

MISSILE SYSTEM INCLUDING ADS-B RECEIVER

TECHNICAL FIELD

[0001] The disclosure relates to a missile system.

BACKGROUND

[0002] In a missile system, it is desirable to detect a potentially hostile airborne entity. It is also desirable to launch a missile against the entity if the entity is indeed hostile (also known as foe), and not to launch a missile against the entity if the entity is not hostile (also known as friendly).

[0003] A missile system may use radar to detect an airborne entity. For instance, the radar may provide data relating to the airborne entity such as speed, altitude, heading, time of measurement, range and/or bearing (where data may be considered to include position if range and bearing are included), etc.

[0004] It is noted that radar does not require the cooperation of an airborne entity in order to detect the entity, and therefore may detect the airborne entity even if the airborne entity does not cooperate. However, the data provided by the radar may not necessarily allow the detected entity to be sufficiently identified for the missile system to make a correct decision on whether or not to launch a missile against the detected entity. Moreover, the radar may not necessarily detect all airborne entity/ies. For instance, in some embodiments the radar may be limited in direction.

[0005] Optionally, the radar of missile system may include an Identification Friend or Foe ('IFF') system to interrogate an entity detected by the radar. If a response is received, the entity may be identified as friendly. However, it is possible that even if an entity is friendly, no IFF response or an incorrect response may be received, for instance because the entity does not have a transponder, because the entity's transponder is damaged, and/or because there are encryption problems, etc.

SUMMARY

[0006] In accordance with the presently disclosed subject matter, there is provided a missile method comprising, determining that Automatic Dependent Surveillance Broadcast (“ADS-B”) data relating to an airborne entity was obtained; determining whether or not radar data relating to the airborne entity was obtained; determining whether or not to command missile launch against the airborne entity; and if determined not to command missile launch, then refraining from commanding missile launch against the airborne entity.

[0007] In some examples of the method, if radar data relating to the airborne entity was not obtained, then the determining whether or not to command missile launch against the airborne entity includes determining that a missile should not be launched against the airborne entity.

[0008] In some examples of the method, the determining whether or not radar data relating to the airborne entity was obtained includes: determining whether or not radar data was obtained; and if radar data was obtained, then determining whether or not the radar data pertains to the airborne entity at least partly based on comparing position data included in the radar data with position data included in the ADS-B data.

[0009] In some examples, the method further comprises: if determined to command missile launch, then commanding missile launch against the airborne entity.

[0010] In some examples of the method, if determined that radar data relating to the airborne entity was obtained, then the determining whether or not to command missile launch includes: estimating that the entity is not hostile because ADS-B data was obtained; providing to an operator a result of the estimating; and receiving an indication from the operator whether or not a missile should be launched against the airborne entity.

[0011] In some examples of the method, if determined that radar data relating to the airborne entity was obtained, then the determining whether or not to command missile launch includes: estimating a likelihood that the entity is not hostile or hostile, including evaluating a likelihood that an identification consistent with a non-hostile entity, included

in the ADS-B data, is trustworthy or untrustworthy; providing to an operator a result of the estimating; and receiving an indication from the operator whether or not a missile should be launched against the airborne entity.

[0012] In some examples of the method, the determining whether or not to command missile launch includes: estimating that the entity is not hostile because ADS-B data was obtained; and determining that a missile should not be launched.

[0013] In some examples of the method, if determined that radar data relating to the airborne entity was obtained, then the determining whether or not to command missile launch includes: estimating a likelihood that the entity is not hostile or hostile, including evaluating a likelihood that an identification consistent with a non-hostile entity, included in the ADS-B data, is trustworthy or untrustworthy; and determining whether or not a missile should be launched against the airborne entity, at least partly based on a result of the estimating.

[0014] In some examples of the method, if determined that radar data relating to the airborne entity was obtained, then the determining whether or not to command missile launch includes: providing to an operator an indication that ADS-B data and radar data were obtained for the airborne entity; and receiving an indication from the operator whether or not a missile should be launched against the airborne entity.

[0015] In some examples of the method, missile launch is not commanded against the airborne entity if it is estimated that a likelihood of the entity being not hostile is above a predetermined threshold, at least partly based on an evaluation that a likelihood of an identification consistent with a non-hostile entity, included in the ADS-B data, being trustworthy is above a predefined percentage.

[0016] In some examples of the method, missile launch is not commanded against the airborne entity because ADS-B data was obtained.

[0017] In some examples of the method, the missile is a surface to air missile.

[0018] In accordance with the presently disclosed subject matter, there is provided a missile system, comprising: a launch control configured to determine that Automatic Dependent Surveillance Broadcast (“ADS-B”) data relating to an airborne entity was obtained, to determine whether or not radar data relating to the airborne entity was obtained, to determine whether or not to command missile launch against the airborne entity, and to refrain from commanding missile launch against the airborne entity if determined not to command missile launch.

