

THREE-DIMENSIONAL BIOREACTOR FOR VIRAL VECTOR PRODUCTION

Cross-Reference to Related Applications

This application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Application Serial No. 63/008,441
5 filed April 10, 2020, which is fully incorporated herein by reference.

Field of The Invention

The present disclosure relates to the design, fabrication, and applications of a three-dimensional (3D) bioreactor for expansion of viral vector producing cells and ultimate harvesting
10 of viral vectors. The bioreactor is composed of non-random voids interconnected through non-random pores providing a continuous three-dimensional surface area for cell adherence and growth. Such 3D bioreactor is also scalable with a defined geometry, surface coating, and fluidic dynamics to maintain a monolayer cell culture and reduce or prevent cell aggregation, phenotype change, or extracellular production, and is particularly suitable for culturing of HEK 293T cells
15 providing lentiviral vectors under appropriate surface coating of the bioreactor. The invention can also extend to produce other types of viruses and vaccines based on live-attenuated viruses, or inactivated viruses, or viral vectors.

Background

Gene modification to cells is realized via the delivery of a modified gene into living cells
20 using a viral vector. Viruses are quite skilled and can invade the human body, adding their genetic material into our cells. Now researchers have learned to harness this ability to an advantage. Viruses are often used as a vehicle to deliver “good” genes into our cells, as opposed to the ones that cause disease. Viruses are modified into vectors as researchers remove disease-causing material and add the correct genetic material. In CAR T cell gene therapy, researchers often use
25 lentiviral vector (modified from lentivirus) as the gene delivery tool. The best-known lentivirus is the Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV), which causes AIDS. The lentiviral vector is used because it is safe (after disabling the HIV genes), low cellular immune response, and can undergo

transduction (gene modification) of both dividing and non-dividing cells. The FDA has approved the use of lentiviral vector in cancer therapy.

At present, hundreds of early phase clinical trials in gene therapy require extensive amounts of lentiviral vectors. Unfortunately, lentiviral vectors are very expensive as a result of labor and intensive manufacturing process. Lentiviral vectors currently make up about 20%-40% of the CAR T cell manufacturing cost, which contribute to the relatively high CAR T cell therapy cost (\$0.5M per treatment). Lentiviral vectors are typically produced using adherent human HEK 293T cells to package multiple plasmids into viral vectors. Plasmids are small DNA molecules within a cell that can replicate independently of the chromosomes. A typical laboratory production process of lentiviral vectors is comprised of an upstream process and downstream process. In the upstream process, HEK 293T cells are cultured in a culture dish. Four plasmids, comprised of two packaging plasmids, one envelope-encoding plasmid, and one transfer plasmid, are delivered into the cells. The plasmids are packaged in the living HEK 293T cells into lentiviral vectors that carry the transfer vector responsible for gene modification of target cells. The packaged lentiviral vectors, secreted from the HEK 293T cells into the cell culture media, are harvested. In downstream process, the harvested lentiviral vectors are purified and concentrated into final product that can be used for gene modification of target cells.

Accordingly, a need remains for methods and devices to improve the production of viral vector production cells expressing viral vector components. More specifically, methods and devices are needed to improve cellular expansion, and in particular the expansion of cells, such as HEK 293T, Vero, and MDEK often used to produce virus and viral vectors, by offering improved bioreactor designs, cost-effective fabrication techniques, and improved bioreactor operating capability in order to achieve clinical application dose requirements of a selected viral vector.

Summary

A 3D bioreactor for growth of viral vector producing cells, the bioreactor comprising a plurality of non-random interconnected voids, packed in 3D space in repeatable patterns, with a plurality of non-random pore openings between said voids. The bioreactor aims to achieve a maximum possible surface-to-volume ratio while the geometry is designed to maintain monolayer cell cultures, reduce or prevent high cell shear stress, cell aggregation, phenotype change, or

extracellular matrix production, and is particularly suitable for the expansion of viral vector producing cells.

In one embodiment, the present invention is directed at a method for expansion of viral vector producing cells comprising:

5 supplying a three-dimensional bioreactor comprising a plurality of voids having a surface area for cell expansion, said plurality of voids having a diameter **D**, a plurality of pore openings between said voids having a diameter **d**, such that **D**>**d** and wherein: (a) 90% or more of said voids have a selected void volume (**V**) that does not vary by more than +/- 10.0%; and (b) 90% or more of said pore openings between said voids have a value of **d** that does not vary by more than +/-
10 10.0%;

seeding said three-dimensional bioreactor with viral vector producing cells;

flowing a perfusion medium through said three-dimensional bioreactor and promoting viral vector cell expansion.

In another embodiment, the present invention is directed at a 3D bioreactor for growth of
15 viral vector producing cells comprising a plurality of voids having a surface area for cell expansion. The plurality of voids have a diameter **D** of greater than 0.4 mm to 100.0 mm, a plurality of pore openings between the voids having a diameter **d** in the range of 0.2 mm to 10.0 mm, wherein **D**>**d**, further characterized in that: (a) 90% or more of said voids have a selected void volume (**V**) that does not vary by more than +/- 10.0%; and (b) 90% or more of the pore openings between the
20 voids have a value of **d** that does not vary by more than +/- 10.0%, and the 3D bioreactor is formed from a material having a Tensile Modulus of at least 0.01 GPa.

In a still further embodiment, the present invention is directed at a 3D bioreactor for growth of viral vector producing cells comprising:

a first and second plurality of voids having a surface area for cell expansion;

25 said first plurality of voids having a diameter **D₁**, a plurality of pore openings between the first plurality of voids having a diameter **d₁**, wherein **D₁** > **d₁**, where 90% or more of the plurality of voids have a void volume (**V₁**) with a tolerance that does not vary by more than +/- 10.0%;

said second plurality of voids having a diameter D_2 , a plurality of pore openings between the second plurality of voids having a diameter d_2 wherein $D_2 > d_2$, wherein 90% of the second plurality of voids have a void volume (V_2) with a tolerance that does not vary by more than +/- 10.0%; and

5 the values of V_1 and V_2 are different and outside of said tolerance variations such that $[V_1 \pm 10.0\%] \neq [V_2 \pm 10.0\%]$.

The present invention also relates to a fabrication or manufacturing method of forming a 3D bioreactor comprising a plurality of voids having a surface area for viral vector cell expansion. One may therefore initially design/identify for the plurality of voids a targeted internal void
10 volume (V_t) and also identify for the 3D bioreactor a targeted surface area (SA_t). This may then be followed by forming the 3D bioreactor with: (1) an actual void volume (V_a) for the one or more voids wherein V_a is within +/- 10.0% of V_t ; and/or (2) an actual surface area (SA_a) of the 3D bioreactor wherein SA_a is within +/- 10.0% of SA_t .

15 Brief Description Of The Drawings

FIG. 1 illustrates a section view of the 3D bioreactor fixed-bed.

FIG. 1a illustrates a unit negative model of the bioreactor that shows the overlapping of the neighborhood spheres.

FIG. 1b illustrates a unit negative model with each sphere surrounded by 12 identical
20 neighborhood spheres.

FIG. 1c illustrates a 3D bioreactor fixed-bed geometry showing an interconnected void system.

FIG. 1d illustrates a 3D bioreactor fixed-bed geometry in cross-sectional view.

FIG. 1e illustrates in 2D view the identified spherical voids of a 3D bioreactor, and their
25 overlapping areas to form interconnected pores between the spherical voids.

FIG. 2 illustrates a 3D bioreactor fixed-bed positioned in a housing with inlet and outlet for fluid perfusion.

FIG. 3 illustrates a typical 3D bioreactor perfusion system.

FIGS. 4a, 4b, 4c and **4d** show flow rate profiles through a 3D bioreactor.

5 **FIG. 4e** indicates the scale of flow rate through a 3D bioreactor.

FIGS. 5a and **5b** illustrate the distribution of surface shear stress in a 3D bioreactor.

FIG. 5c indicates a scale of shear stress in unit Pa.

FIG. 6a illustrates the pressure drop (gradient) along the flow direction in a cylindrical 3D bioreactor.

