

FIG. 1

100




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5

S

The image shows a web interface for 'paper digest' with a 'Sign In' section. The interface is enclosed in a black header bar with the 'paper digest' logo. The 'Sign In' title is centered above the form. A dashed box labeled 'S1' encloses the 'Email Address' and 'Password' input fields. Below these fields are three buttons: 'Sign In (Supervisor)' and 'Sign In (Student)' are grouped together and labeled 'S2b (S2)' on the left and 'S2a (S2)' on the right. Below these two buttons is a 'Create Account' button.

paper digest

Sign In

S1

Email Address
Text Input

Password
Text Input

S2b (S2) Sign In (Supervisor) Sign In (Student) S2a (S2)

Create Account

FIG. 6

ST1




FIG. 7

ST1

paper digest My Research Lab. Archive Mr. Tanaka

My Research

Mr. Tanaka -- Master, 1st year

Research Theme: *Skill Development in the International Relationship Domain*

All To-Do List

☐ Read 2 papers on HR development methods commonly used in Japanese companies	Deadline: Today
☐ Create your own summary and compare with PD summary	Deadline: Today
☐ Conduct user interviews with an HR officer	Deadline: 21/01/03
☐ Write a preview paper for intl. conference	Deadline: 21/02/19

Show Completed Task(s)

Collapse All / Expand All + Create a New Entry

Initial Research Planning

Literature Review

- Lit. Review Meeting Notes -- by Mr. Tanaka

To-Do:

- Read 2 papers on HR Development methods commonly used in Japanese Companies [Deadline: Today]
- Conduct user interviews with an HR officer [Deadline: 21/01/03]

- Are university rankings useful to improve research? A systematic review -- by Mr. Tanaka

To-Do:

- Create your own summary and compare with PD summary [Deadline: Today]

+ Add a New Item

Design and Experimentation

Evaluation

Final Report

FIG. 8

ST2

paper digest

My Research Lab. Archive

Mr. Tanaka

Lit. Review Meeting Notes

<< Back to Previous Page

Add to Lab. Archive

Edit Settings

Add PD Summary

Deadline: Today

Deadline: 21/01/03

To-Do List

☐ Read 2 papers on HR development methods commonly used in Japanese companies

☐ Conduct user interviews with an HR officer

Notes

"Sed ut perspiciatis unde omnis iste natus error sit voluptatem accusantium doloremque laudantium, totam rem aperiam, eaque ipsa quae ab illo inventore veritatis et quasi architecto beatae vitae dicta sunt explicabo.

Nemo enim ipsam voluptatem quia voluptas sit aspernatur aut odit aut fugit, sed quia consequuntur magni dolores eos qui ratione voluptatem sequi nesciunt. Neque porro quisquam est, qui dolorem ipsum quia dolor sit amet, consectetur, adipisci velit, sed quia non numquam eius modi tempora incidunt ut labore et dolore magnam aliquam quaerat voluptatem.

- Ut enim ad minima veniam, quis nostrum exercitationem ullam corporis suscipit laboriosam, nisi ut aliquid ex ea commodi consequatur?

- Quis autem vel eum iure reprehenderit qui in ea voluptate velit esse quam nihil molestiae consequatur.

- Vel illum qui dolorem eum fugiat quo voluptas nulla pariatur?"

File(s)

-

Comments and Discussions

Type your comment here ...

Add Comment

Clear

Add a To-Do

PX

<Added a To-do>
Conduct user interviews with an HR officer.
[Deadline: 21/01/03]

Today
05:15 pm

"Sed ut perspiciatis unde omnis iste natus error sit voluptatem accusantium doloremque laudantium, totam rem aperiam.

Today
8:09 am

TN

PX

<Added a To-do>
Read 2 papers on HR development methods commonly used in Japanese companies. [Deadline: Today]

Yesterday
09:10 pm

PX

Nemo enim ipsam voluptatem quia voluptas sit aspernatur aut odit aut fugit, sed quia consequuntur magni dolores eos qui ratione voluptatem sequi nesciunt.

Yesterday
07:14 pm

FIG. 9

ST3

paper digest My Research Lab. Archive

Mr. Tanaka

Automatic Summarization Result

[<< Back to Previous Page](#)
[Translate to Japanese](#)

Are university rankings useful to improve research? A systematic review

Vernon, Balas, Momani *PLOS ONE* 2018
 Full text link: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0193762>

What this paper is about

Introduction and Objective

- Research quality issues exist within university research; in the last 10 years, several studies and commentaries have highlighted the need for improvement in transparency, replicability, and meaningful research outcome reporting.
- Many university administrators rely on university ranking systems as indicators of improvement over time and in comparison to other institutions.
- Assessment of the validity of research and academic quality indicators in university rankings is often unexplored; only once in the literature were two ranking systems so evaluated.

What you can learn

Results

- Thirteen ranking systems which published in 2015 or 2016 were included in the results.
- Diversity of faculty and students are also used by QS World, Times, UMR and RUR as indicators of academic quality.
- The suitability of ranking systems for use in research performance improvement is reported in.

