

OB-FOLD USED AS SCAFFOLD FOR ENGINEERING NEW SPECIFIC BINDERS

The present invention pertains to the field of protein engineering. More specifically, the invention provides means for obtaining stable molecules that specifically bind to a target selected amongst a large variety of ligands families.

5 Most natural proteins are not adapted to therapeutic or even biotechnological uses. The challenge of protein engineering is to define efficient and comprehensive ways for the design of new or improved proteins with the required properties. Among targeted properties, recognition of a ligand with high specificity and affinity is of premium importance. For many applications, antibodies have been used because of their
10 extraordinary adaptability to binding very different kinds of ligands, such as proteins, peptides, nucleic acids, sugars, *etc.* However, antibodies or their derivative fragments are often difficult and expensive to produce because of their molecular complexity and/or their insufficient stability. For these reasons, alternatives to antibodies have recently been developed using scaffold proteins engineered *via* a combinatorial mutation/selection
15 approach. The aim was to maintain favorable properties of antibodies, while getting rid of their disadvantages. Successful applications of this strategy have been reported (Binz et al., 2005; Mathonet and Fastrez, 2004), the vast majority of targets being proteins and, exceptionally, small organic compounds.

The present invention aims at providing tools for engineering molecules
20 well adapted to medical or biotechnological uses, and able to bind specifically and with a high affinity to various targets, selected amongst nucleic acids, proteins, carbohydrates or any other biological molecule. To this aim, the inventors have tested the hypothesis that a particular protein structure, named oligonucleotide/oligosaccharide binding-fold (OB-fold), might be modified by randomization of the residues of its binding face, while at least partially
25 maintaining the favorable biophysical properties of the parent protein (*i.e.*, high stability and folding efficiency).

The oligonucleotide/oligosaccharide binding-fold (OB-fold), first described by Murzin (Murzin, 1993), is found in all kingdoms and was ranked as the 28th most represented fold in a survey of 20 genomes (Qian et al., 2001). This fold, a five stranded β -
30 barrel capped with an amphiphilic α -helix, appears suitable for a wide diversity of sequences. The OB-fold presents a β -sheet binding face that confers remarkable diversity in the types of compounds that can be recognized: ssDNA, dsDNA, RNA, oligosaccharides, proteins, metallic ions and catalytic substrates. A study of several OB-folds from different proteins showed that the binding core is always located at the same position of the binding face
35 (Arcus, 2002).

Sac7d has an OB-fold topology (figure 1a) (Agback et al., 1998; Gao et al., 1998; Robinson et al., 1998; Su et al., 2000). It belongs to a class of small chromosomal proteins from the hyperthermophilic archaeon *Sulfolobus acidocaldarius*. Sac7d binds double

strand DNA without any particular sequence preference, while inducing a sharp kink in the DNA (Robinson et al., 1998). It is thought to play a role in DNA helix stabilization at the high growth temperature of *Sulfolobus acidocaldarius* (optimal at 85°C). Sac7d is extremely stable. It is thermostable and unfolds with a T_m of 91°C, it maintains a native fold between pH 0 and 10, and its guanidinium hydrochloride induced denaturation occurs reversibly with a midpoint concentration of 2.8M denaturant (McCrary et al., 1996). Contrary to antibodies, its molecular organization is quite simple. Sac7d is a small monomeric protein of 66 amino acids, with one structural domain (*i.e.*, the OB-fold), and does not have a disulfide bridge (McAfee et al., 1995). Several truncated forms of Sac7d have been observed (McAfee et al., 1995). Overproduction levels up to 10-15 mg of soluble protein per liter flask culture of *Escherichia coli* can be reached (Edmondson and Shriver, 2001). Structural studies of Sac7d and its close homologue Sso7d from *Sulfolobus solfataricus* have shown that the two protein cores are superimposable and that binding to the minor groove of DNA occurs mainly *via* a twisted area formed by 16 residues (Agback et al., 1998; Gao et al., 1998; Robinson et al., 1998).

The inventors have explored the possibility to change the binding specificity of Sac7d by *in vitro* directed protein evolution, through the introduction of random mutations in a number of residues involved in the ligand binding, followed by a selection of the variants which bind to a given target. More precisely, the inventors have constructed a large library of about 3.10^{12} variants corresponding to random substitutions of 11 residues of the binding interface of Sac7d. This library has been used to select variants able to bind a defined protein target, by ribosome display. Pools of binders for three protein targets were obtained, with affinities in the hundred nanomolars range. In a second approach, the inventors extended the potential binding area up to 13 and 14 residues. These new libraries were used for selection on one of the previous targets (PulD-N), and specific binders with affinity in the picomolar range were obtained. Hence, the inventors have demonstrated that, surprisingly, a general DNA binder (Sac7d) can be evolved towards a specific protein binder having high specificity and affinity. This demonstration provides a proof of principle that binders for virtually any kind of ligand can be derived from Sac7d or other OB-fold proteins, for example by using the process described in more detail below.

A first aspect of the present invention is hence the use of an OB-fold protein, as a starting molecule for obtaining, through a combinatorial mutation/selection approach, a molecule specifically binding to a target, especially to a target to which the starting OB-fold protein does not bind, *i.e.*, to a target different from the target of the OB-fold protein used as the starting molecule. In what follows, the target of the starting OB-fold protein will be called the “native target”, whereas the target used in the selection step will be designated as the “target of interest”. In a preferred embodiment, the target of interest is of a chemical nature different from that of the native target (for examples, protein *vs.*/ nucleic acid,

and metallic ion or sugar vs./ protein). A particular aspect of the present invention is hence the use of an OB-fold protein naturally binding to a nucleic acid, as a starting molecule for obtaining, through a combinatorial mutation/selection approach, a molecule specifically binding to a target different from a nucleic acid (for example, a protein). The use of an OB-fold protein naturally binding to a protein, as a starting molecule for obtaining, through a combinatorial mutation/selection approach, a molecule specifically binding to a non-protein target (for example, a nucleic acid) is also part of the present invention.

According to the present invention, the phrase "OB-fold protein" designates any polypeptide which comprises or consists of a domain having OB-fold topology, as described by (Murzin, 1993) and (Arcus, 2002). This topology corresponds to an architecture which comprises a five-stranded β -barrel capped at one end by an amphiphilic α -helix. Referring to the CATH protein structure classification (Pearl et al., 2003), the OB-fold topology corresponds to the 2.40.50 fold family (CATH database version 3.0.0: Released May 2006). Such an OB-fold protein can be either a native protein (*i.e.*, an isolated, purified or recombinant protein having the same sequence as a natural protein), or an engineered protein (like, for example, a fragment of a native protein, or a fusion protein comprising an OB-fold domain from a first protein, and another moiety from another protein). Non-limitative examples of OB-fold proteins which can be used according to the invention are Sac7d, Sso7d, the N-terminal domain of SEB (Papageorgiou et al., 1998), the chain A of the Shiga-like toxin IIe (PDB 2bosa), the human Neutrophil Activatin Peptide-2 (NAP-2, PDB 1tvxA), the Molybdenum Binding Protein (modg) of *Azotobacter vinelandii* (PDB 1h9j), the N-terminal domain of SPE-C (Roussel et al., 1997), the B₅ subunit of *E. coli* Shiga-like toxin (Kitov et al., 2000), Cdc13 (Mitton-Fry et al., 2002), the cold-shock DNA-binding domain of the human Y-box protein YB-1 (Kloks et al., 2002), the *E. coli* inorganic pyrophosphatase EPPase (Samyгина et al., 2001), or any of the proteins listed in Table 3 of the article by (Arcus, 2002), such as 1krs (Lysyl-tRNA synthetase LysS, *E. coli*), 1c0aA (Asp- tRNA synthetase, *E. coli*), 1b8aA (Asp- tRNA synthetase, *P. kodakaraensis*), 1lylA (Lysyl-tRNA synthetase LysU, *E. coli*), 1quqA (Replication protein A, 32kDa subunit, Human), 1quqB (Replication protein A, 14kDa subunit, Human), 1jmcA (Replication protein A, 70kDa subunit (RPA70) fragment, Human), 1otc (Telomere-end-binding protein, *O. nova*), 3ullA (Mitochondrial ssDNA-binding protein, Human), 1prtF (Pertussis toxin S5 subunit, *B. pertussis*), 1bcpD (Pertussis toxin S5 subunit (ATP bound), *B. pertussis*), 3chbD (Cholera Toxin, *V. cholerae*), 1tiiD (Heat-labile toxin, *E. coli*), 2bosA (Verotoxin-1/Shiga toxin, B-pentamer, *E. coli*), 1br9 (TIMP-2, Human), 1an8 (Superantigen SPE-C, *S. pyogenes*), 3seb (Superantigen SPE, *S. aureus*), 1aw7A (Toxic shock syndrome toxin, *S. aureus*), 1jmc (Major cold-shock protein, *E. coli*), 1bkb (Initiation translation factor 5a, *P. aerophylum*), 1sro (S1 RNA-binding domain of PNPase, *E. coli*), 1d7qA (Initiation translation factor 1, eIF1a, Human), 1ah9 (Initiation translation factor 1, IF1, *E. coli*), 1b9mA (Mo-dependent

transcriptional regulator ModE, *E.coli*), 1ckmA (RNA guanylyltransferase, Chlorella virus, PBCV-1), 1a0i (ATP-dependent DNA ligase, Bacteriophage T7), 1snc (Staphylococcal nuclease, *S. aureus*), 1hjp (DNA helicase RuvA subunit, N-terminal domain, *E.coli*), 1pfsA (Gene V protein, *Pseudomonas* bacteriophage pf3), 1gvp (Gene V protein, Filamentous bacteriophage (f1, M13)), 1gpc (Gene 32 protein (gp32) core, Bacteriophage T4), 1wgjA (Inorganic pyrophosphatase, *S. cerevisiae*), and 2prd (Inorganic pyrophosphatase, *T. thermophilus*). It can be noted that OB-folds domains originating from toxins can be used as starting molecules even for purposes in which toxicity is to be avoided, since mutations in their binding site, and hence change in their binding specificity, can completely abolish their toxicity.

As exemplified in more detail in the experimental part below, the combinatorial mutation/selection approach consists in obtaining a combinatorial library corresponding to the randomization of a number of chosen residues of the starting OB-fold protein (especially, randomization of a number of residues involved in the binding of the protein with its native ligand), followed by a selection, in said library, of variants which have the desired properties.

Another object of the present invention is hence a combinatorial library corresponding to the randomization of 5 to 32, preferably 8 to 20, and more preferably 11 to 16 residues of the binding interface of an OB-fold protein with its native ligand, possibly combined with the deletion of 1 to 4 residues and/or the insertion of 1 to 50 residues. Of course, the "binding interface of an OB-fold protein" herein designates, even in cases of proteins with multiple domains binding to different ligands, the interface between the OB-fold domain and the ligand which binds to this domain. The skilled artisan will find in the scientific literature the necessary information to identify the residues involved in the binding of the OB-fold protein with its native ligand, which are often located in β -strands β 3, β 4 and β 5 and in loops 1, 3 and 4 of the OB-fold (figures 1b and 2).

Particular combinatorial libraries according to the present invention can be obtained with Sac7d from *Sulfolobus acidocaldarius*, or with its homologues (figure 4) such as Sso7d Sso7d from *Sulfolobus solfataricus*. Of course, libraries obtained with a truncated form of Sac7d, such as those described by (McAfee et al., 1995), are also part of the present invention.

By superimposing several sequences and 3D-structures of OB-fold domains using the web sites WU-Blast2 (<http://www.ebi.ac.uk/blast2/index.html>) (Lopez et al., 2003), T-COFFEE (<http://www.ch.embnet.org/software/TCoffee.html>) (Notredame et al., 2000) and DALI lite (<http://www.ebi.ac.uk/DaliLite/>) (Holm and Park, 2000), the inventors have identified the positions which could be modified for obtaining the libraries according to the present invention. Taking as a reference the sequence of Sac7d of SEQ ID No: 1, the residues which can be randomized are the following: V2, K3, K5, K7, Y8, K9, G10, E14, T17, K21,

K22, W24, V26, G27, K28, M29, S31, T33, D36, N37, G38, K39, T40, R42, A44, S46, E47, K48, D49, A50 and P51.

Still with Sac7d as a reference, the residues which can be deleted are: A59, R60, A61 and E64.

5 A superimposition of 3D structures of 10 proteins or OB-fold domains (including Sac7d), using the web site DALI (<http://www.ebi.ac.uk/dali/Interactive.html>) (Holm and Sander, 1998), revealed that this kind of proteins have loops of various sizes, which most probably are involved in the binding of ligands (see figure 2). This observation is consistent with previously published data (Arcus, 2002). Since Sac7d is one of the proteins
10 having the shortest loops, random insertions in said loops can advantageously be performed in order to obtain libraries particularly adapted for the binding of certain ligands families. Advantageously, insertions of 1 to 15 amino acid residues can be performed in loop 3 (as identified in figures 1b and 2), for example in the region of residues 25 to 30 of Sac7d, preferably between residues 27 and 28, insertions of 1 to 15 amino acid residues can be
15 performed in loop 4 (as identified in figures 1b and 2), for example in the region of residues 35 to 40 of Sac7d, preferably between residues 37 and 38, and insertions of 1 to 20 amino acid residues can be performed in loop 1 (as identified in figures 1b and 2), for example in the region of residues 7 to 12 of Sac7d, preferably between residues 9 and 10. In order to avoid any ambiguity, it is herein specified that loops 3, 4 and 1 as identified in figures 1a and 2
20 respectively correspond to loops 1, 2 and 4 identified in the review article by Arcus (*supra*).

According to a particular embodiment of the invention, the combinatorial library corresponds to the randomization of 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 or 18 residues of Sac7d selected amongst K7, Y8, K9, K21, K22, W24, V26, K28, M29, S31, T33, K39, T40, R42, A44, S46, E47 and K48, for example 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 or 16 residues selected amongst K7,
25 Y8, K9, K21, K22, W24, V26, K28, M29, S31, T33, K39, T40, R42, A44 and S46.

