

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR ANTI-REPACKAGING

TECHNICAL FIELD

[0001] The present application relates to the field of computer technologies, and in particular, to a method and an apparatus for anti-repackaging.

5 BACKGROUND

[0002] Currently, a lot of malicious application software modifies an original installation package through repackaging, so as to embed advertisements into the original installation package, automatically download malware, and implement root programs, etc.

10 [0003] A repackaging process is shown in FIG. 1. First, the original installation package is decompiled to obtain a source code of the original installation package. Then, a user modifies the source code, for example, adds another code, and the added code can be an advertisement, or can be a program for automatically downloading malware, etc. Finally, a modified file is repackaged to obtain a repackaged installation
15 package.

[0004] Because the source code is modified in the previous repackaging process, a self-signature of the repackaged installation package is no longer a self-signature of the original installation package. Thus, in the existing technologies, a method for anti-repackaging can be: checking a self-signature of an installation package to
20 determine whether the installation package is repackaged. A specific verification process is shown in FIG. 2.

[0005] When a certain installation package is installed, a target file in the installation package is run, and a secure dynamic library in the installation package is loaded. Generally, to ensure the information security, some verification information is
25 stored in the secure dynamic library. The verification information includes a self-signature of an original installation package corresponding to the installation package. Because an operating system provides an interface for verifying the self-signature of the installation package, after obtaining the self-signature of the

installation package from the target file and obtaining the self-signature of the original installation package from the secure dynamic library, the operating system verifies whether the self-signature of the installation package is consistent with the self-signature of the original installation package. If they are consistent, the operating system determines that the installation package is the original installation package. If they are inconsistent, the operating system determines that the installation package is a repackaged installation package.

[0006] In the existing technologies, another method for anti-repackaging can be the following: An operating system calculates a hash (hash) value of an installation package installed this time, and checks the hash value, that is, compares the hash value with a hash value of an original installation package, if they are consistent, determines that the installation package is the original installation package, and if they are inconsistent, determines that the installation package is a repackaged installation package.

[0007] The two methods for anti-repackaging in the existing technologies must be used in a fully trusted operating system, so as to effectively prevent repackaging. However, because many existing operating systems are open-source, users can modify an original operating system, so that the operating system does not check a self-signature of an installation package or check a hash value of the installation package. As such, regardless of whether an installation package downloaded by the user is a repackaged installation package or not, the operating system considers by default that the installation package is an original installation package.

[0008] In addition, in the second method for anti-repackaging, sometimes an installation package to be installed occupies a large memory. As such, when the operating system calculates a hash value of the installation package, verification efficiency of the operating system is affected.

SUMMARY

[0009] The present application provides a method and an apparatus for anti-repackaging, to alleviate an existing problem that whether an installation package is repackaged cannot be effectively verified sometimes when a system checks a self-signature of the installation package, and to alleviate an existing problem that verification efficiency is low sometimes because the installation package uses a large

memory when the system calculates a hash value of the installation package to check whether the installation package is repackaged.

[0010] The present application provides a method for anti-repackaging, and the method includes: running a target file in an installation package and loading a secure dynamic library in the installation package; and performing the following steps based on code in the target file: obtaining digital watermark information embedded in the target file and verification information stored in the secure dynamic library; and verifying, based on the digital watermark information and the verification information, whether the installation package is a repackaged installation package.

[0011] Correspondingly, the present application further provides an apparatus for anti-repackaging, and the apparatus includes: a running unit and an execution unit, where the running unit is configured to run a target file in an installation package and load a secure dynamic library in the installation package; and the execution unit is configured to perform the following steps based on code in the target file: obtaining digital watermark information embedded in the target file and verification information stored in the secure dynamic library; and verifying, based on the digital watermark information and the verification information, whether the installation package is a repackaged installation package.

