

# **MULTI-FUNCTIONAL COMPOSITIONS AND METHODS OF USE**

## **CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS**

The present application claims priority to U.S. Provisional Application Serial No. 61/696005, filed on August 31, 2012, which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.

## **BACKGROUND**

The invention is directed to removing unwanted constituents from a siliceous surfaces and determining the cleanliness of a siliceous surface.

Conventional window cleaning compositions are typically designed to leave no visible residue on a glass surface when used to clean the glass surface. In other words, the glass surface should be free from a film and streaking. To achieve these properties, the level of surfactant and other additives in the cleaning composition must be low.

Organic solvents are often present in conventional window cleaning compositions to enable the composition to remove common stains and oily contaminants from glass surfaces.

Some window cleaning compositions include hydrophilic polymers or long chain alkyl sulfate surfactants, which are alleged to impart water-sheeting and anti-spotting properties to a surface cleaned therewith. Such compositions tend to leave behind a hydrophilic residue, which contributes to the water-sheeting effect and helps to remove soil from the glass surface.

Compositions that include silanes have been used to impart a hydrophilic property to a glass surface that has been cleaned and activated. The preference for some of these compositions is for the surface be activated immediately prior to, or simultaneously with, the application of the aqueous composition. Such compositions, however, typically require the surface to be pre-cleaned.

Coating compositions that include silanes have also been used to coat glass substrates to render them capable of being easily cleaned.

## **SUMMARY**

The present disclosure is directed to multi-functional compositions and the use thereof. Such compositions have multiple functions, e.g., cleaning and protecting.

In one aspect, the disclosure features a method of removing an unwanted constituent from a siliceous surface, the method including contacting the siliceous surface and the unwanted constituent with a multi-functional composition as defined herein, and drying the surface. In one embodiment, the method further includes rubbing the solution on the surface.

In one embodiment, the solution imparts a hydrophilic property to the surface and the dried surface exhibits a greater hydrophilicity relative to the hydrophilicity of the surface prior to the contacting.

In one embodiment, the siliceous surface is a surface of a board selected from the group consisting of a white board and a dry erase board, and the unwanted constituent includes a mark from a marker. In some embodiments, the siliceous surface is a surface of glass, such as a window or door, and the unwanted constituent includes at least one of oil and dirt.

5 The siliceous surface can include glass shower doors, tile walls, porcelain bathtubs, sinks, or other surfaces on which soap scum accumulates.

In some embodiments, the dried surface exhibits sufficient hydrophilicity such that at least 50 % of a mark placed on the surface with a permanent marker is wiped away from the surface within 50 wipes with a damp towel. In other embodiments, the dried surface exhibits sufficient hydrophilicity such that at least 50 %  
10 of a mark placed on the surface with a permanent marker is washed away from the surface within 2 minutes by a spray of water applied at a rate of 600 milliliters per minute. In some embodiments, the dried surface exhibits sufficient hydrophilicity such that a fingerprint of artificial sebum placed on the dried surface is washed away from the surface within 2 minutes by a spray of water applied at a rate of 600 milliliters per minute. In other embodiments, when the dried surface is contacted with moisture vapor, no condensation  
15 occurs.

The disclosure may also feature a method of removing an unwanted constituent (e.g., one or more of the components of soap scum) from a siliceous surface, the method including contacting the siliceous surface and the unwanted constituent with a multi-functional composition that includes water, a hydrophilic silane, surfactant, and at least one of water soluble alkali metal silicate, a polyalkoxy silane (such as a  
20 tetraalkoxysilane (e.g., TEOS), or a tetraalkoxysilane oligomer), and an inorganic silica sol, and drying the surface.

In another aspect, a method of cleaning and protecting a siliceous surface is provided. The method includes: applying an aqueous composition to the surface, the composition as defined herein; wherein the ratio of the total weight of the surfactants to the total weight of the hydrophilic silane is at least 1:2; and rubbing the  
25 composition onto the surface to clean the surface (e.g., remove soap scum) and protect the surface (e.g., from the build-up of soap scum).

The disclosure may also feature a method of determining the cleanliness of a previously cleaned substrate (e.g., one cleaned by a method of the present disclosure or cleaned with a composition of the present disclosure), the method including exposing the previously cleaned surface, which is at a temperature of from  
30 0°C to about 25°C, to moisture vapor, observing whether or not condensation occurs, and if fogging is present, determining that the surface is dirty, and if fogging does not occur or is not present more than 30 seconds after exposure to the moisture vapor, determining that the surface is clean.

The disclosure may also feature a method of determining the cleanliness of a previously cleaned substrate (e.g., one cleaned by a method of the present disclosure or cleaned with a composition of the present  
35 disclosure), the method including placing a mark with a permanent marker on the previously cleaned surface of the substrate, saturating the mark with water, wiping the mark with a paper towel, and determining whether or not at least 90 % of the mark has been washed away by the spray of

water, and if at least 90 % of the mark has been washed away by the spray of water, then determining that the surface is clean. In some embodiments, the method further includes determining that the surface is not clean if at least 50 % of the mark has not been washed away by the spray of water.

The disclosure may also feature a method of determining the cleanliness of a previously cleaned substrate (e.g., one cleaned by a method of the present disclosure or cleaned with a composition of the present disclosure), the method including placing a fingerprint of artificial sebum on the previously cleaned surface of the substrate, spraying the fingerprint and the substrate with a stream of deionized water at a flow rate of no greater than 600 milliliters per min for no greater than 30 seconds, and determining whether or not at least 50 % of the fingerprint has been washed away by the spray of water, if at least 50 % of the fingerprint has been washed away by the spray of water, then determining that the surface is clean, and if at least 50 % of the fingerprint has not been washed away by the spray of water, then determining that the surface is not clean.

In another aspect, there is provided a liquid multi-functional aqueous composition comprising: a hydrophilic sulfonate-functional zwitterionic silane selected to provide protectant properties to a substrate surface to which the multi-functional aqueous composition is applied; a surfactant selected to provide cleaning properties, wetting properties, or both to the composition; at least one of a water soluble alkali metal silicate, a polyalkoxy silane, and an inorganic silica sol; and water; wherein the liquid multi-functional aqueous composition is a hard surface cleaning and protecting composition.

The disclosure may also feature a multi-functional solution that includes a first hydrophilic silane, surfactant, the ratio of the weight of the hydrophilic silane to the weight of the surfactant being at least 1:1, and water. In one embodiment, the solution further includes at least one of a water soluble alkali metal silicate and a polyalkoxy silane (such as a tetraalkoxysilane (e.g., TEOS), or a tetraalkoxysilane oligomer). In some embodiments, the solution further includes a second surfactant different from the first surfactant. In one embodiment, the solution further includes a second hydrophilic silane different from the first hydrophilic silane.

In another embodiment, the solution includes a water soluble alkali metal silicate comprising at least one of lithium silicate, sodium silicate, and potassium silicate.

In some embodiments, the solution passes Permanent Marker Removal Test Method I. In other embodiments, the solution passes Artificial Sebum Removal Test Method I. In some embodiments, the solution passes the Fog Test Method.

In another embodiment, the solution includes from at least 0.01 % by weight to no greater than 3 % by weight hydrophilic silane. In some embodiments, the solution includes no greater than 0.5 % by weight hydrophilic silane. In other embodiments, the solution includes no greater than 2 % by weight solids. In one embodiment, the solution includes no greater than 1 % by weight solids.

In some embodiments, the hydrophilic silane includes a zwitterionic silane. In other embodiments, the solution includes from about 0.01 % by weight to about 5 % by weight zwitterionic silane. In another embodiment, the solution includes from about 0.1 % by weight to about 2 % by weight zwitterionic silane.

In some embodiments, the surfactant includes at least one of anionic surfactant, nonionic surfactant, cationic surfactant, amphoteric betaine surfactant, amphoteric sultaine surfactant, amphoteric imidazoline surfactant, amine oxide surfactant, and quaternary cationic surfactant. In other embodiments, the first surfactant includes a nonionic surfactant and the second surfactant includes an anionic surfactant.

In some embodiments, the hydrophilic silane has a molecular weight up to 5000 grams per mole, or up to 3000 grams per mole. In some embodiments, the hydrophilic silane has a molecular weight no greater than 1000 grams per mole. In another embodiment, the hydrophilic silane has a molecular weight no greater than 500 grams per mole.

5 In one embodiment, the solution includes at least 60 % by weight water. This is typically for a ready-to-use formulation. In other embodiments, the composition includes no greater than 30 % by weight water. This is typically for a concentrated formulation.

10 In one aspect, there is provided a multi-functional aqueous composition comprising: a hydrophilic silane selected from sulfonate-functional silanes, zwitterionic silanes, and combinations thereof, which are selected to provide protectant properties to a substrate surface to which the multi-functional aqueous composition is applied; at least two different surfactants selected to provide cleaning properties, wetting properties, or both to the composition; and water; wherein the multi-functional aqueous composition is a hard surface cleaning and protecting composition.

15 The disclosure may also feature a liquid multi-functional composition that includes a hydrophilic silane, a first surfactant, at least one of a water soluble alkali metal silicate and a polyalkoxy silane (such as a tetraalkoxysilane (e.g., TEOS), or a tetraalkoxysilane oligomer), and an inorganic silica sol, and water. In one embodiment, the hydrophilic silane includes a zwitterionic hydrophilic silane. In some embodiments, the hydrophilic silane is selected from the group consisting of zwitterionic silane, hydroxyl sulfonate silane, phosphonate silane, carboxylate silane, glucanamide silane, polyhydroxyl alkyl silane, hydroxyl  
20 polyethyleneoxide silanes, polyethyleneoxide silanes, and combinations thereof. In some embodiments, the composition passes Permanent Marker Removal Test Method I. In other embodiments, the composition passes Artificial Sebum Removal Test Method I. In another embodiment, the composition passes the Fog Test Method.

25 In other embodiments, the composition further includes water insoluble particles. In one embodiment, the composition further includes abrasive particles.

In some embodiments, the composition further includes a second surfactant different from the first surfactant.

30 The disclosure may also feature a multi-functional liquid composition that includes a hydrophilic silane, a first surfactant, a second surfactant different from the first surfactant, and water. In one embodiment, the hydrophilic silane is selected from the group consisting of zwitterionic silane, hydroxyl sulfonate silane, phosphonate silane, carboxylate silane, glucanamide silane, polyhydroxyl alkyl silane, hydroxyl polyethyleneoxide silane, polyethyleneoxide silane, and combinations thereof. In another embodiment, the composition passes Permanent Marker Removal Test Method I. In some embodiments, the composition passes Artificial Sebum Removal Test Method I. In other embodiments, the composition passes the Fog Test  
35 Method. In some embodiments, the composition further includes water insoluble particles. In one embodiment, the composition further includes abrasive particles.

40 The disclosure may also feature a method of using a multi-functional solution, the method includes diluting a concentrated solution with water to form a diluted solution, the concentrated solution comprising a first hydrophilic silane and surfactant where the ratio of the weight of the hydrophilic silane to the weight of the surfactant is at least 1:1, and contacting a siliceous surface with the diluted solution.

## GLOSSARY

The term “surfactant” means molecules that include hydrophilic (i.e., polar) and hydrophobic (i.e., non-polar) regions on the same molecule.

The term “hydrophilic” means a compound, composition, or material that imparts a hydrophilic surface. The term “hydrophilic surface” means a surface that it is wet by aqueous solutions and on which a drop of water exhibits a static water contact angle of less than 50°. The term hydrophilic surface does not express whether or not the surface absorbs aqueous solutions.

The term “hydrophobic” means a compound, composition, or material that imparts a hydrophobic surface. The phrase “hydrophobic surface” means a surface on which a drop of water exhibits a static water contact angle of at least 50°.

The term “aqueous” means water is present.

The term “water soluble” means a compound, composition, or material that forms a solution in water.

The term “solution” means a homogeneous composition in which the solute is dissolved in the solvent and cannot be separated from the solvent by filtration or physical means.

The phrase “unwanted constituent” means a surface irregularity, a surface defect, a contaminant, foreign matter, and combinations thereof.

The terms “comprises” and variations thereof do not have a limiting meaning where these terms appear in the description and claims. Such terms will be understood to imply the inclusion of a stated step or element or group of steps or elements but not the exclusion of any other step or element or group of steps or elements. By “consisting of” is meant including, and limited to, whatever follows the phrase “consisting of.” Thus, the phrase “consisting of” indicates that the listed elements are required or mandatory, and that no other elements may be present. By “consisting essentially of” is meant including any elements listed after the phrase, and limited to other elements that do not interfere with or contribute to the activity or action specified in the disclosure for the listed elements. Thus, the phrase “consisting essentially of” indicates that the listed elements are required or mandatory, but that other elements are optional and may or may not be present depending upon whether or not they materially affect the activity or action of the listed elements.

The words “preferred” and “preferably” refer to claims of the disclosure that may afford certain benefits, under certain circumstances. However, other claims may also be preferred, under the same or other circumstances. Furthermore, the recitation of one or more preferred claims does not imply that other claims are not useful, and is not intended to exclude other claims from the scope of the disclosure.

In this application, terms such as “a,” “an,” and “the” are not intended to refer to only a singular entity, but include the general class of which a specific example may be used for illustration. The terms “a,” “an,” and “the” are used interchangeably with the term “at least one.” The phrases “at least one of” and “comprises at least one of” followed by a list refers to any one of the items in the list and any combination of two or more items in the list.

As used herein, the term “or” is generally employed in its usual sense including “and/or” unless the content clearly dictates otherwise.

