

PROCESS INVOLVING CROSS METATHESIS OF OLEFINS

The present invention is concerned with a process for the preparation of macrocyclic musk compounds utilizing a cross metathesis reaction. The invention is also concerned with novel intermediates useful in said process of forming macrocyclic musk compounds.

- 5 The odour of musk is perhaps the most universally appreciated fragrance. Synthetic musks can be divided into three major classes — aromatic nitro musks, polycyclic musk compounds, and macrocyclic musk compounds. The detection of the nitro- and polycyclic chemical groups in human and environmental samples initiated a public debate on the use of these compounds. Some research has indicated that these musk compounds don't
10 break down in the environment and can accumulate in human bodies. As such, macrocyclic musk compounds have increased in importance in recent years.


Common macrocyclic musk compounds include ambrettolide (9-ambrettolide and 7-ambrettolide), nirvanolide, habanolide, cosmone, muscenone, velvione, civetone and globanone.

- 15 7-Ambrettolide naturally occurs in musk ambrette seed oil (M. Kerschbaum, Chem Ber. 1927, 60B, 902) and is a valuable perfume base because of its desirable odour. 9-Ambrettolide is likewise a much appreciated perfumery ingredient (C. Collaud, Helv. Chim. Acta 1942, 25, 965). It is currently synthesized industrially from aleuritic acid. However, aleuritic acid is obtained from shellac by saponification, and due to growing industrial
20 concerns regarding the supply and price of shellac, there is a need to devise new synthetic routes into the highly valued and valuable 9-ambrettolide.

- Olefin metathesis has become an important tool in the field of synthetic organic chemistry. A variant of olefin metathesis — so-called cross metathesis - is the reaction of two different olefins in the presence of an organometallic catalyst, in which one olefin
25 double bond changes places with the other. More particularly, it is an organic reaction that entails the redistribution of fragments of olefins by the scission and regeneration of carbon-carbon double bonds.

The mechanism of this reaction is thought to proceed via a 2+2 cycloaddition of an alkene-bearing substrate to a metal alkylidene catalyst, forming a metallocyclobutane intermediate, which undergoes cycloreversion to generate the substrate loaded with a
 5 metal carbene, which further reacts with a second alkene to produce the metathesis product and releases the metal alkylidene catalyst.


Schematically, an olefin metathesis reaction can be represented as follows:



10

The reaction can be used to couple together two olefin substrates to form a new olefin compound, which is a dimer of the two substrates. The reaction is shown schematically, above. The A-containing substrate and the B-containing substrate can react to form a hetero-dimer (shown), however, the both the A-containing substrate and the B-containing
 15 substrate can react with itself to form homo-dimers.

Another variant of the olefin metathesis reaction is the so-called ring closure metathesis reaction (RCM). This reaction is widely established as a means of forming ring structures. The reverse reaction can be employed to ring-open a cyclic structure:



20

RCM is simply an intramolecular olefin metathesis of a diene, yielding a cycloalkene and a volatile alkene by-product (ethylene, in the case of the above schematic). RCM has been widely researched as a means of producing macrocycles. Indeed, a laboratory procedure utilizing a ring closure metathesis (RCM) reaction using a ruthenium alkylidene catalyst has
5 been reported in the literature (J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 94; Chem. Europ. J. 2013, 19, 2726-2740, J. Org Chem. 1996, 61, 3942-3943, and WO 2012167171). However, a problem with RCM is that the intra-molecular ring closing reaction is in competition with inter-molecular polymerisation reactions, and the former is favoured only in high dilution, and so for reasons of economy this chemistry has not found use industrially as a means of
10 producing macrocyclic musk compounds to the best of the applicant's knowledge.

In contrast to the greatly researched ring closure metathesis reaction, the cross metathesis reaction has been relatively under studied. Difficulties abound with this chemistry. Catalyst-induced migration of the double bonds on the starting materials represents a consistent challenge. Furthermore, differences in reactivity of the olefin
15 groups of the starting materials can lead to poor yields of the desired product. Still further, the inevitable complex mixture containing homo-dimers and hetero-dimers can be difficult, time consuming and expensive to separate and isolate in pure form, particularly when the reaction and purification must be industrially scalable.


The present invention addresses the problems in the prior art and provides an efficient
20 and high-yielding synthesis of macrocyclic musk compounds and their open-chain intermediates, utilizing cross metathesis.

Accordingly, the invention provides in a first aspect a method of forming a macrocyclic musk compound comprising the steps of cross metathesizing a first olefin and a second olefin in the presence of a homogeneous transition metal catalyst containing an alkylidene
25 ligand, to form a hetero-dimer intermediate of said first and second olefin, and cyclizing the hetero-dimer intermediate to form the macrocyclic musk compound.

In a particular embodiment of the present invention, one or both of first and second olefins may be olefins with a terminal double bond.


In a particular embodiment of the invention, the first step in the preparation of the macrocyclic musk compound, wherein the first and second olefins are reacted in a cross metathesis reaction to produce the hetero-dimer intermediate is shown schematically below.

5



The group R contains a protected hydroxyl group containing 3 to 10 carbon atoms; R₁ is a carboxylic ester group containing 3 to 11 carbon atoms; wherein the number of carbon atoms in the ester group and protected hydroxyl group together should be less than 15;
10 and wherein M= represents a transition metal catalyst containing an alkylidene ligand.

One of said first or second olefins may be represented by the formula (I)




wherein OR₂ is a protected hydroxyl group, which may be selected from an alkyl ether group; an ester group; a silyl ether group; or a carbonate group; R₃ is H or methyl; and n is
15 an integer from 1-8.

Suitable ether protecting groups include a branched or non-branched alkyl moiety containing 1 to 5 carbon atoms, for example methyl, ethyl, propyl, i-propyl, t-Bu or t-amyl.

Suitable ester protecting groups include C(O)R₄, wherein R₄ = hydrogen, or a branched or non-branched alkyl moiety containing 1 to 7 carbon atoms, for example methyl, ethyl,
20 propyl, i-propyl, t-butyl or t-amyl. Suitable silyl ether protecting groups include Si(R₅)₃; wherein R₅ is a branched or unbranched alkyl moiety, which may include methyl, ethyl and propyl and t-butyl.


Suitable carbonate protecting groups include $C(O)OR_6$, wherein R_6 is a branched or non-branched alkyl moiety, for example methyl, ethyl or propyl.

The other of said first or second olefins may be represented by the formula (II)



- 5 wherein R_7 is branched or non-branched alkyl moiety containing 1 to 5 carbon atoms, and preferably methyl or ethyl, and m is an integer from 1 to 10, preferably 7.

When first and second olefins specifically referred to above in formula (I) and (II) are subjected to a cross metathesis reaction in accordance with the present invention, the hetero-dimer intermediate can be represented by the formula (III)



10

wherein R_2, R_7, m and n are as hereinabove defined, and wherein the configuration of the double bond may be E or Z as desired.

The hetero-dimer intermediates herein defined, as well as their preparation by cross metathesis each represent further aspects of the present invention.

- 15 In a particular embodiment of the present invention, the hetero-dimer intermediate is a compound represented by the formula (IV)




wherein R_7 is as hereinabove defined, in particular methyl.

- The advantages of the t-Bu ether protecting group are manifold, and lead to an over-all efficiency of the synthesis of macrocyclic musks. In particular, the t-Bu protecting group is advantageous because it results in a hetero-dimer product that can be relatively easily
- 20

separated from homo-dimer side products formed in the cross metathesis reaction by distillation at relatively low temperatures, e.g. below about 100 to 220 degrees centigrade at a pressure of about 1 to 10 mbar. Furthermore, this hetero-dimer is relatively easy to cleave under mild conditions during the subsequent macrocyclization step to form the
5 macrocyclic musk.

After completion of the metathesis reaction, the hydroxyl protecting group can be cleaved by various synthetic procedures depending on the nature of the protecting group, all of which are well known to a person skilled in the art. The resultant α - ω hydroxy ester can be cyclised to form a macrocyclic lactone. In the particular case of a α - ω hydroxy esters
10 represented in protected form (IV) above, the corresponding macrocyclic musk compound is the lactone E/Z 9-ambrettolide (structure shown below).

When the protecting group is an ester, the hetero-dimer formed by the metathesis reaction can be immediately submitted to the macrocyclization reaction without prior cleavage of the protecting group. Examples of the synthetic steps do not need to be
15 exhaustively explained here, and are more specifically described in the examples, below.




Thereafter, the macrocyclization reaction may be carried out according to techniques known in the art. A particular method of carrying out the cyclization step proceeds via the formation of a polyester from a hydroxy ester, which is the unprotected hetero-dimer of
20 the metathesis reaction, and continuously trans-esterifying the polyester into volatile lactones and removing them at higher temperature and reduced pressure once the lactone is formed according to the well-known Collaud chemistry disclosed US patent 2234551, which is herein incorporated by reference. Further details regarding this chemistry are set forth in the examples hereinbelow.


25 Whereas a hetero-dimer intermediate described above can be cyclized to form 9-ambrettolide, the skilled person will appreciate that with the appropriate selection of

olefin starting materials, in particular unsaturated protected alcohol and unsaturated carboxylic acid ester, the cross metathesis reaction will form hetero-dimers that can be subsequently transformed by macrocyclization to form other macrocyclic musk compounds such as 7-Ambrettolide


5



or Habanolide



or Nirvanolide



- 10 For example, the first and second olefin compounds that can be used to form 7-ambrettolide may be selected from 10-(tert-butoxy)dec-1-ene and methyl oct-7-enoate or dec-9-en-1-yl acetate and methyl oct-7-enoate.

The first and second olefin compounds that can be used to form Habanolide may be selected from trimethyl(pent-4-en-1-yloxy)silane and ethyl dodec-11-enoate.

- 15 The first and second olefin compounds that can be used to form Nirvanolide may be selected from from 4-methyl-6-(tert-butoxy)hex-1-ene and methyl 9-decenoate, or 4-methyl-6-(tert-butoxy)hex-1-ene and ethyl 9-decenoate, or 3-methylhex-5-en-1-yl propionate and methyl 9-decenoate.

The cross metathesis reaction conditions required to conjoin the two olefins are generally well known in the art. The reaction may proceed at room temperature or at elevated or lowered temperatures, for example between 0 to 60 degrees centigrade.

Whereas in ring closure metathesis reactions to form macrocycles, it is necessary to carry
5 out the reaction in very high dilutions (for example, 10^{-2} to 10^{-4} M solutions), in contrast applicant has found that in the present invention the cross metathesis reaction will proceed at high concentrations, and indeed the reaction may even be carried out with no solvent present. As such, the method of the present invention, whereby a hetero-dimer is first formed by metathesis, and then ring-closed by a macrocyclization step, represents a
10 considerably simpler and cheaper process than RCM to form macrocyclic musk compounds, which is industrially scalable in an economic manner.

