BARBED SUTURES

TECHNICAL FIELD

This invention relates, in general, to a barbed suture useful for connecting bodily tissue in various surgical contexts, and more particularly, to the optimization of the disposition and/or configuration of the barbs on such barbed sutures.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Various surgical methods employing sutures have been used in the past for closing or binding together wounds in human or animal tissue, such as skin, muscles, tendons, internal organs, nerves, blood vessels, and the like. More specifically, the surgeon may use a surgical needle with an attached conventional suture (which can be a smooth monofilament or can be a multi-filament) to pierce the tissue alternately on opposing faces of the wound and thus sew the wound closed. Whether the wound is accidental or surgical, loop stitching is the method often used, especially for surface wounds. The surgical needle is then removed and the ends of the suture are tied, typically with at least three overhand throws to form a knot.

As is well known, conventional sutures can be of non-absorbable material such as silk, nylon, polyester, polypropylene, or cotton, or can be of bio-absorbable material such as glycolic acid polymers and copolymers or lactic acid polymers and copolymers.

Since the time of their conception, barbed sutures, which are generally of the same materials as conventional sutures, have offered numerous advantages over closing wounds with conventional sutures. A barbed suture includes an elongated body that has one or more spaced barbs, which project from the body surface along the body length. The barbs are arranged to allow passage of the barbed suture in one direction through tissue but resist movement of the barbed suture in the opposite direction. Thus, the main advantage of barbed sutures has been the provision of a non-slip attribute. Accordingly, barbed sutures do not have to be knotted, like conventional sutures. Like a conventional suture, a barbed suture may be inserted into tissue using a surgical needle.

For instance, U.S. Patent No. 3,123,077 to Alcamo describes an elongated cord for sewing human flesh, where the cord has a body portion and sharp-edged, resilient barbs projecting from the body at acute angles relative to the body. The barbed suture can be passed through tissue in one direction, but resists movement in the opposite direction.

Sutures with barbs disposed in a bi-directional arrangement, also called double-armed sutures, are shown in U.S. Patent No. 5,931,855 to Buncke and U.S. Patent No. 6,241,747 to

Ruff. More particularly, the suture has barbs facing toward one end of the suture for about half the suture length and barbs facing in the opposite direction toward the other end of the suture for the other half of the suture length. This arrangement allows the barbs to move in the same direction as each respective suture end is inserted into the first and second sides of a wound. Such bi-directional barbed sutures not only are especially suitable for closing wounds with edges prone to separation, but also obviate the need to secure suture ends together with knotted loops.

Of interest is European Published Patent Application No. 1,075,843 A1 to Sulamanidze and Mikhailov, published February 2, 2001, derived from PCT/RU99/00263 (published as WO 00/51658 on September 8, 2000), priority to RU 99103732 (March 3, 1999), which shows conical barbs arranged sequentially along the length of a thread and oriented in a direction opposite to that of the thread tension, with the distance between barbs being not less than 1.5 times the thread diameter.

Also of interest is U.S. Patent No. 5,342,376 to Ruff. This patent shows an insertion device that is useful for positioning a barbed suture in order to close a wound. The insertion device has a tubular body for receiving a barbed suture, and preferably also has a handle to facilitate manipulation of the device by the surgeon. The insertion device is recommended for use with a barbed suture where the suture portion being inserted includes barbs facing a direction opposed to the direction of insertion. Such sutures with barbs opposing the direction of insertion are also shown in '376 to Ruff.

The disclosures of all patents and patent applications mentioned here are incorporated by reference.

Escarpment of barbs into a monofilament, depending on the barb cut depth, reduces the straight pull tensile strength since the effective suture diameter is decreased. However, the straight pull tensile strength of a barbed suture should be compared to the minimum knot pull strength of a conventional suture (a non-barbed suture) in accordance with the United States Pharmacopoeia since failure of conventional sutures (which have to be knotted and must meet a minimum knot pull tensile strength) occurs most frequently at the knot due to increased local stress.

To optimize the performance of a barbed suture, it is advantageous to consider varying the barb geometry (barb cut angle, barb cut depth, barb cut length, barb cut distance, etc.) and/or the spatial arrangement of the barbs. This should not only enhance the tensile strength of a barbed suture, but also should enhance the ability of a barbed suture in holding and maintaining wound edges together. Unlike conventional sutures, which place tensions

directly at the knots, barbed sutures can spread out the tension along the escarped suture length, often evenly along the length. Optimizing the disposition and/or the configuration of the barbs should therefore further increase the effectiveness of the new barbed suture in maximizing the holding strength and minimizing the gap formation along the wound edges. The latter is particularly beneficial for promoting wound healing.

Also, such new barbed sutures should approximate tissue quickly with appropriate tension, alleviate distortion of tissue, and help to minimize scarring, due to the self-retaining benefits imparted by the barbs. The new barbed sutures would be especially useful in surgeries where minimization of scarring is imperative, such as cosmetic surgery, as well as in surgeries where space is limited, such as endoscopic surgery or microsurgery.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

In accordance with an aspect of the present invention, there is provided a barbed suture for connecting human or animal tissue, said suture comprising (a) an elongated body having a first end and a second end and (b) a plurality of barbs projecting from the body, each barb facing in a direction and being adapted for resisting movement of the suture, when in tissue, in an opposite direction from the direction in which the barb faces, the barbs having a disposition on the body selected from the group consisting of a twist cut multiple spiral disposition, an overlapping disposition, a random disposition, and combinations thereof, wherein the overlapping disposition is such that for at least two adjacent barbs, one being an overlapping barb and one being an overlapped barb, the overlapping barb has an underside and the overlapped barb has a topside where part of the underside of the overlapping barb is derived from part of the topside of the overlapped barb, and wherein the random disposition is such that the relative radial and longitudinal spacing of the barbs along the body of the suture is random, and the barbs face in random directions including at least one barb wherein the longitudinal axis of the at least one barb is not parallel to the longitudinal axis of the body of the suture.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIGURE 1A is a side view of one embodiment of the present invention, showing a barbed suture with barbs disposed in a 180 degree staggered spacing;

FIGURE 1B is a sectional view along line 1B – 1B of the barbed suture in Figure 1A;

FIGURE 2A is a side view of another embodiment of the present invention, showing a barbed suture that is bi-directional with barbs disposed in a 180 degree staggered spacing;

FIGURE 2B is a sectional view along line 2B – 2B of the barbed suture in Figure 2A;

FIGURE 3A is a side view of another embodiment of the present invention, showing a barbed suture with barbs disposed in a 120 degree staggered spacing;

FIGURE 3B is a sectional view along line 3B – 3B of the barbed suture in Figure 3A;

FIGURE 4A is a side view of another embodiment of the present invention, showing a barbed suture that is bi-directional with barbs disposed in a 120 degree staggered spacing;

FIGURE 4B is a sectional view along line 4B – 4B of the barbed suture in Figure 4A;

FIGURE 5A is a side view of another embodiment of the present invention, showing a barbed suture with barbs disposed in a twist cut multiple spiral disposition;

FIGURE 5B is a sectional view along line 5B – 5B of the barbed suture in Figure 5A;

FIGURE 6A is a side view of another embodiment of the present invention, showing a barbed suture that is bi-directional with barbs disposed in a twist cut multiple spiral disposition;

FIGURE 6B is a sectional view along line 6B – 6B of the barbed suture in Figure 6A;

FIGURE 7A is a sectional side view of a barbed suture, which is bi-directional with barbs disposed in a twist cut multiple spiral disposition like the barbed suture in Figure 6A, but illustrated in an enlarged section;

FIGURE 7B is the sectional side view as illustrated in Figure 7A, but rotated and clamped to align the barbs for measurement of the cut distance between the barbs;

FIGURE 8 is a side view of another embodiment of the present invention, showing a barbed suture with barbs in a random disposition;

FIGURE 9 is a sectional side view of another embodiment of the present invention, showing a barbed suture having a barb with a corrugated or serrated underside;

FIGURE 10A is a sectional perspective view another embodiment of the present invention, showing a barbed suture having a barb with an arcuate base;

FIGURE 10B is a sectional top plan view of the barbed suture in Figure 10A;

FIGURE 10C is a cross-sectional view along line 10C – 10C of Figure 10B;

FIGURE 10D is a cross-sectional view along line 10D – 10D of Figure 10B;

FIGURE 11 is a sectional side view of another embodiment of the present invention, showing a barbed suture with barbs of various sizes;

FIGURE 12A is a sectional perspective view of another embodiment of the present invention, showing a barbed suture with barbs in an overlapping disposition;

FIGURE 12B is a perspective view of a portion of the overlapping barbs of the suture of Figure 12A;

FIGURE 12 C is a plan view of the portion of barbs of Figure 12B;

FIGURE 12D is a side view along line 12D – 12D of Figure 12C; and

FIGURES 13A, 13B, 13C, and 13D show various surgical needles, where a barbed suture is attached to each surgical needle.

DESCRIPTION

As used here, the term "wound" means a surgical incision, cut, laceration, severed tissue or accidental wound in human or animal skin or other human or animal bodily tissue, or other condition in a human or animal where suturing, stapling, or the use of another tissue connecting device may be required.

Also as used here, the term "tissue" includes, but is not limited to, tissues such as skin, fat, fascia, bone, muscle, organs, nerves, or blood vessels, or fibrous tissues such as tendons or ligaments.

Moreover, the term "polymer" as used here generally includes, but is not limited to, homopolymers, copolymers (such as block, graft, random and alternating copolymers), terpolymers, et cetera, and blends and modifications thereof. Furthermore, the term "polymer" shall include all possible structures of the material. These structures include, but are not limited to, isotactic, syndiotactic, and random symmetries.

Although the sutures are described below in a preferred embodiment with a circular cross section, the sutures could also have a non-circular cross sectional shape that could increase the surface area and facilitate the formation of the barbs. Other cross sectional shapes may include, but are not limited to, oval, triangle, square, parallelepiped, trapezoid, rhomboid, pentagon, hexagon, cruciform, and the like. Typically, barbs are cut into a polymeric filament that has been formed by extrusion using a die with a circular cross section, and thus, the cross section of the filament will be circular, as that is what results during such extrusion. However, extrusion dies can be custom made with any desired cross-sectional shape.

Hence, the term "diameter" as used here is intended to mean the transverse length of the cross section, regardless of whether the cross section is circular or some other shape.

Suitable diameters for the inventive sutures described below may range from about 0.001 mm to about 1 mm, and of course, the diameter may be from about 0.01 mm to about 0.9 mm, or from about 0.015 mm to about 0.8 mm. The typical diameter ranges from about 0.01 mm to about 0.5 mm. The length of the suture can vary depending on several factors such as the length and/or depth of the wound to be closed, the type of tissue to be joined, the location of the wound, and the like. Typical suture lengths range from about 1 cm to about 30 cm, more particularly from about 2 cm to about 22 cm.

The terms "staggered" and "staggering" as used here in relation to the disposition of barbs on a suture are intended to mean that the suture has at least two sets of barbs that are offset with respect to each other, where the first set is aligned longitudinally on the suture and

the second set is aligned longitudinally on the suture, but a plane perpendicular to the suture and cutting transversely through the suture and intersecting the base of a barb of the first set will not intersect the base of a barb of the second set.

The barbs project from the exterior surface of the suture body on which the barbs are disposed. Depending on the intended end use of the barbed suture, barbs of different sizes may be employed. In general, larger barbs are more suitable for joining certain types of tissue such as fat tissue or soft tissue. On the other hand, smaller barbs are more suitable for joining other types of tissue, such as collagen dense tissue.