The term “and/or” means one or all of the listed elements or a combination of any two or more of the listed elements.

Also herein, all numbers are assumed to be modified by the term “about” and preferably by the term “exactly.” As used herein in connection with a measured quantity, the term “about” refers to that variation in the measured quantity as would be expected by the skilled artisan making the measurement and exercising a level of care commensurate with the objective of the measurement and the precision of the measuring equipment used.

Also herein, the recitations of numerical ranges by endpoints include all numbers subsumed within that range as well as the endpoints (e.g., 1 to 5 includes 1, 1.5, 2, 2.75, 3, 3.80, 4, 5, etc.).

As used herein, the term “room temperature” refers to a temperature of about 20°C to about 25°C or about 22°C to about 25°C.

The above summary of the present disclosure is not intended to describe each disclosed embodiment or every implementation of the present disclosure. The description that follows more particularly exemplifies illustrative embodiments. In several places throughout the application, guidance is provided through lists of examples, which examples can be used in various combinations. In each instance, the recited list serves only as a representative group and should not be interpreted as an exclusive list.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION

The present invention is directed to multi-functional compositions and the use thereof. Such compositions have multiple functions, e.g., cleaning and protecting. Thus, such compositions do not require a substrate surface to be pre-cleaned for a protective coating to be applied to the surface.

The method of removing an unwanted constituent from a siliceous surface of a substrate includes contacting the substrate surface and the unwanted constituent with a multi-functional composition that includes a hydrophilic silane, a surfactant, and water, optionally applying a mechanical action to the composition and the surface, and drying the surface. The mechanical action can be any suitable mechanical action including, e.g., wiping and rubbing, and the drying can occur through any suitable process including, e.g., allowing the surface to air dry, wiping the surface dry, contacting the surface with forced air (e.g., cooled or heated air relative to room temperature), and combinations thereof.

In certain embodiments, compositions of the present invention can simply be sprayed and wiped onto a surface to clean and protect the surface in a short period of time.

The resulting surface is free of, or substantially free of, the unwanted constituent, and exhibits an improved hydrophilicity relative to the untreated surface and an improved ease of cleaning relative to the untreated surface.

The method of removing can be a method of removing any of a variety of unwanted constituents including, e.g., a method of removing contaminants (i.e., a method of cleaning), a method of removing surface irregularities and defects (i.e., method of finishing), and combinations thereof.

The method can be used to remove a variety of contaminants from a siliceous surface including, e.g., dirt, soap scum, oil (e.g., skin oil and motor oil), wax, food residue (e.g., butter, lard, margarine, meat protein, vegetable protein, calcium carbonate, and calcium oxide), grease, ink (e.g., permanent marker ink, ball point pen ink, and felt tip pen ink), insect residue, alkaline earth metal carbonates, adhesives, soot, clay, pigments, and combinations thereof, a variety of surface irregularities and defects (e.g., pits, nicks, lines, scratches, and combinations thereof), and combinations thereof.

The method is also useful for a variety of specific applications including, e.g., removing a mark made by a marker from a board, removing environmental pollutants (e.g., oil and dirt) from glass (e.g., a window, windshield, eyeglasses, lens (e.g., camera lens, optical lens), and cooktop), and combinations thereof. Marks that can be removed include marks made by permanent markers, non-permanent markers, and combinations thereof. Writing boards that can be cleaned include, e.g., dry-erase boards and whiteboards. Dry erase boards and white boards are described in many publications including, e.g., WO 2011/163175.

Compositions described herein can also be used for protecting a surface as well as cleaning the surface. This is particularly useful on a surface to which soap scum adheres. For example, a composition of the present disclosure can be applied to a surface with rubbing, for example to clean the surface (e.g., by removing soap scum), but upon drying the composition leaves a protective layer to which contaminants (e.g., soap scum) do not adhere as well. Upon repeated use, this can make the surface easier to clean and/or require less frequent cleaning.

The invention also features methods of determining the cleanliness of a previously cleaned substrate. One useful method includes exposing the previously cleaned surface (e.g., one cleaned by a method of the present disclosure or cleaned with a composition of the present disclosure), which is at a temperature of from 0°C to about 25°C, to moisture vapor, observing whether or not condensation in the form of small droplets (i.e., fogging) occurs on the surface, and determining that either 1) the surface is dirty, if fogging is present, and 2) the surface is clean, if fogging does not occur or is not present more than 30 seconds after exposure to the moisture vapor.

Another useful method of determining the cleanliness of a previously cleaned substrate (e.g., one cleaned by a method of the present disclosure or cleaned with a composition of the present disclosure) includes placing a mark with a permanent marker on the surface, spraying the mark and the substrate with water to saturate the mark, waiting 30 seconds, wiping the mark with a paper towel, determining whether or not at least 50 % of the mark has been wiped away, and if at least 50 % of the mark has been wiped away, then determining that the surface is clean. Alternatively, the method includes determining that the surface is clean if at least 80 % of the mark, at least 75 % of the mark or even if at least 70 % of the mark has been wiped away. The method optionally further includes determining that the surface is not clean if

at least 50 % of the mark, at least 60 % of the mark, at least 70 % of the mark, or even if at least 80 % of the mark has not been wiped away.

Another useful method of determining the cleanliness of a previously cleaned substrate (e.g., one cleaned by a method of the present disclosure or cleaned with a composition of the present disclosure) includes placing a mark with a permanent marker on the surface, spraying the mark and the substrate with a stream of deionized water at a flow rate of 600 milliliters (mL) per minute (min) for 30 seconds, determining whether or not at least 90 % of the mark has been washed away by the spray of water, and if at least 90 % of the mark has been washed away by the spray of water, then determining that the surface is clean. The method optionally further includes determining that the surface is not clean, if at least 50 % of the mark, at least 60 % of the mark, at least 70 % of the mark, or even if at least 80 % of the mark has not been washed away by the spray of water. Alternatively, the method includes determining that the surface is clean if at least 80 % of the mark, at least 75 % of the mark or even if at least 70 % of the mark has been washed away by the spray of water.

Other useful methods of determining the cleanliness of a previously cleaned substrate include placing a fingerprint of artificial sebum on the surface, spraying the fingerprint and the substrate with a stream of deionized water at a flow rate of 600 mL per min for 30 seconds, determining whether or not at least 50 % of the fingerprint has been washed away by the spray of water, if at least 50 % of the fingerprint has been washed away by the spray of water, then determining that the surface is clean, if at least 50 % of the fingerprint has not been washed away by the spray of water, then determining that the surface is not clean. Alternatively, the method includes determining that the surface is clean if at least 80 % of the fingerprint, at least 75 % of the fingerprint or even if at least 70 % of the fingerprint has been washed away by the spray of water. The method optionally further includes determining that the surface is not clean if at least 50 % of the fingerprint, at least 60 % of the fingerprint, at least 70 % of the fingerprint, or even if at least 80 % of the fingerprint has not washed away by the spray of water.

## THE MULTI-FUNCTIONAL COMPOSITION

Multi-functional compositions of the present invention have multiple functions. In particular, they are capable of cleaning and protecting. Thus, the use of such compositions does not require the surface to be pre-cleaned in order to provide a protective coating (as is generally required by the compositions described in US 20012/073000 and WO 2011/163175). That is, one composition, using one or more applications of such composition, can provide protection to a substrate surface to which is it applied. In this context, protection typically means that one or more contaminants (e.g., soap scum, fingerprints) do not adhere as easily to the surface as generally occurs without the composition having been applied, the resultant coated surface is easier to clean, and/or the resultant coated surface requires less frequent cleaning.

Such multi-functional compositions can be dispersions or solutions.

The multi-functional composition includes a hydrophilic silane, at least one surfactant, and water. The multi-functional composition exhibits multiple functions in that it removes an unwanted constituent from the substrate surface, imparts a hydrophilic property to the substrate surface, and imparts an easy to clean property to the substrate surface. The multi-functional composition can be any composition useful for removing an unwanted constituent including, e.g., a cleaning composition, a protecting composition, a finishing composition (e.g., a polishing composition, a buffing composition, and combinations thereof), and combinations thereof.

The multi-functional composition can be applied to a clean surface, a surface that is soiled, a surface that includes irregularities and defects, a previously cleaned surface, and combinations thereof, and can be used repeatedly. Repeated use of the multi-functional composition on a surface increases the amount of hydrophilic silane on the surface and increases the hydrophilicity of the surface.

The multi-functional composition preferably imparts a sufficient hydrophilic property to a surface such that when the surface is subsequently contaminated with a fingerprint, the fingerprint can be substantially removed, or even completely removed, from the surface with water (e.g., tap water at ambient temperature (i.e., room temperature)), water vapor (e.g., from a steamer or an individual's breath), wiping (e.g., up to a few gentle strokes with a tissue, paper towel, cloth), a cleaning composition, and combinations thereof.

The multi-functional composition also preferably imparts a sufficient hydrophilic property to a surface such that when the surface is subsequently marked with a permanent marker, the mark can be substantially removed, or even completely removed, from the surface with at least one of water (e.g., tap water at ambient temperature), water vapor (e.g., an individual's breath), wiping (e.g., up to a few gentle strokes with a tissue, paper towel, cloth), a cleaning composition, and combinations thereof (e.g., by spraying the surface and the mark with water and then wiping). The multi-functional composition preferably imparts a sufficient hydrophilic property to the surface to enable the mark from a permanent marker to slide off the substrate surface when contacted with water, e.g., a stream of water from a water bottle.

The multi-functional composition also preferably imparts an anti-fog property to the surface of the substrate such that the surface does not maintain condensed moisture thereon for an extended period of time, preferably after 30 seconds, and for at least three days, at least 7 days, or even at least 30 days.

The multi-functional composition preferably passes at least one of the Permanent Marker Test Method I, the Fingerprint Test Method I, and the Fog Test Method, after at least one contamination and cleaning cycle, at least two contamination and cleaning cycles, or even after at least three contamination and cleaning cycles.

In certain embodiments, the multi-functional composition preferably includes an amount of hydrophilic silane and an amount of surfactant such that ratio of the weight of the hydrophilic silane to the weight of the surfactant in the composition is at least 1:1, at least 1:2, at least 1:3, at least 1:10, at least 1:40, or at least 1:400. That is, in such compositions the amount of surfactant is equal to or greater than

the amount of hydrophilic silane. In certain embodiments, the multi-functional composition preferably includes an amount of hydrophilic silane and an amount of surfactant such that ratio of the weight of the hydrophilic silane to the weight of the surfactant in the composition is from about 1:2 to about 1:100, or even from about 1:3 to at about 1:20. This composition is typically more useful on a surface that is regularly cleaned, such as glass, which is not subject to build-up of contaminants, so protection is not critical, but repeated use can provide protection and make the surface easier to clean.

In certain embodiments, the multi-functional composition preferably includes an amount of surfactant and an amount of hydrophilic silane such that ratio of the weight of the surfactant to the weight of the hydrophilic silane in the composition is at least 1:1, at least 1:2, at least 1:3, at least 1:10, at least 1:40, or at least 1:400. That is, in such compositions the amount of hydrophilic silane is equal to or greater than the amount of surfactant. In certain embodiments, the multi-functional composition preferably includes an amount of surfactant and an amount of hydrophilic silane such that ratio of the weight of the surfactant to the weight of the hydrophilic silane in the composition is from about 1:2 to about 1:100, or even from about 1:3 to at about 1:20. This composition is typically more useful on a surface to which soap scum adheres (e.g., a bathroom shower). That is, it can be used to clean the surface (e.g., by removing soap scum), and upon drying, it leaves a protective layer to which contaminants (e.g., soap scum) do not adhere as well. Upon repeated use, this can make the surface easier to clean and/or require less frequent cleaning.

The multi-functional composition can be acidic, basic, or neutral. The pH of the composition can be altered to achieve the desired pH using any suitable acid or base as is known in the art, including, e.g., organic acids and inorganic acids, or carbonates, such as potassium or sodium carbonate. Compositions that include sulfonate-functional zwitterionic compounds have a pH of from about 5 to about 8, are neutral, or even are at their isoelectric point.

The multi-functional composition can be provided in a variety of forms including, e.g., as a concentrate that is diluted before use (e.g., with water, a solvent or an aqueous-based composition that includes an organic solvent) or as a ready-to-use composition, a liquid, a paste, a foam, a foaming liquid, a gel, and a gelling liquid. The multi-functional composition has a viscosity suitable for its intended use or application including, e.g., a viscosity ranging from a water-like thinness to a paste-like heaviness at 22°C (about 72°F).

Useful multi-functional compositions include no greater than 2 % by weight solids, or even no greater than 1 % by weight solids.

#### HYDROPHILIC SILANE


Suitable hydrophilic silanes are preferably water soluble, and in some embodiments, suitable hydrophilic silanes are nonpolymeric compounds. Useful hydrophilic silanes include, e.g., individual molecules, oligomers (typically less than 100 repeat units, and often only a few repeat units) (e.g., monodisperse oligomers and polydisperse oligomers), and combinations thereof, and preferably have a

number average molecular weight no greater than (i.e., up to) 5000 grams per mole (g/mole), no greater than 3000 g/mole, no greater than 1500 g/mole, no greater than 1000 g/mole or even no greater than 500 g/mole. The hydrophilic silane optionally is a reaction product of at least two hydrophilic silane molecules.