[0012] In the method for anti-repackaging provided in the present application, the installation package includes verification code. When the installation package is installed, an operating system obtains, based on the code, the digital watermark information embedded in the target file, obtains the verification information in the secure dynamic library in the installation package, and verifies, based on the digital watermark information and the verification information, whether the installation package is a repackaged installation package. The following beneficial effects are achieved by using the method for anti-repackaging provided in the present application:

[0013] 1. In the present application, the installation package includes code used to verify whether the installation package is repackaged. Thus, no matter how the operating system is modified, a process of verifying the installation package cannot be avoided. This alleviates an existing problem that whether the installation package is repackaged cannot be effectively verified because the system sometimes omits a process of verifying a self-signature of the installation package when checking the self-signature of the installation package to verify whether the installation package is

repackaged.

[0014] 2. In the present application, whether the installation package is repackaged is verified based on the digital watermark information and the verification information. Thus, compared with the method in existing technologies of verifying whether the installation package is repackaged by calculating a hash value of the installation package, the present application has higher efficiency in verifying whether the installation package is repackaged.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF DRAWINGS

[0015] The accompanying drawings described here are used to provide further understanding of the present application, and constitute a part of the present application. Schematic implementations of the present application and descriptions are used to explain the present application, and are not an improper limitation on the present application. In the accompanying drawings:

[0016] FIG. 1 is a schematic flowchart illustrating a repackaging method in existing technologies;

[0017] FIG. 2 is a schematic flowchart illustrating a method for anti-repackaging in existing technologies;

[0018] FIG. 3 is a schematic flowchart illustrating a method for anti-repackaging, according to an implementation of the present application;

[0019] FIG. 4 is a schematic flowchart of embedding digital watermark information into a target file, according to an implementation of the present application;

[0020] FIG. 5 is a schematic flowchart illustrating another method for anti-repackaging, according to an implementation of the present application;

[0021] FIG. 6 is a schematic flowchart illustrating a method for identifying digital watermark information, according to an implementation of the present application;

[0022] FIG. 7 is a schematic flowchart illustrating still another method for anti-repackaging, according to an implementation of the present application;

[0023] FIG. 8 is a schematic flowchart illustrating yet another method for anti-repackaging, according to an implementation of the present application; and

[0024] FIG. 9 is a schematic structural diagram illustrating an apparatus for anti-repackaging, according to an implementation of the present application.

DESCRIPTION OF IMPLEMENTATIONS

[0025] To make the objectives, technical solutions, and advantages of the present application clearer, the following clearly and comprehensively describes the technical solutions of the present application with reference to specific implementations of the present application and corresponding accompanying drawings. Apparently, the described implementations are merely some rather than all of the implementations of the present application. Based on the implementations in the present application, all other implementations obtained by a person of ordinary skill in the art without creative efforts fall within the protection scope of the present application.

[0026] The following describes in detail the technical solutions provided in the implementations in the present application with reference to the accompanying drawings.

[0027] The present application provides a method for anti-repackaging, to alleviate an existing problem that whether an installation package is repackaged cannot be effectively verified sometimes when a system checks a self-signature of the installation package, and to alleviate an existing problem that verification efficiency is low sometimes because the installation package occupies a large memory when the system calculates a hash value of the installation package to check whether the installation package is repackaged. A process of the method is shown in FIG. 3, and includes the following steps:

[0028] Step 301: Run a target file in an installation package and load a secure dynamic library in the installation package.

[0029] In the present step, when a user downloads a certain installation package and installs the installation package, an operating system runs a target file in the installation package, and simultaneously loads a secure dynamic library in the installation package. The target file is a target file compiled based on predetermined code, and digital watermark information is embedded into the compiled target file. The digital watermark information can be a character string, an instruction, etc. The secure dynamic library stores verification information about the installation package, and the verification information is used to verify whether the installation package is a repackaged installation package.

[0030] In the previous method of embedding the digital watermark information into the target file, the digital watermark information can be embedded at the end of

the target file, or the digital watermark information can be embedded at another location of the target file.

[0031] The operating system can be an ANDROID system, and the target file can be a dex file. The dex file is an executable file in the ANDROID system, and is written by using java code. The secure dynamic library can be a shared object (so) library, and is written by using c/c++. Generally, the so library stores some security information, for example, verification information. When the executable file is loaded or run, the so library is loaded by the operating system. Or the operating system can be WINDOWS, the target file can be an exe file, the secure dynamic library is a dll library, etc. The operating system, the target file, and the secure dynamic library are not limited here.