Elimination of solvent from a reaction mixture has very obvious economic advantages for the industrialization of a synthetic procedure. An additional advantage related to the reduction or avoidance of a solvent, particularly in relation to the use of metathesis
15 catalysts that may be water and oxygen sensitive, is that there is one less reagent that needs to be conditioned or purified before use to eliminate trace contaminants such as moisture and reactive oxygen, such as in the form of peroxides.

In a particular aspect of the present invention, feed stock containing first or second olefin compounds can be subjected to a purification step prior to their reaction by cross
20 metathesis. Purification entails the removal of contaminants from said feed stocks containing the olefin compounds that could otherwise negatively affect the reactivity of metathesis catalysts. Such contaminants may include water, alcohols, aldehydes, peroxides, hydroperoxides, protic materials, polar materials, Lewis base (basic) catalyst poisons and two or more thereof. Purification may entail a physical purification step, for
25 example, a distillation step, or a step whereby the olefin compounds are separated from unwanted contaminants by a process of absorption. Physical purification means may include heat (such as, in a distillation process), or contact of the feed stocks with absorbent materials selected from molecular sieves, alumina, silica gel, montmorillonite

clay, Fuller's earth, bleaching clay, diatomaceous earth, zeolites, kaolin, activated metals, metal sulfates, metal halides, metal silicates, activated carbon, and soda ash.

Additionally or alternatively, purification may entail a chemical purification step, whereby unwanted contaminants are separated from the feed stocks by subjecting the

- 5 contaminants to a chemical reaction, whereby they are converted to materials that are non-reactive with a metathesis catalyst. Chemical purification means include treating the feed stocks with metal carbonates and metal hydrogen carbonates, acid anhydrides, metal hydrides, phosphorous pentoxide, metal aluminum hydrides, alkyl aluminum hydrides, trialkyl aluminums, metal borohydrides, organometallic reagents, metal amides, and
- 10 combinations thereof. Contaminants may be compounds that contain at least one proton that can react with a compound selected from the group consisting of metal carbonates and metal hydrogen carbonates, acid anhydrides, metal hydrides, phosphorous pentoxide, metal aluminum hydrides, alkyl aluminum hydrides, trialkyl aluminums, metal borohydrides, organometallic reagents, metal amides, and combinations thereof.
- 15 Purification may also be performed by contacting feed stock with materials selected from the group consisting of molecular sieves, activated alumina, activated acidic alumina, neutral alumina, any one of which may be optionally heat treated; and activated basic alumina, alkaline earth metal hydrides, alkaline earth metal sulfates, alkali metal sulfates, alkali earth metal halides, alkali metal aluminum hydrides, alkali metal borohydrides,
- 20 Grignard reagents; organolithium reagents, trialkyl aluminums, metal bis(trimethylsilyl)amides, and combinations thereof.

- Purification may also be performed by contacting feed stock with CaH_2 , activated Cu, activated Mg, acetic anhydride, calcium sulfate, magnesium sulfate, potassium sulfate, aluminum sulfate, potassium magnesium sulfate, sodium sulfate, calcium carbonate,
- 25 sodium carbonate, magnesium silicate, potassium chloride, LiAlH_4 , NaAlH_4 , iBu_2AlH , n-butyl lithium, t-butyl lithium, sec-butyl lithium, triethyl aluminum, tributyl aluminum, triisopropyl aluminum, trioctyl aluminum, lithium diisopropyl amide, KHMDS, and combinations thereof.

Purification may also be carried out by subjecting feed stock to an anhydride of an organic acid. Suitable anhydrides are preferably the anhydrides of aliphatic, cyclic, alicyclic organic acids having from 1 to 10 carbon atoms, or an aromatic organic acid having from 6 to 10 carbon atoms. Such compounds are known in the art or may be produced according to
5 known methods. A particularly useful organic anhydride is acetic anhydride.

Purification may also be carried out by subjecting feed stock to an organometallic compound of aluminum. Said organometallic compound of aluminum may be a tri-substituted aluminium compound wherein the substituents are independently selected from an aliphatic, cyclic, alicyclic residue having from 1 to 10 carbon atoms, or from
10 aromatic residues having from 6 to 10 carbon atoms. Such compounds are known in the art or may be produced according to known methods.

In one embodiment, the organometallic compound of aluminum is triethyl aluminum, tributyl aluminum, triisobutyl aluminum, triisopropyl aluminum, or trioctyl aluminum.

Trioctyl aluminum is particularly preferred since it is stable in contact with air, i.e. is not-
15 flammable in contact with air, which is not the case with triethyl aluminum. This renders it particularly suitable for applications at an industrial scale.

For the practical realization of a chemical purification step, the amount of contaminant may be determined by known methods, such as chromatographic methods. Thereafter, the theoretical amount of purification means needed to react with the contaminant and
20 render it inactive to a catalyst can be easily calculated, and can be employed in slight molar excess in order to ensure that all potentially harmful contaminant is reacted to render it inactive towards a catalyst. If desired, after the reaction with contaminant, any excess purification means can be removed.

After purification, feedstock containing first and/or second olefin compounds useful in the
25 present invention may have a level of purity that is at least 99.9 % by weight of the first and/or the second olefin, or at least 99.99 % by weight, or at least 99.999 % by weight.

Several different and complementary means of purification of a contaminated feedstock comprising said first and/or said second olefin compounds can be carried out prior to a

metathesis reaction according to the invention. The following non-exhaustive and non-limiting list of representative purification methodologies can be usefully employed, for example (a) thermal treatment—for example, heating (and/or distilling) a feed stock at a temperature of between about 100 °C and about 250 °C, depending on the boiling point of

5 a feed stock, optionally with a purge of an inert gas or under vacuum, and/or treatment with an adsorbent material referred to hereinabove can be useful both in decomposing peroxide contaminants and/or decomposition products thereof or adsorbing contaminants; (b) treatment with an acid anhydride (e.g., acetic anhydride, Ac_2O) can be useful in removing moisture, active hydroxyl-containing materials (e.g., alcohols), and

10 hydroperoxides (via acetylation); (c) treatment with a desiccant (e.g., silica gel, alumina, molecular sieves, magnesium sulfate, calcium sulfate, and the like, and combinations thereof) and/or an organometallic reagent (e.g., t-butyl lithium, triethyl aluminum, tributyl aluminum, triisobutyl aluminum, triisopropyl aluminum, trioctyl aluminum, and the like, and combinations thereof) and/or metal hydrides (e.g., CaH_2 and the like) and/or acid

15 anhydrides (e.g., acetic anhydride and the like) can be useful in removing moisture; (d) treatment with an adsorbent (e.g., alumina, silica gel, and the like, and combinations thereof) and/or an organometallic reagent (e.g., t-butyl lithium, triethyl aluminum, tributyl aluminum, triisobutyl aluminum, triisopropyl aluminum, trioctyl aluminum, and the like, and combinations thereof) and/or a metal amide (e.g., LDA, KHMDA, and the like) can be

20 useful in removing protic materials; (e) treatment with an adsorbent (e.g., alumina, silica gel, activated charcoal, and the like, and combinations thereof) can be useful in removing polar materials; and (f) treatment with an organometallic reagent (e.g., t-butyl lithium, triethyl aluminum, tributyl aluminum, triisobutyl aluminum, triisopropyl aluminum, trioctyl aluminum, and the like, and combinations thereof) can be useful in removing

25 Lewis basic catalyst poisons or the like.

In some embodiments, the means used to purify said feedstock prior to a metathesis reaction comprises an adsorbent which, may be selected from the group consisting of silica gel, alumina, bleaching clay, activated carbon, molecular sieves, zeolites, Fuller's earth, diatomaceous earth, and the like, and combinations thereof. In some

30 embodiments, the means is selected from the group consisting of optionally heat-treated

molecular sieves, optionally heat-treated alumina, and a combination thereof. In some embodiments, the adsorbent comprises optionally heat-treated activated alumina which, may be selected from the group consisting of optionally heat-treated activated acidic alumina, optionally heat-treated activated neutral alumina, optionally heat-treated
5 activated basic alumina, and combinations thereof. In some embodiments, the adsorbent comprises optionally heat-treated activated neutral alumina, which can be useful in treating substrates (e.g., olefins) that are susceptible to acid-catalyzed isomerization and/or rearrangement.

For embodiments in which the means for purification comprises an adsorbent (e.g.,
10 molecular sieves, alumina, etc.), it is presently believed that the treating of the feedstock with the adsorbent is more effectively performed by flowing the feedstock through the means for purification using a percolation- or flow-type system (e.g., chromatography column) as opposed to simply adding the adsorbent to the substrate in a container. In some embodiments, about 20 wt% of alumina is used in a column. In particular, it may be
15 particularly advantageous to treat a feedstock with alumina on about a 5-to-1 weight-to-weight basis. However, it is to be understood that the amount of alumina used is not restricted and will be both feedstock- and impurity dependent in addition to being impacted by the form of the alumina, its activation process, and the precise treatment method (e.g., flow through a column vs. direct addition to container). In some
20 embodiments, the means used for purifying the feedstock prior to a metathesis reaction comprises a trialkyl aluminum which, in some embodiments, is selected from the group consisting of triethyl aluminum, tributyl aluminum, triisobutyl aluminum, triisopropyl aluminum, trioctyl aluminum, and the like, and combinations thereof.

It has further been unexpectedly found that the purification period of the feed stock may
25 significantly influence efficacy of the chemical purification step. Accordingly, prolonged purification periods may improve catalytic activity of the compounds used as catalysts in the metathesis reactions according to the invention.

In one embodiment, preferably when a trialkyl aluminum compound is used for purification, preferably trioctyl aluminum, the feedstock is subjected to said compound for


a period of from 2 to 100 h, preferably 5 to 90 h, more preferred 10 to 80 h, and still more preferred 15 to 70 h.

Catalysts for effecting metathesis reactions are well known in the art. Generally, olefin metathesis catalysts are organometallic catalysts bearing a transition metal atom, such as
5 titanium (Ti), tantalum (Ta), ruthenium (Ru), molybdenum (Mo) or tungsten (W). Whilst varying considerably in terms of the ligands bound to the metal atom, all of the effective catalyst systems share the basic metal alkylidene or alkylidyne ligand structure. Reviews of metathesis catalysts useful in the present invention are described in Michrowska et al Pure Appl. Chem., vol 80, No. 1, pp 31-43 2008; Schrock et al Chem. Rev. 2009, 109, 3211-
10 3226; and Grubbs et al J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 7490-7496. Suitable catalysts are also described in the patent literature, for example in US 2013/0281706 and US 6,306,988.

The variety of substituents or ligands that can be employed in the catalysts means that there are, today, a wide variety of catalysts available. Ligands or substituents may be selected to affect catalyst stability or selectivity (chemo-, regio- and enantio-selectivity), as
15 well as turn over number (TON), and turn over frequency (TOF). As is well known in the art, the TON describes the degree of activity of a catalyst, i.e. the average number of substrate molecules converted per molecule of catalyst, whereas TOF is a representation of catalyst efficiency (in units h^{-1}).