These typically are selected to provide protectant properties to a composition of the present invention. The hydrophilic silane can be any one of a variety of different classes of hydrophilic silanes including, e.g., zwitterionic silanes, non-zwitterionic silanes (e.g., cationic silanes, anionic silanes and nonionic silanes), silanes that include functional groups (e.g., functional groups attached directly to a silicon molecule, functional groups attached to another molecule on the silane compound, and combinations thereof), and combinations thereof. Useful functional groups include, e.g., alkoxysilane groups, siloxy groups (e.g., silanol), hydroxyl groups, sulfonate groups, phosphonate groups, carboxylate groups, gluconamide groups, sugar groups, polyvinyl alcohol groups, quaternary ammonium groups, halogens (e.g., chlorine and bromine), sulfur groups (e.g., mercaptans and xanthates), color-imparting agents (e.g., ultraviolet agents (e.g., diazo groups) and peroxide groups), click reactive groups, bioactive groups (e.g., biotin), and combinations thereof.

Examples of suitable classes of hydrophilic silanes that include functional groups include sulfonate-functional zwitterionic silanes, sulfonate-functional non-zwitterionic silanes (e.g., sulfonated anionic silanes, sulfonated nonionic silanes, and sulfonated cationic silanes), hydroxyl sulfonate silanes, phosphonate silanes (e.g., 3-(trihydroxysilyl)propyl methyl-phosphonate monosodium salt), carboxylate silanes, gluconamide silanes, polyhydroxyl alkyl silanes, polyhydroxyl aryl silanes, hydroxyl polyethyleneoxide silanes, polyethyleneoxide silanes, and combinations thereof.

One class of useful sulfonate-functional zwitterionic silanes has the following Formula (I):



wherein:

each  $R^1$  is independently a hydrogen, methyl group, or ethyl group;

each  $R^2$  is independently a methyl group or an ethyl group;

each  $R^3$  and  $R^4$  is independently a saturated or unsaturated, straight chain, branched, or cyclic organic group, which may be joined together, optionally with atoms of the group W, to form a ring;

W is an organic linking group;

p and m are integers of from 1 to 3;

q is 0 or 1 ; and


$p+q=3$ .

The organic linking group W of Formula (II) can be saturated and unsaturated, straight chain, branched, and cyclic organic groups and can include, e.g., alkylenes, alkylenes that include carbonyl groups, urethanes, ureas, organic linking groups substituted with heteroatoms (e.g., oxygen, nitrogen, sulfur, and combinations thereof), and combinations thereof. Suitable alkylenes include, e.g., cycloalkylenes, alkyl-substituted cycloalkylenes, hydroxy-substituted alkylenes, hydroxy-substituted

mono-oxa alkenes, divalent hydrocarbons having mono-oxa backbone substitution, divalent hydrocarbons having mono-thia backbone substitution, divalent hydrocarbons having monooxo-thia backbone substitution, divalent hydrocarbons having dioxo-thia backbone substitution, aryls, arylalkenes, alkylaryls and substituted alkylaryls.

Suitable examples of the zwitterionic functional group  $-W-N^+(R^3)(R^4)-(CH_2)_m-SO_3^-$  include sulfoalkyl imidazolium salts, sulfoaryl imidazolium salts, sulfoalkyl pyridinium salts, sulfoalkyl ammonium salts (e.g., sulfobetaine), and sulfoalkyl piperidinium salts. Suitable zwitterionic silanes of Formula (I) are also described in U.S. Patent No. 5,936,703 (Miyazaki et al.) and International Publication Nos. WO 2007/146680 and WO 2009/119690.

Another useful class of sulfonate-functional zwitterionic silanes includes sulfonate-functional zwitterionic silanes having the Formula (II):



wherein:

each  $R^1$  is independently a hydrogen, methyl group, or ethyl group;

each  $R^2$  is independently a methyl group or an ethyl group;

p and m are integers of from 1 to 3;

q is 0 or 1; and

$p+q=3$ .

Suitable examples of sulfonate functional zwitterionic silanes of Formula (II) are described in U.S. Patent No. 5,936,703 (Miyazaki et al.), and include, e.g.,  $(CH_3O)_3Si-CH_2CH_2CH_2-N^+(CH_3)_2-CH_2CH_2CH_2-SO_3^-$ ;  $(CH_3CH_2O)_2Si(CH_3)-CH_2CH_2CH_2-N^+(CH_3)_2-CH_2CH_2CH_2-SO_3^-$ ; and  $(OH)_3SiCH_2CH_2CH_2N^+(CH_3)_2-CH_2CH_2CH_2SO_3^-$ .

Other suitable zwitterionic silanes include, e.g.,

$(OH)_3SiCH_2CH_2CH_2N^+(CH_3)_2CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2SO_3^-$ ;  $(OH)_3SiCH_2CH_2CH_2[C_5H_5N^+]CH_2CH_2CH_2SO_3^-$ ;

$(OH)_3SiCH_2CH_2CH_2N^+(CH_3)_2CH_2CH_2(OH)CH_2SO_3^-$ ;


$(CH_3O)_3SiCH_2CH_2CH_2N^+(CH_3CH_2)_2CH_2CH_2CH_2SO_3^-$ ;  $(CH_3O)_3SiCH_2CH_2CH_2$

$CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2N^+(CH_3CH_2)_2CH_2CH_2CH_2SO_3^-$ ;  $(CH_3CH_2O)_3SiCH_2CH_2CH_2$

$NHCH(O)NHCH_2CH_2N^+CH_2CH_2CH_2SO_3^-$ ; and

$(CH_3CH_2O)_3SiCH_2CH_2CH_2NHC(O)OCH_2CH_2OCH_2CH_2N^+(CH_3)_2CH_2CH_2CH_2SO_3^-$ .

Another useful class of sulfonate-functional non-zwitterionic silanes has the following Formula (III):



wherein:

each Q is independently selected from hydroxyl, alkyl groups containing from 1 to 4 carbon atoms and alkoxy groups containing from 1 to 4 carbon atoms;

M is selected from hydrogen, alkali metals, and organic cations of strong organic bases having an average molecular weight of less than 150 and a pKa of greater than 11;

X is an organic linking group;

Y is selected from hydrogen, alkaline earth metals, organic cations of protonated weak bases having an average molecular weight of less than 200 and a pKa of less than 11, alkali metals, and organic cations of strong organic bases having an average molecular weight of less than 150 and a pKa of greater than 11, provided that when Y is hydrogen, alkaline earth metals or an organic cation of a protonated weak base, M is hydrogen;

r is equal to the valence of Y; and

n is 1 or 2.

Preferred non-zwitterionic silanes of Formula (III) include alkoxysilane compounds in which Q is an alkoxy group containing from 1 to 4 carbon atoms.

The silanes of Formula (III) preferably include is at least 30 % by weight, at least 40 % by weight, or even from about 45 % by weight to about 55 % by weight oxygen, and no greater than 15 % by weight silicon, based on the weight of the compound in the water-free acid form.

Useful organic linking groups X of Formula (III) include, e.g., alkylenes, cycloalkylenes, alkyl-substituted cycloalkylenes, hydroxy-substituted alkylenes, hydroxy-substituted mono-oxa alkylenes, divalent hydrocarbons having mono-oxa backbone substitution, divalent hydrocarbons having mono-thia backbone substitution, divalent hydrocarbons having monooxo-thia backbone substitution, divalent hydrocarbons having dioxo-thia backbone substitution, arylenes, arylalkylenes, alkylarylenes, and substituted alkylarylenes.

Examples of useful Y include 4-aminopyridine, 2-methoxyethylamine, benzylamine, 2,4-dimethylimidazole, and 3-[2-ethoxy(2-ethoxyethoxy)]propylamine,  $^+N(CH_3)_4$ , and  $^+N(CH_2CH_3)_4$ .

Suitable sulfonate-functional non-zwitterionic silanes of Formula (I) include, e.g.,  $(HO)_3Si-CH_2CH_2CH_2-O-CH_2-CH(OH)-CH_2SO_3-H^+$ ;  $(HO)_3Si-CH_2CH(OH)-CH_2SO_3-H^+$ ;  $(HO)_3Si-CH_2CH_2CH_2SO_3-H^+$ ;  $(HO)_3Si-C_6H_4-CH_2CH_2SO_3-H^+$ ;  $(HO)_2Si-[CH_2CH_2SO_3 H^+]_2$ ;  $(HO)-Si(CH_3)_2-CH_2CH_2SO_3-H^+$ ;  $(NaO)(HO)_2Si-CH_2CH_2CH_2-O-CH_2-CH(OH)-CH_2SO_3-Na^+$ ; and  $(HO)_3Si-CH_2CH_2SO_3-K^+$  and those sulfonate-functional non-zwitterionic silanes of Formula (I) described in U.S. Patent Nos. 4,152,165 (Langager et al.) and 4,338,377 (Beck et al).

The multi-functional composition preferably includes at least 0.0001 % by weight, at least 0.001 % by weight, or in certain embodiments at least 0.005 % by weight, at least 0.01 % by weight, or at least 0.05 % by weight hydrophilic silane. The multi-functional composition preferably includes up to 10 % by weight, or in certain embodiment no greater than 3 % by weight, no greater than 2 % by weight, no greater than 1.5 % by weight, no greater than 1 % by weight, no greater than 0.75% by weight, or even no greater than 0.5 % by weight hydrophilic silane. The hydrophilic silane optionally is provided in a concentrated form that can be diluted to achieve the percent by weight hydrophilic silane set forth above.

## WATER

The amount of water present in the multi-functional composition varies depending upon the purpose and form of the composition. The multi-functional composition can be provided in a variety of forms including, e.g., as a concentrate that can be used as is, a concentrate that is diluted prior to use, and as a ready-to-use composition. Useful multi-functional concentrate compositions include at least about 60 % by weight, at least about 65 % by weight, or at least about 70 % by weight water. Useful multi-functional concentrate compositions include no greater than 97 % by weight, no greater than 95 % by weight, or no greater than 90 % by weight. In certain embodiments, useful multi-functional concentrate compositions include from about 75 % by weight to about 97 % by weight, or even from about 75 % by weight to 95 % by weight water.

Useful ready-to-use compositions include at least 70 % by weight, at least 80 % by weight, at least 90 % by weight, at least 95 % by weight, from about 80 % by weight to 99.75 % by weight, or even from about 80 % by weight to 97 % by weight water.

## SURFACTANT

Suitable surfactants include, e.g., anionic, nonionic, cationic, and amphoteric surfactants, and combinations thereof. These can provide cleaning properties, wetting properties, or both to a composition of the present invention.

The composition may contain more than one surfactant. One or more surfactants is typically selected to function as a cleaning agent. One or more surfactants is typically selected to function as a wetting agent. The cleaning agent(s) can be a detergents, foaming agents, dispersants, emulsifiers, or combinations thereof. The surfactants in such cleaning agents typically include both a hydrophilic portion that is anionic, cationic, amphoteric, quaternary amino, or zwitterionic, and a hydrophobic portion that includes a hydrocarbon chain, fluorocarbon chain, siloxane chain, or combinations thereof. The wetting agent(s) can be selected from a wide variety of materials that lowers the surface tension of the composition. Such wetting agents typically include a non-ionic surfactant, hydrotrope, hydrophilic monomer or polymer, or combinations thereof.

In certain embodiments of a multi-functional composition, one surfactant can be an anionic surfactant and one can be a nonionic surfactant.

Useful anionic surfactants include surfactants having a molecular structure that includes: (1) at least one hydrophobic moiety (e.g., an alkyl group having from 6 to 20 carbon atoms in a chain, alkylaryl group, alkenyl group, and combinations thereof), (2) at least one anionic group (e.g., sulfate, sulfonate, phosphate, polyoxyethylene sulfate, polyoxyethylene sulfonate, polyoxyethylene phosphate, and combinations thereof), (3) salts of such anionic groups (e.g., alkali metal salts, ammonium salts, tertiary amino salts, and combinations thereof), and combinations thereof.

Useful anionic surfactants include, e.g., fatty acid salts (e.g., sodium stearate and sodium dodecanoate), salts of carboxylates (e.g., alkylcarboxylates (carboxylic acid salts) and

polyalkoxycarboxylates, alcohol ethoxylate carboxylates, and nonylphenol ethoxylate carboxylates); salts of sulfonates (e.g., alkylsulfonates (alpha-olefinsulfonate), alkylbenzenesulfonates (e.g., sodium dodecylbenzenesulfonate), alkylarylsulfonates (e.g., sodium alkylarylsulfonate), and sulfonated fatty acid esters); salts of sulfates (e.g., sulfated alcohols (e.g., fatty alcohol sulfates, e.g., sodium lauryl sulfate), salts of sulfated alcohol ethoxylates, salts of sulfated alkylphenols, salts of alkylsulfates (e.g., sodium dodecyl sulfate), sulfosuccinates, and alkylether sulfates), aliphatic soap, fluorosurfactants, anionic silicone surfactants, and combinations thereof.

Suitable commercially available anionic surfactants include sodium lauryl sulfate surfactants available under the trade designations TEXAPON L-100 from Henkel Inc. (Wilmington, Delaware) and STEPANOL WA-EXTRA from Stepan Chemical Co. (Northfield, Illinois), sodium lauryl ether sulfate surfactants available under the POLYSTEP B- 12 trade designation from Stepan Chemical Co., ammonium lauryl sulfate surfactants available under the trade designation STANDAPOL A from Henkel Inc., sodium dodecyl benzene sulfonate surfactants available under the trade designation SIPONATE DS-10 from Rhone - Poulenc, Inc. (Cranberry, New Jersey), decyl(sulfophenoxy)benzenesulfonic acid disodium salt available under the trade designation DOWFAX C10L from The Dow Chemical Company (Midland, Michigan).