[0019] In some examples, the system further comprises: an ADS-B receiver.

[0020] In some examples, the system further comprises: a missile launcher.

[0021] In some examples, the system further comprises: a radar.

[0022] In some examples, the system is a surface to air missile system.

[0023] In some examples of the system, the launch control is configured to refrain from commanding missile launch against the airborne entity, if it is estimated that a likelihood of the entity being not hostile is above a predetermined threshold, at least partly based on an evaluation that a likelihood of an identification consistent with a non-hostile entity, included in the ADS-B data, being trustworthy is above a predefined percentage.

[0024] In some examples of the system, the launch control is configured to refrain from commanding missile launch against the airborne entity because ADS-B data was obtained.

[0025] In accordance with the presently disclosed subject matter, there is provided a computer program product, comprising a computer readable medium having computer readable program code embodied therein, the computer program product comprising: computer readable program code for causing the computer to determine that Automatic Dependent Surveillance Broadcast (“ADS-B”) data relating to an airborne entity was obtained; computer readable program code for causing the computer to determine

whether or not radar data relating to the airborne entity was obtained; computer readable program code for causing the computer to determine whether or not to command missile launch against the airborne entity; and computer readable program code for causing the computer to refrain from commanding missile launch against the airborne entity if determined not to command missile launch.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0026] In order to understand the subject matter and to see how it may be carried out in practice, non-limiting examples will be described, with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:

[0027] **Fig. 1** is a block diagram illustrating an example of a missile system, in accordance with the presently disclosed subject matter; and

[0028] **Fig. 2** is a flowchart illustrating an example of a missile method, in accordance with the presently disclosed subject matter.

[0029] It will be appreciated that for simplicity and clarity of illustration, elements shown in the figures have not necessarily been drawn to scale. For example, the dimensions of some of the elements may be exaggerated relative to other elements for clarity. Further, where considered appropriate, reference numerals may be repeated among the figures to indicate corresponding or analogous elements.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0030] Described herein is at least one example of a missile system, method, and/or computer program product. The missile system may, for example, include a radar, an Automatic Dependent Surveillance Broadcast (“ADS-B”) receiver, a missile launcher, and a control station. The missile system may, for example, be configured to handle various situations such as when only ADS-B data relating to an airborne entity is available, only radar data relating to the airborne entity is available or both ADS-B data

and radar data relating to the airborne entity are available. The missile system, may, for example, be a surface to air missile system.

[0031] The term airborne entity is used to denote an entity which is in the air. The subject matter does not limit the type of airborne entity. Examples of an airborne entity may include an airplane, helicopter, balloon, spacecraft, missile, unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV), etc.

[0032] In the following detailed description, numerous specific details are set forth in order to provide a thorough understanding of the subject matter. However, it will be understood by those skilled in the art that some examples of the subject matter may be practiced without these specific details. In other instances, well-known features, structures, characteristics, stages, methods, modules, elements, and systems have not been described in detail so as not to obscure the subject matter.

[0033] Usage of the term "for example," "such as", "for instance", "e.g.", "possibly", "it is possible", "optionally", "say", "one example", "illustrated example", "some examples", "another example", "other examples", "various examples", "examples", "one instance", "some instances", "another instance", "other instances", "instances", "one case", "some cases", "another case", "other cases" "cases", or variants thereof means that a particular described feature, structure, characteristic, stage, method, module, element, or system is included in at least one non-limiting example of the subject matter, but not necessarily in all examples. The appearance of the same term does not necessarily refer to the same example(s).

[0034] The term "illustrated example", is used to direct the attention of the reader to one or more of the figures, but should not be construed as necessarily favoring any example over any other.

[0035] The term "non-transitory" is used to exclude transitory, propagating signals, but to otherwise include any volatile or non-volatile computer memory technology suitable to the application.

[0036] Usage of conditional language, such as “may”, “can”, “could”, or variants thereof is intended to convey that one or more examples of the subject matter may include, while one or more other examples of the subject matter may not necessarily include, certain features, structures, characteristics, stages, methods, modules, elements, and/or systems. Thus such conditional language is not generally intended to imply that a particular described feature, structure, characteristic, stage, method, module, element, or system is necessarily included in all examples of the subject matter.

[0037] It should be appreciated that certain features, structures, characteristics, stages, methods, modules, elements, and/or systems disclosed herein, which are, for clarity, described in the context of separate examples, may also be provided in combination in a single example. Conversely, various features, structures, characteristics, stages, methods, modules, elements, and/or systems disclosed herein, which are, for brevity, described in the context of a single example, may also be provided separately or in any suitable sub-combination.

[0038] Referring now to the figures, **Fig. 1** is a block diagram illustrating an example of a missile system **100**, in accordance with the presently disclosed subject matter. The illustrated example shows possible elements of missile system **100**.