In a preferred combinatorial library of the above embodiment, the randomized residues comprise at least the residues K7, Y8, K9, W24, V26, M29, S31, T33, R42, A44 and S46 of Sac7d. A specific library according to this embodiment, and designated in the experimental part below as "library 11", corresponds to the randomization of the
30 residues K7, Y8, K9, W24, V26, M29, S31, T33, R42, A44 and S46 of Sac7d. In other combinatorial libraries according to this embodiment, one, two or three additional residues of Sac7d selected amongst K21, K22 and T40 are also randomized. Two other preferred libraries according to the invention, also described in the experimental part, are "library 13", which corresponds to the randomization of the residues K7, Y8, K9, K21, K22, W24, V26, M29,
35 S31, T33, R42, A44 and S46 of Sac7d, and "library 14", which corresponds to the randomization of the residues K7, Y8, K9, K21, K22, W24, V26, M29, S31, T33, T40, R42, A44 and S46 of Sac7d.

Of course, a combinatorial library obtained by randomizing 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 or 16 residues of Sso7d selected amongst the residues located at positions which are equivalent to those listed above, is also part of the present invention. Said equivalent positions can be identified by using the file for Sso7d (chain A) in the RSCB Protein Data Bank (Berman et al., 2000) (1bf4A), and by comparing its 3D-structure with that of Sac7d (figure 3a):

Sac7d: 7 8 9 21 22 24 26 28 29 31 33 39 40 42 44 46
 1bf4A: 7 8 9 21 22 24 26 28 29 31 33 40 41 43 45 47

Other proteins homologous to Sac7d can also be used for obtaining combinatorial libraries as described above. Examples of such proteins are disclosed in the following Table.

Name	Source	Primary Accession number	Secondary Accession Number
Sac7d: (DNA-binding protein 7d)	<i>Sulfolobus acidocaldarius</i> NCBI: AAA80315	P13123	Q4JCI7
Sac7e: (DNA-binding protein 7e)	<i>Sulfolobus acidocaldarius</i> NCBI: YP_255071	P13125:	Q4JBQ1
DNA-binding_protein_7 (DBP 7)	<i>Sulfolobus tokodaii</i> NCBI: Q96X56	Q96X56	
Ssh7b (DNA-binding protein 7b)	<i>Sulfolobus shibatae</i> NCBI: BAA28275	O59632	
Sso7d (DNA-binding protein 7d)	<i>Sulfolobus solfataricus</i> NCBI: P39476	P39476	P81550
Ssh7a (DNA-binding protein 7a)	<i>Sulfolobus shibatae</i> NCBI: BAA28274	P61990	O59631, P80170 Q9UWI8
p7ss (DNA-binding protein 7a)	<i>Sulfolobus solfataricus</i> NCBI: P61991	P61991	O59631, P80170, Q9UWI8

Table 1: Examples of Sac7d homologues which can be used according to the present invention

Alignment of the OB-fold domains of the Sac7d homologues listed in Table 1 is shown in figure 4.

Other particular combinatorial libraries according to the present invention can be obtained with the Shiga-like toxin IIe (PDB 1r4pB), for example by randomizing 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 or 16 residues selected amongst the residues located at positions which are equivalent to those listed above for Sac7d, said equivalent positions (figure 3b) being as follows:

Sac7d: 7 8 9 21 22 24 26 28 29 31 33 39 40 42 44 46
 1r4pB: 60 59 58 9 10 12 14 17 18 20 22 X X 27 29 31

Other examples of particular combinatorial libraries according to the present invention can be obtained by randomizing 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 or 16 residues of the human Neutrophil Activating Peptide-2 (NAP-2, PDB 1tvxA), wherein said residues are selected

amongst the residues located at positions which are equivalent to those listed above for Sac7d. These equivalent positions, obtained by comparison of the 3D structure of said protein with that of Sac7d (figure 3c), are the following:

Sac7d: 7 8 9 21 22 24 26 28 29 31 33 39 40 42 44 46

5 1tvxA: 25 26 27 40 41 43 45 54 55 57 59 61 63 65 67 69

Still other particular combinatorial libraries according to the present invention can be obtained with the Molybdenum Binding Protein (modg) of *Azotobacter vinelandii* (PDB 1h9j), for example by randomizing 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 or 16 residues selected amongst the residues located at positions which are equivalent to those listed above for Sac7d, wherein said equivalent positions (figure 3d) are as follows:

Sac7d: 7 8 9 21 22 24 26 28 29 31 33 39 40 42 44 46

1h9jA: 60 61 62 86 87 89 91 93 94 96 98 105 106 108 110 112

The present invention also pertains to the use of a combinatorial library as those described above, for engineering a molecule specifically binding to a target. In the present text, a molecule is considered as a "specific" binder of a target, if it binds to it with a signal to noise ratio superior to 10. A binder molecule which is engineered by using a combinatorial library according to the invention preferably binds to its target (also called "target of interest") with a high affinity, *i.e.*, with an affinity better than 10 nM when the target is a peptide or a protein, and better than 1 μ M when the target is a carbohydrate molecule, for example.

Another object of the invention is a process for engineering a molecule specifically binding to a target, comprising a step of selecting, in a combinatorial library as described above, those variants of said OB-fold protein which specifically bind to said target. By "variant" is herein meant a protein belonging to the library, *i.e.*, a protein which is derived from the starting OB-fold protein by mutations in its binding site.

As already mentioned above, one of the interests of the present invention is that it enables the engineering of a molecule which specifically binds to a target of interest, with virtually no limitation concerning this target. For example, the target of interest can be a peptide, a protein, an oligosaccharide, a lipid, a lipopeptide, a carbohydrate (for example, a sugar), a single-stranded DNA, a double-stranded DNA, a RNA. Interestingly, the target of interest can be a natural or synthetic small molecule, limited to a few atoms, or even to only one atom. Examples of such small molecules include any kind of haptens (*i.e.*, small molecules which can elicit an immune response only when attached to a large carrier), vitamins, or metallic ions. The wide diversity of molecules that can be used as targets is especially interesting, since the OB-fold proteins binding to these targets can be used either for the same applications as already known for antibodies, or for different applications. For example, OB-fold proteins obtained according to the present invention and binding to metallic

ions can be used in bioremediation processes, for example to remove heavy metals from a complex material such as soil or polluted water.

The skilled artisan can use any technique of the art to perform the selection step of the process according to the invention and/or to perform the screening step for obtaining a protein with a desired property. Selection techniques can be, for example, phage display (Smith, 1985), mRNA display (Wilson et al., 2001), bacterial display (Georgiou et al., 1997), yeast display (Boder and Wittrup, 1997) or ribosome display (Hanes and Pluckthun, 1997).

Ribosome display is particularly advantageous for performing the selection step, since it is performed completely *in vitro* and thus circumvents many limitations of *in vivo* systems (especially regarding the library size) (He and Taussig, 2002; Schaffitzel et al., 1999). The skilled artisan will find in the experimental part below, as well as in the articles by He and Taussig (2002), and Schaffitzel et al. (1999), or in other articles and manuals, protocols for performing ribosome display.

In a preferred embodiment of the process of the invention, 2, 3, 4 or 5 rounds of selection are performed by ribosome display.

As described in the experimental part, the process according to the invention can also comprise a further step of isolating and characterizing one or several molecules specifically binding to the target of interest. For example, the isolation and characterization steps can be performed as follows:

- (i) mRNAs from a pool selected by ribosome display are reversed-transcribed and amplified by PCR, and the resulting DNAs are cloned into expression vectors;
- (ii) bacteria (for example, competent DH5 α) are transformed by said expression vectors (comprising said DNAs) and individual clones are grown; and
- (iii) proteins extracted from said bacterial clones are tested for their binding to the target and/or other biological properties (such as stability and the like).

The clones expressing the proteins which have the best properties can then be grown to produce larger amounts of proteins. The coding sequence of the engineered protein can be determined by sequencing the pertinent part of the expression vector, and the gene encoding this protein can also be used for further engineering of the protein. Indeed, it can be advantageous to use a binder obtained through a process according to the invention to construct multifunctional proteins having at least one targeting moiety and another moiety with catalytic, fluorescent, enzymatic or any other kind of activity. This can be made, for example, through the construction of a fusion protein comprising, as a first moiety, the isolated and characterized molecule, and at least a second moiety. This second moiety can be advantageously selected amongst enzymes, markers or reporter proteins (such as PhoA, GFP and the like), therapeutic proteins, *etc.* According to a variant of the process of the invention,

several binders are linked together (either in a fusion protein, or through non-covalent links), in order to construct fusions or complexes with multiple binding specificities.

Other objects of the invention are proteins obtained through a process as described above. In particular, proteins which specifically bind to PulD, and which have a sequence selected amongst SEQ ID Nos: 2 to 8, are part of the present invention, as well as the GarA-binder of SEQ ID No: 47.

The invention is further illustrated by the following figures and examples.

Figure legends

10 **Figure 1: a)** Schematic representation of wild type Sac7d in complex with double strand DNA (PDB code 1azp). **b)** Residues participating to DNA binding. The concave area is defined with residues coloured in light grey, the flat area with those coloured in medium and dark grey. The residues at limit between the regions of the binding area are coloured in medium grey.

15 **Figure 2:** Schematic representation of loops 1, 3 and 4 of various OB-fold domains. The RSCB PDB structure files used for performing this superimposition are the following: 1azp (*i.e.*, Sac7d), 1tvxA, 1dokA, 1bqq, 1csp, 3seb, 1br9, 2bosA, 1bf4A and 1quqB. For clarity of the figure, only the structure of Sac7d is represented.

20 **Figure 3:** Schematic representation and superimposition with Sac7d of **a)** Sso7d (structure file: 1bf4); **b)** Shiga-like toxin IIe (structure file: 2bosa); **c)** human Neutrophil Activating Peptide-2 (NAP-2, structure file: 1tvxA); **d)** Molybdenum Binding Protein (modg) of *Azotobacter vinelandii* (structure file: 1h9j). *Nota bene:* for proteins which comprise additional domains different from the OB-fold domain, only the OB-fold domain is shown in the figure. The structure superimpositions were obtained using DALI and DALI Lite servers. The protein sequences, with their numbering in the NCBI file, are shown in Table 2
25 below.

Name	File ref.	Source	Sequence
Sac7d	1azp	NCBI: AAA80315	<i>MVKVKFKYKGEEKEVDTSKIKKVVWRVGMVS</i> <i>FTYDDNGKTGRGAVSEKDAPKELLMLARAE</i> <i>REKK</i> (SEQ ID No: 1)
Sso7d	1bf4	NCBI: P39476	<i>MATVKFKYKGEEKEVDISKIKKVVWRVGMIS</i> <i>FTYDEGGGKTGRGAVSEKDAPKELLQMLEKQ</i> <i>KK</i> (SEQ ID No: 28)
Toxin Ile	1r4pb	NCBI: CAA90631	<i>MKKMFMAVLFALVSVNAMAADCAKGKIEFSK</i> <i>YNEDDTFTVKVDGKEYWTSRWNLQPLLQSAQ</i> <i>LTGMTVTIKSSTCESGSGFAEVQFNND</i> (SEQ ID No: 29)
NAP-2	1tvxA	NCBI: CAG33086	<i>MSLRLDTPSCNSARPLHALQVLLLLSLLLT</i> <i>ALASSTKGQTKRNLAKGKEESLDSDLAELR</i> <i>CMCIKTTSGIHPKNIQSLEVIGKGTHCNQVE</i> <i>VIATLKDGRKICLDPDAPRIKKIVQKKLAGD</i> <i>ESAD</i> (SEQ ID No: 30)
modg	1h9j	NCBI: CAA90038	<i>MKISARNVFKGTVSALKEGAVNAEVDILLGG</i> <i>GDKLAAVTLESARSLQLAAGKEVVAVVKAP</i> <i>WVLLMTDSSGYRLSARNILTGTVKTIETGAV</i> <i>NAEVTALQGGTEITSMVTKEAVAELGLKPG</i> <i>ASASAVIKASNVILGVPA</i> (SEQ ID No: 31)

Table 2: Protein sequences which have been used for obtaining the superimpositions shown in figure 3. The sequence fragments in italics correspond to the sequences effectively used in the structure file used to determine the positions which could advantageously be randomly mutated. Said mutation positions are in bold.

Figure 4: Alignment of the OB-fold domains of several Sac7d homologues.

Figure 5: Gene synthesis of the mutated sequences encoding Sac7d.

Positions randomized for the library 11 are labelled in black. Additional randomized positions for library 14 are labelled in grey. Oligonucleotides used are represented with thin arrows (see text for their sequences). The large arrow corresponds to the coding sequence of Sac7d (without the C-ter Tola linker).

Figure 6: a) RIA (radioimmuno assay) after four rounds of selection with library 11 against DnaK, PulD-N and GarA. Pools of selections were translated *in vitro* in presence of methionine ³⁵S and were tested for binding to DnaK, PulD-N or GarA immobilized in an ELISA plate. After washing and elution with 0.1 M triethanolamine, amounts of binders were estimated with a β counter. Competitions were carried out in parallel with pre-incubation of translated pools with free proteins at concentrations of 1 μ M and 10 μ M. b) Screening of anti-PulD-N binders after round 4. c) Test of binding specificities for six anti PulD-N binders by ELISA. Interaction between binders and immobilized DnaK, PulD-N, GarA and BSA were compared.

Figure 7: RIA after five rounds of selection with libraries 11, 13 and 14 against PulD-N. Pools of selections were translated *in vitro* in presence of methionine ³⁵S and were tested for binding to DnaK, PulD-N, GarA or BSA immobilized in an ELISA plate. After washing and elution 0.1 M triethanolamine, amounts of binders were estimated with a β counter. Competitions were carried out in parallel with pre-incubation of translated pools with

free PulD-N at 1 nM, 10 nM, 100 nM, 1000 nM. BSA was used as negative control for binding of pools (no competition done).