Useful amphoteric surfactants include, e.g., amphoteric betaines (e.g., cocoamidopropyl betaine), amphoteric sultaines (cocoamidopropyl hydroxysultaine and cocoamidopropyl dimethyl sultaine), amphoteric imidazolines, and combinations thereof. A useful cocoamidopropyl dimethyl sultaine is commercially available under the LONZAINES CS trade designation from Lonza Group Ltd. (Basel, Switzerland). Useful coconut-based alkanolamide surfactants are commercially available from Mona Chemicals under the MONAMID 150-ADD trade designation). Other useful commercially available amphoteric surfactants include, e.g., caprylic glycinate (an example of which is available under the REWOTERIC AMV trade designation from Witco Corp.) and capryloamphodipropionate (an example of which is available under the AMPHOTERGE KJ-2 trade designation from Lonza Group Ltd.

Examples of useful nonionic surfactants include polyoxyethylene glycol ethers (e.g., octaethylene glycol monododecyl ether, pentaethylene monododecyl ether, poly-oxyethylenedodecyl ether, polyoxyethylenhexadecyl ether), polyoxyethylene glycol alkylphenol ethers (e.g., polyoxyethylene glycol octylphenol ether and polyoxyethylene glycol nonylphenol ether), polyoxyethylene sorbitan monoleate ether, polyoxyethylenelauryl ether, polyoxypropylene glycol alkyl ethers, glucoside alkyl ethers (e.g., decyl glucoside, lauryl glucoside, and octyl glucoside), glycerol alkyl esters, polyoxyethylene glycol sorbitan alkyl esters, monodecanoyl sucrose, cocamide, dodecyldimethylamine oxide, alkoxylated alcohol nonionic surfactants (e.g., ethoxylated alcohol, propoxylated alcohol, and ethoxylated-propoxylated alcohol). Useful nonionic surfactants include alkoxylated alcohol commercially available under the trade designations NEODOL 23-3 and NEODOL 23-5 from Shell Chemical LP (Houston, Texas) and the trade designation IGEPAL CO-630 from Rhone-Poulenc, lauramine oxide commercially available under the BARLOX LF trade designation from Lonza Group Ltd. (Basel, Switzerland), and

alkyl phenol ethoxylates and ethoxylated vegetable oils commercially available under the trade designation EMULPHOR EL-719 from GAF Corp. (Frankfort, Germany).

Examples of useful cationic surfactants include dodecyl ammonium chloride, dodecyl ammonium bromide, dodecyl trimethyl ammonium bromide, dodecyl pyridinium chloride, dodecyl pyridinium bromide, hexadecyl trimethyl ammonium bromide, cationic quaternary amines, and combinations thereof.

Other useful surfactants are disclosed, e.g., in U.S. Pat. No. 6,040,053 (Scholz et al).

The surfactant preferably is present in the composition in an amount sufficient to reduce the surface tension of the composition relative to the composition without the surfactant and to clean the surface. The composition preferably includes at least 0.02 % by weight, or at least 0.03 % by weight, or at least 0.05 % by weight, or at least 10 % by weight surfactant. The composition preferably includes no greater than 0.4 % by weight, or no greater than 0.25 % by weight surfactant. In certain embodiments, the composition preferably includes from about 0.05 % by weight to about 0.2 % weight, or from about 0.07 % by weight to about 0.15 % weight surfactant.

#### ALKALI METAL SILICATES AND POLYALKOXY SILANES

The multi-functional composition optionally includes one or more silicates, polyalkoxy silanes, or combinations thereof. These components can provide cleaning capability (e.g., as a result of increasing the pH of the composition). They can also provide protection (e.g., as a result of crosslinking).

Typically, the silicates are water soluble, and preferably a water soluble alkali metal silicate.

Examples of suitable water soluble alkali metal silicates include lithium silicate, sodium silicate, potassium silicate, alkyl polysilicates and combinations thereof. The water soluble alkali metal silicate, when present in the composition, is preferably present in an amount of at least 0.0001 % by weight, at least 0.001 % by weight, at least 0.01 % by weight, at least 0.02 % by weight, at least 0.05 % by weight, at least 0.1 % by weight, or at least 0.2 % by weight. The water soluble alkali metal silicate, when present in the composition, is preferably present in an amount of no greater than 10 % by weight, or no greater than 5 % by weight. In certain embodiments, the water soluble alkali metal silicate is present in an amount of from about 0.02 % by weight to about 1 % by weight, at or even from about 0.1 % by weight to about 0.5 % by weight.

Generally, the polyalkoxy silanes are less hydrophilic than the hydrophilic silanes described herein. They may be water soluble, alcohol soluble, or both. Examples of suitable polyalkoxy silanes include poly(diethoxysiloxane), tetraalkoxysilanes (e.g., tetraethylorthosilicate (TEOS) and oligomers of tetraalkoxysilanes), and combinations thereof. The polyalkoxy silane, when present in the composition, is preferably present in an amount of at least 0.0001 % by weight, at least 0.001 % by weight, at least 0.01 % by weight, at least 0.02 % by weight, at least 0.05 % by weight, at least 0.1 % by weight, or at least 0.2 % by weight. The polyalkoxy silane, when present in the composition, is preferably present in an amount of no greater than 10 % by weight, or no greater than 5 % by weight. In certain embodiments, the

polyalkoxy silane, when present in the composition, is preferably present in an amount of from about 0.02 % by weight to about 1 % by weight, at or even from about 0.1 % by weight to about 0.5 % by weight.

#### OPTIONAL INORGANIC COLLOIDAL SOLUTION OF INORGANIC PARTICLES (I.E., A SOL)

The composition optionally includes an inorganic sol, e.g., a silica sol, an alumina sol, a zirconium sol, and combinations thereof. Examples of useful silica sols include aqueous inorganic silica sols and non-aqueous silica sols. A variety of inorganic silica sols in aqueous media are suitable including, e.g., silica sols in water and silica sols in water-alcohol solutions. Useful inorganic sols are commercially available under the trade designations LUDOX from E.I. duPont de Nemours and Co., Inc. (Wilmington, Delaware), NYACOL from Nyacol Co. (Ashland, Maine) and NALCO from Ondea Nalco Chemical Co. (Oak Brook, Illinois). One useful silica sol is NALCO 2326 silica sol having a mean particle size of 5 nanometers, pH 10.5, and solid content of 15 % by weight. Other useful commercially available silica sols are available under the trade designations NALCO 1115 and NALCO 1130 from Nalco Chemical Co. (Naperville, IL), REMASOL SP30 from Remet Corp., LUDOX SM from E.I. Du Pont de Nemours Co., Inc., and SNOWTEX ST-OUT, SNOWTEX ST-UP, and SNOWTEX ST-PS-S from Nissan Chemical Co.

Useful non-aqueous silica sols (also called silica organosols) include sol dispersions in which the liquid phase is an organic solvent, or an aqueous organic solvent. The particles of the sol are preferably nano-sized particles. Sodium stabilized silica nanoparticles are preferably acidified prior to dilution with an organic solvent such as ethanol. Dilution prior to acidification may yield poor or non-uniform coatings. Ammonium stabilized silica nanoparticles may generally be diluted and acidified in any order.

When present, the composition preferably includes at least 0.005 % by weight, at least 0.01 % by weight, or at least 0.05 % by weight inorganic sol (e.g., inorganic silica sol). When present, the composition preferably includes no greater than 3 % by weight, no greater than 2 % by weight, no greater than 1.5 % by weight, or even no greater than 1 % by inorganic sol (e.g., inorganic silica sol).

#### OTHER OPTIONAL COMPONENTS

The multi-functional composition optionally includes water insoluble abrasive particles, organic solvents (e.g., water soluble solvents), detergents, chelating agents (e.g., EDTA (ethylene diamine tetra acetate), sodium citrate, and zeolite compounds), fillers, abrasives, thickening agents, builders (e.g., sodium tripolyphosphate, sodium carbonate, sodium silicate, and combinations thereof), sequestrates, bleach (e.g., chlorine, oxygen (i.e., non-chlorine bleach), and combinations thereof), pH modifiers, antioxidants, preservatives, fragrances, colorants (e.g., dyes), and combinations thereof.

Examples of suitable water insoluble abrasive particles include silica (e.g., silica particles, e.g., silica nanoparticles), perlite, calcium carbonate, calcium oxide, calcium hydroxide, pumice, and combinations thereof. The water insoluble particles, when present in the composition, are preferably

present in an amount of from about 0.1 % by weight to about 40 % by weight, from about 0.1 % by weight to about 10 % by weight, or even from about 1 % by weight to about 5 % by weight.

The multi-functional composition optionally includes an organic solvent. When the multi-functional composition is a concentrate, the composition optionally is diluted with an organic solvent or a mixture of organic solvent and water. Useful organic solvents include, e.g., alcohols (e.g., methanol, ethanol, isopropanol, 2-propanol, 1-methoxy-2-propanol, 2-butoxyethanol, and combinations thereof), d-limonene, monoethanolamine, diethylene glycol ethyl ether, tripropylene glycol monomethyl ether, dipropylene glycol n-propyl ether, acetone, and combinations thereof. When present, the composition includes no greater than 50 % by weight, from about 0.1 % by weight to about 30 % by weight, from about 0.2 % by weight to about 10 % by weight, or even from about 0.5 % by weight to about 5 % by weight organic solvent.

Thickening agents can help to thicken the composition and may also be utilized where there is a need to increase the time the consumer can wipe the composition before it runs down a vertical surface. Examples of useful thickening agents include polyacrylic acid polymers and copolymers (examples of which are available under the CARBOPOL ETD 2623 trade designation from B. F. Goodrich Corporation (Charlotte, North Carolina) and the ACCUSOL 821 trade designation from Rohm and Haas Company (Philadelphia, Pennsylvania), hydroxymethyl cellulose, hydroxyethyl cellulose, hydroxypropyl cellulose, and combinations thereof.

## SILICEOUS SURFACES

The multi-functional composition is useful for removing an unwanted constituent from a variety of surfaces including, e.g., glass, ceramic (e.g., porcelain), stone (e.g., granite, and onyx), cement, concrete, surfaces treated with siliceous materials to render them siliceous, and combinations thereof. One method of rendering surfaces siliceous includes vapor deposition of silicon dioxide.

The siliceous surface can be present on substrates made from a variety of materials including, e.g., polymers (e.g., polyester (e.g., polyethylene terephthalate and polybutyleneterephthalate), polycarbonate, allyldiglycolcarbonate, polyacrylate (e.g., polymethylmethacrylate), polystyrene, polysulfone, polyethersulfone, homo-epoxy polymers, epoxy addition polymers with polydiamines, polydithiols, polyolefin (e.g., polyethylene, polypropylene, and copolymers of propylene, ethylene and butene), polyvinyl chloride, and combinations thereof), fluorinated surfaces, cellulose esters (e.g., acetate and butyrate), glass, ceramic, composites (e.g., composites of organic materials, inorganic materials, and combinations thereof (e.g., polymer and cementitious composites that include organic particulate, inorganic particulate, and combinations thereof)), metal (e.g., aluminum, stainless steel, nickel, copper, tin, brass, and combinations thereof), stone (e.g., granite, marble, onyx, soapstone, and limestone), cement, concrete, and combinations thereof. Methods of forming siliceous surfaces on substrates are disclosed in a variety of publications including, e.g., WO 2011163175 and WO 20011084661.

The composition is useful on substrates having a variety of forms including, e.g., sheet, panel, pane (e.g., panes used in a variety of applications including, e.g., graphics, signage, and articles including, e.g., computer case, cell phone case, computer screen, phone screen, ophthalmic lenses, architectural glazing, decorative glass frames, motor vehicle windows, windshields, protective eye wear (e.g., surgical masks and face shields) and combinations thereof), solar panels, film (e.g., uniaxially oriented, biaxially oriented, flexible and rigid), appliances (e.g., radios, stereos, ovens, dishwashers, cook tops, stoves, microwaves, refrigerators, freezers, washing machines, and dryers), vehicle surfaces (e.g., body, lights, and windows), flooring (e.g., tile), wall, door, room surfaces (e.g., bathroom and kitchen), e.g., floors, door knobs, toilet bowls, toilet tanks, countertops, mirrors, bath tubs, shower doors, wall surfaces, fixtures (e.g., faucets, handles, spouts, and knobs), towel racks, windows, windshield, mirrors, lenses (e.g., eyeglass, photographic, and optical), vessels (e.g., glasses for drinking, cups, and plates), and combinations thereof.

#### ARTICLES

The composition can be included in any suitable packaging including, e.g., in a vessel equipped with a dispenser (e.g., a plastic bottle equipped with a sprayer or spray pump in a ready to use form), and in a vessel from which the composition can be transferred into another vessel or in which the composition can be diluted, e.g., when the composition is in the form of a concentrate.

#### APPLICATIONS

The multi-functional composition or a portion thereof (e.g., the hydrophilic silane alone or in combination with a silicate) can be added to a second composition including, e.g., a cleaning composition (e.g., WINDEX), a finishing composition, and combinations thereof. Alternatively, or in addition, a variety of cleaning and finishing compositions can be formulated to include the composition. The multi-functional composition can be specifically formulated to optimize its ability to clean hard surfaces (e.g., glass, manual and automatic dishwasher surfaces, dishes, glasses, silverware, pots and pans, floors (e.g., tile), and tiled walls), to polish hard surfaces (e.g., floor and appliance polishers), to degrease hard surfaces (e.g., floors, cooking grills, cook tops, ovens, automotive engines, pots, and pans), and combinations thereof.