As noted above, barbed sutures may be made from the same materials used for making conventional loop sutures. Any particular chosen material for the barbed suture depends on the strength and flexibility requirements.

More specifically, barbed sutures may be formed from a bio-absorbable material that allows the suture to degrade and thus to be absorbed over time into the tissue as the wound heals. Generally, bio-absorbable materials are polymeric, and depending on the particular polymer selected, the degradation time in the wound ranges from about 1 month to over 24 months. The use of bio-absorbable materials eliminates the necessity of removing the sutures from the patient.

Various bio-absorbable polymers include, but are not limited to, polydioxanone, polylactide, polyglycolide, polycaprolactone, and copolymers thereof. Commercially available examples are polydioxanone (sold as PDS II, a trade name used by Ethicon for selling surgical sutures), copolymer of about 67% glycolide and about 33% trimethylene carbonate (sold as MAXON®, a trademark registered to American Cyanamid for surgical sutures), and copolymer of about 75% glycolide and about 25% caprolactone (sold as MONOCRYL®, a trademark registered to Johnson & Johnson for sutures and suture needles). Barbed sutures made from such bio-absorbable materials are useful in a wide range of applications.

Additionally, barbed sutures may be formed from a non-absorbable material, which may be a polymer. Such polymers include, but are not limited to, polypropylene, polyamide (also known as nylon), polyester (such as polyethylene terephthlate, abbreviated here as PET), polytetrafluoroethylene (such as expanded polytetrafluoroethylene, abbreviated here as ePTFE and sold by Gore as GOR-TEX®), polyether-ester (such as polybutester, which is the condensation polymerization of dimethyl terephthlate, polytetramethylene ether glycol, and 1,4-butanediol, and which is marketed by Davis & Geck and by U.S. Surgical, companies

owned by Tyco, under the name NOVAFIL®, which is a trademark registered to American Cyanamid for surgical sutures), or polyurethane. Alternatively, the non-absorbable material may be metal (e.g., steel), metal alloys, natural fiber (e.g., silk, cotton, et cetera), and the like.

Most of the barbed sutures discussed below are described as having their ends being pointed and formed of a material sufficiently stiff to allow for piercing tissue. It is contemplated that the ends of the barbed sutures may comprise a surgical needle. In this embodiment, the barbed suture is adapted for attachment, such as by swaging, channel wrapping, heat shrinking, or eyelet threading to the surgical needle for insertion into tissue.

Attachment by swaging is well described and is typically accomplished by inserting the suture end into the surgical needle hole that is longitudinally disposed at one end of the surgical needle (usually the hole has been drilled longitudinally into one end of the needle), followed by crimping the resultant about the needle hole so that the suture is secured to the surgical needle for insertion into tissue. Also, some surgical needles with a longitudinal hole in one end are heat-shrinkable tubes that are heat shrunk after insertion of the suture in order to attach the suture to the surgical needle. Additionally, some surgical needles have a channel or trough at one end, and the suture is laid in the trough, followed by wrapping to secure the suture to the surgical needle. Surgical needles with a conventional eyelet type of hole transversely disposed in one end of the surgical needle could also be used, but are not preferred for barbed sutures. For the present invention, part of the discussion below regards surgical needles swaged with barbed sutures, but it is contemplated that any other suitable means of attaching needles can be employed.

Attachment of sutures and surgical needles is described in U.S. Patent No. 3,981,307 Borysko, U.S. Patent No. 5,084,063 to Korthoff, U.S. Patent No. 5,102,418 to Granger et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,123,911 to Granger et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,500,991 to Demarest et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,722,991 to Colligan, U.S. Patent No. 6,012,216 to Esteves et al., and U.S. Patent No. 6,163,948 to Esteves et al. A method for the manufacture of surgical needles is described in U.S. Patent No. 5,533,982 to Rizk et al. Further, it is noted that the surgical needle may be coated, the coating allowing for the needle of the inventive combination surgical needle/barbed suture to be inserted into tissue with less force than if the surgical needle were not coated. The coating may be a polymer, for instance, a silicone resin coating. For example, an improved siliconized surgical needle that requires significantly less force to effect tissue penetration than a standard siliconized surgical needle is described in U.S. Patent No. 5,258,013 to Granger et al.

The barbs are disposed in various arrangements on the body of the suture. The barbs may be formed using any suitable method, including injection molding, stamping, cutting, laser, and the like. With regard to cutting, in general, polymeric threads or filaments are purchased, and then the barbs are cut onto the filament body.

The cutting may be manual, but that is labor intensive and not cost effective.

A very suitable cutting machine is disclosed in U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/943,733 to Genova et al., assignors to Quill Medical, filed August 31, 2001, the disclosure of which is incorporated by reference. Such a cutting machine has a plurality of blades for escarpment of barbs onto a suture filament. A typical cutting machine for manufacturing barbed sutures utilizes a cutting bed, a vise, one or more blade assemblies, and sometimes a template or guide for the blades. The suture filament is placed in the bed and held by the vise, with the transverse direction of the blades generally disposed in the transverse direction of the suture filament, in order to cut a plurality of axially spaced barbs disposed on the exterior of a suture filament.

With reference now to the drawings, where like reference numerals designate corresponding or similar elements throughout the several views, shown in Figure 1A is a side view of a barbed suture according to the present invention and generally designated at 1.

Suture 1 includes elongated body 2 that is generally circular in cross section and that terminates in end 4. End 4 is illustrated in one embodiment as being pointed for penetrating tissue, but it is contemplated that end 4 may comprise a surgical needle (not shown) for insertion into tissue. (The other end is not shown.) Also, suture 1 includes plurality of closely spaced barbs 7, 9 arranged in a staggered uni-directional disposition. More specifically, axially spaced barbs 7 are radially arranged about 180 degrees from and staggered with respect to axially spaced barbs 9, with barbs 7, 9 facing pointed end 4. First set of barbs 7 define a plane that is substantially coplanar with the plane defined by second set of barbs 9, and consequently, barbs 7, 9 define substantially the same one plane due to the radial 180 degree arrangement.

Figure 1B, which is a cross sectional view along line 1B – 1B of suture 1 in Figure 1A, more clearly illustrates angle X, namely the radial 180 degree arrangement of barbs 7 with respect to barbs 9. As also can be seen from Figure 1B, the stippling illustrates that first barb 7 of barbs 7 is closer to pointed end 4 (not shown in Figure 1B), and thus, seems to be larger than farther away first barb 9 of barbs 9, due to the staggering. A transverse plane that is perpendicular to suture body 2 and that intersects the base of one barb 7 of barbs 7 does not intersect the base of any barb 9 of barbs 9.

Suture 1 may be made with a cutting machine that produces two sets of barbs 7, 9, usually one set at a time, in a staggered position along suture 1, such as the cutting device described in the above-noted Serial No. 09/943,733 to Genova et al.

First set of barbs 7 is created by placing and holding a suture filament in the vise, and then, the set of blades, with a predetermined length, splices into the suture filament at an angle selected to create barbs 7 pointing in one direction toward pointed end 4. Second set of barbs 9 is created similarly after offsetting the blades longitudinally (to create the staggering) approximately half of the longitudinal distance between two of barbs 7 and also rotating the suture filament about 180 degrees on the vise, which is equipped to accommodate first set of barbs 7 that are already cut.

Shown in Figure 2A is suture 10, which is another embodiment of the present invention and is like suture 1, except that suture 10 is bi-directional. Suture 10 includes elongated body 12 that is generally circular in cross section. Elongated body 12 terminates in first and second pointed ends 14, 16 for penetrating tissue. Also, it is contemplated that one or both ends 14, 16 may comprise a surgical needle (not shown) for insertion into tissue. Also, suture 10 includes plurality of closely spaced barbs 17, 18, 19, 20 arranged in a staggered bi-directional disposition.

More specifically, plurality of axially spaced barbs 17 are radially arranged about 180 degrees from and staggered with respect to plurality of axially spaced barbs 19, with barbs 17, 19 facing pointed end 14 for a portion (about half of the length) of suture 10. Similarly, plurality of axially spaced barbs 18 are radially arranged about 180 degrees from and staggered with respect to plurality of axially spaced barbs 20, with barbs 18, 20 facing pointed end 16 for another portion (approximately the other half of the length) of suture 10. First set of barbs 17, 18 define a plane that is substantially coplanar with the plane defined by second set of barbs 19, 20. As a result, all of barbs 17, 18, 19, 20 define substantially the same one plane due to the radial 180 degree arrangement of first set of barbs 17, 18 with respect to second set of barbs 19, 20.

Figure 2B is a cross sectional view along line 2B – 2B of suture 10 in Figure 2A, more clearly illustrating angle X, namely the radial 180 degree arrangement. Due to the staggering, first barb 17 of barbs 17 is closer to pointed end 14 (not shown in Figure 2B), and thus, appears larger than farther away first barb 19 of barbs 19, as is illustrated by the stippling. A transverse plane that is perpendicular to suture body 12 and that intersects the base of one barb 17 of barbs 17 does not intersect the base of any barb 19 of barbs 19.

Likewise, a transverse plane that is perpendicular to suture body 12 and that intersects the base of one barb 18 of barbs 18 does not intersect the base of any barb 20 of barbs 20.

Suture 10 may be made with the same cutting machine as suture 1, such as the cutting device described in the above-noted Serial No. 09/943,733 to Genova et al., except with the following change in blade direction.

For first set of bi-directional barbs 17, 18, after the suture filament is placed and held in the vise, the blades splice with a first cutting action into approximately half of the length of the suture filament to create barbs 17 facing in one direction toward pointed end 14. Next, the blades are rotated 180 degrees so that they are now disposed in the opposite direction and over the uncut half of the length. The blades are then allowed to splice into the other half of the length of the suture filament with a second cutting action to create barbs 18 facing in the opposite direction toward pointed end 16.

Next, the blades are offset longitudinally (to create the staggering) about half of the longitudinal distance between two of barbs 17, and also the suture filament is rotated about 180 degrees on the vice, which is equipped to accommodate first set of bi-directional barbs 17, 18 that are already cut. Then, for second set of bi-directional barbs 19, 20, the blades splice with a first cutting action into approximately half the length of the suture filament to create barbs 20 facing in one direction toward pointed end 16. The first cutting action is followed by rotating the blades longitudinally 180 degrees so that they are now disposed in the opposite direction and over the uncut half of the length. The blades are then allowed to splice into the other half of the length of the suture filament with a second cutting action to create barbs 19 facing in the opposite direction toward pointed end 14.

In an alternative embodiment (not shown) for bi-directional suture 10, the portion of suture 10 with barbs 17, 19 may have them facing toward pointed end 16 and the portion of suture 10 with barbs 18, 20 may have them facing toward pointed end 14. With this variation, the barbed suture would be inserted into tissue with an insertion device, such as that shown in the above-noted U.S. Patent No. 5,342,376 to Ruff. Additionally, it is noted that, if desired, barbs may be escarped so that there may be two portions with barbs facing one end and one portion with barbs facing the other end, or two portions with barbs facing one end and two portions with barbs facing the other end, and so on (not shown), and thus, if a portion of barbs is not facing the suture end to which those barbs are adjacent, then, the barbed suture would be inserted into tissue with an insertion device.

