

**PROCESSES FOR ISOLATING OR PURIFYING PROPYLENE GLYCOL,
ETHYLENE GLYCOL AND PRODUCTS PRODUCED THEREFROM**

Inventor:

5

Peter Kalagias

TECHNICAL FIELD

This invention relates to a process for separating ethylene glycol or propylene glycol from mixtures containing the ethylene glycol or the propylene glycol and other polyols using polar compounds, as well as compositions obtained therefrom.

BACKGROUND

Propylene glycol and ethylene glycol are produced from petrochemical sources. Commercial production of propylene glycol involves the hydration of propylene oxide, which is made by the oxidation of propylene. The commercial production of ethylene glycol involves the hydration of ethylene oxide, made by the oxidation of ethylene. Propylene and ethylene are industrial by-products of gasoline manufacture, for example as by-products of fluid cracking of gas oils or steam cracking of hydrocarbons.

The world's supply of petroleum is being depleted at an increasing rate. Eventually, demand for petrochemical derived products will outstrip the supply of available petroleum. When this occurs, the market price of petroleum and, consequently, petroleum derived products will likely increase, making products derived from petroleum more expensive and less desirable. As the available supply of petroleum decreases, alternative sources and, in particular, renewable sources of comparable products will necessarily have to be developed. One potential renewable source of petroleum derived products is products derived from bio-based matter, such as agricultural and forestry products. Use of bio-based products may potentially counteract, at least in part, the problems associated with depletion of the petroleum supply.

In an effort to diminish dependence on petroleum products the United States government enacted the Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002, section 9002 (7 U.S.C. 8102), hereinafter "FRISA", which requires federal agencies to purchase bio-based products for all items costing
5 over \$10,000. In response, the United States Department of Agriculture ("USDA") has developed Guidelines for Designating Bio-based Products for Federal Procurement (7 C.F.R. § 2902) to implement FRISA, including the labeling of bio-based products with a "U.S.D.A. Certified Bio-based Product" label.

10 As used herein, the term "bio-derived" refers to a product derived from or synthesized by a renewable biological feedstock, such as, for example, an agricultural, forestry, plant, bacterial, or animal feedstock. As used herein, the term "bio-based" refers to a product that includes in whole or in significant part, biological products or renewable agricultural materials
15 (including, but not limited to, plant, animal and marine materials) or forestry materials. As used herein, the term "petroleum derived" refers to a product derived from or synthesized from petroleum or a petrochemical feedstock. Propylene glycol that is produced by hydrogenolysis of a polyol, such as a carbohydrate, is referred to as bio-based propylene glycol.

20 FRISA has established certification requirements for determining bio-based content. These methods require the measurement of variations in isotopic abundance between bio-based products and petroleum derived products, for example, by liquid scintillation counting, accelerator mass spectrometry, or high precision isotope ratio mass spectrometry. Isotopic
25 ratios of the isotopes of carbon, such as the $^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$ carbon isotopic ratio or the $^{14}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$ carbon isotopic ratio, can be determined using isotope ratio mass spectrometry with a high degree of precision. Studies have shown that isotopic fractionation due to physiological processes, such as, for example, CO_2 transport within plants during photosynthesis, leads to specific isotopic
30 ratios in natural or bio-derived compounds. Petroleum and petroleum derived products have a different $^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$ carbon isotopic ratio due to different chemical processes and isotopic fractionation during the generation of petroleum. In addition, radioactive decay of the unstable ^{14}C carbon radioisotope leads to different isotope ratios in bio-based products compared

to petroleum products. Bio-based content of a product may be verified by ASTM International Radioisotope Standard Method D 6866. ASTM International Radioisotope Standard Method D 6866 determines bio-based content of a material based on the amount of bio-based carbon in the material or product as a percent of the weight (mass) of the total organic carbon in the material or product. Bio-derived and bio-based products will have a carbon isotope ratio characteristic of a biologically derived composition.

Biology offers an attractive alternative for industrial manufacturers looking to reduce or replace their reliance on petrochemicals and petroleum derived products. The replacement of petrochemicals and petroleum derived products with products and/or feed stocks derived from biological sources (i.e., bio-based products) offer many advantages. For example, products and feed stocks from biological sources are typically a renewable resource. As the supply of easily extracted petrochemicals continues to be depleted, the economics of petrochemical production will likely force the cost of the petrochemicals and petroleum derived products to higher prices compared to bio-based products. In addition, companies may benefit from the marketing advantages associated with bio-derived products from renewable resources in the view of a public becoming more concerned with the supply of petrochemicals.

A number of commercial processes which produce polyols from complex mixtures of carbohydrates exist. These processes usually produce a homologous series of glycols. Some of the resulting polyols boil so close to one another that separation of the polyols by ordinary rectification is difficult. The relative volatility is so low that a large number of theoretical plates are required to produce high purity polyols.

In a process involving hydrocracking of higher carbohydrates, such as glucose, sorbitol or sucrose, the molecule is broken into fragments of lower molecular weight to form compounds which belong to the glycol or polyol family. For instance, US Patent 5,206,927 describes a homogeneous process for hydrocracking carbohydrates in the presence of soluble, transition metal catalyst with the production of lower polyhydric alcohols. A carbohydrate is contacted with hydrogen in the presence of a soluble transition metal catalyst and a strong base at a temperature of from about

25°C to about 200°C and a pressure of from about 15 to about 3000 psi (about 1 to about 207 bar). However, as is evident from Tables II and III in the disclosure of US 5,206,927, about 2-7% of other polyol compounds are produced in the hydrocracking process.