[0032] As shown in FIG. 4, if the target file is a dex file, when the digital watermark information is embedded into the target file, to ensure the integrity and the accuracy of data of the target file, corresponding values such as a checksum, a signature, a filesize, etc. of a target file header are recalculated based on the digital watermark information.

[0033] It is worthwhile to note that, if the installation package is a repackaged installation package, when the user repackages an original installation package, although the user can modify code in an original file in the original installation package, verification information in a secure dynamic library of the original installation package cannot be easily modified. Thus, the secure dynamic library of the installation package still stores the verification information of the original installation package. Assume that the digital watermark information is also embedded into the original installation package, the verification information stored in the secure dynamic library can be digital watermark information embedded in the original file. A reason is shown in FIG. 5:

[0034] When the original installation package is being repackaged, the original installation package needs to be decompiled first to obtain a source code file corresponding to the original installation package. Because the digital watermark information is embedded into the original file after being compiled into the original file based on predetermined code, the digital watermark information A is lost when the original installation package is being decompiled. Then, the user can modify the source code file. As shown in FIG. 5, another code can be added. In addition, the user further embeds digital watermark information B into the source code file to forge a

repackaged installation package. Finally, the source code file is repackaged and the secure dynamic library of the repackaged installation package still stores the verification information A. Thus, the digital watermark information A can be used as an identifier of the original installation package, and is used to verify whether the installation package is repackaged.

[0035] To clearly describe the implementations of the present application, the steps in the implementations of the present application are described below by embedding the digital watermark information at the end of the target file as an example.

[0036] Step 302: Perform the following steps based on code in the target file: obtaining digital watermark information embedded in the target file and verification information stored in the secure dynamic library, and verifying, based on the digital watermark information and the verification information, whether the installation package is a repackaged installation package.

[0037] In the present step, the operating system obtains the digital watermark information in the target file based on the code in the target file by using a method shown in FIG. 6: determining a start address of the digital watermark information in the target file based on an original length of the target file, and obtaining the digital watermark information from the target file based on the start address. The original length of the target file here is a length of the target file before the digital watermark information is embedded.

[0038] After obtaining the digital watermark information and obtaining the verification information from the secure dynamic library, the operating system verifies, based on the digital watermark information and the verification information, whether the installation package is a repackaged installation package. A verification method can be determined based on a type of the digital watermark information, as shown in Table 1:

Table 1

Type of digital watermark information	Verification method
Character string of a fixed	Directly verify whether the character string is consistent with

length	verification information
	Verify whether a watermark value is consistent with verification information
Character string of a random length	Calculate a length of the random character string first, and then verify whether the character string is consistent with verification information
Instruction	Verify whether the instruction is consistent with verification information
	Verify whether an operation result is consistent with verification information
	Verify whether an operation result is consistent with an operation result in verification information, and verify whether the instruction is consistent with an instruction in the verification information
...	

[0039] When the digital watermark information is a character string, whether the digital watermark information is consistent with the verification information is verified. If the digital watermark information is consistent with the verification information, it is determined that the installation package is an original installation package. If the digital watermark information is inconsistent with the verification information, it is determined that the installation package is a repackaged installation package.

[0040] In actual applications, if the digital watermark information is a character string, the character string can be a character string of a fixed length, or can be a character string of a random length. When the digital watermark information is a character string of a fixed length, whether the character string is consistent with the verification information in the secure dynamic library is directly verified; or a watermark value corresponding to the currently downloaded installation package is calculated to determine whether the watermark value is consistent with the verification information in the secure dynamic library. FIG. 7 shows a method for verifying, by calculating a watermark value in the dex file, whether the installation package is repackaged. Details are as follows:

[0041] The dex file includes a dex header and a dex body. The dex header

includes a data size and a data off, the dex body includes data, the data size indicates a size of the data, and the data off indicates an offset of the data. Assume that digital watermark information is embedded in an original dex file. When the original dex file is being repackaged, code corresponding to a data part in a dex body is modified, and after the code is modified, not only the digital watermark information embedded in the original dex file is lost, but a size of the data is also changed. Thus, a value corresponding to a data size in a dex header changes. Repackaging the original dex file can also be the following: Code corresponding to parts other than data in a dex body is modified, and after the code is modified, the digital watermark information embedded in the original dex file is also lost, and a location of the data in a dex body is offset (as shown in FIG. 7). As such, a value corresponding to data off in the dex header changes.