Particularly useful catalysts in the metathesis reaction of the present invention are those
20 metal alkylidene catalysts wherein the metal atom is either a Ruthenium, Molybdenum or Tungsten atom. Most preferred are said catalysts wherein the metal atom is Molybdenum or Tungsten.

Preferred Molybdenum or Tungsten catalysts are represented by the general formula



wherein

M = Mo or W; R¹ is aryl, heteroaryl, alkyl, or heteroalkyl; optionally substituted; R² and R³ can be the same or different and are hydrogen, alkyl, alkenyl, heteroalkyl, heteroalkenyl,
 5 aryl, or heteroaryl; which are optionally substituted;

R⁵ is alkyl, alkoxy, heteroalkyl, aryl, aryloxy, heteroaryl, silylalkyl, silyloxy, optionally substituted; and R⁴ is a residue R⁶-X-, wherein

X = O and R⁶ is aryl, which are optionally substituted; or


X = S and R⁶ is aryl, which are optionally substituted; or

10 X = O and R⁶ is (R⁷, R⁸, R⁹)Si; wherein R⁷, R⁸, R⁹ are alkyl or phenyl, which are optionally substituted; or


X = O and R⁶ is (R¹⁰, R¹¹, R¹²)C, wherein R¹⁰, R¹¹, R¹² are independently selected from phenyl, alkyl; which are optionally substituted;

or R⁴ and R⁵ are linked together and are bound to M via oxygen, respectively


15 Particularly preferred metathesis catalysts are set forth below.




X001




X004




X007




X008




X030




X038




X039




X040




X041




X042




X046




X051




X052




X054




X059




X061




X062




X063




X076




X114




X123



X149



X154



X190

The selection of the catalyst may have significant effects on both the efficiency of the metathesis reaction, characterized by the catalyst loading in ppm, as well as on the diastereoselectivity, i.e. the E/Z ratio of the double bond in the macrocyclic ring. For instance, catalysts X052, X061, X123 and X190 are preferred catalysts for the synthesis of E9-Ambrettolide. These catalysts generally generate high E-selectivities and high conversions. Catalysts X039 and X054, which are characterized by particularly large phenolic ligands, are capable of producing high Z-selectivities in the cross metathesis reaction and are the preferred catalysts for the synthesis of Nirvanolide. The selection of optimized conditions of the cross-metathesis reaction depends on the nature of the individual substrate, the catalyst and its loadings as well as the degree of purification of substrates and solvents (if used), as further described in detail below.

The olefins used as substrates in the metathesis reaction of the present invention may be employed in a molar ratio of 1:X, wherein X is 1 or greater, and may be an integer or a number having a fractional part. More particularly, X is an integer or a number having a fractional part, between 1 and 10.

Assuming full conversion, statistically, a 1:1 mixture of olefin substrates will result in a maximum yield of 50 % of the desired hetero-dimer product and 25 % each of two homo-dimer products. Whereas, at first sight this appears to represent only a moderate yield of the desired hetero-dimer product, it represents a thermodynamic mixture and is the highest yield of hetero-dimer that can be achieved. If X is an integer or a number having a fractional part, which is greater than 1, then a mixture of hetero-dimer to first and second homo-dimers will be obtained in a molar ratio of $2X : 1 : X^2$.

Employing a ratio of 1:X, wherein X is a relatively large number would make economic sense if the first homo-dimer (the minor reaction product in the mixture) was a dimer of relatively expensive olefin starting material, and the second homo-dimer (the overwhelmingly major product in the reaction mixture) was the homo-dimer of a relatively inexpensive olefin starting material, or was otherwise an industrially useful by-product in

its own right, or was easily and cheaply separable from the other ingredients of the mixture, for example, by re-crystallization.

Irrespective of the molar ratio of olefin starting materials that is employed in the present invention, the result of the metathesis reaction is a complex mixture. In order for such a
5 reaction to be industrially scalable, it should be possible to separate the desired hetero-dimer from the homo-dimers in a cheap and efficient manner. Applicant has surprisingly found that the judicious selection of the protecting group for the hydroxyl group on the olefin starting material of formula (I) above can influence the down-stream purification of the hetero-dimer. More particularly, when the protecting group is an alkyl ether, and
10 more particularly the iso-propyl or t-butyl ether, not only is there is clear separation of the boiling points of the hetero-dimer and the homo-dimers, but all of the dimers in the reaction mixture boil at relatively low temperatures, such that distillation can be employed at relatively low temperatures, e.g. about 100 to 220 degrees centigrade, at easily attainable reduced pressure of about 1 to 10 mbar. Furthermore, the t-butyl
15 protecting group is easily cleavable, which provides that the subsequent macrocyclization step to form the macrocyclic musk can be carried out under relatively mild reaction conditions.

Accordingly, in another aspect of the present invention, the mixture of the hetero-dimer and homo-dimers formed by the cross-metathesis reaction may be separated by
20 distillation, wherein the distillation temperature is between 100 to 220 degrees centigrade at a pressure of between 1 to 10 mbar.

In a particular embodiment of the present invention, in the method of separating the mixture of hetero-dimer from the homo-dimers, the mixture is formed from a first and second olefin employed in a 1:1 molar ratio.

25 In a particular embodiment of the present invention, in a method of separating the mixture of hetero-dimer from the homo-dimers, the protecting group on the hetero-dimer is an alkyl ether, and more particularly a t-butyl ether.

In order for a process to be industrially scalable, not only must it be possible to easily and cheaply separate the hetero-dimer from the homo-dimers, it should also be possible to recycle the homo-dimer by-products. The homo-dimer by-products can be treated with ethylene and a metathesis catalyst to regenerate the first and second olefin starting materials in a straightforward manner and conventional manner.

Accordingly, in another aspect of the present invention, the homo-dimers formed in a cross-metathesis reaction described herein, are separated from the hetero-dimer, and are treated with ethylene to regenerate first and second olefins.

The ethylenolysis treatment of the homo-dimers can be carried out under an appropriate pressure of ethylene gas. An appropriate pressure of ethylene would be between 1bar and 20 bar. The reaction may be carried out at a temperature of between 10 °C and 50 °C.

Whereas ethylenolysis is an efficient way to re-cycle the homo-dimers, nevertheless, one has to work under a high pressure of ethylene, which adds complexity and cost to the process.

Surprisingly, applicant has found that rather than subjecting the homo-dimers to ethylenolysis to regenerate the first and second olefins, the homo-dimers can be directly re-cycled by adding to them an amount of metathesis catalyst and subjecting them to a metathesis reaction.

In this re-cycling step, the homo-dimers may be mixed together as the sole reactants in a cross-metathesis reaction; or they may singularly, or in combination, be admixed with one or both of first and second olefins, before subjecting this mixture in a cross-metathesis reaction. Different recycling scenarios are schematically presented below. For example, the homo-dimers can be re-cycled alone, as set out in Scenario 1 below, or they can be re-cycled in admixture with first and second olefins (Scenario 2); or one homo-dimer can be reacted with the complementary olefin (Scenario 3 or 4).


The skilled person will appreciate that the homo-dimers can be mixed, optionally with the first and second olefins, to form a statistical mixture in which the desired hetero-dimer

16ai is again formed with 50 % yield. In this way, after a second metathesis step the hetero-dimer can be converted with 75 % yield.


Accordingly, in another aspect of the present invention, the cross-metathesis reaction comprises a cross-metathesis step of first and second olefins defined hereinabove, and a
5 subsequent cross-metathesis step of homo-dimers formed from the preceding cross-metathesis step.

The skilled person will appreciate that the recycling of homo-dimers is not limited to single recycling step. Subsequent recycling steps can be carried out, all of which can achieve a statistical mixture containing the desired hetero-dimer with 50 % yield. Of course, the
10 absolute amount of hetero-dimer recovered after each recycling step diminishes and so the number of recycling steps one performs is determined by the diminishing economic returns.


Scenario 1:





Scenario 2:



Scenario 3:



Scenario 4:



15 The fact that homo-dimers could be re-cycled in this way was surprising. The homo-dimers contain internal double bonds and as such would be expected to react very slowly,

if at all, and it was not predictable that a statistical mixture containing the desired hetero-dimer would be formed, at least in a reasonable time that would make sense in the context of an industrial process. However, applicant found that the homo-dimers displayed substantially similar reaction kinetics as the first and second olefins, even when
5 the first and second olefins contained terminal double bonds.


The synthetic methods described herein are particularly atom efficient, and as such represent a very efficient means of producing macrocyclic musk compounds on an industrial scale.

In particular, the use of terminal olefins as starting materials means that ethylene is
10 eliminated as a by-product of the metathesis reaction. Only two carbon atoms are lost in this case, and if desired, the generated ethylene can be recovered and used in any subsequent ethyleneolysis reaction that is carried out on the homo-dimers.

However, notwithstanding the advantages attendant to the use of terminal olefins, applicant found that there are drawbacks associated with their use. In particular, the
15 elimination of ethylene as a by-product can reduce the efficiency of the metathesis catalysts. Without wishing to be bound by any particular theory, it is possible that ethylene could deactivate the catalysts to a certain extent. Still further, certain metathesis catalysts, and in particular the ruthenium-based catalysts, can cause the terminal double bond of each of the starting materials to migrate, and also cause isomerization on the
20 double bond in the hetero-dimer.

Surprisingly, however, applicant found that when using molybdenum and tungsten metathesis catalysts, and particularly those preferred molybdenum and tungsten catalysts referred to specifically hereinabove, there was substantially no double bond migration. Furthermore, there was relatively little isomerization about the double bond of the
25 hetero-dimer. For example, with regard to the molecule 9-Ambrettolide, it was possible to obtain the molecule with high E-specificity. More particularly, it was possible to obtain E/Z 9-Ambrettolide in a ratio of about 80:20 to 90:10, more particularly about 85:15.

There now follows a series of examples, which serves to illustrate the invention.