An advantage of a barbed suture having a radial 180 degree arrangement with staggering is that the 180 degree spacing is readily fabricated on relatively small diameter

filaments and the staggering improves anchoring performance. Thus, in thin and delicate tissue, where a smaller suture is desirable, the staggered 180 degree spacing generates effective anchoring performance.

Turning now to Figure 3A, depicted is a side view of another embodiment of a suture according to the present invention, and generally designated at suture 30. Suture 30 is like suture 1 shown in Figure 1A, except that the radial spacing for suture 30 is 120 degrees instead of 180 degrees as is shown for suture 1.

More particularly, suture 30 includes elongated body 32 that is generally circular in cross section and that terminates in pointed end 34 for penetrating tissue. It is contemplated that end 34 may comprise a surgical needle (not shown) so that the suture can be inserted into tissue. (The other end is not shown.) Additionally, suture 30 includes plurality of closely spaced barbs 35, 37, 39 arranged so that all face in the same direction toward pointed end 34. Hence, the disposition of barbs 35, 37, 39 is uni-directional.

Also, axial spaced barbs 35 are radially arranged about 120 degrees from and staggered with respect to axially spaced barbs 37, which are radially arranged about 120 degrees from and staggered with respect to axially spaced barbs 39. Hence, axially spaced barbs 39 are also arranged about 120 degrees from and staggered with respect to axially spaced barbs 35. As a result of the radial 120 degree arrangement, first set of barbs 35 define substantially the same one plane; second set of barbs 37 define substantially another same one plane; and third set of barbs 39 define substantially still another same one plane. Thus, suture 30 has barbs 35, 37, 39 arranged in a staggered uni-directional 120 degree disposition.

Figure 3B is a cross sectional view along line 3B – 3B of suture 30 in Figure 3A and shows with more particularity angle Y, namely the radial 120 degree arrangement of barbs 35 with respect to barbs 37, barbs 37 with respect to barbs 39, and barbs 39 with respect to barbs 35.

As illustrated by the stippling, first barb 35 of barbs 35, because of the staggering, is closer to pointed end 34 (not shown in Figure 3B), and thus, seems to be larger than farther away first barb 37 of barbs 37. Also, first barb 37 of barbs 37, due to the staggering, is closer to pointed end 34 (not shown in Figure 3B), and thus, seems to be larger than even farther away first barb 39 of barbs 39. A transverse plane that is perpendicular to suture body 32 and that intersects the base of one barb 35 of barbs 35 does not intersect the base of any barb 37 of barbs 37. Likewise, a transverse plane that is perpendicular to suture body 32 and that intersects the base of one barb 37 of barbs 37 does not intersect the base of any barb 39 of barbs 39. Similarly, a transverse plane that is perpendicular to suture body 32 and that

intersects the base of one barb 39 of barbs 39 does not intersect the base of any barb 35 of barbs 35.

Suture 30 may be made with the same cutting machine as suture 1, such as the cutting device described in the above-noted Serial No. 09/943,733 to Genova et al. The cutting machine is now used to produce three sets of barbs 35, 37, 39, usually one set at a time, in a staggered position along suture 30.

First set of barbs 35 is created by placing and holding a suture filament in the vise, followed by the blades, after having been adjusted to a predetermined length, splicing into the suture filament at an angle that is chosen to create barbs 35 so that all are facing in the same direction toward pointed end 34.

Next, the blades are offset longitudinally (to create the staggering) approximately half of the longitudinal distance between two of barbs 35. Also, the filament is rotated about 120 degrees on the vise, which is equipped to accommodate first set of barbs 35 that have already been cut, and then second set of barbs 37 is created in a similar manner.

Likewise, the blades are again offset longitudinally (to create the staggering) approximately half the longitudinal distance between two of barbs 35, and also the suture filament is rotated about 120 degrees on the vise, which is equipped to accommodate both already cut first set of barbs 35 and already cut second set of barbs 37. Following the longitudinal movement and rotation, third set of barbs 39 is created in a similar manner.

Preferably, each successive barb is escarped at a position about 120 degrees around suture body 32 from the preceding barb and does not overlap with any other barb.

With reference now to Figure 4A, illustrated is suture 40, another embodiment of the present invention. Suture 40 is similar to suture 30, except that suture 40 is bi-directional. Suture 40 includes elongated body 42 that is generally circular in cross section and that terminates in first and second pointed ends 44, 46 for penetrating tissue. Also, it is contemplated that one or both ends 44, 46 may comprise a surgical needle (not shown) in order to be inserted into tissue. Suture 40 further includes plurality of closely spaced barbs 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52 arranged in a staggered bi-directional disposition.

For about half of the length of suture 40, axially spaced barbs 47 are circumferentially arranged about 120 degrees from and staggered with respect to axially spaced barbs 49, which are radially arranged about 120 degrees from and staggered with respect to axially spaced barbs 51. Consequently, axially spaced barbs 51 are also arranged about 120 degrees from and staggered with respect to axially spaced barbs 47. Thus, a portion of suture 40 has all of barbs 47, 49, 51 facing in the same direction toward pointed end 44.

For the other half of the length of suture 40, axially spaced barbs 48 are radially arranged about 120 degrees from and staggered with respect to axially spaced barbs 50, which are radially arranged about 120 degrees from and staggered with respect to axially spaced barbs 52. Consequently, axially spaced barbs 52 are also arranged about 120 degrees from and staggered with respect to axially spaced barbs 48. Thus, another portion of suture 40 has all of barbs 48, 50, 52 facing in the same direction toward pointed end 46.

As a result of the radial 120 degree arrangement, first set of barbs 47, 48 define substantially the same one plane; second set of barbs 49, 50 define substantially another same one plane; and third set of barbs 51, 52 define substantially still another same one plane.

Figure 4B, which is a cross sectional view along line 4B – 4B of suture 40 in Figure 4A, shows more clearly angle Y, namely the radial 120 arrangement with greater specificity. As illustrated by the stippling, first barb 47 of barbs 47, on account of the staggering, is closer to pointed end 44 (not shown in Figure 4B), and thus, appears larger than farther away first barb 49 of barbs 49. Also because of the staggering, first barb 49 of barbs 49 is closer to pointed end 44 (not shown in Figure 4B), and thus, appears larger than even farther away first barb 51 of barbs 51.

A transverse plane that is perpendicular to suture body 42 and that intersects the base of one barb 47 of barbs 47 does not intersect the base of any barb 49 of barbs 49. Likewise, a transverse plane that is perpendicular to suture body 32 and that intersects the base of one barb 49 of barbs 49 does not intersect the base of any barb 51 of barbs 51. Similarly, a transverse plane that is perpendicular to suture body 42 and that intersects the base of one barb 51 of barbs 51 does not intersect the base of any barb 47 of barbs 47. Also, a transverse plane that is perpendicular to suture body 42 and that intersects the base of one barb 48 of barbs 48 does not intersect the base of any barb 50 of barbs 50. Likewise, a transverse plane that is perpendicular to suture body 32 and that intersects the base of one barb 50 of barbs 50 does not intersect the base of any barb 52 of barbs 52. Similarly, a transverse plane that is perpendicular to suture body 42 and that intersects the base of one barb 52 of barbs 52 does not intersect the base of any barb 48 of barbs 48.

Suture 40 may be made with the same cutting machine as suture 1, such as the cutting device described in the above-noted Serial No. 09/943,733 to Genova et al., except with the following change in blade direction.

For first set of bi-directional barbs 47, 48, after the suture filament is placed and held in the vise, the blades splice with a first cutting action into approximately half of the length of the suture filament to create barbs 47 facing in one direction toward pointed end 44. Then,

the blades are rotated 180 degrees so that they are now disposed in the opposite direction and over the uncut half of the length. The blades then are allowed to splice into the other half of the length of the suture filament with a second cutting action to create barbs 48 facing in the opposite direction toward pointed end 46.

Next, the blades are offset longitudinally (to create the staggering) for about half the longitudinal distance between two of barbs 47, and also the suture filament is rotated about 120 degrees on the vise, which is equipped to accommodate first set of bi-directional barbs 47, 48 that are already cut. Then, for second set of bi-directional barbs 49, 50, the blades splice with a first cutting action into approximately half of the length of the suture filament to create barbs 50 facing in one direction toward pointed end 46. The first cutting action is followed by rotating the blades 180 degrees so that they are now disposed in the opposite direction and over the uncut half of the suture filament. They then splice into the other half of the length of the suture filament with a second cutting action to create barbs 49 facing in the opposite direction toward pointed end 44.

Then, the blades are again offset longitudinally (to create the staggering) for about half the longitudinal distance between two of barbs 47. Additionally, the suture filament again is rotated about 120 degrees on the vise, which is equipped to accommodate already cut first set of bi-directional barbs 47, 48 and already cut second set of bi-directional barbs 49, 50. Following the longitudinal movement and rotation, the third set of bi-directional barbs 51, 52 are made by having the blades splice with a first cutting action into approximately half of the length of the suture filament to create barbs 51 facing in one direction toward pointed end 44. The first cutting action is followed by rotating the blades 180 degrees so that they are now disposed in the opposite direction and over the uncut half of the suture filament. They next splice into the other half of the length of the suture filament with a second cutting action to create barbs 52 facing in the opposite direction toward pointed end 46.

Preferably, each successive barb is escarped at a position about 120 degrees around suture body 42 from the preceding barb and does not overlap with any other barb.

In an alternative embodiment (not shown) for bi-directional suture 40, the portion of suture 40 having barbs 47, 49, 51 may have them facing toward pointed end 46 and the portion of suture 40 having barbs 48, 50, 52 may have them facing toward pointed end 44. With this variation, the barbed suture would be inserted into tissue with an insertion device, such as that shown in the above-noted U.S. Patent No. 5,342,376 to Ruff. Additionally, it is noted that, if desired, barbs may be escarped so that there may be two portions with barbs facing one end and one portion with barbs facing the other end, or two portions with barbs

facing one end and two portions with barbs facing the other end, and so on (not shown), and thus, if a portion of barbs is not facing the suture end that those barbs are adjacent, then, the barbed suture would be inserted into tissue with an insertion device.

An advantage of a barbed suture with a radial 120 degree arrangement is that the barbs exert force in three distinct planes that compliment each other, resulting in maximization of the retention force of the suture overall. As noted above, the staggering enhances anchoring performance.

Turning now to Figure 5A, shown is another embodiment of the present invention, which is generally designated at suture 60, with radial spacing that is in a twist cut multiple spiral. Suture 60 includes elongated body 62 of generally circular cross section. Elongated body 62 terminates in pointed end 64 for penetrating tissue. Also, it is contemplated that end 64 may comprise a surgical needle (not shown) for insertion into tissue. Furthermore, suture 60 includes plurality of closely spaced barbs 67 arranged in a twist cut multiple spiral pattern around body 62 and facing in the same direction toward pointed end 64.

Figure 5B is a cross sectional view along line 5B – 5B of suture 60 in Figure 5A. Due to the twist cut multiple spiral disposition, each respective barb 67 seems to be smaller and smaller as each is farther and farther away from pointed end 64 (not shown in Figure 5B), the illusion of size difference being illustrated by the stippling.

Suture 60 may be constructed with a similar cutting machine as that used for making suture 1, such as the cutting device described in the above-noted Serial No. 09/943,733 to Genova et al. With a twist cutting method, barbs 67 may be produced in multiple spirals that preferably are created at the same time as the suture filament is held stationary, instead of being rotated, when the cutting takes place.