[0042] Assume that a method for calculating a watermark value corresponding to the original installation package is "data size + data off", that is, the watermark value is a value corresponding to the data size plus a value corresponding to the data off. When the user repackages the original installation package, the value corresponding to the data off or the data size changes, and a watermark value corresponding to the repackaged installation package also changes. At this time, the operating system can determine whether the installation package is repackaged by verifying whether the watermark value corresponding to the repackaged installation package is consistent with the verification information in the secure dynamic library. The method for calculating the watermark value here is merely an example. In actual applications, the method for calculating the watermark value can be determined based on actual situations, for example, can be "data size – data off", "data size/data off", etc.

[0043] When the previous method is used to verify whether the currently downloaded installation package is repackaged, the watermark value corresponding to the installation package changes even if the digital watermark information in the original installation package is embedded into the repackaged installation package. Thus, the operating system can accurately determine, based on the watermark value of the installation package, whether the installation package is repackaged.

[0044] When the digital watermark information is a character string of a random length, the length of the character string can be calculated first, and then, it is verified whether the length of the character string is consistent with a length of the verification information in the secure dynamic library; and if the length of the character string is

inconsistent with the length of the verification information in the secure dynamic library, it is directly determined that the installation package is a repackaged installation package; or if the length of the character string is consistent with the length of the verification information in the secure dynamic library, whether the character string is consistent with the verification information in the secure dynamic library is verified, and if the character string is also consistent with the verification information in the secure dynamic library, it is determined that the installation package is an original installation package, or if the character string is inconsistent with the verification information in the secure dynamic library, it is determined that the installation package is a repackaged installation package.

[0045] When the digital watermark information is an instruction, there are a plurality of methods for verifying the installation package. Three verification methods are described below as examples:

[0046] The first method is similar to the previous method for verifying an installation package when the digital watermark information is a character string. The operating system verifies whether the instruction is consistent with the verification information in the secure dynamic library; if the instruction is consistent with the verification information in the secure dynamic library, the operating system determines that the installation package is an original installation package; and if the instruction is inconsistent with the verification information in the secure dynamic library, the operating system determines that the installation package is a repackaged installation package.

[0047] In the second method, the operating system performs a corresponding operation based on the instruction to obtain an operation result, and then verifies whether the operation result is consistent with the verification information; if the operation result is consistent with the verification information, the operating system determines that the installation package is an original installation package, and if the operation result is inconsistent with the verification information, the operating system determines that the installation package is a repackaged installation package.

[0048] For example, the instruction can be "query whether content at the XX address is consistent with the verification information in the secure dynamic library". The operating system queries content (an operation result) corresponding to the address from the XX address in the target file based on the instruction, and verifies whether the content is consistent with the verification information in the secure

dynamic library. If the content is consistent with the verification information in the secure dynamic library, the operating system determines that the installation package is an original installation package. If the content is inconsistent with the verification information in the secure dynamic library, the operating system determines that the installation package is a repackaged installation package.

[0049] In the third method, the operating system performs a corresponding operation based on the instruction to obtain an operation result, verifies whether the operation result is consistent with an operation result in the verification information, and verifies whether the instruction is consistent with an instruction in the verification information. If results of both the two verifications are "consistent", the operating system determines that the installation package is an original installation package. If a result of either of the two verifications is "inconsistent", or results of both the two verifications are "inconsistent", the operating system determines that the installation package is a repackaged installation package.

[0050] To clearly describe the verification method, a simple example is provided here. Assume that the operation result in the verification information in the secure dynamic library is "2", an operation instruction is "1+1", an instruction corresponding to the digital watermark information in the target file is "3-1", and the operation result obtained after the operating system performs the operation based on the instruction is also "2". If the operating system only verifies whether the operation result is consistent with the operation result in the verification information, the operating system erroneously considers that the installation package is an original installation package, but if the operating system further verifies whether the instruction is consistent with the instruction in the verification information, the operating system accurately determines whether the installation package is repackaged.

