

BIOLOGICAL-BASED CATALYST TO DELAY PLANT DEVELOPMENT PROCESSES

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to methods for delaying a plant development comprising exposing a plant or plant part to one or more bacteria or enzymes. Apparatuses for delaying a plant development process are further provided.

5

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Ethylene production in plants and plant parts is induced by a variety of external factors and stressors, including wounding, the application of hormones (e.g., auxin), anaerobic conditions, chilling, heat, drought, and pathogen infection.

10 Increased ethylene production also is observed during a variety of plant development processes, including fruit or vegetable ripening, seed germination, leaf abscission, and flower senescence.

Ethylene biosynthesis in plants is typically depicted as an enzymatic scheme involving three enzymes, traditionally referred to as the "Yang Cycle," in which S-adenosyl-L-methionine (SAM) synthase catalyzes conversion of methionine to S-adenosyl-L-methionine (AdoMet); 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (ACC) synthase catalyzes the conversion of AdoMet to ACC; and ACC oxidase catalyzes the conversion of ACC to ethylene and the byproducts carbon dioxide and hydrogen cyanide. See, for example, Srivastava (2001) *Plant Growth and Development: Hormones and Environment* (Academic Press, New York) for a general description of ethylene biosynthesis in plants and plant development processes regulated by ethylene.

20 Previous research has established that in climacteric fruit ripening is triggered, at least in part, by a sudden and significant increase in ethylene biosynthesis. Although a sudden burst of ethylene production is implicated in the fruit ripening process of climacteric fruits, the exact mechanism, particularly in nonclimacteric fruits, is not completely understood. While there is no sudden burst of ethylene production in non-climacteric fruit, non-climacteric fruit will respond to ethylene. Moreover, fruits, vegetables, and other plant products vary in the amount of ethylene

synthesized and also in the sensitivity of the particular product to ethylene. For example, apples exhibit a high level of ethylene production and ethylene sensitivity, whereas artichokes display a low level of ethylene biosynthesis and ethylene sensitivity. See, for example, Cantwell (2001) "Properties and Recommended
5 Conditions for Storage of Fresh Fruits and Vegetables" at postharvest.ucdavis.edu/Produce/Storage/index.shtml (last accessed on March 6, 2007), which is herein incorporated by reference in its entirety. Fruit ripening typically results in a change in color, softening of the pericarp, and changes in the sugar content and flavor of the fruit. While ripening initially makes fruit more edible
10 and attractive to eat, the process eventually leads to degradation and deterioration of fruit quality, making it unacceptable for consumption, leading to significant commercial monetary losses. Control of the ripening process is desirable for improving shelf-life and extending the time available for transportation, storage, and sale of fruit and other agricultural products subject to ripening.

15 In addition to a sudden increase in ethylene biosynthesis in climacteric fruits, ripening-related changes are also associated with a rise in respiration rate. Heat is produced as a consequence of respiration in fruit, vegetables, and other plant products and, consequently, impacts the shelf-life and the required storage conditions (e.g., refrigeration) for these commodities. Plant products with higher rates of respiration
20 (e.g., artichokes, cut flowers, asparagus, broccoli, spinach, etc.) exhibit shorter shelf-lives than those with lower respiration rates (e.g., nuts, dates, apples, citrus fruits, grapes, etc.). Respiration is affected by a number of environmental factors including temperature, atmospheric composition, physical stress, light, chemical stress, radiation, water stress, growth regulators, and pathogen attack. In particular,
25 temperature plays a significant role in respiration rate. For a general description of respiratory metabolism and recommended controlled atmospheric conditions for fruits, vegetables, and other plant products see, for example, Kader (2001) *Postharvest Horticulture Series* No. 22A:29-70 (University of California – Davis); Saltveit (University of California – Davis) "Respiratory Metabolism" at
30 usna.usda.gov/hb66/019respiration.pdf (last accessed on March 6, 2007); and Cantwell (2001) "Properties and Recommended Conditions for Storage of Fresh Fruits and Vegetables" at postharvest.ucdavis.edu/Produce/Storage/index.shtml (last

accessed on March 6, 2007), all of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety.

Methods and compositions for delaying the fruit ripening process include, for example, the application of silver salts (e.g., silver thiosulfate), 2,5-norbornadiene, potassium permanganate, 1-methylcyclopropene (1-MCP), cyclopropene (CP) and derivatives thereof. These compounds have significant disadvantages, such as the presence of heavy metals, foul odors, and explosive properties when compressed, that make them unacceptable for or of limited applicability for use in the food industry. Transgenic approaches for controlling ethylene production to delay plant development processes (e.g., fruit ripening) by introducing nucleic acid sequences that limit ethylene production, particularly by reducing the expression of the enzymes ACC synthase or ACC oxidase, are also under investigation. The public's response to genetically modified agricultural products, however, has not been entirely favorable.

Accordingly, a significant need remains in the art for safe methods and apparatuses to delay plant development processes. Such methods and apparatuses could provide better control of fruit ripening, vegetable ripening, flower senescence, leaf abscission, and seed germination and extend the shelf-life of various agricultural products (e.g., fruit, vegetables, and cut flowers), thereby permitting longer distance transportation of these products without the need for refrigeration, increasing product desirability to consumers, and decreasing monetary costs associated with product loss due to untimely ripening and senescence.

BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Methods for delaying a plant development process, including but not limited to fruit ripening, vegetable ripening, flower senescence, and leaf abscission, are provided. The methods of the present invention generally comprise exposing a plant or plant part to one or more bacteria in a quantity sufficient to delay the plant development process of interest. In certain aspects of the invention, the bacteria are selected from the group consisting of *Rhodococcus spp.*, *Pseudomonas chloroaphis*, *Brevibacterium ketoglutamicum*, and mixtures thereof. The bacteria used in the practice of the present methods may be further treated with an inducing agent,

including for example asparagine, glutamine, cobalt, urea, and mixtures thereof, to induce the ability of the bacteria to delay a plant development process of interest.

The present invention further provides apparatuses for delaying a plant development process comprising a catalyst that comprises one or more of bacteria, particularly *Rhodococcus spp.*, *Pseudomonas chloroaphis*, *Brevibacterium ketoglutamicum*, or a mixture thereof. Any apparatus that permits exposure of a plant or plant part to the catalyst and delays the plant development process of interest is encompassed by the present invention. Exemplary apparatuses include those in which the catalyst is immobilized in a matrix and placed in, placed on, or otherwise affixed to any physical structure. Various configurations of the disclosed apparatuses are envisioned and described in greater detail herein below. The methods and apparatuses of the invention for delaying a plant development process find particular use in increasing shelf-life and facilitating longer-distance transportation of plant products such as fruits, vegetables, and flowers, improving consumer product satisfaction, and reducing product loss resulting from untimely ripening or senescence.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Having thus described the invention in general terms, reference will now be made to the accompanying drawings, which are not necessarily drawn to scale, and wherein:

FIG. 1 shows a non-limiting depiction of a three-layer apparatus for retarding fruit ripening. The outer layers (designated A and B) provide structural integrity to the apparatus. The catalyst layer, as defined herein below, comprises one or more of the enzymes of the invention and is located between the outer layers.

FIG. 2A-C provides non-limiting depictions of various apparatuses for retarding fruit ripening. These apparatuses comprise a catalyst layer, one or more layers intended to provide structural integrity, and one or more layers intended to be removed prior to use of the apparatus. Removal of one or more of these layers may, for example, expose an adhesive for attachment of the apparatus to another physical structure.

FIGS. 3A-3B show a non-limiting depiction of an apparatus for retarding fruit ripening. The apparatus comprises a catalyst immobilized on a layer of film and attached to a physical structure (e.g., a box suitable for storage/transportation of fruit).

FIG. 4 provides a non-limiting depiction of an apparatus for retarding fruit ripening. The apparatus comprises a slotted chamber structure that permits the insertion and replacement of one or more catalyst module elements, as defined below. The outer layers of the physical structure may be composed of a material that permits air to flow into the catalyst.

10 DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The present inventions now will be described more fully hereinafter with reference to specific embodiments of the invention and particularly to the various drawings provided herewith. Indeed, the invention may be embodied in many different forms and should not be construed as limited to the embodiments set forth
15 herein; rather, these embodiments are provided so that this disclosure will satisfy applicable legal requirements. As used in the specification, and in the appended claims, the singular forms "a", "an", "the", include plural referents unless the context clearly dictates otherwise.

Throughout the specification the word "comprising," or grammatical
20 variations thereof, will be understood to imply the inclusion of a stated element, integer or step, or group of elements, integers or steps, but not the exclusion of any other element, integer or step, or group of elements, integers or steps.