5 US Patents 5,276,181 and 5,214,219 describe a process of hydrogenolysis of glycerol using copper and zinc catalyst in addition to sulfided ruthenium catalyst at a pressure over 2100 psi (about 145 bar) and temperature between 240-270°C. US Patent 5,616,817 describes a process of preparing 1,2 propanediol by catalytic hydrogenolysis of glycerol at
10 elevated temperature and pressure using a catalyst comprising the metals cobalt, copper, manganese and molybdenum. German Patent DE 541362 describes the hydrogenolysis of glycerol with a Nickel catalyst, while US Patent 4,476,331 describes a two stage method of hydrocracking carbohydrates (for example glucose), wherein a modified ruthenium catalyst is
15 used for hydrocracking sorbitol to produce glycerol derivatives. European Patent Applications EP-A-0523 014 and EP-A-0 415 202 describe a process for preparing lower polyhydric alcohols by catalytic hydrocracking of aqueous sucrose solutions at elevated temperature and pressure using a catalyst whose active material comprises the metals cobalt, copper and manganese.
20 Persoa & Tundo (Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 2005, 8535-8537) describe a process for converting glycerol to 1,2-propanediol by heating under low hydrogen pressure in presence of Raney nickel and a liquid phosphonium salt. Selectivities toward 1,2-Propanediol as high as 93% were reported, but required using a pure glycerol and long reaction times (20 hrs). Crabtree et al.
25 (Hydrocarbon processing Feb 2006 pp 87-92) describe a phosphine/ precious metal salt catalyst that permit a homogenous catalyst system for converting glycerol into 1,2-PD. However, low selectivity (20-30%) was reported. Other reports indicate use of Raney Copper (Montassier et al. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 2 1989 148; Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal. 41 1988 165), copper on carbon (Montassier et al. J. Appl. Catal. A 121 1995 231)), copper-platinum and copper ruthenium
30 (Montassier et al. J. Mol. Catal. 70 1991 65). Other homogenous catalyst systems such as tungsten and Group VIII metal-containing catalyst compositions have been also tried (US 4,642,394). Miyazawa et al. (J. Catal. 240 2006 213-221) & Kusunoki et al (Catal. Comm. 62005 645-649) describe

a Ru/C and ion exchange resin for conversion of glycerol in aqueous solution. Again their process however, results in low conversions of glycerol (0.9-12.9 %).

One of the problems of producing glycerol derivatives by hydrogenolysis of glycerol is that other diol compounds are formed which reduce the purity of the desired component. For instance, in hydrocracking of higher carbohydrates such as, for example, sorbitol to produce propylene glycol, typically 3-5% by weight of 2,3-butanediol is produced in addition to 1,2 butanediol, ethylene glycol and 1,3-butanediol. These products are referred to as "polyols" or "polyhydric alcohols". The boiling points of these components as shown in Table 1 are very close to one another such that in a rectification column, either under atmospheric, reduced pressure or at an elevated pressure, the separation of substantially pure propylene glycol from these other polyhydric alcohols is difficult to be attained.

Table 1: Polyols Produced by Hydrocracking of Sorbitol.

<u>Polyol</u>	<u>Weight Percent</u>	<u>Boiling Point, °C.</u>
2,3-Butanediol	3.5	182
Propylene glycol	16.5	187
1,2-Butanediol	2.0	192
Ethylene glycol	25.2	198
1,3-Butanediol	2.7	206
2,3-Hexanediol	—	206
1,2-Pentanediol	—	210
1,4-Pentanediol	—	220
1,4-Butanediol	2.1	230
1,5-Pentanediol	0.1	242
Diethylene glycol	2.2	245
1,6-Hexanediol	—	250
Triethylene glycol	2.1	285
Glycerin	38.8	290
1,2,4-Butanetriol	4.8	190/18 mm
	100.00	

The differences in volatility of propylene glycol compared to 2,3-butanediol or 1,2 butanediol are very small. As shown in Tables 2 and 3, the number of plates required to achieve 99% purity is very large, requiring
 5 the use of very tall distillation columns (55 trays for 2,3-Butanediol and 88 trays for 1,2-Butanediol) and high energy inputs.

Table 2: Theoretical and Actual Plates Required vs. Relative volatility for Separation of Propylene Glycol and 2,3-Butanediol.

10

<u>Relative Volatility</u>	<u>Theoretical Plates</u>	<u>Actual Plates, 75% Efficiency</u>
1.25	41	55
1.35	31	42
1.45	25	34
1.50	23	31
1.70	18	24

Table 3: Theoretical and Actual Plates Required vs. Relative Volatility for Separation of Propylene Glycol and 1,2-Butanediol.

<u>Relative Volatility</u>	<u>Theoretical Plates</u>	<u>Actual Plates, 75% Efficiency</u>
1.15	66	88
1.5	23	31
2.0	14	19
3.0	9	12
3.5	8	11

15

Several reports in the literature describe efforts for azeotropically separating glycerol derivatives such as 2,3 butanediol from propylene glycol. For instance, US Patent 4,935,102 describes a method for using an azeotrope forming agent such as propylene glycol isobutyl ether, tetrahydro furfuryl alcohol, N,N-dimethylacetamide, ethylene glycol diethyl
 20 ether, diethylene glycol diethyl ether, 2-methoxyethyl ether, ethylene glycol n-butyl ether, diacetone alcohol and ethyl n-butyl ketone. In US Patent 5,423,955, the azeotrope forming agent consists of a material selected from

the group consisting of toluene, ethyl benzene, o-xylene, p-xylene, cumene, m-diisopropyl benzene, m-diethyl benzene, mesitylene, p-cymene, hexane, cyclohexane, methyl cyclohexane, heptane, 3-methyl pentane, octane, decane, 2,3,4-trimethyl pentane, dipentene, decalin, dicyclopentadiene, alpha-phellandrene, limonene, hemimellitene, myrcene, terpinolene, p-mentha-1,5-diene, beta-pinene, 3-carene, 1-heptene, cyclopentane, pentane, o-diethyl benzene, 2,2-dimethyl butane and 2-methyl butane. The azeotrope forming agents described in US Patents 4,935,102 and 5,423,955 may be characterized by their Hansen solubility parameters (Table 4).

10

Table 4. Azeotropic Agents Used for Separation of 2,3-Butanediol from Propylene Glycol (U. S. Patent 4,935,102).

<u>Azeotropic agent</u>	<u>Hansen p</u>	<u>Hansen h</u>
Propylene glycol isobutyl ether	5.42	12.52
Tetrahydrofurfuryl alcohol	10.46	10.96
N, N-dimethylacetamide	11.47	10.23
Toluene	0.75	1.98
Ethyl benzene	0.65	1.85
p-Xylene	0.91	1.84
m-Xylene	0.91	1.84
o-Xylene	0.91	1.84
Cumene	0.58	1.74
Mesitylene	0.98	1.7
Ethylene glycol diethyl ether	9.19	14.3
Diethylene glycol diethyl ether	9.22	12.33
2-Methoxyethyl ether	1.81	7.41
Ethylene glycol-n-butyl ether	5.13	12.27
Diacetone alcohol	8.17	10.76
3-heptanone	5.28	3.93

15

Azeotropic distillation using organic solvents as an azeotropic agent has also proven useful for azeotropically separating ethylene glycol from 1,2 butanediol (Table 5).

Table 5. Azeotropic Agents Used for Separation of 1,2-Butanediol from Ethylene Glycol (U. S. 5,432,955).