Synthesis of olefin substrates:*Scheme 1. Synthesis of oct-7-enol derivatives.*

- 5 **Oct-7-en-1-yl 2,2-dimethylpropanoate (3a):** oct-7-en-1-ol (**1**) (50.00 g, 390 mmol) and triethylamine (43.4 g, 429 mmol, 59.8 mL, 1.1 equiv.) were dissolved in dry dichloromethane (500 mL) and 1.1 equivalent of pivaloyl chloride (**2**) (51.7 g, 429 mmol, 52.80 mL) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture and it was stirred at rt overnight. After the reaction had been completed, it was washed with water (3×100 mL) and brine
- 10 (2×100 mL), dried over magnesium sulphate and evaporated. The crude product was purified by distillation (80–82°C/5 Hg mm) to yield 24.26 g (29.30 %) ester (**3a**) as a colorless liquid. ¹H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.19 (s, 9H), 1.28–1.42 (m, 6H), 1.54–1.68 (m, 2H), 2.04 (q, *J*=7.0 Hz, 2H), 4.04 (t, *J*=7.0 Hz, 2H), 4.90–5.06 (m, 2H), 5.68–5.90 (m, 1H). GC-MS: 96.2% MS (EI): 212.
- 15 **Oct-7-en-1-yl pentanoate (3b):** 2.0 equivalents of thionyl chloride (23.29 g, 195.8 mmol, 14.22 mL) were added to a solution of valeric acid (**4**) (10.00 g, 97.9 mmol) in dry dichloromethane (100 mL) and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 6 h. After completion of the reaction, it was concentrated, then the residue was dissolved in dry dichloromethane (100 mL), cooled to 5–10°C and 1.3 equivalent of oct-7-en-1-ol (**1**) (16.32
- 20 g, 127 mmol) was added to the reaction mixture in one portion followed by dropwise addition of triethylamine (12.88 g, 127 mmol, 17.74 mL, 1.3 equiv.) and stirred at rt for 3

h. After the reaction had been completed, it was washed with water (3×50 mL) and brine (2×50 mL), dried over magnesium sulphate and evaporated. The crude product was purified by distillation (94-97°C/7 Hg mm) to yield 13.20 g (63.50 %) ester (**3b**) as a colorless liquid. ¹H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.91 (t, *J*=7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.24–1.46 (m, 8H),
5 1.54–1.70 (m, 4H), 2.04 (q, *J*=7.0 Hz, 2H), 2.29 (t, *J*=7.0 Hz, 2H), 4.05 (t, *J*=7.0 Hz, 2H), 4.88–5.06 (m, 2H), 5.69–5.91 (m, 1H). GC-MS: 96.4% MS (EI): 212.

Oct-7-en-1-yl hexanoate (3c): 2.0 equivalents of thionyl chloride (20.49 g, 172.2 mmol, 12.51 mL) were added to a solution of hexanoic acid (**5**) (10.00 g, 86.1 mmol) in dry dichloromethane (100 mL) and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 6 h. After
10 completion of the reaction, it was concentrated, then the residue was dissolved in dry dichloromethane (100 mL) cooled to 5-10°C and 1.3 equivalent of oct-7-en-1-ol (**1**) (14.35 g, 112 mmol) was added to the reaction mixture in one portion followed by dropwise addition of triethylamine (11.33 g, 112 mmol, 15.60 mL, 1.3 equiv.) and stirred at rt for 3 h. After the reaction was completed, it was washed with water (3×50 mL) and brine (2×50
15 mL), dried over magnesium sulphate and evaporated. The crude product was purified by distillation (96-98°C/7 Hg mm) to yield 14.15 g (72.6 %) ester (**3c**) as a colorless liquid. ¹H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.89 (t, *J*=7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.21–1.45 (m, 10H), 1.54–1.70 (m, 4H), 2.04 (q, *J*=7.0 Hz, 2H), 2.28 (t, *J*=7.0 Hz, 2H), 4.05 (t, *J*=7.0 Hz, 2H), 4.88–5.04 (m, 2H), 5.68–5.90 (m, 1H). GC-MS: 95.8% MS (EI): 226.

Oct-7-en-1-yl heptanoate (3d): 2.0 equivalents of thionyl chloride (18.27 g, 153.6 mmol, 11.12 mL) were added to a solution of heptanoic acid (**6**) (10.00 g, 76.8 mmol) in dry dichloromethane (100 mL) and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 6 h. After
20 completion of the reaction, it was concentrated, then the residue was dissolved in dry dichloromethane (100 mL) cooled to 5-10°C and 1.3 equivalent of oct-7-en-1-ol (**1**) (12.80 g, 99.8 mmol) was added to the reaction mixture in one portion followed by dropwise addition of triethylamine (10.10 g, 99.8 mmol, 13.92 mL, 1.3 equiv.) and stirred at rt for 3 h. After the reaction had been completed, it was washed with water (3×50 mL) and brine (2×50 mL), dried over magnesium sulphate and evaporated. The crude product was
25 purified by distillation (97-99°C/7 Hg mm) to yield 13.56 g (73.40 %) ester (**3d**) as a
30 colorless liquid. ¹H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.88 (t, *J*=7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.20–1.41 (m, 12H),

1.54–1.70 (m, 4H), 2.04 (q, $J=7.0$ Hz, 2H), 2.28 (t, $J=7.0$ Hz, 2H), 4.05 (t, $J=7.0$ Hz, 2H), 4.88–5.06 (m, 2H), 5.68–5.90 (m, 1H). GC-MS: 95.2% MS (EI): 240.

Oct-7-en-1-yl decanoate (3e): 2.0 equivalents of thionyl chloride (20.73 g, 174.2 mmol, 12.65 mL) were added to a solution of decanoic acid (**7**) (15.00 g, 87.1 mmol) in dry dichloromethane (150 mL) and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 6 h. After completion of the reaction, it was concentrated then the residue was dissolved in dry dichloromethane (150 mL) cooled to 5–10°C and 1.3 equivalent of oct-7-en-1-ol (**1**) (14.52 g, 113 mmol) was added to the reaction mixture in one portion followed by dropwise addition of triethylamine (11.46 g, 113 mmol, 15.78 mL, 1.3 equiv.) and stirred at rt for 3 h. After the reaction had been completed, it was washed with water (3×70 mL) and brine (2×70 mL) dried over magnesium sulphate and evaporated. The crude product was purified by distillation (146–148°C/5 Hg mm) to yield 16.85 g (68.50 %) ester (**3e**) as a colorless liquid. $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (200 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 0.87 (t, $J=7.0$ Hz, 3H), 1.16–1.46 (m, 18H), 1.52–1.72 (m, 4H), 1.92–2.10 (m, 2H), 2.28 (t, $J=7.0$ Hz, 2H), 4.05 (t, $J=7.0$ Hz, 2H), 4.88–5.08 (m, 2H), 5.68–5.90 (m, 1H). GC-MS: 95.6% MS (EI): 282.

Trimethyl(oct-7-en-1-yloxy)silane (3f): A solution of chlorotrimethylsilane (**8**) (50.84 g, 468 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) in dry dichloromethane (150 mL) was added to a solution of oct-7-en-1-ol (**1**) (50.00 g, 390 mmol) and imidazole (31.86 g, 468 mmol) in dry dichloromethane (350 mL) and the reaction mixture was stirred at 40°C overnight. After the reaction had been completed, it was washed with water (3×100 mL) and brine (2×100 mL), dried over magnesium sulphate and evaporated. The crude product was purified by distillation (76–80°C/5 Hg mm) to yield 64.22 g (82.20 %) silyl ether (**3f**) as a colorless liquid. $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (200 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 0.10 (s, 9H), 1.24–1.60 (m, 8H), 2.04 (q, $J=7.0$ Hz, 2H), 3.56 (t, $J=7.0$ Hz, 2H), 4.88–5.06 (m, 2H), 5.70–5.92 (m, 1H). GC-MS: >99.0% MS (EI): 200.

tert-Butyldimethyl(oct-7-en-1-yloxy)silane (3g): A solution of tert-butylchlorodimethylsilane (**9**) (64.70 g, 429 mmol, 1.1 equiv.) in dry dichloromethane (150 mL) was added to a solution of oct-7-en-1-ol (**1**) (50.00 g, 390 mmol) and imidazole (31.90 g, 468 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) in dry dichloromethane (350 mL) and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt overnight. After the reaction had been completed, it was washed with water

(3×100 mL) and brine (2×100 mL), dried over magnesium sulphate and evaporated. The crude product was purified by distillation (85-90°C/5 Hg mm) to yield 52.20 g (55.20 %) silyl ether (**3g**) as a colorless liquid. ¹H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.05 (s, 6H), 0.89 (s, 9H), 1.28–1.42 (m, 6H), 1.42–1.58 (m, 2H), 2.04 (q, *J*=7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.60 (t, *J*=7.0 Hz, 2H), 4.90–5.06 (m, 2H), 5.70–5.90 (m, 1H). GC-MS: 98.1% MS (EI): 242.

8-Methoxyoct-1-ene (3h): Under inert atmosphere sodium hydride (20.60 g, 858 mmol, 2.2 equiv.) was suspended in dry THF (300 mL) and a solution of oct-7-en-1-ol (**1**) (50.00 g, 390 mmol) was added dropwise to the suspension over a 20-minute period, then it was stirred at rt for 30 min. After completion of the salt formation, iodomethane (66.4 g, 468 mmol, 1.2 equivalent) was added to the reaction mixture and it was stirred at rt overnight. After completion of the reaction the reaction mixture was concentrated to 1/3 and the residue was dissolved in chloroform (500 mL). The organic phase was washed with water (3×100 mL) and brine (2×100 mL), dried over magnesium sulphate and evaporated. The crude product was purified by distillation (65-70°C/25 Hg mm) to yield 26.50 g (47.80 %) methyl ether (**3h**) as a colorless liquid. ¹H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.24-1.44 (m, 6H), 1.46–1.60 (m, 2H), 2.04 (q, *J*=7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.28 (s, 3H), 3.45 (t, *J*=7.0 Hz, 2H), 4.90–5.08 (m, 2H), 5.70–5.90 (m, 1H). GC-MS: 96.3% MS (EI): 142.

8-(tert-Butoxy)oct-1-ene (3i): Ca. 3.0 equiv. of isobutylene was bubbled into the solution of oct-7-en-1-ol (**1**) (150.0 g, 176.0 mL, 1170 mmol) and 0.2 equiv. of sulphuric acid (23.90 g, 13.0 mL) in *tert*-butyl methyl ether (400 mL) at -20 – -10°C (ca. 25-35 min), then it was allowed to warm up to room temperature and stirred for 24 h. After completion of the reaction (TLC: Hep:EtOAc=7:3) the excess of isobutylene was removed in vacuum (500 Hgmm), then the reaction mixture was diluted with sat. Na₂CO₃ (the pH was adjusted to 7.5-8.5), the phases were separated, the aqueous phase was extracted with *tert*-butyl methyl ether (2×150 mL). Combined organic phases were washed with water (3×150 mL), brine (3×150 mL), dried over CaCl₂ (min. 8-12 h) and evaporated. The crude product was purified by vacuum distillation (69-74°C/8 Hg mm) to yield 153.00 g (71.10 %) **3i** as a colorless liquid. ¹H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.18 (s, 9H), 1.26–1.42 (m, 6H), 1.44–1.58 (m, 2H), 2.03 (q, *J*=7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.32 (t, *J*=7.0 Hz, 2H), 4.87–5.06 (m, 2H), 5.70–5.92 (m, 1H). GC-MS: 98.0% MS (EI): 184.