Figure 8: Sequences of eight selected binders against PulD-N. The sequence of the wild type Sac7d is shown at the top of the figure. Residues common to the wild type Sac7d and binders are represented by a dot. Positions that were programmed in the substitution scheme are highlighted in grey.

Figure 9: Expression and purification analysis of eight selected binders. Proteins were loaded on a 15% SDS-PAGE stained with coomassie brilliant blue. **a)** *E. coli* crude extract after expression at 30°C. Cells were harvested after 19h induction with 0.5 mM IPTG and lyzed in loading buffer. **b)** Soluble fractions of crude extracts prior to purification of binders. **c)** Purified binders after one step IMAC (immobilized metal ion affinity chromatography) purification of the *E. coli* crude extract soluble fraction. All loaded samples were equivalent to 13 µl liquid culture.

Figure 10: Affinity determination of clone 6 using SPR (surface plasmon resonance) analysis. **a)** The kinetics of binding were followed using a BIAcore. Biotinylated PulD-N was immobilized (250 RU) on a streptavidin chip and clone 6 was injected at 50 nM, 25 nM, 12.5 nM, 6.25 nM, 3.13 nM and 1.56 nM. A flow cell without immobilized PulD-N was used to control that no specific binding occurred and the signal from cell was subtracted to the one obtained from the measurement cell. BIAevaluation software was used to analyze data with a global fitting procedure. **b)** The affinity was also measured at equilibrium using competition BIAcore. The determined parameters from both approaches are reported in Table 3.

Figure 11: Thermal stabilities of wild-type and variants Sac7d recombinant proteins determined by differential scanning calorimetry. The excess heat absorption of wt Sac7d, clone 40, clone 33, and clone 6, are reported at 1°C/min at a protein concentration of 200 µg/ml in 50 mM MES buffer pH 5.6 with 300 mM NaCl. For each protein sample, the experimental excess heat capacity curve (thick line) was best fitted to a non two-state model (thin line) by non-linear regression. Resulting thermodynamic parameters are given in Table 4.

Figure 12: **a)** Western blot for detection of PulD-N mixed with *E. coli* crude extract using clone 6-alkalin phosphatase fusion. **b)** Single step purification of PulD-N with clone 6 immobilized on a column. The soluble fraction of an *E. coli* extract containing PulD-N was injected on the column equilibrated with HBS buffer pH7.0. After washing, the pH was dropped to 2.5 with a glycine-HCl buffer. Fractions were analyzed on a 15% SDS-PAGE and stained with coomassie brilliant blue. CE: cell extract; PulD-N: pure protein used as marker; Fractions: eluted fractions from the column after acidic pH jump; FT: flow through.

Figure 13: Binding of Sac7*40, Sac7*33, and Sac7*6 to isolated cell envelopes and to PulD dodecamers (PulDD) and PulD monomers (PulDM). (A) Increasing

amounts of cell envelope from strain PAP105 producing PulD and PulS or PulD-CS and PulS were incubated with Sac7*40-GFP, and the membrane fraction was then analyzed by SDS and immunoblotting with GFP antibodies. (B) Far-Western blotting of the indicated amounts of cell envelope proteins from the same strains using the three Sac7*-PhoA chimeras and antibodies against PhoA

Figure 14: Production of Sac7-PhoA chimeras with and without IPTG induction and their effects on secretion and PulD multimerization in cell envelope protease-deficient strain PAP5198 carrying pCHAP231. (A) Sac7-PhoA levels detected by immunoblotting (with PhoA antibodies) of the same amount of cell extract. (B) Levels of secretion (%) and presence of PulD dodecamers (PulDD) and monomers (PulDM) detected by immunoblotting of phenol-treated and nontreated cell extracts with PulD antibodies. Arrows indicate PulDM detected without phenol treatment. S indicates Sac7d-PhoA. (C) As B, but without IPTG induction.

Figure 15: PCR carried out on ADNc obtained from ARNms, eluted during cycle No. 4 (see Figure 19, RT-PCR stage).

Figure 16: competitive radio-immune tests carried out after five anti-PKnG and anti-lysozyme selection cycles.

Figure 17: ELISA screening of anti-lysozyme (A), anti-PknG (B) and anti-GarA (C) clones obtained after 5 selection cycles

Figure 18: anti-lysozyme clone sequences obtained after five selection cycles

Figure 19: Alignment of a GarA-binder with Sac7d.

Figure 20: Competition ELISA after the 4th round of selection against Fc fragment.

Figure 21: Schematic representation of a round of ribosome display selection.

EXAMPLES

The experimental data which follow have been obtained using the material and methods described below.

Materials and Methods

General molecular biology

Enzymes and buffers were from New England Biolabs (USA) or Fermentas (Lithuania). Oligonucleotides were from MWG Biotech (Germany). All PCRs (polymerase chain reactions) were performed using Vent polymerase if not indicated in the text. The cloning and expression vector for the wild-type Sac7d and selected mutants was pQE30 from Qiagen (Germany).

Synthesis of the DNA encoding the wild type Sac7d

DNA Sequence of the wild type Sac7d was generated by assembly PCR using the six following oligonucleotides: SC1 (SEQ ID No: 9: GAAACTCCTAGGTATTGTGCTGACGACCCCGATCGCGATCTCTAGCTTTGCGGTG
 5 AAAGTGAAATT), SC2 (SEQ ID No: 10: GATCTTGCTGGTGTCCACTTCTTTTTCTTCGCCTTTATATTTAAATTTCACTTTTAC
 CGCAAAGCTAG), SC3 (SEQ ID No: 11: GAAGTGGACACCAGCAAGATCAAGAAAGTTTGGCGTGTGGGCAAAATGGTGAGC
 TTTACCTACGACGACAACGGCAAG), SC4 (SEQ ID No: 12: CTCTTTCGGGGCATCTTTCTCGCTCACGGCGCCACGGCCGGTCTTGCCGTTGTCGT
 10 CGTA), SC5 (SEQ ID No: 13: GAGAAAGATGCCCCGAAAGAGTTATTAGATATGTTAGCGCGTGC GGAAAGCTTC
 AACCA), SC6 (SEQ ID No: 14: TGGTGGTTGAAGCTTTCCGCACG). The purified PCR product served as template for a second PCR amplification using the two following primers:
 15 SC07 (SEQ ID No: 15: ATTAATGGTACCGGATCCGTGAAAGTGAAATTTAAATATAAAG) and SC08 (SEQ ID
 No: 16: ATAATTGAGCTCTAAGCTTTTTTTTACGTTCCGCACGCGCTAACATATC). The PCR product was cloned into pQe30 expression vector using BamHI and HindIII
 restriction sites. A clone with the expected sequence was used for subsequent expressions.

Generation of combinatorial libraries

The protocol was the same for the generation of the three libraries 11, 13, 14 in a format compatible with ribosome display. Libraries were mainly constructed by gene synthesis and PCR assembly steps. With a single step PCR using a combination of four standards and three degenerated oligonucleotides encoding NNS triplets (wherein N=A,C,T or
 25 G, and S=C or G), a DNA product including the 5'- flanking region necessary for ribosome display and the randomized gene of Sac7d was obtained. For the library 14, the following oligonucleotides were used: T7C (SEQ ID No: 17: ATACGAAATTAATACGACTCACTATAGGGAGACCACAACGGTTTCCCTC),
 SDA_MRGS (SEQ ID No: 18: AGACCACAACGGTTTCCCTCTAGAAATAATTTTGTTTAACTTTAAGAAGGAGATA
 30 TATCCATGAGAGGATCG), SClib1 (SEQ ID No: 19: GGAGATATATCCATGAGAGGATCGCATCACCATCACCATCACGGATCCGTCAAGG
 TGAAATTC), SClib2 (SEQ ID No: 20: GGATCCGTCAAGGTGAAATTCNNSNNSNNSGGCGAAGAAAAAGAAGTGGACACT
 35 AGTAAGATC), SClib3 (SEQ ID No: 21: CTTGCCGTTGTCGTCGTASNNAASNNCACSNNTTTGCCSNNACGSNNAACSNNSN
 NGATCTTACTAGTGTCCACTTC), SClib4 (SEQ ID No: 22: TAATAACTCTTTCGGGGCATCTTTCTCSNNCACSNNGCCSNNGCCSNNCTTGCCGT

TGTCGTCGTA), SClb5 (SEQ ID No: 23: CCATATAAAGCTTTTCTCGCGTTCCGCACGCGCTAACATATCTAATAACTCTTTC GGGGCATC). Primers encoding wild-type triplets instead of NNS triplets at positions corresponding to residues 21, 22 and 40, or to residue 40 in Sac7d were used for the construction of libraries 11 and 13, respectively.

To the aim that the protein displayed on ribosome be accessible to potential ligands, the protein needs to be fused to a linker. The sequence of this linker, corresponding to a part of the *E. coli* protein TolA, encoded in the plasmid pRDV vector (Binz et al., 2004a), was PCR-amplified using primers SLink (SEQ ID No: 24: GCGGAACGCGAGAAAAAGCTTTATATGGCCTCGGGGGCC) and tolAk (SEQ ID No: 25: CCGCACACCAGTAAGGTGTGCGGTTTCAGTTGCCGCTTTCTTTCT) (the latter encoding the 3'-flanking region necessary for ribosome display). Finally the library was assembled with tolA linker by PCR assembly using tolAk and T7B (SEQ ID No: 25: ATACGAAATTAATACGACTCACTATAGGGAGACCACAACGG) primers. The final assembly product corresponded to a library of Sac7d with all the 5'- and 3'-regions necessary to its use for ribosome display selections as previously described (Hanes et al., 1998; Schaffitzel et al., 1999).

Ribosome display selection rounds

For selection experiments, biotinylated target proteins were used. The biotinylation was performed by incubation of a 10 μ M solution of DnaK, GarA or PulD-N with a 20-fold molar excess of Sulfosuccinimidyl-6-(biotinamido) hexanoate (Sulfo-NHS-LC-LC-Biotin, Pierce) in PBS on ice for 1 h. The biotinylated proteins were buffer-exchanged using protein desalting spin columns from Pierce equilibrated in TBS. The degree of biotinylation was determined using the HABA assay (Sigma) as from 2 to 3 molecules biotin per protein molecule. Biotinylated target proteins were bound to immobilized neutravidin in a Maxisorp plate (Nunc) and selections by ribosome display were performed at 4°C essentially as described (Binz et al., 2004b) with some modifications. Briefly, after each round of selection the eluted mRNA was reverse-transcribed to cDNA and amplified using SDA_MRGS and SClb5 primers. The PCR product was desalted on a NucleSpin ExtractII column (Macherey-Nagel) and used for a PCR assembly with TolAlinker DNA fragment (see above). This generated a full length ribosome display construct with newly added 5'- and 3'-flanking regions to minimize loss of clones due to degradation of the extremities of mRNA during its manipulation. The number of RT-PCR cycles were 35 cycles (round 1), 30 cycles (round 2), 30 cycles (round 3), 25 cycles (round 4). In round five, the selection pressure was increased using off-rate selection as described (Jermutus et al., 2001). For this selection, 10 nM of biotinylated PulD-N was added to the stopped translation of the pool from round 4. The mix was equilibrated 1h at 4°C and non-biotinylated PulD-N was added to a final concentration of 10 μ M (1000 fold excess over biotinylated PulD-N). After 2h incubation at

4°C with agitation, the ternary complexes (mRNA-ribosome-binder) bound to biotinylated PulD-N were captured with 30 µl of magnetic streptavidin coated beads (Roche) 15 min at 4°C. Beads were washed and mRNA was isolated as for the first four rounds. The number of RT-PCR cycles was 35 for this 5th round of selection. Progresses of selections were checked by monitoring the amounts of RT-PCR products from round to round.

Analysis of selected pools and isolated clones

After four or five rounds, selected pools were tested by RIA (radioimmuno assay) as described (Hanes et al., 1998) using directly coated target protein in a Maxisorp plate and using from 1 nM to 10 µM of free target protein as competitor.

The RT-PCR products from selected pools were cloned into pQE30 vector using BamHI and HindIII restriction sites and the resulting ligations were used to transform *E. coli* DH5α strain. Clones were picked from Petri dish to inoculate a deep-well plate containing 1.5ml of LB medium per well (100 µg/ml ampicillin, 1 % glucose). After overnight culture at 37°C with shaking at 250 rpm, 0.2 ml of each well from this master plate was used to inoculate another deep-well plate containing 1.3 ml 2YT medium (100 µg/ml ampicillin) per well. The plate was then incubated at 37°C for 1h with shaking (250 rpm). The expression was induced with the addition of 0.5 mM IPTG and incubation at 30°C for 4h with shaking (250 rpm). Cells were pelleted with a centrifugation step (2250g) and supernatants discarded. Proteins were extracted with 50 µl BugBuster (Novagen) per well with shaking at 250 rpm for 30min, then 250 µl of TBS pH 7.4 (20 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl) were added. Cell debris were pelleted with a centrifugation step (2500g). For ELISA (enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay) screening, 100 µl of each supernatant were used to test the binding on target proteins coated into a Maxisorp plate. The detection was performed using the RGS His antibody HRP conjugate (Qiagen) that detects only the RGS-(His)₆-tag from binders and not the (His)₆-tag from target proteins and BM-Blue substrate from Roche. All incubation steps were carried-out in TBS pH 7.4 with 0.1% Tween 20. Positive clones were sequenced by standard sequencing techniques.