<u>Azeotropic agent</u>	<u>Hansen p</u>	<u>Hansen h</u>
3-Heptanone	5.28	3.93
Cyclohexanone	3.13	5.08
Diisobutyl ketone	4.9	3.79
Methyl isoamyl ketone	6.03	4.2
Isobutyl heptyl ketone	3.76	3.31
2-Methoxyethyl ether	1.81	7.41
2,6-Dimethyl-4-heptanone	4.90	3.79
p-Xylene	0.91	1.84
m-Xylene	0.91	1.84
o-Xylene	0.91	1.84
Ethyl benzene	0.65	1.85
Cumene	0.58	1.74
Mesitylene	0.98	1.7

5

The azeotropic agents used in US Patents 4,935,102 and 5,432,955 can be described by Hansen solubility parameters, which are described in detail in "Hansen Solubility Parameters: A User's Handbook," by Charles M. Hansen (CRC Press, 1999), which is incorporated by reference in its entirety. Hansen solubility parameters can be calculated using the program "Molecular Modeling Pro Plus (version 6.0.6, Norgwyn Montgomery Software Inc, available from ChemSW, Inc) based on values published in the "Handbook of Solubility Parameters and Other Parameters" by Allen F. M. Barton (CRC Press, 1983) for solvents obtained experimentally by Hansen.

10 The Hansen "h" (hydrogen bonding) values at 25°C and Hansen "p" (polarity) values °C listed in Tables 4 and 5 were calculated in this manner.

15

Thus, a need exists for an economical process of separating polyethylene glycol and/or ethylene glycol from other polyhydric alcohols.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

In one embodiment, a process for isolating or purifying bio-based propylene glycol, bio-based ethylene glycol or a combination thereof comprises placing the bio-based propylene glycol, the bio-based ethylene glycol or the combination thereof, and a polar solvent in an apparatus. The
5 bio-based propylene glycol, the bio-based ethylene glycol or the combination thereof, and the polar solvent are distilled in the apparatus. The process further includes collecting the bio-based propylene glycol, the bio-based ethylene glycol or the combination thereof.

10 In another embodiment, an isolated or purified bio-based propylene glycol, an isolated or purified biobased ethylene glycol, or a combination thereof has less than 0.2 weight percent of 1,2-butanediol, 2,3-butanediol or a combination thereof.

In yet another embodiment, a system for removing butanediols
15 from bio-based propylene glycol, bio-based ethylene glycol or a combination thereof includes a first conduit comprising the bio-based propylene glycol, the bio-based ethylene glycol, or the combination thereof. The system further comprises an apparatus configured for distilling a mixture the bio-based propylene glycol, the bio-based ethylene glycol or the combination thereof,
20 and the butanediols. The system also includes a second conduit comprising an isolated or purified bio-based propylene glycol, an isolated or purified bio-based ethylene glycol or a combination thereof, and a third conduit comprising the butanediols.

25 DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 illustrates the conditions of one embodiment of a process of the present invention, as well as the results obtained therefrom.

Figure 2 depicts the conditions of another embodiment of a process of the present invention, as well as the results obtained therefrom.

30 Figure 3 presents the conditions of an additional embodiment of a process of the present invention, as well as the results obtained therefrom.

Figure 4 illustrates the conditions of a further embodiment of a process of the present invention, as well as the results obtained therefrom.

Figure 5 describes the conditions of one embodiment of a process of the present invention, as well as the results obtained therefrom.

Figure 6 depicts a graph indicating that 1,2-butanediol will form a high boiling azeotrope with ethylene glycol in one embodiment of the present invention.

Figure 7 depicts the conditions of yet another embodiment of a process of the present invention, as well as the results obtained therefrom.

Figure 8 illustrates a graph showing the lack of an azeotrope between water and 2,3-butanediol in one embodiment of the present invention.

Figure 9 depicts a graph indicating the lack of an azeotrope between methanol and 2,3-butanediol in one embodiment of the present invention.

Figure 10 illustrates a graph showing the lack of an azeotrope between ethanol and 2,3-butanediol in one embodiment of the present invention.

Figure 11 depicts a graph indicating the lack of an azeotrope between n-propanol and 2,3-butanediol in one embodiment of the present invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

In each of its various embodiments, the present invention fulfills this need. In one embodiment, this invention describes a method to improve the relative volatility of propylene glycol over other polyols without the use of an azeotrope forming agent, which are typically organic compounds, and are difficult to process and handle due to environmental regulations. In one embodiment, this invention describes the use of polar solvents that increase the separation efficiency of propylene glycol and/or ethylene glycol from other polyols. Polar solvents that may be used include, but are not limited to, a primary alcohol which is also produced by some of the hydrocracking routes employed to produce such polyols or some of the hydrogenolysis routes employed to convert glycerol into polyols. With the aid of such polar solvents, the undesirable species that reduce the purity of propylene glycol may be effectively removed.

In another embodiment, the present disclosure teaches a method where purified propylene glycol or purified ethylene glycol may be obtained from a mixture containing propylene glycol, ethylene glycol and butanediols, such as a mixture obtained by the hydrocracking or hydrogenolysis of a carbohydrate or polyol, such as glycerol. In one embodiment, a compound which does not form an azeotrope is mixed with the product of a hydrocracking or hydrogenolysis reaction and extractive distillation is carried out. Extractive distillation is defined by Wikipedia (access date Oct 20, 2006 at http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Extractive_Distillation) as follows:

Extractive distillation includes a distillation in the presence of a miscible, high boiling, relatively non-volatile component, i.e., the solvent, that forms no azeotrope with the other components in the mixture. Extractive distillation is used for mixtures having a low value of relative volatility, nearing unity. Mixtures having a low relative volatility cannot be separated by simple distillation because the volatility of both the components in the mixture is nearly the same causing the components to evaporate at nearly the same temperature to a similar extent, thus reducing the chances of separating the components by condensation.

The method of extractive distillation uses a polar solvent, which is generally nonvolatile, has a high boiling point and is miscible with the mixture, but does not form an azeotropic mixture. The polar solvent interacts differently with the components of the mixture and causes the relative volatilities of the components to change, thus enabling the mixture to be separated through distillation. In such distillation, the component with the greater volatility separates out as the top product. The bottom product includes the lower volatility product. The polar solvent can be separated from the fraction in which the polar solvent is present because the polar solvent does not form an azeotrope. The polar solvent can be separated from such fraction by any of the methods available in the art including, without limitation, a secondary distillation or membrane separation.