10 **FIG. 6b** indicates a scale of pressure.

FIG. 7a illustrates a 3D bioreactor fixed-bed generated by FDM 3D printing.

FIG. 7b illustrates the 3D bioreactor fixed-bed together with a bioreactor chamber.

FIG. 7c illustrates the inlet and outlet of a 3D bioreactor.

FIG. 7d illustrates an assembled 3D bioreactor.

15 **FIG. 7e** illustrates a 3D bioreactor fixed-bed generated by SLA 3D printing.

FIG. 7f illustrates a 3D bioreactor fixed bed generated by DLP 3D printing.

FIG. 8 illustrates two fluid distributors placed at the inlet and outlet of the 3D bioreactor to approach a laminar flow.

20 **FIG. 9** illustrates a flow rate profile through the 3D bioreactor when using the fluid distributor.

FIGS. 10a, 10b and **10c** show cell attachment on a substrate made of non-medical grade ABS resin with polydopamine and fibronectin coating.

FIGS. 10d, 10e and 10f show cell attachment on a substrate made of medical grade ABS resin with polydopamine and fibronectin coating.

FIGS. 11a, 11b and 11c illustrate cell attachment onto the 3D bioreactor surface.

FIGS. 12a, 12c, 12e and 12g illustrate cell attachment on the inlet, fixed-bed, wall and
5 fluid distributor of a 3D bioreactor after cell seeding.

FIGS. 12b, 12d, 12f and 12h illustrate cell distribution after a 7-day culture period.

FIG. 13A illustrates the change in cell numbers in the 3D bioreactor over a four-day period.

FIG. 13B illustrates the expansion of human adipose stem cells using the 3D bioreactor herein.

10 **FIG. 13C** illustrates the green fluorescence images showing live cells human adipose stem cells growing on the internal surfaces of the 3D bioreactor.

FIG. 14 illustrates a comparison of the use of the 3D bioreactor herein, of three different sizes, versus the use of over 120 T-flasks, to provide the indicated level of cell expansion.

FIG. 15a illustrates a T 25 culture flask.

15 **FIG. 15b** illustrates a 3D bioreactor scaffold.

FIG. 15c illustrates the 3D bioreactor placed in a cell culture medium after seeding.

FIG. 16 illustrates the green fluorescent images of the transfected HEK-293T cells on the T-25 flasks and the 3D bioreactor scaffold at 24, 48, and 72 hours after the transfection.

20 **FIG. 17** illustrates the FITC fluorescent images (20x objective lens) and compares transduction of HEK 293T cells using GFP viral vector produced from cells seeded on the 3D bioreactor scaffold (left column) and commercially available pLenti-C-mGFP viral vector.

FIG. 18 illustrates a perfusion based 3D bioreactor for viral vector production.

Detailed Description of Preferred Embodiments

The present disclosure relates to a bioreactor design and with corresponding operating capability to achieve cell expansion of viral vector producing cells. Reference to a bioreactor herein refers to the disclosed 3D reactor in which biological and/or biochemical processes can be implemented under selected environmental and operating conditions. This includes control of one
5 or more of the following: geometry/size of the voids, interconnected pore size between the voids and total number of voids included (determining the overall dimension of the bioreactor). In addition one may selective control surface coatings, flow characteristics through the voids within the bioreactor, pH, temperature, pressure, oxygen, nutrient supply, and/or waste removal.

The 3D bioreactor herein is one that preferably provides cellular expansion from a
10 relatively low number of donor cells, such as viral vector producing HEK 293T cells, that also can reduce or eliminate culture passages and related MSC phenotype alterations. The 3D bioreactor's preferred fixed-bed **10** is generally illustrated in cut-away view in **FIG. 1**, which shows an example of a preferred packed and spherical void structure and their interconnected pores between the spherical voids.

More specifically, the bioreactor includes a continuous interconnected 3D surface area **12**
15 that provides for the ability for the viral vector producing cells to adhere and grow as a monolayer and also defines within the bioreactor a plurality of interconnected non-random voids **14** which as illustrated are preferably of spherical shape with internal concave surfaces to maximize the surface to volume ratio. A void is understood as an open space of some defined volume. By reference to
20 non-random it should be understood that one can now identify a targeted or selected number of voids in the 3D bioreactor that results in an actual repeating void size and/or geometry of a desired tolerance.

By reference to a continuous surface, it is understood that the expanding viral vector
25 producing cells can readily migrate from one surface area location into another within the 3D bioreactor, and the surface does not include any random interruptions, such as random breaks in the surface or random gaps of 0.1 mm or more. Preferably, 50% or more of the surface area within the 3D bioreactor for cell expansion is a continuous surface, more preferably, 60% or more, 70% or more, 80% or more, 90% or more, 95% or more or 99% or more of the surface area within the 3D bioreactor is continuous.

In addition, the bioreactor fixed-bed **10** includes non-random interconnecting pore openings **16** as between the voids. Again, reference to non-random should be understood that one can now identify a targeted or selected number of pores for the voids, of a selected pore diameter, that results in an actual number of pores having pore diameters of a desired tolerance. The
5 bioreactor as illustrated in cut-away view also ultimately defines a layer of non-random voids (see arrow “L”) and it may be appreciated that the multiple layers of the bioreactor may then allow for identification of a plurality of such non-random voids within a column (see arrow “C”).

The bioreactor may be made of biocompatible or bio-inert polymeric materials such as polystyrene, polycarbonate, acrylonitrile-butadiene-styrene (ABS), polylactic acid (PLA),
10 polycaprolactone (PCL) used in FDM (fused deposition modeling) 3D printing technology. Reference to biocompatible or bio-inert should be understood as a material that is non-toxic to the culturing cells. In addition, the polymeric materials for the 3D bioreactor are preferably selected from those polymers that are not susceptible to hydrolysis during cell cultivation, such that the amount of hydrolysis does not exceed 5.0 % by weight of the polymeric material present, more
15 preferably it does not exceed 2.5 % by weight, and most preferably does not exceed 1.0 % by weight. The bioreactor may also be made of biocompatible photosensitive materials (e.g., Pro3Dure, Somos WaterShed XC 11122, etc.) used in SLA (stereolithography) and DLP (digital light processing) 3D printing technologies.

It is preferable that the material used to fabricate the bioreactor is not degradable in aqueous
20 medium and can provide a mechanical stable structure to tolerate aqueous medium flow during cell expansion. It is preferable that the material and manufacturing process can result a solid and smooth interconnected surface area for monolayer cell expansion. By reference to a solid surface, it should be understood that the surface is such that it will reduce or prevent penetration or embedding by the culturing viral vector producing cells, which typically have a diameter of about
25 20 microns to 100 microns. Preferably, the 3D bioreactor herein is one that has a surface that has a surface roughness value (**Ra**), which is reference to the arithmetic average of the absolute values of the profile height deviations from the mean line, recorded within an evaluation length. Accordingly, it is contemplated herein that **Ra** of the 3D bioreactor surface will have a value of less than or equal to 20 μm , more preferably, less than or equal to 5 μm .

The 3D bioreactor herein is also preferably one that is formed from material that indicates a Shore D Hardness of at least 10, or in the range of 10-95, and more preferably in the range of 45-95. In such regard, it is also worth noting that the 3D bioreactor herein is one that does not make use of a hydrogel type structure, which may be understood as a hydrophilic type polymeric structure, that includes some amount of crosslinking, and which absorbs significant amounts of water (e.g., 10-40 % by weight). It is also worth noting that the 3D bioreactor herein is one that preferably does not make use of collagen, alginate, fibrin and other polymers that cells can easily digest and undergo remodeling.

Furthermore, the 3D bioreactor herein is preferably one that is made from materials that have a Tensile Modulus of at least 0.01 GPa. More preferably, the Tensile Modulus has a value that is in the range of 0.01 GPa to 20.0 GPa, at 0.01 GPa increments. Even more preferably, the Tensile Modulus for the material for the 3D bioreactor is in the range of 0.01 GPa to 10.0 GPa or 1.0 GPa to 10 GPa. For example, with respect to the earlier referenced polymeric materials suitable for manufacture of the 3D bioreactor herein, polystyrene indicates a Tensile Modulus of about 3.0 GPa, polycarbonate at about 2.6 GPa, ABS at about 2.3 GPa, PLA at about 3.5 GPa and PCL at about 1.2 GPa.