More particularly, a suture filament that is about 7 inches (about 178 mm) in length, is longitudinally twisted for a portion of the suture length, such as 39 times for a portion that is about 4.5 inches (about 114 mm) of the suture length. Thus, an end is secured, and the other end is grasped and rotated 360 degrees, 39 times, so the portion of the suture filament is twisted when the suture is then placed and held in the vise.

Twisting preferably is performed 28 to 50 times, and may be performed more or less, such as 19 to 70 times. Suitably, twisting may be from about 2 to about 17 twists per inch, or about 3 to about 15 twists per inch, or about 5 to about 13 twists per inch (per inch being per 25.4 mm).

Next, the blades, after having been adjusted to a predetermined length, simultaneously splice into the suture filament. The cutting action makes cuts to create barbs 67 so that all are

facing in the same direction toward pointed end 64. After twist cut multiple spiral barbed suture 60 is released from the vice and untwisted, barbs 67 are disposed in multiple spirals on suture 60.

Turning now to Figure 6A, shown is another embodiment of the present invention, which is generally designated at suture 70. Suture 70 is of a twist cut multiple spiral disposition and thus is similar to suture 60, except that suture 70 is bi-directional. Suture 70 includes elongated body 72 that is generally circular in cross section and that terminates in first and second pointed ends 74, 76 for penetrating tissue. It is contemplated that one or both of ends 74, 76 may comprise a surgical needle (not shown) for insertion into tissue.

Suture 70 further includes plurality of closely spaced barbs 77, 78 arranged in two respective spiral patterns, each being a multiple spiral around body 72. Barbs 77, 78 are disposed on middle portion MP that is approximately 3 inches (approximately 76 mm) of suture 70, with each end portion EP of suture 70 being barb-free. More particularly, plurality of barbs 77 are arranged in a multiple spiral pattern with all barbs 77 facing toward pointed end 74 for a part (about half) of middle portion MP along the length of suture 70. Similarly, plurality of barbs 78 are arranged in a multiple spiral pattern with all barbs 78 facing toward pointed end 76 for another part (the other approximate half) of middle potion MP along the length of suture 70.

Figure 6B is a cross sectional view along line 6B – 6B of suture 60 in Figure 6A. Due to the multiple spiral configuration, each respective barb 77 seems to be smaller and smaller as each is farther and farther away from pointed end 74 (not shown in Figure 6B), as illustrated by the stippling.

Suture 70 may be made with the same cutting machine as suture 60, such as the cutting device described in the above-noted Serial No. 09/943,733 to Genova et al., but with the following change in blade direction. Using the twist cutting method, barbs 77 may be produced in multiple spirals that preferably are created at the same time, and then after the direction change for the blades, barbs 78 may be produced in multiple spirals that preferably are created at the same time. Thus during the cutting, the suture filament is held stationary instead of being rotated.

More specifically, a section of about 4.5 inches (about 114 mm) in length of a suture filament is twisted, such as 39 times for a suture about 7 inches (about 178 mm) in length. Thus, an end is secured, and the other end is grasped and rotated 360 degrees, 39 times, so the twisted section of the suture filament has about 8 2/3 twists per inch (per 25.4 mm) when the suture filament is then is placed and held in the vise.

Twisting preferably is performed 28 to 50 times, and may be performed more or less, such as 19 to 70 times. Suitably, twisting may be from about 2 to about 17 twists per inch, or about 3 to about 15 twists per inch, or about 5 to about 13 twists per inch (per inch being per 25.4 mm).

Next, the blades, after having been adjusted to a predetermined length, splice into approximately half of the approximately 3 inch (approximately 76 mm) length of middle portion MP of the approximately 4.5 inch (approximately 114 mm) twisted section of the suture filament in a first cutting action with the blades making cuts to create barbs 77 so that all are facing in one direction toward pointed end 74. Depending on how many blades there are on the cutting machine and how many barbs 77 are desired, there may be one cutting motion to cut all barbs 77 simultaneously, or there may be repeated cutting motions until the desired number of barbs 77 are escarped into a portion of the suture filament.

Then, the blades are rotated 180 degrees so that they are now disposed in the opposite direction and over the other half of the approximately 3 inch (approximately 76 mm) length of middle portion MP of the approximately 4.5 inch (approximately 114 mm) twisted section of the suture filament. The blades are then allowed to splice into the other half in a second cutting action with the blades making cuts to create barbs 78 so that all are facing in the opposite direction toward pointed end 76. Depending on how many blades there are on the cutting machine and how many barbs 78 are desired, there may be one cutting motion to cut all barbs 78 simultaneously, or there may be repeated cutting motions until the desired number of barbs 78 are escarped into a portion of the suture filament.

When twist cut multiple spiral barbed suture 70 is released from the vise and untwisted, the first cuts and the second cuts result in barbs 77, 78 being in two respective multiple spiral patterns on two respective portions of suture 70, the two respective portions defining middle portion MP of about 3 inches (about 76 mm) in length.

More particularly, several twist cut multiple spiral, barbed sutures were manufactured from a monofilament having a diameter of about 0.018 inch (about 0.457 mm) and spun from polydioxanone (which is a synthetic absorbable suture material). A diameter of about 0.018 inch (about 0.457 mm) is slightly larger than the size 0 synthetic absorbable suture, which has a diameter range from about 0.35 mm to about 0.399 mm in accordance with the specifications of the United States Pharmacopoeia (USP).

Each suture contained a total of 78 barbs introduced in two respective multiple spiral patterns around the circumference of the suture. Since the barbed suture was bi-directional, the barbs were divided into a left group with 39 barbs disposed on a first portion of the suture

and a right group with 39 barbs on a second portion of the suture, each group opposing the direction of the other group from the approximate middle of the suture. The specific cutting machine employed had 13 blades. Thus, for each group of 39 barbs, there were 3 cutting motions (3 x 13 = 39), with the blades being offset with a guide for each of the 3 cutting motions.

Each suture was about 7 inches (about 178 mm) long. The middle portion MP was about 3 inches (about 76 mm) long and contained the 78 barbs that were escarped into the suture filament. Extending beyond the 3 inch (76 mm) barbed middle portion MP were two unbarbed end portions EP of the suture that were each about 2 inches (about 51 mm) long. Depending on the suturing technique, one or both ends of the barbed suture may be sufficiently pointed and rigid for insertion into tissue, or may comprise a straight or curved surgical needle.

The strength of the twist cut, 7 inch (178 mm) barbed sutures was tested by two methods. One method was a straight pull tensile strength test with a Universal Tester and the other method was an *in vivo* performance test with dogs.

For the straight pull tensile strength measurement, testing was performed using a Test Resources Universal Tester, Model 200Q. The average reading of 10 repeated measurements made for each kind of suture was recorded for the barbed sutures and for the comparison unbarbed sutures.

Comparison unbarbed sutures were polydioxanone monofilaments (a synthetic absorbable suture material) of various suture diameters of about 0.018 inch (about 0.457 mm), about 0.015 inch (about 0.381 mm), and about 0.0115 inch (about 0.292 mm), which are respectively slightly larger than the United States Pharmacopoeia sizes 0, 2-0, and 3-0 for synthetic absorbable sutures. In accordance with United States Pharmacopoeia specifications for synthetic absorbable sutures, size 0 has a diameter range of about 0.35 mm to about 0.399 mm; size 2-0 has a diameter range of about 0.30 mm to about 0.339 mm; and size 3-0 has a diameter range of about 0.20 mm to about 0.249 mm.

Each barbed suture was gripped at each end by being held with cork gasket padding in two respective serrated jaws, whereas each unbarbed suture was gripped at each end by being wrapped around two respective capstan roller grips. Capstan rollers were used for holding the unbarbed sutures to avoid stress and distension.

The portion of each suture specimen between the two gripped places was about 5 inches (about 126 mm) in length, which, in the case of barbed sutures, contained the entire 3 inches (76 mm) of the barbed middle portion.

Each specimen was pulled longitudinally at a rate of about 10 inches (about 254 mm) per minute until breakage occurred. The peak load was recorded as the straight pull tensile strength.

The results are summarized in Table 6A below, and the far right column denotes the USP knot pull test minimum requirements for conventional (unbarbed) sutures made from a synthetic absorbable material.

Table 6A

(Tensile Strength)

Barbed or Unbarbed	Suture Size	Straight Pull (pounds)	USP Minimum Requirements for Knot Pull (pounds)
Unbarbed	0	17.72	8.60
Unbarbed	2-0	11.86	5.91
Unbarbed	3-0	8.82	3.90
Barbed	0	7.03	not applicable

As can be seen, escarpment of barbs into the size 0 polydioxanone monofilament reduced the straight pull tensile strength by approximately 60% as compared to the conventional unbarbed size 0 polydioxanone monofilament (7.03 pounds = 40% of 17.72 pounds).

However, the straight pull tensile strength of 7.03 pounds at breakage for the size 0 polydioxanone barbed suture (which, due to the escarpment of the barbs, has an effective diameter that is smaller than the diameter of the conventional unbarbed size 0 polydioxanone suture) compared favorably with the minimum USP knot pull requirement of 8.60 pounds for the size 0 polydioxanone conventional unbarbed suture.

Additional straight pull tensile strength tests were performed on additional size 0 polydioxanone barbed sutures, as discussed below in Tables 7K-7Z, in connection with Figures 7A and 7B.

For the *in vivo* performance, 3 mongrel dogs, each about 14 kg, were used. On each dog, 7 incisions were made at the thorax (twice), thigh (twice), flank, ventral midline, and paramedian, each of the 7 incisions having 1, 2, or 3 closure sites. The length of each

incision ranged from about 0.5 inch (about 12.5 mm) to about 4 inches (about 101 mm) and the depth of each incision was from the superficial dermis to the peritoneum.

Using the barbed sutures (all made from size 0 polydioxanone monofilament), 24 of the sites were closed. For comparison, the remaining sites were closed with various diameter sizes of conventional unbarbed sutures (1 site with size 2-0 silk braided filament, 6 sites with size 2-0 nylon monofilament, and 7 sites with size 3-0 polydioxanone monofilament), which were knotted. All closing of sites was performed according to a randomized scheme.

The dogs were monitored daily, and then subjected to euthanasia at 14 days. At the time of death, the incisions were evaluated macroscopically. With regard to various tissues, incision sizes, and locations on the dogs, all sites apposed with the size 0 polydioxanone barbed sutures stayed closed and appeared to be healing normally throughout the 14 day observation period. No dehiscence occurred.

The site apposed with the conventional unbarbed silk sutures and the sites apposed with the conventional unbarbed polydioxanone sutures also healed will without complications. No dehiscence occurred.

For the 6 topical skin sites closed with the size 2-0 nylon monofilament conventional unbarbed sutures, 3 sites exhibited partial or complete suture loss, apparently due to self-mutilation by the dogs. Knots in the conventional sutures possibly caused discomfort by creating localized pressure, and animals cannot understand that they should not manipulate the sutures. Thus, barbed sutures should help obviate the problem of an animal manipulating and pulling out the sutures.

In summary, the *in vivo* performance of the size 0 polydioxanone barbed sutures was efficacious when compared to the size 2-0 silk braided filament unbarbed sutures, the size 2-0 nylon monofilament unbarbed sutures, and the size 3-0 polydioxanone monofilament unbarbed sutures.