The present invention provides methods for delaying a plant development process of interest comprising exposing a plant or plant part to one or more bacteria.
25 In particular embodiments, the methods are drawn to delaying a plant development process comprising exposing a plant or plant part to one or more bacteria selected from the group consisting of *Rhodococcus spp.*, *Pseudomonas chloroaphis*, *Brevibacterium ketoglutamicum*, and mixtures thereof, wherein the one or more bacteria are exposed to the plant or plant part in a quantity sufficient to delay the plant
30 development process. Apparatuses for delaying a plant development process of interest and for practicing the methods described herein are further provided. The inventive methods and apparatuses of the invention may be used, for example, to

delay fruit/vegetable ripening or flower senescence and to increase the shelf-life of fruit, vegetables, or flowers, thereby facilitating transportation, distribution, and marketing of such plant products.

As used herein, "plant" or "plant part" is broadly defined to include intact
5 plants and any part of a plant, including but not limited to fruit, vegetables, flowers, seeds, leaves, nuts, embryos, pollen, ovules, branches, kernels, ears, cobs, husks, stalks, roots, root tips, anthers, and the like. In particular embodiments, the plant part is a fruit, vegetable, or flower. In certain aspects of the invention, the plant part is a fruit, more particularly a climacteric fruit, as described in more detail below.

10 The methods and apparatuses of the invention are directed to delaying a plant development process, such as a plant development process generally associated with increased ethylene biosynthesis. "Plant development process" is intended to mean any growth or development process of a plant or plant part, including but not limited to fruit ripening, vegetable ripening, flower senescence, leaf abscission, seed
15 germination, and the like. In particular embodiments, the plant development process of interest is fruit or vegetable ripening, flower senescence, or leaf abscission, more particularly fruit or vegetable ripening. As defined herein, "delaying a plant development process," and grammatical variants thereof, refers to any slowing, interruption, suppression, or inhibition of the plant development process of interest or
20 the phenotypic or genotypic changes to the plant or plant part typically associated with the specific plant development process. For example, when the plant development process of interest is fruit ripening, a delay in fruit ripening may include inhibition of the changes generally associated with the ripening process (e.g., color change, softening of pericarp (i.e., ovary wall), increases in sugar content, changes in
25 flavor, general degradation/deterioration of the fruit, and eventual decreases in the desirability of the fruit to consumers, as described above). One of skill in the art will appreciate that the length of time required for fruit ripening to occur will vary depending on, for example, the type of fruit and the specific storage conditions utilized (e.g., temperature, humidity, air flow, etc.). Accordingly, "delaying fruit
30 ripening" may constitute a delay of 1 to 90 days, particularly 1 to 30 days, more particularly 5 to 30 days. Methods for assessing a delay in a plant development process such as fruit ripening, vegetable ripening, flower senescence, and leaf

abscission are well within the routine capabilities of those of ordinary skill in the art and may be based on, for example, comparison to plant development processes in untreated plants or plant parts. In certain aspects of the invention, delays in a plant development process resulting from the practice of the present methods may be
5 assessed relative to untreated plants or plant parts or to plants or plant parts that have been treated with one or more agents known to retard the plant development process of interest. For example, a delay in fruit ripening resulting from performance of a method of the invention may be compared to fruit ripening times of untreated fruit or fruit that has been treated with an anti-ripening agent, such as those described herein
10 above.

The methods of the invention for delaying a plant development process typically comprise exposing a plant or plant part to one or more of the following bacteria: *Rhodococcus* spp., *Pseudomonas chloroaphis*, *Brevibacterium ketoglutamicum*, or a mixture containing any combination of these bacteria. In certain
15 embodiments, the one or more bacteria include *Rhodococcus* spp., more particularly *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* DAP 96253 strain, *Rhodococcus* sp. DAP 96622 strain, *Rhodococcus erythropolis*, or mixtures thereof. As used herein, exposing a plant or plant part to one or more of the above bacteria includes, for example, exposure to intact bacterial cells, bacterial cell lysates, and bacterial extracts that possess
20 enzymatic activity (i.e., "enzymatic extracts"). Methods for preparing lysates and enzymatic extracts from cells, including bacterial cells, are routine in the art. The one or more bacteria used in the methods and apparatuses of the invention may at times be more generally referred to herein as the "catalyst."

In accordance with the methods of the invention, the one or more bacteria are
25 exposed to the plant or plant part in a quantity sufficient to delay the plant development process. "Exposing" a plant or plant part to one or more of the bacteria of the invention includes any method for presenting a bacterium to the plant or plant part. Indirect methods of exposure include, for example, placing the bacterium or mixture of bacteria in the general proximity of the plant or plant part (i.e., indirect
30 exposure). In other embodiments, the bacteria may be exposed to the plant or plant part via closer or direct contact. Furthermore, as defined herein, a "sufficient" quantity of the one or more bacteria of the invention will depend on a variety of

factors, including but not limited to, the particular bacteria utilized in the method, the form in which the bacteria is exposed to the plant or plant part (e.g., as intact bacterial cells, cell lysates, or enzymatic extracts, as described above), the means by which the bacteria is exposed to the plant or plant part, and the length of time of exposure. It would be a matter of routine experimentation for the skilled artisan to determine the "sufficient" quantity of the one or more bacteria necessary to delay the plant development process of interest.

Although in particular embodiments of the invention the one or more bacteria are selected from the group consisting of *Rhodococcus* spp., *Pseudomonas chloroaphis*, *Brevibacterium ketoglutamicum*, any bacterium that delays a plant development process when exposed to a plant or plant part can be used in the present methods and apparatuses. For example, bacteria belonging to the genus *Nocardia* [see Japanese Patent Application No. 54-129190], *Rhodococcus* [see Japanese Patent Application No. 2-470], *Rhizobium* [see Japanese Patent Application No. 5-236977], *Klebsiella* [Japanese Patent Application No. 5-30982], *Aeromonas* [Japanese Patent Application No. 5-30983], *Agrobacterium* [Japanese Patent Application No. 8-154691], *Bacillus* [Japanese Patent Application No. 8-187092], *Pseudonocardia* [Japanese Patent Application No. 8-56684], *Pseudomonas*, and *Mycobacterium* are non-limiting examples of microorganisms that can be used according to the invention. Not all species within a given genus may exhibit the same properties. Thus, it is possible to have a genus generally known to include strains capable of exhibiting a desired activity (e.g., the ability to delay a particular plant development process such as, for example, fruit ripening) but have one or more species that do not generally exhibit the desired activity. In light of the disclosure provided herein and the general knowledge in the art, however, it would be a matter of routine experimentation for the skilled artisan to carry out an assay to determine whether a particular species possesses one or more of the desired activities.

Further, specific examples of bacteria useful according to the invention include, but are not limited to, *Nocardia* sp., *Rhodococcus* sp., *Rhodococcus rhodochrous*, *Klebsiella* sp., *Aeromonas* sp., *Citrobacter freundii*, *Agrobacterium rhizogenes*, *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*, *Xanthobacter flavas*, *Erwinia nigrifluens*, *Enterobacter* sp., *Streptomyces* sp., *Rhizobium* sp., *Rhizobium loti*, *Rhizobium*

legminosarum, *Rhizobium merioli*, *Candida guilliermondii*, *Pantoea agglomerans*,
Klebsiella pneumoniae subsp. *pneumoniae*, *Agrobacterium radiobacter*, *Bacillus*
smithii, *Pseudonocardia thermophila*, *Pseudomonas chloroaphis*, *Pseudomonas*
erythropolis, *Brevibacterium ketoglutamicum*, *Rhodococcus erythropolis*, *Nocardia*
5 *farcinica*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Helicobacter pylori*. In particular
embodiments, bacteria from the genus *Rhodococcus*, more specifically *Rhodococcus*
rhodochrous DAP 96253 strain (ATCC Deposit No. 55899; deposited with the ATCC
on December 11, 1996), *Rhodococcus* sp. DAP 96622 strain (ATCC Deposit No.
55898; deposited with the ATCC on December 11, 1996), *Rhodococcus erythropolis*,
10 or mixtures thereof, are used in the methods and apparatuses of the invention.

In certain aspects of the invention, the one or more bacteria are "induced" to
exhibit a desired characteristic (e.g., the ability to delay a plant development process
such as fruit ripening) by exposure to or treatment with a suitable inducing agent.
Inducing agents include but are not limited to asparagine, glutamine, cobalt, urea, or
15 any mixture thereof. In particular embodiments, the bacteria are exposed to or treated
with the inducing agent asparagine, more particularly a mixture of the inducing agents
comprising asparagine, cobalt, and urea. The inducing agent can be added at any time
during cultivation of the desired cells. For example, with respect to bacteria, the
culture medium can be supplemented with an inducing agent prior to beginning
20 cultivation of the bacteria. Alternately, the bacteria could be cultivated on a medium
for a predetermined amount of time to grow the bacteria and the inducing agent could
be added at one or more predetermined times to induce the desired enzymatic activity
in the bacteria. Moreover, the inducing agent could be added to the growth medium
(or to a separate mixture including the previously grown bacteria) to induce the
25 desired activity in the bacteria after the growth of the bacteria is completed.

