfying the following mathematical inequality:

$$N_t \leq C_o * 2^{N_1}$$

wherein C_o is a predetermined coefficient;

- the step b includes the generating of at least one portion of the lists of the first set in accordance with a probability law pertaining either to the second number or to the indicators contained in the list;

- the step b includes the generation of all the lists in which the second number is less than or equal to a second predetermined integer that is strictly less than the first number;

- the method includes, in addition, a further step a2 of providing a second score associated with a second vector that contains the second values of the parameters;

and wherein, during the step c, the third value is equal to the corresponding second value when the list comprises an indicator of the corresponding parameter;

The invention also provides an explanation method for explaining a score associated with a vector by means of a function, the function having a global maximum associated with a first extreme vector and a global minimum associated with a second extreme vector, the method including:

g1) the application, from the first score and from the global maximum, of the steps b to e of an explanation method as defined above for obtaining first indicators of significance,

g2) the application, from the first score and from the global minimum, of the steps b to e of an explanation method as defined above for obtaining second indicators of significance, and

h) generating, from the first and second indicators of significance, an explication for the first score.

A computer program product is also proposed including software instructions which, when they are executed by a computer, apply an explanation method as described above.

An information medium is also proposed on which is stored in memory a computer program product as described above.

A system for explaining a function associating a score with a vector of a first number of parameters is also proposed, each parameter being ordered with an indicator varying from one to the first number, the first number being an integer strictly greater than one, the system being capable of:

- providing a first score associated with a first vector containing first values of the parameters,

- generating a first set of lists, each list comprising a second number of indicators, the second number being an integer strictly less than the first number and strictly greater than zero,

- generating, from a list, at least one third vector wherein each parameter has a third value,

the third value being equal to the corresponding first value when the list does not include an indicator of the corresponding parameter, and different from the corresponding first value in the opposite case,

- calculating the score of at least one third vector,

- evaluating, from the scores calculated for each of the third vectors, an indicator of significance of each parameter,

- elaborating, from the evaluated indicators of significance, an explanation of the first score.

The features and advantages of the invention will appear upon reading the description which follows, only given as a non-limiting example and made with reference to the appended drawings, wherein:

- fig. 1 is a schematic view of an exemplary system allowing the application of an explanation method;

- fig. 2 is a flow chart of a first exemplary application of an explanation method;

- fig. 3 is a graphic illustration of vectors used and/or generated by the explanation method of fig. 2;

- fig. 4 is a flow chart of another exemplary application of an explanation method;

- fig. 5 is a flow chart of another exemplary application of an explanation method;

A system 10 and a computer program product 12 are illustrated in fig. 1.

The interaction of the computer program product 12 with the system 10 gives the possibility of applying a method.

The system 10 is a computer.

More generally, the system 10 is an electronic computer capable of handling and/or transforming data illustrated as electronic or physical amounts in registers of the system 10 and/or memories in other similar data corresponding to physical data in the memories, registers or other types of display, transmission or memory storage devices.

The system 10 includes a data processing unit 14 comprising a processor 16, memories 18 and a reader 20 of an information medium. The system 10 also comprises a keyboard 22 and a display unit 24.

The computer program product 12 includes a legible information medium 20.

An information legible medium 20 is a medium legible by the system 10, usually by the information processing unit 14. The legible information medium 20 is a medium suitable for storing in memory electronic instructions and capable of being coupled with a bus of a computer system.

As an example, the legible information medium 20 is an optical disc, a CD-ROM, a magneto-optical disc, a ROM memory, a RAM memory, an EPROM memory, an EEPROM memory, a magnetic card or an optical card.

On the legible information medium 20 the program comprising program instructions is stored in memory.

The computer program may be loaded on the data processing unit 14 and is adapted for causing the application of a method for explaining a score associated with a vector by a function when the computer program is applied on the processor 16.

The operation of the system 10 in interaction with the computer program product 12 is now described with reference to fig. 2 which illustrates an exemplary application of a method for explaining a score associated with a vector by a function.

This method will be explained below by means of the simplified case, only given as an example, from the comparison between two automobiles.

The function is noted as U subsequently. The function U associates score with a vector.

The function U is for example a Choquet integral. The Choquet integral is an integral defined by the mathematician Gustave Choquet in 1953. The Choquet integral was initially used within the scope of statistical mechanics and of the potential theory before being applied to the decision theory in the 1980s. The Choquet integral gives the possibility of illustrating *inter alia*, strategies for making complex decisions such as bicycle criteria, preference criteria, synergies between criteria and redundancy between criteria.

Alternatively, the function U is a Generalized Additive Independence model. Introduced by Fishburn in 1970, the Generalized Additive Independence model builds a function U by adding utility functions U each bearing on a sub-set of parameters. The Generalized Additive Independence models give the possibility of modeling decisions where the parameters are not individually independent, but independences are observed between sub-sets of parameters (not necessarily disconnected). Thus a parameter may be used by several utility functions U when the parameter is present in several sub-sets. The significance of a parameter in the obtained score is therefore difficult to establish.

Alternatively, the function U is a weighted sum.

Each score is noted as U_v , wherein V is the name of the vector. Thus, for a first vector X , the first associated score is noted as U_x , and for a second vector Y , the second associated score is noted as U_y .

The score U_x , U_y is a single value associated with the vector X , Y by the function U .

The score U_x , U_y is preferably a real number.