[0039] In the illustrated example, system **100** may include one or more missile launcher(s) **180** configured to launch missile(s). The subject matter does not limit the number of launcher(s) **180** in system **100**, the frequency of launching, the number of missile(s) which may be launched at one time by any particular missile launcher **180**, the total number of missile(s) which may be launched by any particular missile launcher **180**, and/or any other parameter relating to missile launching. For simplicity's sake it is assumed that system **100** includes one launcher **180** which may launch one missile at a time, and therefore one launcher **180** and one launched missile **190** are shown in **Fig. 1**.

[0040] In the illustrated example, system **100** may include an ADS-B receiver **120** and an antenna **125**, for instance with a radio connection between receiver **120** and antenna **125**. ADS-B receiver **120** may be configured to work with any appropriate

ADS-B data link (e.g. VHF, 1090MHz extended squitter, 978MHz universal access transceiver, etc), depending on the instance.

[0041] ADS-B is a technology which enables an airborne entity to periodically broadcast data relating to the airborne entity such as identification (e.g. flight identification [also known as call sign] typically although not necessarily including name or acronym of a commercial airline and flight number, and/or e.g. address [also known as registration number] typically although not necessarily including a 24 bit code, etc.), position, altitude, speed, heading, and/or time associated with the data, etc. through an onboard ADS-B transmitter. For instance, the airborne entity may receive a current position thereof from conventional Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) technology. The broadcasted data may be received by ADS-B receiver(s), e.g. on ground, on ship(s), and/or in other airborne entity/ies.

[0042] Depending on the instance, ADS-B receiver **120** may be operatively connected to any other part of system **100**, e.g. via a USB connection, a LAN connection, and/or via any other wired or wireless connection.

[0043] In the illustrated example, system **100** may include a control station **130** including a data obtainer **140**. ADS-B receiver **120** may be connected, for instance, to data obtainer **140** in control station **130**. Although ADS-B receiver **120** is illustrated as being external to control station **130** in **Fig. 1**, in some instances, ADS-B receiver **120** may be part of control station **130**.

[0044] In the illustrated example, system **100** may include one or more radar(s) **110**. For instance the same radar or different radars may be configured to detect an airborne entity and, if a missile (e.g. missile **190**) is launched against the airborne entity, to guide the launched missile so as to target the airborne entity. For simplicity of description, radar **110** will be referred to in the single form, and the single form should be construed to cover embodiments where there is only one radar or a plurality of radars. Radar **110** may optionally include an IFF interrogation system, and in this case data obtained from radar **110** may include an IFF response.

[0045] Depending on the instance, data obtainer **140** may be configured to obtain data from radar **110** and/or from ADS-B receiver **120**. Data that is obtained may be pulled by data obtainer **140** and/or pushed to data obtainer **140**. For instance, data obtained from radar **110** ("radar data") may include data relating to an airborne entity such as, speed, altitude, heading, range, bearing (where radar data may be considered to include position if range and bearing are included), and/or time associated with the data, etc. For instance, data obtained from ADS-B receiver **120** ("ADS-B data") may include data relating to an airborne entity such as identification, position, altitude, speed, heading, and/or time associated with the data, etc.

[0046] In the illustrated example, control station **130** of system **100** may include a launch control **150**. Data obtainer **140** may be configured to provide obtained data to launch control **150**. Launch control **150** may be configured to determine if ADS-B data and/or radar data were obtained. For instance, data obtainer **140** may be configured to indicate the source of the data (ADS-B and/or radar) when providing data to launch control **150**. If both ADS-B data and radar data were obtained, launch control **150** may be configured to determine whether or not the ADS-B data and the radar data pertain to the same airborne entity, for instance by comparing position data included in the ADS-B data with position data included in the radar data. Additionally or alternatively, if both ADS-B data and radar data were obtained, launch control **150** may be configured to determine whether or not ADS-B data and radar data pertain to the same airborne entity, in any other manner, for instance at least partly based on an indication received from an operator. A received indication may include an input from the operator (e.g. inputted via an input **165**) or may include a lack of input from an operator.

[0047] Launch control **150** may be configured to determine whether or not to command launch of a missile (e.g. missile **190**) by missile launcher **180** against an airborne entity. In some instances, if only ADS-B data was obtained for the airborne entity, then launch control **150** may be configured to determine that a missile should not be launched because of the lack of radar data. Additionally or alternatively, if it is assumed that ADS-B data would only be broadcasted by a non-hostile airborne entity, then in some instances, if ADS-B data was obtained, launch control **150** may be configured to estimate that the entity is not hostile. Additionally or alternatively, in some