One useful glass cleaner composition includes from 20 % by weight to 99 % by weight distilled water, from 0.01 % by weight to 2% by weight multi-functional composition, from 0.05 % by weight to 0.30 % by weight sodium lauryl sulfate, from 0.2 % by weight to 7 % by weight isopropanol, from 0.01 % by weight to 0.20 % by weight ethoxylated alcohol, from 0.02 % by weight to 0.2 % by weight potassium carbonate, from 0.01 % by weight to 0.25 % by weight glycerin, from 0.0001 % by weight to 0.05 % by weight fragrance, and about 0.01 % by weight color agent.

One useful floor cleaning/polishing concentrate composition includes from 1 % by weight to 90 % by weight distilled water, from 5 % by weight to 30 % by weight surfactant, from 1 % by weight to 20

% by weight wax, and from 0.01 % by weight to 10 % by weight multifunctional composition. The floor cleaning composition optionally includes an alkali soluble resin, solvent (e.g., glycol ether), and combinations thereof.

One useful tile cleaner composition includes from 0 % by weight to 10 % by weight anionic detergent, from 0.01 % by weight to 10 % by weight multifunctional composition, from 0 % by weight to 10 % by weight propylene glycol butyl ether, from 0 % by weight to 10 % by weight alcohol ethoxylate,, from 0 % by weight to 5 % by weight C<sub>10-16</sub>-alkyl glycosides builder, and from 0 % by weight to 5 % by weight antimicrobial preservative, the balance being water.

One useful toilet bowl cleaner composition includes from 0.01 % by weight to 10 % by weight multifunctional composition, from 0.1 % by weight to 1 % by weight sodium hydroxide, from 0 % by weight to 5 % by weight amine oxide surfactant, and from 0 % by weight to 5 % by weight sodium hypochlorite, from 0.1 % by weight to 5 % by weight alcohol ethoxylate (e.g., TOMADOL 91-6), with the balance being water. Useful toilet bowl cleaner compositions may be acidic, or even have a pH less than 4.5 and optionally include lactic acid.

One useful soap scum remover includes from 0.05 % by weight to 10 % by weight surfactant, from 0 % by weight to 10 % by weight diethylene glycol monoethyl ether, from 0 % by weight to 10 % by weight chelating agent (e.g., EDTA from 1 % by weight to 10 % by weight tetrapotassium salt), from 0.1 % by weight to 2 % by weight organic acid (e.g., lactic or malic acid), and from 0.01 % by weight to 10 % by weight multifunctional composition,

One useful degreaser includes from 0 % by weight to 10 % by weight diethylene glycol monobutyl ether, from 0 % by weight to 10 % by weight monoethanolamine (MEA), from 0.1 % by weight to 1 % by weight carbonate salt (e.g., potassium carbonate), from 0.01 % by weight to 10 % by weight multifunctional composition, from 0 % by weight to 25 % by weight chelating agent (e.g., disodium citrate), from 1 % by weight to 10 % by weight anionic surfactant (e.g., sodium cumene sulfonate), from 0.2 % by weight to 29 % by weight sodium salt of a (C<sub>10-16</sub>) alkyl benzene sulfonic acid, and from 0 % by weight to 10 % by weight nonionic surfactant, with water being the balance.

Such cleaning compositions also provide protection. Hence, they are multi-functional. Compositions of the present invention such as these can be sprayed on with or wiping.

## EXEMPLARY EMBODIMENTS

1. A method of removing an unwanted constituent from a siliceous surface, the method comprising:

contacting the siliceous surface and the unwanted constituent with a multi-functional solution comprising water, a hydrophilic silane, and a surfactant; and  
drying the surface.

2. The method of embodiment 1, further comprising rubbing the solution on the surface.

3. The method of embodiment 1 or 2, wherein the solution imparts a hydrophilic property to the surface and the dried surface exhibits a greater hydrophilicity relative to the hydrophilicity of the surface prior to the contacting.

5

4. The method of any of embodiments 1 through 3, wherein the siliceous surface is a surface of a board selected from the group consisting of a white board and a dry erase board, and the unwanted constituent comprises marks from a marker.

10

5. The method of any of embodiments 1 through 3, wherein the siliceous surface is a surface of a window and the unwanted constituent comprises at least one of oil and dirt.

15

6. The method of any of embodiments 1 through 5, wherein the dried surface exhibits sufficient hydrophilicity such that at least 50 % of a mark placed on the surface with a permanent marker is wiped away from the surface within 50 wipes with a damp towel.

20

7. The method of any of embodiments 1 through 6, wherein the dried surface exhibits sufficient hydrophilicity such that at least 50 % of a mark placed on the surface with a permanent marker is washed away from the surface within two minutes by a spray of water applied at a rate of 600 milliliters per minute.

25

8. The method of any of embodiments 1 through 7, wherein the dried surface exhibits sufficient hydrophilicity such that a fingerprint of artificial sebum placed on the dried surface is washed away from the surface within 2 minutes by a spray of water applied at a rate of 600 milliliters per minute.

9. The method of any of embodiments 1 through 8, wherein when the dried surface is contacted with moisture vapor, no condensation occurs.

30

10. A method of removing an unwanted constituent from a siliceous surface, the method comprising:

contacting the siliceous surface and the unwanted constituent with a multi-functional composition comprising water, a hydrophilic silane, surfactant, and at least one of water soluble alkali metal silicate, tetraalkoxysilane, tetraalkoxysilane oligomer, and an inorganic silica sol; and drying the surface.

35

11. A method of determining the cleanliness of a previously cleaned substrate (e.g., one cleaned by a method of the present disclosure or cleaned with a composition of the present disclosure), the method comprising:

5 exposing the previously cleaned surface, which is at a temperature of from at least 0°C to about 25°C, to moisture vapor,  
observing whether or not condensation occurs, and  
if fogging is present, determining that the surface is dirty, and  
if fogging does not occur or is not present more than 30 seconds after exposure to the moisture vapor, determining that the surface is clean.

10 12. A method of determining the cleanliness of a previously cleaned substrate (e.g., one cleaned by a method of the present disclosure or cleaned with a composition of the present disclosure), the method comprising:

15 placing a mark with a permanent marker on the previously cleaned surface of the substrate;  
saturating the mark with water;  
wiping the mark with a paper towel; and  
determining whether or not at least 90 % of the mark has been washed away by the spray of water, and  
20 if at least 90 % of the mark has been washed away by the spray of water, then determining that the surface is clean.

25 13. A method of determining the cleanliness of a previously cleaned substrate (e.g., one cleaned by a method of the present disclosure or cleaned with a composition of the present disclosure), the method comprising

placing a fingerprint of artificial sebum on the previously cleaned surface of the substrate,  
spraying the fingerprint and the substrate with a stream of deionized water at a flow rate of no greater than 600 milliliters per min for no greater than 30 seconds, and  
determining whether or not at least 50 % of the fingerprint has been washed away  
30 by the spray of water,  
if at least 50 % of the fingerprint has been washed away by the spray of water, then determining that the surface is clean, and  
if at least 50 % of the fingerprint has not been washed away by the spray of water, then determining that the surface is not clean.

35 14. A multi-functional solution comprising:  
a first hydrophilic silane;

first surfactant,  
the ratio of the weight of the hydrophilic silane to the weight of the surfactant being at  
least 1:1; and  
water.

5

15. The multi-functional solution of embodiment 14 further comprising at least one of a  
water soluble alkali metal silicate, a tetraalkoxysilane, and a tetraalkoxysilane oligomer.

10

16. The multi-functional solution of embodiment 14 or 15 further comprising a second  
surfactant different from the first surfactant.

17. The multi-functional solution of any of embodiments 14 through 16 further comprising a  
second hydrophilic silane different from the first hydrophilic silane.

15

18. The multi-functional solution of any of embodiments 14 through 17, wherein the solution  
comprises a water soluble alkali metal silicate comprising at least one of lithium silicate, sodium silicate,  
and potassium silicate.

20

19. The multi-functional solution of any of embodiments 14 through 18, wherein the solution  
passes Permanent Marker Removal Test Method I.

20. The multi-functional solution of any of embodiments 14 through 19, wherein the solution  
passes Artificial Sebum Removal Test Method I.

25

21. The multi-functional solution of any of embodiments 14 through 20, wherein the solution  
passes the Fog Test Method.

30

22. The multi-functional solution of any of embodiments 14 through 21, comprising from at  
least 0.01 % by weight to no greater than 3 % by weight of the first hydrophilic silane.

23. The multi-functional solution of embodiment 22, comprising no greater than 0.5 % by  
weight of the first hydrophilic silane.

35

24. The multi-functional solution of any of embodiments 14 through 23, comprising no  
greater than 2 % by weight solids.

25. The multi-functional solution of embodiment 24, comprising no greater than 1 % by weight solids.

26. The multi-functional solution of any of embodiments 14 through 25, wherein the hydrophilic silane comprises a zwitterionic silane.

27. The multi-functional solution of embodiment 26, wherein the solution comprises from about 0.01 % by weight to about 5 % by weight zwitterionic silane.

28. The multi-functional solution of embodiment 27, wherein the solution comprises from about 0.1 % by weight to about 2 % by weight zwitterionic silane.

29. The multi-functional solution of any of embodiments 14 through 28, wherein the first surfactant comprises at least one of anionic surfactant, nonionic surfactant, cationic surfactant, amphoteric betaine surfactant, amphoteric sultaine surfactant, amphoteric imidazoline surfactant, amine oxide surfactant, and quaternary cationic surfactant.

30. The multi-functional solution of any of embodiments 14 through 29, wherein the first surfactant comprises a nonionic surfactant and the second surfactant comprises an anionic surfactant.

31. The multi-functional solution of any of embodiments 14 through 30, wherein the first hydrophilic silane has a molecular weight no greater than 1000 grams per mole.

32. The multi-functional solution of any of embodiments 14 through 31, wherein the first hydrophilic silane has a molecular weight no greater than 500 grams per mole.

33. The multi-functional solution of any of embodiments 14 through 32 comprising at least 60 % by weight water.

34. The multi-functional solution of any of embodiments 14 through 32 comprising no greater than 30 % by weight water.

35. A liquid multi-functional composition comprising:  
a hydrophilic silane;  
a first surfactant;  
at least one of a water soluble alkali metal silicate, a tetraalkoxysilane, a tetraalkoxysilane oligomer, and an inorganic silica sol; and

water.

36. The multi-functional composition of embodiment 35, wherein the hydrophilic silane comprises a zwitterionic hydrophilic silane.

37. The multi-functional composition of embodiment 35 or 36, wherein the hydrophilic silane is selected from the group consisting of zwitterionic silane, hydroxyl sulfonate silane, phosphonate silane, carboxylate silane, glucanamide silane, polyhydroxyl alkyl silane, hydroxyl polyethyleneoxide silanes, polyethyleneoxide silanes, and combinations thereof.

38. The multi-functional composition of any of embodiments 35 through 37, wherein the composition passes Permanent Marker Removal Test Method I.

39. The multi-functional composition of any of embodiments 35 through 38, wherein the composition passes Artificial Sebum Removal Test Method I.

40. The multi-functional composition of any of embodiments 35 through 39, wherein the composition passes the Fog Test Method.

41. The multi-functional composition of any of embodiments 35 through 40 further comprising water insoluble particles.

42. The multi-functional composition of any of embodiments 35 through 41 further comprising abrasive particles.

43. The multi-functional composition of any of embodiments 35 through 42 further comprising a second surfactant different from the first surfactant.

44. A multi-functional liquid composition comprising:

a hydrophilic silane;

a first surfactant;

a second surfactant different from the first surfactant; and

water.

45. The multi-functional liquid composition of embodiment 44, wherein the hydrophilic silane is selected from the group consisting of zwitterionic silane, hydroxyl sulfonate silane, phosphonate

silane, carboxylate silane, glucanamide silane, polyhydroxyl alkyl silane, hydroxyl polyethyleneoxide silane, polyethyleneoxide silane, and combinations thereof.

46. The liquid multi-functional composition of embodiment 44 or 45, wherein the composition passes Permanent Marker Removal Test Method I.

47. The liquid multi-functional composition of any of embodiments 44 through 46, wherein the composition passes Artificial Sebum Removal Test Method I.

48. The liquid multi-functional composition of any of embodiments 44 through 47, wherein the composition passes the Fog Test Method.

49. The liquid multi-functional composition of any of embodiments 44 through 48 further comprising water insoluble particles.

50. The liquid multi-functional composition of any of embodiments 44 through 49 further comprising abrasive particles.

51. The liquid multi-functional composition of any of embodiments 44 through 50 further comprising a second surfactant different from the first surfactant.

52. A method of using a multi-functional solution, the method comprising:  
diluting a concentrated solution with water to form a diluted solution, the concentrated solution comprising a first hydrophilic silane and surfactant where the ratio of the weight of the hydrophilic silane to the weight of the surfactant is at least 1:1; and  
contacting a siliceous surface with the diluted solution.

53. A multi-functional (preferably a cleaning and protecting) aqueous composition comprising:  
a hydrophilic silane;  
at least two different surfactants; and  
water.

54. The multi-functional composition of embodiment 53 wherein the ratio of the total weight of the hydrophilic silane to the total weight of the surfactants is at least 1:2.

55. The multi-functional composition of embodiment 53 wherein the ratio of the total weight of the surfactants to the total weight of the hydrophilic silanes is at least 1:2.

56. The multi-functional composition of any of embodiments 53 through 55 further comprising at least one of a water soluble alkali metal silicate and a polyalkoxy silane.