In various embodiments, extractive distillation agents (i.e., polar solvents) useful in the present disclosure have Hansen polarity (P) values of greater than about 12 ($\delta/\sqrt{\text{MPa}}$) and Hansen hydrogen

bonding (H) values of greater than about 15 ($\delta/\text{sqr}(\text{MPa})$). The Hansen P and H values, respectively, for the following distillation agents are: water, 16 and 42.3 ($\delta/\text{sqr}(\text{MPa})$), respectively; for ethanol, 8.8 and 19.4; for methanol, 12.29 and 22.31 for methanol. Thus, the polar solvents which may
5 be used have a Hansen P value in excess of 12 ($\delta/\text{sqr}(\text{MPa})$) and a Hansen H value in excess of 15 ($\delta/\text{sqr}(\text{MPa})$). In another embodiment, as ethanol is widely regarded as a polar solvent, polar solvents that may be used comprise compounds having either a Hansen P value in excess of 12 ($\delta/\text{sqr}(\text{MPa})$) or a Hansen H value in excess of 15 ($\delta/\text{sqr}(\text{MPa})$),
10 wherein the ratio of P value to H value is less than 0.5.

In one embodiment, a method of using polar solvents such as alcohols that do not act as an azeotrope forming agent and aid in the removal of 1,2-butanediol and 2,3-butanediol from propylene glycol and/or ethylene glycol are described. In another embodiment, a polar solvent such as water
15 may be used.

The bio-based propylene glycol, the bio-based ethylene glycol or the combination thereof obtained by the processes of the present invention may be subjected to further purification or isolation techniques in order to get a purity of at least 95%. In a further embodiment, the purity may be obtained
20 to at least 99.5% or even 99.7% depending on the desired use of the bio-based propylene glycol, the bio-based ethylene glycol or the combination thereof.

Propylene glycol produced by the embodiments of this process is referred to as "bio-based" propylene glycol. Propylene glycol produced as
25 such finds many uses. Some of these include, without limitation, use as a solvent for aromatics in the flavor-concentrate industry; a wetting agent for natural gums; an ingredient in the compounding of citrus and other emulsified flavors; a solvent in elixirs and pharmaceutical preparations; a solvent and coupling agent in the formulation of sunscreen lotion shampoos, shaving
30 creams and other similar products; an emulsifier in cosmetic and pharmaceutical creams; an ingredient for low-temperature heat-transfer fluids, involving indirect food contacts, such as brewing and dairy uses, as well as refrigerated grocery display cases; a humectant, preservative, and stabilizer in semi-moist pet food (with the exception of cat food), bakery goods, food

flavorings and salad dressings; use as a dust suppression agent; solvents and compatibilizers for the many dyes, resins and inks used in modern high-speed printing presses; surface lubricant in metal part manufacture; as a raw material for dipropylene glycol phthalate; a plasticizer for polyvinyl chloride (PVC) resins; for use in the natural gas processing industry; and to provide freeze-thaw protection in various wax products to help prevent damaged caused by freezing. Propylene glycol is also used as the starting material for the synthesis of propylene glycol esters with sorbitol and/or fatty acids. Such uses are not limited or all inclusive and may be readily developed by those skilled in the art.

Various embodiments of the present disclosure relate to a bio-based replacement for propylene glycol and ethylene glycol derived from petrochemical sources. In particular, bio-based propylene glycol and bio-based ethylene glycol can be produced by hydrogenolysis of polyols derived from biological sources (i.e., bio-derived). Various applications for the bio-based hydrogenolysis product mixture are also disclosed. The product mixture from the hydrogenolysis of bio-derived polyols and the products produced therefrom may be differentiated from petroleum derived products, for example, by their carbon isotope ratios using ASTM International Radioisotope Standard Method D 6866. Products produced and present in the product mixture of the hydrogenolysis product from a bio-derived polyol feedstock may have a bio-based carbon isotope ratio ranging from 50% to 100. As used herein the term "bio-based carbon isotope ratio" includes a composition or a component of a composition having a carbon isotope ratio, as determined, for example, by ASTM International Radioisotope Standard Method D 6866, the disclosure of which is incorporated by reference herein in its entirety, that is indicative of a composition including, in whole or in significant part, of biological products or renewable agricultural materials (including plant, animal and marine materials) or forestry materials (Method ASTM 6866).

Other than in the operating examples, or where otherwise indicated, all numbers expressing quantities of ingredients, reaction conditions and the like used in the specification and claims are to be understood as being modified in all instances by the term "about". Accordingly, unless indicated to the contrary, the numerical parameters set forth in the following

specification and attached claims are approximations that may vary depending upon the desired properties sought to be obtained. At the very least, and not as an attempt to limit the application of the doctrine of equivalents to the scope of the claims, each numerical parameter should at least be construed in light of the number of reported significant digits and by applying ordinary rounding techniques.

Notwithstanding that the numerical ranges and parameters setting forth the broad scope of the invention are approximations, the numerical values set forth in the specific examples are reported as precisely as possible. Any numerical values, however, may contain certain errors necessarily resulting from the standard deviation found in their respective testing measurements.

Also, it should be understood that any numerical range recited herein is intended to include all sub-ranges subsumed therein. For example, a range of "1 to 10" is intended to include all sub-ranges between (and including) the recited minimum value of 1 and the recited maximum value of 10, that is, having a minimum value equal to or greater than 1 and a maximum value of equal to or less than 10.

It is understood, however, that the invention embraces numerous embodiments, which may be accomplished by combining any of the different features and aspects described herein any combination that one of ordinary skill in the art would find useful.

EXAMPLES

EXAMPLE 1.

A series of studies were conducted in a 2000ml high-pressure Stainless Steel 316 reactor. A solid catalyst similar to the "G" catalyst disclosed in US 6,479,713 or the "HC-1" catalyst available from Süd Chemie (Louisville, KY) was loaded in the reactor to a final volume of 1000 ml of catalyst. The reactor was jacketed with a hot oil bath to provide for the elevated temperature for reactions and the feed and hydrogen lines were also preheated to the reactor temperature. A solution of a bio-based, substantially pure, 40% USP grade glycerol was fed through the catalyst bed at LHSV ranging from 0.5hr^{-1} to 2.5hr^{-1} . Hydrogen was supplied at 1200-1600 psi

(about 83-110 bar) and was also re-circulated through the reactor at a hydrogen to glycerol feed molar ratio of 5:1. In other embodiments, the hydrogen to glycerol feed molar ratio may be between 1:1 to 10:1. Tables 5A and 5B in FIGS. 2A and 2B describe the results with hydrogenolysis of 40% USP grade glycerol feed. Between 47.7-96.4% of the glycerol was converted and between 36.3-55.4% of propylene glycol was produced. In addition to propylene glycol, the hydrogenolysis reaction produced 0.04-2.31% unwanted BDOs, which may present a problem for recovery of pure propylene glycol (Table 6). The BDOs were measured using a known gas chromatography analysis method.