While not intending to be limited to a particular mechanism, "inducing" the
bacteria of the invention may result in the production (or increased production) of one
or more enzymes, such as a nitrile hydratase, amidase, and/or asparaginase, and the
induction of one or more of these enzymes may play a role in delaying a plant
30 development process of interest. "Nitrile hydratases," "amidases," and
"asparaginases" comprise families of enzymes present in cells from various
organisms, including but not limited to, bacteria, fungi, plants, and animals. Such

enzymes are well known to persons of skill in the art, and each class of enzyme possesses recognized enzymatic activities. "Enzymatic activity," as used herein, generally refers to the ability of an enzyme to act as a catalyst in a process, such as the conversion of one compound to another compound. In particular, nitrile hydratase
5 catalyzes the hydrolysis of nitrile (or cyanohydrin) to the corresponding amide (or hydroxy acid). Amidase catalyzes the hydrolysis of an amide to the corresponding acid or hydroxyl acid. Similarly, an asparaginase enzyme, such as asparaginase I, catalyzes the hydrolysis of asparagine to aspartic acid.

In certain aspects of the invention, enzymatic activity can be referred to in
10 terms of "units" per mass of enzyme or cells (typically based on the dry weight of the cells, e.g., units/mg cdw). A "unit" generally refers to the ability to convert a specific amount of a compound to a different compound under a defined set of conditions as a function of time. In specific embodiments, one "unit" of nitrile hydratase activity can relate to the ability to convert one μmol of acrylonitrile to its corresponding amide per
15 minute, per milligram of cells (dry weight) at a pH of 7.0 and a temperature of 30°C. Similarly, one unit of amidase activity can relate to the ability to convert one μmol of acrylamide to its corresponding acid per minute, per milligram of cells (dry weight) at a pH of 7.0 and a temperature of 30°C. Further, one unit of asparaginase activity can relate to the ability to convert one μmol of asparagine to its corresponding acid per
20 minute, per milligram of cells (dry weight) at a pH of 7.0 and a temperature of 30°C. Assays for measuring nitrile hydratase, amidase activity, or asparaginase activity are known in the art and include, for example, the detection of free ammonia. See Fawcett and Scott (1960) *J. Clin. Pathol.* 13:156-159, which is incorporated herein by reference in their entirety.

25 Methods of delaying a plant development process comprising exposing a plant or plant part to one or more enzymes selected from the group consisting of nitrile hydratase, amidase, asparaginase, or a mixture thereof, wherein the one or more enzymes are exposed to the plant or plant part in a quantity or at an enzymatic activity level sufficient to delay the plant development process are further encompassed by the
30 present invention. For example, whole cells that produce, are induced to produce, or are genetically modified to produce one or more of the above enzymes (i.e., nitrile hydratase, amidase, and/or asparaginase) may be used in methods to delay a plant

development process. Alternatively, the nitrile hydratase, amidase, and/or asparaginase may be isolated, purified, or semi-purified from any the above cells and exposed to the plant or plant part in a more isolated form. See, for example, Goda *et al.* (2001) *J. Biol. Chem.* 276:23480-23485; Nagasawa *et al.* (2000) *Eur. J. Biochem.* 267:138-144; Soong *et al.* (2000) *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 66:1947-1952; Kato *et al.* (1999) *Eur. J. Biochem.* 263:662-670, all of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety. One of skill in the art will further appreciate that a single cell type may be capable of producing (or being induced or genetically modified to produce) more than one of the enzymes of the invention. Such cells are suitable for use in the disclosed methods and apparatuses.

The nucleotide and amino acid sequences for several nitrile hydratases, amidases, and asparaginases from various organisms are disclosed in publicly available sequence databases. A non-limiting list of representative nitrile hydratases and aliphatic amidases known in the art is set forth in Tables 1 and 2 and in the sequence listing. The "protein score" referred to in Tables 1 and 2 provides an overview of percentage confidence intervals (% Confid. Interval) of the identification of the isolated proteins based on mass spectroscopy data.

Table 1: Amino Acid Sequence Information for Representative Nitrile Hydratases

Source organism	Accession No.	Sequence Identifier	Protein Score (% Confid. Interval)
<i>Rhodococcus sp.</i>	806580	SEQ ID NO:1	100%
<i>Nocardia sp.</i>	27261874	SEQ ID NO:2	100%
<i>Rhodococcus rhodochrous</i>	49058	SEQ ID NO:3	100%
Uncultured bacterium (BD2); beta-subunit of nitrile hydratase	27657379	SEQ ID NO:4	100%
<i>Rhodococcus sp.</i>	806581	SEQ ID NO:5	100%
<i>Rhodococcus rhodochrous</i>	581528	SEQ ID NO:6	100%
Uncultured bacterium (SP1); alpha-subunit of nitrile hydratase	7657369	SEQ ID NO:7	100%

Table 2: Amino Acid Sequence Information for Representative Aliphatic Amidases

Source organism	Accession No.	Sequence Identity	Protein Score (% Confid. Interval)
<i>Rhodococcus rhodochrous</i>	62461692	SEQ ID NO:8	100%
<i>Nocardia farcinica</i> IFM 10152	54022723	SEQ ID NO:9	100%
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> PAO1	15598562	SEQ ID NO:10	98.3%
<i>Helicobacter pylori</i> J99	15611349	SEQ ID NO:11	99.6%
<i>Helicobacter pylori</i> 26695	2313392	SEQ ID NO:12	97.7%
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	150980	SEQ ID NO:13	94%

Generally, any bacterial, fungal, plant, or animal cell capable of producing or being induced to produce nitrile hydratase, amidase, asparaginase, or any combination thereof may be used in the practice of the invention. A nitrile hydratase, amidase, and/or asparaginase may be produced constitutively in a cell from a particular organism (e.g., a bacterium, fungus, plant cell, or animal cell) or, alternatively, a cell may produce the desired enzyme or enzymes only following "induction" with a suitable inducing agent. "Constitutively" is intended to mean that at least one enzyme of the invention is continually produced or expressed in a particular cell type. Other cell types, however, may need to be "induced," as described above, to express nitrile hydratase, amidase, and/or asparaginase at a sufficient quantity or enzymatic activity level to delay a plant development process of interest. That is, an enzyme of the invention may only be produced (or produced at sufficient levels) following exposure to or treatment with a suitable inducing agent. Such inducing agents are known in the art and outlined above. For example, in certain aspects of the invention, the one or more bacteria are treated with an inducing agent such as asparagine, glutamine, cobalt, urea, or any mixture thereof, more particularly a mixture of asparagine, cobalt, and urea. Furthermore, as disclosed in pending U.S. Application No. 11/669,011, entitled "Induction and Stabilization of Enzymatic Activity in Microorganisms," filed January 30, 2007, asparaginase I activity can be induced in *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* DAP 96622 (Gram-positive) or *Rhodococcus sp.* DAP 96253 (Gram-positive), in medium supplemented with amide containing amino acids, or derivatives thereof. Other strains of *Rhodococcus* can also preferentially be similarly induced to exhibit

asparaginase I enzymatic activity utilizing amide containing amino acids, or derivatives thereof.

In other aspects of the invention, *P. chloroaphis* (ATCC Deposit No. 43051), which produces asparaginase I activity in the presence of asparagine, and *B. kletoglutamicum* (ATCC Deposit No. 21533), a Gram-positive bacterium that has also been shown to produce asparaginase activity, are used in the disclosed methods. Fungal cells, such as those from the genus *Fusarium*, plant cells, and animal cells, that express a nitrile hydratase, amidase, and/or an asparaginase, may also be used in the methods and apparatuses disclosed herein, either as whole cells or as a source from which to isolated one or more of the above enzymes.

In additional embodiments, host cells that have been genetically engineered to express a nitrile hydratase, amidase, and/or asparaginase can be used exposed to a plant or plant part in accordance with the present methods and apparatuses for delaying a plant development process. Specifically, a polynucleotide that encodes a nitrile hydratase, amidase, or asparaginase (or multiple polynucleotides each of which encodes a nitrile hydratase, amidase, or asparaginase) may be introduced by standard molecular biology techniques into a host cell to produce a transgenic cell that expresses one or more of the enzymes of the invention. The use of the terms "polynucleotide," "polynucleotide construct," "nucleotide," or "nucleotide construct" is not intended to limit the present invention to polynucleotides or nucleotides comprising DNA. Those of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that polynucleotides and nucleotides can comprise ribonucleotides and combinations of ribonucleotides and deoxyribonucleotides. Such deoxyribonucleotides and ribonucleotides include both naturally occurring molecules and synthetic analogues. The polynucleotides of the invention also encompass all forms of sequences including, but not limited to, single-stranded forms, double-stranded forms, and the like.