57. The multi-functional composition of embodiment 56 comprising at least 0.0001 % by weight to no greater than 10 % by weight of at least one of a water soluble alkali metal silicate and a polyalkoxy silane.

58. The multi-functional composition of any of embodiments 53 through 57 comprising 0.0001 % by weight to 10 % by weight hydrophilic silane and 0.03 % by weight to 0.4 % by weight surfactants.

59. The multi-functional composition of any of embodiments 53 through 58 in a ready-to-use formulation.

60. The multi-functional composition of any of embodiments 53 through 58 in a concentrated formulation.

61. The multi-functional composition of any of embodiments 53 through 60 wherein the hydrophilic silane comprises a zwitterionic silane, and the at least two surfactants comprise a nonionic surfactant and an anionic surfactant.

62. The multi-functional composition of any of embodiments 53 through 61 wherein the composition passes at least one of the following tests: Permanent Marker Removal Test Method I; Artificial Sebum Removal Test Method I; and Fog Test Method.

63. A liquid multi-functional (preferably a cleaning and protecting) aqueous composition comprising:

a hydrophilic silane;

a surfactant;

at least one of a water soluble alkali metal silicate, a polyalkoxy silane, and an inorganic silica sol; and

water.

64. The multi-functional composition of embodiment 63 wherein the ratio of the total weight of the hydrophilic silane to the total weight of the surfactants is at least 1:2.

65. The multi-functional composition of embodiment 63 wherein the ratio of the total weight of the surfactants to the total weight of the hydrophilic silane is at least 1:2.

66. A method of removing an unwanted constituent from a siliceous surface, the method comprising:

contacting the siliceous surface and the unwanted constituent with a multi-functional composition comprising water, a hydrophilic silane, and a surfactant; and  
drying the surface.

67. The method of embodiment 66 further comprising rubbing the composition on the surface.

68. The method of embodiment 66 or 67 further comprising providing a concentrated composition and diluting it with water to provide a multi-functional composition.

69. The method of any of embodiments 66 through 68 wherein the ratio of the weight of the hydrophilic silane to the weight of the surfactant is at least 1:1.

70. The method of any of embodiments 66 through 69 wherein the dried surface exhibits sufficient hydrophilicity such that at least one of the following is true:

at least 50 % of a mark placed on the surface with a permanent marker is wiped away from the surface within 50 wipes with a damp towel;

at least 50 % of a mark placed on the surface with a permanent marker is washed away from the surface within two minutes by a spray of water applied at a rate of 600 milliliters per minute; and

a fingerprint of artificial sebum placed on the dried surface is washed away from the surface within 2 minutes by a spray of water applied at a rate of 600 milliliters per minute.

71. The method of any of embodiments 66 through 70 wherein when the dried surface is contacted with moisture vapor, no condensation occurs.

72. A method of cleaning and protecting a siliceous surface, the method comprising:  
applying an aqueous composition to the surface, the composition comprising:

a hydrophilic silane;

a surfactant; and

water;

wherein the ratio of the total weight of the surfactant to the total weight of the hydrophilic silanes at least 1:2; and

rubbing the composition onto the surface to clean and protect the surface.

### Examples

The invention will now be described by way of the following examples. All parts, percentages, and ratios in the examples are by weight unless otherwise noted.

#### Fingerprint Removal Test Method I

Spangler's synthetic sebum prepared according to CSPA Designation DCC-09, May 1983, (Re-approved in 2003) (hereinafter referred to as Artificial Sebum) is applied to the surface of a soda lime glass plate. The sample is allowed to stand for less than 5 minutes at room temperature. The surface of the sample is then rinsed under a stream of deionized water at a flow rate of 600 milliliters (mL) per minute (min) for 30 seconds and then the surface is dried with compressed air. The samples are then visually inspected and rated as pass or fail. A rating of "Pass" means at least 50 % of the fingerprint is removed, and a rating of "Fail" means the fingerprint remained visible on the sample surface.

#### Fingerprint Removal Test Method II

A facial oil fingerprint is applied to a substrate surface using facial oil from a person's forehead or nose. The sample is allowed to stand for less than 5 minutes at room temperature. The surface of the sample is then rinsed under a stream of deionized water at a flow rate of 600 milliliters (mL) per minute (min) for 30 seconds and then the surface is dried with compressed air. The samples are then visually inspected and rated as pass or fail. A rating of "Pass" means the fingerprint is mostly removed, and a rating of "Fail" means the fingerprint remained visible on the sample surface.

#### Permanent Marker Removal Test Method I

A series of six permanent markers are applied to the surface of a soda lime glass plate. The test markers include a red AVERY MARKS-A-LOT permanent marker (Avery, Brea, California), a black AVERY MARKS-A-LOT permanent marker, a blue BIC permanent marker (Bic Corporation, Shelton, Connecticut), a black BIC, a red SHARPIE permanent marker (Bic Corporation), and a black SHARPIE permanent marker. The name of the marker is written on the cleaned surfaces 5; for example the word "Avery" is written in an area of approximately 7.6 cm x 10.2 cm for the Avery markers. The samples are allowed to stand for a period of 30 minutes at room temperature. The surface of each sample is then rinsed under a stream of deionized water at a flow rate of 600 milliliters (mL) per minute (min) for 30 seconds and then the surface is dried with compressed air. The samples are visually inspected and the total remaining marking is recorded as a percentage of the original marking. A rating of "Pass" means at least 50 % of the mark has been removed from the sample surface, and a rating of "Fail" means less than 50 % of the mark has been removed from the sample surface.

## Permanent Marker Removal Test Method II

A series of six permanent markers are applied to a glass substrate. The test markers include a red AVERY MARKS-A-LOT permanent marker, a black AVERY MARKS-A-LOT permanent marker, a blue BIC permanent marker, a black BIC permanent marker, a red SHARPIE permanent marker, and a black SHARPIE permanent marker. The name of the marker is written on the cleaned surfaces 5; for example the word "Avery" is written in an area of approximately 7.6 cm x 10.2 cm for the Avery markers. The samples are allowed to stand for a period of 30 minutes at room temperature before cleaning them with the test composition and wiping them with a KIMBERLY-CLARK L-30 WYPALL towel (Kimberly Clark, Roswell, Georgia). The samples are visually inspected and the total remaining marking is recorded as a percentage of the original marking.

## Permanent Marker Removal Test Method III

A red MARKS-A-LOT permanent marker (Avery, Brea, California) is applied to the sample surface by writing the word "Avery" in an area of approximately 7.6 cm x 10.2 cm. The samples are allowed to stand for a period of greater than 10 minutes at room temperature. The samples are then sprayed with deionized water from a spray bottle and wiped with a KIMBERLY-CLARK L-30 WYPALL towel (Kimberly Clark). The samples are visually inspected and the total remaining marking is recorded as a percentage of the original marking.

## Fog Test Method

Samples are prepared by spraying 12.7 cm by 17.8 cm float glass panes with Comparative Sample 1 and wiping them clean using a KIMBERLY-CLARK L-30 WYPALL towel (Kimberly Clark). After the panes are dry they are subsequently sprayed with the composition to be tested and then wiped with a L-30 WYPALL towel.

The samples area then held at room temperature for 30 minutes before placing the samples in a 50°F (10°C) refrigerator. After the samples have been in the refrigerator for 30 minutes, they are removed and allowed to warm to room temperature with relative humidity (i.e., 72°F (22.2°C) and 80 % relative humidity).

After ten seconds the samples are visually observed and rated as pass or fail. A pass rating means that a reflected image can easily be seen in the mirror. A fail rating means that the reflected image was not visible.

## Haze Test Method

Haze is measured according to ASTM D1003-00 using a Haze-gard plus hazemeter (Cat. No. 4725 from BYK-Gardner USA (Columbia, Maryland). Sample specimens 15 cm by 15 cm in size are selected such that no oil, dirt, dust or fingerprints are present in the section to be measured. The specimens are then mounted by hand across the haze port of the hazemeter and the measurement

activated. Five replicate haze measurements are obtained and the average of the five measurements is reported as the percent (%) haze value.

#### Contact Angle Test Method I

A sample is placed on the viewing stage of a goniometer (NRI C. A. Goniometer, Model 100-00-US made by Rame-Hart Inc, Mountain Lake, New Jersey). A minimum volume drop of reagent grade hexadecane is allowed to fall from a 5 ml micrometer syringe, equipped with an 18 gage hypodermic needle at a height of about 1/4" (6 mm) onto the specimen. The goniometer viewing light is turned on and the drop is brought into focus. The viewing stage is adjusted to align the zero degree reference line with the bottom of the drop. The movable protractor line is rotated until it is superimposed with the contact angle of the drop. The contact angle is read from the scale. An angle of 0 degrees means complete wetting, and increasing angles mean a more oil repellent (surface energies less than hexadecane surface energy) surface.

#### Contact Angle Test Method II

Water contact angle measurements were made using OmniSolv® purified and filtered water (EM Science, Gibbstown, New Jersey). The contact angle analyzer used is a custom-built manual instrument equipped with a Gaertner Scientific Corporation (Chicago, Illinois) goniometer-microscope mounted on a horizontal positioning device (UniSlide® Series A2500) made by Velmex, Inc. (Holcomb, New York). Water droplets approximately 0.5µl in volume are dispensed by the turning of a micrometer thimble, barrel, and spindle (No. 263, L. S. Starrett, Athol, Massachusetts) to depress the plunger of a 1cc syringe (Henke Sass Wolf GmbH, Tuttlinger, Germany) fitted with a flat-tipped needle shaped using 3M 414N TRI-M-ITE sandpaper grade 220 (3M Company, St. Paul, Minnesota). The drop is backlit through a translucent paper screen with a small lamp. The syringe is mounted on a double-armed holder which is lowered through a screw crank to deposit the water drop on the test specimen as it rests on an adjustable platform. The leveling of the contact angle instrument is monitored with a circular bull's-eye level and can be adjusted through four leveling screws. Contact angle is measured on sessile water drops approximately 30 seconds after deposition. The value reported is the average of at least six separate measurements.

#### Soap Scum Test Method

##### A. Materials for Preparation of Soap Scum

Ivory bar soap (Procter and Gamble Co., Cincinnati, Ohio)

Synthetic sebum (Scientific Services S/D Inc., Sparrow Bush, New York)

Color Me Happy Herbal Essence Shampoo (Procter and Gamble, Cincinnati, Ohio)

Color Me Happy Herbal Essence Conditioner (Procter and Gamble, Cincinnati, Ohio)

Calcium chloride dihydrate (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Missouri)

Magnesium nitrate hexahydrate (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Missouri)

Oleic acid (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Missouri)

Dust (ISO 12103-1, A2 Fines ID# 10842F, Power Technology Inc., Burnsville, Minnesota)

5     B. Preparation of Soap Scum

10         A 1000 g hard water solution comprising calcium chloride dehydrate (0.066 % by weight) and magnesium nitrate hexahydrate (0.064 % by weight) was first prepared. In a first vessel, crushed Ivory soap (1.99 g) was added into the aforementioned hard water solution (239.28 g) and the mixture was sonicated for 30 minutes at 60°C. Synthetic sebum (1.5 g) was then added into the mixture and the mixture was sonicated for another 10 minutes. In a second vessel, shampoo (1.99 g) was added into the aforementioned hard water solution (747.75 g) at 60°C and the mixture was stirred for 15 seconds. Oleic acid (1.99 g) was then added into the mixture. The contents of both vessels were combined and stirred at 60°C for 2 hours. Conditioner (5.00 g) was then added to the above combined mixture and was stirred at 41°C for 15 minutes, followed by stirring at 45°C for another 15 minutes. Finally, dirt (0.50 g) was added into the mixture and the mixture was stirred for 10 minutes.

C. Preparation of Glass Panels for Soap Scum Test

20         Approximately 0.3 g of the cleaning composition to be tested was coated using a rayon/polyester wipe (50/50, 40 grams/m<sup>2</sup> basis weight) onto the surface of a 4 inch (10.2 cm) x 5 inch (12.7 cm) glass panel. The coated panel was cured at room temperature for at least one hour before running soap scum tests.

D. Soap Scum Test I

25         A fixed amount of soap scum (10 sprays) was sprayed onto the entire coated surface of the glass panel and was air dried at room temperature for 3 minutes. The surface was then rinsed with running water and was air dried for another 7 minutes at room temperature. This was counted as 1 soap scum spray cycle. The water sheeting performance (hydrophilicity) of the surface was checked before any additional soap scum spray cycles were carried out. The water sheeting performance was defined as zero if dryness (not sheeting) was observed in 50% or more of the surface area of the coated glass panel after 15 seconds when water was sprayed to cover the entire coated surface. If the water sheeting performance was determined to be zero, no additional soap scum spray cycles were carried out. If the water sheeting performance was not zero soap scum spray cycles were repeated until the coated surface totally lost its water sheeting performance (zero hydrophilicity).

35     E. Soap Scum Test II

A fixed amount of soap scum (10 sprays) was sprayed onto the entire coated surface of the glass panel and was air dried at room temperature for 3 minutes. The surface was then rinsed with running

water and was air dried for another 3 hours at room temperature. This was counted as 1 soap scum spray cycle. The water sheeting performance (hydrophilicity) of the surface was checked before any additional soap scum spray cycles were carried out. The water sheeting performance was defined as zero if dryness (not sheeting) was observed in 50% or more of the surface area of the coated glass panel after 15 seconds when water was sprayed to cover the entire coated surface. If the water sheeting performance was determined to be zero, no additional soap scum spray cycles were carried out. If the water sheeting performance was not zero the coated substrate was air dried for an additional hour at room temperature. Soap scum spray cycles were then repeated until the coated surface totally lost its water sheeting performance (zero hydrophilicity).