Each vector X, Y is a set of values x_i, y_i , each value x_i, y_i being associated with a parameter.

Preferably, each parameter is distinct.

Each vector X, Y contains a first number N_1 of values x_i, y_i of the parameters.

5 Each value x_i, y_i is located by an index i representative of the position of the value x_i, y_i in each vector X, Y .

For example, each vector X, Y assumes the form of an N_1 -uplet of values x_i, y_i . Each vector X, Y comprises a single value x_i, y_i of each parameter.

10 Preferably, each vector X, Y assumes the form of an N_1 -uplet of values x_i, y_i , and the value x_i, y_i associated with a parameter X_i occupies the same position in each vector X, Y .

The first number N_1 is an integer strictly greater than 1. For example, the first number N_1 is greater than or equal to 3, preferably greater than or equal to 10, preferably greater than or equal to 15, preferably greater than or equal to 20, preferably greater than
15 or equal to 50, preferably greater than or equal to 100.

Each parameter is a feature of an entity.

The parameters are noted as X_i , wherein i is the representative index of the position of the x_i, y_i value associated with the parameter X_i in each vector X, Y .

20 The index i is a parameter indicator X_i . This means that each index i is associated with a single parameter X_i , and vice versa.

The parameters X_i are therefore ordered by the index i .

The index i is an integer. The index i is on the one hand greater than or equal to 1, and on the other hand less than or equal to the first number N_1 .

25 As an example, the entity is a physical object, a concept, an action or else a list of actions or a list of physical objects.

For example, the entity includes five parameters which are in this order: a first parameter X_1 , a second parameter X_2 , a third parameter X_3 , a fourth parameter X_4 , and a fifth parameter X_5 .

30 For example, the entity is an automobile. In this case, the first parameter X_1 is the price in Euros of the automobile, the second parameter X_2 is the number of seated places in the automobile, the third parameter X_3 is the number of doors of the automobile, the fourth parameter X_4 is the fuel consumption in liters for a hundred kilometers covered, and the fifth parameter X_5 is the horse power of the engine.

35 Each parameter X_i may assume a plurality of values x_i, y_i comprised in a respective range P_i .

The range P_i is for example continuous. For example, each value x_4 , y_4 of the fourth parameter X_4 ("the consumption") is a real number strictly greater than 2 and strictly less than 15.

According to another example, the range P_i is a discrete range. For example, each value x_2 , y_2 of the second parameter X_2 ("number of places") is an integer greater than or equal to 1 and less than or equal to 8.

The explanation method comprises a provision step 100, a step 110 for generating a first set E_1 of lists L_j , an initialization step 120, a step 130 for selecting a list L_j , a step 140 for generating a third vector, a computing step 150, an evaluation step 160, a step 170 for selecting a set of parameters X_i , and a step 180 for elaborating an explanation.

During the provision step 100, a first score U_x and a second score U_y are provided.

The first score U_x is associated with a first vector X by the function U . The first vector X contains the first number N_1 of first values x_i of the parameters X_i .

The second score U_y is associated with a second vector Y by the function U .

The first score U_x is for example strictly greater than the second score U_y .

The second vector Y contains the first number N_1 of second values y_i of the parameters X_i .

In the case when each entity is an automobile, the first vector X and the second vector Y represent two automobiles A and B to be compared.

The first vector X (10,000; 5; 3; 4.5; 75) represents the automobile A , and the second vector Y (12,000; 6; 5; 4.8; 80) represents the automobile B .

For example, the first vector X and the second vector Y are generated by an acquisition device external to the system 10, and transmitted by the acquisition device to the system 10 which calculates the first score U_x from the first vector X , and the second score U_y from the second vector Y .

In the example above, the first vector X is transmitted by a user to the system 10 via the keyboard 22.

The user first of all enters the first value x_1 , equal to 10,000 and representing the price of the automobile A .

Next, the user types in the first value x_2 , equal to 5 and representing the number of places in the automobile A .

Next, the user types in the first value x_3 equal to 3 and representing the number of doors of the automobile A .

Next, the user transmits the first value x_4 equal to 4.5 and representing the fuel consumption of the automobile A .

Finally, the user types in the first value x_5 equal to 75 and representing the power of the engine of the automobile A.

Alternatively, the first score U_x and the second score U_y are provided by a device external to the system 10.

5 At the end of the generation step 110, a first set E_1 of lists is generated.

Each list is noted as L_j , wherein j is an index locating the position of the list L_j in the first set E_1 .

This list L_j comprises at least one parameter indicator X_i .

10 Each indicator comprised in the list L_j is noted as ij . Indeed, each indicator ij is associated with a parameter X_i , and contained in a list L_j .

Each indicator is associated with a single parameter X_i and vice-versa.

Each list L_j is therefore able to define a sub-set SE_{2j} of parameters X_i . This means that a parameter X_i is in the sub-set SE_{2j} associated with this list L_j if and only if the list L_j contains the indicator ij associated with the parameter X_i .

15 Each list L_j comprises a second number N_{2j} of indicators ij of the parameters X_i .

The second number N_{2j} is an integer strictly greater than zero. The second number N_{2j} is strictly less than the first number N_1 .

For example, the first set E_1 contains a first list L_1 , a second list L_2 and a third list L_3 .

20 The first list L_1 contains the indicator 11 of the first parameter X_1 , the indicator 31 of the third parameter X_3 , and the indicator 51 of the fifth parameter X_5 .