The 3D bioreactor design herein with such preferred regular geometric characteristics and continuous surface area is preferably fabricated by additive manufacturing technologies, such as FDM, selective laser sintering (SLS), stereolithography (SLA), digital light processing (DLP) 3D printing technologies, etc., according to computer generated designs made available by, e.g., a SolidWorks™ computer-aided design (CAD) program.

By way of preferred example, the process utilizing SolidWorks™ to create the 3D bioreactor design is described below. A computer model for the bioreactor negative is initially created. More specifically, what may therefore be described as a 3D bioreactor negative was created, e.g., using packed 6.0 mm diameter spheres that overlap to create 1.0 mm diameter connecting pores between spheres. Of course, other possible dimensions are contemplated within the broad context of this disclosure.

The spheres are preferably organized in a hexagonal close packed (HCP) lattice to create an efficiently (or tightly) packed geometry that results in each sphere surrounded by 12

neighborhood spheres. A unit cell of this exemplary geometry is shown in **FIG. 1a**. More specifically, in **FIG. 1a** there is a unit cell of the HCP lattice where the top three spheres are displayed as translucent to show the 6 radial overlapping areas between the neighborhood spheres. The pores are formed at these overlapping areas. Preferably, the maximum number of pores is 12 to optimize packing. The minimum pore number is 2 in order to allow a perfusion medium through the voids of the 3D bioreactor. Perfusion medium herein is typically a cell culture medium such as a liquid or gel designed to support the growth of cells. A typical culture medium is artificial or synthetic media composed of a complement of amino acids, vitamins, inorganic salts, glucose, and serum as a source of growth factors, adhesion factors, hormones, lipids and minerals. The perfusion medium can also be other synthetic media such as serum-free media, protein-free media or chemically defined media. The perfusion medium can also be natural media such as blood, plasma, amniotic fluid, etc.

Accordingly, at least 90.0 % to 100 % of the voids present in the 3D bioreactor have at least 2 pore openings per void. More preferably, at least 90.0 % to 100 % of the voids in the 3D bioreactor have 8-12 pore openings per void. In one particularly preferred embodiment, at least 90.0 % to 100 % of the voids in the 3D bioreactor have 12 pore openings per voids between adjacent voids within the plurality of voids present, and more preferably, there are 8-12 interconnected pore openings between the adjacent voids, and in one particularly preferred embodiment, there are 12 pore openings between the adjacent voids.

In **FIG. 1b**, all spheres of the unit are illustrated. The bioreactor geometry is then preferably created by reversing the negative model to create the positive model comprising an interconnected spherical void system shown in **FIG. 1c**. Moreover, in **FIG. 1d** one can see the 3D bioreactor again in cross-sectional view providing another illustration of the interconnected voids shown in cut-away view at **14** with regular geometric characteristics (substantially the same control of void volume as described above) and the corresponding interconnected pore openings **16**.

In the preferred regular geometric 3D bioreactor described above, one can identify a relationship as between the void diameter and interconnected pore diameter. Attention is directed to **FIG. 1e**. For this preferred geometry, Spherical Void 1 is represented by a solid circle, diameter is D (indicated by the arrows). Diameter "D" may therefore be understood as the longest distance

between any two points on the internal void surface. Spherical Void 2 is represented by a dash circle and would also have diameter D (not shown). Spherical Void 2 is one of the 12 of neighborhood voids of Spherical Void 1. Due to the overlap between the neighborhood voids, it forms interconnected pores between the spherical voids, with the diameter of “d” as also indicated
 5 by the generally horizontal arrow. Diameter “d” may therefore be understood as the longest distance between any two points at the pore opening. The total 3D spherical surface area of the void is $SA_{\text{void}} = 4 \times \pi \times (D/2)^2$. The surface area between A and B, called $S_{\text{cap}} = \pi \times D \times h$, where $h = \frac{D - \sqrt{D^2 - d^2}}{2}$. The useful void surface for a given void in the 3D bioreactor would be $SA_u = SA_{\text{void}} - [12 \times S_{\text{cap}}]$.

10 The smaller the void diameter D, the larger the number of voids can be packed into a set 3D space (volume), and therefore results larger overall cell culture surface. However, to minimize or prevent cell aggregation (which as discussed herein can inhibit cell growth and induce cell phenotype change), the minimal diameter of the pores $d = 0.2$ mm for this geometry. The diameter of the pores d may fall in the range of 0.2 mm to 10 mm and more preferably 0.2 mm to 2.0 mm.
 15 Most preferably, $d \geq 0.5$ mm and falls in in the range of 0.5 mm to 2.0 mm.

If $D = 0.40$ mm or less, the computed SA_u is less than 0 when $d = 0.2$ mm, which leads to an impossible structure therefore, D has to be > 0.4 mm for this 3D bioreactor geometry. However, D can have a value between 0.4 mm to 100.0 mm, more preferably, 0.4 mm to 50.0 mm, and also in the range of 0.4 mm to 25.0 mm. One particularly preferred value of D falls in the range of 2.0
 20 mm to 10.0 mm. Spherical voids with a relatively large value of D may reduce the objective of increasing cell culture surface area as much as possible within a same bioreactor volume. Accordingly, for the preferred geometry illustrated in **FIG. 1E**, $D > 0.4$ mm (the diameter of the void) and $d > 0.20$ mm (the diameter of the pores). It is also worth noting that with respect to any selected value of diameter D for the voids in the range of 0.4 mm to 100.0, and any selected value
 25 of diameter d for the pores in the range of 0.2 mm to 10.0 mm, the value of D is such that it is greater than the value of d ($D > d$).

It can now be appreciated that the 3D bioreactor herein can be characterized with respect to its non-random characteristics. Preferably, all of the voids within the 3D bioreactor are such that they have substantially the same volume to achieve the most efficient 3D space packing and

offer the largest corresponding continuous surface area. With respect to the total number of interconnected voids present in any given 3D bioreactor, preferably, 90.0 % or more of such voids, or even 95.0 % or more of such voids, or even 99.0 % to 100 % of such voids have a void volume (V) whose tolerance is such that it does not vary by more than +/- 10.0%, or +/- 5.0%, or +/- 2.5%
 5 or +/- 1.0%, or +/- 0.5% or +/- 0.1%. It should be noted that while the voids in **FIG. 1** are shown as generally spherical, other voids geometries are contemplated. The diameter of voids are chosen to minimize or avoid cell aggregation and to provide maximum useful surface area for cell culturing.

Another non-random characteristic of the 3D bioreactor herein are the pore openings
 10 between the voids, having a diameter **d** (see again **FIG. 1e**). Similar to the above, 90.0 % or more of the pore openings, or even 95.0 % or more of the pore openings, or even 99.0 % to 100 % of the pore openings between the voids, indicate a value of **d** whose tolerance does not vary more than +/- 10.0%, or +/- 5.0%, or +/- 2.5% or +/- 1.0%, or +/- 0.5% or +/- 0.1%.

It can therefore now be appreciated that the 3D bioreactor herein for growth of cells
 15 comprises a surface area for cell expansion, a plurality of voids having a diameter **D** (the longest distance between any two points on the internal void surface), a plurality of pore openings between said voids having a diameter **d** (the longest distance between any two points at the pore opening), where **D>d**. In addition, 90% or more of the voids have a void volume (V) that does not vary by more than +/- 10.0%, and 90% or more of the pore openings have a value of **d** that does not vary
 20 by more than +/- 10.0%.