In an alternative embodiment (not shown) for bi-directional twist cut, multiple spiral suture 70, the portion of suture 70 on which is disposed barbs 77 may have barbs 77 facing toward pointed end 76 and the portion of suture 70 on which is disposed barbs 78 may have barbs 78 facing toward pointed end 74. With this variation, the barbed suture would be inserted into tissue with an insertion device, such as that shown in the above-noted U.S. Patent No. 5,342,376 to Ruff. Also if desired, it is noted that barbs may be escarped so that there may be 2 portions with barbs facing an end and 1 portion with barbs facing the other end, or 2 portions with barbs facing an end and 2 portions with barbs facing the other end, and so on (not shown), and thus, if a portion of barbs is not facing the suture end to which

those barbs are adjacent, then, the barbed suture would be inserted into tissue with an insertion device.

An advantage of a barbed suture having a twist cut, multiple spiral disposition is that such a barbed suture affords better wound holding capability as compared to the 120 degree spaced barbed suture. The reason is that the twist cut, multiple spiral pattern results in groups of barbs that complement successive and preceding groups of barbs, which tends to provide improved anchoring when the suture is in tissue. This feature is especially useful for tissue such as fat tissue, which has fewer connective fibers compared with other types of tissues, so that greater suture retention force is desirable.

With reference now to Figure 7A, shown is a sectional side view of barbed suture 80. Barbed suture 80 has plurality of closely spaced barbs 81 on elongated suture body 82 of generally circular cross section. Each barb 81 has barb tip 85. Shown are suture longitudinal axis A, suture diameter SD, barb length L, barb cut depth D, barb cut angle θ, cut distance P, spirality angle α, cut-out depression CD, and tip T of cut-out depression CD.

Figure 7B is the sectional side view as illustrated in Figure 7A, but rotated and clamped to align the barbs for measurement of the cut distance P between barbs 81.

Barbed suture 80 is a twist cut, multiple spiral, bi-directional barbed suture, like suture 70 in Figure 6A, but illustrated as an enlarged section in order to show more detail with respect to the configuration of barbs 81 vis-a-vis suture longitudinal axis A, suture diameter SD, barb length L, barb cut depth D, barb cut angle θ, cut distance P, spirality angle α, cut-out depression CD, and terminus T of cut-out depression CD.

More specifically, several twist cut, multiple spiral, barbed sutures were manufactured from monofilament spun from polydioxanone and having a diameter of about 0.018 inch (about 0.457 mm, which is slightly more than the USP requirement for a size 0 synthetic absorbable suture). Each suture contained 78 barbs introduced in 2 separate multiple spiral patterns around the circumference of the suture. Since the barbs were bi-directional, they were divided into a left group with 39 barbs and a right group with 39 barbs, each group opposing the direction of the other group from the approximate middle of the suture. Each suture was about 7 inches (about 178 mm) long. The middle portion was about 3 inches (about 76 mm) of the suture and contained the 78 barbs that were escarped into the suture filament. Extending beyond the 3 inch (76 mm) barbed middle portion toward each suture end were two unbarbed end portions of the suture filament that were each about 2 inches (about 51 mm) long. Depending on the stitching technique, one or both ends of the barbed

suture may be sufficiently pointed and rigid for insertion into tissue, or may comprise a straight or curved needle.

In order to characterize the configuration of barbs 81, an Optem Zoom 100 custom microscope with both ring and back lighting was used together with a CCD brand video camera in order to measure selected barbs 81 at x21.5 magnification from each of the left and right groups.

The average was calculated for 10 repeated measurements (5 from the left group of barbs and 5 from the right group of barbs on the same suture) that were made for each of cut angle θ and cut depth D. Barb cut angle θ was measured from the surface of the cut to the outer surface of barbed suture 80. Barb cut depth D was measured along a perpendicular from the outer surface of barbed suture 80 toward longitudinal axis A of barbed suture 80. The measurements enabled cut length L to be calculated using the following formula.

$$L = D/\{\text{Sin}\,(180 - \theta)\}$$

Also, angle α of spirality was measured microscopically on various barbed sutures 80 as follows. When the twisted suture filament is gripped by the vise during cutting of barbs 81, the vise leaves a very light mark designated as line M impressed on the suture filament. Thus, line M will be parallel to the longitudinal axis of the vise while the twisted suture filament is being held in the vise. If the vise does not leave a light mark on the suture filament, then line M can be determined in that it is parallel to a line connecting the two respective terminus T of the two successive cut-out depressions CD left in suture body 82 from the escarpment of two successive barbs 81. After cutting of barbs 81, when barbed suture 80 is released from the vise and untwisted so that suture 80 lies free, then line M spirals on suture body 82 around barbed suture 80, forming angle α of spirality.

Specifically for measuring spirality angle α, the Optem Zoom 100 custom microscope was set with ring lighting at 60 and back lighting at coarse 12 and fine 10. Also, imaging analysis system software was used. Spirality angle α was then measured between the outer surface of the barbed suture and line M. The average was calculated for 10 repeated measurements (5 from the left group of barbs and 5 from the right group of barbs on the same suture).

Then, barbed suture 80 was mounted in a twisting device with one end of suture 80 clamped in a fixed position. The other end of suture 80 was rotated to insert twist until barbs

81 were aligned. Next on barbed suture 80, longitudinal cut distance P between two adjacent barbs 81 was measured microscopically between the two respective terminus T of the two successive cut-out depressions CD left in suture body 82 from the escarpment of two successive barbs 81. The average was calculated for 10 repeated measurements (5 from the left group of barbs and 5 from the right group of barbs on the same suture).

The results are summarized in the following Tables 7A, 7B, 7C, and 7D.

Table 7A (size 0 barbed suture)

Measurement	Units	Left	Right	Ratio of D, L, or P over Suture Diameter (0.457 mm)
cut angle θ	degrees	156 ± 2	157 ± 1	not applicable
cut depth D	mm	0.15 ± 0.02	0.16 ± 0.04	0.35
cut length L	mm	0.36 ± 0.03	0.40 ± 0.10	0.87
cut distance P	mm	0.90 ± 0.17	0.88 ± 0.15	1.92

Table 7B (size 0 barbed suture)

Measurement	Units	Average	Standard Deviation	Ratio of D, L, or P over Suture Diameter (0.457 mm)
cut angle θ	degrees	151	1.642	not applicable
cut depth D	mm	0.215	0.027	0.47
cut length L	mm	0.446	0.042	0.97
cut distance P	mm	0.962	0.073	2.1
spirality angle α	degrees	20.833	1.602	not applicable

Table 7C (size 0 barbed suture)

Measurement	Units	Average	Standard Deviation	Ratio of D, L, or P over Suture Diameter (0.457 mm)
cut angle θ	degrees	154	2.870	not applicable
cut depth D	mm	0.205	0.033	0.45

cut length L	mm	0.469	0.044	1.03
cut distance P	mm	0.975	0.103	2.13
spirality angle α	degrees	19.333	1.506	not applicable

Table 7D (size 0 barbed suture)

Measurement	Units	Average	Standard Deviation	Ratio of D, L, or P over Suture Diameter (0.457 mm)
cut angle θ	degrees	155	2.390	not applicable
cut depth D	mm	0.186	0.026	0.41
cut length L	mm	0.437	0.039	0.96
cut distance P	mm	0.966	0.071	2.11
spirality angle α	degrees	18.833	2.137	not applicable

Also, some additional measurements of angle α were performed on a few additional bi-directional twist cut, multiple spiral barbed sutures with a diameter of about 0.018 inch (about 0.457 mm, slightly more than the USP requirement for a size 0 synthetic absorbable suture). The mean average was 16.87 and the standard deviation was $\pm$ 0.85.

Additionally, measurements of barb cut angle θ, barb length L, barb cut depth D, and cut distance P were performed on 3 additional bi-directional twist cut, multiple spiral barbed sutures like sutures 80, but having a diameter of about 0.0115 inch (about 0.292 mm, which is slightly more than the USP requirement for a size 3-0 synthetic absorbable suture), and measurements of spirality angle α were performed on 2 of these 3 additional barbed sutures. Also, measurements of barb cut angle θ, barb length L, barb cut depth D, cut distance P, and spirality angle α were performed on 3 additional bi-directional twist cut, multiple spiral barbed sutures like sutures 80, but with a diameter of about 0.015 inch (about 0.381 mm, which is slightly more than the USP requirement for a size 2-0 synthetic absorbable suture). The results are summarized in the following Tables 7E, 7F, 7G, 7H, 7I, and 7J.

Table 7E (size 3-0 barbed suture)

Measurement	Units	Average	Standard Deviation	Ratio of D, L, or P over Suture Diameter (0.292 mm)

	cut angle θ	degrees	166	1.651	not applicable
	cut depth D	mm	0.107	0.007	0.37
	cut length L	mm	0.443	0.042	1.52
	cut distance P	mm	0.956	0.079	3.27
5	spirality angle α	degrees	not tested	not applicable	not applicable

Table 7F (size 3-0 barbed suture)

	Measurement	Units	Average	Standard Deviation	Ratio of D, L, or P over Suture Diameter (0.292 mm)
	cut angle θ	degrees	164	2.055	not applicable
	cut depth D	mm	0.106	0.006	0.36
	cut length L	mm	0.395	0.042	1.35
	cut distance P	mm	0.959	0.074	3.28
15	spirality angle α	degrees	7.329	0.547	not applicable

Table 7G (size 3-0 barbed suture)

	Measurement	Units	Average	Standard Deviation	Ratio of D, L, or P over Suture Diameter (0.292 mm)
	cut angle θ	degrees	165	1.031	not applicable
	cut depth D	mm	0.104	0.009	0.36
	cut length L	mm	0.390	0.035	1.34
	cut distance P	mm	0.975	0.103	3.34
25	spirality angle α	degrees	7.258	0.636	not applicable

Table 7H (size 2-0 barbed suture)

	Measurement	Units	Average	Standard Deviation	Ratio of D, L, or P over Suture Diameter (0.381 mm)
30	cut angle θ	degrees	160.2	1.320	not applicable
	cut depth D	mm	0.152	0.019	0.40
	cut length L	mm	0.449	0.057	1.18
	cut distance P	mm	0.944	0.098	2.48

spirality angle α	degrees	9.40	1.606	not applicable

Table 7I (size 2-0 barbed suture)

Measurement	Units	Average	Standard Deviation	Ratio of D, L, or P over Suture Diameter (0.381 mm)
cut angle θ	degrees	161.0	1.707	not applicable
cut depth D	mm	0.158	0.014	0.41
cut length L	mm	0.489	0.054	1.28
cut distance P	mm	0.962	0.054	2.52
spirality angle α	degrees	7.96	1.075	not applicable

Table 7J (size 2-0 barbed suture)

Measurement	Units	Average	Standard Deviation	Ratio of D, L, or P over Suture Diameter (0.381 mm)
cut angle θ	degrees	161.0	1.506	not applicable
cut depth D	mm	0.154	0.017	0.40
cut length L	mm	0.474	0.058	1.24
cut distance P	mm	0.973	0.068	2.55
spirality angle α	degrees	6.53	1.755	not applicable

Additional measurements were performed on several other twist cut, multiple spiral, barbed sutures manufactured from monofilament spun from polydioxanone and having a diameter of about 0.018 inch (about 0.457 mm, which is slightly more than the USP requirement for a size 0 synthetic absorbable suture) and thus similar to the above-described tested barbed sutures, except that these other barbed sutures were cut with a different cutting machine, namely a machine with one blade that moved longitudinally along the twisted filament between cutting strokes and that was controlled with a computer to make the various cuts for the escarpment of the barbs. These other barbed sutures were also tested for straight pull tensile strength and for chamois cloth closure strength. (A discussion of how chamois cloth closure strength is performed can be seen below in connection with Figures 13A and

13B.) The results for these other barbed sutures are summarized in the following Tables 7K – 7Z.