The second list L_2 contains the indicator 22 of the second parameter X_2 , and the indicator 42 of the fourth parameter X_4 .

25 The third list L_3 contains the indicator 13 of the first parameter X_1 , and the indicator 23 of the second parameter X_2 .

For example, the list L_j is an N_{2j} -uplet of indicators ij , each indicator ij of the list L_j being an integer equal to the index i of the corresponding parameter X_i .

According to this example, the first list L_1 is (1, 3, 5), the second list L_2 is (2, 4), and the third list L_3 is (1, 2).

30 In a preferential example, each indicator ij is an integer equal to 1. The list L_j is an N_1 -uplet containing N_{2j} indicators ij and elements $e_{i,j}$. Each element $e_{i,j}$ is a number equal to zero.

The indicator ij associated with the parameter X_i is located in the i -th position in the list L_j .

According to this preferential example, the first list L1 is the 5-uplet (1; 0; 1; 0; 1), the second list L2 is the 5-uplet (0; 1; 0; 1; 0) and the third list L3 is the 5-uplet (1; 1; 0; 0; 0).

In another example, each indicator ij is the name of the corresponding parameter X_i .

The first set E1 comprises a plurality of first sub-sets SE1 each comprising a third number N_3 of lists L_j . Each first sub-set SE1 groups together all the lists L_j including the same second number N_{2j} of indicators ij .

The first set E1 contains a total number N_t of lists L_j .

The total number N_t of lists L_j is greater than or equal to the square of the first number N_1 .

For example, each generated list L_j contains at most two indicators ij .

The total number N_t of lists L_j is less than or equal to the product of a coefficient Co and of the maximum number N_m of possible lists L_j .

The maximum number N_m is equal to two to the power of the first number N_1 .

The inequality is therefore mathematically written as: $N_t \leq Co * 2^{N_1}$

The coefficient Co is a predetermined real number.

The coefficient Co is for example strictly less than 1. Preferably the coefficient Co is equal to one-tenth.

At least one portion of the lists L_j of the first set E1 is generated according to a probability law P .

The probability law P for example deals with the indicators ij contained in each list L_j .

For example, the lists L_j are randomly generated according to a Latin hypercube algorithm. This means that the third number N_3 of each first sub-set SE1 is equal to a first predetermined number N_p , the first predetermined number N_p being common to each first sub-set SE1, and the lists L_j of each first sub-set SE1 are randomly generated.

This means that the indicators ij of each list are generated according to a probability law P wherein each indicator ij has a probability equal to that of each other indicator ij being contained in the list L_j .

In the case when the first predetermined number N_p is equal to three, the first set E1 comprises three lists L_j containing a single indicator ij , three lists containing two indicators ij , and so forth.

According to another example, the probability law P deals with the second number N_{2j} of each list. This means that the probability law P is used by the system 10 for

determining the number of lists L_j of each first sub-set SE1, and the lists L_j of each first sub-set SE1 are randomly generated.

Preferably, the third number $N3$ of each first sub-set SE1 is calculated, during step 110 for generating the first set E1, according to a Gauss law (also called “a normal law”).

5 The Gaussian law is a probability law in which the probability density $f(N3)$ is given by the equation:

$$f(N3) = \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{N3-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^2}}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}$$

wherein:

- σ is the standard deviation of the Gaussian law,
- μ is the average of the Gaussian law,
- π is the ratio of the perimeter of a circle to its diameter, and
- e refers to the exponential function.

Alternatively, the probability law P is a uniform or normal law dealing with the total number of lists Nt .

15 Alternatively, the coefficient Co is equal to 1. This means that all the possible lists L_j are generated.

At the end of the initialization step 120, an indicator of significance R_i of each parameter X_i is generated.

20 The significance indicator R_i is a quantity representative of the significance which the parameter X_i has in the calculation of the first score U_x and of the second score U_y . Preferably, the significance indicator R_i is representative of the significance of the parameter X_i in the difference between the first score U_x and the second score U_y .

The significance indicator R_i is an element of a totally ordered space. This means that each significance indicator R_i is able to be compared with each significance indicator R_i .

The significance indicator R_i is preferably a real number.

The significance indicator R_i is for example a Shapley value.

30 The Shapley value is a quantity developed within the scope of the theory of games. Within a cooperative game wherein players have to form allies in order to manage a goal, the Shapley value is evaluated for each player from the number of winning coalitions comprising the player and becoming losers if the player withdraws from the coalition. The Shapley value therefore gives the possibility of evaluating the power of a

player (i.e. his/her capability of influencing the final result), and is in particular used for determining the distribution of the gains in the case of a victory.

In our case, the Shapley value R_i is evaluated for each parameter X_i and gives the possibility of evaluating the significance of the parameter X_i in the difference between the first score U_x and the second score U_y .

Alternatively, the significance indicator R_i is a Sobol' indicator.

The Sobol' indicators are estimations of the sensitivity of a function of at least one parameter to a variation of this parameter. The sensitivity index of the first order of the function U to the parameter X_i is defined from the variance $V(U)$ of the function U by the equation:

$$R_i(U) = \frac{V(E(U | X_i))}{V(U)}$$

wherein $E(U|X_i)$ is the average of the function U when the parameter X_i is set.

The value of each significance indicator R_i is set equal to zero.