instances where at least radar data was obtained, in order to determine whether or not to command missile launch, launch control **150** may be configured, at least partly on the basis of data provided by data obtainer **140**, to estimate a likelihood that the entity is not hostile and/or to estimate a likelihood that the entity is hostile. Continuing with these instances, if it is assumed for example, that ADS-B data which would be broadcasted by a non-hostile airborne entity would include true data (e.g. true identification consistent with a non-hostile entity) whereas ADS-B data which would be broadcasted by a hostile airborne entity in order to mislead system **100** would include false data (e.g. false identification consistent with a non-hostile entity), then an estimation of a likelihood that the entity is not hostile may e.g. be at least partly based on an evaluation of a likelihood that an identification consistent with a non-hostile entity which is included in the ADS-B data is trustworthy. Additionally or alternatively, in some instances launch control **150** may be configured to provide data (e.g. data obtained by data obtainer **140**, an indication that ADS-B and/or radar data was/were obtained, a result of determining whether or not radar and ADS-B data pertain to same entity, and/or a result of estimating, etc.) to an operator, e.g. via an output **165**. Additionally or alternatively, in some instances, launch control **150** may be configured to automatically determine whether or not a missile should be launched at least partly based on a result of the estimating. Additionally or alternatively, in some instances launch control **150** may be configured to receive an indication from an operator whether or not a missile should be launched. A received indication may include an input from the operator, e.g. via input **160** or may include a lack of input from the operator.

[0048] The subject matter does not limit how identification may be consistent with a non-hostile airborne entity. In some instances, an identification consistent with a non-hostile entity may be recognizable as identifying a non-hostile entity and/or as not identifying a hostile entity by control station **130** and/or by an operator, where the recognition may be based on experience, available data, and/or common knowledge, etc.

[0049] In the illustrated example where it is assumed that input may be received from an operator, control station **130** may include input **160**. Input **160** may include one or more input device(s) such as keyboard(s), keypad(s), touch-screen(s), and/or microphone(s), etc. In other examples, control station **130** may not include input **160**. In

the illustrated example where it is assumed that data may be output to an operator, control station **130** may include output **165**. Output **165** may include one or more output device(s) such as screen(s), touch-screen(s), and/or speaker(s), etc. In other examples, control station **130** may not include output **165**.

[0050] In the illustrated example, control station **130** may include a calculator and/or other tasks performer **170** which may be configured to perform calculation and/or other tasks relating to a launched missile. In other examples, control station **130** may not include calculator and/or other tasks performer **170**.

[0051] Depending on the instance, elements of system **100** may be centralized in one location or elements of system **100** may be dispersed over more than one location. Control station **130** may be located in one location or elements of control station **130** may be dispersed over more than one location. System **100** or any part thereof may be on the ground, on a ship, and/or on an airborne entity, etc. If at least part of system **100** is on the ground, system **100** or any part thereof may be stationary and/or portable (e.g. portable by truck, trailer, and/or person, etc.) If system **100** is on the ground then system **100** may be referred to as a surface to air missile system.

[0052] In the illustrated example, the dashed lines may represent possible connections between various elements of system **100**. Any two elements may be operatively connected via a wireless and/or wired connection, depending on the instance. Communication via a wireless connection may be by way of microwave signals and/or by way of any other signals.

[0053] Alternatively to the example shown in **Fig. 1**, system **100** may in some examples include fewer, more and/or different elements than shown in **Fig. 1**. For instance, in some examples input element **160**, output element **165**, and/or calculator and/or other tasks performer **170** may be omitted. Additionally or alternatively for instance, in some examples elements **140**, **150** and/or **170** may be combined into fewer element(s) than illustrated. Additionally or alternatively for instance, in some examples elements **160** and **165** may be combined. Alternatively to the example shown in **Fig 1**, the functionality of system **100** may in some examples be divided differently among the

elements illustrated in **Fig. 1**. Therefore, the subject matter does not necessarily limit any particular functionality to the element to which the particular functionality is attributed herein and in some examples, different element(s) may additionally or alternatively provide the particular functionality. For instance, functionality attributed herein to a particular element in control station **130** may be provided by different element(s) in control station **130** in some examples. Alternatively to the example shown in **Fig. 1**, the functionality of system **100** described herein may in some examples be divided into fewer, more and/or different elements than shown in **Fig. 1** and/or system **100** may in some examples include additional, less, and/or different functionality than described herein. For instance in some examples, missile system **100** may include additional and/or alternative functionality relating to missiles.

[0054] **Fig. 2** is a flowchart illustrating an example of a missile method **200**, in accordance with the presently disclosed subject matter. System **100** may in some cases perform method **200**.

[0055] In the illustrated example, in stage **205**, it may be determined whether or not ADS-B data was obtained relating to an airborne entity. For instance ADS-B receiver **120** may have received data broadcasted by the airborne entity. Continuing with this instance, data obtainer **140** may have obtained data from ADS-B receiver **120** and provided the data to launch control **160**, indicating to launch control **160** that the data is ADS-B data, thereby enabling launch control **160** to determine that ADS-B data was obtained relating to an airborne entity.

[0056] If it is determined in stage **205** that ADS-B data was obtained (yes to stage **205**) then in stage **210** it may be determined if radar data relating to an airborne entity was obtained. For instance, radar **110** may have detected an airborne entity. Continuing with this instance, data obtainer **140** may have obtained data from radar **110** and provided the data to launch control **160**, indicating to launch control **160** that the data is radar data, thereby enabling launch control **160** to determine that radar data was obtained relating to an airborne entity.