Protein production for screening by SPR (surface plasmon resonance)

To perform the screening of binders based on their time of dissociation from PulD-N, clones were expressed in a 5 ml scale culture (*E. coli* DH5α strain). Five hundreds microliters of an overnight preculture (LB medium, 100 µg/ml ampicillin, 1% glucose, 37°C,) in deepwell were used to inoculate 4.5 ml culture (2YT, 100 µg/ml ampicillin, 37°C) in deepwell. Expression was induced at OD₆₀₀ = 1.0 by addition of 0.5 mM IPTG and cultures were incubated for 19h at 30°C (250 rpm). Cells were harvested by centrifugation (2500 g) and proteins were extracted in deepwells by resuspension of cells in 0.5 ml TBS pH 7.4 containing 25 mM imidazole, BugBuster and Benzonase (Novagen). After 1h shaking at 4°C the deepwell was centrifuged to pellet cell debris. Supernatants were purified on microspin columns containing 100 µl Ni-Fast Flow Chelating Sepharose (General Electric)

equilibrated with TBS pH 7.4 containing 20 mM imidazole. Resin was washed 4 times with the loading buffer and purified proteins were eluted with 400 μ l TBS pH 7.4 and 250 mM imidazole.

Protein production and purification of binders and wild-type Sac7d

5 For Biacore and microcalorimetry experiments, the binders were expressed in DH5 α *E. coli* strain in a 1 liter scale and purified as described below. Fifty milliliters of an overnight preculture (LB medium, 100 μ g/ml ampicilin, 1% glucose, 37°C) were used to inoculate 1 liter culture (2YT, 100 μ g/ml ampicilin, 37°C). Expression was induced at OD₆₀₀ = 1.0 by addition of 0.5 mM IPTG and cultures were incubated for 19h at 30°C
10 (250 rpm). Cells were harvested by centrifugation and resuspended in 30 ml TBS pH 7.4 at 30°C containing 25 mM imidazole. Cells were lyzed with an Avestin Emulsiflex homogenizer and cell debris were discarded with a centrifugation step. Proteins were purified on a 5 ml HiTrap chelating column equilibrated with TBS pH 7.4 containing 25 mM imidazole. Elution was performed with TBS pH 7.4 and 250 mM imidazole. Proteins were
15 further purified by size-exclusion chromatography on a Superdex 75 26/60 gel filtration column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with HBS pH 7.0 (20 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl). Analytical gel filtration were done on a SMART system (GE Healthcare) using a Superdex 75 3.2/20 column equilibrated with HBS pH 7.0 (60 μ l/min, 50 μ l sample injected). BPTI (6.5 kDa), Ribonuclease A (14.6 kDa), Chymotrypsinogen A (20.3 kDa), Ovalbumin (46.7 kDa) and Albumin (62.9 kDa) were used as molecular weight gel filtration calibration
20 standards.

Surface Plasmon resonance

SPR was measured using a BIAcore 2000 instrument at 25°C. Biotinylated PulD was prepared as for selections by ribosome display and immobilized on flow cells of a
25 SA-chip. The densities of immobilized biotinylated PulD-N for kinetic measurements, and for inhibition measurements were 200 RU and 800 RU (saturated chip), respectively. The running buffer was HBST pH 7.0 (20 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, 0.05% Tween 20).

The screening and the ranking of binders according to their off-rate were performed using micro IMAC purified proteins (see above) diluted 1/20 in running buffer
30 prior injections for kinetics measurements at a flow rate of 60 μ l/min.

Kinetic measurements for affinity determinations were performed with size-exclusion purified proteins injected at concentration ranging from 1 nM to 50 nM. Inhibition measurements were done as described (Ostermeier et al., 1995) at a concentration of binder of 5 nM and different concentrations of PulD-N as a competitor in concentration ranging from
35 0.2 nM to 20 nM at a flow rate of 25 μ l/min. The slope of the sensorgram for the binding phase was determined and plotted versus the concentration of PulD-N. Data evaluation was done using BIAeval software (BIAcore).

Microcalorimetry

Differential scanning calorimetry was performed with a MicroCal VP-DSC calorimeter as described previously (Hible et al., 2005). Stock solutions of recombinant wild-type and variants Sac7d were dialyzed overnight at 4°C against 50 mM MES buffer (pH 5.6 300 mM NaCl). Protein samples were then diluted to 200 µg/ml in the same buffer preparation, degassed under vacuum for 10 min with gentle stirring prior to loading into the calorimeter cell (0.5 ml). The reference cell was filled with the same buffer. Samples were held *in situ* under a constant external pressure of 25 psi to avoid bubble formation and evaporation up to 130°C, equilibrated for 25 min at 25°C, heated at a constant heating rate of 1 deg./min, and the data collected with a 16 sec filter. Data analysis was made with the Origin7TM software (Plotnikov et al., 1997) provided by the manufacturer. The excess heat capacity function ($C_{p,excess}$) was obtained after subtraction of two base lines from the heat capacity function, the buffer reference thermogram and the calculated chemical base line calculated after normalizing to concentration from the progress of the unfolding transition. Thermodynamic parameters of the unfolding transitions of each protein sample are the results of non-linear three-parameter (T_m , ΔH_{cal} , ΔH_{vH}) regression of the excess heat capacity curve assuming a non two-state model.

Construction of alkaline phosphatase fusions

The genes encoding binders were PCR amplified with the primers SCPhoAF (SEQ ID No: 26: ATTAATGGTACCGGATCCGTGAAGGTGAAATTC) and SCPhoAR (SEQ ID No: 27: ATAATTGAGCTCTAAGCTTTTTTTCACGCTCCGCAC) and Phusion polymerase to introduce KpnI and SacI at 5'- and 3'- extremities, respectively. The PCR products were digested with KpnI and SacI and cloned into pQUANTagen vector (Qbiogene). Thus, alkaline phosphatase was fused to the C-terminus of the binder. Screening of alkaline phosphatase active clones and periplasmic extractions were performed using DH5α *E. coli* strain and instructions from the manufacturer manual. Briefly, positive clones were screened on LB plates (100 µg/ml ampicillin, 4 µg/ml 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-phosphate) for their alkaline phosphate activity. Eight milliliters of an overnight preculture (LB medium, 100 µg/ml ampicillin, 37°C) was used to inoculate a culture of 400 ml (2YT medium, 100 µg/ml ampicillin, 1 g dipotassium phosphate pH 7.5, 37°C). Induction of the tac promoter was done with addition of 0.5 mM IPTG when OD₆₀₀ was about 0.7 and the growth was continued for 4 h at 30°C. Cells were pelleted by centrifugation and resuspended in 40 ml of TSE buffer (30 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, sucrose 20%, 0.5 mM EDTA, 0.1 mg/ml lysozyme). The lysozymic shock was performed by incubation of the suspension for 20 min at 4°C with gentle agitation. Cell debris were discarded by centrifugation for 30 min at 20000 g at 4°C and supernatants were filtered through a 0.45 µm membrane prior storage at -20°C.

Western blots using alkaline phosphatase fusions

A 10 ml overnight culture of DH5α *E. coli* strain (LB medium, 37°C) without any expression vector was centrifuged to pellet cells. The cell pellet was resuspended

in 1 ml TBS (1X BugBuster and 1 μ l Benzonase). Cell lysis occurred at room temperature for 30 min and the suspension was centrifuged at 20000 g for 5 min to remove cell debris. The supernatant was then used for serial dilution of purified PulD-N. Samples were prepared with a constant volume of supernatant and a variable quantity of purified PulD-N corresponding from 0.4 ng to 400 ng PulD-N when 5 μ l samples were loaded on a SDS gel. After migration, proteins were transferred from SDS gel to a nitrocellulose membrane (Hybond-C extra, 0.45 μ m, General Electric). The membrane was blocked with 5% dried milk in TBST (20 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, pH7.5, 0.1% Tween 20). The membrane was then incubated with soluble perimasplic extract of fusions diluted 1 to 15 in TBST milk for 1 h with gentle agitation. After washing the membrane, detection was done with precipitating substrate NBT/BCIP (AP conjugate substrate kit, Biorad) diluted in reaction buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl pH9.5, 100 mM NaCl, 5 mM $MgCl_2$).

Construction and expression of GFP (green fluorescent protein) fusions

The genes encoding binders were cloned *via* BamHI and HindIII sites into a pQE30 derived plasmid (pFP3000) containing the gene for eGFP and a region encoding for a flexible peptidic linker KLGSAGSAAGSGEF (SEQ ID No: 32). This resulted in N-terminer-binder-linker-eGFP-C-ter fusions with a MRGS (His)₆ tag at the N-terminus. The sequences of individual clones were checked by DNA sequencing.

Expression and purification of binder-GFP fusions were done under the same conditions as for binders alone (*i.e.*, with an IMAC and a size exclusion chromatography step).

Isolation of membrane fractions and Interaction studies with the 40-eGFP chimera

E. coli K-12 strains PAP105 (Guilvout et al., 1999) carrying the plasmids pCHAP3671(pulD) and pCHAP580 (pulS) (Guilvout et al., 1999) or plasmids pCHAP3711(pulD-CS) (Guilvout et al., 2006) and pCHAP580 were used for the isolation of the membrane vesicles. Cultures were grown to exponentially phase (OD_{600} : 0.9-1.1) in LB medium (Miller, 1992) containing appropriate antibiotics (100 μ g/ml ampicillin, 25 μ g/ml chloramphenicol) at 30°C with vigorous aeration. The membrane fractions were isolated by centrifugation (180,000 x g for 30 min) after French press desintegration of the cells and redissolved in Tris 50 mM pH 7.5, NaCl 150 mM at a final concentration of 450 μ g/ml.

Different quantities of the membrane vesicles were incubated for 1 hour at room temperature with 70 pmol of the purified 40-eGFP fusion protein. After centrifugation (80,000 x g for 20 min) the pellets were washed with the same buffer, centrifuged again and resuspended in the same volume. The proteins in each sample were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred onto nitrocellulose. The detection of the 40-eGFP fusion protein was performed by immunoblotting with GFP primary antibodies.

Affinity chromatography using immobilized clone 6 binder

Seventeen milligrams of IMAC purified clone 6 was dialyzed against a 0.2 M carbonate buffer pH 8.3 (0.5 M NaCl) and was injected on a 1 ml HiTrap NHS-activated HP column (General Electric) previously flushed with 6 ml of 1 mM HCl. Immobilization occurred at room temperature for 30 minutes. After washing the column and deactivating any remaining active groups with 0.5 M ethanolamine (0.5 M NaCl, pH 8.3) and 0.1 M acetate (0.5 M NaCl, pH 8.3) for 30 min at room temperature, the column was equilibrated with TBS pH 8.0 (20 mM Tris, 500 mM NaCl) and was ready to use for purification.

PulD-N protein was expressed using BL21(DE3) *E. coli* strain transformed with the plasmid pCHAP3702 (Chami et al., 2005). Fifty milliliters of an overnight preculture (LB medium, 100 µg/ml ampicillin, 1% glucose, 37°C) were used to inoculate 1 l culture (2YT, 100 µg/ml ampicillin, 37°C). Expression was induced at OD₆₀₀ = 1.0 by addition of 1.0 mM IPTG and cultures were incubated for 4h at 30°C (250 rpm). Cells were harvested by centrifugation and resuspended in 30 ml TBS pH 8.0. Cells were lysed with an Avestin Emulsiflex homogenizer and cell debris were discarded with a centrifugation step. One milliliter and a half of this soluble fraction was injected on the NHS-clone 6 immobilized column at a flow rate of 0.5 ml/min. Non specific proteins were washed away with 40 ml of running buffer and PulD-N was eluted with an acidic pH jump using a 100 mM glycine buffer (pH 2.5, 250 mM NaCl). Purity of the eluted protein was checked by loading fractions on a SDS gel.

Far Western blotting

Outer membranes of *E. coli* PAP105+/- PulD (pCHAP3671; (Guilvout et al., 1999)) or PulD-CS (pCHAP3711; (Guilvout et al., 2006)) together with PulS (pCHAP580; (Daefler et al., 1997)) were prepared as before. Membranes were resuspended and stored in 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, containing 10% sucrose and 0.1 mg/ml of the protease inhibitor Pefabloc (Interchim, Montluçon, France) and were subjected to SDS/PAGE and transferred onto nitrocellulose sheets that were then blocked and incubated with periplasmic (osmotic shock) extracts of strains producing Sac7-PhoA chimeras. After washing, bound PhoA was detected by antibodies against PhoA, horseradish peroxidase-coupled secondary antibodies, and chemiluminescence.

Secretion

Strain PAP7232 (Hardie et al., 1996) was transformed with the empty vector or with plasmids encoding Sac7-PhoA chimeras. Transformants were grown in medium containing 0.4% maltose (to induce production of pullulanase and its secretion system, including PulD) and 1 mM IPTG to induce Sac7-PhoA production. Secretion levels were measured as described in (d'Enfert et al., 1989) and are expressed as the amount of enzyme

activity detected in whole cells compared with that detected in lysed cells (100%). Cell extracts were also examined by immunoblotting with antibodies against PulD and PhoA.

To analyze the effects of the Sac7-PhoA chimeras at higher (plasmid-encoded) levels of PulD production, a zeocin resistance gene was amplified with flanking PstI sites and inserted into the unique PstI site in the *blaM* gene of the corresponding plasmids. The recombinant plasmids were then transformed together with pCHAP231 (d'Enfert et al., 1987) into the envelope protease-deficient strain PAP5198 (*degP*, *ompT*, *ptr*). Pullulanase secretion and levels of PulD (with or without prior treatment with phenol) were analyzed as above with or without induction by IPTG.

Results

Example 1: Design of the first generation library (library 11)

The first critical step for investigating the possibility to use Sac7d as a scaffold for obtaining binders to various ligands was to design a library by randomization of the potential binding area, while maintaining the stability and the solubility of the parent Sac7d protein.