At the end of the selection step 130, a list L_j is selected.

For example, if no list L_j was selected during a previous selection step 130, the list L_1 of index j equal to one is selected.

The index j of the list L_j is for example stored in memory in the memory 18.

In the example given above of the comparison between two automobiles, the list L_1 (1; 0; 1; 0; 1) is selected.

At the end of the generation step 140, a third vector C_j and at least one fourth vector $D_{i,j}$ are generated.

The third vector C_j comprises third values $c_{i,j}$ of the parameters X_i .

The third vector C_j is generated from the list L_j selected and at least from the first vector X .

Each third value $c_{i,j}$ is equal to the corresponding first value x_i when the list L_j does not comprise a corresponding parameter X_i indicator i_j .

In the opposite case, the third value $c_{i,j}$ is different from the first value x_i .

Preferably, when the list L_j comprises an indicator i_j of the parameter X_i , the corresponding third value $c_{i,j}$ is equal to the corresponding second value y_i .

In the given case of the comparison between two automobiles, the third vector C_1 (12000; 5; 5; 4,5; 80) is generated from the list L_1 (1 ; 0 ; 1 ; 0 ; 1) of the first vector X (10000; 5; 3; 4,5; 75), and of the second vector Y (12000; 6; 5; 4,8; 80).

The first vector X , the second vector Y and the third vector C_1 are represented graphically in three dimensions in Figure 3. Only the first parameter X_1 , the second parameter X_2 , and the third parameter X_3 have been represented, for reasons of clarity.

Upon the conclusion of the step 140 of generation, a fourth vector is also generated for each parameter X_i , from the third vector C_j .

The fourth vector is denoted by $D_{i,j}$, and marked for identification by the index j of the third vector C_j and by the index i of the parameter X_i .

5 Every fourth vector $D_{i,j}$ comprises fourth values of the parameters X_i .

Every fourth value of the fourth vector $D_{i,j}$ is denoted by $d_{i,j,m}$, where m is an integer index identifying the position of the fourth value $d_{i,j,m}$ in the fourth vector $D_{i,j}$. The index m of the fourth value $d_{i,j,m}$ is greater than or equal to one, and less than or equal to the first number $N1$.

10 Every fourth value $d_{i,j,m}$ is equal to the corresponding third value $c_{i,j}$ if the index m of the fourth value $d_{i,j,m}$ is different from the index i of the parameter X_i .

In the contrary case, the fourth value $d_{i,j,m}$ is different from the third value $c_{i,j}$. Preferably, the fourth value $d_{i,j,m}$ is equal to the first value x_i if the third value $c_{i,j}$ is equal to the second value y_i , and is equal to the second value y_i if the third value $c_{i,j}$ is equal to the first value x_i .

15 In other words, the fourth vector $D_{i,j}$ associated with the parameter X_i having index i is constructed, from the third vector C_j , by replacing the third value $c_{i,j}$ of index i , and only the latter, by, depending on the case, the first value x_i or the corresponding second value y_i in a manner such that the fourth vector $D_{i,j}$ is different from the third vector C_j .

20 In the case given as an example of the comparison between two automobiles, five fourth vectors $D_{i,1}$ are generated from the third vector C_1 (12000; 5; 5; 4.5; 80).

The fourth vector $D_{1,1}$ (10000; 5; 5; 4.5; 80) is generated for the first parameter X_1 . Only the fourth index value m , which is equal to one, is different from the corresponding third value $c_{1,1}$.

25 The fourth vector $D_{2,1}$ (12000; 6; 5; 4.5; 80) is generated for the second parameter X_2 . Only the fourth index value m , which is equal to two, is different from the corresponding third value $c_{3,2}$.

30 The fourth vector $D_{3,1}$ (12000; 5; 3; 4.5; 80) is generated for the third parameter X_3 . Only the fourth index value m , which is equal to three, is different from the corresponding third value $c_{3,1}$.

The fourth vector $D_{4,1}$ (12000; 5; 5; 4.8; 80) is generated for the fourth parameter X_4 . Only the fourth index value m , which is equal to four, is different from the corresponding third value $c_{4,1}$.

35 The fourth vector $D_{5,1}$ (12000; 5; 5; 4.5; 75) is generated for the fifth parameter X_5 . Only the fourth index value m , which is equal to five, is different from the corresponding third value $c_{5,1}$.

Upon the conclusion of the step 150 of calculation, a third score U_{cj} is calculated from the third vector C_j , and a fourth score $U_{di,j}$ is calculated from each fourth vector $D_{i,j}$

For example, the third score U_{cj} and fourth scores $U_{di,j}$ are calculated by the information processing unit 14.

5 According to another example, the third vector C_j and the fourth vectors $D_{i,j}$ are transmitted to an external computing device that the information processing unit 14 is capable of controlling. The computing device sends back in return to the system 10 the third score U_{cj} and the fourth scores $U_{di,j}$.

10 Upon the conclusion of the step 160 of evaluation, the indicator of significance R_i of each parameter X_i is evaluated.

Preferably the indicator of significance R_i is evaluated from the third score U_{cj} and from the fourth scores $U_{di,j}$.

15 For this purpose, the absolute value of the difference between the third score U_{cj} and the fourth score $U_{di,j}$ associated with the parameter X_i is calculated. The value of the indicator of significance R_i is thus then increased by a quantity Q that is equal to the calculated absolute value, divided by the total number N_t of lists L_j of the first set E_1 .