Variants and fragments of polynucleotides that encode polypeptides that retain the desired enzymatic activity (i.e., nitrile hydratase, amidase, or asparaginase activity) may also be used in the practice of the invention. By "fragment" is intended a portion of the polynucleotide and hence also encodes a portion of the corresponding protein. Polynucleotides that are fragments of an enzyme nucleotide sequence

generally comprise at least 10, 15, 20, 50, 75, 100, 150, 200, 250, 300, 350, 400, 450, 500, 550, 600, 650, 700, 800, 900, 1,000, 1,100, 1,200, 1,300, or 1,400 contiguous nucleotides, or up to the number of nucleotides present in a full-length enzyme polynucleotide sequence. A polynucleotide fragment will encode a polypeptide with
5 a desired enzymatic activity and will generally encode at least 15, 25, 30, 50, 100, 150, 200, or 250 contiguous amino acids, or up to the total number of amino acids present in a full-length enzyme amino acid sequence of the invention. "Variant" is intended to mean substantially similar sequences. Generally, variants of a particular enzyme sequence of the invention will have at least about 40%, 45%, 50%, 55%,
10 60%, 65%, 70%, 75%, 80%, 85%, 90%, 91%, 92%, 93%, 94%, 95%, 96%, 97%, 98%, 99% or more sequence identity to the reference enzyme sequence, as determined by standard sequence alignment programs. Variant polynucleotides encompassed by the invention will encode polypeptides with the desired enzyme activity.

As used in the context of production of transgenic cells, the term
15 "introducing" is intended to mean presenting to a host cell, particularly a microorganism such as *Escherichia coli*, with a polynucleotide that encodes a nitrile hydratase, amidase, and/or asparaginase. In some embodiments, the polynucleotide will be presented in such a manner that the sequence gains access to the interior of a host cell, including its potential insertion into the genome of the host cell. The
20 methods of the invention do not depend on a particular method for introducing a sequence into a host cell, only that the polynucleotide gains access to the interior of at least one host cell. Methods for introducing polynucleotides into host cells are well known in the art including, but not limited to, stable transfection methods, transient transfection methods, and virus-mediated methods. "Stable transfection" is intended
25 to mean that the polynucleotide construct introduced into a host cell integrates into the genome of the host and is capable of being inherited by the progeny thereof. "Transient transfection" or "transient expression" is intended to mean that a polynucleotide is introduced into the host cell but does not integrate into the host's genome.

30 Furthermore, the nitrile hydratase, amidase, or asparaginase nucleotide sequence may be contained on, for example, a plasmid for introduction into the host cell. Typical plasmids of interest include vectors having defined cloning sites, origins

of replication, and selectable markers. The plasmid may further include transcription and translation initiation sequences and transcription and translation terminators. Plasmids can also include generic expression cassettes containing at least one independent terminator sequence, sequences permitting replication of the cassette in
5 eukaryotes, or prokaryotes, or both, (e.g., shuttle vectors) and selection markers for both prokaryotic and eukaryotic systems. Vectors are suitable for replication and integration in prokaryotes, eukaryotes, or optimally both. For general descriptions of cloning, packaging, and expression systems and methods, see Gilman and Smith (1979) *Gene* 8:81-97; Roberts *et al.* (1987) *Nature* 328:731-734; Berger and Kimmel
10 (1989) *Guide to Molecular Cloning Techniques, Methods in Enzymology*, Vol. 152 (Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, California); Sambrook *et al.* (1989) *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual*, Vols. 1-3 (2d ed; Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Plainview, New York); and Ausubel *et al.*, eds. (1994) *Current Protocols in Molecular Biology, Current Protocols* (Greene Publishing Associates, Inc., and John
15 Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York; 1994 Supplement). Transgenic host cells that express one or more of the enzymes of the invention may be used in the disclosed methods and apparatuses as whole cells or as a biological source from which one or more enzymes of the invention can be isolated.

Apparatuses for delaying a plant development process and for performing the
20 methods of the invention are further provided. In particular embodiments, an apparatus for delaying a plant development process, particularly fruit ripening, comprising a catalyst that comprises one or more bacteria selected from the group consisting of *Rhodococcus spp.*, *Pseudomonas chloroaphis*, *Brevibacterium ketoglutamicum*, and mixtures thereof is encompassed by the present invention.
25 *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* DAP 96253 strain, *Rhodococcus sp.* DAP 96622 strain, *Rhodococcus erythropolis*, or mixtures thereof may be used in certain aspects of the invention. The one or more bacteria of an apparatus of the invention are provided in a quantity sufficient to delay a plant development process of interest, as defined herein above. In other aspects of the invention, the catalyst comprises one or more enzymes
30 (i.e., nitrile hydratase, amidase, and/or asparaginase) in a quantity or at an enzymatic activity level sufficient to delay a plant development process. Sources of the desired enzymes for use as a catalyst in the apparatuses of the invention are also described in

detail above. For example, the catalyst may be used in the form of whole cells that produce (or are induced or genetically modified to produce) one or more of the enzymes of the invention or may comprise the enzyme(s) themselves in an isolated, purified, or semi-purified form.

5 Apparatuses for delaying a plant development process encompassed by the present invention may be provided in a variety of suitable formats and may be appropriate for single use or multiple uses (e.g., "re-chargeable"). Furthermore, the apparatuses of the invention find use in both residential and commercial settings. For example, such apparatuses can be integrated into residential or commercial
10 refrigerators, included in trains, trucks, etc. for long-distance transport of fruit, vegetables, or flowers, or used as stand-alone cabinets for the storage or transport of such plant products. Exemplary, non-limiting apparatuses of the invention are described herein below and depicted in Figures 1-4.

In particular embodiments, the catalyst is provided in an immobilized format.
15 Any process or matrix for immobilizing the catalyst may be used so long as the ability of the one or more bacteria (or enzymes) to delay a plant development process is retained. For example, the catalyst may be immobilized in a matrix comprising alginate (e.g., calcium alginate), carrageen, DEAE-cellulose, or polyacrylamide. Other such matrices are well known in the art and may be further cross-linked with
20 any appropriate cross-linking agent, including but not limited to glutaraldehyde or polyethylenimine, to increase the mechanical strength of the catalyst matrix. In one aspect of the invention, the catalyst is immobilized in a glutaraldehyde cross-linked DEAE-cellulose matrix. The catalyst, particularly the catalyst in an immobilized form, may be further presented as a "catalyst module element." A catalyst module
25 element comprises a catalyst, such as an immobilized catalyst, within an additional structure that, for example, reduces potential contact with the catalyst, facilitates replacement of the catalyst, or permits air flow across the catalyst.

In one embodiment, the matrix comprises alginate, or salts thereof. Alginate is a linear copolymer with homopolymeric blocks of (1-4)-linked β -D-mannuronate
30 (M) and its C-5 epimer α -L-guluronate (G) residues, respectively, covalently linked together in different sequences or blocks. The monomers can appear in homopolymeric blocks of consecutive G-residues (G-blocks), consecutive M-residues

(M-blocks), alternating M and G-residues (MG-blocks), or randomly organized blocks. In one embodiment, calcium alginate is used as the substrate, more particularly calcium alginate that has been cross-linked, such as with polyethylenimine, to form a hardened calcium alginate substrate. Further description of such immobilization techniques can be found in Bucke (1987) "Cell Immobilization in Calcium Alginate" in *Methods in Enzymology*, Vol. 135(B) (Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, California; Mosbach, ed.), which is incorporated herein by reference. An exemplary method of immobilization using polyethyleneimine cross-linked calcium alginate is also described below in Example 5. In another embodiment, the matrix comprises an amide-containing polymer. Any polymer comprising one or more amide groups could be used according to the invention. In one embodiment, the substrate comprises a polyacrylamide polymer.

Increased mechanical strength of an immobilized catalyst matrix can be achieved through cross-linking. For example, cells can be chemically cross-linked to form agglutinations of cells. In one embodiment, cells harvested are cross-linked using glutaraldehyde. For example, cells can be suspended in a mixture of de-ionized water and glutaraldehyde followed by addition of polyethyleneimine until maximum flocculation is achieved. The cross-linked cells (typically in the form of particles formed of a number of cells) can be harvested by simple filtration. Further description of such techniques is provided in Lopez-Gallego *et al.* (2005) *J. Biotechnol.* 119:70-75, which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety. A general protocol for immobilization of cells, particularly *Rhodococcus spp.* cells, in DEAE-cellulose cross-linked with glutaraldehyde is also outlined below in Example 4.

In certain aspects of the invention, the immobilized catalyst or one or more catalyst module elements are placed in, placed on, or affixed to a "physical structure." The physical structure includes but is not limited to a film, sheet, coating layer, box, pouch, bag, or slotted chamber capable of holding one or more catalyst module elements. In certain embodiments, the physical structure comprises a container suitable for transport or storage of fruit, vegetables, or flowers. The physical structure may further comprise more than one individual structure, whereby all of the individual structures are connected to a central catalyst or catalyst module element. A

physical structure described herein above may optionally be refrigerated by external means or comprise a refrigeration unit within the physical structure itself.