Several three dimensional structures of Sac7d-DNA complexes are known (Agback et al., 1998; Edmondson and Shriver, 2001; Gao et al., 1998; McAfee et al., 1995; McCrary et al., 1996; Robinson et al., 1998; Su et al., 2000) (figure 1a). According to these structures, the binding of Sac7d to minor groove of DNA involves a binding surface area that matches remarkably (shapes and charges) with DNA. This binding area is composed of sixteen residues (K7, Y8, K9, K21, K22, W24, V26, K28, M29, S31, T33, K39, T40, R42, A44, S46) (figure 1b). A visual inspection of this binding area shows that it is twisted and that it comprises two kinds of geometries: one lightly concave (K7, Y8, K9, W24, V26, K28, M29, S31, T33, R42, A44, S46) and the other essentially flat (K21, K22, W24, T33, K39, T40, R42). Residues W24, T33, and R42 are shared by these two surfaces. About a quarter of the sequence of Sac7d is dedicated to the binding of DNA. Although all of these residues are exposed on the surface, a massive random mutagenesis of 25% of the sequence of Sac7d could be dramatic for the folding and the stability of the mutants obtained. In a first step, the inventors decided to exclude K28 and K39 from the mutagenesis scheme, since these two residues are not fully orientated towards the binding surface. The second reason to exclude them was that generation of libraries by a one-step PCR as described below would have been impossible due to reduced overlaps available for primers annealing (see below). It was also postulated that the geometry of the concave side of the binding area could match well with the spherical shape of globular proteins, or, at least, that it could accommodate binding of their exposed loops. Thus, the substitution strategy focused on the eleven residues in this region of Sac7d (K7, Y8, K9, W24, V26, M29, S31, T33, R42, A44, S46).

The gene coding for Sac7d is quite short (about 200 base pairs). Thus, the library corresponding to random substitutions of the eleven residues could be obtained by a

one-step PCR. This was done using a mixture of three degenerate oligonucleotides (NNS scheme) and three standard oligonucleotides. The codons of the randomized positions were encoded by NNS triplets that allow representation of all amino acids. With this mutagenesis strategy, the theoretical diversity is about $3.2 \cdot 10^{16}$ (32^{11}), which exceeds by about four orders of magnitude the experimentally achieved diversity of our library. Indeed, according to the amount of PCR assembly product used to generate the ribosome display construct, the upper estimate of the library was about $3.0 \cdot 10^{12}$ variants. Sequencing of forty random clones confirmed that the observed residue frequency was similar to that predicted (data not shown). The percentage of correct clones, without any frame shifts or deletions, was found to be around 50%. Hence, the “functional” diversity was considered satisfactory and the library was used for selections.

Example 2: Ribosome display selections using library 11

Three proteins were chosen as targets: DnaK, GarA (both from *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and the N-terminal domain of PulD (from *Klebsiella oxytoca*). PulD is an outer membrane protein that cannot be maintained in solution without ionic detergents. Therefore, a soluble monomeric fragment was used. This fragment, named PulD-N, corresponds to the N-terminal region of PulD. These targets were chosen because specific and avid binders could be useful tools to study DnaK, GarA and PulD. Furthermore, these proteins are difficult to crystallize for structural studies. Binders recognizing these proteins could be used for co-crystallization trials in the same way as antibody fragments (Ostermeier et al., 1995).

ELISA plates coated with neutravidin were used to immobilize biotinylated proteins and to perform selections. After four rounds of selection, enrichment with specific binders for all three targets was observed (figure 6a). In all cases, more than 50% of binding of pools was inhibited with 10 μ M of free targets, according to RIAs.

To evaluate pools of binders further by ELISA for the selection with PulD-N, the RT-PCR products from selected pools were cloned into pQE30 vector (Qiagen) using BamHI and HindIII restriction sites. The resulting ligations were used to transform *E. coli* DH5 α strain. 96 randomly clones were picked from Petri dish to inoculate a deep-well plate containing 1.5ml of LB medium per well (100 μ g/ml ampicillin, 1 % glucose). After overnight culture at 37°C with shaking at 250 rpm, 0.2 ml of each well from this master plate was used to inoculate an other deep-well plate containing 1.3 ml 2YT medium (100 μ g/ml ampicillin) per well. The plate was then incubated at 37°C for 1h with shaking (250 rpm). The expression was induced with the addition of 0.5 mM IPTG and incubation at 30°C for 4h with shaking (250 rpm). Cells were pelleted with a centrifugation step (2250g) and supernatants discarded. Proteins were extracted with 50 μ l BugBuster (Novagen) per well with shaking at 250 rpm for 30min, then 250 μ l of TBS pH 7.4 (20 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl) were added. Cell debris were pelleted with a centrifugation step (2500g). For ELISA screening, 100

μl of each supernatant were used to test the binding on PulD-N or BSA coated into a Maxisorp plate. The detection was performed using the RGS His antibody HRP conjugate (Qiagen) that detects only the RGS-(His)₆-tag from binders and not the (His)₆-tag from target proteins and BM-Blue substrate from Roche. All incubation steps were carried-out in TBS pH 7.4 with 0.1% Tween 20. For all clones the OD at 340 nm was measured for PulD-N and BSA binding. Ratio of the value obtained for BSA binding on value for PulD-N was calculated for each clone.. A signal to noise ratio superior to 10 was observed for about 92% of clones from round 4 (figure 6b). The binding of 6 purified clones from the PulD-N selection (round 4) was tested for DnaK, GarA, PulD-N and BSA by ELISA. As shown in figure 6c, the binding was specific for PulD-N. Sequencing of 28 clones from round 4 revealed a high diversity of sequences; indeed no sequence was found more than once, indicating that a higher selection pressure could have been used. Furthermore, no wild type residue could be found at any of all eleven randomized positions (data not shown). The average yield of expression estimated after IMAC (immobilized metal ion affinity chromatography) purification of nine clones was about 70 mg/liter flask culture (4h induction at 30°C). The binders could be concentrated at least up to 45mg/ml. All in all, these observations suggested that the binding area of Sac7d is highly tolerant to substitution and that the selected variants retained satisfactory biophysical properties. However, a more detailed analysis by RIA showed that the average affinity for binders in the pool from round 4 was around 100nM and a fifth round performed with a mild (2h) or a more stringent off-rate selection (17h) failed to increase the average affinity of the anti PulD-N pool (figure 7). Such low affinity could be limiting for applications where low nanomolar dissociation constants are required. Hence, the inventors decided to explore other approaches to obtain higher affinity.

Example 3: Design of the second generation libraries (library 13 and

14)

An intuitive way to improve affinity is to extend the area of binding and thus to increase the potential number of interactions between binders and their ligands. The potential binding area corresponding to randomization of 11 residues had a 890 Å² solvent-accessible surface. Sac7d being very tolerant to 11 substitutions, it was decided to randomize two or three additional positions: K21 and K22 (library 13) or K21, K22 and T40 (library 14) that correspond to 1130 Å² and 1200 Å², respectively. Another explanation could be that the concave binding area is not well-suited to bind protein and, therefore, the use of a flatter surface could improve final affinities. These two new libraries (each of 3.0 10¹² variants) were constructed the same way as library eleven. Sequencing of seventy random clones from library 14 confirmed that the observed residue frequency was similar to that predicted, with a slight under representation of S, L, and R amino acid residues, while P, N, Q, and H amino acid residues were a bit over represented (data not shown). The percentage of correct clones,

without any frame shifts or deletions, was found to be around 65%. Hence, the “functional” diversity was considered satisfactory and the library was used for selections.

Example 4: Ribosome display selections with PulD-N as target protein, using library 13 and 14

Four rounds of selections were performed using these libraries and PulD-N as a target protein. A fifth round was performed in parallel with libraries 11, 13, 14 with a mild off-rate selection for two hours in order to enrich the pools for binders with slower off-rates. A radio immunoassay for the pools after the fifth round (figure 7) indicated an enrichment for specific PulD-N binders with the two new libraries. Binding could not be detected on immobilized BSA or neutravidin. Furthermore, this RIA showed that 10nM of free PulD-N was sufficient to inhibit about 50% of the binding signal with library 13 compared to 100nM PulD-N for the same level of inhibition with library 11. Library 14 behaved even better, as 1nM competitor was sufficient to achieve significant inhibition of about 20% of the binding signal. Hence, at least one order of magnitude was gained for the average affinity with the design used for library 14.

Example 5: Characterization of anti-PulD-N binders from library 14

5.1. Sequence analysis, expression and purification of selected binders

Since the most promising affinities could be found in the pool obtained from library 14 (round 5), the pool of binders from this library was analyzed. The enriched pool was cloned into the expression plasmid pQE30, and *E. coli* strain DH5 α was used for protein production. Forty-eight individual clones were assayed by an ELISA procedure using immobilized PulD-N or BSA and *E. coli* crude extracts. For all clones, a significant and specific binding over background was detected. Thus, all binders were sequenced for further analysis.

As observed for library 11, a large diversity of binders remained even after the fifth round of selection (figure 8). However, most of the clones could be sorted into six families according to their homologies (data not shown). In addition, two identical clones were found in two cases, all other clones being unique, suggesting a selection convergence. The residues found at randomized positions were of very different nature, with aliphatic and aromatic side-chains as well as charged or hydrophilic side-chains. A clear preference for a particular residue could be observed at some positions. For example, position R42 was occupied by a tyrosine in about half of the clones, probably reflecting its importance for the binding of PulD-N. Among all programmed mutations, no strict conservation of the native residue could be observed, including the three new positions targeted (K21, K22 and T40) compared to library 11. Finally, only seven native residues were retained out of 560 residues in the forty clones sequenced (40 x 14). Hence, these observations suggest that the 14 positions targeted all tolerate random substitutions.

The binders accumulated in large amounts in the *E. coli* cytoplasm at 30°C after overnight growth and could be purified to homogeneity in one single step IMAC with yields up to 200 mg from a one liter shake flask culture (figure 9). The proteins ran on a 15% acrylamide SDS-PAGE gel at the position expected for their calculated molecular masses. Purified binders could be concentrated up to 60 mg/ml in a standard TBS buffer with no sign of precipitation and remained soluble over several months at 4°C.

Size-exclusion chromatography showed that clones 6, 39, 40 and 41 binders studied were monomeric. Like Sac7d, all binders appeared larger than predicted in this assay (11.5 kDa determined instead of 9.1 kDa calculated), probably due to a deviation from a truly globular shape caused by the presence of a nine residue N-terminal tag.

5.2. Affinities of PulD-N binders

In order to identify clones with the highest affinities, forty eight clones were used for micro expressions and IMAC purifications. These purified proteins were then screened by SPR using immobilized biotinylated PulD-N on a streptavidin coated chip. For all assayed binders, no significant binding was observed on the blank surface coated only with streptavidin, supporting the idea that the binding was specific for PulD-N. According to analysis of the dissociation phases, five clones with the slowest off-rates were chosen for detailed affinity determination of the monovalent proteins by SPR.

These five clones, 6, 33, 39, 40 and 41, were further purified by gel filtration. Kinetic analyses were performed at different concentrations and analyzed with a global kinetic fit. The dissociation constants of all PulD-N binders were found to be in the picomolar or low nanomolar range (figure 10a and table 3). Clones 6 and 33 had the highest affinities ($K_D = 130$ pM and 190 pM, respectively). The association kinetics were slightly reduced (factor 1.3) under high ionic strength conditions (300mM NaCl), indicating that these associations were not electrostatically assisted (data not shown). K_D values of these five binders were confirmed (figure 10b and table 3) by competition SPR analysis (Nieba et al., 1996). A control experiment showed that no binding occurred when testing wild type Sac7d for binding to immobilized PulD-N, indicating that the binding property observed for the selected clones was the result of a newly introduced function and not the result of a pre-existing affinity of Sac7d for PulD-N.

Clone	$k_{on} [M^{-1}s^{-1}]$	$k_{off}[s^{-1}]$	$K_D [nM]^a$	$K_D [nM]^b$	% activity ^c
6	$1.9 \cdot 10^7$	$2.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.13	0.14 ± 0.03	90-100
33	$1.7 \cdot 10^7$	$3.2 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.19	0.17 ± 0.03	90-100
39	$1.7 \cdot 10^7$	$8.6 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.52	1.1 ± 0.2	90-100
40	$2.3 \cdot 10^7$	$1.4 \cdot 10^{-2}$	0.60	0.9 ± 0.2	90-100
41	$2.0 \cdot 10^7$	$1.2 \cdot 10^{-2}$	0.61	1.1 ± 0.1	90-100

Table 3: Summary of dissociation constant determinations by surface plasmon resonance.

^a: K_D values obtained from kinetic analysis. ^b: K_D values obtained from competition SPR experiments. ^c: from competition SPR experiment analysis.

The sequences analysis of these binders revealed different situations (figure 8). The randomized positions in clone 6 and 33 were different, despite the fact that their respective K_D values were in the same range (130-140 pM). In contrast, clone 40 had 11/14 randomized residues in common with those of the clone 41 and had similar K_D values ($\approx$ 1 nM). Clone 39 shared 10/14 randomized residues with clone 6 but had a 7-fold lower affinity. Interestingly, clone 39 was found to be truncated by 4 residues at its C-terminal end but remained able to bind PulD-N. This is not surprising, as several truncated forms of Sac7d also exist (McAfee et al., 1995).

5.3. Stability of PulD-N binders

Sac7d is a hyperthermostable protein that unfolds with a T_m of 91°C at pH 7.0, the thermal stability of the protein decreasing at lower pHs (McCrary et al., 1996). The thermal stabilities of clones 6, 33 and 40 were compared to that of wild type Sac7d by differential scanning calorimetry. In order to insure complete unfolding of the proteins below the high temperature limit of the DSC (125°C), a pH of 5.6 was used for all scans. The clones were found thermostable with denaturation temperatures between 68°C and 83°C (figure 11 and table 4). Clone 40 was remarkably stable with a T_m value lower than that of wild-type (89.7°C) by only 5.8°C, followed by clone 33 ($\Delta T_m = -10.5^\circ\text{C}$), the least stable clone being clone 6 ($\Delta T_m = -22.0^\circ\text{C}$). However, T_m of clone 6 still remains 8°C above the mean T_m value of proteins of the Protein Data Bank (Freire, 2001). DSC scans were characteristic of cooperative unfolding indicating that, although with a high mutation load (up to 14 residues mutated, *i.e.* 21% of Sac7d mutated), Sac7d variants were able to adopt a folded structure as for the wild-type. The calorimetric enthalpy (the heat change per mole, ΔH_{cal}) was lower with respect to wild-type (42.5 kcal.mol⁻¹) by only 5.8 and 4.8 kcal.mol⁻¹ for clone 40 and clone 33, respectively (table 4). Furthermore, for both clone 40 and clone 33, β , the ratio value of the van't Hoff enthalpy (the heat change per cooperative unfolding unit) to the calorimetric enthalpy was close to one, and close to the β value of wild-type Sac7d. This observation strongly indicated that unfolding of clone 40 and clone 33 corresponded to that of the folded protein monomer (table 4). Clone 6 presented a lower calorimetric enthalpy with respect to wild type (by 18.10 kcal.mol⁻¹) and a higher β ratio (table 4). These observations may be related to the partial unfolding of clone 6 protein at acidic pHs where the protein is less stable (T_m of clone 6 is 8°C higher at pH 7; data not shown). Taken together, these observations show that clones 6, 33 and 40 retained to a large extent the favorable thermal stability of the wild type protein.