In addition, the 3D bioreactor herein for growth of viral vector producing cells can include a first plurality of voids having a diameter **D₁**, a plurality of pore openings between said first plurality of voids having a diameter **d₁**, wherein **D₁>d₁**, where 90% or more of the first plurality of voids have a void volume (V₁) with a tolerance that does not vary by more than +/- 10.0%. Such
 25 3D bioreactor may also have a second plurality of voids having a diameter **D₂**, a plurality of pore openings between said second plurality of voids having a diameter **d₂** wherein **D₂>d₂**, wherein 90% of the second plurality of voids have a void volume (V₂) with a tolerance that does not vary by more than +/- 10.0%. The values of V₁ and V₂ are different and outside of their tolerance variations. Stated another way, the value of V₁, including its tolerance of +/- 10.0 % and the value
 30 of V₂, including its tolerance of +/- 10.0%, are different, or $[V_1 \pm 10.0\%] \neq [V_2 \pm 10.0\%]$.

The radius of curvature (R_c) of the surface within the voids is therefore preferably $1/0.5(D)$, or $1/0.2 \text{ mm} = 5 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ or lower. Preferably, R_c may have a value of 0.2 mm^{-1} to 1.0 mm^{-1} , which corresponds to a value of D of 10.0 mm to 2.0 mm . A high curvature (large R_c) surface provides a significantly different environment than the typical monolayer 2D culture, which may also
5 induce cell phenotype changes.

Viral vector producing cells are preferably seeded on the interconnected spherical void surfaces of the 3D bioreactor. Such 3D structure is preferably scalable and is able to provide a relatively high surface-to-volume ratio for the expansion of relatively large number of cells with a relatively small footprint. The surface area-to-volume ratio is also preferably determined by the
10 diameter of the spherical voids. The smaller is the diameter, the higher is the surface area-to-volume ratio. Preferably, the voids provide a relatively “flat” surface (i.e., low radius of curvature $\leq 1.0 \text{ mm}^{-1}$) for growth of cells having a size of $20 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ to $100 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ and also to reduce or avoid cell aggregation. In addition, as alluded to above, cell aggregation is also reduced or avoided by controlling the diameter d of the interconnected pores, which diameter is preferably at least 500
15 μm , but as noted, any size greater than $200 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$.

The bioreactor fixed-bed **10** may therefore preferably serve as a single-use 3D bioreactor as further illustrated in **FIG. 2**. More specifically, the bioreactor **10** may be positioned in a housing **18** and then placed in the inlet and outlet compartment **20** for which inflow and outflow of fluid may be provided. Preferably, the bioreactor **10**, housing **18**, and the inlet and outlet compartment
20 **20** can be fabricated as a single component using Additive Manufacturing technology. As shown in **FIG. 3**, the bioreactor **10** in housing **18** and inlet and outlet compartment **20** may become part of an overall 3D bioreactor system for cellular expansion. More specifically, the 3D bioreactor is preferably positioned within a perfusion system which delivers a viral vector producing cell culture medium and oxygen through the 3D bioreactor for promoting such cell growth. Multiple passage
25 cell expansion methods used in 2D T-flask can also be directly applied to the 3D bioreactor except a 3D bioreactor has the cell culture area equivalent to 10s, 100s, or 1000s of T-flasks. Besides multiple passage cell expansion, a one-step expansion from a low number of donor cells to a clinically relevant number of cells is contemplated thus eliminating the multiple-passaging problem that induces MSC phenotype changes during expansion.

As may now be appreciated, the 3D bioreactor herein offers a relatively large surface-to-volume ratio depending upon the diameter of the interconnected voids. By way of example, a conventional roller bottle defining a cylinder of 5 cm diameter and 15 cm height, provides a cell growth surface area of 236 cm². If the same volume is used to enclose the 3D bioreactor herein with 2.0 mm diameter interconnected voids, a total of 44,968 spherical voids can be packed into the space, which can provide a matrix with about 5,648 cm² surface area, an almost 24-fold larger than the roller bottle surface area. In addition, while the roller bottle can only harvest around 9.4x10⁶ cells, the equivalent volume 3D bioreactor herein is contemplated to harvest 2.2x10⁸ cells.

At least one unique feature of the 3D bioreactor herein in comparison with hollow-fiber or microcarrier-based bioreactors is the ability to provide a large interconnected continuous surface instead of fragmented surfaces. Continuous surfaces within the 3D bioreactor herein are therefore contemplated to enable cells to more freely migrate from one area to another. The cells can then proliferate locally and at the same time gradually migrate out of the region to avoid cell-cell contact inhibition and differentiation. Using the perfusion system shown in **FIG. 3**, it is contemplated that one can readily create a gradient of nutrition or cell signals inside the bioreactor to induce cell migration into an open space while proliferating (as in a wound healing process).

The 3D bioreactor herein is also contemplated to allow one to seed a relatively low number of viral vector producing cells relatively evenly across the matrix surface. It is contemplated that the number of seeding cells can fall in the range of 30 to 3000 cells per square centimeter of useful void surface area, depending upon the size of the 3D bioreactor. Cells distributed in a 3D space within the 3D bioreactor herein can have a relatively large intracellular 2D separation to avoid direct cell-cell contact. At the same time it is possible to have a relatively short 3D separation distance (e.g., when cells reside on a spherical surface of opposite direction) enabling signals from nearby cells to be received.

In conjunction with the preferred 3D printing technology noted herein for preparation of the 3D bioreactor, computational fluid dynamics (CFD) can now be used to simulate the medium flow inside the bioreactor and estimate the flow rate and shear stress at any location inside the 3D interconnected surface, and allow for optimization to improve the cell culture environment. More specifically, CFD was employed to simulate the flow characteristics through the 3D interconnected voids of the bioreactor herein and to estimate the distribution of: (1) flow velocity; (2) pressure

drop; and (3) wall shear stress. It may be appreciated that the latter parameter, shear stress, is important for cell expansion. A reduction in shear stress can reduce or prevent shear induced cell differentiation.

A small-scale (to increase computer simulation speed) cylindrical 3D bioreactor with a diameter of 17.5 mm, height of 5.83 mm, void diameter of 2 mm, and pore diameter of 0.5 mm was used in the simulations reported below. In this case, the diameter ($\Phi=17.5$ mm) to height ($H=5.83$ mm) ratio of the bioreactor is 3:1 (**FIG. 1d**), which is a preferable ratio to reduce the gradient of nutrition and oxygen between the inlet and outlet of the bioreactor. Based on the cell density available on the fixed-bed spherical surface the oxygen and nutrition consumption rates were estimated, and how often the cell culture media needed to be replaced (i.e., the volume flow rate) was determined. An overall linear flow rate of $38.5 \mu\text{m}/\text{sec}$ was assumed in this simulation. Using $38.5 \mu\text{m}/\text{sec}$ rate laminar flow as the input to the 3D bioreactor, the CFD results are shown in **FIGS. 4-6**.

FIGS. 4a, 4b, 4c and 4d show the flow velocity profile throughout the small-scale cylindrical 3D bioreactor. **FIG. 4e** indicates the scale of flow rate. More specifically, **FIG. 4a** indicates the flow rate distribution viewed from the side of the bioreactor. The flow passes each spherical voids through the pores along the flow direction. The white/gray areas in the figures are the solid regions between the spherical voids with no fluid flow. By comparing with the colored velocity scale bar in **FIG. 4e**, **FIG. 4a** indicates that the flow rate at the pores along the flow direction achieve the maximum flow rate of $200 \mu\text{m}/\text{s}$ to $240 \mu\text{m}/\text{s}$. In contrast, the flow rates near the spherical surface reduce to a minimum of $0.06 \mu\text{m}/\text{s}$ to $19.0 \mu\text{m}/\text{s}$, which will significantly reduce the flow caused shear stress to cells reside on the spherical surface.

FIG. 4b indicates the velocity profile viewed from the top of the bioreactor through a center cross-section of the 3D structure. Again the image shows that the maximum rates are at each center of the pores of the spherical voids along the flow direction. This maximum rate is again in the range of $200 \mu\text{m}/\text{s}$ to $240 \mu\text{m}/\text{s}$. The flow rate near spherical surface is again low and has a value of $0.06 \mu\text{m}/\text{s}$ to $19.0 \mu\text{m}/\text{s}$.