Table 7K (size 0 barbed suture)

Measurement	Units	Average	Standard Deviation	Ratio of D, L, P over Suture Diameter (0.457 mm)
cut angle θ	degrees	152.6	0.718	not applicable
cut depth D	mm	0.221	0.011	0.48
cut length L	mm	0.479	0.022	1.05
cut distance P	mm	0.784	0.015	1.71
spirality angle α	degrees	12.9	0.453	not applicable

Table 7L (size 0 barbed suture)

Measurement	Units	Average	Standard Deviation	Ratio of D, L, P over Suture Diameter (0.457 mm)
cut angle θ	degrees	152.4	0.947	not applicable
cut depth D	mm	0.216	0.014	0.47
cut length L	mm	0.465	0.024	1.02
cut distance P	mm	0.774	0.015	1.69
spirality angle α	degrees	13.2	0.349	not applicable

Table 7M (size 0 barbed suture)

Measurement	Units	Average	Standard Deviation	Ratio of D, L, P over Suture Diameter (0.457 mm)
cut angle θ	degrees	152.3	0.576	not applicable
cut depth D	mm	0.227	0.015	0.50
cut length L	mm	0.489	0.034	1.07
cut distance P	mm	0.796	0.018	1.74

spirality angle α	degrees	13.1	0.193	not applicable

Table 7N (size 0 barbed suture)

Measurement	Units	Average	Standard Deviation	Ratio of D, L, P over Suture Diameter (0.457 mm)
cut angle θ	degrees	152.8	0.612	not applicable
cut depth D	mm	0.207	0.007	0.45
cut length L	mm	0.453	0.016	0.99
cut distance P	mm	0.798	0.017	1.75
spirality angle α	degrees	13.6	0.560	not applicable

Table 7O (size 0 barbed suture)

Measurement	Units	Average	Standard Deviation	Ratio of D, L, P over Suture Diameter (0.457 mm)
cut angle θ	degrees	152.9	0.549	not applicable
cut depth D	mm	0.188	0.016	0.41
cut length L	mm	0.413	0.030	0.90
cut distance P	mm	0.787	0.024	1.72
spirality angle α	degrees	13.8	0.270	not applicable

Table 7P (size 0 barbed suture)

Measurement	Units	Average	Standard Deviation	Ratio of D, L, P over Suture Diameter (0.457 mm)
cut angle θ	degrees	153.1	0.655	not applicable

cut depth D	mm	0.204	0.007	0.45
cut length L	mm	0.451	0.019	0.99
cut distance P	mm	0.792	0.018	1.73
spirality angle α	degrees	13.6	0.410	not applicable

Table 7Q (size 0 barbed suture)

Measurement	Units	Average	Standard Deviation	Ratio of D, L, P over Suture Diameter (0.457 mm)
cut angle θ	degrees	163.1	0.505	not applicable
cut depth D	mm	0.245	0.013	0.54
cut length L	mm	0.842	0.045	1.84
cut distance P	mm	0.774	0.009	1.69
spirality angle α	degrees	10.8	0.449	not applicable

Table 7R (size 0 barbed suture)

Measurement	Units	Average	Standard Deviation	Ratio of D, L, P over Suture Diameter (0.457 mm)
cut angle θ	degrees	161.1	1.126	not applicable
cut depth D	mm	0.233	0.017	0.51
cut length L	mm	0.721	0.035	1.58
cut distance P	mm	0.773	0.010	1.69
spirality angle α	degrees	12.6	0.189	not applicable

Table 7S (size 0 barbed suture)

Measurement	Units	Average	Standard Deviation	Ratio of D, L, P over Suture Diameter (0.457 mm)
cut angle θ	degrees	160.9	0.708	not applicable
cut depth D	mm	0.240	0.014	0.52
cut length L	mm	0.734	0.037	1.61
cut distance P	mm	0.774	0.009	1.69
spirality angle α	degrees	13.6	0.312	not applicable

Table 7T (size 0 barbed suture)

Measurement	Units	Average	Standard Deviation	Ratio of D, L, P over Suture Diameter (0.457 mm)
cut angle θ	degrees	154.6	1.434	not applicable
cut depth D	mm	0.210	0.009	0.46
cut length L	mm	0.492	0.026	1.08
cut distance P	mm	0.538	0.011	1.18
spirality angle α	degrees	12.3	0.223	not applicable

Table 7U (size 0 barbed suture)

Measurement	Units	Average	Standard Deviation	Ratio of D, L, P over Suture Diameter (0.457 mm)
cut angle θ	degrees	152.9	0.809	not applicable
cut depth D	mm	0.212	0.014	0.46
cut length L	mm	0.464	0.026	1.01
cut distance P	mm	0.530	0.015	1.16
spirality angle α	degrees	13.7	0.411	not applicable

Table 7V (size 0 barbed suture)

Measurement	Units	Average	Standard Deviation	Ratio of D, L, P over Suture Diameter (0.457 mm)
cut angle θ	degrees	153.4	0.903	not applicable
cut depth D	mm	0.221	0.010	0.48
cut length L	mm	0.495	0.023	1.08
cut distance P	mm	0.537	0.012	1.17
spirality angle α	degrees	13.9	0.605	not applicable

Table 7W (size 0 barbed suture)

Measurement	Units	Average	Standard Deviation	Ratio of D, L, P over Suture Diameter (0.457 mm)
cut angle θ	degrees	155.2	0.829	not applicable
cut depth D	mm	0.202	0.008	0.44
cut length L	mm	0.483	0.017	1.06
cut distance P	mm	0.789	0.031	1.73
spirality angle α	degrees	12.6	0.328	not applicable

Table 7X (size 0 barbed suture)

Measurement	Units	Average	Standard Deviation	Ratio of D, L, P over Suture Diameter (0.457 mm)
cut angle θ	degrees	155.5	0.799	not applicable
cut depth D	mm	0.200	0.010	0.44

cut length L	mm	0.484	0.027	1.06
cut distance P	mm	0.798	0.017	1.75
spirality angle α	degrees	11.8	0.362	not applicable

Table 7Y (size 0 barbed suture)

Measurement	Units	Average	Standard Deviation	Ratio of D, L, P over Suture Diameter (0.457 mm)
cut angle θ	degrees	155.4	0.560	not applicable
cut depth D	mm	0.196	0.008	0.43
cut length L	mm	0.471	0.017	1.03
cut distance P	mm	0.799	0.019	1.75
spirality angle α	degrees	11.8	0.496	not applicable

Table 7Z

Barbed Suture	Straight Pull Strength (pounds)	Chamois Cloth Closure Strength (pounds to rupture)
Sample 1 (Tables 7K – 7M)	7.29	11.23
Sample 2 (Tables 7N – 7P)	8.73	12.14
Sample 3 (Tables 7Q – 7S)	8.5	9.22
Sample 4 (Tables 7T – 7V)	5.92	9.27
Sample 5 (Tables 7W – 7Y)	7.69	9.97

Although all the above-noted measurements were performed on bi-directional, twist cut, multiple spiral barbed sutures, the below-noted desirable ranges for measurements for barb length L, barb cut depth D, barb cut angle θ, and/or cut distance P should be the same for the various other inventive barbed sutures described here.

A suitable ratio of cut length L to barbed suture diameter SD ranges from about 0.2 to about 2, more preferably from about 0.4 to about 1.7, even more preferably from about 0.8 to about 1.5. However, very suitable barbed sutures may have a ratio of cut length L to barbed suture diameter SD from about 1 down to about 0.2, whereby the ratio of the highest possible barb elevation (the elevation of barb tip 85 above suture body 82) to the suture diameter SD correspondingly ranges from about 1 down to about 0.2. (The highest possible barb elevation is the same as the barb length L.) Also, a suitable ratio of cut depth D to barbed suture diameter SD ranges from about 0.05 to about 0.6, more preferably from about 0.1 to about 0.55, even more preferably from about 0.2 to about 0.5.

Regardless, length L may be desirably varied depending on the intended end use, since larger barbs are more suitable for joining certain types of tissue such as fat tissue or soft tissue, whereas smaller barbs are more suitable for joining other types of tissues such as fibrous tissue. As discussed in more detail below vis-a-vis Figure 11, there will also be instances where a barb configuration that is a combination of large, medium, and/or small barbs disposed on the same suture will be desirable, for instance, when the barbed suture is employed in tissue that has differing layer structures.

Cut angle θ formed between the barb and the elongated suture body desirably would range from about 140 degrees to about 175 degrees, more preferably would range from about 145 degrees to about 173 degrees. The most preferred cut angle θ for all barbs ranges from about 150° to about 170°.

For instance, for a polydioxanone barbed suture with a diameter of about 0.018 inch (about 0.457 mm), which is slightly larger that the USP requirement for a synthetic absorbable suture of size 0, the preferred barb length L would be 0.45 mm; the preferred barb depth D would be 0.2 mm; and the preferred barb cut angle would be 153 degrees.

Longitudinal spacing between any two barbs is generally effected with the goal of creating as many barbs as possible along the suture, and is a factor in the ability of the barbed suture to anchor tissues while maintaining firmness. As barbs are spaced farther apart, tissue-anchoring capacity decreases. Nevertheless, if barbs are spaced too close, the integrity of the

filament may be jeopardized, which could lead to a tendency of the barbs to peel back and also to a decrease in suture tensile strength.

Generally, a suitable ratio of cut distance P to barbed suture diameter SD ranges from about 0.1 to about 6, more preferably from about 0.5 to about 4.5, even more preferably from about 1.0 to about 3.5. Very suitable barbed sutures may have a ratio of cut distance P to barbed suture diameter SD from about 1.5 down to about 0.2, whereby cut distance P may be as low as about 0.1, particularly for the overlapping barb embodiment, which is discussed in more detail below vis-à-vis Figures 12A, 12B, 12C, and 12D.

Additionally, spirality angle α formed between line M and the longitudinal direction of the elongated suture body for a twist cut, multiple spiral barbed suture typically would range from about 5 degrees to about 25 degrees, more preferably from about 7 degrees to about 21 degrees. The most preferred angle α for all barbs on a twist cut, multiple spiral barbed suture is about 10° to about 18°.

Turning now to Figure 8, shown is suture 90, which is another embodiment of the present invention. Suture 90 includes elongated body 92 that is generally circular in cross section. Elongated body 92 terminates in first and second pointed ends 94, 96 for penetrating tissue. It is contemplated that one or both ends 94, 96 may comprise a surgical needle (not shown) for insertion into tissue. Additionally, suture 90 includes plurality of closely spaced barbs 97 arranged in a random disposition.

Suture 90 may be made with the same cutting machine as the above-discussed sutures, such as the cutting device described in the above-noted Serial No. 09/943,733 to Genova et al. With combinations of the above-described methods for making the 180 degree disposition (sutures 1, 10), the 120 degree disposition (sutures 30, 40), and/or the twist cut multiple spiral disposition (sutures 60, 70, 80), barbed suture 90 with a very random barb disposition is obtained. The advantage of the random disposition is that the many barb angles provide superior anchoring in tissues and thus afford superior wound holding properties. With the random disposition, the barbed suture would be inserted into tissue with an insertion device, such as that shown in the above-noted U.S. Patent No. 5,342,376 to Ruff.