Table 6. Hydrogenolysis of 40% USP Glycerol Feed Using a Solid Phase Catalyst.

Run	Temperature, °C			H ₂ Press.	NaOH	-	Con- version	PG Yield	PG Selec- tivity	Butanediol's (g/100g)		
#	Top	Mid	Bot- tom	psi (bar)	(%) w/w	LHSV	(%)	(%)	(%)	1-2 BDO	1-3 BDO	2-3 BDO
248	183	191	199	1600 (110)	1	2.3	47.7	36.3	92.1	0	0	0.04
249	184	191	199	1600 (110)	1	1.8	56.7	42.4	90.8	0	0	0.05
250	185	193	199	1600 (110)	1	1.5	63.3	47.3	90.7	0.02	0	0.09
205	178	190	198	1200 (83)	1.2	1.8	50	38	94	0.03	0	0.16
257	184	195	206	1600 (110)	1	1.8	59.2	45.3	92.6	0	0.02	0.05
264	178	190	196	1600 (110)	1.9	1.6	59.3	44.3	90.3	0	0.01	0.05
261	184	194	200	1600 (110)	1	1.5	59.4	44.4	90.4	0	0	0.05
242	185	194	205	1600 (110)	0.7	1.8	65.2	33.2	92.2	0.05	0	0.26
199	154	177	194	1200 (83)	1.2	1.8	67	47.9	86.6	0.07	0	0.38
262	183	196	202	1600 (110)	1.5	1.5	67.2	49.8	89.6	0	0	0.08
263	181	193	199	1600 (110)	1.9	1.6	68.8	50.6	89.1	0	0.01	0.1
180	178	191	202	1200 (83)	1.1	1.0	76.7	51	80.7	0.1	0	0.57
256	189	206	217	1600 (110)	0.8	1.8	77.1	55.9	87.6	0	0.04	0.17
254	193	211	223	1600 (110)	1	1.8	81.2	60.8	90.6	0	0.06	0.29
255	191	209	221	1600 (110)	0.8	1.8	86.2	52.4	73.6	0	0.06	0.29
228	193	228	229	1600 (110)	1.4	1.8	93.1	64	83.2	0.14	0	0.69
240	188	212	226	1600 (110)	1.3	1.8	93	63.1	82.2	0.19	0	1.05
164	183	203	207	1200 (83)	1.1	1	94.6	68.6	87.7	0.12	0	0.61
166	188	211	216	1200 (83)	1.5	1	95.4	47.7	60.7	0.12	0	0.81
191	165	205	227	1200 (83)	1.6	1.8	96.4	55.4	69.6	0.23	0	1.65

5

The exemplary embodiments of the processes of Examples 2-7 were modeled using the ASPEN Plus™ software version 12.0, available from Aspen Technologies, Inc. (Cambridge, MA).

EXAMPLE 2.

Extractive distillation of a feed mixture of propylene glycol, ethylene glycol, 1,2-butanediol, 2,3-butanediol and trace amounts of water to be distilled in a stainless steel structured packed column under reflux conditions was simulated using *ASPEN Plus*[™] software version 12.0, available from *Aspen Technologies, Inc.* (Cambridge, MA). In these Examples, the components and amounts of the feed mixture that were used are representative of a product produced that may be produced by the hydrogenolysis process of Example 1. However, it will be apparent by those of ordinary skill in the art that the processes and conditions described herein may be varied and optimized depending on the feed stock used. As shown in Figure 1, overheads were predicted to contain 0.758 mole fraction of propylene glycol and 0.125 mole fraction of 2,3-Butanediol. A loss of propylene glycol in the overhead fraction with 2,3-butanediol was predicted by the model.

Figure 1 also illustrates one embodiment of a system for removing butanediols from propylene glycol, ethylene glycol or a combination thereof of the present invention. A conduit 10 transports the propylene glycol, the ethylene glycol or the combination thereof to an apparatus 12 configured to distill a mixture of the propylene glycol, the ethylene glycol or the combination thereof, and the butanediols. A conduit 16 transports the butanediols that have been removed from the mixture. The conduit 16 is in fluid communication with the apparatus 12. Another conduit 14 transports the isolated or purified propylene glycol, ethylene glycol or combination thereof away from the apparatus 12.

EXAMPLE 3.

Extractive distillation of a feed mixture of propylene glycol, ethylene glycol, 1,2-Butanediol, 2,3-Butanediol and trace amounts of water mixed with the polar solvent, water, to form a solution containing approximately 10% water to be distilled in a stainless steel structured packed column under reflux conditions was simulated substantially the same as described in example 2. As shown in Figure 2, overheads were predicted to contain 0.092 mole fraction of propylene glycol and 0.15 mole fraction of 2,3-

Butanediol. Addition of the polar solvent (i.e., water) was expected to reduce the overhead loss of propylene glycol from 0.758 mole fraction (example 2) to 0.092 mole fraction. The still pot bottoms (undistilled residue) were predicted to contain propylene glycol, 1,2-butanediol and ethylene glycol recovered with trace amounts of water, and 2,3-butanediol. Figure 8 shows the lack of an azeotrope between water and 2,3-butanediol.

EXAMPLE 4.

Extractive distillation of a feed mixture of propylene glycol, ethylene glycol, 1,2-butanediol, 2,3-butanediol and trace amounts of water mixed with the polar solvent, methanol, to form a solution containing approximately 5% methanol to be distilled in a stainless steel structured packed column under reflux conditions was simulated substantially as described in example 2. As shown in Figure 3, overheads were predicted to contain 0.117 mole fraction of propylene glycol and 0.124 mole fraction of 2,3-Butanediol. Hence addition of a polar solvent like water was expected to reduce the overhead loss of propylene glycol from 0.758 mole fraction (example 2) to 0.117 mole fractions. The still pot bottoms (undistilled residue) were predicted to contain propylene glycol, 1,2-butanediol and ethylene glycol recovered with trace amounts of water, and 2,3-butanediol. Figure 9 shows the lack of an azeotrope between methanol and 2,3-butanediol (2,3-B-01).

EXAMPLE 5.