[0051] The instruction corresponding to the digital watermark information in the target file can be a simple "return" instruction, or another instruction used for interaction with the operating system. For example, the instruction can be "make the operating system verify whether a self-signature of the target file is consistent with the verification information in the secure dynamic library". For another example, the instruction can be "make the operating system verify whether a length of the target file before the digital watermark information is embedded is consistent with the verification information stored in the secure dynamic library". The instruction can be set based on user needs.

[0052] In addition, if the installation package is a repackaged installation package, there can be the following case: Digital watermark information is embedded into an original file corresponding to the installation package. It can be seen from the previous content that, in a process of repackaging the original installation package, the digital watermark information in the original installation package is lost. If the user does not add digital watermark information after modifying code of the original file, the operating system can query, based on the code in the installation package, whether the target file of the installation package includes the digital watermark information.

[0053] The previous method for verifying an installation package is merely an example. In actual applications, there are a plurality of types of digital watermark information, and also a plurality of corresponding verification methods, which are not limited here.

[0054] In the method for anti-repackaging provided in the present application, the installation package includes verification code. When the installation package is installed, as shown in FIG. 8, the operating system obtains, based on the code, the verification information in the secure dynamic library in the installation package, obtains the digital watermark information embedded in the target file, and verifies, based on the digital watermark information and the verification information, whether the installation package is a repackaged installation package. The following beneficial effects are achieved by using the method for anti-repackaging provided in the present application:

[0055] 1. In the present application, the installation package includes code used to verify whether the installation package is repackaged. Thus, no matter how the operating system is modified, a process of verifying the installation package cannot be avoided. This alleviates an existing problem that whether the installation package is repackaged cannot be effectively verified because the system sometimes omits a process of verifying a self-signature of the installation package when checking the self-signature of the installation package to verify whether the installation package is repackaged.

[0056] 2. In the present application, whether the installation package is repackaged is verified based on the digital watermark information and the verification information. Thus, compared with the method in existing technologies of verifying whether the installation package is repackaged by calculating a hash value of the installation package, the present application has higher efficiency in verifying whether

the installation package is repackaged.

[0057] Correspondingly, the present application further provides an apparatus for anti-repackaging, to alleviate an existing problem that whether an installation package is repackaged cannot be effectively verified sometimes when a system checks a self-signature of the installation package, and to alleviate an existing problem that verification efficiency is low sometimes because the installation package occupies a large memory when the system calculates a hash value of the installation package to check whether the installation package is repackaged. A structure of the apparatus is shown in FIG. 9, and includes the following units: a running unit 901 and an execution unit 902.

[0058] The running unit 901 is configured to run a target file in an installation package and load a secure dynamic library in the installation package.

[0059] The execution unit 902 is configured to perform the following steps based on code in the target file: obtaining digital watermark information embedded in the target file and verification information stored in the secure dynamic library; and verifying, based on the digital watermark information and the verification information, whether the installation package is a repackaged installation package.

[0060] A working flow of the present apparatus implementation is as follows: First, the running unit 901 runs the target file in the installation package and loads the secure dynamic library in the installation package; and then the execution unit 902 performs the following steps based on the code in the target file: obtaining the digital watermark information embedded in the target file and the verification information stored in the secure dynamic library; and verifying, based on the digital watermark information and the verification information, whether the installation package is a repackaged installation package.

[0061] In the present apparatus implementation, anti-repackaging is implemented by using many methods. For example, in a first implementation, the target file is a target file obtained after predetermined code is compiled, and the digital watermark information is embedded at the end of the compiled target file.

[0062] In a second implementation, the obtaining digital watermark information embedded in the target file includes: determining a start address of the digital watermark information in the target file based on an original length of the target file, where the original length is a length of the target file before the digital watermark information is embedded; and obtaining the digital watermark information from the

target file based on the start address.