## PREPARATION OF CLEANING COMPOSITIONS

### Comparative Composition 1

A solution was prepared by combining, with mixing, 74.39 % by weight deionized water, 4 % by weight STEPANOL WA-EXTRA PCK sodium lauryl sulfate (Stepan Company, Northfield, Illinois), 5 % by weight isopropanol, 15 % by weight GLUCOPON 425N decyl glucoside surfactant (BASF Corporation, Florham Park, New Jersey), 1 % by weight potassium carbonate (pH modifier, Sigma-Aldrich), 0.5 % by weight chemically pure (CP) glycerin, 0.1 % by weight apple fragrance, and 0.01 % by weight FD&C dye No. 1. The solution was then diluted with deionized water to a ratio of 1:60.

### Comparative Composition 2

A solution was prepared by combining, with mixing, 68.7 % by weight deionized water, 4 % by weight STEPANOL WA-EXTRA PCK, 5 % by weight isopropanol, 15 % by weight GLUCOPON 425N, 0.5 % by weight CP glycerin, 6 % by weight TOMADOL 91-6 ethoxylated alcohol surfactant (Air Products and Chemicals, Inc., Allentown, Pennsylvania), 0.8 % by weight apple fragrance, and 0.01 % by weight LIGUITINT BLUE HP colorant (Milliken and Company, Spartanburg, South Carolina). The solution was then diluted with deionized water to a ratio of 1:60.

### Hydrophilic Silane Solution 1

Hydrophilic Silane Solution 1 was prepared by combining 49.7 g of a 239 mmol solution of 3-(N,N-dimethylaminopropyl)trimethoxysilane (Sigma-Aldrich), 82.2 g of deionized (DI) water, and 32.6 g of a 239 mmol solution of 1,4-butane sultone (Sigma-Aldrich) in a screw-top jar. The mixture was heated to 75 °C, mixed, and allowed to react for 14 hours.

### Example 1

The composition of Example 1 was prepared by combining Hydrophilic Silane Solution 1 with 22 % by weight solids LSS-75 lithium silicate aqueous solution (Nissan Chemical Company, Houston,

Texas), in a 50:50 weight to weight (w/w) ratio and then diluting the composition to a 1 % by weight solution with the solution of Comparative Composition 1.

#### Example 2

5           The composition of Example 2 was prepared by combining Hydrophilic Silane Solution 1 and LSS-75 in a 50:50 weight to weight (w/w) ratio and then diluting the composition to a 0.5 % by weight solution with the solution of Comparative Composition 1.

#### Example 3

10           The composition of Example 3 was prepared by combining Hydrophilic Silane Solution 1 and LSS-75 in a 50:50 weight to weight (w/w) ratio and then diluting the composition to a 0.1 % by weight solution with the solution of Comparative Composition 1.

#### Example 4

15           The composition of Example 4 was prepared by combining Hydrophilic Silane Solution 1 and LSS-75 in a 50:50 weight to weight (w/w) ratio and then diluting the composition to a 0.05 % by weight solution with the solution of Comparative Composition 1.

#### Example 5

20           The composition of Example 5 was prepared by combining Hydrophilic Silane Solution 1 and LSS-75 in a 50:50 weight to weight (w/w) ratio and then diluting the composition to a 0.2 % by weight solution with the solution of Comparative Composition 1.

#### Example 6

25           The composition of Example 6 was prepared by combining Hydrophilic Silane Solution 1 and LSS-75 in a 50:50 weight to weight (w/w) ratio and then diluting the composition to a 0.05 % by weight solution with the solution of Comparative Composition 2.

#### Examples 7-10 and Comparative A

30           Float glass panes 12.7 cm by 17.8 cm were sprayed with Comparative Composition 1 and wiped clean using a KIMBERLY-CLARK L-30 WYPALL towel (Kimberly Clark. Neenah, Wisconsin). After the panes had dried they were subsequently sprayed with the compositions of Examples 1-4 and then wiped with a L-30 WYPALL towel. The samples were held at room temperature for 30 minutes before subjecting them to the Fingerprint Removal Test Method II.

35           If the fingerprint was not removed no further testing was done for that sample. If the fingerprint was successfully removed, the sample was subjected to the test again (i.e., another cycle) until the sample failed. The results are reported in Table 1 below.

Table 1

| Example       | Cleaning Composition | Cycle 1 | Cycle 2 | Cycle 3 | Cycle 4 | Cycle 5 |
|---------------|----------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Comparative A | Comparative 1        | Fail    | n/a     | n/a     | n/a     | n/a     |
| Example 7     | Example 1            | Pass    | Pass    | Pass    | Pass    | Fail    |
| Example 8     | Example 2            | Pass    | Pass    | Pass    | Pass    | Fail    |
| Example 9     | Example 3            | Pass    | Fail    | n/a     | n/a     | n/a     |
| Example 10    | Example 4            | Pass    | Fail    | n/a     | n/a     | n/a     |

n/a means not applicable because the Example failed before the cycle.

#### Examples 11-13 and Comparative B

Float glass panes 12.7 cm by 17.8 cm were sprayed with the Comparative Composition 1 and wiped clean using a L-30 WYPALL towel. After the panes had dried they were subsequently sprayed with the composition of Example 4, wiped with a L-30 WYPALL towel, and allowed to dry for 30 minutes at room temperature. This process represented one cleaning cycle. The samples were treated for the number of cleaning cycles noted in Table 2 below.

The samples were then subjected to Fingerprint Removal Test Method II. If the fingerprint was not removed, no further testing was done for that sample. If the fingerprint was successfully removed from a sample, the sample was subjected to the test again until the sample failed. The testing was stopped after ten successful pass cycles. The results are reported in Table 2 below.

Table 2

| Example       | Cleaning Composition | Cleaning Cycles | Fingerprint Removal Cycles |
|---------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|
| Comparative B | Comparative 1        | 1               | 0                          |
| 11            | Example 4            | 1               | 2                          |
| 12            | Example 4            | 5               | >10                        |
| 13            | Example 4            | 10              | >10                        |

#### Examples 14 and 15 and Comparative C

Cabinet doors having a 46 cm by 61 cm glass plate (Hamilton Industries, Two Rivers, Wisconsin) were sprayed with Comparative Composition 1 and wiped clean using a L-30 WYPALL towel. After the panes had dried they were subsequently sprayed with the compositions of Examples 1 and 3 and Comparative Composition 1 and wiped with a L-30 WYPALL towel.

The samples were held at room temperature for 30 minutes and then subjected to Permanent Marker Removal Test Method II. After the completion of the test, the samples were cleaned with isopropanol and wiped with a L-30 WYPALL towel. This constituted one cleaning cycle. The samples were subsequently subjected to three additional cleaning cycles. The results are reported in Table 3 below.

Table 3

| Example       | Cleaning Composition | Percent Marker Remaining (Test 1) | Percent Marker Remaining (Test 2) | Percent Marker Remaining (Test 3) |
|---------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Comparative C | Comparative 1        | 90                                | 90                                | 90                                |
| Example 14    | Example 1            | 0                                 | 0                                 | 0                                 |
| Example 15    | Example 3            | 50                                | 0                                 | 0                                 |

## Example 16 and Comparative D

Cabinet doors having a 46 cm by 61 cm glass plate (Hamilton Industries) were sprayed with the composition of Comparative Composition 1 and wiped clean using an L-30 WYPALL towel. After the panes had dried they were sprayed with the composition of Example 1 and Comparative Composition 1 and wiped with an L-30 WYPALL towel.

The samples were held at room temperature for 24 hours and then subjected to Permanent Marker Removal Test Method III. If the permanent marker was not removed no further testing was done for that sample. If the permanent marker was successfully removed, the sample was subjected to the test again. After a sample had successfully passed 20 cycles, the testing was stopped. The results are reported in Table 4 below.

Table 4

| Example       | Cleaning Composition | Initial | 5 Cycles | 10 Cycles | 15 Cycles | 20 Cycles |
|---------------|----------------------|---------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Comparative D | Comparative 1        | Fail    | -        | -         | -         | -         |
| 16            | Example 1            | Pass    | Pass     | Pass      | Pass      | Pass      |

## Example 17 and Comparative E

Mirror glass panes, 10.2 cm by 15.2 cm, were divided into two portions by a piece of masking tape. One half was sprayed with the composition of Example 6 and wiped clean using an L-30 WYPALL towel. The second half was sprayed with the Comparative Composition 2 and wiped clean using an L-30 WYPALL towel.

The samples were held at room temperature for 30 minutes before coating the entire sample with interior soil which was prepared and coated according to CSPA DCC-09 May 1983(re-approved in 2003) (2 mil thick artificial sebum). The samples were then placed in an oven, held at 50°C for 120 minutes, removed from the oven, and allowed to cool to room temperature. The treated glass pane was then sprayed with the composition of Comparative Composition 2 and the composition was allowed to penetrate for 1 minute before being rinsed off the glass pane with a stream of tap water.

The samples were then visually inspected and rated as pass if at least 80 % of the soil was removed under the water washing, and fail if less than 80 % of the soil was removed. The results are reported in Table 5 below.

Table 5

| Example       | Cleaning Composition | Cleaning Performance |
|---------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Comparative E | Comparative 2        | fail                 |
| 17            | Example 6            | pass                 |

#### Example 18 and Comparative F

Mirror glass panes, 10.2 cm by 15.2 cm, were sprayed with Comparative Composition 2 and wiped clean using an L-30 WYPALL towel. After the panes had dried they were subsequently sprayed with Comparative Composition 2 and the composition of Example 6 and then wiped with an L-30 WYPALL towel. The samples were held at room temperature for 30 minutes before placing the samples in a -19°F (-28.3°C) refrigerator. After the samples had been in the refrigerator for 30 minutes, they were removed and allowed to warm to room temperature with relative humidity (i.e., 72°F (22.2°C) and 80 % relative humidity).

After 10 seconds the samples were then visually inspected and rated for pass or fail. A pass rating meant that an image could easily be seen in the mirror reflection. A fail rating means that the reflected image was not visible. The results are reported in Table 6 below.

Table 6

| Example       | Cleaning Composition | Anti-fog |
|---------------|----------------------|----------|
| Comparative F | Comparative 2        | fail     |
| 18            | Example 6            | pass     |

#### Example 19 and Comparatives G

Mirror glass panes, 10.2 cm by 15.2 cm, were sprayed with Comparative Composition 2 and wiped clean using an L-30 WYPALL towel. After the panes had dried they were subsequently sprayed with Comparative Composition 2 and the composition of Example 6 and wiped with a L-30 WYPALL towel. The samples remained at room temperature for 30 minutes before placing the samples in a refrigerator at -19°F (-28.3°C). After the samples were in the refrigerator for 30 minutes, they were removed and allowed to warm to room temperature with relative humidity (i.e., 72°F (22.2°C) and 80 % relative humidity).

The samples were rated as pass or fail after 30 seconds. A pass rating indicated that an image could easily be seen in the mirror reflection after 30 seconds. A fail rating meant that the reflected image was not viewable after 30 seconds. The results are reported in Table 7 below.

Table 7

| Example       | Cleaning Composition | Anti-fog |
|---------------|----------------------|----------|
| Comparative G | Comparative 2        | fail     |
| 19            | Example 6            | pass     |

Examples 20 and 21 and Comparative H

Three glass panels, 15.2 cm by 22.9 cm, were sprayed with Comparative Composition 1 and wiped clean using a L-30 WYPALL towel. After the panes had dried, one panel, the panel of Example 20, was sprayed with the composition of Example 4 and wiped with an L-30 WYPALL towel. This constituted one spray and wipe cycle. The spray and wipe cycle was repeated four times at intervals of 15 minutes to simulate multiple cleanings.

A second glass panel, the panel of Example 21, was treated with the composition of Example 5 in the same manner as set forth above.

A third glass panel, the panel of Comparative 10 was left untreated.

The glass panels were mounted vertically at an outdoor test facility in Cottage Grove, Minnesota for a period of six weeks. After six weeks the samples were evaluated for contact angle using Contact Angle Test Method I, and haze. The data is reported in Table 8 below.

Table 8

| Example       | Cleaning Composition | Initial Contact Angle (deg) | Contact Angle (deg) | Delta Haze |
|---------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|------------|
| Comparative H | Comparative 1        | 17                          | 53                  | 1.7        |
| 20            | Example 4            | 12                          | 49                  | 1.2        |
| 21            | Example 5            | <5                          | 33                  | 1.0        |

Example 22 and Comparative I

The composition of Example 22 was prepared by combining Hydrophilic Silane Solution 1 and NALCO 1115 silica sol in a weight to weight ratio of 50:50 and then diluting the composition to a 0.5 % by weight solution with the solution of Comparative Composition 1. The solution was acidified to a pH of 5.5 using 0.1N Hydrochloric Acid.

Two mirrored glass surfaces are sprayed with Comparative Composition 1 and wiped clean using a L-30 WYPALL towel. After the surfaces had dried they were sprayed with the composition of Example 22 and Comparative Composition 1, respectively, and then wiped with a L-30 WYPALL towel. The

spray and wipe cycles were repeated ten times. The samples were then subjected to Fingerprint Removal Test Method II with the exception that the samplers were rinsed with a stream of deionized water for a period of 15 seconds instead of 30 seconds. The results are reported in Table 9 below.