This is mathematically written as follows:

$$R_i = R_i + \frac{|U_{cj} - U_{di,j}|}{N_t}$$

20 The evaluated indicator of significance R_i is thus an approximation of a Shapley value.

By way of a variant, during this step 160 of evaluation, only the parameters X_i for which the first value x_i is different from the second value y_i are considered. This signifies that, when the first value x_i and the second value y_i are equal, the indicator of significance R_i of the corresponding parameter X_i is not evaluated during this step 160 of evaluation.

25 If all of the lists L_j have not yet been selected, the step 130 of selection is reiterated. The reiteration is represented in Figure 2 by an arrow 165.

30 The step 130 of selection of a list L_j , the step 140 of generation of a third vector C_j , the step 150 of calculation of at least one score, and the step 160 of evaluation of at least one indicator of significance R_i are then reiterated in the order presented here above for another list L_j .

For example, the index j that is saved and stored is increased by one, and the step 130 of selection of a list L_j , the step 140 of generation of a third vector C_j , the step 150 of calculation of at least one score and the step 160 of evaluation of at least one indicator of significance R_i are carried out for the corresponding list L_j .

When the step 130 of selection of a list L_j , the step 140 of generation of a third vector C_j , the step 150 of calculation of at least one score and the step 160 of evaluation of at least one indicator of significance R_i have been carried out for each of the lists L_j , the step 160 of evaluation is followed by a step 170 of selection of at least one parameter X_i .

5 For example, when the index j of the list L_j is equal to the total number N_t of lists L_j , the step 160 of evaluation is followed by the step 170 of selection of at least one parameter X_i .

10 In the case given as an example, after each step 160 of evaluation had been carried out, a first indicator of significance R_1 , a second indicator of significance R_2 , a third indicator of significance R_3 , a fourth indicator of significance R_4 and a fifth indicator of significance R_5 were evaluated.

The first indicator of significance R_1 is associated with the first parameter X_1 , the second indicator of significance R_2 is associated with the second parameter X_2 , and so on.

15 The first indicator of significance R_1 is equal to 0.5, the second indicator of significance R_2 is equal to 0.05, the third indicator of significance R_3 is equal to 0.10, the fourth indicator of significance R_4 is equal to 0.30, and the fifth indicator of significance R_5 is equal to 0.05.

20 This means that the parameter X_i that has the greatest significance in the difference between the first score U_x and the second score U_y is the first parameter X_1 . The parameters X_i that have the least significance in the difference between the first score U_x and the second score U_y are the second parameter X_2 and the fifth parameter X_5 .

Upon the conclusion of the step 170 of selection of at least one parameter X_i , a fourth set E_4 of parameters X_i is selected.

25 The fourth set E_4 comprises of a fourth number N_4 of parameters X_i . The fourth number N_4 is, for example, predetermined.

By way of a variant, the fourth number N_4 is determined during the step 170 of selection of at least one parameter X_i , from the evaluated indicators of significance R_i .

30 The parameter X_i selected during the step 170 of selection of at least one parameter X_i are the parameters X_i whose indicators of significance R_i are the highest.

In the example presented here above, the fourth number N_4 is equal to two, and the first parameter X_1 associated with the first indicator of significance R_1 equal to 0.5 and the fourth parameter X_4 associated with the fourth indicator of significance R_4 equal to 0.30 are selected.

35 Upon the conclusion of the step 180 of elaboration, an explanation E_x is elaborated.

The explanation Ex is capable of explaining to a decision maker the first score Ux.

The explanation Ex is, for example, an explanation relative to the first score Ux as compared to the second score Uy.

This means that the explanation Ex is capable of explaining to a decision maker the difference between the first score Ux and the second score Uy. In particular, the explanation Ex is capable of explaining to a decision maker the reasons why a score Ux, Uy is superior to another score Ux, Uy.

The explanation Ex is elaborated based on the selected parameters Xi. For example, the explanation Ex includes a list of the selected parameters Xi.

The explanation Ex includes, for example, an explanatory "fill in the blanks" phrase or sentence such as, "[1] is preferred to [2] on account of: _ " where [1] is the name of the entity associated with the highest score. The explanatory "fill in the blanks" phrase or sentence is followed by the list of selected parameters Xi.

In the case given as an example of the comparison between two automobiles A and B, the explanation Ex is thus: "A is preferred to B on account of its price, its fuel consumption."

The explanation is displayed on the display unit 24.

The explanation method for explaining the score Ux does not assume that the function U is known. The explanation method can therefore be used in conjunction with an external device for calculating a score whose operational details are not known. Only the first and second scores Ux, Uy and the associated first and second vectors x, y are known.

Moreover, the explanation method is adaptable since it is not related to a particular function. No assumption pertaining to the function U is used.

In a second embodiment, the step 160 of evaluation is followed by the step 170 of selection of at least one parameter Xi if all of the lists Lj have not yet been selected and, during the step 160 of evaluation the quantity Q is strictly less than a predetermined threshold value S.

This is mathematically written as follows:

$$\left| Ri - \left(Ri + \frac{|Ucj - Udi, j|}{Nt} \right) \right| < S$$

The explanation method does not take into account certain non significant lists Lj (for which the quantity Q is less than the threshold value S). The explanation method can therefore be carried out more rapidly.