[0063] In a third implementation, the verifying, based on the digital watermark information and the verification information, whether the installation package is a repackaged installation package includes: verifying whether the digital watermark
5 information is consistent with the verification information; and if the digital watermark information is consistent with the verification information, determining that the installation package is an original installation package; or if the digital watermark information is inconsistent with the verification information, determining that the installation package is a repackaged installation package.

10 **[0064]** In a fourth implementation, the verifying, based on the digital watermark information and the verification information, whether the installation package is a repackaged installation package includes: when the digital watermark information is an instruction, performing an operation based on the instruction to obtain an operation result; verifying whether the operation result is consistent with the verification
15 information; and if the operation result is consistent with the verification information, determining that the installation package is an original installation package; or if the operation result is inconsistent with the verification information, determining that the installation package is a repackaged installation package.

[0065] In a fifth implementation, the verifying, based on the digital watermark
20 information and the verification information, whether the installation package is a repackaged installation package includes: when the digital watermark information is an instruction, performing an operation based on the instruction to obtain an operation result; verifying whether the operation result is consistent with an operation result in the verification information, and verifying whether the instruction is consistent with
25 an instruction in the verification information; and if the operation result is consistent with the operation result in the verification information and the instruction is consistent with the instruction in the verification information, determining that the installation package is an original installation package; or otherwise, determining that the installation package is a repackaged installation package.

30 **[0066]** Beneficial effects achieved in the present apparatus implementation are the same as or similar to the beneficial effects achieved in the previous method implementation. To avoid repetition, details are omitted here for simplicity.

[0067] A person skilled in the art should understand that the implementations of the present invention can be provided as a method, a system, or a computer program

product. Therefore, the present invention can take the form of hardware only implementations, software only implementations, or implementations combining software and hardware. In addition, the present invention can take the form of a computer program product that is implemented on one or more computer-usable
5 storage media (including but not limited to a disk memory, a CD-ROM, an optical memory, etc.) that include computer-usable program code.

[0068] The present invention is described with reference to a flowchart and/or block diagram of a method, a device (system), and a computer program product according to an implementation of the present invention. It should be understood that
10 computer program instructions can be used to implement each process and/or each block in the flowcharts and/or the block diagrams and a combination of a process and/or a block in the flowcharts and/or the block diagrams. These computer program instructions can be provided for a general purpose computer, a dedicated computer, an embedded processor, or a processor of any other programmable data processing
15 device to generate a machine, so that the instructions executed by a computer or a processor of any other programmable data processing device generate a device for implementing a specific function in one or more processes in the flowcharts and/or in one or more blocks in the block diagrams.

[0069] These computer program instructions can be stored in a computer readable
20 memory that can instruct the computer or any other programmable data processing device to work by using a specific method, so that the instructions stored in the computer readable memory generate an artifact that includes an instruction device. The instruction device implements a specific function in one or more processes in the flowcharts and/or in one or more blocks in the block diagrams.

[0070] These computer program instructions can be loaded to a computer or
25 another programmable data processing device, so that a series of operations and steps are performed on the computer or the another programmable device, thereby generating computer-implemented processing. Therefore, the instructions executed on the computer or the another programmable device provide steps for implementing a
30 specific function in one or more processes in the flowcharts and/or in one or more blocks in the block diagrams.

[0071] In a typical configuration, the computing device includes one or more central processing units (CPU), one or more input/output interfaces, one or more network interfaces, and one or more memories.

[0072] The memory can include a volatile memory in a computer readable medium, a random access memory (RAM), and/or a non-volatile memory, etc., such as a read-only memory (ROM) or a flash memory (flash RAM). The memory is an example of a computer readable medium.

5 **[0073]** The computer readable medium includes permanent and non-permanent, removable and non-removable media that can store information by using any method or technology. The information can be a computer readable instruction, a data structure, a module of a program, or other data. Examples of computer storage media include but are not limited to a phase-random change memory (PRAM), a static
10 random access memory (SRAM), a dynamic random access memory (DRAM), another type of random access memory (RAM), a read-only memory (ROM), an electrically erasable programmable read-only memory (EEPROM), a flash memory or another memory technology, a compact disc read-only memory (CD-ROM), a digital versatile disc (DVD) or another optical storage, a magnetic cassette tape, a magnetic
15 tape/disk storage, or another magnetic storage device or any other non-transmission medium, and can be used to store information that can be accessed by a computing device. As defined in the present specification, the computer readable medium does not include transitory computer readable media (transitory media), such as a modulated data signal and carrier.