Clone	T_m [°C] ^a	ΔH_{cal} [kcal.mol ⁻¹] ^b	ΔH_{vH} [kcal.mol ⁻¹] ^b	β^c
6	67.7	24.4	46.3	1.9
33	79.2	37.7	45.2	1.2
40	83.9	36.7	47.7	1.3
Sac7d wt	89.7	42.5	55.2	1.3

Table 4: Thermal unfolding parameters for wt and variants Sac7d

^a: T_m is $\pm 0.1^\circ\text{C}$; ^b: ΔH_{cal} is ± 0.3 kcal.mol⁻¹, ΔH_{vH} is ± 0.5 kcal.mol⁻¹; ^c: $\beta = \Delta H_{vH}/\Delta H_{cal}$

5.4. Specificity of PulD-N binders

How specific are these PulD-N binders, and can they be used for biotechnological applications in which specificity is crucial ?

To answer these questions, binders 6, 33 and 40 were fused to the N-terminus of *E. coli* alkaline phosphatase (PhoA). The chimeras (Sac7*-PhoA) were produced as periplasmic proteins in strain DH5 α using pQUANTagen vector encoding the PhoA signal peptide. The chimeras were then extracted from the periplasm by osmotic shock and their functionality assayed by ELISA with PulD-N- or BSA-coated wells. Bound fusions were quantified with chromogenic p-nitrophenylphosphate substrate and spectrophotometry (data not shown). A high signal over background was observed for all three chimeras (ratio signal/background > 10). This showed that the chimeras were 1) exported in the periplasm, 2) still able to recognize specifically PulD-N and 3) catalytically active. Hence, these fusions could be used as a single step ELISA detection reagent.

To evaluate if these fusions are able to discriminate the PulD-N protein in a complex mixture of proteins, such as in an *E. coli* crude extract, we used them as a detection reagent for immunoblots. In this experiment, an overnight culture of plasmid-free DH5 α was harvested and lysed. The crude extract was aliquoted and decreasing amounts of purified PulD-N were added to each tube. After SDS-PAGE and transfer to a nitrocellulose membrane, PulD-N was detected with the binder-PhoA chimeras in presence of the precipitating chromogenic substrate (NBT/BCIP) in amounts as low as 1.5-3 ng (figure 12a) without cross-reactivity. This supported the double functionality of fusions observed with ELISA (figure 12a). The detection of low amounts of PulD-N was done while far higher amounts of endogenous proteins were present, demonstrating that the binders 6, 33, and 40 were able to discriminate PulD-N among thousands of proteins and were therefore highly specific.

To evaluate specificity further, binder 6 was covalently immobilized *via* an amine coupling reaction on a one milliliter NHS-agarose activated column. The soluble fraction of an *E. coli* crude extract corresponding to 40 ml of a culture producing PulD-N was then injected onto the column. Fractions were collected during loading, washing and elution (acid pH jump) steps. The SDS-PAGE analysis of these fractions showed that the column was able to trap the PulD-N present in the crude extract and that this was done with high specificity, since the only visible band on the stained SDS-PAGE gel corresponded to PulD-N

(figure 12b). Thus, the ability of the binders to discriminate PulD-N from thousands of proteins was again confirmed.

Example 6: binding of PulD-N binders to dodecameric PulD

Next, the inventors investigated if the three selected Sac7d derivatives with the highest affinities for PulD-N (binders 6, 33 and 40) were able to recognize the full-length PulD protein integrated in to the *E. coli* outer membrane. Full-length PulD forms dodecamers in the membrane (while PulD-N is monomeric) (Chami et al., 2005) and it could not be excluded that the epitope recognized by each of those binders affected by multimerization of PulD, thus preventing their binding to native PulD. When increasing amounts of PulD-containing membranes from *E. coli* PAP105 (pCHAP3671 pCHAP580) were mixed with saturating amounts of GFP-tagged binders 40 or 33, the amount of binder remaining in the pellets after centrifugation was correspondingly increased (Fig. 13A). The GFP-tagged binders were not sedimented with membranes from PAP105 (pCHAP3711 pCHAP580) containing a PulD variant lacking the N-domain (Guilvout et al., 2006) (Fig. 13A). Thus, binding of these GFP-binder chimeras to membranes is PulD-N-specific, and they bind to the native, dodecameric secretin complex despite the presence of the GFP tag. The binder 6 bound only very weakly to membranes containing PulD (data not shown).

A far-Western immunoblot was used to validate binding of the Sac7d derivatives to PulD dodecamers. All three Sac7*-PhoA chimeras bound specifically to both monomeric and dodecameric PulD but not to PulD-CS (Fig. 13B). Although these three chimeras bound equally well to phenol-dissociated (monomeric; see (Hardie et al., 1996)) PulD (data not shown), they consistently exhibited different apparent affinities for dodecameric PulD, ranging from high (binder 40), to low (binder 6).

Example 7: PulD-N binders inhibit pullulanase secretion and prevent PulD multimerization

Sac7*-PhoA chimeras, in which Sac7d or its derivatives are sandwiched between the PhoA signal peptide and the catalytic part of PhoA, were efficiently exported to the periplasm, as monitored by the high PhoA activity and release upon periplasmic shock. Plasmids encoding the Sac7*-PhoA chimeras were transformed into *E. coli* strain PAP7232, in which the *pul* genes are integrated into the chromosome. All three chimeras were produced in similar amounts after IPTG induction and inhibited pullulanase secretion completely, whereas exported Sac7d-PhoA was without effect. Furthermore, neither PulD dodecamers nor monomers were detected in strains producing any of the Sac7*-PhoA chimeras (data not shown). To obtain more-precise information on these phenomena and to study the fate of PulD in strains producing the chimeras, they were produced in envelope protease-deficient strain PAP5198 carrying pCHAP231 (to increase T2SS production; see Guilvout et al., 2006). IPTG-induced levels of Sac7*-PhoA production inhibited pullulanase secretion by >90% (Fig. 14A). Dodecameric PulD was much less abundant, and PulD monomers were

correspondingly more abundant (arrows in Fig. 14B) than in controls without chimeras or with Sac7d-PhoA, demonstrating that the chimeras prevent PulD multimerization and cause PulD monomer degradation by envelope proteases. Similar results were obtained with uninduced levels of Sac7*33-PhoA and Sac7*40-PhoA, but substantial pullulanase secretion (>50%) and PulD multimerization occurred when Sac7*6-PhoA was present at uninduced levels (Fig. 14C).

Example 8: Selection of Sac7d variants binding to other targets

The best library (library 14) was used to obtain binders specific for other targets, by using the same technology as described above.

Selections were carried out by anti-protein ribosome display focusing on the following proteins: PulDN1 (26.8 kDa), NGF (13 kDa), PknG (81,6 kDa), GarA (12 kDa) and lysozyme (14.3kDa) up to cycle No. 5. During the 4th cycle, the selection process was conducted in parallel, with and without the target. Figure 15 shows that, after 4 selection cycles, a PCR product is obtained only when the target is present (lanes marked as "+"). This suggests that the selection pools were enriched with clones specific for each of the targets tested.

In order to further evaluate the success of the selection process, a competitive radio immuno assay (RIA) was carried out using the target proteins at various inhibitory concentrations. These RIAs clearly show that there is marked enrichment for anti-PknG, anti-lysozyme and anti-PulDN1 selections whereas in the case of NGF and GarA, the results suggest that the selection has not yet sufficiently converged. Figure 16 shows that the average anticipated affinity for the anti-PknG and anti-lysozyme variants is of the order of 1 to 10 nM.

The anti-lysozyme, anti-PknG and anti-GarA selection pools used in cycle No. 5 were screened according to the ELISA method by preparing 96-well micro-cultures and using bacterial lysis supernatants after inducing clone expression with IPTG for 4 hours at 30°C. The results presented in Figure 17 clearly show that there are specific clones for each of the targets. Although the RIA for GarA was not encouraging, a significant proportion of positive clones were nevertheless detected with the ELISA method. A particular clone binding GarA was isolated and sequenced. Figure 19 shows the alignment of this binder's sequence (GSVKVKFLYLGEKEVDTSKIWFVMRAGKHVYFQYDDNGKYGIGWVREKDAPKEL LDMLARAEREKKL, SEQ ID No: 47) with Sac7d.

As regards PknG, a significant proportion of clones appear to bind to BSA, which suggests that an additional selection cycle is required in order to eliminate them. Anti-PulDN1 and anti-NGF screenings are carried out.

The positive anti-lysozyme clones were screened and classed by Biacore for the best anticipated affinities (long complex dissociation time (k_{off})), by preparing 96-well microcultures. The 24 best clones selected were then sequenced (Figure 18). A preferential

sequence, such as that of the Lys_B11 clone, is clearly evident since it is represented several times (although encoded for certain positions by different codons for the same amino acid). The screening of cycle No. 4 revealed a greater diversity (not shown).

Three clones of different sequences (Lys_B3, Lys_H4 and Lys_H8) were produced in *E. coli*, purified on a large scale by IMAC and then passed through a molecular sieve. The production levels obtained were approximately 120, 40 and 25 mg/L of culture, respectively. The affinities determined by Biacore are being obtained and processed. Current (preliminary) data indicate affinities of the order of 15nM, 3nM and 25nM (Lys_B3, Lys_H4 and Lys_H8, respectively).

The three anti-lysozyme clones (Lys_B3, Lys_H4 and Lys_H8) are currently being characterised by microcalorimetry in order to determine their thermostabilities and affinities in solution.

The clones obtained from other selections will also be screened by Biacore and sequenced, and the affinities of certain clones will be determined more precisely by Biacore.

Example 9: Selection of Sac7d variants binding to a human IgG Fc fragment

9.1. Selection of Fc binders

A Human IgG Fc fragment (MW = 50 kDa) provided by Bio-Rad was chemically biotinylated using sulfosuccinimidyl-6-(biotinamido) hexanoate. The degree of biotinylation, determined by a HABA assay, was about 2 to 3 molecules of biotin per protein molecule. Biotinylated Fc was bound to immobilized neutravidin or streptavidin in a Maxisorp plate (Nunc) and selections by ribosome display were performed at 4°C using the library derived from Sac7d (as described above). Four rounds of selection were performed to isolate binders. Neutravidin and streptavidin were used alternatively from round to round to avoid unspecific binders.

9.2. Evaluation of the pool of sequences selected

After a round of selection, a pool of sequences (supposedly binders) carrying an RGS-His6-tag was obtained. This pool was translated *in vitro* using an *E. coli* S30 extract, and its binding activity was tested by ELISA using an anti-RGS-His6-tag-HRP conjugate. A binding activity was detected in the pool of selection against passively immobilized Fc fragment, and no binding signal was observed against BSA, Neutravidin and Streptavidin (not shown). This result suggests that the pool of binders is probably specific of Fc fragment and that there is no significant contribution of Neutravidin or Streptavidin to binding activity.

A competition ELISA with this translated pool was also carried-out to roughly evaluate the average affinity of selected binders. In this experiment, the translation was pre-incubated with several concentrations of Fc fragment before incubation in the ELISA

plate in which Fc fragment was immobilized. As shown in figure 20, 20% and 70% of the signal could be inhibited with 10 nM and 100 nM Fc, respectively. This result suggests that expected average affinity is around dozens of nM. This range of affinity is similar to what was observed for PulD-N selection.

Example 10: automation of the technology

To increase the potential of the above-described technology, the ribosome display selection protocol (figure 21) is transferred to an automated platform for the subsequent, simultaneous and rapid testing of numerous targets (still using library 14, or any other library, for example starting from an OB-fold protein different from Sac7d).

A Tecan Gemini 150 robot has been fully equipped to this aim. This station comprises: several heating/cooling/agitating blocks, a MJ research thermocycler for automated station with motorized lid, all the needed accessories to perform reaction set-ups, RNA and DNA cleanups as well as to measure concentrations of nucleic acids with an integrated microplate reader. The goal is to achieve one round of selection without manual intervention at all. Manual intervention would only be required between the rounds of selection as many plastic ware have to be replaced at the end of a cycle. Manual selections by ribosome display (figure 21) are repetitive (five rounds necessary to enrich for binders), fastidious (many steps of transcription, translation, PCR, RT-PCR) and therefore time consuming for few targets, or even not manageable for more than four targets by one experimentalist. Hence, there is a great practical advantage to perform selections using a robot. After validation of the selection protocol on the robotic station (with PulD target), it is possible to select binders against up to 48 targets on a time scale of one or two weeks.

Discussion

The inventors explored potential benefits of what evolution has accomplished with OB-folds during millions of years to adapt this fold to bind a wide diversity of ligands: metallic ions, sugars, nucleic acids (RNA, single and double stranded DNA) and proteins. They were able to show that a member of the OB-fold family can indeed be converted from DNA recognition to protein recognition by *in vitro* evolution. This led successfully to the generation of high affinity, high-specificity binders for a given target.