[0057] If radar data was obtained (yes to stage **210**), then in stage **220** it may be

determined, for instance by launch control **160** whether or not the radar data pertains to the (same) airborne entity to which the ADS-B data relates. For instance, position data included in the ADS-B data may be compared to position data included in the radar data. If the position included in the radar data and the position included in the ADS-B data are sufficiently similar (e.g. identical or e.g. one is within a predetermined accuracy range of the other), then it may be determined that the radar data and ADS-B data pertain to the same entity, whereas if the positions are not sufficiently similar then it may be determined that the radar data and ADS-B data do not pertain to the same entity. The predetermined accuracy range is not bound by any particular range, and may vary depending on the instance. In instances where the determination regarding whether or not the radar data and ADS-B data pertain to the same entity includes processing of the radar data and ADS-B data the subject matter does not limit how the processing is performed and depending on the instance, the processing may include comparison of other ADS-B data with other radar data and/or other processing in addition to or instead of comparing position data. Optionally, an operator may be provided with an indication that ADS-B data and radar data were obtained for the same entity or for different entities. For instance, launch control **150** may provide via output **165** an indication of the situation that ADS-B data and radar data were obtained for the same entity e.g. by way of an icon in a particular form and/or color representative of this situation, and/or by way of text descriptive of this situation, etc., or an indication of the situation that ADS-B data and radar data were obtained for different entities e.g. by way of separate icons in a particular form and/or color representative of this situation, and/or by way of text descriptive of this situation, etc.

[0058] Additionally or alternatively, launch control **160** may determine whether or not the ADS-B data and radar data pertain to the same entity subsequent to an indication from the operator. For instance, assuming that a comparison of ADS-B data and radar data has taken place, in some cases the comparison may lead to an incorrect conclusion that ADS-B data and radar data relate to different entities rather than to the same entity, for instance due to the different times associated with the ADS-B data and radar data. In some of these cases, an operator may indicate that contrary to the comparison conclusion, the data relates to the same entity or to different entities. For

instance, if an indication of the same entity was output to the operator (e.g. one icon and/or text shown indicative of the same entity), the operator may indicate (e.g. via input **160**) that the data actually relates to different entities (e.g. indicate that a plurality of icons and/or text descriptive of there being different entities should be shown instead). Similarly if an indication of different entities was output to the operator (e.g. a plurality of icons and/or text shown indicative of different entities), the operator may indicate via input **160** that the data actually relates to the same entity (e.g. indicate that one icon and/or text descriptive of there being the same entity should be shown instead). Similarly, in some cases where the comparison conclusion appears to be correct to the operator, the operator may provide an indication of agreement e.g. by input or lack of input via input **160**.

[0059] If it is determined that the radar data and ADS-B data do not pertain to the same entity (no to stage **220**), then method **200** may continue separately with regard to the ADS-B data (stage **225**) and the radar data (stage **230**). In the illustrated example, in stage **225**, with regard to the ADS-B data, method **200** may proceed to stage **235**.

[0060] Method **200** may proceed to stage **235** additionally or alternatively if only ADS-B data was obtained but no radar data was obtained (no to stage **210**). If no radar data was obtained, then it follows that more specifically no radar data was obtained relating to the airborne entity to which the ADS-B data relates.

[0061] In cases where no radar data was obtained relating to the airborne entity to which the ADS-B data relates (e.g. no radar data was obtained for any airborne entity or radar data which was obtained relates to a different entity), the subject matter does not limit the reason for no radar data. For instance, no radar data may have been obtained relating to the airborne entity to which the ADS-B data relates because radar **110** may be limited in direction and therefore may not have detected the airborne entity to which the ADS-B data relates and/or due to any other reason.

[0062] Optionally, an operator may be provided with an indication that ADS-B data was obtained relating an airborne entity but no radar data was obtained relating to that airborne entity (either because no radar data was obtained for any airborne entity or

because radar data which was obtained relates to a different airborne entity). For instance, launch control **150** may provide via output **165** an indication of the situation that ADS-B data was obtained relating to an airborne entity but no radar data was obtained relating to that airborne entity, e.g. by way of an icon in a particular form and/or color representative of this situation, and/or by way of text descriptive of this situation, etc.

[0063] In the illustrated example in stage **235**, it may be determined, e.g. by launch control **150**, whether or not to command missile launch against the airborne entity. This determination regarding whether or not to command missile launch may include determining that a missile should not be launched, due to the absence of radar data relating to the airborne entity to which the ADS-B data relates. It is assumed in the illustrated example that without radar data a missile could not be guided to target the entity and therefore a missile should not be launched. However, in some examples where a missile could nevertheless be guided, stage **235** may not necessarily include a determination that a missile should not be launched and may possibly include one or more of the cases described with reference to stage **240** but without the benefit of radar data.