20 **[0074]** It is worthwhile to further note that the term "include", "contain", or their any other variant is intended to cover a non-exclusive inclusion, so that a process, a method, merchandise, or a device that includes a list of elements not only includes those elements but also includes other elements which are not expressly listed, or further includes elements inherent to such process, method, merchandise, or device.
25 An element preceded by "includes a ..." does not, without more constraints, preclude the existence of additional identical elements in the process, method, merchandise, or device that includes the element.

[0075] A person skilled in the art should understand that the implementations of the present specification can be provided as a method, a system, or a computer
30 program product. Therefore, the present application can take the form of hardware only implementations, software only implementations, or implementations combining software and hardware. In addition, the present application can take the form of a computer program product that is implemented on one or more computer-usable storage media (including but not limited to a disk memory, a CD-ROM, an optical

memory, etc.) that include computer-usable program code.

[0076] The previous descriptions are merely implementations of the present application, and are not intended to limit the present application. For those skilled in the art, there can be various changes and changes in the present application. Any
5 modification, equivalent replacement, improvement, etc. made within the spirit and principle of the present application shall fall within the scope of the claims of the present application.

CLAIMS

1. A computer-implemented method for anti-repackaging, the computer-implemented method comprising:

running a target file in an installation package;

loading a secure dynamic library in the installation package (301);

retrieving digital watermark information embedded in the target file and verification information stored in the secure dynamic library based on a code in the target file (302); and

determining, based on the digital watermark information and the verification information, whether the installation package is a repackaged installation package (303), comprising:

when the digital watermark information is an instruction:

performing an operation based on the instruction to obtain an operation result;

determining whether the operation result is consistent with an operation result in the verification information, and

determining whether the instruction is consistent with an instruction in the verification information.

2. The computer-implemented method of claim 1, wherein:

the target file is a target file obtained after predetermined code is compiled; and

the digital watermark information is embedded at the end of the compiled target file.

3. The computer-implemented method of claim 2, wherein retrieving the digital watermark information comprises:

determining a start address of the digital watermark information in the target file based on an original length of the target file, wherein the original length is a length of the target file before the digital watermark information is embedded; and

retrieving the digital watermark information from the target file based on the start address.

4. The computer-implemented method of claim 1, wherein determining whether the installation package is the repackaged installation package comprises:

determining whether the digital watermark information is consistent with the verification information.

5. The computer-implemented method of claim 4, further comprising:

in response to determining that the digital watermark information is consistent with the verification information, determining that the installation package is an original installation package.

6. The computer-implemented method of claim 4, further comprising:

in response to determining that the digital watermark information is inconsistent with the verification information, determining that the installation package is a repackaged installation package.

7. The computer-implemented method of claim 1, wherein determining, based on the digital watermark information and the verification information, whether the installation package is the repackaged installation package comprises:

when the digital watermark information is an instruction, performing an operation based on the instruction to obtain an operation result; and

determining whether the operation result is consistent with the verification information.

8. The computer-implemented method of claim 7, further comprising:

in response to determining that the operation result is consistent with the verification information, determining that the installation package is an original installation package.

9. The computer-implemented method of claim 7, further comprising:

in response to determining that the operation result is inconsistent with the verification information, determining that the installation package is a repackaged installation package.

10. The computer-implemented method of claim 1, further comprising:

in response to determining that the operation result is consistent with the operation result in the verification information and the instruction is consistent with the instruction in the

verification information, determining that the installation package is an original installation package.

11. The computer-implemented method of claim 1, further comprising:
in response to determining that the operation result is inconsistent with the verification information, determining that the installation package is the repackaged installation package.

12. The computer-implemented method of any one of claims 1 to 11, wherein the method is applied to an ANDROID operating system;
the target file is an executable file; and
the secure dynamic library is a shared object library.

13. An apparatus for anti-repackaging, the apparatus comprising a plurality of modules configured to perform the method of any one of claims 1 to 12.