FIG. 4c indicates the velocity profile of an individual sphere void showing flow passing through the radial interconnected pores. **FIG. 4c** therefore provides a useful illustration of the flow

distribution inside a spherical void. The high flow rate is at the central empty space of a void where there are no cells and is at a level of 200 $\mu\text{m/s}$ to 240 $\mu\text{m/s}$. The cells reside on the concaved void surface where the flow rate is reduced and where the flow rate is again at a level of 0.06 $\mu\text{m/s}$ to 19.0 $\mu\text{m/s}$. This unique structure can therefore shield cells from exposure to relatively high flow stress. This is another distinct advantage of the 3D bioreactor described herein over, e.g., micro-carrier based reactors, where cells are grown on the outside surface surfaces of 300 μm to 400 μm diameter microbeads with convex spherical surfaces that are suspended in a cell culture medium and stirred in a bioreactor to deliver nutrition and oxygen to the cells. Cells residing on such convex spherical surfaces can be exposed to relatively large shear stress to 0.1 Pa, which is known to affect cellular morphology, permeability, and gene expression. **FIG. 4d** indicates the flow trajectory through the side pores along the flow direction, indicating that the 3D bioreactor herein provides a relatively uniform flow pattern to provide nutrients and oxygen throughout.

Accordingly, the maximum linear flow rate computed inside the preferred 3D bioreactor is 200 $\mu\text{m/s}$ to 240 $\mu\text{m/s}$ which occurs at the 0.5 mm diameter interconnected pores between 2.0 mm diameter voids along the flow direction. As shown in **FIGS. 4a-4e** while the flow is preferentially in the central direction along the flow, there is still flow ($\sim 19.0 \mu\text{m/sec}$) near the spherical surface to allow nutritional supply to the cells residing on the spherical surface. Therefore, it is contemplated that the cells are able to reside anywhere throughout the structure and thrive in any location because nutrients can be supplied both through flow convection and diffusion throughout the 3D bioreactor structure.

FIGS. 5a and **5b** show the distribution of surface shear stress throughout the cylindrical 3D bioreactor described above as well as on a single spherical void surface. **FIG. 5c** indicates the scale of shear stress in units of Pa. The highest shear stress was observed on the edges of the interconnected pores. This is due to the higher flow rates at these locations. However, the majority of the useful spherical surface area within the bioreactor indicates a shear stress of less than 3×10^{-4} Pa, which may be understood as 90% or more of the surface area of the bioreactor. This provides for cell proliferation, without shear induced differentiation. In addition, even the maximum shear stress of 4.0×10^{-3} Pa, is believed to be lower than the average shear stress that cells experience when cultured in hollow fiber based bioreactors, wave bioreactors, and micro-carrier based bioreactors. Therefore, the 3D bioreactor herein is contemplated to provide a relatively lower shear

stress environment for cell growth in comparison to existing cell expansion bioreactors. See, e.g., *Large-Scale Industrialized Cell Expansion: Producing The Critical Raw Material For Biofabrication Processes*, A. Kumar and B. Starly, *Biofabrication* 7(4):044103 (2015).

FIG. 6a illustrates the pressure drop along the flow direction from bottom to the top of the cylindrical 3D bioreactor described above. **FIG. 6b** provides the applicable scale of pressure. The figure indicates that the overall pressure drop between the inlet and outlet of the bioreactor is less than or equal to 1.0 Pa. The pressure drop may therefore fall in the range of 0.1 Pa up to 1.0 Pa. In other words, cells near the inlet and outlet of the bioreactor will not experience significant differences in pressure. The low gradient of pressure suggests that such design will also produce a small gradient (or difference) in nutrition/metabolites concentrations between the inlet and outlet of the bioreactor. The low gradient is due to the design of the bioreactor such that the diameter Φ is larger than the height H while the total bioreactor volume remains the same. This is superior to the hollow fiber bioreactor. It is difficult to fabricate a hollow fiber bioreactor with $\Phi > H$ ratio to reduce the gradient of nutrition/metabolites between the inlet and outlet of the bioreactor.

A comparison was also made for the same total volume cylindrical 3D bioreactor with different aspect ratios (i.e. $\Phi:H$ ratio, Φ : overall diameter of the bioreactor fixed-bed, H : overall height of the bioreactor fixed-bed). See **FIG. 1d**. As shown in **Table 1**, for the same volume flow rate (volume flow rate = cross area of flow $\times$ linear velocity), the linear velocity increases significantly for a bioreactor with a low $\Phi:H$ ratio. The increase of linear velocity also increases the surface shear stress, pressure drop, as well as the gradient of nutrition/metabolites concentrations between the inlet and outlet, which would have an unfavorable effect for cell expansion. The disclosed fixed-bed 3D bioreactor is therefore preferably designed into a $\Phi:H$ ratio structure, e.g., a $\Phi:H$ ratio in the range of greater than 1:1 and up to 100:1. Preferably, the $\Phi:H$ ratio is greater than 1:1 and up to 10:1.

Table 1
Flow Rate Comparison For 3D Bioreactor
With Different Aspect Ratios

#	Ratio (Φ :H)	Diameter (Φ) (cm)	Height (H) (cm)	Flow Rate ($\mu\text{m/sec}$)
1	3:1	10.5	3.5	38.5
2	1:1	7.5	7.5	75.4
3	1:3	5	15	169.8

FIG. 7a illustrates a 3D bioreactor fixed-bed part generated by FDM 3D printing with interconnected 6 mm diameter voids and 1 mm interconnected pores. This 3D bioreactor was printed with ABS filament. The diameter (Φ) and height (H) of this particular 3D bioreactor is 4.28 cm and 1.43 cm respectively. Accordingly the Φ :H ratio is 3:1. There are about 134 interconnected open-voids included in the fixed-bed. The total interconnected continuous spherical surface area SA_u for cell culturing is about 152 cm². The inlet and outlet wall and fluid distributor **22** at the inlet and outlet (**FIG. 8**) provides an additional 88 cm² surface area for cell culturing. In other words, there is about 240 cm² total useful surface area in the 3D bioreactor for cell attachment. The fluid distributor can improve the laminar flow through the bioreactor. The fluid distributor is optional if the Reynolds number is <2100 or in the range of greater than 0 up to and not including 2100.

FIG. 7b shows that the fixed-bed of the 3D bioreactor was solvent bound into a bioreactor chamber. This will seal the gaps between the fixed-bed and the chamber wall, which will force the perfusion cell culture medium to pass through the interconnected pores instead of through those gaps. Preferably, the fixed-bed and chamber is printed together as an integrated part to increase the manufacturing efficiency. **FIG. 7c** illustrates the inlet and outlet of the bioreactor. They are designed geometrically to promote a laminar flow through the fixed-bed. The inlet of the bioreactor optionally contains a built-in rotation gear, which may be coupled to a stepper motor to control the rotation of the bioreactor for uniform cell seeding (see below). The integrated bioreactor is shown in **FIG. 7d** and is able to connect to 1/8 inch tubing to conduct the fluid flow. Alternatively, the inlet and outlet can be made for repeated usage, where only the inside bioreactor fixed bed is disposable. Also shown in **FIG. 7e** is a 3D bioreactor fixed bed produced by SLA 3D printing having a 6.0 mm void and a 1.0 mm pore. **FIG. 7f** is a 3D bioreactor fixed bed using DLP 3D printing having a 3.0 mm void and a 0.5 mm pore.

It should next be noted that the fluid distributor **22 (FIG. 8)** is preferably such that it will improve the flow uniformity through the 3D bioreactor. The design of the inlet, outlet, and fluid distributor also preferably takes into consideration the following: (1) improve the flow uniformity through the 3D bioreactor; (2) minimization of the dead-volume **24** at inlet and outlet to reduce the overall priming volume of the bioreactor; and (3) preventing bubble collection inside the bioreactor. **FIG. 9** shows the flow velocity profile throughout the 3D bioreactor based on CFD simulation by using the fluid distributor. The use of the fluid distributor (**FIG. 8**) improved the uniformity of the flow. The maximum flow rate (around 30 $\mu\text{m/s}$) and the minimum flow rate (around 10 $\mu\text{m/s}$) are relatively close to each other and serve to promote uniform laminar flow (i.e. flow of fluid in relatively parallel layers). A relatively uniform flow rate everywhere in the bioreactor will also provide smaller differences of shear stress to cells residing at different locations in the bioreactor.