Extractive distillation of a feed mixture of propylene glycol, ethylene glycol, 1,2-butanediol, 2,3-butanediol and trace amounts of water mixed with the polar solvent, ethanol, to form a solution containing approximately 3.2% ethanol to be distilled in a stainless steel structured packed column under reflux conditions was simulated substantially as described in example 2. As shown in Figure 4, the simulation predicted that the overheads would contain 0.134 mole fraction of propylene glycol and 0.108 mole fraction of 2,3-Butanediol. Hence, addition of a polar solvent like water is expected to reduce the overhead loss of propylene glycol from 0.758 mole fraction (example 2) to 0.134 mole fractions. The still pot bottoms (undistilled residue) are expected to contain propylene glycol, 1,2-butanediol

and ethylene glycol recovered with trace amounts of water, and 2,3-Butanediol. Figure 10 shows the lack of an azeotrope between ethanol and 2,3-butanediol (2,3-B-01).

5 EXAMPLE 6.

Extractive distillation of a mixture of propylene glycol, ethylene glycol, 1,2-butanediol, 2,3-butanediol and trace amounts of water mixed with the polar solvent, n-propanol, to form a solution containing approximately 3.01% n-propanol to be distilled in a stainless steel structured packed column under reflux conditions was simulated substantially as described in example 2. As shown in Figure 5, the simulation predicted that the overheads would contain 0.146 mole fraction of propylene glycol and 0.096 mole fractions of 2,3-butanediol. Hence addition of the polar solvent, water, is expected to reduce the overhead loss of propylene glycol from 0.758 mole fraction (example 2) to 0.146 mole fractions. The simulation predicted that the still pot bottoms (undistilled residue) were propylene glycol, 1,2-butanediol and ethylene glycol recovered with trace amounts of water, and 2,3-butanediol. Figure 11 shows the lack of an azeotrope between n-propanol and 2,3-butanediol (2,3-B-01).

20

EXAMPLE 7.

Extractive distillation of a mixture of propylene glycol, ethylene glycol, 1,2-butanediol, 2,3-butanediol and trace amounts of water mixed with the polar solvent, xylene, to form a solution containing approximately 1.79% xylene to be distilled in a stainless steel structured packed column under reflux conditions was simulated substantially as described in example 2. The model predicted that 1,2-butanediol will form a high boiling azeotrope with ethylene glycol (Figure 6). As shown in Figure 7, the model predicted that the distillation overheads would contain 0.171 mole fraction of propylene glycol and 0.071 mole fraction of 2,3-butanediol. Hence addition of xylene and the resulting azeotrope was predicted to reduce the overhead loss of propylene glycol from 0.758 mole fraction (example 2) to 0.171 mole fractions. The model predicted that the still pot bottoms (undistilled residue) would include propylene glycol, 1,2-butanediol and ethylene glycol recovered with trace

30

amounts of water, and 2,3-butanediol. The overheads also contained 0.727 moles of xylene that would need to be recovered from 2,3-butanediol in order to be recycled.

5 EXAMPLE 8.

A mixture is obtained by hydrogenolysis of a carbohydrate. The mixture comprising propylene glycol, ethylene glycol, 1,2-butanediol, 2,3-butanediol and trace amounts of water is mixed with water to form a solution containing approximately 10% water. The mixture is extractively distilled in a stainless steel structured packed column under reflux conditions. It is expected that the overheads will contain about 0.092 mole fraction of propylene glycol and about 0.15 mole fraction of 2,3-butanediol, as shown in Figure 2. Hence addition of the polar solvent, water, is expected to reduce the overhead loss of propylene glycol from 0.758 mole fraction (example 2) to 0.092 mole fractions. The still pot bottoms (undistilled residue) would be expected to include propylene glycol, 1,2-butanediol and ethylene glycol that is recovered with trace amounts of water, and 2,3-butanediol. Figure 8 shows the lack of an azeotrope between water and 2,3-butanediol.

20 EXAMPLE 9.

A mixture of propylene glycol, ethylene glycol, 1,2-butanediol, 2,3-butanediol and trace amounts of water is mixed with methanol to form a solution containing approximately 5% methanol. The mixture is extractively distilled in a stainless steel structured packed column under reflux conditions. It is expected that the overheads will contain about 0.117 mole fraction of propylene glycol and about 0.124 mole fraction of 2,3-Butanediol, as shown in Figure 3. Hence addition of a polar solvent like water is expected to reduce the overhead loss of propylene glycol from 0.758 mole fraction (example 2) to 0.117 mole fractions. The still pot bottoms (undistilled residue) would be expected to include propylene glycol, 1,2-butanediol and ethylene glycol that is recovered with trace amounts of water, and 2,3-butanediol. Figure 9 shows the lack of an azeotrope between methanol and 2,3-butanediol (2,3-B-01).

EXAMPLE 10.

A mixture of propylene glycol, ethylene glycol, 1,2-butanediol, 2,3-butanediol and trace amounts of water is mixed with n-propanol to form a solution containing approximately 3.01% n-propanol. The solution is
5 extractively distilled in a stainless steel structured packed column under reflux conditions. It is expected that the overheads will contain about 0.146 mole fraction of propylene glycol and about 0.096 mole fraction of 2,3-butanediol, as shown in Figure 5. Hence addition of a polar solvent like water is expected to reduce the overhead loss of propylene glycol from 0.758 mole fraction
10 (example 2) to 0.146 mole fractions. The still pot bottoms (undistilled residue) would be expected to include propylene glycol, 1,2 Butanediol and Ethylene Glycol recovered with trace amounts of water, and 2,3-Butanediol. Figure 11 shows the lack of an azeotrope between n-propanol and 2,3-butanediol (2,3-B-01).

15

EXAMPLE 11.

A mixture of propylene glycol, ethylene glycol, 1,2-butanediol, 2,3-butanediol and trace amounts of water is mixed with xylene to form a solution containing approximately 1.79% xylene. The mixture is azeotropically
20 distilled in a stainless steel structured packed column under reflux conditions. 1,2-Butanediol is expected to form a high boiling azeotrope with ethylene glycol (Figure 6). It is expected that the distillation overheads will contain about 0.171 mole fraction of propylene glycol and about 0.071 mole fractions of 2,3-butanediol, as shown in Figure 7. Hence addition of xylene and the
25 resulting azeotrope is expected to reduce the overhead loss of propylene glycol from 0.758 mole fraction (example 2) to 0.171 mole fractions. The still pot bottoms (undistilled residue) would be expected to include propylene glycol, 1,2-butanediol and ethylene glycol recovered with trace amounts of water, and 2,3-butanediol. The overheads would be expected to contain 0.727
30 moles of xylene that would need to be recovered from 2,3-butanediol in order to be recycled.

The present invention has been described with reference to certain exemplary embodiments, compositions and uses thereof. However, it will be recognized by those of ordinary skill in the art that various substitutions, modifications or combinations of any of the exemplary embodiments may be
5 made without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention. Thus, the invention is not limited by the description of the exemplary embodiment, but rather by the appended claims as originally filed.