Elements for monitoring the efficacy of the catalyst for delaying a plant development process of interest (e.g., to assess when the catalyst or catalyst module should be replaced) or for measuring or controlling air flow, moisture content/humidity, and carbon dioxide levels may be optionally included in an apparatus of the invention. Any apparatus for delaying a plant development process may further comprise one or more elements to permit air flow to or through the catalyst or catalyst module element. The skilled artisan would readily envision other possible modifications to the apparatuses described herein for monitoring and controlling the atmospheric conditions (e.g., air flow, humidity, and carbon dioxide levels) of the catalyst, the catalyst module element, or the physical structure. Conditions such as temperature, atmospheric composition (e.g., relative humidity, O₂ and CO₂ levels, physical stress, light, chemical stress, radiation, water stress, growth regulators, and pathogen attack play an important role in respiration rates and significantly impact shelf-life of fruits, vegetables, flowers, and other plant-related products. Although temperature and atmospheric conditions for storage vary depending on the fruit, vegetable, or other plant product of interest, recommended storage temperatures are typically in the range of about 0° to about 20°C with O₂ and CO₂ levels in the approximate ranges of 1-10% and 0-20%, respectively. A relative humidity of about 50% to about 100%, particularly 85% to about 95%, more particularly about 90% to about 95% is generally recommended for the storage of fruits, vegetables, and related plant products. Given the significant correlation between respiration rate and shelf-life of plant products, control of the above factors is important to delaying the deterioration of such products. Accordingly, a carbon dioxide scavenger can be provided in the apparatus to reduce the carbon dioxide content.

In particular embodiments of the invention, air-permeable catalyst apparatuses for delaying a plant development process comprising multiple layers are provided. For example, as shown in Figure 1, a catalyst apparatus 10 can include outer layers 12 and 14 and an intermediate catalyst layer 16 located between the outer layers 12 and 14. The catalyst layer 16 comprises one or more bacteria (e.g., *Rhodococcus spp.*,

Pseudomonas chloroaphis, *Brevibacterium ketoglutamicum*, and mixtures thereof) or enzymes (a nitrile hydratase, amidase, asparaginase, and mixtures thereof), wherein the one or more bacteria or enzymes are provided in a quantity sufficient to delay the plant development process of interest, and a third layer. In this embodiment, one or more of the outer layers 12 and 14 provide structural integrity to the catalyst apparatus 10. The outer layers 12 and 14 typically permit air flow to the catalyst layer 16 although, in some embodiments, it may be advantageous to have an outer layer that is not air-permeable, e.g., if apparatus forms the side of the box and there is a desire not to allow the outermost layer of the box to expose the catalyst layer to the environment. The catalyst apparatus 10 can be provided in reusable or non-reusable bags or pouches in accordance with the invention. In one embodiment, the catalyst layer 16 comprises *Rhodococcus spp.* cells, particularly *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* DAP 96253 strain, *Rhodococcus sp.* DAP 96622 strain, *Rhodococcus erythropolis*, or mixtures thereof. Bacterial cells utilized as a catalyst in an apparatus of the invention may be induced with one or more inducing agents (e.g., asparagine, glutamine, cobalt, urea, or a mixture thereof), as described in detail above.

Figures 2A-2C illustrate alternative apparatuses in accordance with the invention for delaying a plant development process. These apparatuses comprise multiple layers, wherein one or more of the layers are removable. As shown in Figure 2A, the apparatus can include an air-permeable structural layer 22 and a catalyst layer 24. Removable layers 26 and/or 28 can be provided along the structural layer 22 and/or the catalyst layer 24 and are typically intended to be removed prior to using or activating the catalyst. In certain aspects of the invention, the removal of the removable layers 26 and 28 expose an adhesive that facilitates placement or attachment of the catalyst structure to a separate physical structure. Figure 2B illustrates an alternative embodiment wherein the apparatus 30 includes two air-permeable structural layers 32 and 34, an intermediate catalyst layer 36 and a removable layer 38. Figure 2C illustrates yet another embodiment wherein the apparatus 40 includes two air-permeable structural layers 42 and 44, an intermediate catalyst layer 46 and two removable layers 48 and 50.

Figures 3A-3B illustrate an alternative embodiment 60 wherein the catalyst is affixed to the interior of a container such as a cardboard box. As shown in Figure 3A,

a side 62 of the container includes a catalyst layer 64 attached thereto through the use of an adhesive layer 66. A peelable film 68 can be provided adjacent the catalyst layer 64 to protect the catalyst layer from exposure to the environment. The peelable film 68 can be removed to activate the catalyst in the catalyst layer 64 by exposing the catalyst to a plant part provided in the container to thereby delay an undesired plant development process.

Figure 3B illustrates a catalyst structure 70 prior to affixing the catalyst structure to a container interior in the manner shown in Figure 3A. In addition to the catalyst layer 64, the adhesive layer 66, and the peelable film 68, the catalyst structure 70 includes an additional peelable film 72. The peelable film 72, like the peelable film 68, protects the catalyst structure 70 when it is packaged, shipped or stored. The peelable film 72 can be removed to expose the adhesive layer 66 to allow the catalyst structure 70 to be affixed to the container interior in the manner illustrated in Figure 3A.

Figure 4 illustrates a catalyst structure 80 that includes two slots 82 and 84 for receiving a catalyst cassette (e.g. cassette 86). The catalyst cassette 86 is air-permeable and can be easily inserted into or removed from slot 84. Thus, the catalyst cassette 86 can be readily replaced if a new catalyst cassette is desired for use in the catalyst structure 80. The catalyst cassette 86 includes a catalyst such as described herein and that is preferably immobilized in a matrix. The catalyst structure 80 can include opposed air-permeable surfaces 88 and 90 such as mesh screens to allow air flow through the catalyst cassette 86. The catalyst structure 80 can, in alternative embodiments, include only one air-permeable surface, two non-opposed air-permeable surfaces or more than two air-permeable surfaces as would be understood to one of skill in the art. Although Figure 4 includes two slots 82 and 84 for receiving a catalyst cassette (e.g. cassette 86), it would be understood to one of skill in the art that the catalyst structure 80 could include one or more slots for receiving a cassette. The catalyst structure 80 can be provided within a container used to transport a plant part such as fruit or flowers or can be affixed to a container, e.g., through the use of an adhesive layer as discussed herein.

The present methods and apparatuses may be used to delay a plant development process of any plant or plant part of interest. In particular embodiments, the methods

and apparatuses of the invention are directed to delaying ripening and the plant part is a fruit (climacteric or non-climacteric), vegetable, or other plant part subject to ripening. One of skill in the art will recognize that "climacteric fruits" exhibit a sudden burst of ethylene production during fruit ripening, whereas "nonclimacteric fruits" are generally not believed to experience a significant increase in ethylene biosynthesis during the ripening process. Exemplary fruits, vegetables, and other plant products of interest include but are not limited to: apples, apricots, biriba, breadfruit, cherimoya, feijoa, fig, guava, jackfruit, kiwi, bananas, peaches, avocados, apples, cantaloupes, mangos, muskmelons, nectarines, persimmon, sapote, soursop, olives, papaya, passion fruit, pears, plums, tomatoes, bell peppers, blueberries, cacao, caju, cucumbers, grapefruit, lemons, limes, peppers, cherries, oranges, grapes, pineapples, strawberries, watermelons, tamarillos, and nuts.

In other aspects of the invention, the methods and apparatuses are drawn to delaying flower senescence, wilting, abscission, or petal closure. Any flower may be used in the practice of the invention. Exemplary flowers of interest include but are not limited to roses, carnations, orchids, portulaca, malva, and begonias. Cut flowers, more particularly commercially important cut flowers such as roses and carnations, are of particular interest. In certain embodiments, flowers that are sensitive to ethylene are used in the practice of the invention. Ethylene-sensitive flowers include but are not limited to flowers from the genera *Alstroemeria*, *Aneomone*, *Anthurium*, *Antirrhinum*, *Aster*, *Astilbe*, *Cattleya*, *Cymbidium*, *Dahlia*, *Dendrobium*, *Dianthus*, *Eustoma*, *Freesia*, *Gerbera*, *Gypsophila*, *Iris*, *Lathyrus*, *Lilium*, *Limonium*, *Nerine*, *Rosa*, *Syringa*, *Tulipa*, and *Zinnia*. Representative ethylene-sensitive flowers also include those of the families *Amarylidaceae*, *Alliaceae*, *Convallariaceae*, *Hemerocallidaceae*, *Hyacinthaceae*, *Liliaceae*, *Orchidaceae*, *Aizoaceae*, *Cactaceae*, *Campanulaceae*, *Caryophyllaceae*, *Crassulaceae*, *Gentianaceae*, *Malvaceae*, *Plumbaginaceae*, *Portulacaceae*, *Solanaceae*, *Agavaceae*, *Asphodelaceae*, *Asparagaceae*, *Begoniaceae*, *Caprifoliaceae*, *Dipsacaceae*, *Euphorbiaceae*, *Fabaceae*, *Lamiaceae*, *Myrtaceae*, *Onagraceae*, *Saxifragaceae*, and *Verbenaceae*. See, for example, Van Doorn (2002) *Annals of Botany* 89:375-383; Van Doorn (2002) *Annals of Botany* 89:689-693; and Elgar (1998) "Cut Flowers and Foliage - Cooling Requirements and Temperature Management" at

hortnet.co.nz/publications/hortfacts/hf305004.htm (last accessed March 20, 2007), all of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety. Methods and apparatuses for delaying leaf abscission are also encompassed by the present invention. Significant commercial interest exists in the plant, fruit, vegetable, and flower industries for methods and apparatuses for regulating plant development processes such as ripening, senescence, and abscission.