The 3D bioreactor can be fabricated by other additive manufacturing technologies such as selective laser sintering (SLS), stereolithography (SLA), Digital Light Processing (DLP), and etc. **FIGS. 7b, 7e, 7f.**

For the 3D printed bioreactor (**FIG. 7d**) using ABS, the hydrophobic internal surfaces of the bioreactor is preferably modified to allow for cell adherence. Polydopamine as a primer coating followed with fibronectin coating was therefore utilized to improve the ABS surfaces. To optimize the coating procedure, coating using different concentrations of dopamine hydrochloride (Sigma #H8502) and fibronectin (Sigma #F1141) was evaluated on the substrates of both medical grade and non-medical grade ABS, respectively. Incubation of the ABS surface in a 0.25 mg/mL dopamine dissolved in 10 mM Tris buffer (pH = 8.5 at 25 °C) for a period of about 18 hours, resulted in an effective polydopamine layer for the subsequent fibronectin coating. After the polydopamine coating, a four-hour incubation of the ABS surface in fibronectin (Fibronectin from bovine plasma), with the concentration of 50 or 100 $\mu\text{g/mL}$, promoted mesenchymal stem cell attachment. It should be noted that the use of the polydopamine plus the fibronectin coating is contemplated for use on bioreactors other than the ABS based 3D bioreactor disclosed herein, and in particular on bioreactors that are fabricated with hydrophobic materials. It should also be noted, that the polydopamine primer coating can be combined with other coatings such as peptides, collagen, laminin, multiple cell extracellular matrix proteins, or selected antibodies that are

required by particular cell types. After polydopamine is deposited on the bioreactor surface, it can then bind with functional ligands via Michael addition and/or Schiff base reactions. The ligand molecules therefore include nucleophilic functional groups, such as amine and thiol functional groups.

5 **FIGS. 10a, 10b and 10c** show cell (labeled with green fluorescence) attachment on non-medical grade ABS at concentrations for coating at 20 µg/ml fibronectin, 50 µg/ml fibronectin and 100 µg/ml fibronectin, respectively, after the polydopamine coating described above. **FIGS. 10d, 10e, and 10f** show cell attachment on the medical grade ABS at concentrations for coating of 20 µg/ml fibronectin, 50 µg/ml fibronectin and 100 µg/ml fibronectin, respectively. These figures suggest that both medical and non-medical grade ABS have similar performance in cell attachment after polydopamine/fibronectin coating. The coating of fibronectin at 50 µg/ml or 100 µg/ml concentration are preferred for a good cell attachment. These figures also show that cells were aligned to the surface texture that was generated during the 3D printing process. Therefore, a bioreactor surface generated by SLA or DLP 3D printing is preferred for cell expansion.

15 Cell attachment was also evaluated on the 3D bioreactor. Reference is made to **FIGS. 11a, 11b and 11c**, which illustrate that the cells (labeled with green fluorescence) attach well onto the 3D bioreactor surface. **FIG. 11a** shows the 3D bioreactor fixed-bed, **FIG. 11b** shows cells (labeled an arrow pointing to the green fluorescence) seeded on the surface of the spherical voids and **FIG. 11c** shows cells reside near an interconnected pore between the spherical voids.

20 As alluded to above (**FIG. 3**) the 3D bioreactor herein is preferably utilized in a perfusion system. More specifically, a 3D bioreactor fixture was placed inside a 37 °C incubator to maintain the system at body temperature. A Cole-Parmer Masterflex pump was used to deliver cell culture medium to the bioreactor after passing through an oxygenator. A MCQ 3-channel gas blender mixed proper amounts of oxygen, carbon dioxide, and nitrogen to provide a gas mixture feeding to the oxygenator to condition the cell culture medium. With the gas blender, the gas mixture can be controlled to produce a hypoxic condition with around 2% oxygen concentration if needed, which is contemplated to provide relatively more rapid growth of mesenchymal stem cells than at oxygen concentrations of 21%. A gas blender can also adjust the oxygen concentration accordingly with the increase of total cell numbers in the bioreactor. In addition, in the perfusion system, the 3D bioreactor during cell seeding can be preferably positioned horizontally and

connected to a stepper motor so that the bioreactor rotates around the bioreactor axis so that the cells are more uniformly seeded inside the bioreactor.

Cell seeding of the 3D bioreactor may be achieved as an example as follows. For the 3D bioreactor illustrated in **FIGS. 7a-7d**, the total priming volume of the bioreactor is about 22 mL, which includes the volume of the fixed-bed (~16 mL) as well as the space of inlet and outlet (~ 6 mL). A total of 1.5×10^6 mesenchymal stem cells, suspended in 25 mL, were infused into the bioreactor. The infusion was carried out by a syringe pump using an infusion rate of 2 mL/min. Right after medium infusion, the bioreactor was placed horizontally on the bioreactor fixture to allow the bioreactor to slowly rotate around its axis with a rotation rate of 0.15 RPM. The bioreactors herein may therefore be rotated at a rotation rate in the range of 0.5 RPM to 0.5 RPM. The bioreactor was allowed to rotate for about 6 hours followed by start of the perfusion flow. A high loading efficiency of 96.3% was measured using this cell loading method.

To observe the cell distribution inside bioreactor after seeding, the cells were fixed on the surface of the bioreactor. The fixed cells were then stained with DAPI fluorescence dye (blue) to label the cell nuclear. Then the bioreactor was spliced to open the internal chamber and fluorescence microscopy was used to view the cell attachment and distribution on different surfaces inside the bioreactor. **FIGS. 12a, 12c, 12e, and 12g** illustrate the cell distribution on the inlet, fixed-bed, wall, and fluid distributor, respectively. All areas indicated seeded cells with a relatively low cell density. The images indicate that the cell seeding was relatively uniformly distributed throughout the bioreactor. **FIGS. 12b, 12d, 12f, and 12h** indicate the cell distribution on the corresponding surfaces inside the bioreactor after a 7-day expansion period. **FIGS. 12a and 12b** are the 3D bioreactor inlet wall, **FIGS. 12c and 12d** are on the 3D bioreactor inner wall, **FIGS. 12e and 12f** are on the 3D bioreactor center-void spherical surface, and **FIGS. 12g and 12h** are on the 3D bioreactor flow guider surface.

After a static (no medium perfusion) cell seeding period, the 3D bioreactor is preferably placed in vertical position (the bioreactor inlet is lower than the outlet) during perfusion to prevent the collection of air bubbles inside the bioreactor. The assembled bioreactor shown in **FIG. 7d** was perfused at the flow rate of 2 mL/min. According to the CFD simulation, the laminar flow rate of 38.5 $\mu\text{m}/\text{sec}$ will not generate relatively high shear stress to cells. For this bioreactor shown in **FIG. 7** with the fixed-bed diameter of 4.28 cm, or about 14.4 cm^2 of cross-sectional area the

calculated equivalent flow rate is 3.3 mL/min. It should be noted volume perfusion rate depends on the total volume and the cross-sectional area of the bioreactor, the spherical voids diameter and pore diameters inside the bioreactors, as well as cell types, oxygen and nutrition consumption, shear tolerance, etc. Therefore, an optimized perfusion rate will need to be determined via cell manufacturing process development.

The cell culture medium circulated through an oxygenator before flowing into the 3D bioreactor. A gas blender produced a gas mixture containing 74% of N₂, 21% of O₂, and 5% CO₂, which was fed into the oxygenator to refresh the cell culture medium before delivery to the cells inside the bioreactor.