CLAIMS

What is claimed is:

1. A process for isolating or purifying bio-based propylene glycol, bio-based ethylene glycol or a combination thereof, the process comprising:
placing the bio-based propylene glycol, the bio-based ethylene glycol or the combination thereof, and a polar solvent in an apparatus;
distilling the bio-based propylene glycol, the bio-based ethylene glycol or the combination thereof, and the polar solvent in the apparatus; and
collecting the bio-based propylene glycol, the bio-based ethylene glycol or the combination thereof.
2. The process of claim 1, wherein the polar solvent is selected from the group consisting of methanol, ethanol, n-propanol, n-butanol, iso-butanol, amyl alcohol, water, acetone, lactic acid, acetic acid, butyric acid, gluconic acid, sulfuric acid, hydrochloric acid, and any combinations thereof.
3. The process of claim 1, further comprising subjecting the collected bio-based propylene glycol, the bio-based ethylene glycol or the combination thereof to a further purification or isolation process.
4. The process of claim 1, wherein the polar solvent has; a Hansen P solubility parameter greater than 12, a Hansen H solubility parameter greater than 15, a ratio of the Hansen P solubility parameter to the Hansen H solubility parameter of less than 0.5, or any combination thereof.
5. The process of claim 1, further comprising measuring a content of butanediols in the bio-based propylene glycol, the bio-based ethylene glycol or the combination thereof.
6. The process of claim 1, further comprising producing the bio-based propylene glycol, the bio-based ethylene glycol or the combination thereof from bio-based glycerol.

7. The process of claim 1, wherein the distilling is performed at conditions such that a molar fraction of the collected bio-based propylene glycol, the collected bio-based ethylene glycol or the combination thereof is increased as compared to the bio-based propylene glycol, the bio-based ethylene glycol or the combination thereof placed in the apparatus.

8. An isolated or purified bio-based propylene glycol, an isolated or purified biobased ethylene glycol, or a combination thereof, having less than 0.2 weight percent of 1,2-butanediol, 2,3-butanediol or a combination thereof.

9. The isolated or purified bio-based propylene glycol, the isolated or purified biobased ethylene glycol, or the combination thereof of claim 8, wherein the isolated or purified bio-based propylene glycol, the isolated or purified ethylene glycol or the combination thereof is of a bio-based glycerol origin.

10. The isolated or purified bio-based propylene glycol, the isolated or purified biobased ethylene glycol, or the combination thereof of claim 8, having less than 0.2 weight percent of the 2,3-butanediol.

11. A composition comprising the isolated or purified bio-based propylene glycol, the isolated or purified biobased ethylene glycol, or the combination thereof of claim 8.

12. The composition of claim 11, wherein the composition comprises less than 0.2% of the 2,3 butanediol.

13. An isolated or purified bio-based propylene glycol, having less than 0.2 weight percent of 1,2-butanediol, 2,3-butanediol or a combination thereof.

14. The isolated or purified bio-based propylene glycol of claim 13, having a purity of at least 95%.

15. The isolated or purified bio-based propylene glycol of claim 13, having less than 0.2 weight percent of the 2,3-butanediol.

16. The isolated or purified bio-based propylene glycol of claim 13, being of a bio-based glycerol origin.

17. A system for removing butanediols from bio-based propylene glycol, bio-based ethylene glycol or a combination thereof, comprising:
a first conduit comprising the bio-based propylene glycol, the bio-based ethylene glycol, or the combination thereof;
an apparatus configured for distilling a mixture the bio-based propylene glycol, the bio-based ethylene glycol or the combination thereof, and the butanediols;
a second conduit comprising an isolated or purified bio-based propylene glycol, an isolated or purified bio-based ethylene glycol or a combination thereof; and
a third conduit comprising the butanediols.

18. The system of claim 17, wherein the second conduit comprises a weight/weight ratio of the butanediols to the bio-based propylene glycol, the bio-based ethylene glycol or the combination thereof to 0.5% or less.

19. The system of claim 17, wherein the mixture further comprises a polar solvent.

20. The system of claim 17, wherein the third conduit further comprises the polar solvent.

21. A method for isolating propylene glycol, the method comprising:
extractively distilling a mixture comprising propylene glycol and at least one other compound in the presence of a polar solvent; and,
isolating propylene glycol having a decreased content of the at least one other compound.

22. The method according to claim 21, further comprising separating the at least one other compound from the polar solvent.

23. The method according to claim 21, wherein the polar solvent is selected from the group consisting of methanol, ethanol, n-propanol, n-butanol, iso-butanol, amyl alcohol, water, acetone, lactic acid, acetic acid, butyric acid, gluconic acid, sulfuric acid, hydrochloric acid, and any combinations thereof.

24. The method according to claim 21, wherein the extractive distillation occurs in a rectification column.

25. A method for isolating ethylene glycol, the method comprising: extractively distilling a mixture comprising ethylene glycol and at least one other compound in the presence of a polar solvent; and isolating the ethylene glycol.

26. The method according to claim 25, further comprising separating the at least one other compound from the polar solvent.

27. The method according to claim 25, wherein the polar solvent is selected from the group consisting of methanol, ethanol, n-propanol, iso-propanol, n-butanol, iso-butanol, amyl alcohol, water, acetone, lactic acid, acetic acid, butyric acid, sulfuric acid, hydrochloric acid, and any combinations thereof.

28. The method according to claim 25, wherein the extractive distillation occurs in a rectification column.

29. A method for isolating polyhydric alcohols, the method comprising: extractively distilling a mixture comprising the polyhydric alcohols, propylene glycol, ethylene glycol and at least one other compound in the presence of a polar solvent; and separating the polyhydric alcohols from the polar solvent.

30. The method according to claim 29, further comprising isolating propylene glycol.

31. The method according to claim 29, wherein the polar solvent is selected from the group consisting of methanol, ethanol, n-propanol, iso-propanol, n-butanol, iso-butanol, amyl alcohol, water, acetone, lactic acid, acetic acid, , butyric acid, sulfuric acid, hydrochloric acid, and any combinations thereof.

32. The method according to claim 29, wherein the extractive distillation occurs in a rectification column.

33. A method for purifying 2,3-butanediol from a mixture of 2,3-butanediol, propylene glycol and other polyhydric alcohols, the method comprising:

extractively distilling a mixture of 2,3-butanediol, propylene glycol and other polyhydric alcohols in a rectification column in the presence of a polar solvent selected from the group consisting of methanol, ethanol, n-propanol, iso-propanol, n-butanol, iso-butanol, amyl alcohol, water, acetone, lactic acid, acetic acid, citric acid, butyric acid, gluconic acid, sulfuric acid, hydrochloric acid and any combinations thereof;
recovering the 2,3-butanediol and the polar solvent as a distillate; and
recovering the propylene glycol as an undistilled residue.