[0064] In the illustrated example, it is possible that radar data was obtained relating to an airborne entity but no ADS-B data was obtained (yes to stage **215**). For instance, no ADS-B data may have been obtained. Examples of a reason why no ADS-B data may have been obtained may include any of the following: because the airborne entity detected by radar **110** may not be equipped with an ADS-B transmitter (e.g. because the entity is hostile, e.g. despite the fact that the entity is not hostile, etc); because the airborne entity is not currently transmitting ADS-B data through an ADS-B transmitter thereof (e.g. because the entity is hostile, e.g. despite the fact that the entity is not hostile, etc), and/or any other reason. In the illustrated example if radar data was obtained but no ADS-B data was obtained, or if both radar data and ADS-B data were obtained but it was determined that the data pertain to different entities and therefore method **200** continues separately for the radar data (stage **230**), then in stage **245** it may be determined, e.g. by launch control **150**, whether or not to command missile launch against the airborne entity. For instance, stage **245** may include launch control **150** estimating on the basis of radar data a likelihood that the airborne entity is hostile or not

hostile and determining whether or not a missile should be launched. Additionally or alternatively for instance, stage **245** may include launch control **150** estimating on the basis of radar data a likelihood that an airborne entity is hostile or not hostile, providing to an operator a result of the estimating (e.g. via output **165**), and receiving an indication from an operator whether or not a missile should be launched, where the indication may be an input (e.g. via input **160**) or a lack of input. Additionally or alternatively for instance, stage **245** may include launch control **150** providing radar data to an operator (e.g. via output **165**), and launch control **150** receiving an indication from an operator whether or not a missile should be launched, where the indication may be an input (e.g. via input **160**) or a lack of input. The operator may, for instance, estimate a likelihood that an airborne entity is hostile or not hostile based on the radar data. The operator and/or launch control **150** may be able to estimate a likelihood that an airborne entity is hostile or not hostile based on the radar data, for instance because characteristics of the airborne entity such as kinematics may be obtained from the radar data. Continuing with this instance, a military (potentially hostile) airborne entity may turn faster and/or fly lower than a civilian (presumably non hostile) airborne entity, may fly in a non-civilian air-route, may fly in a restricted zone, and/or may fly in a direction not leading towards an airport, etc.

[0065] In the illustrated example, it may be determined whether or not radar data was obtained relating to the airborne entity to which ADS-B data relates. For instance, a determination that radar data was obtained relating to the airborne entity to which the ADS-B data relates may include a determination that radar data was obtained (yes to stage **210**, and that the radar data pertains to the same entity as the ADS-B data (yes to stage **220**). If it is determined that radar data was obtained relating to the airborne entity to which ADS-B data relates then in the illustrated example in stage **240** it may be determined, e.g. by launch control **150** whether or not missile launch against the airborne entity should be commanded.

[0066] In some cases, stage **240** may include launch control **150** estimating that the airborne entity is not hostile because ADS-B data was obtained. For instance, it may be assumed that only a non-hostile airborne entity would broadcast ADS-B data. In some of these cases, stage **240** may also include launch control **150** determining that a missile

should not be launched. Additionally or alternatively, in some of these cases, stage **240** may include launch control **150** providing a result of the estimating to the operator, and receiving an indication from the operator whether or not a missile should be launched. For instance, the launch control **150** may provide via output **165** an indication of the situation that ADS-B data and radar data were obtained and due to the obtainment of ADS-B data it is assumed that the airborne entity is not hostile, e.g. by way of an icon in a particular form and/or color representative of this situation, and/or by way of text descriptive of this situation, etc. The operator may indicate that a missile should not be launched (e.g. because the operator agrees that because ADS-B data was obtained the airborne entity could not be hostile, e.g. because the operator thinks that there is a high likelihood that an identification of the airborne entity consistent with a non-hostile entity included in the ADS-B data is trustworthy say due to a profile of the airborne entity, etc.) or that a missile should be launched (e.g. because the operator thinks that the airborne entity is hostile say due to a profile of the airborne entity and irrespective of the ADS-B data, etc.). The operator may indicate for instance by way of input (e.g. via input **160**) or a lack of input. Examples relating to a profile of an airborne entity will be discussed further below. An indication by the operator whether or not a missile should be launched may or may not conform to the result of the estimating provided by launch control **150**.