5

Table 9

| Example       | Cleaning Composition      | Fingerprint Removal Test |
|---------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| Comparative I | Comparative Composition 1 | Fail                     |
| 22            | Example 22                | Pass                     |

Examples 23-25 and Comparative J

Cleaning compositions were prepared having the formulations provided in Table 10 for Examples 23-25. The component amounts in the Table are in % by weight. The samples were then subjected to Fingerprint Removal Test Method II. The fingerprint removal test results are provided in Table 11.

10

Table 10

| Component                           | Example 23 | Example 24 | Example 25 |
|-------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| STEPANOL WA-EXTRA PCK               | 7.00       | 0.0875     | 0.0875     |
| Isopropyl Alcohol                   | 5.00       | 0.0625     | 0.0625     |
| GLUCOPON 425N                       | 15.00      | 0.1875     | 0.1875     |
| CP Glycerin                         | 0.50       | 0.0063     | 0.0063     |
| Green Apple Fragrance               | 0.20       | 0.0025     | 0.0025     |
| LIGUITINT BLUE HP                   | 0.015      | 0.0002     | 0.0002     |
| TOMADOL 91-6                        | 6.00       | 0.075      | 0.075      |
| LSS-75 (22%)                        | 3.45       | 0.0432     | 0.0432     |
| Hydrophilic Silane Solution 1 (50%) | 1.52       | 0.019      | 0.019      |
| KATHON CG/ICP II*                   | none       | None       | 0.034      |
| Deionized Water                     | 61.31      | 99.4824    | 99.4484    |

\* KATHON CG/ICP II is a preservative available from the Dow Chemical Company, Midland Michigan

15

Table 11

| Example       | Cleaning Composition      | Fingerprint Removal Test Method I |
|---------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Comparative J | Comparative Composition 2 | Fail                              |
| 23            | Example 23                | Pass                              |
| 24            | Example 24                | Pass                              |
| 25            | Example 25                | Pass                              |

## Examples 26-30

Cleaning compositions were prepared having the formulations provided in Table 12 for Examples 26-30. The component amounts in the Table are in % by weight.

Table 12

| Component                           | Ex 26   | Ex 27   | Ex 28   | Ex 29   | Ex 30   |
|-------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| STEPANOL WA-EXTRA PCK               | 0.0875  | 0.0875  | 0.0875  | 0.0875  | 0.0875  |
| Isopropyl Alcohol                   | 0.0625  | 0.0625  | 0.0625  | 0.0625  | 0.0625  |
| GLUCOPON 425N                       | 0.1875  | 0.1875  | 0.1875  | 0.1875  | 0.1875  |
| CP Glycerin                         | 0.0063  | 0.0063  | 0.0063  | 0.0063  | 0.0063  |
| Green Apple Fragrance               | 0.0025  | 0.0025  | 0.0025  | 0.0025  | 0.0025  |
| LIGUITINT BLUE HP                   | 0.0002  | 0.0002  | 0.0002  | 0.0002  | 0.0002  |
| TOMADOL 91-6                        | 0.0750  | 0.0750  | 0.0750  | 0.0750  | 0.0750  |
| LSS-75 (22%)                        | 0.0432  | 0.0432  | 0.0432  | 0.0432  | 0.0432  |
| Hydrophilic Silane Solution 1 (50%) | 0.1000  | 0.2000  | 0.3000  | 0.5000  | 1.0000  |
| Deionized Water                     | 99.4353 | 99.3353 | 99.2353 | 99.0353 | 98.5353 |
| pH                                  | 9.17    | 9.35    | 9.47    | 9.7     | 10.05   |

The cleaning compositions of Examples 26-30, as well as SCRUBBING BUBBLES Mega Shower Foamer (SC Johnson, Racine, Wisconsin), were coated onto glass panels as described in the Soap Scum Test Method above and were subjected to Soap Scum Test I.

The easy cleaning performance of these compositions against soap scum is represented by the number of soap scum spray cycles the coating could withstand, as provided in Table 13 below. As the concentration of the zwitterionic silane increased in the formulation, the easy cleaning performance against soap scum improved.

Table 13

Number of spray cycles - Soap Scum Test I

| Example                                 | Number of Spray Cycles |
|-----------------------------------------|------------------------|
| 26                                      | 1                      |
| 27                                      | 2                      |
| 28                                      | 3                      |
| 29                                      | 11                     |
| 30                                      | 13                     |
| SCRUBBING BUBBLES Mega<br>Shower Foamer | 1                      |

The cleaning compositions of Examples 26-30, as well as SCRUBBING BUBBLES Mega Shower Foamer were coated onto glass panels as described in the Soap Scum Test Method above and were subjected to Soap Scum Test II. The easy cleaning performance of these compositions against soap scum is represented by the number of soap scum spray cycles the coating could withstand, as provided in Table 14 below. Again, as the concentration of the zwitterionic silane increased in the formulation, the easy cleaning performance against soap scum improved. Contact angle measurements of the surface of the coated panels were also obtained after each cycle, as described in Contact Angle Test Method II. The contact angle data are provided in Table 15.

Table 14

Number of spray cycles – Soap Scum Test II

| Example                                 | Number of Spray Cycles |
|-----------------------------------------|------------------------|
| 26                                      | 1                      |
| 27                                      | 1                      |
| 28                                      | 3                      |
| 29                                      | 3                      |
| 30                                      | 4                      |
| SCRUBBING BUBBLES Mega<br>Shower Foamer | 1                      |

Table 15  
Contact angle (deg) after each cycle – Soap Scum Test II

| Cycle | Ex 26 | Ex 27 | Ex 28 | Ex 29 | Ex 30 |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 0     | 3.9   | 4.0   | 4.5   | 5.1   | 4.8   |
| 1     | 26.4  | 23.3  | 16.9  | 22.6  | 11.3  |
| 2     | 45.4  | 25.4  | 17.7  | 24.6  | 14.8  |
| 3     |       |       | 20.2  | 35.7  | 15.5  |

The cleaning compositions of Examples 26-30 were coated onto glass panels as described in the Soap Scum Test Method above. Contact angle measurements of the surface of the coated panels were obtained after aging in a water bath maintained at 40°C. The coated panels were air dried at room temperature for at least one hour before the aging. Measurements were obtained in 4 hour intervals. The contact angle data generally indicates that the compositions show good durability at high temperature under water. The contact angle data are provided in Table 16.

Table 16  
Contact angle (degrees) – after aging in 40°C water bath

| Time (hours) | Ex 26 | Ex 27 | Ex 28 | Ex 29 | Ex 30 |
|--------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 0            | 3.9   | 4.0   | 4.5   | 5.1   | 4.8   |
| 4            | 50.8  | 36.5  | 18.7  | 23.8  | 16.6  |
| 8            | 58.0  | 44.2  | 52.3  | 31.5  | 24.1  |
| 12           | 52.8  | 35.0  | 39.5  | 29.8  | 24.0  |
| 16           | 54.6  | 42.1  | 44.5  | 41.2  | 24.3  |
| 24           | 54.5  | 47.6  | 49.5  | 38.7  | 32.6  |

The complete disclosures of the patents, patent documents, and publications cited herein are incorporated by reference in their entirety as if each were individually incorporated. Various modifications and alterations to this disclosure will become apparent to those skilled in the art without departing from the scope and spirit of this disclosure. It should be understood that this disclosure is not intended to be unduly limited by the illustrative embodiments and examples set forth herein and that such examples and embodiments are presented by way of example only with the scope of the disclosure intended to be limited only by the claims set forth herein as follows.

What is claimed is:

1. A multi-functional aqueous composition comprising:  
a hydrophilic silane selected from sulfonate-functional silanes, zwitterionic silanes, and combinations thereof, which are selected to provide protectant properties to a substrate surface to which the multi-functional aqueous composition is applied;  
at least two different surfactants selected to provide cleaning properties, wetting properties, or both to the composition; and  
water;  
wherein the multi-functional aqueous composition is a hard surface cleaning and protecting composition.
2. The multi-functional composition of claim 1 wherein the hydrophilic silane is selected from sulfonate-functional anionic silanes, sulfonate-functional zwitterionic silanes, and combinations thereof selected to provide protectant properties to a substrate surface to which the multi-functional aqueous composition is applied.
3. The multi-functional composition of claim 2 wherein the hydrophilic silane is a sulfonate-functional zwitterionic silane selected to provide protectant properties to a substrate surface to which the multi-functional aqueous composition is applied.
4. The multi-functional composition of any one of claims 1 through 3 further comprising at least one of a water soluble alkali metal silicate and a polyalkoxy silane.
5. The multi-functional composition of claim 4 comprising at least 0.0001 % by weight to no greater than 10 % by weight of at least one of a water soluble alkali metal silicate and a polyalkoxy silane.
6. The multi-functional composition of any one of claims 1 through 3 comprising 0.0001 % by weight to 10 % by weight hydrophilic silane and 0.03 % by weight to 0.4 % by weight surfactants.
7. The multi-functional composition of any one of claims 1 through 6 in a ready-to-use formulation.
8. The multi-functional composition of any one of claims 1 through 7 in a concentrated formulation.

9. The multi-functional composition of any one of claims 1 through 8 wherein the hydrophilic silane comprises a zwitterionic silane, and the at least two surfactants comprise a nonionic surfactant and an anionic surfactant.
- 5 10. The multi-functional composition of any one of claims 1 through 9 wherein the composition passes at least one of the following tests: Permanent Marker Removal Test Method I; Artificial Sebum Removal Test Method I; and Fog Test Method.
11. A liquid multi-functional aqueous composition comprising:
- 10 a hydrophilic sulfonate-functional zwitterionic silane selected to provide protectant properties to a substrate surface to which the multi-functional aqueous composition is applied;
- a surfactant selected to provide cleaning properties, wetting properties, or both to the composition;

at least one of a water soluble alkali metal silicate, a polyalkoxy silane, and an inorganic silica sol; and

water;

wherein the liquid multi-functional aqueous composition is a hard surface cleaning and protecting composition.

12. The multi-functional composition of claim 11 wherein the ratio of the total weight of the hydrophilic silane to the total weight of the surfactants is at least 1:2.

13. The multi-functional composition of claim 11 wherein the ratio of the total weight of the surfactants to the total weight of the hydrophilic silane is at least 1:2.

14. A method of removing an unwanted constituent from a siliceous surface, the method comprising:  
contacting the siliceous surface and the unwanted constituent with a multi-functional composition of any one of claims 1 through 13 and claims 21 through 24; and  
drying the surface.

15. The method of claim 14 further comprising rubbing the composition on the surface.

16. The method of claim 14 or 15 further comprising providing a concentrated composition and diluting it with water to provide a multi-functional composition.

17. The method of any one of claims 14 through 16 wherein the ratio of the weight of the hydrophilic silane to the weight of the surfactant is at least 1:1.

18. The method of any one of claim 15 through 17 wherein the dried surface exhibits sufficient hydrophilicity such that at least one of the following is true:

at least 50 % of a mark placed on the surface with a permanent marker is wiped away from the surface within 50 wipes with a damp towel;

at least 50 % of a mark placed on the surface with a permanent marker is washed away from the surface within two minutes by a spray of water applied at a rate of 600 milliliters per minute; and

a fingerprint of artificial sebum placed on the dried surface is washed away from the surface within 2 minutes by a spray of water applied at a rate of 600 milliliters per minute.

19. The method of any one of claims 14 through 18 wherein when the dried surface is contacted with moisture vapor, no condensation occurs.


20. A method of cleaning and protecting a siliceous surface, the method comprising:  
applying an aqueous composition to the surface, the composition of any one of claims 1  
through 13 and claims 21 through 24; wherein the ratio of the total weight of the surfactant to the  
total weight of the hydrophilic silane is at least 1:2; and  
5 rubbing the composition onto the surface to clean and protect the surface.

21. The multi-functional composition of any one of claims 1 through 10 wherein the hydrophilic  
silane comprises a sulfonate-functional zwitterionic silane selected to provide protectant properties to a  
substrate surface to which the multi-functional aqueous composition is applied.

22. The multi-functional composition of claim 21 wherein the sulfonate-functional zwitterionic silane  
has the following formula (I) or formula (II):



or



wherein:

each R1 is independently a hydrogen, methyl group, or ethyl group;

each R2 is independently a methyl group or an ethyl group;

each R3 and R4 is independently a saturated or unsaturated, straight chain,

branched, or cyclic organic group, which may be joined together, optionally with atoms  
of the group W, to form a ring;

W is an organic linking group;

p is an integer of from 1 to 3;

m is an integer of from 1 to 4;

q is 0 or 1; and

p+q=3.

23. The multi-functional composition of any one of claims 4 through 13, 21, and 22 comprising  
lithium silicate.

24. The method of any one of claims 14 through 20 wherein the hydrophilic silane comprises a  
sulfonate-functional zwitterionic silane selected to provide protectant properties to a substrate surface to  
which the multi-functional aqueous composition is applied.

25. The method of claim 24 wherein the sulfonate-functional zwitterionic silanes has the following formula (I) or formula (II):



or



wherein:

each R1 is independently a hydrogen, methyl group, or ethyl group;

each R2 is independently a methyl group or an ethyl group;

10 each R3 and R4 is independently a saturated or unsaturated, straight chain, branched, or cyclic organic group, which may be joined together, optionally with atoms of the group W, to form a ring;

W is an organic linking group;

p is an integer of from 1 to 3;

m is an integer of from 1 to 4;

15 q is 0 or 1; and

$p+q = 3$ .

26. The method of any one of claims 14 through 20 and claims 24 through 25 wherein the multi-functional composition comprises lithium silicate.