1-Ethoxy-1-(oct-7-en-1-yloxy)ethane (3j): A catalytic amount of trifluoroacetic acid (0.178 g, 1.56 mmol, 0.005 equivalent) was added to the solution of oct-7-en-1-ol (**1**) (40.00 g, 312 mmol) and ethyl vinyl ether (**10**) (67.50 g, 936 mmol, 3.0 equivalent) at 0-5°C, then the reaction mixture allowed to warm up to rt and stirred for 2 h. After completion of the
5 reaction, it was diluted with ether (500 mL) and washed with saturated aqueous solution of NaHCO₃ (3×100 mL), water (2×100 mL) and brine (2×100 mL), dried over magnesium sulphate and evaporated. The crude product was purified by distillation (82-84°C/6 Hg mm) to yield 36.54 g (58.50 %) **3j** as a colorless liquid. ¹H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.21–1.65 (m, 14H), 2.03 (q, *J*=7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.35 (t, *J*=7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.61 (q, *J*=7.0 Hz, 3H), 4.71 (q,
10 *J*=7.0 Hz, 1H), 4.92–5.10 (m, 2H), 5.68–5.91 (m, 1H). GC-MS: 96.9% MS (EI): 200.


8-Bromooct-1-ene (3k): Phosphorus tribromide (27.21 g, 97.5 mmol, 0.5 equiv.) was added dropwise to a solution of oct-7-en-1-ol (**1**) (25.00 g, 195 mmol) in dry dichloromethane (200 mL) at 0 °C. After completion of the addition it was allowed to warm up to rt and stirred for 2 h, then the reaction mixture was poured into saturated
15 aqueous solution of NaHCO₃ to adjust the pH to 7.0. Phases were separated and the organic phase was washed with water (3×75 mL), brine (3×75 mL), dried over magnesium sulphate and evaporated. The crude product was purified by vacuum distillation (59-61°C/7 Hg mm) to yield 6.20 g (16.60 %) **3k** as a colorless liquid. ¹H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.20-1.50 (m, 6H), 1.76–1.92 (m, 2H), 1.96–2.14 (m, 2H), 3.14 (t, *J*=7.0 Hz, 2H), 4.90–5.08
20 (m, 2H), 5.70–5.92 (m, 1H). GC-MS: 96.8% MS (EI): 190, 192.

Methyl oct-7-enyl carbonate (3l): Under inert atmosphere a 2.5M solution of butyllithium (93.6 mmol, 25.95 g, 37.4 mL) was added dropwise to a solution of oct-7-en-1-ol (**1**) (10.00 g, 78 mmol) in dry THF (100 mL) at 0°C then it was stirred at the same temperature for 30 min. After completion of the salt formation, methyl chloroformate (8.85 g, 93.6 mmol, 7.23 mL) was added to
25 the reaction mixture and it was stirred at rt overnight. After completion of the reaction the reaction mixture it was quenched with saturated aqueous solution of ammonium chloride and it was extracted with dichloromethane (3×100 mL). The organic phase was washed with water (2×50 mL) and brine (50 mL), dried over magnesium sulphate and evaporated. The crude product was purified by distillation (105-107°C/20 Hgmm) to yield 7.72 g (53.10 %) carbonate (**3l**) as a colorless
30 liquid. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.21–1.48 (m, 6H), 1.55–1.70 (m, 2H), 1.95–2.05 (m, 2H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.88 (t, *J*=7.1 Hz, 2H), 4.90–5.06 (m, 2H), 5.70–5.90 (m, 1H). GC-MS: 97.2% MS (EI):

187. **Methyl dec-9-enoate (11a):** Decenoic acid (**12**) (32.60 g, 192 mmol) was dissolved in dry methanol (300 mL) and 0.1 equiv. of sulfuric acid (1.96 g, 1.07 mL, 19.2 mmol) was added to the reaction mixture and it was refluxed for 20 h. After completion of the reaction it was quenched with saturated aqueous solution of NaHCO₃ (25 mL) and
5 evaporated. The residue was dissolved in chloroform (300 mL) and washed with water (3×75 mL) and brine (2×75 mL), dried over magnesium sulphate and evaporated. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (*n*-Heptane–Ethyl acetate; 20:1) gave 25.50 g (72.30 %) of the title compound (**11a**) as a colorless liquid. GC-MS: >98.1% MS (EI): 184.

10 **Ethyl dec-9-enoate (11b):** Decenoic acid (**12**) (30.60 g, 180 mmol) was dissolved in dry methanol (300 mL) and 0.1 equivalent of sulfuric acid (1.84 g, 0.99 mL, 18 mmol) was added to the reaction mixture and it was refluxed for 20 h. After completion of the reaction it was quenched with saturated aqueous solution of NaHCO₃ (25 mL) and
15 evaporated. The residue was dissolved in chloroform (300 mL) and washed with water (3×75 mL) and brine (2×75 mL), dried over magnesium sulphate and evaporated. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (*n*-Heptane–Ethyl acetate; 20:1) to yield 23.50 g (65.90 %) of the title compound (**11b**) as a colorless liquid. GC-MS: >98.5% MS (EI): 198.

Propan-2-yl dec-9-enoate (11c): Decenoic acid (**12**) (15.00 g, 88.1 mmol) was dissolved in
20 dry 2-propanol (200 mL) and 0.1 equiv. of sulfuric acid (0.9 g, 0.49 mL, 8.81 mmol) was added to the reaction mixture and it was refluxed for 20 h. After completion of the reaction it was quenched with saturated aqueous solution of NaHCO₃ (25 mL) and evaporated. The residue was dissolved in chloroform (300 mL) and washed with water (3×75 mL) and brine (2×75 mL), dried over magnesium sulphate and evaporated. The
25 crude product was purified by distillation (98-104°C/8 Hg mm) to yield 14.56 g (77.80 %) of the title compound (**11c**) as a colorless liquid. GC-MS: >99.0% MS (EI): 212.

Cross metathesis of oct-7-enol and 3-methylhex-5-enol derivatives:

Scheme 2. Cross metathesis of decenoic acid esters (**11a-c**) with oct-7-enol and 3-methylhex-5-enol (**3a-q** and **13**).

5

All metathesis reactions were carried out in a nitrogen-filled glovebox in oven dried glassware.

General procedure of cross metathesis reactions without trioctylaluminum (Procedure A):

In an open screw cap vial the 0.1 M solution of metathesis catalyst (in dry benzene) (25-1000 ppm) was added to the mixture of decenoate (**11a-c**) (10.9 mmol) and octenol derivative (**3a-q** and **13**) (10.9 mmol) and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 4-20 h, then it was quenched with 0.2 mL diethyl ether (Analysis: ca. 100 μ L of the reaction mixture was filtered through a silica pad (ca. 4-5 mL) the pad was washed with a mixture of *n*-heptane and EtOAc (7:3, 15 mL) and the filtrate was analyzed by GC-MS.).

General procedure of cross metathesis reactions in the presence of trioctylaluminum
(Procedure B):

In an open screw cap vial 0.5 mol% of trioctylaluminum was added to the mixture of decenoate (**11a-c**) (10.9 mmol) and octenol derivative (**3a-q** and **13**) (10.9 mmol) and the
5 reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 1 h, then the 0.1 M solution of metathesis catalyst (in dry benzene) (25-1000 ppm) was also added to the reaction mixture and stirring was continued for 4-20 h, then it was quenched with 0.2 mL diethyl ether (Analysis: ca. 100 μ L of the reaction mixture was filtered through a silica pad (ca. 4-5 mL), the pad was washed with the mixture of *n*-heptane and EtOAc (7:3, 15 mL) and the filtrate was analyzed by GC-
10 MS.).

Example 1:

In an open screw cap vial the 0.1 M solution of **X052** in dry benzene (10.9 μ L, 50 ppm) was added to the mixture of purified methyl decenoate (**11a**) (2.00 g, 10.9 mmol, 2.28 mL) and purified *tert*-butyl ether (**3i**) (2.00 g, 10.9 mmol, 2.52 mL) and the reaction mixture was
15 stirred at rt for 20 h, then it was quenched with 0.2 mL of diethyl ether (Analysis: ca. 100 μ L of reaction mixture was filtered through silica pad (ca. 4-5 mL) and washed with the mixture of *n*-heptane and EtOAc (7:3, 15 mL) and the filtrate was analyzed by GC-MS.). The CM reaction of **11a** with **3i** afforded a statistical mixture of **14i**, **16ai** and **18a** (1:2:1) with 95% conversion for both starting olefins and E/Z ratios were found to be 85/15 for all
20 three compounds.

Example 2:

In an open screw cap vial 0.5 mol% of trioctylaluminum (25w% in hexane) (80 mg, $5.45 \cdot 10^{-2}$ mmol, 114 μ L) was added to the mixture of methyl decenoate (**11a**) (2.00 g, 10.9 mmol, 2.28 mL) and *tert*-butyl ether (**3i**) (2.00 g, 10.9 mmol, 2.52 mL) and the reaction
25 mixture was stirred at rt for 1 h, then the 0.1 M solution of **X190** in dry benzene (5.45 μ L, 25 ppm) was also added to the reaction mixture and stirring was continued for 20 h, then it was quenched with 0.2 mL of diethyl ether (Analysis: ca. 100 μ L of the reaction mixture was filtered through silica pad (ca. 4-5 mL) and washed with the mixture of *n*-heptane and

EtOAc (7:3, 15 mL) and the filtrate was analyzed by GC-MS.). The CM reaction of **11a** with **3i** afforded a statistical mixture of **14i**, **16ai** and **18a** (1:2:1) with 95% conversion for both starting olefins and E/Z ratios were found to be 84/16 for all three compounds.

Example 3:


5 Methyl decenoate (**11a**) (51.2 g, 278 mmol, 58.0 mL) and *tert*-butyl octenyl ether (**3i**) (50.4 g, 273 mmol, 63.0 mL) were charged in a 500 mL round-bottom flask and the mixture was stirred for ten minutes, then 0.1 M solution of **X039** in dry benzene (560 μ L, 100 ppm) was added in one portion. The reaction vessel was connected to a vacuum pump and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature under 50 mbar dynamic vacuum for 4
10 hours. GC-MS analysis of the crude product found 90% conversion for both starting olefins. Non-anhydrous ethyl acetate (10 mL) was added to the reaction mixture to quench the metathesis reaction. The quenched mixture was passed through a pad of silica (approx. 20 mL) using 500 mL ethyl acetate as eluent. Volatiles were removed in vacuo to afford the crude product as a practically colourless oil (92.4 g). Metathesis products **14i**,
15 **16ai** and **18a** were formed in the statistical (1:2:1) ratio and E/Z ratios were found to be 9/91 for all three compounds.

Example 4:


Methyl decenoate (**11a**) (0.675 g, 3.66 mmol, 765 μ L) and octenyl acetate (**3m**) (0.623 g, 3.66 mmol, 700 μ L) were charged in a 30 mL glass vial and the mixture was homogenized,
20 then 0.1 M solution of **X054** in dry benzene (74 μ L, 1000 ppm) was added in one portion. The vial was connected to a vacuum pump and the reaction mixture was stirred under 50 mbar dynamic vacuum at room temperature for 6 hours (90% conversion for both starting olefins according to GC-MS). Non-anhydrous diethyl ether (10 mL) was added to it to quench the metathesis reaction. The mixture was passed through a silica pad (10 mL)
25 using *n*-Heptane–Ethyl acetate; 1:1 solvent mixture as eluent. Approximately 75 mL filtrate was collected. Solvent was removed in vacuo to afford the metathesis product mixture as a slightly brownish oil (1.18 g). Metathesis products **14m**, **16am** and **18a** were formed in the statistical (1:2:1) ratio and E/Z ratios were found to be 11/89 for all three compounds.