Every 24-hour, the change in glucose and lactate was measured. Based on glucose and lactate change, the number of cells inside the bioreactor was estimated. **FIG. 13A** illustrates the change of cell number in the bioreactor over a four-day period. The growth curve shows the three periods of cell growth: that is, slow cell growth (day 1), exponential cell growth (day 2), and growth plateau (days 3 and 4). Around 8×10^6 cells at harvest are expected after 4-day expansion.

FIG. 13B next illustrates the expansion of human adipose stem cells (hADSC) using the 3D bioreactor herein. The cell growth is illustrated by the cell number estimated from Alamar Blue Assay. **FIG. 13C** provides the green fluorescence images showing live cells growing on the internal surfaces of the 3D bioreactor.

Assuming cells are harvested at 80% of confluence or about 0.4×10^5 cells/cm², the bioreactors that have the 10^7 , 10^8 , and 10^9 cell expansion capacity as shown in **FIG. 14** would require a total cell culture surface area of 250 cm², 2,500 cm², and 25,000 cm², respectively. Assume the bioreactor is comprised of 2.0 mm diameter spherical voids and twelve (12) 0.5 mm diameter interconnected pores. Each spherical void has SAu = 10.16 mm². In other words, the bioreactor that has a 10^7 , 10^8 , and 10^9 cell expansion capacity requires 2,461, 24,606, and 246,063 of 2.0 mm spherical voids. Considering each spherical void has the volume of 4.19 mm³, a reasonable packing efficiency of 73.6% (according to SolidWorks™ computer simulation), the bioreactor that has a 10^7 , 10^8 , and 10^9 cell expansion capacity requires a volume of 14.0 cm³, 140.1 cm³, and 1400.8 cm³, respectively. Packing efficiency is reference to the volume occupied by the spherical voids (V_{occupied}) divided by the total volume of a 3D cylinder space (V_{cylinder}) having a

given diameter Φ and height H . For the 3D bioreactor herein, packing efficiency preferably has a value of greater than 64.0 %, more preferably greater than 70.0 % and most preferably a value greater than 75.0 %. Assume the bioreactor's diameter Φ and height H have the ratio of 3:1, then the bioreactors with the 10^7 , 10^8 , and 10^9 cell expansion capacities shown in **FIG. 15** have Φ and H of 5.2 cm (Φ) and 1.7 cm (H), 16.4 cm (Φ) and 5.5 cm (H), 51.8 cm (Φ) and 17.3 cm (H), respectively. The bioreactor is also expected to be scalable to 10^{10} , 10^{11} , 10^{12} cell expansion capacity.

Cell detachment from the 3D bioreactor was then evaluated. Two reagents were tested for cell detachment. One was the traditional Trypsin-EDTA (0.25%), the other was the new TrypLE Select. The latter is expected to be a superior replacement for Trypsin. Using Trypsin with about 5-minute of warm (37°C) incubation period, it was possible to successfully detach > 95% of cells from the 3D bioreactor.

Viral Vector Production

As noted above, the 3D bioreactor herein has been found particularly suitable for the expansion of adherent viral vector producing cells and the ensuing harvest of viral vectors. Adherent viral vector producing cells is a reference to the feature that the cells attach to the 3D bioreactor surface. The 3D bioreactor as described herein is preferably treated so that as alluded to above, the surface is made hydrophilic so that the preferred viral vector producing cells, HEK 293T cells, can attached and grow on the 3D bioreactor surface.

Preferably, surface treatments include UV/ozone treatment, plasma treatment, and coating of the bioreactor surface with extracellular matrix proteins such as fibronectin, collagen, laminin, gelatin, and etc. A comparison was conducted for cell attachment with three different UV/ozone treatment conditions. The inlet of a scaffold input with ozone and the outlet released the ozone to an oil bath inside a flammable hood. The air flow to the ozone generator was set at 2 mL/min. During ozone treatment, the bioreactor was exposed to a UV 254 nm light. The UV/ozone treatments were for 3 min, 5 min, and 10 min. The 3D bioreactor with different lengths of ozone treatment were then compared for their capability for HEK 293T cell attachment.

A total 5×10^5 HEK 293T cells, suspended in 1.75 mL of oxygen-balanced cell culture medium, were filled inside each of three bioreactors with different lengths of UV/Ozone treatment.

The cells were incubated in the bioreactor for four hours while the bioreactor was rotating axially at 0.15 RPM. After 4 hours, non-attached cells were flushed out from the bioreactor. **Table 2** illustrates the HEK 293T cell attachment under different lengths of UV/ozone treatment. The results indicate that the bioreactor with 10 minutes UV/Ozone treatment had the most cell attachment. This result is also confirmed in the later described experiments where the HEK 293T cells were cultured on the 3D bioreactor scaffolds without an inlet and outlet as discussed below.

Table 2. HEK 293T Cell Attachment and UV/Ozone Treatment of 3D Bioreactor

UV/Ozone Treatment	Seeding Cells	Non-Attached Cells	% Cell Loss
3 min	5×10^5	1.13×10^5	22.5%
5 min	5×10^5	8.75×10^4	17.5%
10 min	5×10^5	5.00×10^4	10.0%

Comparison of Viral Vector Production Between Traditional T-flasks and 3D Bioreactor Scaffolds

Before the experiments using the perfusion-based 3D bioreactor described herein, an initial test was conducted utilizing the 3D bioreactor scaffolds herein under a static cell culture condition (i.e., without an inlet or outlet as in the perfusion procedure). Under these conditions, one can image the HEK 293T cells attachment and growth on the internal surface of the 3D bioreactor scaffolds, to confirm the growth of HEK 293T cell within the bioreactor.

An exemplary process flow diagram of GFP (green fluorescence protein) lentiviral vector production is now shown in **Table 3**. HEK 293T cell lines (ATCC #CRL-11268) were used as the packaging cell. The HEK 293T cells were cultured in high-glucose DMEM culture media with 2

mM L-Glutamine and 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS). A third-generation Lentiviral Packaging plasmids (Origene, Cat# TR30037) and Lenti vector with C-terminal GFP tag (Origene, Cat# PS100065) were purchased and used in this study for lentiviral vector production. The use of this transfection mixture or reagent to transfect HEK 293T cells is contemplated to produce GFP lentiviral vectors. In addition, it should be noted that a transfection reagent herein is reference to a reagent that will either enhance or inhibit a specific gene expression in the cell. The transfected cells will express the GFP themselves and at the same time produce more GFP lentiviral vectors. The produced GFP lentiviral vectors are then capable to deliver the GFP genes into other target cells via transduction. Transduction is reference to the delivery of genetic material to target cells. Successfully transduced target cells will express GFP and thus convert the originally transparent cells to cells emitting green fluorescence in the current and following generations. Cells expressing GFP in this case are then easily imaged under a fluorescence microscope.

15

Table 3. Flow Diagram of Lentiviral Vector Production

Day 1: Seeding HEK 293 cells at density 3×10^4 cells/cm ²	Day 2: Deliver the transfection medium to cells with TurboFectin transfection reagent	Days 4&5: Collect 2 batches of viral vectors from the cell culture media	Day 5: Storage the viral vector at 4 °C for couple days or freeze the viral vector at -80 °C.	>Day 6: Characterize viral vectors
---	--	--	--	---

In this study, the lentiviral vector upstream production efficiency was compared between T-25 flasks and the 3D bioreactor scaffolds herein that both have the same cell culture surface area of 25 cm². See **FIG. 15a**, T-25 culture flask, **FIG. 15b**, 3D bioreactor scaffold, and **FIG. 15c**, the 3D bioreactor scaffold placed in the cell culture medium after seeding. In order to compare the functional efficacy of the viral vectors produced by the 3D bioreactor herein and the standard culture flask, lentiviral vectors were employed that carried a transfer vector coded GFP as described above. The efficacy of cell transfection and transduction were assessed by the green

fluorescence intensity imaged from the transfected or transduced cells. The yield of the lentiviral vectors was estimated using a real time PCR assay.