Discussion and Conclusions

- Valid measures of academic quality are not universally standardized.
- Research expenditure is often used an indicator of the strength and quality of an institutions research capabilities.
- While we believe this represents a comprehensive analysis of appropriate ranking systems, other institutions may rely on different systems.
- There is a need for a credible quality improvement movement in research that develops new measures, and is useful for institutions to evaluate and improve performance and societal value.
- Current indicators are inadequate to accurately evaluate research outcomes and should be supplemented and expanded to meet standardized criteria.
- We suggest that future research evaluate three dimensions of research outcomes: scientific impact, economic outcomes, and public health impact for evaluating research performance within an academic institutional environment.

FIG. 10

ST4

paper digest

My Research Lab. Archive

User Name

All Archived Papers & Notes

All Archived papers and notes added by the students in the laboratory.

No.	Created By	Title	Added At
	Search by Name	Search by Title	Search by Date Added
1	Mr. Tanaka	Are university rankings useful to improve research? A systematic ...	2020/11/09 08:42
2	Ms. Yamaguchi	Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, s ...	2020/11/09 08:42
3.	Mr. Kojima	Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit	2020/11/09 08:42
4.	Mr. Nakamura	Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit	2020/11/09 08:42
5.	Mr. Nakamura	Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit	2020/11/09 08:42
6.	Mr. Nakamura	Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit	2020/11/09 08:42
7.	Mr. Nakamura	Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit	2020/11/09 08:42

51

FIG. 11

ST5

paper digest My Research Lab. Archive User Name

Are university rankings useful to improve research? A systematic review

<< Back to Previous Page

Notes

Paper Title: Are university rankings useful to improve research? A systematic review
Authors: Marlo M. Vernon, E. Andrew Balas, Shaher Momani
Publication Date: March 7, 2018
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0193762>
Journal Name: PLoS ONE 13(3): e0193762

Summary:

"Sed ut perspiciatis unde omnis iste natus error sit voluptatem accusantium doloremque laudantium, totam rem aperiam, eaque ipsa quae ab illo inventore veritatis et quasi architecto beatae vitae dicta sunt explicabo.

Nemo enim ipsam voluptatem quia voluptas sit aspernatur aut odit aut fugit, sed quia consequuntur magni dolores eos qui ratione voluptatem sequi nesciunt. Neque porro quisquam est, qui dolorem ipsum quia dolor sit amet, consectetur, adipisci velit, sed quia non numquam eius modi tempora incidunt ut labore et dolore magnam aliquam quaerat voluptatem.

- Ut enim ad minima veniam, quis nostrum exercitationem ullam corporis suscipit laboriosam, nisi ut aliquid ex ea commodi consequatur?
- Quis autem vel eum iure reprehenderit qui in ea voluptate velit esse quam nihil molestiae consequatur.
- Vel illum qui dolorem eum fugiat quo voluptas nulla pariatur?"

Copy to My Research

View PD Summary

Evaluate

61

File(s)

Are university rankings useful to improve research? A systematic review.pdf

FIG. 12A

SV1




FIG. 12B

SV1




FIG. 13

SV2

paper digest

My Students Lab. Archive

Professor X

Research Progress

Mr. Tanaka – Master, 1st year

Research Theme: Skill Development in the International Relationship Domain

All To-Do List

81

☐ Read 2 papers on HR development methods commonly used in Japanese companies

Deadline: Today

☐ Create your own summary and compare with PD summary

Deadline: Today

☐ Conduct user interviews with an HR officer

Deadline: 21/01/03

☐ Write a preview paper for intl. conference

Deadline: 21/02/19

Show Completed Task(s)

Collapse All / Expand All

+ Create a New Entry

82

Initial Research Planning

Literature Review

Design and Experimentation

Evaluation

Final Report

83

FIG. 14

SV2

paper digest My Students Lab. Archive Professor X

Research Progress

Mr. Tanaka – Master, 1st year

Research Theme: *Skill Development in the International Relationship Domain*



All To-Do List

☐ Read 2 papers on HR development methods commonly used in Japanese companies	Deadline: Today
☐ Create your own summary and compare with PD summary	Deadline: Today
☐ Conduct user interviews with an HR officer	Deadline: 21/01/03
☐ Write a preview paper for intl. conference	Deadline: 21/02/19

Show Completed Task(s)

Collapse All / Expand All + Create a New Entry

Initial Research Planning 

Literature Review 

- Lit. Review Meeting Notes -- by Mr. Tanaka

To-Do:

- Read 2 papers on HR Development methods commonly used in Japanese Companies [Deadline: Today]
- Conduct user interviews with an HR officer [Deadline: 21/01/03]

- Are university rankings useful to improve research? A systematic review -- by Mr. Tanaka

To-Do:

- Create your own summary and compare with PD summary [Deadline: Today]

+ Add a New Item

Design and Experimentation 

Evaluation 

Final Report 

83

86

SV3

FIG. 16




FIG. 17 (MODIFICATION)

ST1




FIG. 18 (MODIFICATION)

ST1




FIG. 19 (MODIFICATION)