With regard to Figure 9, shown is a sectional side view of barbed suture 100, which is another embodiment of the present invention. Suture 100 includes elongated suture body 102 of generally circular cross section. Also, suture body 102 has disposed on it a plurality of closely spaced barbs 107. Each barb 107 has a barb configuration such that barb underside 108 is serrated or corrugated. One or both suture ends (not shown) are pointed for

penetrating tissue and it is contemplated that one or both may comprise a surgical needle (not shown) for insertion into tissue.

Suture 100 may be made with the same cutting machine as the above-discussed sutures, such as the cutting device described in the above-noted Serial No. 09/943,733 to Genova et al. Barb 107 having serrated underside 108 is achieved by vibrating or oscillating the cutting blades of the cutting device when barbs are being escarped into the body of a monofilament. It is intended that any of the barbed sutures of the present invention as described here may have barbs with a configuration that includes a serrated or corrugated underside.

With reference now to Figures 10A and 10B, depicted in Figure 10 A is a perspective view and depicted in Figure 10B is a top view of barbed suture 110, which is another embodiment of the present invention. Suture 110 includes elongated suture body 112 of generally circular cross section. Also, suture body 112 has disposed on it a plurality of closely spaced barbs 115 having barb tips 117 (one barb 115 is shown for purposes of brevity). Barb 115 has a configuration with an arcuate base 119 where barb 115 is attached to suture body 112. One or both suture ends (not shown) are pointed for penetrating tissue and it is contemplated that one or both may comprise a surgical needle (not shown) for insertion into tissue.

Figures 10C and 10D are cross-sectional views respectively along line 10C – 10C and line 10D – 10D of Figure 10B. Figure 10C and 10D further clarify that barb 115 becomes narrower going from base 119 toward tip 117.

Suture 110 may be made with the same cutting machine as the above-discussed sutures, such as the cutting device described in the above-noted Serial No. 09/943,733 to Genova et al. To achieve barb 115 having arcuate base 119, the cutting device is provided with cutting blades with ends that are correspondingly arcuate with respect to arcuate base 119.

It is intended that any of the barbed sutures of the present invention as described here may have barbs with a configuration that includes an arcuate base. The arcuate base should enhance tissue anchoring as compared to a flat, linear base. Regardless, it is not desired for the base to be circular or oval, which would result from conical shaped barbs, as that could decrease tissue anchoring.

Shown in Figure 11 is a sectional side view of a barbed suture that is another embodiment of the present invention, and that is generally designated at 120. Suture 120 includes elongated body 122 that is generally circular in cross section. Elongated body 122

terminates in end 124. End 124 is pointed for penetrating tissue and it is contemplated that end 124 may comprise a surgical needle (not shown) for insertion into tissue. (The other end is not shown, and also may be pointed for penetrating tissue and may comprise a surgical needle for penetrating tissue.)

Also, suture 120 includes plurality of closely spaced barbs 125, plurality of closely spaced barbs 127, and plurality of closely spaced barbs 129. Barbs 125 are relatively small in size with a relatively short barb length as compared to barbs 127, which are relatively medium in size with a relatively medium barb length, as compared to barbs 129, which are relatively large in size with a relatively long barb length.

Suture 120 may be made with the same cutting machine as the above-described sutures were made, such as the cutting device described in the above-noted Serial No. 09/943,733 to Genova et al. By altering the amount of blade movement during cutting into a suture filament, then the barb cut length is made longer or shorter, as desired, to result in each of the three sets of barbs 125, 127, and 129 being of a size different from the others, where the varying sizes are designed for various surgical applications. The barb size may also vary in the transverse direction, whereby the barb base may be short, medium, or long, and regardless, the barb base typically is less than about ¼ of the suture diameter.

For instance, relatively larger barbs are desirable for joining fat and soft tissues, whereas relatively smaller barbs are desirable for joining fibrous tissues. Use of a combination of large, medium, and/or small barbs on the same suture helps to ensure maximum anchoring properties when barb sizes are customized for each tissue layer. Only two different sized sets of barbs (not shown) may be escarped into suture body 122, or additional sets of barbs (not shown) with four, five, six, or more different sized sets than three sizes as illustrated for sets of barbs 125, 127, and 129 may be escarped into suture body 122 as desired, in accordance with the intended end use. Also, although suture 120 is illustrated with the barbs being unidirectional, it is intended that barbed sutures with barbs having a configuration of varying sizes in accordance with the invention also may be bi-directional barbed sutures or random barbed sutures or any of the other inventive barbed sutures described here.

Figure 12A is a perspective view of another embodiment of the present invention, showing barbed suture 130 having elongated body 132 of generally circular cross section. One or both suture ends (not shown) are pointed for penetrating tissue and it is contemplated that one or both ends may comprise a surgical needle (not shown) for insertion into tissue.

Suture 130 further includes plurality of barbs 135 projecting from body 132 such that at least two longitudinally adjacent first and second barbs 135 are disposed on body 132 where first barb 135 overlaps second barb 135 if first and second barbs 135, which is readily apparent if barbs 135 are laid flat on body 132.

Figure 12B is a perspective view of a portion of overlapping barbs 135 of the overlapping disposition barbed suture 130 of Figure 12A, and Figure 12C is a top plan view of Figure 12B. Figure 12D is a cross-sectional view along ling 12D – 12D of Figure 12C. As can be more clearly seen from Figures 12B, 12C, and 12D, during escarpment of barbs 135, overlapping first barb 135 is escarped into part of topside TS of overlapped second barb 135, and so on. Part of topside TS of overlapped second barb 135 becomes part of underside US of overlapping first barb 135.

Thus, with the overlapping disposition, the barb cut distance between first barb 135 and second barb 135 may be shorter than the barb cut length of overlapped second barb 135, whereas, in general for barbed sutures, the barb cut distance between two barbs $\geq$ the barb cut length. Particularly for the overlapping barb disposition, very suitable barbed sutures may have a ratio of the barb cut distance to the barbed suture diameter from about 1.5 down to about 0.2, since the barb cut distance P may be as low as about 0.1. (See discussion of Figure 7 for comments vis-à-vis the barb cut length and the barb cut distance.) This overlapping disposition allows for closely packing many barbs 135 on body 132, and typically, barbs 135 are thin, as compared to when the barb cut distance between two barbs $\geq$ the barb cut length.

Also, although suture 130 is illustrated with barbs 135 being unidirectional, it is intended to include that suture 130 in accordance with the invention also may be a bi-directional barbed suture as described here.

Figures 13A, 13B, 13C, and 13D show various surgical needles, where a barbed suture is attached to each surgical needle. In order to facilitate insertion into tissue, the surgical needles may be coated with a polymer, for instance, as described above vis-à-vis U.S. Patent No. 5,258,013 to Granger et al.

Figure 13A shows surgical needle N1 that is a straight elongated needle in the longitudinal direction and that is generally circular in cross section. Surgical needle N1 has pointed tip T1 for insertion into tissue and also has hole H1. Surgical needle N1 is illustrated as attached, such as by swaging, to barbed suture S1. Barbed suture S1 is a barbed suture including, but not limited to, any of the above-described barbed sutures. Additionally, surgical needle N1 has diameter D1 in the transverse direction, which is illustrated as a relatively thin diameter, such as about 0.02 inch (about 0.51 mm). As discussed above vis-a-

vis swaging, surgical needle N1, after having suture S1 inserted into hole H1, may be crimped by standard procedures about hole H1 to hold suture S1 in place for suturing tissue.

Figure 13B shows surgical needle N2 that is a straight elongated needle in the longitudinal direction and that is generally circular in cross section. Surgical needle N2 has pointed tip T2 for insertion into tissue and also has hole H2. Surgical needle N2 is illustrated as attached, such as by swaging, to barbed suture S2. Barbed suture S2 is a barbed suture including, but not limited to, any of the above-described barbed sutures. Additionally, surgical needle N2 has diameter D2 in the transverse direction, which is illustrated as a suitably thin diameter, such as about 0.032 inch (about 0.81 mm), but not as thin as diameter D1 of surgical needle N1. As discussed above vis-à-vis swaging, surgical needle N2, after having suture S2 inserted into hole H2, may be crimped by standard procedures about hole H2 to hold suture S2 in place for use in suturing tissue.

Figure 13C shows surgical needle N3 that is a curved elongated needle in the longitudinal direction and that is generally circular in cross section. Surgical needle N3 has pointed tip T3 for insertion into tissue and also has hole H3. Surgical needle N3 is illustrated as attached, such as by swaging, to barbed suture S3. Barbed suture S3 is a barbed suture including, but not limited to, any of the above-described barbed sutures. Additionally, surgical needle N3 has diameter D3 in the transverse direction, which is illustrated as a relatively thin diameter, such as about 0.02 inch (about 0.51 mm). As discussed above vis-à-vis swaging, surgical needle N3, after having suture S3 inserted into hole H3, may be crimped by standard procedures about hole H3 to hold suture S3 in place for use in suturing tissue.

Figure 13D shows surgical needle N4 that is a curved elongated needle in the longitudinal direction and that is generally circular in cross section. Surgical needle N4 has pointed tip T4 for insertion into tissue and also has hole H4. Surgical needle N4 is illustrated as attached, such as by swaging, to barbed suture S4. Barbed suture S4 is a barbed suture including, but not limited to, any of the above-described barbed sutures. Additionally, surgical needle N4 has diameter D4 in the transverse direction, which is illustrated as a suitably thin diameter, such as about 0.032 inch (about 0.81 mm), but not as thin as diameter D3 of surgical needle N3. As discussed above vis-à-vis swaging, surgical needle N4, after having suture S4 inserted into hole H4, may be crimped by standard procedures about hole H4 to hold suture S4 in place for use in suturing tissue.

Needle tips T1, T2, T3, and T4 are schematically illustrated as pointed, but, as is well known, surgical needles come with various kinds of pointed tips, such as taper point, taper

cutting, ball point, cutting edge, diamond point, thin line, and lancet point, and it is intended to include, but not be limited to, all such needle tips. Taper point, taper cutting, and diamond point are preferred needle tips for surgical needles used with barbed sutures.

As is well known in the art, needle diameter for surgical needles used with conventional (i.e., unbarbed) sutures is considered unimportant, and often very thick surgical needles are used with thin conventional sutures such that the ratio of surgical needle diameter to conventional suture diameter is 4:1 or even higher, such as 4.43:1.

However, with the surgical needle/barbed suture combination of the present invention (for either a straight needle or a curved needle), the thinner that the surgical needle is, then the more preferable that the surgical needle/barbed suture is, with the desired needle diameter being thinner and thinner as it approaches the barbed suture diameter, and it is possible that the needle diameter may be even thinner than the barbed suture diameter.

In general for the present invention, a relatively thin surgical needle attached to a barbed suture is more preferable for approximating tissue when stitching a wound closed than a relatively thick surgical needle threaded with a barbed suture. The reason is that the relatively thin surgical needle attached to a barbed suture allows for greater engagement of barbs in tissue, and therefore provides better closure strength to the approximated tissue that has been sutured to prevent the opposing sides of the closed wound from pulling apart, as compared to the closure strength provided to approximated tissue that has been sutured with the relatively thick surgical needle.

The most important feature for the combination of the surgical needle attached to the barbed suture is that the surgical needle diameter should be of sufficient width in order to make a hole or a channel in the end, such by drilling, to allow for insertion of the barbed suture into the hole or the channel. Nevertheless, as the surgical needle diameter increases, the surgical needle is still suitable as long as the ratio of the surgical needle diameter to the barbed suture diameter is about 3:1 or less.