A total 5×10^5 HEK-293T cells, suspended in 1 mL of cell culture medium, were seeded on the 3D bioreactor placed in a six-well plate with ultra-low attachment surface. The six-well plate with ultra-low attachment surface was used to ensure all cells were attached on the 3D bioreactor instead of on the bottom of the six-well plate. A silicone gasket was placed in the well to allow the scaffold seated in the center of the gasket to prevent the cell culture medium from leaking out of the 3D bioreactor scaffold so that most of the seeding cells attached to the scaffold. After overnight cell seeding, the scaffold was moved to a new twelve-well plate with ultra-low attachment surface (**FIG. 15c**) for expansion. Eighteen hours after seeding, the medium containing antibiotics were replaced by an antibiotics-free medium. Four hours later, or twenty-four hours after seeding, the HEK 293T cells were transfected with lentiviral vector plasmids with GFP. The transfection medium was removed and replaced by fresh antibiotic-free medium eighteen hours after transfection. The transfected cells were visualized with fluorescence microscopy because the cells started to show green fluorescence.

FIG. 16 illustrates the green fluorescent images of the transfected HEK-293T cells on the T-25 flasks and the 3D bioreactor scaffold at 24, 48, and 72 hours after the transfection. The images indicate that the cells cultured on both substrates were transfected successfully. The cell culture mediums were collected 24 and 48 hours after transfection and the yields of the GFP lentiviral vector were quantified using the Applied Biosystems' real time PCR instrument. **Table 4** compares the yields of the GFP lentiviral vector from the cells cultured on the T-flask and the 3D bioreactor scaffold. The result indicates that the lentiviral vector from cells cultured on the bioreactor scaffold is more than twice the amount as that from the cells cultured on the T-25 flask when same number of cells were cultured on the same surface areas. The higher yield from the cells cultured on the bioreactor scaffold is believed due to the cells residing on the 3D space inside the scaffold having a relatively higher transfection efficiency.

Table 4

Yield Comparison of GFP Lenticular Vectors

Supernatant Collected	T-25 Flask	3D Bioreactor Scaffold
Volume (48 & 72 hr)	6 mL	2 mL
48 hr Lentiviral Concentration (TU/mL)	7.9×10^5	3.7×10^6
72 hr Lentiviral Concentration	4.6×10^5	5.3×10^6
Total Collected Viral Particles	7.5×10^6	1.8×10^7

Validate the Function of the Viral Vectors Produced by 3D Bioreactor Scaffolds

This experiment was to show that the viral vector produced from the HEK 293T cells cultured on the 3D bioreactor scaffold can transduce other target cells at the same multiplicity of infection (MOI) as a commercially available GFP viral vector pLenti-C-mGFP (Origene, Cat# PS100071V). Before the experiment, the viral titer (concentrations) for the produced GFP viral vector and the purchased pLenti-C-mGFP were determined to be 5.31×10^6 and 3.31×10^8 TU/ml, respectively, by real time PCR.

Both GFP vectors were used to transduce a new batch of HEK 293T cells that were not involved in producing the GFP lentiviral vectors. A 96 well plate was used for seeding the cells at a seeding density of 240,000 cells/cm², which amounts to 76,800 cells per well. The plate was coated with 0.2% gelatin to ensure proper attachment of the HEK 293T cells. After overnight incubation of the seeded cells, the cells were transduced before they could double in 20 hours. The MOIs used for the experiment were 1, 3, 5, and 10, respectively. A 0.2 μ l cationic polymer polybrene was used per well to enhance the transduction efficiency.

The results are illustrated in **FIG. 17**, which indicates that the GFP viral vectors produced by the 3D bioreactor scaffold can transduce HEK 293T cells at the same MOIs as the commercially available pLenti-C-mGFP viral vector. This study shows the efficacy of the 3D bioreactor scaffolds herein for lentiviral vector production.

Viral Vector Production Using A Perfusion-Based 3D Bioreactor

To demonstrate the automated viral vector production, a perfusion-based bioreactor cell culture (**FIG. 18**) was configured. In this study, HEK 293T cells were cultured in the 3D bioreactor that has a matrix dimension of 14.4 mm × 6.25 mm (diameter × height) and a total internal surface area of 24.1 cm². The bioreactor is made of interconnected spherical voids of 3 mm diameter with the interconnected pore diameter of 0.5 mm. Cell culture media flows into the inlet of the bioreactor and out from the outlet of the bioreactor driven by a peristaltic pump. This 3D bioreactor used in this study can be readily scaled up to bioreactors with relatively larger dimensions while the internal cell culture structure remains the same.

Based upon the above, a perfusion-based bioreactor was set-up for viral vector production. A total of 5×10⁵ HEK-293T cells, suspended in 1.75 mL cell culture medium, were filled in the bioreactor. Then the inlet and outlet of the bioreactor were closed and the bioreactor was held for axial rotation) for 4 hours to seed the cells on the internal surface of the bioreactor. After the seeding, the bioreactor was connected into the perfusion system and the medium was perfused through the bioreactor at a rate of 0.5 mL/min.

Eighteen hours after seeding, all the medium was empty from the bioreactor and reservoir and replaced by an antibiotic-free medium. Four hours later, a 2-mL transfection medium was prepared with antibiotic-free, oxygen-balanced medium. Then the bioreactor was filled with 2 mL of the transfection medium driven by the pump at 0.5 mL/min. The pump stopped when the bioreactor was filled. The transfection medium was incubated inside the bioreactor statically for six hours. Then the transfection medium was withdrawn from the bioreactor and perfusion circuits, replaced by the original antibiotic-free medium. The first viral vector collection occurred at 24 hours after transfection. During collection, all medium in the circuits was collected and the perfusion circuit was replaced with fresh antibiotic-free medium. The collected medium was centrifuged at 2000×g under 4 °C for 10 minutes to pellet any cellular debris. The supernatant containing the viral vector was taken and filtered through a 0.45 µm filter to further remove cell debris. Then the filtered sample was placed under 4 °C for short-term storage or under -80 °C for long-term storage.

It should now be appreciated from all of the above that one of the additional features of the 3D bioreactor disclosed herein is that one may now design a 3D bioreactor, for expansion of viral vector producing cells, with particular geometric and void volume requirements, and

corresponding available surface area requirements, and be able to achieve (i.e., during fabrication or manufacturing) such targets with relatively minimal variation. For example, one may now identify a design requirement for a 3D bioreactor wherein the one or more internal voids are to have a targeted void volume " V_t ", and the 3D bioreactor itself is to have a targeted overall surface area for cell culturing " SA_t ". Accordingly, one may now form such 3D bioreactor wherein the one or more internal voids have an actual void volume " V_a " that is within $\pm 10.0\%$ of V_t , or more preferably, $\pm 5.0\%$ of V_t . Similarly, the actual surface area for cell culturing SA_a is within $\pm 10.0\%$ of SA_t , or more preferably $\pm 5.0\%$ of SA_t . Moreover, one may also identify for the internal surface within the targeted voids a targeted geometry for fabrication such as a targeted radius of curvature " Rc_t " and then in fabrication the actual radius of curvature " Rc_a " of the void internal surface can now be achieved that is within $\pm 5\%$ of Rc_t .

This invention therefore describes a scalable 3D bioreactor which can reduce from using hundreds of T-flasks to only 3 individual 3D bioreactors of different size for the expansion from 10^5 to 10^9 cells. As illustrated in **FIG. 15**, in order to expand 10^5 cells to 10^9 cells, one must utilize 124 T-flasks. By contrast, using three of the 3D bioreactors herein of increasing size, one can more readily achieved this level of cell expansion. In addition, the 3D bioreactor facilitates automatic close-loop cell expansion, which will significantly increase the efficiency in cell expansion and meet the cGMP (current Good Manufacturing Practice) regulatory requirements to expand cells for clinical applications. Furthermore, the use of the 3D bioreactor herein will significantly reduce the use of cell culture mediums. The 3D bioreactor herein is one that scalable with a defined geometry, surface coating, and fluidic dynamics to maintain a monolayer cell culture and reduce or prevent cell aggregation (cell-cell contacting and/or stacking), phenotype change, or extracellular production, and is particularly suitable for the expansion of stem cells, primary cells, and other adherent cells, or non-adherent cells under appropriate surface coating of the bioreactor.