The skilled artisan will further recognize that any of the methods or apparatuses disclosed herein can be combined with other known methods and apparatuses for delaying a plant development process, particularly those processes generally associated with increased ethylene biosynthesis (e.g., fruit/vegetable ripening, flower senescence, and leaf abscission). Moreover, as described above, increased ethylene production has also been observed during attack of plants or plant parts by pathogenic organisms. Accordingly, the methods and apparatuses of the invention may find further use in improving plant response to pathogens.

The following examples are offered by way of illustration and not by way of limitation:

EXPERIMENTAL

The present invention will now be described with specific reference to various examples. The following examples are not intended to be limiting of the invention and are rather provided as exemplary embodiments.

Example 1: Delayed Fruit Ripening Following Exposure to Induced *Rhodococcus* spp.

Rhodococcus spp. cells induced with asparagine, acrylonitrile, or acetonitrile were immobilized in a glutaraldehyde-cross-linked matrix of DEAE-cellulose. Methods of inducing cells and preparing the above matrix are described herein below in greater detail.

The cross-linked DEAE-cellulose catalyst matrix was placed in three separate paper bags (approximately 1-2 grams pack wet weight of cells per bag), with each bag containing unripe bananas, peaches, or avocados. As negative controls, the same fruits were placed in separate paper bags in the absence of the catalyst matrix. The

paper bags were retained at room temperature, and the produce was observed daily for signs of fruit ripening and degradation.

All produce exposed to the catalyst matrix displayed significant delays in fruit ripening. In particular, the firmness and skin integrity of the peaches was maintained longer in the presence of the catalyst matrix. Similarly, with the bananas, the appearance of brown spots was delayed and the firmness retained longer relative to the negative controls.

Example 2: General Fermentation and Induction Protocols

10 *Fermentation process*

The following general protocols and culture media were utilized for fermentation of the *Rhodococcus spp.* strains *Rhodococcus sp.* DAP 96622 and *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* DAP 96523 for use in other experiments:

Fermentation vessels were configured with probes to measure dissolved oxygen (DO) and pH, as well as with sampling devices to measure glucose concentration (off-line). Additional ports were used to add correctives (e.g., acid, base, or antifoam), inducers, nutrients and supplements. Previously cleaned vessels were sterilized in-place. A suitable base medium (1 or 1.5X) R2A or R3A was used. The specific components of these culture media are set forth below. Certain substitutions to the contents of the media were made in certain experiments. For example, Proflo[®] (Trader's Protein, Memphis, TN) was at times used in place of the proteose peptone and/or casamino acids. Moreover, in certain experiments, Hy-Cotton 7803[®] (Quest International, Hoffman Estates, IL), Cottonseed Hydrolysate, Cottonseed Hydrolysate-Ultrafiltered (Marcor Development Corp., Carlstadt, NJ) was used in place of the Proflo[®] (Trader's Protein, Memphis, TN).

A feed profile for nutrient supplementation was set to gradually replace the R2A or R3A base medium with a richer medium, namely 2X YEMEA, the components of which are also described in greater detail below. Other optional nutrient supplements included maltose 50% (w/v) and dextrose 50% (w/v). Commercial products containing dextrose equivalents (glucose, maltose, and higher polysaccharides) were sometimes used in place of maltose and dextrose.

Inocula were prepared from cultures of the *Rhodococcus* sp. DAP 96622 and *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* DAP 96523 strains on a suitable solid medium and incubated at their appropriate temperature (e.g., 30°C). In particular embodiments, cells were grown on YEMEA agar plates for 4-14 days, preferably 7 days.

- 5 Alternatively, inocula were prepared from frozen cell concentrates from previous fermentation runs. Cell concentrates were typically prepared at a 20X concentration over that present in the fermenter. In addition, inoculum was at times prepared from a suitable biphasic medium (i.e., a combination of liquid medium overlaying a solid medium of the same or different composition). When a biphasic medium was used,
10 the medium generally contained YEMEA in both the liquid and solid layers.

- For induction of nitrile hydratase, at $t=0$ hour, sterile $\text{CoCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and urea were added to achieve concentrations of 5-200 ppm of CoCl_2 and 750 mg/l – 10 g/l of urea, with 10-50 ppm CoCl_2 and 7500 mg/l – 7.5 g/l urea generally preferred. In a particular embodiment, urea and/or cobalt were added again during the fermentation.
15 For example, an equivalent volume of urea and 150 ppm CoCl_2 were added at 4 – 6 hours or at 24 – 30 hours. In addition to urea, a final concentration of 300 – 500 ppm of acrylonitrile/acetonitrile or 0.1 M – 0.2 M asparagine was added step-wise or at a constant rate, beginning at various times. The fermentation runs were terminated
when cell mass and enzyme concentrations were acceptable, typically at 24-96 hours.

- 20 The cells were then harvested by any acceptable method, including but not limited to batch or continuous centrifugation, decanting, or filtration. Harvested cells were resuspended to a 20X concentrated volume in a suitable buffer such as 50 mM phosphate buffered saline (PBS) supplemented with the inducer used during the fermentation process. Cell concentrates were then frozen, particularly by rapid
25 freezing. Frozen cells were stored at -20°C-80°C or under liquid nitrogen for later use.

Description of Culture Media

R2A Medium (See Reasoner and Geldreich (1985) *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 49:1-7.)

	Yeast Extract	0.5g
5	Proteose Peptone #3	0.5g
	Casamino acids	0.5g
	Glucose	0.5g
	Soluble starch	0.5g
	K ₂ HPO ₄	0.3g
10	MgSO ₄ ·7H ₂ O	0.05g
	Sodium Pyruvate	0.3g
	DI or dist H ₂ O	1.0 liter

R3A Medium (See Reasoner and Geldreich, *supra.*)

15	Yeast Extract	1.0g
	Proteose Peptone #3	1.0g
	Casamino acids	1.0g
	Glucose	1.0g
20	Soluble starch	1.0g
	K ₂ HPO ₄	0.6g
	MgSO ₄ ·7H ₂ O	0.1g
	Sodium Pyruvate	0.5g
	DI or dist H ₂ O	1.0 liter

25	<u>YEMEA Medium</u>		
		<u>1X</u>	<u>2X</u>
	Yeast Extract	4.0g	8.0g
	Malt Extract	10.0 g	20.0g
30	Glucose	4.0g	8.0g
	DI or dist H ₂ O	1.0 liter	1.0 liter

Induction

35 The following general protocol was utilized for induction of the *Rhodococcus* spp. strains *Rhodococcus* sp. DAP 96622 and *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* DAP 96523:

 Volatile inducer liquids (e.g., acrylonitrile/acetonitrile) were added volumetrically as filter-sterilized liquid inducers based upon the density of the particular liquid inducer. In the case of solid inducers (e.g., asparagine/glutamine),
40 the solids were weighed and added directly to the culture medium. The resulting media were autoclaved. When filter-sterilized liquid inducers were utilized, the culture medium alone was autoclaved and cooled to 40°C before the liquid inducer

was added. Typical concentrations for inducers of interest were: 500 ppm acrylonitrile/acetonitrile; 500 ppm asparagine/glutamine; and 50 ppm succinonitrile. Cells were then grown on specified media and further analyzed for particular enzymatic activities and biomass.

5

Example 3: Analysis of Nitrile Hydratase, Amidase, and Asparaginase Activity and Biomass in Asparagine-Induced *Rhodococcus* spp. Cells

Nitrile hydratase, amidase, and asparaginase activity and biomass were
10 assessed in asparagine-induced cells from the *Rhodococcus* spp. strains *Rhodococcus* sp. DAP 96622 and *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* DAP 96523. Various modifications to culture media components, the administration methods, rates, and concentrations of asparagine provided to the cells, and the source of the cells were analyzed with respect to their effects on the activities of the above enzymes and on biomass.
15 Sections A through G of this Example describe the specifics of each set of test conditions and provide a summary of the enzymatic activities and biomasses obtained under each the specified conditions.