34. A method for recovering propylene glycol from a mixture of 1,2-butanediol, propylene glycol and ethylene glycol comprising,
azotropically distilling a mixture of 1,2-butanediol, propylene glycol and ethylene glycol in a rectification column recovering the propylene glycol distillate; and
recovering the 1,2-butanediol and ethylene glycol azeotrope as an undistilled residue.

35. A method for recovering 2,3-butanediol from a mixture of 2,3-butanediol, ethylene glycol and other polyhydric alcohols, comprising, extractively distilling a mixture of 2,3-butanediol, ethylene glycol and other polyhydric alcohols in a rectification column in the presence of a polar solvent selected from the group comprising methanol, ethanol, n-propanol, iso-propanol, n-butanol, iso-butanol, amyl alcohol, water, acetone, lactic acid, acetic acid, butyric acid, sulfuric acid, hydrochloric acid and combinations of any thereof; recovering the 2,3-butanediol and the polar solvent as an overhead product; and recovering the ethylene glycol as an undistilled residue.

36. A method for recovering 1,2-butanediol from a mixture of 1,2-butanediol, ethylene glycol comprising: distilling a mixture of 1,2-butanediol, ethylene glycol in a rectification column recovering the 1,2-butanediol as an overhead product; and recovering the ethylene glycol as an undistilled residue.

37. A method for recovering polyhydric alcohols from a mixture of propylene glycol and other polyhydric alcohols comprising: extractively distilling a mixture of propylene glycol and other polyhydric alcohols in a rectification column in the presence of a polar solvent selected from the group consisting of methanol, ethanol, n-propanol, iso-propanol, n-butanol, iso-butanol, amyl alcohol, water, acetone, lactic acid, acetic acid, butyric acid, sulfuric acid hydrochloric acid, and any combinations thereof; recovering the polyhydric alcohols and the polar solvent as an overhead product; and recovering the propylene glycol as an undistilled residue.

38. A method for recovering polyhydric alcohols from a mixture of ethylene glycol and other polyhydric alcohols comprising:
extractively distilling a mixture of ethylene glycol and other polyhydric alcohols in a rectification column in the presence of a polar solvent selected from the group consisting of methanol, ethanol, n-propanol, iso-propanol, n-butanol, iso-butanol, amyl alcohol, water, acetone, lactic acid, acetic acid, butyric acid, sulfuric acid hydrochloric acid, and any combinations thereof;
recovering the polyhydric alcohols and the polar solvent as an overhead product; and
recovering the ethylene glycol as an undistilled residue.

39. The method according to any one of claims 21-38, further comprising hydrogenolysis of glycerol.

40. An apparatus configured to perform the method of any one of claims 21-39.

41. A product produced by the method of any one of claims 21-39.

42. A composition comprising propylene glycol; and
less than 0.2% by weight other polyhydric alcohols, wherein said composition is obtained from a bio-based source as determined by ASTM International Radioisotope Standard Method D 6866.

43. A composition comprising ethylene glycol; and
less than 0.2% by weight other polyhydric alcohols, wherein said composition is obtained from a bio-based source as determined by ASTM International Radioisotope Standard Method D 6866.

44. A method for isolating propylene glycol, the method comprising:
distilling a mixture comprising the propylene glycol and at least one other
compound in the presence of a polar solvent; and
isolating the propylene glycol;

45. The method according to claim 44, further comprising isolating the
at least one other compound and the polar solvent.

46. The method according to claim 44, wherein the Hansen P solubility
parameter of the polar solvent greater than 12.

47. The method according to claim 44, wherein the Hansen H solubility
parameter of the polar solvent is greater than 15.

48. The method of claim 44, wherein the polar solvent is one of
Hansen P solubility parameter greater than 12 and Hansen H solubility
parameter greater than 15 and wherein the ratio of P value to H value is less
than 0.5.

49. The method according to claim 44, wherein distilling the mixture
occurs in a rectification column.

50. A method for isolating ethylene glycol, the method comprising:
distilling a mixture comprising ethylene glycol and at least one other
compound in the presence of a polar solvent; and
isolating the ethylene glycol.

51. The method according to claim 50, further comprising isolating the
at least one other compound and the polar solvent.

52. The method according to claim 50, wherein the polar solvent is
one of Hansen "p" solubility parameter greater than 12.

53. The method according to claim 50, wherein the polar solvent is one of Hansen "h" solubility parameter greater than 15.

54. The method of claim 50, where in the polar solvent is one of Hansen P solubility parameter greater than 12 and Hansen H solubility parameter greater than 15 and wherein the ratio of P value to H value is less than 0.5.

55. The method according to claim 50, wherein distilling the mixture occurs in a rectification column.

56. A facility operatively configured to perform the method of any one of claims 21-39.

57. A product produced by the method of any one of claims 21-39.

58. A composition comprising biobased propylene glycol having a content of butanediols that is less than 0.25%.

59. The composition of claim 58, wherein the content of butanediols is less than 0.1%.

60. A deicer containing the product of claim 57, or the composition of claim 58 or 59.

61. An antifreeze containing the product of claim 57, or the composition of claim 58 or 59.

62. A resin containing the product of claim 57, or the composition of claim 58 or 59.

63. A laundry detergent containing the product of claim 57, or the composition of claim 58 or 59.

64. Use of the product of claim 57, or the composition of claim 58 or 59.

65. A composition comprising biobased propylene glycol having a content of butanediols that is less than 0.25% and other polyhydric alcohols less than 1%.

66. A composition comprising:
a bio-based propylene glycol;
less than 0.2 weight percent 1,2-Butanediol and 2,3-Butanediol; and
less than 1 weight percent other polyhydric alcohols;
wherein the bio-based nature of the bio-based propylene glycol is determined
by ASTM International Radioisotope Standard Method D 6866.

67. A composition comprising biobased ethylene glycol having a content of butanediols that is less than 0.25% and other polyhydric alcohols less than 1%.

68. A composition comprising:
a bio-based ethylene glycol;
less than 0.2 weight percent 1,2-butanediol and 2,3-butanediol and;
less than 1 weight percent other polyhydric alcohols;
wherein the bio-based nature of the bio-based ethylene glycol is determined
by ASTM International Radioisotope Standard Method D 6866.