A flowchart of a third exemplary implementation of a method of explanation is represented in Figure 4.

The elements that are identical to the first example shown in Figure 2 are not described again. Only the differences have been highlighted.

The function U has a global maximum U_g associated with a first extreme vector G , and a global minimum U_b associated with a second extreme vector B .

5 The global maximum U_g and the global minimum U_b of the function U are saved and stored in the memory storage 18.

For example, the global maximum U_g and the global minimum U_b of the function U were obtained by means of a mathematical analysis of the function U .

10 By way of a variant, the global maximum U_g and the global minimum U_b of the function U are obtained by means of an experimental method. For example, all of the possible vectors X, Y are generated and the score U_x, U_y associated with each of the vectors X, Y is calculated.

The operation of the third example will now be described.

15 Only the first score U_x is provided upon the conclusion of the step 100 of provision of a first score. No second score U_y is provided.

20 The step 110 of generation of a first set E_1 , the step 120 of initialization, the step 130 of selection of a list L_j , the step 140 of generation of a third vector C_j , the step 150 of calculation of at least one score, the step 160 of evaluation of at least one indicator of significance R_i , and the step 170 of selection of a set of parameters X_i are thus carried out based on the first vector x and the first extreme vector G .

25 This means that, over the course of the step 110 of generation of a first set E_1 , of the step 120 of initialization, of the step 130 of selection of a list L_j , of the step 140 of generation of a third vector C_j , of the step 150 of calculation of at least one score, of the step 160 of evaluation of at least one indicator of significance R_i , and the step 170 of selection of one set of parameters X_i , the global maximum U_g is used in place of the second score U_y , and the first extreme vector G is used in place of the second vector Y .

Upon the conclusion of the step 160 of evaluation, a first indicator of significance R_{pi} is obtained for each parameter X_i .

30 Upon the conclusion of the step 170 of selection of at least one parameter X_i , a fifth set E_5 of parameters X_i is selected on the basis of the first indicators of significance R_{pi} evaluated.

35 Subsequently, the step 110 of generation of a first set E_1 , the step 120 of initialization, the step 130 of selection of a list L_j , the step 140 of generation of a third vector C_j , the step 150 of calculation of at least one score, the step 160 of evaluation of at least one indicator of significance R_i , and the step 170 of selection of a set of parameters X_i are carried out based on the first vector x and the second extreme vector B .

This means that, over the course of the step 110 of generation of a first set E1, the step 120 of initialization, of the step 130 of selection of a list Lj, of the step 140 of generation of a third vector Cj, of the step 150 of calculation of at least one score, of the step 160 of evaluation of at least one indicator of significance Ri, and of the step 170 of selection of a set of parameters Xi, the global minimum Ub is used in place of the second score Uy, and the second extreme vector B is used in place of the second vector Y.

Upon the conclusion of the step 160 of evaluation, a second indicator of significance Rdi is obtained for each parameter Xi.

Upon the conclusion of the step 170 of selection of at least one parameter Xi, a sixth set E6 of parameters Xi is selected on the basis of the second indicator of significance Rdi evaluated.

Upon the conclusion of the step 180 of elaboration, the explanation Ex is elaborated based on the fifth set E5 and on the sixth set E6.

The explanation method then provides the ability to explain the first score Ux in an absolute manner. This signifies that the method is capable of explaining the first score Ux without comparing it to a second score Uy.

A flowchart of a fourth exemplary implementation of a method of explanation is shown in Figure 5.

The elements that are identical to the first example shown in Figure 2 are not described again. Only the differences have been highlighted.

The Möbius coefficients are the elements obtained, based on a first sequence, by means of the Möbius inversion formula. The Möbius inversion formula was introduced into Number theory in the nineteenth century by August Ferdinand Möbius.

According to the Möbius inversion formula, a Möbius coefficient m(Lj) is defined for each list Lj, by the equation:

$$m(Lj) = \sum_{T \subseteq Lj} (-1)^{|Lj \setminus T|} v(T)$$

wherein:

- T is a secondary list containing the indicators ij and included in the list Lj,
- $|Lj \setminus T|$ is the number of indicators ij contained in the list Lj and not being contained in the secondary list T, and
- v(t) is the difference between a third score Ut associated with a third vector Cj and the first score Ux, the third vector Cj being calculated based on the secondary list T.

The function U is k-additive.

This means that there exists a second predetermined number k for which the Möbius coefficient $m(L_j)$ of each list L_j is equal to zero if the second number N_{2j} of the list L_j is strictly greater than the second predetermined number k .

The second predetermined number k is an integer that is strictly greater than 1 and strictly less than the first number N_1 .

For example, the second predetermined number k is equal to 3. Preferably, the second predetermined number k is equal to 2.

Upon the conclusion of the step 110 of generation of the first set E_1 , all the lists L_j in which the second number N_{2j} is less than or equal to a second predetermined number k are generated.

No list in which the second number N_{2j} is strictly greater than the second predetermined number k is generated.

Only the first sub-sets SE_1 associated with a second number N_{2j} that is less than or equal to the second predetermined number k are thus generated.

Also defined for each parameter X_i , is a third subset SE_{3i} of lists L_j . The third subset SE_{3i} each includes the lists L_j that contain the indicator ij of the parameter X_i . Every third subset SE_{3i} is included in the first set E_1 .

The step 120 of initialization and the step 130 of selection are not carried out.