A. Essentially as described above in Example 2, a 20-liter fermenter
20 inoculated using cells of *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* DAP 96253 harvested from solid medium was continuously supplemented with the inducer asparagine (120 μ l/minute of a 0.2 M solution). Hy-Cotton 7803[®] was used in place of the proteose peptone #3 in the R3A medium described above. At the end of the fermentation run, acrylonitrile-specific nitrile hydratase activity, amidase activity, and biomass were
25 measured in accordance with standard techniques known in the art.

The results for nitrile hydratase activity, amidase activity, and biomass are provided below in Table 3, with activities provided in units/mg cdw (cell dry weight). One unit of nitrile hydratase activity relates to the ability to convert 1 μ mol of acrylonitrile to its corresponding amide per minute, per milligram of cells (dry
30 weight) at pH 7.0 and a temperature of 30°C. One unit of amidase activity relates to the ability to convert 1 μ mol of acrylamide to its corresponding acid per minute, per milligram of cells (dry weight) pH of 7.0 and a temperature of 30°C. Biomass is reported as cells packed in g/l cww (cell wet weight).

Table 3: Enzymatic Activities and Biomass of *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* DAP 96523 Cells Following Induction with Asparagine

Nitrile Hydratase Activity (Units/mg edw)	Amidase Activity (Units/mg edw)	Biomass (g/cdw)
168	2	36

5

B. Essentially as described above in Example 3A, with changes to the medium as noted below, enzymatic activities and biomass were assessed with *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* DAP 96523 cells. In particular, YEMEA, dextrose or maltose was added to a modified R3A medium, further containing Hy-Cotton 7803@ substituted for the proteose peptone #3. A 0.2 M solution of asparagine was added at a continuous rate of 120 μ l/minute beginning at t=8hours. At the end of the fermentation run, acrylonitrile-specific nitrile hydratase activity, amidase activity, and biomass were measured. Results are summarized in Table 4. Increased biomass yield was observed with the addition of YEMEA, dextrose, or maltose to the medium.

15

Table 4: Enzymatic Activities and Biomass of *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* DAP 96523 Cells Following Continuous Induction with Asparagine

Nitrile Hydratase Activity (Units/mg edw)	Amidase Activity (Units/mg edw)	Biomass (g/cdw)
155	6	52

C. *Rhodococcus* sp. DAP 96622 cells from solid medium were used as the source of the inoculum for a 20-liter fermentation run (see Example 2 for details of fermentation process). A 0.2 M solution of asparagine was added semi-continuously every 6 hours, beginning at t=24 hours, for 50-70 minutes at a rate of 2 ml/minute. Hy-Cotton 7803@ was used in place of the proteose peptone #3 in a modified R3A medium. At the end of the fermentation run, acrylonitrile-specific nitrile hydratase activity, amidase activity, and biomass were measured. The results are summarized in Table 5.

25

Table 5: Enzymatic Activities and Biomass of *Rhodococcus* sp. DAP 96622 Cells Following Semi-Continuous Induction with Asparagine

Nitrile Hydratase Activity (Units/mg cdw)	Amidase Activity (Units/mg cdw)	Biomass (g/l cww)
172	2	44

5 D. *Rhodococcus* sp. DAP 96622 cells from solid medium were used as the source of the inoculum for a 20-liter fermenter run. A 0.2 M solution of asparagine was added semi-continuously every 6 hours, beginning at t=12 hours, for 12-85 minutes at a rate of 2.5 ml/minute. Cotton Seed Hydrolysate was used in place of the proteose peptone #3 in a modified R3A medium. At the end of the fermentation run, 10 acrylonitrile-specific nitrile hydratase activity, amidase activity, and biomass were measured, and the results are summarized in Table 6.

Table 6: Enzymatic Activities and Biomass of *Rhodococcus* sp. DAP 96622 Cells Following Semi-Continuous Induction with Asparagine

15

Nitrile Hydratase Activity (Units/mg cdw)	Amidase Activity (Units/mg cdw)	Biomass (g/l cww)
165	2	57

E. Previously frozen *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* DAP 96253 cells were used as the source of the inoculum for a 20-liter fermentation run. YEMEA, dextrose, or maltose was added to a modified R3A medium that further contained Hy-Cotton 20 7803® as a substitute for proteose peptone #3. A 0.15 M solution of asparagine was added at a continuous rate of 120 µl/minute beginning at t=8 hours. At the end of the fermentation run, acrylonitrile-specific nitrile hydratase activity, amidase activity, and biomass were measured. Results are summarized in Table 7.

25 Table 7: Enzymatic Activities and Biomass of *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* DAP 96523 Cells Following Continuous Induction with Asparagine

Nitrile Hydratase Activity (Units/mg cdw)	Amidase Activity (Units/mg cdw)	Biomass (g/l cww)
171	4	74

F. *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* DAP 96253 cells grown on biphasic medium were used as the source of inoculum for a 20-liter fermentation run. A modified R3A medium was used that was supplemented by the addition of a carbohydrate (i.e., YEMEA, dextrose, or maltose) and further containing Cottonseed Hydrolysate in place of proteose peptone #3. A 0.15 M solution of asparagine was added at a continuous rate of 1000 μ l/minute beginning at t=10 hours. At the end of the fermentation run, acrylonitrile-specific nitrile hydratase activity, amidase activity, asparaginase I activity, and biomass were measured. The results are summarized in Table 8.

Table 8: Enzymatic Activities and Biomass of *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* DAP 96523 Cells Following Continuous Induction with Asparagine

Nitrile Hydratase Activity (Units/mg edw)	Amidase Activity (Units/mg edw)	Asparaginase I Activity (Units/mg edw)	Biomass (g/l edw)
159	22	16	16

G. *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* DAP 96253 cells grown on biphasic medium were used as the source of inoculum for a 20-liter fermentation run. A modified R3A medium was used that contained maltose (in place of dextrose) and Hy-Cotton 7803® as a substitute for proteose peptone #3. A 0.15 M solution of asparagine was added at a continuous rate of 476 μ l/minute beginning at t=8 hours. At the end of the fermentation run, acrylonitrile-specific nitrile hydratase activity, amidase activity, and biomass were measured, and the results are summarized in Table 9.

Table 9: Enzymatic Activities and Biomass of *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* DAP 96523 Cells Following Continuous Induction with Asparagine

Nitrile Hydratase Activity (Units/mg edw)	Amidase Activity (Units/mg edw)	Biomass (g/l edw)
137	6	35

Example 4: Immobilization of *Rhodococcus spp.* Cells in DEAE-Cellulose Cross-Linked with Glutaraldehyde

A modified process derived from the methods described in U.S. Patent No. 4,229,536 and in Lopez-Gallego *et al.* (2005) *J. Biotechnol.* 119:70-75 is used to immobilize *Rhodococcus spp.* cells in a matrix comprising glutaraldehyde cross-linked DEAE-cellulose.

Preparation of cells

Rhodococcus cells are grown in an appropriate culture medium (e.g., YEMEA-maltose + inducers, biphasic cultures, etc.) and harvested by centrifugation at 8,000 rpm for 10 minutes. The resulting cell pellet is resuspended in 100 ml of 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.2) and centrifuged at 8,000 rpm for 10 minutes. This process of resuspending the cell pellet and centrifuging at 8,000 rpm for 10 minutes is repeated twice. The packed wet weight (ww) of the final cell sample is noted. The nitrile hydratase activity of a small sample of the cells is performed to assess the enzymatic activity of the whole cells.

Immobilization of Cells

An amount of DEAE-cellulose equivalent to that of the harvested *Rhodococcus spp.* cells is obtained, and the cells and the DEAE-cellulose are resuspended in 100 ml of deionized H₂O. A volume of a 25% solution of glutaraldehyde sufficient to achieve a final concentration of 0.5% is added with stirring to the mixture of cells/DEAE-cellulose. The mixture is stirred for 1 hour, after which 400 ml of deionized H₂O is added with further mixing. While stirring, 50% (by weight solution) of polyethylenimine (PEI; MW 750,000) is added. Stirring proceeds until flocculation is completed. The flocculated mixture is filtered and extruded through a syringe of appropriate size. The immobilized cells are broken up into small pieces, dried overnight, and cut into granules of approximately 2-3 mm prior to use.

Example 5: Immobilization of *Rhodococcus spp.* Cells in Calcium Alginate and
Hardening of Calcium Alginate Beads

- 5 A process adapted from the method described in Bucke (1987) "Cell
Immobilization in Calcium Alginate" in *Methods in Enzymology*, Vol. 135(B)
(Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, California; Mosbach, ed.) is used to immobilize
Rhodococcus spp. cells in calcium alginate.