Accordingly, a desirable ratio of surgical needle diameter to barbed suture diameter, for either a straight needle or a curved needle, is about 3:1 or less, more preferably about 2:1 or less, most preferably about 1.8:1 or less. Furthermore, particularly if channel needles are employed, the ratio of surgical needle diameter to barbed suture diameter may be as low as about 1:1 or less, or even lower, for instance, about 0.9:1 or less, or about 08:1 or less, or as low as about 0.5:1. It will be appreciated by the person of ordinary skill in the art that care should be taken with extremely thin needles so as to ameliorate the possibility of localized weakness, which may compromise tissue insertion.

Closure strength of thin surgical needles, both having a ratio of surgical needle diameter to barbed suture diameter suitable for the present invention, was tested as follows.

Various pieces of chamois leather (manufactured by U.S. Chamois of Florida) having a thickness of about 0.6 in (about 15.2 mm) were cut with a wound having a length of about 1.25 inch (about 32 mm).

A first specimen was made from a piece of chamois leather by stitching together the respective edges of the wound with a drilled end surgical needle (item no. 382077A purchased from Sulzle Company) which was swaged with a barbed suture. In other words, after insertion of the barbed suture into the needle hole, the needle was crimped about the hole to secure the barbed suture during stitching. After stitching closed the wound, the piece of chamois leather was cut to a rectangular shape of about 3 inches (about 76 mm) in length by about 1.25 inches (about 32 mm) in width, where the stitched wound was in the middle of the length and transverse the width. The needle was a taper point, curved surgical needle (3/8 of a circle), with a length of about 22 mm and a relatively thin diameter of about 0.020 inch (about 0.51 mm).

Then, using the same stitching method, a second specimen was made from another piece of chamois leather by stitching together the respective edges of the wound, using a drilled end surgical needle (item no. 383271A purchased from Sulzle Company) swaged with the same kind of barbed suture, i.e., the surgical needle was crimped about the needle hole, after insertion of the barbed suture into the hole, to secure the barbed suture during stitching. For the second specimen, the needle was a taper point curved surgical needle (3/8 of a circle) with a length of about 22 mm and a suitable thin diameter of about 0.032 inch (about 0.81 mm), although not as thin as the diameter of the needle used for first specimen.

Each barbed suture for each specimen was a bi-directional, twist cut multiple spiral, polydioxanone barbed suture like suture 70 in Figure 6A, except that each barbed suture had a diameter of about 0.0115 inch (about 0.291 mm, which is slightly larger than the USP requirement for a size 3-0 synthetic absorbable suture), instead of a suture diameter of about 0.018 inch (about 0.457 mm).

Both the first and the second specimens of stitched chamois cloth were tested for closure strength using a Test Resources Universal Tester, Model 200Q. Each specimen was gripped by two respective serrated jaws. Then, each specimen was pulled longitudinally at a rate of about 10 inches per minute (about 254 mm per minute) until complete rupture. The peak load in pounds reached before complete wound disruption was recorded as the closure strength. The results were that the first specimen (which was sutured with the needle that had

a relatively thin diameter of about 0.020 inch, about 0.51 mm) took 5.88 pounds until wound disruption occurred and the specimen pulled apart back into 2 pieces, whereas the second specimen (which was stitched with the needle that had a suitably thin diameter of about 0.032 inch, about 0.81 mm, but not as thin as the needle for the first specimen) took only 2.88 pounds until the wound disruption and the specimen pulled apart back into 2 pieces.

The results are summarized in Table 13A below.

Table 13A (Chamois Cloth Closure Strength)

Specimen	Needle Diameter	Barbed Suture Diameter	Ratio*	Pounds to Rupture
First	0.020 inch	0.0115 inch	1.74	5.88
Second	0.032 inch	0.0115 inch	2.78	2.88

*Ratio of surgical needle diameter to barbed suture diameter.

Also, various pieces of rat skin were cut and stitched for testing of more surgical needles swaged with barbed sutures as follows.

Three freshly killed Sprague-Dawley rats, each about 600 to 700 g, were used. Two full-thickness skin incisions were made on the back of each rat to create wounds. Each wound was about 4 cm in length and parallel to the spine.

For each rat, one of the two wounds was closed with a drilled end, curved surgical needle that was a Sulzle item no. 382273A, which was 3/8 circle. The needle had a length of 18mm and a diameter of about 0.022 inch (about 0.56 mm). Also, the needle had a taper point needle tip where the needle tip had been ground to a 3-facet cut to approximate a taper cutting needle tip to facilitate penetration of rat tissue. The needle was swaged to a barbed suture.

The other of the two wounds was closed using the same suturing technique, but with a drilled end, curved surgical needle that was a Sulzle item no. 832679A, which was 3/8 circle. The needle had a length of about 18 mm and a diameter of about 0.026 inch (about 0.66 mm). Also, the needle had a diamond point needle tip. The needle was swaged to a barbed suture.

Each barbed suture for each specimen was a bi-directional, twist cut multiple spiral, polydioxanone barbed suture like suture 70 in Figure 6A, except that each barbed suture had a diameter of about 0.015 inch (about 0.381 mm, which is slightly larger than the USP requirement for a size 2-0 synthetic absorbable suture), instead of a suture diameter of about 0.018 inch (about 0.457 mm).

For each stitched wound, a tissue specimen that was approximately a square measuring about 4 cm x about 4 cm, with the stitched wound in the middle paralleling two opposing tissue edges, was retrieved for closure strength testing.

The force to open each wound was determined using a Test Resources Universal Tester, Model 200Q. For each tissue specimen, the two edges paralleling each stitched wound were mounted in the two respective serrated jaws of the tester.

Then, each specimen was pulled longitudinally at a rate of about 2 inches per minute (about 51 mm per minute) until complete rupture occurred. The maximum force encountered before complete wound disruption was recorded as the closure strength.

The results were averaged from the first set of three wounds closed with a needle having a diameter of about 0.022 inch (about 0.56 mm) and swaged to a barbed suture. Also, the results were averaged from the second set of three wounds closed with a needle having a diameter of about 0.026 inch (about 0.66 mm) and swaged to a barbed suture.

The results are summarized in Table 13B below.

Table 13B (Rat Skin Closure Strength)

Wounds Specimens	Needle Diameter	Barbed Suture Diameter	Ratio*	Average of 3 Pounds to Rupture
First set of 3	0.022 inch	0.015 inch	1.47	11.9
Second set of 3	0.026 inch	0.015 inch	1.73	8.1

*Ratio of surgical needle diameter to barbed suture diameter.

Thus, the lower the ratio of surgical needle diameter to barbed suture diameter, then the better the closure strength when suturing a wound closed with a surgical needle attached to a barbed suture. In general, the thinner the surgical needle, the better the closure strength, particularly for delicate tissues; however, for tough tissues, such as muscle and bowel, thicker needles are preferred. Thus, what is important, regardless of whether the needle is thick or thin or somewhere in the middle, is that the ratio of surgical needle diameter to barbed suture diameter should be about 3:1 or less, more preferably about 2:1 or less.

Although the present invention has been shown and described in detail with regard to only a few exemplary embodiments of the invention, it should be understood by those skilled

in the art that it is not intended to limit the invention to the specific embodiments disclosed. Various modifications, omissions, and additions may be made to the disclosed embodiments without materially departing from the novel teachings and advantages of the invention, particularly in light of the foregoing teachings. For instance, the barbed suture of the present invention can be used alone or with other closure methods, such as staples and/or skin adhesives, to aid in holding the position of the tissue. Accordingly, it is intended to cover all such modifications, omissions, additions, and equivalents as may be included within the spirit and scope of the invention as defined by the following claims.

CLAIMS

1. A barbed suture for connecting human or animal tissue, said suture comprising (a) an elongated body having a first end and a second end and (b) a plurality of barbs projecting from the body, each barb facing in a direction and being adapted for resisting movement of the suture, when in tissue, in an opposite direction from the direction in which the barb faces, the barbs having a disposition on the body selected from the group consisting of a twist cut multiple spiral disposition, an overlapping disposition, a random disposition, and combinations thereof, wherein the overlapping disposition is such that for at least two adjacent barbs, one being an overlapping barb and one being an overlapped barb, the overlapping barb has an underside and the overlapped barb has a topside where part of the underside of the overlapping barb is derived from part of the topside of the overlapped barb, and wherein the random disposition is such that the relative radial and longitudinal spacing of the barbs along the body of the suture is random, and the barbs face in random directions including at least one barb wherein the longitudinal axis of the at least one barb is not parallel to the longitudinal axis of the body of the suture.

2. The barbed suture according to claim 1, wherein the barbs are in a twist cut multiple spiral disposition, an overlapping disposition, or a combination thereof, and the barbs are all facing in a direction toward only one of the first end and the second end.

3. The barbed suture according to claims 1 or 2, wherein the barbs are in a twist cut multiple spiral disposition, an overlapping disposition, or a combination thereof, and the barbed suture has at least a first barbed portion and a second barbed portion, wherein the barbs of the first portion are facing in a direction toward only the first end and the barbs of the second portion are facing in a direction toward only the second end.

4. The barbed suture according to any one of claims 1 to 3, wherein the suture is made from a material selected from the group consisting of a bio-absorbable material, a non-absorbable material, and combinations thereof.

5. The barbed suture according to claim 4, wherein the bio-absorbable material is selected from the group consisting of polydioxanone, polylactide, polyglycolide, polycaprolactone, and combinations thereof.

6. The barbed suture according to claim 4, wherein the non-absorbable material

is selected from the group consisting of a polymer, a metal, a metal alloy, a natural fiber, and combinations thereof.

7. The barbed suture according to claim 6, wherein the polymer is selected from the group consisting of polyamide, polyester, polypropylene, polyurethane, polytetrafluoroethylene, polyether-ester, and combinations thereof.

8. The barbed suture according to any one of claims 1 to 7, wherein the barbs are in an overlapping disposition such that for at least two adjacent barbs, one being an overlapping barb and one being an overlapped barb, each of the overlapping barb and the overlapped barb having a barb cut length, and the overlapping barb and the overlapped barb having a barb cut distance between them that is less than the barb cut length of the overlapped barb,

9. The barbed suture according to claim 2, wherein the barbs are in an overlapping disposition such that for at least two adjacent barbs, one being an overlapping barb and one being an overlapped barb, each of the overlapping barb and the overlapped barb having a barb cut length, and the overlapping barb and the overlapped barb having a barb cut distance between them that is less than the barb cut length of the overlapped barb.

10. The barbed suture according to claim 3, wherein the barbs are in an overlapping disposition such that for at least two adjacent barbs, one being an overlapping barb and one being an overlapped barb, each of the overlapping barb and the overlapped barb having a barb cut length, and the overlapping barb and the overlapped barb having a barb cut distance between them, that is less than the barb cut length of the overlapped barb.

BARBED SUTURES

ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE

A barbed suture for connecting tissue, and a combination surgical needle with a barbed suture. The suture includes an elongated body and a plurality of barbs projecting from the body. Each barb causes the suture to resist movement in an opposite direction from which the barb faces. The disposition of the barbs on the body may be staggered, twist cut multiple spiral, overlapping, or random. Also, the configuration of the barbs may be a certain spirality angle α, barb cut angle θ, barb cut depth, barb cut length, barb cut distance, corrugated barb underside, arcuate barb base, or varying barb size.

Figure 1