Upon the conclusion of the step 140 of generation of a third vector C_j , the third vector associated with each list L_j is generated.

No fourth vector $D_{i,j}$ is generated.

Only the third score U_{cj} of each third vector C_j is calculated upon the conclusion of the step 150 of calculation.

The step 160 of evaluation includes a sub-step 162 of initialization, followed by a sub-step 165 of calculation of at least one Möbius coefficient, and then a sub-step 167 of evaluation of at least one significance indicator R_i .

The coefficient $m(L_j)$ is a real number that is equal to the difference between the third score U_{cj} associated with the third vector C_j generated from the list L_j and the first score U_x . This is mathematically written as follows:

$$m(L_j) = U_{cj} - U_x$$

An iterator n is also generated. The iterator n is fixed equal to zero.

Upon the conclusion of the sub-step of calculation 165, the iterator n is increased by one. This is mathematically written as follows:

$$n := n + 1$$

A reduced list L_{rj} is generated, for each list L_j , if the list L_j contains an indicator ij of the parameter X_n having index equal to the iterator n ;

The reduced list L_{rj} is constructed, from the list L_j , by the deletion of the indicator ij associated with the parameter X_n having index equal to the iterator n . This means that the reduced list L_{rj} contains each of the indicators ij contained in the list L_j , with the exception of the indicator ij that is equal to the iterator n .

5 For example, upon the conclusion of the first sub-step 165 of calculation, the reduced list L_{r1} (0; 0; 1; 0; 1) is generated from the list L_1 (1; 0; 1; 0; 1).

The coefficient $m(L_j)$ of each list is fixed to be equal to the difference between the coefficient $m(L_j)$ associated with the list L_j , and the coefficient $m(L_{rj})$ associated with the reduced list L_{rj} . This is mathematically written as follows:

10
$$m(L_j) := m(L_j) - m(L_{rj})$$

If the list L_j does not contain an indicator ij that is equal to the iterator n , the coefficient $m(L_j)$ is not changed.

If the iterator n is strictly less than the first number N_1 , the first sub-step of calculation 165 is reiterated. The reiteration is represented in Figure 5 by an arrow 190.

15 Otherwise, the first sub-step of calculation 165 is followed by a second sub-step of calculation 167.

Upon the conclusion of the second sub-step of calculation 167, the indicator of significance R_i associated with each parameter X_i is evaluated.

20 Each indicator of significance R_i is equal to the sum, for each list L_j containing the indicator ij of the parameter X_i , the ratio between the coefficient $m(L_j)$ having index associated with the list L_j and the second number N_{2j} in the list L_j .

This can be mathematically written as follows:

$$R_i = \sum_{L_j \in SE_{3i}} \frac{m_k(L_j)}{N_{2j}}$$

25 When the second sub-step of calculation 167 is completed, the step 170 of selection of at least one parameter X_i is carried out.

When the function U is k -additive, the indicator of significance R_i associated with each parameter is thus then a Shapley value and not an approximation of a Shapley value.

30 The explanation method for explaining a score U_x is therefore more precise. The explanation E_x generated is thus more relevant.

The explanation method is adaptable since it is not related to a particular function. The explanation method only assumes to know that the function U is k -additive.

In a fifth example of an explanation method, upon the conclusion of the step 170 of selection of at least one parameter X_i , at least two fourth sub-sets $SE4_m$ of parameters are selected.

The elements that are identical to the first example shown in Figure 2 are not described again. Only the differences have been highlighted.

Upon the conclusion of the step 170 of selection, a fifth number $N5$ of fourth sub-sets $SE4$ are generated based on the indicators of significance R_i received.

In the following sections of the description, each fourth sub-set $SE4$ will be marked for identification by an index m and denoted by $SE4_m$.

Every fourth sub-set $SE4_m$ contains at least one parameter X_i .

Preferably, the fourth sub-sets $SE4_m$ are generated in accordance with a data partitioning method.

The partitioning of data (or data clustering as per the accepted terminology) is one of the statistical methods for analysis of data. The data partitioning is aimed at dividing a data set into various different homogeneous sub-sets, in the sense that the data in each sub-set share common characteristics, which correspond most often to the criteria of proximity between or among objects.

In the case of the fourth sub-sets $SE4_m$, the proximity criterion used is the difference between the indicators of significance R_i .

The parameters X_i are classified in the fourth sub-sets $SE4_m$ according to ascending order of the indicators of significance R_i calculated. This means that each of the parameters X_i of the fourth sub-set $SE4_1$ having index m equal to 1 is associated with an indicator of significance R_i that is greater than each of the indicators of significance R_i associated with the parameters X_i of the fourth subset $SE4_2$ having index m equal to 2, and so on.

Upon the conclusion of the step 180 of elaboration, the explanation Ex is elaborated based on the fourth sub-sets $SE4_m$ of parameters X_i generated.

For example, the explanation Ex takes the form of an explanatory "fill in the blanks" phrase or sentence of the following type: "The automobile A is preferred to the automobile B first of all on account of the parameters [1], followed by the parameters [2], and finally on account of the parameters [3]", where [1] is a list of parameters X_i of the fourth sub-set $SE4_1$, [2] is a list of parameters X_i of the fourth sub-set $SE4_2$, and [3] is a list of parameters X_i of the fourth sub-set $SE4_3$.