[0067] Additionally or alternatively, in some cases where the ADS-B data includes an identification consistent with a non-hostile entity, stage **240** may include launch control **150** estimating a likelihood that the airborne entity is not hostile or is hostile, including evaluating a likelihood that the identification is trustworthy or is untrustworthy. The likelihood of the identification being trustworthy may depend on a profile of the airborne entity as will be discussed below. In some of these cases, stage **240** may also include launch control **150** determining whether or not a missile should be launched based on a result of the estimating. For instance, it may be estimated, at least partly based on an evaluation that the likelihood that the identification is trustworthy is above a predefined percentage, that the likelihood of the airborne entity being not hostile is above a predetermined threshold and therefore a missile should not be launched. The predefined percentage is not bound to any particular percentage and may vary depending

on the instance. The predetermined threshold is not bound to any particular threshold and may vary depending on the instance. Additionally or alternatively, in some of these cases, stage **240** may include launch control **150** providing a result of the estimating to the operator, and receiving an indication from the operator whether or not a missile should be launched. For instance, launch control **150** may provide via output **165** an indication of this particular situation where ADS-B data and radar data were obtained, where the identification included in the ADS-B data is consistent with a non-hostile entity, and where the likelihood that the airborne entity not being hostile has been estimated, e.g. by way of an icon in a particular form and/or color representative of this situation, and/or by way of text descriptive of this situation, etc. The operator may indicate whether or not the missile should be launched for instance by way of input (e.g. via input **160**) or a lack of input. For instance, the operator may indicate that a missile should not be launched (e.g. because the operator relies on the estimation of launch control **150**, e.g. because the operator thinks there is a high likelihood that an identification of the airborne entity consistent with a non-hostile entity included in the ADS-B data is trustworthy say due to a profile of the airborne entity, etc) or that a missile should be launched (e.g. because the operator relies on the estimation of launch control **150**, e.g. because the operator thinks that there is a low likelihood that an identification of the airborne entity consistent with a non-hostile entity included in the ADS-B data is trustworthy say due to a profile of the airborne entity, etc). Examples relating to a profile of the airborne entity will be discussed further below. An indication by the operator whether or not a missile should be launched may or may not conform to the result of the estimating provided by launch control **150**.

[0068] Additionally or alternatively, in some cases, stage **240** may include launch control **150** providing to an operator (e.g. via output **165**) an indication that ADS-B data and radar data were obtained, and receiving an indication from the operator whether or not a missile should be launched. Possibly, in some of these cases launch control module **150** may not have estimated that the airborne entity is not hostile or hostile, may not have estimated a likelihood that the airborne entity is not hostile or hostile, and/or may have estimated but not provided a result of the estimating to the operator. The operator may indicate whether or not the missile should be launched, for instance by way of input

(e.g. via input **160**) or a lack of input. The operator may determine that a missile should not be launched, e.g. because ADS-B data was received and therefore the operator assumes that the airborne entity is not hostile, e.g. because the operator may estimate that the likelihood that the airborne entity is not hostile is above a predetermined threshold at least partly based on an evaluation that the likelihood that an identification consistent with a non-hostile entity (assumed to be included in the ADS-B data) being trustworthy is above a predefined percentage, etc. It should be understood that when referring to the operator, the operator may intuitively grasp if a certain likelihood is above a predefined percentage or a predetermined threshold without necessarily being capable of expressing the percentage or threshold in numerical terminology. The operator may, for instance, rely on a profile of the airborne entity, when evaluating the likelihood that the identification is trustworthy.

[0069] Presuming that an identification included in the ADS-B data is consistent with a non-hostile entity, a profile of the airborne entity, determined from ADS-B and/or radar data, may support or belie an assumption that the identification is trustworthy and that therefore the ADS-B data relates to a non-hostile airborne entity. The subject matter does not limit the characteristics of a profile and a profile may include any characteristics depending on the instance, but for the sake of further illustration to the reader, kinematics characteristics will be discussed. For instance, the kinematics of a civilian (presumably non-hostile airborne entity) may typically although not necessarily be different than a military (potentially hostile) airborne entity. Kinematics which may be considered may include any kinematics, of which a few examples are now provided. For example, a military (potentially hostile) airborne entity may turn faster and/or fly lower than a civilian (presumably non hostile) airborne entity, may fly in a non-civilian air-route, may fly in a restricted zone, and/or may fly in a direction not leading towards an airport, etc.

[0070] Assume for instance that an airborne entity is actually hostile. In many cases, a hostile airborne entity may not broadcast ADS-B data, and therefore the determination of whether or not missile launch should be commanded may rely on obtained radar data, if any, as discussed above with reference to stage **245**. However in some cases, a hostile airborne entity may try to mislead system **100** by broadcasting ADS-B data including a (false) identification consistent with a non-hostile airborne

entity. Continuing with these cases the identification may include a call sign and/or registration number of a civilian aircraft such as a commercial airplane. If a profile of the airborne entity, however, includes characteristic(s) of a military aircraft, the identification may not be necessarily be considered to be trustworthy.

[0071] If it is determined in stage **240** or **245** that missile launch should not be commanded (no to stage **240** or **245**) or subsequent to stage **235**, in the illustrated example in stage **250**, launch control **150** may refrain from commanding missile launch against the airborne entity and no missile may be launched by missile launcher **180**.