Design of Sac7d libraries

The observation that the OB-fold architecture is polarized, meaning that the binding face is always the same in all OB-fold proteins (Arcus, 2002), enabled the design of libraries of Sac7d variants retaining the favorable biophysical properties of the wild type protein. Different OB-fold proteins can exhibit substantial variation in the lengths of loops 1, 3 and 4 (figure 1b and 2), which are often implicated in ligand binding. Although most scaffolds used up to now are based on randomization of flexible loops similarly to those in antibodies (Binz et al., 2005), the inventors decided to keep the original loop lengths from the native Sac7d protein. They first postulated that the lightly concave part of the binding area

would be best adapted to globular shape of proteins used as targets. However, as reported above, the randomization of the residues from this area did not allow to obtain better than high nanomolar binders. This potential binding surface corresponds to a solvent-accessible surface area to of about $890 \text{ \AA}^2$ and is in the typical range for antibody-protein associations ($777 \pm 135 \text{ \AA}^2$) (Jones and Thornton, 1996). Thus, this surface should be sufficient to provide enough energy of interaction to get monomeric binders with high affinity. The extension of the potential binding area with three more substitutions was then tested.

Selection with Sac7d libraries

Selections of binders against several different targets were successfully carried out using ribosome display. In contrast to selections with fully synthetic naïve scFv libraries, for which 5 to 6 rounds were necessary for enrichment (Hanes et al., 2000), a significant enrichment was observed already after the third round with Sac7d libraries. This could be explained by a more efficient folding of Sac7d compared to antibodies, as it requires neither disulfide bond formation to reach its native state nor the correct association of two linked structural domains (as for scFv fragments) to be functional. The high rate of enrichment is similar to that described for the DARPIn scaffold (Binz et al., 2004a). Finally, outputs of selections against PulD-N demonstrate that the libraries contain sufficient functional diversity to provide different binders able to recognize a same target protein. This validated the design of Sac7d libraries according to the invention.

Properties of selected binders

Characterization of the selected monomeric binders against PulD-N showed that picomolar affinities can be obtained with Sac7d libraries. These affinities are among the highest obtained with a scaffold protein without the need for an affinity maturation step (for a review, see (Hosse et al., 2006) and references therein). The kinetics of bindings were also remarkable, with rates of association around $2 \cdot 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ that rank PulD-N binders in the upper range of diffusion-limited association rates for protein-protein interactions (Gabdouline and Wade, 1997). The rates of association do not appear to be electrostatically assisted. Thus, the high rates of association could be explained by the “preformed” shape of the binder that matches geometrically to the target without requiring conformational changes.

The high affinities obtained are associated with a very high specificity. The inventors have shown that whatever the context (*E. coli* crude extract or membranes) and the approach (immunoblot or affinity chromatography), all tested binders were able to discriminate the target among thousands of other proteins. This stringent specificity could be related to the rigidity of the scaffold that prevents slight adaptations to different targets. Furthermore, all of the dozens of binders screened were shown to be target-specific in ELISA assays, indicating that the selection pressure applied was sufficient to get rid of sticky molecules.

Recombinant protein yields for variants (up to 200 mg/l *E. coli* culture) were much higher than reported for Sac7d (about 10-15 mg/l). This difference can be explained by the lysis that occurs few hours after induction of the expression of the wild-type *sac7d* gene. This toxicity is probably due to perturbations of regulation pathways induced by Sac7d binding to the *E. coli* genome, as Sac7d is a general DNA binding protein. This limitation is not seen for variants, suggesting that variants had indeed lost their DNA binding property.

The thermodynamic stability observed for three variants compares well with several other proteins from thermophiles (Kahsai et al., 2005) and the thermal stability of the binders remained close to that of wild-type Sac7d. Clone 6, the least stable clone analyzed, still remained a thermostable protein with a T_m of about 68°C at pH 5.6, a T_m by 8°C lower compared to that at pH 7.0. Hence, it appears that despite the variable T_m values observed from clone to clone, globally the library design described above, combined with the use of a protein of an extremophile origin, led to the generation of very stable proteins with the desired recognition properties.

Binding in vivo and intracellular inhibition of pullulanase secretion

All three Sac7*-PhoA chimeras that were tested bound to monomeric full-length PulD. Sac7*40-PhoA and Sac7*33-PhoA bound well to dodecameric PulD, indicating that their epitopes remain accessible. In contrast, Sac7*6-PhoA bound only weakly to PulD dodecamers but had the highest affinity for PulD-N *in vitro*, indicating that its epitope is partially masked upon multimerization and is different from those recognized by Sac7*40 and Sac7*33. ITC competition experiments indicated that epitopes recognized by Sac7*6 and Sac7*40 are identical or overlap (data not shown). The differences in the *in vivo* effects of these two binders, when fused to PhoA, suggest that their epitopes overlap.

All three Sac7*-PhoA variants prevented PulD multimerization and targeted the PulD monomers for degradation by envelope proteases, thereby blocking pullulanase secretion. Earlier evidence indicated that the N domain does not influence PulD multimerization (Guilvout et al., 2006). PhoA dimerization in Sac7*-PhoA might cause steric hindrance and consequent mispositioning of PulD monomers. Secretion levels in strains producing Sac7*-PhoA remained very low when the level of PulD produced was increased and envelope proteases were inactivated (Fig. 14B). Low secretion could be due to the presence of only a few PulD dodecamers, channel occlusion, or masking of an essential interaction site with substrate (Shevchik et al., 1997) or another secretion component (Possot et al., 2000) by bound chimeras.

Reducing the level of Sac7*33 or Sac7*40 (by eliminating induction by IPTG) did not diminish their effect on secretion or PulD multimerization, but Sac7*6-PhoA was almost without effect under these conditions (Fig. 14C), even though it was at least as

abundant as the other chimeras (Fig. 14A). Two different scenarios could explain this observation. First, the highly abundant Sac7*6-PhoA binds to almost all PulD monomers and prevents their multimerization. However, when Sac7*6-PhoA levels are lower, it cannot compete efficiently with PulD monomer-monomer interactions, and enough multimers assemble to allow efficient secretion. The apparently lower affinity of Sac7*6-PhoA for dodecameric PulD is insufficient to prevent secretion. Second, binding of the chaperone PulS to PulD monomers, a prerequisite for their correct targeting to the outer membrane (Guilvout et al., 2006; Hardie et al., 1996), prevents Sac7*6-PhoA binding and permits correct multimerization. In this scenario, the outcome depends on which protein binds first to PulD, PulS or Sac7*6-PhoA. Both scenarios are in agreement with the fact that the epitope recognized by Sac7*6 is strongly masked upon PulD multimerization, suggesting that it is at the interface between two monomers.

Potential biotechnological applications

These binders retained most of the very favorable biophysical properties required in an alternative scaffold to antibodies. Their properties compare well with previously proposed alternatives to antibodies such as affibodies (Nord et al., 1997), fibronectin (Xu et al., 2002), or ankyrins (Binz et al., 2004a). Indeed, they are very well expressed in *E. coli* (in the cytoplasm or the periplasm), stable, soluble, able to recognize a protein target with a high affinity and a high specificity, and they can be fused functionally to different reporter proteins (PhoA and GFP). In other words, they are cheap to produce, easy to purify and to handle. This opens the door for a number of biotechnological applications.

Furthermore their very small size, three or nineteen times smaller than a scFv or an IgG, respectively, makes them ideal candidates for the design of chimeric proteins in which binding modules are needed while maintaining a reasonable size. It is also conceivable to link several binders with different specificities and, thus, to construct fusions with multiple specificities. Another advantage of small binders such as Sac7d is their potential ability to bind buried epitopes that are sterically inaccessible to natural antibodies or their fragments.

It can be noted that the capacity of the binders to bind to target proteins is not limited to targets with a high molecular weight. Indeed, the results already obtained, in addition to those concerning PulD, show that the bank developed from Sac7d has allowed the isolation of clones specifically binding to three new targets, which cover a wide range of molecular weights (Lysozyme = 14.3kDa, GarA = 17.3kDa, PknG = 81.6kDa). The expression of these binders in *E. coli* is far superior to the levels observed for recombinant antibody fragments. The affinities obtained are of the order of nM without selection based on long k_{off} values (off-rate selection), due to a shortage of time. This selection step is needed in order to obtain sub-nanomolar affinities, and is currently performed.

To address how specific could be PulD binders, the inventors have already demonstrated they could be used for the development of detection reagents. For example, one step ELISA or Western blots are achievable by fusion of binders to alkaline phosphatase. Another example is the use of GFP fusions for *in vitro* detection that paves the way to *in vivo* intracellular localization. It was also demonstrated that affinity chromatography can be performed with these binders and that a protein can be purified to homogeneity by single step purification. Other applications could use these binders, such as protein chip arrays, biosensors or switchables *in vivo* knock out for example.

Conclusions

The strategy to use an OB-fold protein to get binders able to recognize target proteins with high affinity and very fine specificity was successfully validated by the above results. The starting point was Sac7d, a general DNA binding protein. Sac7d and its derivatives are now able to recognize two structurally unrelated families of ligands: DNA and proteins. Hence, the inventors have reproduced *in vitro* the binding adaptation of an OB-fold for a given target, such as Nature as already achieved. It is therefore conceivable, that Sac7d might also be adapted to other known ligands of OB-fold proteins, such as single strand DNA, RNA or sugars. In addition to their potential use in functional knockout experiments, these binders could be used for affinity chromatography, detection, *in vivo* localization experiments, *etc.* Indeed, the favorable biophysical properties of these binders, along with their facile fusion to different reporter proteins and their small size (3 and 19 times smaller than scFv and IgG, respectively), might facilitate these applications.

REFERENCES

- Agback, P., Baumann, H., Knapp, S., Ladenstein, R., and Hard, T. (1998). Architecture of nonspecific protein-DNA interactions in the Sso7d-DNA complex. *Nat Struct Biol* 5, 579-584.
- 5 Arcus, V. (2002). OB-fold domains: a snapshot of the evolution of sequence, structure and function. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* 12, 794-801.
- Berman, H. M., Westbrook, J., Feng, Z., Gilliland, G., Bhat, T. N., Weissig, H., Shindyalov, I. N., and Bourne, P. E. (2000). The Protein Data Bank. *Nucleic Acids Res* 28, 235-242.
- 10 Binz, H. K., Amstutz, P., Kohl, A., Stumpp, M. T., Briand, C., Forrer, P., Grutter, M. G., and Pluckthun, A. (2004a). High-affinity binders selected from designed ankyrin repeat protein libraries. *Nat Biotechnol* 22, 575-582.
- Binz, H. K., Amstutz, P., Kohl, A., Stumpp, M. T., Briand, C., Forrer, P., Grutter, M. G., and Pluckthun, A. (2004b). High-affinity binders selected from designed
- 15 ankyrin repeat protein libraries. *Nat Biotechnol* 22, 575-582. Epub 2004 Apr 2018.
- Binz, H. K., Amstutz, P., and Pluckthun, A. (2005). Engineering novel binding proteins from nonimmunoglobulin domains. *Nat Biotechnol* 23, 1257-1268.
- Boder, E. T., and Wittrup, K. D. (1997). Yeast surface display for screening combinatorial polypeptide libraries. *Nat Biotechnol* 15, 553-557.
- 20 Chami, M., Guilvout, I., Gregorini, M., Remigy, H. W., Muller, S. A., Valerio, M., Engel, A., Pugsley, A. P., and Bayan, N. (2005). Structural insights into the secretin PulD and its trypsin-resistant core. *J Biol Chem* 280, 37732-37741.
- Daefler, S., Guilvout, I., Hardie, K. R., Pugsley, A. P., and Russel, M. (1997). The C-terminal domain of the secretin PulD contains the binding site for its cognate
- 25 chaperone, PulS, and confers PulS dependence on pIVf1 function. *Mol Microbiol* 24, 465-475.
- d'Enfert, C., Chapon, C., and Pugsley, A. P. (1987). Export and secretion of the lipoprotein pullulanase by *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. *Mol Microbiol* 1, 107-116.
- d'Enfert, C., Reyss, I., Wandersman, C., and Pugsley, A. P. (1989). Protein
- 30 secretion by gram-negative bacteria. Characterization of two membrane proteins required for pullulanase secretion by *Escherichia coli* K-12. *J Biol Chem* 264, 17462-17468.
- Edmondson, S. P., and Shriver, J. W. (2001). DNA binding proteins Sac7d and Sso7d from *Sulfolobus*. *Methods Enzymol* 334, 129-145.
- Freire, E. (2001). The thermodynamic linkage between protein structure,
- 35 stability, and function. *Methods Mol Biol* 168, 37-68.
- Gabdoulline, R. R., and Wade, R. C. (1997). Simulation of the diffusional association of barnase and barstar. *Biophys J* 72, 1917-1929.

Gao, Y. G., Su, S. Y., Robinson, H., Padmanabhan, S., Lim, L., McCrary, B. S., Edmondson, S. P., Shriver, J. W., and Wang, A. H. (1998). The crystal structure of the hyperthermophile chromosomal protein Sso7d bound to DNA. *Nat Struct Biol* 5, 782-786.

Georgiou, G., Stathopoulos, C., Daugherty, P. S., Nayak, A. R., Iverson, B. L., and Curtiss, R., 3rd (1997). Display of heterologous proteins on the surface of microorganisms: from the screening of combinatorial libraries to live recombinant vaccines. *Nat Biotechnol* 15, 29-34.

Guilvout, I., Chami, M., Engel, A., Pugsley, A. P., and Bayan, N. (2006). Bacterial outer membrane secretin PulD assembles and inserts into the inner membrane in the absence of its pilotin. *Embo J* 25, 5241-5249.

Guilvout, I., Hardie, K. R., Sauvonnnet, N., and Pugsley, A. P. (1999). Genetic dissection of the outer membrane secretin PulD: are there distinct domains for multimerization and secretion specificity? *J Bacteriol* 181, 7212-7220.

Hanes, J., Jermutus, L., Weber-Bornhauser, S., Bosshard, H. R., and Pluckthun, A. (1998). Ribosome display efficiently selects and evolves high-affinity antibodies in vitro from immune libraries. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 95, 14130-14135.

Hanes, J., and Pluckthun, A. (1997). In vitro selection and evolution of functional proteins by using ribosome display. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 94, 4937-4942.