The explanation method automatically adjusts the number of parameters X_i used in the explanation Ex to the indicators of significance R_i evaluated. The elaborated explanation Ex is therefore more pertinent.

Each of the examples provided here above of the explanation method is able to be carried out with a function U which is not precisely known.

The explanation method does not depend on the function U that is used. The explanation method is therefore adaptable to a large number of functions U .

5 In addition, the explanation Ex is elaborated based on the Shapley values associated with each parameter X_i . The explanation Ex is therefore more pertinent.

Finally, during the implementation of the explanation method, only a reduced number of lists L_j is generated. The explanation method therefore presents good performance elements, especially in terms of time of computation.

22
CLAIMS

1.- A score explanation method for explaining a score (Ux) associated with a vector (x) of a first number (N₁) of parameters (X_i) by means of a function (U), each parameter (X_i) being ordered with an indicator (ij) varying from one to the first number (N₁), the first number (N₁) being an integer that is strictly greater than one, the method including at least the following steps:

a1) providing a first score (Ux) associated with a first vector (x) containing first values (x_i) of the parameters (X_i),

b) generating a first set (E1) of lists (L_j), each list (L_j) comprising a second number (N_{2j}) of indicators (ij), the second number (N_{2j}) being an integer that is strictly less than the first number (N₁) and strictly greater than zero,

c) generating, from a list (L_j), of at least a third vector (C_j) wherein each parameter (X_i) has a third value (c_{i,j}),

the third value (c_{i,j}) being equal to the corresponding first value (x_i) when the list (L_j) does not include an indicator (ij) of the corresponding parameter (X_i), and different from the corresponding first value (x_i) in the opposite case;

d) calculating the score (UC_j) of at least one third vector (C);

e) evaluating, from the scores (UC_j) calculated for each of the third vectors (C), an indicator of significance (R_i) of each parameter (X_i);

f) elaborating, from the evaluated indicators of significance (R_i), an explanation (E) of the first score (Ux).

2.- A method according to claim 1, wherein the indicators of significance (R_i) calculated during the step e are either Shapley values, or Sobol' indices.

3.- A method according to claim 1 or 2, wherein the first set (E1) comprises a total number (N_t) of lists (L_j), the total number (N_t) satisfying the following mathematical inequality:

$$N_t \leq Co * 2^{N_1}$$

wherein Co is a predetermined coefficient.

4.- A method according to any one of claims 1 to 3, wherein the step b includes the generating of at least one portion of the lists (L_j) of the first set (E1) in accordance with a probability law (P) pertaining either to the second number (N_{2j}) or to the indicators ij contained in the list (L_j).

5.- A method according to any one of claims 1 to 3, wherein the step b includes the generation of all the lists (L_j), in which the second number (N_{2j}) is less than or equal to a second predetermined integer (k) that is strictly less than the first number (N_1).

5

6.- A method according to any one of claims 1 to 5, wherein the method includes, in addition, a further step a2 of providing a second score (U_y) associated with a second vector (Y) that contains second values (y_i) of the parameters (X_i);

10 and wherein, during the step c, the third value ($c_{i,j}$) is equal to the corresponding second value (y_i) when the list (L_j) comprises an indicator (ij) of the corresponding parameter (X_i).

15 7.- An explanation method for explaining a score (U_x) associated with a vector (X) by means of a function (U), the function (U) having a global maximum (U_g) associated with a first extreme vector (G) and a global minimum (U_b) associated with a second extreme vector (B), the method including:

g1) the application, from the first score (U_x) and from the global maximum (U_g), of the steps b to e of an explanation method according to claim 6 for obtaining first indicators of significance (R_{g_i});

20 g2) the application, from the first score (U_x) and from the global minimum (U_b), of the steps b to e of an explanation method according to claim 6 for obtaining second indicators of significance (R_{b_i}); and

h) generating, from the first (R_{g_i}) and second (R_{b_i}) indicators of significance of an explanation (E) of the first score (U_x).

25

8. A computer program product including software instructions which, when they are executed by a computer, carry out a method according to any one of claims 1 to 7.

30 9. An information medium on which is stored in memory a computer program product according to claim 8.

35 10. An explanation system (10) for explaining a function (U) associating a score (U_x) with a vector (x) of a first number (N_1) of parameters (X_i), each parameter (X_i) being ordered with an indicator (ij) varying from one to the first number (N_1), the first number (N_1) being an integer that is strictly greater than one, the system (10) being configured for:

- providing a first score (U_x) associated with a first vector (X) containing first values (x_i) of the parameters (X_i),

- generating a first set (E_1) of lists (L_j), each list (L_j) comprising a second number (N_{2j}) of indicators (ij), the second number (N_{2i}) being an integer strictly less than the first number (N_1) and strictly greater than zero,

- generating, from a list (L_j), at least one third vector (C_j) wherein each parameter (X_i) has a third value ($c_{i,j}$),

the third value ($c_{i,j}$) being equal to the corresponding first value (x_i) when the list (L_j) does not include an indicator (ij) of the corresponding parameter (X_i), and different from the corresponding first value (x_i) in the opposite case,

- calculating the score (UC_j) of at least one third vector (C_j),

- evaluating, based on the scores (UC_j) calculated for each of the third vectors (C_j), an indicator of significance (R_i) of each parameter (X_i);

- elaborating, from the evaluated indicators of significance (R_i), an explanation (E) of the first score (U_x) .