Example 5:


Methyl decenoate (**11a**) (21.4 mg, 0.116 mmol, 24.2 μ L) and octenyl acetate (**3m**) (19.6 mg, 0.115 mmol, 22.0 μ L) were charged in a 4 mL glass vial and the mixture was homogenized, then 0.1 M solution of **X038** in dry benzene (11.5 μ L, 5000 ppm) was added in one portion. The vial was closed with a pierced cap and the reaction mixture was stirred under atmospheric pressure at room temperature. (Analysis: 20 μ L of the reaction mixture was mixed with 200 μ L of non-anhydrous diethyl ether within the glovebox to quench the metathesis reaction, then the quenched sample was passed through a silica plug (approx. 2 cm thick layer in a Pasteur-pipette) using 4 mL *n*-Heptane–Ethyl acetate; 1:1 solvent mixture as eluent and the filtrate was analyzed by GC-MS. Sample taken after 2 hours showed 57% conversion for both starting olefins (**11a**, **3m**) and cross metathesis products **14m**, **16am** and **18a** were formed in the statistical (1:2:1) ratio. E/Z ratios were found to equal to 3/97 for all three cross metathesis products. A sample was taken after 2.5 days to find only 68% conversion of both starting olefins and E/Z = 4/96 ratios for all three metathesis products.

**X052**


N-[4-bromo-2,3,5,6-tetraphenylphenoxy(2,5-dimethyl-1*H*-pyrrol-1-yl)(2-methyl-2-phenylpropylidene)molybdenumylidene]-2,6-bis(propan-2-yl)aniline

**X190**


N-[4-bromo-2,3,5,6-tetraphenylphenoxy(2,5-dimethyl-1*H*-pyrrol-1-yl)(2-methyl-2-phenylpropylidene)tungstenylidene]-2,6-dichloroaniline

**X038**

N-{2,6-bis[2,4,6-tris(propan-2-yl)phenyl]phenoxy(2,2-dimethylpropylidene)1*H*-pyrrol-1-yl}tungstenylidene-2,6-dichloroaniline

**X039**

N-[[{(3-bromo-1-{3-bromo-2-[(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]-5,6,7,8-tetrahydronaphthalen-1-yl}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl)oxy}(2,5-dimethyl-1*H*-pyrrol-1-yl)(2-methyl-2-phenylpropylidene)molybdenumylidene}adamantan-1-amine

**X054**

N-[[{(1-{2-[(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6,7,8-tetrahydronaphthalen-1-yl}-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6,7,8-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl)oxy}(2,5-dimethyl-1*H*-pyrrol-1-yl)(2-methyl-2-phenylpropylidene)molybdenumylidene}adamantan-1-amine

Example 6:

In an open screw cap vial the 0.1 M solution of **X190** in dry benzene (26.2 μ L, 400 ppm) was added to the mixture of purified methyl decenoate (**11a**) (600 mg, 3.27 mmol, 683 μ L) and purified carbonate (**3I**) (609 mg, 3.27 mmol) and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 20 h, then it was quenched with 0.2 mL of diethyl ether (Analysis: ca. 100 μ L of reaction mixture was dissolved in methanol (1 mL) and a small amount sodium methoxide was added to the solution and it was stirred at rt for 4h. After that it was diluted with water (0.5 mL) and extracted with dichloromethane (2 $\times$ 2 mL), dried over magnesium sulphate and evaporated.. The sample was analyzed by GC-MS.). The CM reaction of **11a** with **3I** afforded a statistical mixture of **14I**, **16aI** and **18a** (1:2:1) with 95% conversion.

Example 7:

In an open screw cap vial 0.5 mol% of trioctylaluminum (25w% in hexane) (40 mg, $2.72 \cdot 10^{-2}$ mmol, 57 μ L) was added to the mixture of methyl decenoate (**11a**) (1.00 g, 5.43 mmol, 1.13 mL) and carbonate(**3I**) (1.00 g, 5.43 mmol) and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 1 h, then the 0.1 M solution of **X190** in dry benzene (21.7 μ L, 200 ppm) was also added to the reaction mixture and stirring was continued for 20 h, then it was quenched with 0.2 mL of diethyl ether (Analysis: ca. 100 μ L of reaction mixture was dissolved in methanol (1 mL) and a small amount sodium methoxide was added to the solution and it was stirred at rt for 4h. After that it was diluted with water (0.5 mL) and extracted with dichloromethane (2 $\times$ 2 mL), dried over magnesium sulphate and evaporated. The sample was analyzed by GC-MS.). The CM reaction of **11a** with **3I** afforded a statistical mixture of **14I**, **16aI** and **18a** (1:2:1) with 35% conversion.

Example 8:

Methyl decenoate (**11a**) (0.098 g, 0.50 mmol, 104 μ L) and 3-methylhex-5-enyl acetate (**13**) (0.078 g, 0.50 mmol, 85 μ L) were measured into a 4 mL vial, 0.1 M solution of **X054** in dry benzene (5.0 μ L, 500 ppm) was added in one portion, then the vial was connected to a vacuum pump and the reaction was stirred at room temperature under 50 mbar dynamic vacuum. (Analysis: 5.0 μ L of the reaction mixture was mixed with 200 μ L non-anhydrous diethyl ether to quench the metathesis reaction, then the quenched sample was passed

through a silica plug (approx. 2 cm thick layer in a Pasteur-pipette) using 4 mL *n*-Heptane–Ethyl acetate; 1:1 solvent and the filtrate was analyzed by GC-MS. Sample taken after 19 hours showed 97% conversion for both starting olefins (**11a**, **13**) and cross metathesis products **15**, **17** and **18a** were formed in the statistical (1:2:1) ratio. Since E- and Z-isomers of the acetate compound **17** separate less readily in GC-MS than isomers of deprotected alcohol **22**, the acetate moiety was selectively cleaved via trans-esterification of 20 µL reaction mixture samples with dry methanol/NaOMe (1.0 mL methanol, approx. 5 mg NaOMe) following a protocol analogous to that described in Example 9. The resulting material was analyzed by GC-MS to determine E/Z ratio of compound **17**. It was found that the more branched the chain is (2, 1 or no methyl groups in homoallylic position(s) of the double bond, the higher the Z-selectivity is. E/Z ratios for cross metathesis products: **15** (2/98); **17** (5/95); **18a** (7/93). A sample taken only after 4 hours showed 89% conversion for **13** and 95% conversion **11a**, indicating that the more branched substrate undergoes metathesis less readily. E/Z ratios were the same as those reported for the sample taken after 19 hours.

Example 9:

The experiment described in Example 8 was repeated using catalyst **X039**. In this case 96% conversion of both starting olefins was achieved within 4 hours. Cross metathesis products **15**, **17** and **18a** were again formed in the statistical (1:2:1) ratio. E/Z ratios: **15** (2/98); **17** (7/93); **18a** (12/88).


Example 10:

Cleavage of a tBu-ether protecting group

Crude product obtained in Example 3 was charged in a 500 mL two-necked round-bottom flask and dissolved in dry dichloromethane (200 mL, freshly distilled from CaH₂). The flask was flushed with nitrogen and cooled to 0 °C by applying an ice/water bath. Titanium tetrachloride was added in small portions over 15 minutes and the mixture was stirred for additional 15 minutes. Still at 0 °C, under constant cooling, saturated aqueous solution of NH₄Cl solution (20 mL) was added dropwise. The mixture was allowed to warm to room

temperature and brine was added to ease phase separation (1x100 mL). Phases were separated and the organic phase was washed with brine (2x50 mL) and dried over MgSO₄. Volatiles were removed in vacuo. Column chromatographic purification of the resulting oil using silica and *n*-heptane–diethyl ether; 2:1 as eluent afforded the desired product (**21a**) as a colorless oil (31.0 g, 109 mmol, 79% overall yield for the cross metathesis and *tert*-butyl cleavage steps). The E/Z isomer ratio was invariably 9/91.

Synthesis of Ambrettolide intermediate ω -hydroxy esters (21a-c**, **22**) via selective alcohol deprotection:**



10

Scheme 4. Synthesis of ω -hydroxy esters (**21a-c**, **22**).

Example 11:

Cleavage of an ester protecting group

The crude product obtained in Example 4 was dissolved in 3 mL dry methanol, 20 mg sodium methylate was added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 hours. The mixture was passed through a silica pad (7 mL silica) and the pad was washed with ethyl acetate (75 mL). The filtrate was evaporated to afford 1013 mg crude

transesterification product. The desired product was isolated by flash column chromatography using *n*-heptane–diethyl ether; 2:1. The desired product (**21a**, R₁= Me) was obtained as a yellowish oil (355 mg, 1.25 mmol, 68% overall yield for the cross metathesis and acetate cleavages). The E/Z isomer ratio was invariably 11/89.

- 5 *GC-MS analytical method for product identification (Method A)*: GC analyses were run using a flame ionization detector (FID). Column: ZB-35HT Inferno (35% Phenyl 65% Dimethylpolysiloxane) from Phenomenex; 30 m x 0.25 mm (i.d.) x 0.25 mm film thickness. GC and column conditions: injector temperature 370 °C; detector temperature 240 °C; oven temperature, starting temperature 50 °C, hold time 5 min, ramp rate 25 °C/min to 340 °C, hold time 12 min; carrier gas
10 nitrogen.

- GC-MS analytical method for product identification (Method B)*: GC analyses were run using a flame ionization detector (FID). Column: ZB-35HT Inferno (35% Phenyl 65% Dimethylpolysiloxane) from Phenomenex; 30 m x 0.25 mm (i.d.) x 0.25 mm film thickness. GC and column conditions: injector temperature 370 °C; detector temperature 240 °C; oven temperature, starting temperature
15 55 °C, hold time 2 min, ramp rate 25 °C/min to 200 °C, hold time 0 min; ramp rate 4 °C/min to 260 °C, hold time 0 min, ramp rate 40 °C/min to 340 °C, hold time 3.2 min carrier gas nitrogen.