Preparation of cells

- 10 The *Rhodococcus spp.* cells are prepared as described above in Example 4.

Immobilization of Cells

- 15 25 g of a 4% sodium alginate solution is produced by dissolving 1 g of sodium
alginate in 24 ml of 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.2). 25 mg of sodium metaperiodate is
added to the alginate solution and stirred at 25°C for 1 hour or until the alginate is
completely dissolved. The cells prepared as described above are resuspended to a
final volume of 50 ml in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.2) and then added to the sodium
alginate solution with stirring. The resulting beads are extruded through a 27-gauge
needle into 500 ml of a 0.1 M CaCl₂ solution. The needle is generally placed
20 approximately two inches above the solution to prevent air entry into the beads and to
prevent sticking of the beads. The beads are cured for 1 hour in the CaCl₂ solution,
and the beads are then rinsed with water and stored at 4°C in a 0.1 M CaCl₂ solution
prior to use.

- 25 *Hardening of Calcium Alginate Beads Comprising *Rhodococcus spp.* Cells*

- The calcium alginate beads prepared as outlined above may be further
strengthened by cross-linking with PEI. The beads are incubated in 2 L of 0.5% PEI
in a 0.1 M CaCl₂ solution (20 g of 50% PEI in a 0.1 M CaCl₂ solution). The pH of the
final solution is adjusted to 7.0 with HCl or NaOH, if necessary, and the beads are
30 incubated for 24 hours. The beads are then rinsed with water and stored at 4°C in a
0.1 M CaCl₂ solution prior to use.

Many modifications and other embodiments of the inventions set forth herein will come to mind to one skilled in the art to which these inventions pertain having the benefit of the teachings presented in the foregoing descriptions. Therefore, it is to be understood that the inventions are not to be limited to the specific embodiments disclosed and that modifications and other embodiments are intended to be included within the scope of the appended claims. Although specific terms are employed herein, they are used in a generic and descriptive sense only and not for purposes of limitation.

THAT WHICH IS CLAIMED:

1. A method for delaying a plant development process comprising exposing a plant or plant part to one or more bacteria, wherein the one or more bacteria are selected from the group consisting of *Rhodococcus spp.*, *Pseudomonas chloroaphis*, *Brevibacterium ketoglutamicum*, and mixtures thereof, and wherein the one or more bacteria are exposed to the plant or plant part in a quantity sufficient to delay the plant development process.
2. The method of claim 1, wherein the one or more bacteria include *Rhodococcus spp.*
3. The method of claim 2, wherein the *Rhodococcus spp.* includes *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* DAP 96253 strain, *Rhodococcus sp.* DAP 96622 strain, *Rhodococcus erythropolis*, or mixtures thereof.
4. The method of claim 1, wherein the one or more bacteria are induced by exposure to an inducing agent selected from the group consisting of asparagine, glutamine, cobalt, urea, and mixtures thereof.
5. The method of claim 4, wherein the one or more bacteria are induced by exposure to asparagine.
6. The method of claim 4, wherein the one or more bacteria are induced by exposure to asparagine, cobalt, and urea.
7. The method of claim 1, wherein the plant or plant part is indirectly exposed to the one or more bacteria.
8. The method of claim 1, wherein the plant or plant part is directly exposed to the one or more bacteria.

9. The method of claim 1, wherein the plant development process is fruit or vegetable ripening.
10. The method of claim 9, wherein the plant part is a fruit.
11. The method of claim 10, wherein the fruit is a climacteric fruit.
12. The method of claim 11, wherein the climacteric fruit is selected from the group consisting of bananas, peaches, and avocados.
13. The method of claim 10, wherein the fruit is a nonclimacteric fruit.
14. The method of claim 9, wherein the plant part is a vegetable.
15. The method of claim 1, wherein the plant part is a flower and the plant development process is flower senescence.
16. The method of claim 15, wherein the flower is a carnation, rose, orchid, portulaca, malva, or begonia.
17. The method of claim 1, wherein the plant development process is leaf abscission.
18. An apparatus for delaying a plant development process comprising a catalyst that comprises one or more bacteria selected from the group consisting of *Rhodococcus spp.*, *Pseudomonas chloroaphis*, *Brevibacterium ketoglutamicum*, and mixtures thereof, wherein the one or more bacteria are provided in a quantity sufficient to delay the plant development process.
19. The apparatus of claim 18, wherein the one or more bacteria include *Rhodococcus spp.*

20. The apparatus of claim 19, wherein the *Rhodococcus spp.* includes *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* DAP 96253 strain, *Rhodococcus sp.* DAP 96622 strain, *Rhodococcus erythropolis*, or mixtures thereof.

21. The apparatus of claim 18, wherein the one or more bacteria are induced by exposure to an inducing agent selected from the group consisting of asparagine, glutamine, cobalt, urea, and mixtures thereof.

22. The apparatus of claim 18, wherein the plant development process is selected from the group consisting of fruit or vegetable ripening, flower senescence, and leaf abscission.

23. The apparatus of claim 18, wherein the one or more bacteria are immobilized in a matrix comprising cross-linked DEAE-cellulose, a matrix comprising alginate, a matrix comprising carrageen, a matrix comprising cross-linked alginate, a matrix comprising cross-linked carrageen, a matrix comprising polyacrylamide, or calcium alginate beads.

24. The apparatus of claim 23, wherein the matrix comprises cross-linked DEAE-cellulose, wherein the DEAE-cellulose is cross-linked with glutaraldehyde.

25. The apparatus of claim 18, wherein the catalyst is present in a catalyst module that is placed in, placed on, or affixed to a physical structure.

26. The apparatus of claim 18, further comprising a control device to adjust exposure of the catalyst to a plant or plant part.

27. The apparatus of claim 18, further comprising a monitoring device for monitoring the efficacy of the catalyst in delaying the plant development process.

28. The apparatus of claim 25, wherein the physical structure is selected from the group consisting of a film, sheet, coating layer, a slotted chamber, a box, a pouch, and a bag.

29. The apparatus of claim 25, wherein the catalyst module can be removed and replaced with a second catalyst module.

30. The apparatus of claim 25, wherein more than one catalyst module is placed in, placed on, or affixed to the physical structure.

31. The apparatus of claim 25, wherein the physical structure permits air flow into the catalyst module.

32. The apparatus of claim 31, further comprising an element for controlling the air flow into the catalyst module.

33. The apparatus of claim 25, wherein the physical structure is provided as a refrigerated structure.

34. The apparatus of claim 25, further comprising an element for controlling the moisture level in the physical structure.

35. The apparatus of claim 25, further comprising an element for regulating the carbon dioxide level in the physical structure.

36. An air-permeable catalyst apparatus for delaying a plant development process comprising:

a first layer;

a second layer that includes a catalyst comprising one or more bacteria selected from the group consisting of *Rhodococcus spp.*, *Pseudomonas chloroaphis*, *Brevibacterium ketoglutamicum*, and mixtures thereof, wherein the one or more

bacteria are provided in a quantity sufficient to delay the plant development process;
and

a third layer;

wherein the second layer is located between the first and third layers and at least one of said first and third layers provide structural integrity to the apparatus.

37. The apparatus of claim 36, wherein the one or more bacteria include *Rhodococcus spp.*

38. The apparatus of claim 37, wherein the *Rhodococcus spp.* includes *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* DAP 96253 strain, *Rhodococcus sp.* DAP 96622 strain, *Rhodococcus erythropolis*, or mixtures thereof.

39. The apparatus of claim 36, wherein the one or more bacteria are induced by exposure to an inducing agent selected from the group consisting of asparagine, glutamine, cobalt, urea, and mixtures thereof.

40. The apparatus of claim 36, wherein the plant development process is selected from the group consisting of fruit or vegetable ripening, flower senescence, and leaf abscission.

41. The catalyst apparatus of claim 36, wherein said third layer can be removed from said second layer to expose an adhesive layer that can be used to affix the catalyst apparatus to a separate structure.

42. The catalyst apparatus of claim 41, wherein said second layer is said adhesive layer.

43. The catalyst apparatus of claim 36, wherein further comprising a fourth layer adjacent said third layer that can be removed from said third layer to expose an adhesive layer that can be used to affix the catalyst structure to a separate structure.

44. The catalyst apparatus of claim 43, wherein said third layer is said adhesive layer.

45. An air-permeable bag or pouch including the catalyst apparatus of claim 36.

46. A method for delaying a plant development process comprising exposing a plant or plant part to an enzymatic extract of one or more bacteria selected from the group consisting of *Rhodococcus spp.*, *Pseudomonas chloroaphis*, *Brevibacterium ketoglutamicum*, and mixtures thereof, said bacteria being induced by an inducing agent selected from the group consisting of asparagine, glutamine, cobalt, urea, and mixtures thereof and said enzymatic extract being exposed to the plant or plant part in a quantity sufficient to delay the plant development process.