Hanes, J., Schaffitzel, C., Knappik, A., and Pluckthun, A. (2000). Picomolar affinity antibodies from a fully synthetic naive library selected and evolved by ribosome display. *Nat Biotechnol* 18, 1287-1292.

Hardie, K. R., Lory, S., and Pugsley, A. P. (1996). Insertion of an outer membrane protein in *Escherichia coli* requires a chaperone-like protein. *Embo J* 15, 978-988.

He, M., and Taussig, M. J. (2002). Ribosome display: cell-free protein display technology. *Brief Funct Genomic Proteomic* 1, 204-212.

Hible, G., Renault, L., Schaeffer, F., Christova, P., Zoe Radulescu, A., Evrin, C., Gilles, A. M., and Cherfils, J. (2005). Calorimetric and crystallographic analysis of the oligomeric structure of *Escherichia coli* GMP kinase. *J Mol Biol* 352, 1044-1059.

Holm, L., and Park, J. (2000). DaliLite workbench for protein structure comparison. *Bioinformatics* 16, 566-567.

Holm, L., and Sander, C. (1998). Touring protein fold space with Dali/FSSP. *Nucleic Acids Res* 26, 316-319.

Hosse, R. J., Rothe, A., and Power, B. E. (2006). A new generation of protein display scaffolds for molecular recognition. *Protein Sci* 15, 14-27.

Jermutus, L., Honegger, A., Schwesinger, F., Hanes, J., and Pluckthun, A. (2001). Tailoring in vitro evolution for protein affinity or stability. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 98, 75-80.

Jones, S., and Thornton, J. M. (1996). Principles of protein-protein interactions. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 93, 13-20.

Kahsai, M. A., Martin, E., Edmondson, S. P., and Shriver, J. W. (2005). Stability and flexibility in the structure of the hyperthermophile DNA-binding protein Sac7d.

5 *Biochemistry* 44, 13500-13509.

Kitov, P. I., Sadowska, J. M., Mulvey, G., Armstrong, G. D., Ling, H., Pannu, N. S., Read, R. J., and Bundle, D. R. (2000). Shiga-like toxins are neutralized by tailored multivalent carbohydrate ligands. *Nature* 403, 669-672.

10 Kloks, C. P., Spronk, C. A., Lasonder, E., Hoffmann, A., Vuister, G. W., Grzesiek, S., and Hilbers, C. W. (2002). The solution structure and DNA-binding properties of the cold-shock domain of the human Y-box protein YB-1. *J Mol Biol* 316, 317-326.

Lopez, R., Silventoinen, V., Robinson, S., Kibria, A., and Gish, W. (2003). WU-Blast2 server at the European Bioinformatics Institute. *Nucleic Acids Res* 31, 3795-3798.

15 Mathonet, P., and Fastrez, J. (2004). Engineering of non-natural receptors. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* 14, 505-511.

McAfee, J. G., Edmondson, S. P., Datta, P. K., Shriver, J. W., and Gupta, R. (1995). Gene cloning, expression, and characterization of the Sac7 proteins from the hyperthermophile *Sulfolobus acidocaldarius*. *Biochemistry* 34, 10063-10077.

20 McCrary, B. S., Edmondson, S. P., and Shriver, J. W. (1996). Hyperthermophile protein folding thermodynamics: differential scanning calorimetry and chemical denaturation of Sac7d. *J Mol Biol* 264, 784-805.

Mitton-Fry, R. M., Anderson, E. M., Hughes, T. R., Lundblad, V., and Wuttke, D. S. (2002). Conserved structure for single-stranded telomeric DNA recognition. 25 *Science* 296, 145-147.

Murzin, A. G. (1993). OB(oligonucleotide/oligosaccharide binding)-fold: common structural and functional solution for non-homologous sequences. *Embo J* 12, 861-867.

30 Nieba, L., Krebber, A., and Pluckthun, A. (1996). Competition BIAcore for measuring true affinities: large differences from values determined from binding kinetics. *Anal Biochem* 234, 155-165.

Nord, K., Gunneriusson, E., Ringdahl, J., Stahl, S., Uhlen, M., and Nygren, P. A. (1997). Binding proteins selected from combinatorial libraries of an alpha-helical bacterial receptor domain. *Nat Biotechnol* 15, 772-777.

35 Notredame, C., Higgins, D. G., and Heringa, J. (2000). T-Coffee: A novel method for fast and accurate multiple sequence alignment. *J Mol Biol* 302, 205-217.

Ostermeier, C., Iwata, S., Ludwig, B., and Michel, H. (1995). Fv fragment-mediated crystallization of the membrane protein bacterial cytochrome c oxidase. *Nat Struct Biol* 2, 842-846.

5 Papageorgiou, A. C., Tranter, H. S., and Acharya, K. R. (1998). Crystal structure of microbial superantigen staphylococcal enterotoxin B at 1.5 Å resolution: implications for superantigen recognition by MHC class II molecules and T-cell receptors. *J Mol Biol* 277, 61-79.

Pearl, F. M., Bennett, C. F., Bray, J. E., Harrison, A. P., Martin, N., Shepherd, A., Sillitoe, I., Thornton, J., and Orengo, C. A. (2003). The CATH database: an
10 extended protein family resource for structural and functional genomics. *Nucleic Acids Res* 31, 452-455.

Plotnikov, V. V., Brandts, J. M., Lin, L. N., and Brandts, J. F. (1997). A new ultrasensitive scanning calorimeter. *Anal Biochem* 250, 237-244.

Possot, O. M., Vignon, G., Bomchil, N., Ebel, F., and Pugsley, A. P. (2000).
15 Multiple interactions between pullulanase secretin components involved in stabilization and cytoplasmic membrane association of PUL. *J Bacteriol* 182, 2142-2152.

Qian, J., Stenger, B., Wilson, C. A., Lin, J., Jansen, R., Teichmann, S. A., Park, J., Krebs, W. G., Yu, H., Alexandrov, V., *et al.* (2001). PartsList: a web-based system for dynamically ranking protein folds based on disparate attributes, including whole-genome
20 expression and interaction information. *Nucleic Acids Res* 29, 1750-1764.

Robinson, H., Gao, Y. G., McCrary, B. S., Edmondson, S. P., Shriver, J. W., and Wang, A. H. (1998). The hyperthermophile chromosomal protein Sac7d sharply kinks DNA. *Nature* 392, 202-205.

Roussel, A., Anderson, B. F., Baker, H. M., Fraser, J. D., and Baker, E. N.
25 (1997). Crystal structure of the streptococcal superantigen SPE-C: dimerization and zinc binding suggest a novel mode of interaction with MHC class II molecules. *Nat Struct Biol* 4, 635-643.

Samygina, V. R., Popov, A. N., Rodina, E. V., Vorobyeva, N. N., Lamzin, V. S., Polyakov, K. M., Kurilova, S. A., Nazarova, T. I., and Avaeva, S. M. (2001). The
30 structures of *Escherichia coli* inorganic pyrophosphatase complexed with Ca(2+) or CaPP(i) at atomic resolution and their mechanistic implications. *J Mol Biol* 314, 633-645.

Schaffitzel, C., Hanes, J., Jermutus, L., and Pluckthun, A. (1999). Ribosome display: an in vitro method for selection and evolution of antibodies from libraries. *J Immunol Methods* 231, 119-135.

35 Shevchik, V. E., Robert-Baudouy, J., and Condemine, G. (1997). Specific interaction between OutD, an *Erwinia chrysanthemi* outer membrane protein of the general secretory pathway, and secreted proteins. *Embo J* 16, 3007-3016.

Smith, G. P. (1985). Filamentous fusion phage: novel expression vectors that display cloned antigens on the virion surface. *Science* 228, 1315-1317.

Su, S., Gao, Y. G., Robinson, H., Liaw, Y. C., Edmondson, S. P., Shriver, J. W., and Wang, A. H. (2000). Crystal structures of the chromosomal proteins Sso7d/Sac7d bound to DNA containing T-G mismatched base-pairs. *J Mol Biol* 303, 395-403.

Wilson, D. S., Keefe, A. D., and Szostak, J. W. (2001). The use of mRNA display to select high-affinity protein-binding peptides. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 98, 3750-3755.

Xu, L., Aha, P., Gu, K., Kuimelis, R. G., Kurz, M., Lam, T., Lim, A. C., Liu, H., Lohse, P. A., Sun, L., *et al.* (2002). Directed evolution of high-affinity antibody mimics using mRNA display. *Chem Biol* 9, 933-942.

CLAIMS

1. Use of an OB-fold protein, as a starting molecule for obtaining, through a combinatorial mutation/selection approach, a molecule specifically binding to a target.

2. The use of claim 1, wherein said combinatorial mutation/selection approach is combined with the insertion of 1 to 15 random amino acid residues in loop 3 and/or 1 to 15 random amino acid residues in loop 4, and/or 1 to 20 random amino acid residues in loop 1.

3. The use of claim 1 or claim 2, wherein said combinatorial mutation/selection approach is combined with the deletion of 1 to 4 amino acid residues.

4. A combinatorial library corresponding to the randomization of 5 to 32, preferably 8 to 20, and more preferably 11 to 16 residues of the binding interface of an OB-fold protein with its native ligand.

5. The combinatorial library of claim 4, further comprising an insertion of 1 to 15 random amino acid residues in loop 3 and/or an insertion of 1 to 15 random amino acid residues in loop 4, and/or an insertion of 1 to 20 random amino acid residues in loop 1.

6. The combinatorial library of claim 4 or claim 5, wherein the OB-fold protein is Sac7d or Sac7e from *Sulfolobus acidocaldarius*, or Sso7d, from *Sulfolobus solfataricus*, or DBP 7 from *Sulfolobus tokodaii*, or Ssh7b from *Sulfolobus shibatae*, or Ssh7a from *Sulfolobus shibatae*, or p7ss from *Sulfolobus solfataricus*.

7. The combinatorial library of claim 6, which corresponds to the randomization of residues of Sac7d selected amongst V2, K3, K5, K7, Y8, K9, G10, E14, T17, K21, K22, W24, V26, G27, K28, M29, S31, T33, D36, N37, G38, K39, T40, R42, A44, S46, E47, K48, D49, A50 and P51.

8. The combinatorial library of claim 7, which corresponds to the randomization of 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 or 18 residues of Sac7d selected amongst K7, Y8, K9, K21, K22, W24, V26, K28, M29, S31, T33, K39, T40, R42, A44, S46, E47 and K48.

9. The combinatorial library of claim 8, which corresponds to the randomization of 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 or 16 residues of Sac7d selected amongst K7, Y8, K9, K21, K22, W24, V26, K28, M29, S31, T33, K39, T40, R42, A44 and S46.

10. The combinatorial library of claim 9, in which the randomized residues comprise at least the residues K7, Y8, K9, W24, V26, M29, S31, T33, R42, A44 and S46 of Sac7d.

11. The combinatorial library of claim 10, which corresponds to the randomization of the residues K7, Y8, K9, W24, V26, M29, S31, T33, R42, A44 and S46 of Sac7d.

12. The combinatorial library of claim 10, in which one, two or three additional residues of Sac7d selected amongst K21, K22 and T40 are also randomized.

13. The combinatorial library of claim 12, which corresponds to the randomization of the residues K7, Y8, K9, K21, K22, W24, V26, M29, S31, T33, R42, A44 and S46 of Sac7d.

14. The combinatorial library of claim 12, which corresponds to the randomization of the residues K7, Y8, K9, K21, K22, W24, V26, M29, S31, T33, T40, R42, A44 and S46 of Sac7d.

15. The combinatorial library of any of claims 6 to 14, wherein 1 to 15 random amino acid residues are inserted in the region corresponding to the amino acids in position 25 to 30 of Sac7d, preferably between G27 and K28, and/or 1 to 15 random amino acid residues are inserted in the region corresponding to the amino acids in position 35 to 40 of Sac7d, preferably between N37 and G38, and/or 1 to 20 random amino acid residues are inserted in the region corresponding to the amino acids in position 7 to 12 of Sac7d, preferably between K9 and G10.

16. The combinatorial library of any of claims 6 to 14, wherein 1 to 4 amino acid residues selected amongst A59, R60, A61 and E64 are deleted.

17. Use of a combinational library according to any of claims 4 to 16, for engineering a molecule specifically binding to a target.

18. A process for engineering a molecule specifically binding to a target, comprising a step of selecting, in a combinational library according to any of claims 4 to 16, variants of said OB-fold protein which bind to said target.

19. The use of any of claims 1, 2, 3 or 17, or the process of claim 18, wherein said target is a peptide, a protein, an oligosaccharide, a single-stranded DNA, a double-stranded DNA, an RNA, a metallic ion, or a carbohydrate.

20. The process of claim 18 or claim 19, wherein said selection step is performed by ribosome display.

21. The process of claim 20, in which 2, 3, 4 or 5 rounds of selection are performed by ribosome display.

22. The process of any of claim 20 or claim 21, further comprising a step of isolating and characterizing a molecule specifically binding to said target.

23. The process of claim 22, wherein said isolation and characterization step comprises the following steps:

(i) mRNAs from a pool selected by ribosome display are reversed-transcribed and amplified by PCR, and the resulting DNAs are cloned into expression vectors;

(ii) bacteria are transformed by said expression vectors and individual clones are grown; and

(iii) proteins extracted from said bacterial clones are tested for their binding to the target.

24. The process claim 22 or claim 23, further comprising a step of making a fusion protein comprising, as a first moiety, the isolated and characterized molecule, and at least a second moiety.

5 25. The process of claim 24, wherein said at least second moiety is selected amongst enzymes, marker proteins, therapeutic proteins, and binders with different specificities than said first moiety.

26. A protein obtained through the process according to any of claims 16 to 25, characterized in that it specifically binds to PulD, and in that its sequence is selected amongst SEQ ID Nos: 2 to 8.

10 27. A protein obtained through the process according to any of claims 16 to 25, characterized in that it specifically binds to GarA, and in that its sequence is SEQ ID No: 47.