Entry	Substrates	Catalyst	Loading (ppm (mol))	Conversion	E/Z ratio	Procedure
1	11a and 3m	X007	2000 ppm	80%	82/18	A
2	11a and 3m	X007	1000 ppm	15%	80/20	A
3	11a and 3m	X008	2000 ppm	25%	81/19	A
4	11a and 3m	X001	2500 ppm	85%	85/15	A
5	11a and 3m	X030	2000 ppm	50%	83/17	A
6	11a and 3m	X041	2000 ppm	50%	83/17	A
7	11a and 3m	X042	2000 ppm	90%	84/16	A
8	11a and 3m	X046	2000 ppm	90%	81/19	A
9	11a and 3m	X040	2000 ppm	95%	85/15	A
10	11a and 3m	X042	1000 ppm	80%	83/17	A
11	11a and 3m	X052	1000 ppm	85%	84/16	A
12	11a and 3m	X051	1000 ppm	35%	85/15	A
13	11a and 3m	X004	1000 ppm	60%	86/14	A
14	11a and 3m	X042	500 ppm	15%	85/15	A
15	11a and 3m	X123	200 ppm	10%	85/15	B
16	11a and 3m	X054	1000 ppm	90%	11/89	Example 4
17	11a and 3m	X038	5000 ppm	68%	4/96	Example 5
18	11a and 3h	X042	1000 ppm	95%	84/16	A
19	11a and 3h	X052	1000 ppm	95%	85/15	A
20	11a and 3h	X052	250 ppm	95%	84/16	A
21	11a and 3h	X042	250 ppm	95%	85/15	A
22	11a and 3h	X052	100 ppm	95%	84/16	A
23	11a and 3h	X042	100 ppm	95%	85/15	A
24	11a and 3h	X052	50 ppm	85%	85/15	A
25	11a and 3h	X042	50 ppm	40%	84/16	A

26	11a and 3h	X051	50 ppm	75%	85/15	A
27	11a and 3h	X061	50 ppm	85%	84/16	A
28	11a and 3h	X062	50 ppm	65%	84/16	A
29	11a and 3h	X063	50 ppm	40%	70/30	A
30	11a and 3g	X052	100 ppm	95%	84/16	A
31	11a and 3g	X042	100 ppm	70%	85/15	A
32	11a and 3i	X052	100 ppm	90%	83/17	A
33	11b and 3i	X052	100 ppm	95%	85/15	A
34	11c and 3i	X052	100 ppm	95%	84/16	A
35	11a and 3i	X039	100 ppm	90%	9/91	Example 3
36	11a and 3i	X052	50 ppm	90%	84/16	A
37	11a and 3i	X061	50 ppm	20%	83/17	A
38	11a and 3i	X059	50 ppm	20%	84/16	A
39	11a and 3i	X004	50 ppm	20%	85/15	A
40	11a and 3i	X076	50 ppm	90%	60/40	A
41	11a and 3i	X114	50 ppm	15%	80/20	A
42	11a and 3i	X123	50 ppm	85%	85/15	A
43	11a and 3i	X123	25 ppm	90%	85/15	B
44	11a and 3i	X149	25 ppm	10%	84/16	B
45	11a and 3i	X154	25 ppm	85%	85/16	B
46	11a and 3i	X123	17 ppm	75%	84/16	B
47	11a and 3i	X123	12 ppm	55%	84/16	B
48	11a and 3l	X190	400	95%	n/a	Example 6
49	11a and 3l	X190	200	32%	n/a	A
50	11a and 3l	X190	200	35%	n/a	Example 7
51	11a and 3l	X190	100	10%	n/a	A

Table 1. Cross metathesis of decenoic acid esters and protected oct-7-enol derivatives.

Entry	Substrates	Catalyst	Loading (ppm (mol))	Conversion	E/Z ratio	Procedure
48	11a and 3i	X190	25 ppm	85%	85/15	B
49	11a and 3f	X052	50 ppm	60%	84/16	B
50	11a and 3f	X123	50 ppm	90%	85/15	B
51	11a and 3f	X123	25 ppm	80%	84/16	B
52	11a and 3o	X123	50 ppm	50%	84/16	B
53	11a and 3n	X123	50 ppm	45%	85/15	B
54	11a and 3c	X052	250 ppm	50%	84/16	A
55	11a and 3c	X123	250 ppm	80%	85/15	A
56	11a and 3d	X052	500 ppm	90%	84/16	A
57	11a and 3d	X123	500 ppm	90%	85/15	A

5 **Table 2.** Cross metathesis of decenoic acid esters and protected oct-7-enol derivatives.

Entry	Substrates	Catalyst	Loading (ppm (mol))	Conversion	E/Z ratio	Procedure
1	11a and 13	X054	500 ppm	97% (19 h)	5/95	Example 6
2	11a and 13	X039	500 ppm	96% (4 h)	7/93	Example 7


Table 3. Cross metathesis of methyl dec-9-enoate (**11a**) and 3-methylhex-5-enyl acetate (**13**).

Entry	CM products	GC-MS	Retention	MS (mw)
1	16aa	Method A	15.82 min	368 [M] ⁺
2	16ab	Method A	16.44 min	368 [M] ⁺
3	16ac	Method A	16.74 min	382 [M] ⁺
4	16ad	Method A	17.10 min	396 [M] ⁺
5	16ae	Method A	18.25 min	438 [M] ⁺
6	16af	Method A	15.02 min	356 [M] ⁺
7	16ag	Method A	15.72 min	399 [M] ⁺
8	16ah	Method A	14.60 min	298 [M] ⁺
9	16ai	Method A	15.31 min	284 [M-CCH ₃] ⁺
10	16aj	Method A	15.52 min	355 [M] ⁺
11	16ak	Method A	15.98 min	346, 348 [M] ⁺
12 ^a	16al	Method A	14.98 min	284 [M] ⁺
13	16an	Method A	15.43 min	326 [M] ⁺
14	16ao	Method A	15.83 min	340 [M] ⁺
15	16ap	Method A	15.94 min	354 [M] ⁺
16	16ar	Method A	16.03 min	325 [M] ⁺
17	16bi	Method A	15.27 min	355 [M] ⁺
18	16bj	Method A	15.72 min	298 [M-CH(CH ₃)OC ₂ H ₅] ⁺
19	16bn	Method A	15.68 min	340 [M] ⁺
20	16ci	Method A	15.30 min	312 [M-CCH ₃] ⁺
21	16cj	Method A	15.75 min	385 [M] ⁺
22	17	Method B	15.74 min	312 [M] ⁺ , 252 [M-AcOH] ⁺


Table 4. Characterization of cross metathesis products. ^a Before the product identification the protective group was cleaved by sodium methoxide in methanol.

Recycling of homodimeric side product(s) via cross metathesis:


Scenario 1:





Scenario 2:



Scenario 3:



Scenario 4:



Scheme 5. Strategies to recycle homodimeric side products (**14i**, **18a** or both) formed in the cross metathesis of methyl dec-9-enoate (**11a**) and 8-(tert-butoxy)oct-1-ene (**3i**).

Example 12

- 5 (**Scenario 1**): The homodimer of *tert*-butyl octenyl ether (**14i**) (0.085 g, 0.25 mmol, 100 μL , E/Z = 85/15) and the homodimer of methyl dec-9-enoate (**18a**) (0.086 g, 0.25 mmol, 92 μL , E/Z = 85/15) were charged in a 4 mL screw cap vial and the mixture was homogenized. Metathesis catalyst **X190**, ($1.0 \cdot 10^{-4}$ mmol, 10 μL , 0.01 M in benzene) was added in one portion. The vial was closed with a septum cap and the reaction mixture was stirred at
- 10 room temperature overnight. The reaction mixture was subjected to air and mixed with 1 mL non-anhydrous ethyl acetate to quench the reaction. The sample was then passed through a silica pad using pure ethyl acetate as eluent (5 mL) and the filtrate was analyzed by GC-MS. The reaction afforded **14i**, **16ai** and **18a** with 95% recycling efficiency. In case of compound **16ai** the ratio of E- and Z-isomers was found to correspond to the
- 15 thermodynamical equilibrium value (E/Z $\approx$ 85/15).

Example 13

(Scenario 2): 8-(*tert*-Butoxy)oct-1-ene (**3i**) (0.092 g, 0.50 mmol, 114 μ L) and methyl dec-9-enoate (**11a**) (0.092 g, 0.50 mmol, 104 μ L) along with the homodimer of *tert*-butyl octenyl ether (**14i**) (0.086 g, 0.25 mmol, 100 μ L, E/Z = 85/15) and the homodimer of methyl dec-9-enoate (**18a**) (0.086 g, 0.25 mmol, 92 μ L, E/Z = 85/15) were charged in a 4 mL screw cap vial and the mixture was homogenized. Metathesis catalyst **X052** (2.0×10^{-4} mmol, 20 μ L, 0.01 M in benzene) was added in one portion. The vial was closed with a pierced cap and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature. Samples (10 μ L) taken from the reaction mixture after 2h and 18 h reaction times were subjected to air and mixed with 0.2 mL non-anhydrous diethyl ether to quench the reaction. The samples were then passed through a silica pad using pure EtOAc as eluent (5 mL) and the filtrate was analyzed by GC-MS. For the sample taken at 2 hours reaction time GC-MS analysis found 90% recycling efficiency and in case of compound **16ai** the ratio of E- and Z-isomers was found to correspond to the thermodynamical equilibrium value (E/Z $\approx$ 85/15). The sample taken after 18 hours showed identical values regarding both recycling efficiency and E/Z ratio.

15 Example 14

(Scenario 3): Methyl dec-9-enoate (**11a**) (0.184 g, 1.0 mmol, 208 μ L) and the homodimer of *tert*-butyl octenyl ether (**14i**) (0.170 g, 0.5 mmol, 200 μ L, E/Z = 85/15) were charged in a 4 mL screw cap vial along with trioctylaluminum (4.0×10^{-4} mmol, 16.8 μ L, 0.024 M in benzene) and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3.5 hours, then metathesis catalyst **X190** (4.0×10^{-4} mmol, 40 μ L, 0.01 M in benzene) was added in one portion. The vial was closed tightly and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1.5 hours. The vial was connected to a 50 mbar dynamic vacuum source and its content was stirred for further 2.5 hours. The reaction mixture was subjected to air and mixed with 1 mL non-anhydrous ethyl acetate to quench the reaction. The sample was then passed through a silica pad using pure ethyl acetate as eluent (5 mL) and the filtrate was analyzed by GC-MS. GC-MS analysis found 95% recycling efficiency and in case of compound **16ai** the ratio of E- and Z-isomers was found to correspond to the thermodynamical equilibrium value (E/Z $\approx$ 85/15).

Example 15

(Scenario 4): 8-(*tert*-Butoxy)oct-1-ene (**3i**) (0.186 g, 1.0 mmol, 235 μ L) and the homodimeric olefin (**18a**) (0.170 g, 0.5 mmol, 183 μ L, E/Z = 85/15) were charged in a 4 mL screw cap vial along with trioctylaluminum (2.0×10^{-4} mmol, 8.4 μ L, 0.024 M in benzene) and the mixture was stirred at ca. 30°C for 3.5 hours, then metathesis catalyst **X190** (2.0×10^{-4} mmol, 20 μ L, 0.01 M in benzene) was added in one portion. The vial was closed tightly and the reaction mixture was stirred at ca. 30 °C for 1.0 hours. The vial was connected to a 50 mbar dynamic vacuum source and its content was stirred for further 1.5 hours. The reaction mixture was subjected to air and mixed with 1 mL non-anhydrous ethyl acetate to quench the reaction. The sample was then passed through a silica pad using pure ethyl acetate as eluent (5 mL) and the filtrate was analyzed by GC-MS. GC-MS analysis found 95% recycling efficiency and in case of compound **16ai** the ratio of E- and Z-isomers was found to correspond to the thermodynamical equilibrium value (E/Z $\approx$ 85/15).

Entry	Substrates, molar ratios	Catalyst	Loading (ppm (mol)) in monomer equivalents ^a	Recycling efficiency% ^b	E/Z ratio (16ai)	Procedure
1	14i, 18a 1 : 1	X190	100 ppm	95%	85/15	Example 10
2		X052	100 ppm	40%	85/15	Conditions of Example 10
3	3i, 11a, 14i, 18a 2 : 2 : 1 : 1	X052	100 ppm	90%	85/15	Example 11
4		X190	100 ppm	70%	85/15	Conditions of Example 11
5	11a, 14i 2 : 1	X190	200 ppm	95%	85/15	Example 12
6	3i, 18a 2 : 1	X190	100 ppm	95%	85/15	Example 13

Table 5. Selected examples of recycling experiments based on various strategies outlined in Scheme


15

5.

^aMonomeric olefins (**3i**, **11a**) equal to 1, while homodimeric olefins (**14i**, **18a**) equal to 2 equivalents of monomeric units. Loadings are given with respect to the sum of all olefinic starting materials. ^bRecycling efficiency is calculated in the following way: rec. efficiency% = [n(octenyl units in **16ai**)/ Σ n(octenyl units in any form) + n(octenyl units in

16ai)/ $\Sigma n(\text{octenyl units in any form}) \times 100$. Its value is 0% for all starting mixtures and equals to 100% for a statistical mixture of **14i**, **16ai**, **18a**.

Experimental details on the recycling of homodimeric side product(s) via ethenolysis:



Scheme 6. Cross metathesis of tert-butyl octenyl ether (**3i**) and methyl decenoate (**11a**) and recycling of by-products (**14i** and **18a**).

Entry	Substrate	Catalyst	Loading (ppm (mol))	Conversion
1	14i	X041	400 ppm	65%
2	14i	X042	400 ppm	60%
3	14i	X052	400 ppm	75%
4	14i	X076	400 ppm	60%
5	14i	X041	200 ppm	57%
6	14i	X042	200 ppm	41%
7	14i	X052	200 ppm	52%
8	14i	X076	200 ppm	33%
9 ^b	14i	X041	200 ppm	91%
10 ^b	14i	X052	200 ppm	62%

Table 6. Ethenolysis of tert-butyl ether dimer (**14i**).^a All reactions were carried out at 0.73 mmol scale, reaction mixtures were stirred at room temperature for 16 h under 11.5 bar ethylene pressure.^b *n*-Heptane was used as solvent to increase the solubility of ethylene.

Example 16

General procedure of ethenolysis (for results in **Table 6.**): In an open screw cap vial the 0.1 M solution of metathesis catalyst (in dry benzene) (200-400 ppm) was added to **14i** or **18a** (0.73 mmol) and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt under 11.5 bar ethylene for 20 h, then it was quenched with 0.2 mL diethyl ether (Analysis: ca. 100 μ L of the reaction mixture was filtered through a silica pad (ca. 4-5 mL) the pad was washed with a mixture of *n*-heptane and EtOAc (7:3, 15 mL) and the filtrate was analyzed by GC-MS.).

Entry	Substrate	Catalyst	Loading (ppm (mol)) ^a	Conditions	Conversion% ^b
1	14i	X061	1000 ppm	Example 16	80% (isolated)

2 ^c		X008	400 ppm	4x volume of pentane, r. t., 11.5 bar, 12 h	80%
3 ^c			200ppm		50%
4	18a	X008	1000 ppm	Example 17	86% (isolated)
5 ^c			400 ppm	4x volume of pentane, r. t., 11.5 bar, 12 h	50%
6 ^c			400 ppm	4x volume of pentane, 2.5 mol% Et ₃ Al, r.t. 1.5 h	55%
7 ^c			200 ppm	then catalyst X008, r. t., 11.5 bar, 12 h	30%

Table 7. Results of ethenolysis experiments. ^aCatalyst loadings given with respect to starting homodimers (**14i** and **18a**). ^bGC conversions unless indicated otherwise. ^cReactions were carried out on 0.5 mmol scale. Work-up analogous to that described for experiments listed in Table 6.

5 Example 17:

Diether (**14i**) (2.47 g; 7.25 mmol) was dissolved in 12.0 mL pentane in a 30 mL oven-dried glass vial equipped with a stir bar, stock solution of catalyst **X061** (0.1 M in benzene; 72.6 μ L; 0.1 mol%) was added to the reaction mixture and the vial was placed into an autoclave (250 mL inner volume). The autoclave was closed and pressurized to 11.5 bar for 30 minutes. Ethylene source was disconnected and the autoclave was chambered out from the glovebox. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 12 hours. Ethylene was carefully released, the autoclave lid was removed and 1 mL heptane:EtOAc (non-anhydrous solvents) 1:1 solvent mixture was added subsequently to quench the reaction. The quenched reaction mixture was passed through a silica plug (ca. 10 cm silica layer in a 20 mL syringe barrel) using 150 mL heptane:EtOAc 1:1 solvent mixture as eluent. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo and the oily residue was distilled bulb-to-bulb (3.0-3.3 $\times 10^{-2}$ mbar; 52-55°C) to afford recovered *tert*-butyl octenyl ether (**3i**) as a colorless oil (2.13 g; 11.56 mmol; yield: 80%).

Example 18:

Procedure and workup were identical to those describe in Example A but catalyst **X008** was used. Diester (**18a**) (2.27 g; 6.67 mmol) dissolved in 9.6 mL *n*-pentane was ethenolyzed in the presence of catalyst **X008** (0.1 M in benzene; 66.4 μ L; 0.1 mol%). Bulb-
5 to-bulb distillation ($8.5\text{-}9.0\times 10^{-2}$ mbar; 60-61°C) afforded the title compound as a colorless oil (2.10 g; 11.40 mmol; yield: 86%).


^1H -NMR analysis of the crude products before bulb-to-bulb distillation –both for Example 16 and Example 17– showed that crude products consisted of ca. 95% monomer (**3i**; **11a**)
10 and residues of unreacted homodimer (**14i**; **18a**). No signs of undesired side reactions during the ethenolysis or workup were observed. NMR spectra of bulb-to-bulb distilled materials correspond to those of pure **3i** and **11a**.

Claims

1. A method of forming a macrocyclic musk compound comprising the steps of:-


- 5 i) cross-metathesizing a first terminal olefin and a second terminal olefin in the presence of a homogeneous transition metal catalyst comprising an alkylidene ligand, to form a statistical mixture of a hetero-dimer intermediate of said first and second terminal olefin, and homo-dimers
- ii) separating the hetero-dimer from the statistical mixture of hetero- and homo-dimers
- 10 iii) and cyclizing the hetero-dimer intermediate to form the macrocyclic musk compound,

wherein the second olefin has the formula (II)



wherein R_7 is branched or non-branched alkyl moiety containing 1 to 5 carbon atoms, and m is an integer from 1 to 10.


15 2. A method according to claim 1 wherein the first olefin has the formula (I)




wherein OR_2 is a protected hydroxyl group, which may be selected from an alkyl ether group; an ester group; a silyl ether group; or a carbonate group; R_3 is H or methyl; and n is an integer from 1-8.

20 3. A method according to any of the proceeding claims wherein R_7 is methyl or ethyl.


4. A method according to any of the preceding claims wherein m is 7.
5. A method according to any of the preceding claims wherein the hetero-dimer has the formula



- 5 6. A method according to any of the preceding claims wherein the hetero-dimer has the formula




7. A method according to any of the preceding claims wherein the hetero-dimer has the formula



10

8. A method according to any of the preceding claims wherein the first olefin and second olefin are reacted in a 1:x molar ratio to produce a ratio of hetero-dimer : first homo-dimer : second homo-dimer of $2x : 1 : 1x^2$, wherein x is 1 or greater, and is an integer or a number having a fractional part.
- 15 9. A method according to any of the preceding claims wherein the hetero-dimer is formed in admixture with a protected alcohol homo-dimer and a carboxylic acid ester homo-dimer.
10. A method according to claim 9 wherein the mixture of hetero-dimer and each homo-dimer is formed in a molar ratio of 2: 1: 1.

11. A method according to any of the preceding claims wherein the hetero-dimer is separated from the homo-dimers by distillation at a temperature of 100 to 220 degrees centigrade and a pressure of 1 to 10 mbar.
12. A method according to any of the preceding claims wherein the homo-dimers are recycled by metathesis with ethylene to regenerate the first and second olefins.
13. A method according to claim 12 wherein the homo-dimers are treated with 1 bar to 20 bar of ethylene gas.
14. A method according to any of the preceding claims wherein the hetero-dimer is cyclised by trans-esterification.
15. A method according to claim 14 wherein if the hetero-dimer contains a protected alcohol group, it is first de-protected by hydrolysis before being subjected to cyclisation by tran-esterification.
16. A method of forming E/Z 9-ambrettolide according to any of the preceding claims.
17. A method of forming E/Z 9-ambrettolide according to claim 16 wherein the E/Z ratio is from 80:20 to 90:10.
18. A method according to claim 16 or claim 17 wherein the E/Z ratio is 85:15.
19. A method according to any of the preceding claims wherein the catalyst is a molybdenum or tungsten catalyst containing an alkylidene ligand.
20. A method according to any of the preceding claims wherein the catalyst is selected from a compound according to the formula



wherein

M = Mo or W; R¹ is aryl, heteroaryl, alkyl, or heteroalkyl; optionally substituted; R² and R³ can be the same or different and are hydrogen, alkyl, alkenyl, heteroalkyl, heteroalkenyl, aryl, or heteroaryl; which are optionally substituted;

R⁵ is alkyl, alkoxy, heteroalkyl, aryl, aryloxy, heteroaryl, silylalkyl, silyloxy, optionally substituted; and R⁴ is a residue R⁶-X-, wherein

X = O and R⁶ is aryl, which are optionally substituted; or

X = S and R⁶ is aryl, which are optionally substituted; or

X = O and R⁶ is (R⁷, R⁸, R⁹)Si; wherein R⁷, R⁸, R⁹ are alkyl or phenyl, which are optionally substituted; or

X = O and R⁶ is (R¹⁰, R¹¹, R¹²)C, wherein R¹⁰, R¹¹, R¹² are independently selected from phenyl, alkyl; which are optionally substituted;


or R⁴ and R⁵ are linked together and are bound to M via oxygen

21. A hetero-dimer having the formula



wherein R₇ is a branched or non-branched alkyl moiety containing 1 to 5 carbon atoms.

22. A hetero-dimer having the formula



wherein OR_2 is a protected hydroxyl group and R_7 is a branched or non-branched alkyl moiety containing 1 to 5 carbon atoms.

- 5 23. The hetero-dimer according to claim 22, wherein OR_2 is selected from an alkylether group; an ester group; a silyl ether group; or a carbonate group.

24. The hetero-dimer according to claim 23 having the formula