[0072] If instead it is determined that launch of a missile should be commanded (yes to stage **240** or **245**), then in the illustrated example in stage **255** launch control **150** may command launch and missile launcher **180** may launch a missile (e.g. missile **190**) . Radar **110** and/or calculator (and/or other tasks performer) **170** may assist the missile in targeting the entity.

[0073] Subsequent to stage **250** or **255**, or if neither radar data nor ADS-B data was obtained (no to stages **205** and **215**), then in the illustrated example method **200** iterates to the beginning, waiting for at least radar data or at least ADS-B data to be obtained. Alternatively, in some examples, method **200** may end if method **200** is no longer required.

[0074] In some instances, each time ADS-B data and/or radar data is obtained (in stage **205**, **210** and/or **215**) the remainder of method **200** may be performed. However, in other instances, once ADS-B data and/or radar data is obtained, the remainder of method **200** may not necessarily be performed. For instance, if it is determined that the data pertains to one or more airborne entity/ies for which data was previously obtained (in a previous iteration of method **200**) then in some cases, the determination of a previous iteration on whether or not missile launch should be commanded (in stage **235**, **240**, or **245**) may be relied upon to proceed to stage **250** or **255**, without necessarily repeating all of the intervening stages of method **200**, whereas in other cases the determination of a previous iteration may not necessarily be relied upon.

[0075] Alternatively to the example shown in **Fig. 2**, stages which are shown in **Fig. 2** as being executed sequentially may in some examples be executed in parallel and/or stages shown in **Fig. 2** as being executed in parallel may in some examples be executed sequentially. Alternatively to the example shown in **Fig. 2** method **200** may in some examples include more, fewer and/or different stages than illustrated in **Fig. 2**. Alternatively to the example shown in **Fig. 2**, stages may in some examples be executed in a different order than illustrated in **Fig. 2**. For instance the determination that ADS-B data was obtained (or not) and/or the determination that radar data was obtained (or not) may be performed in any order or simultaneously.

[0076] It will also be understood that the subject matter contemplates that a system or any part of a system disclosed herein may for example, include and/or be included in a computer. For instance, control station **130** or any part thereof, may for example, include a computer and/or be included in a computer. The term “computer” should be expansively construed to cover any kind of system which has data processing capabilities and which may be made up of any combination of hardware, software and/or firmware including at least some hardware. Likewise, the subject matter contemplates, for example, a computer program being readable by a computer for executing a method or part of a method disclosed herein. Further contemplated by the subject matter, for example, is a computer-readable medium tangibly embodying program code readable by a computer for executing a method or any part of a method disclosed herein.

[0077] While examples of the subject matter have been shown and described, the subject matter is not thus limited. Numerous modifications, changes and improvements within the scope of the subject matter will now occur to the reader.

CLAIMS:

1. A method in a missile system comprising,
 - determining that Automatic Dependent Surveillance Broadcast (“ADS-B”) data relating to an airborne entity was obtained;
 - determining whether or not radar data relating to the airborne entity was obtained;
 - determining whether or not to command missile launch against the airborne entity; and
 - if determined not to command missile launch, then refraining from commanding missile launch against the airborne entity.
2. The method of claim 1, wherein if radar data relating to the airborne entity was not obtained, then said determining whether or not to command missile launch against the airborne entity includes determining that a missile should not be launched against the airborne entity.
3. The method of claim 1, wherein said determining whether or not radar data relating to the airborne entity was obtained includes:
 - determining whether or not radar data was obtained ; and
 - if radar data was obtained, then determining whether or not said radar data pertains to the airborne entity at least partly based on comparing position data included in said radar data with position data included in said ADS-B data.
4. The method of claim 1, further comprising: if determined to command missile launch, then commanding missile launch against the airborne entity.
5. The method of claim 1, wherein if determined that radar data relating to the airborne entity was obtained, then said determining whether or not to command missile launch includes:
 - estimating that said entity is not hostile because ADS-B data was obtained;

providing to an operator a result of said estimating; and
 receiving an indication from the operator whether or not a missile should
 be launched against the airborne entity.

6. The method of claim 1, wherein if determined that radar data relating to the
 airborne entity was obtained, then said determining whether or not to command
 missile launch includes:
 - estimating a likelihood that said entity is not hostile or hostile, including
 evaluating a likelihood that an identification consistent with a non-hostile entity,
 included in said ADS-B data, is trustworthy or untrustworthy ;
 - providing to an operator a result of said estimating ; and
 - receiving an indication from the operator whether or not a missile should
 be launched against the airborne entity.
7. The method of claim 1, wherein said determining whether or not to command
 missile launch includes:
 - estimating that said entity is not hostile because ADS-B data was
 obtained; and
 - determining that a missile should not be launched.
8. The method of claim 1, wherein if determined that radar data relating to the
 airborne entity was obtained, then said determining whether or not to command
 missile launch includes:
 - estimating a likelihood that said entity is not hostile or hostile, including
 evaluating a likelihood that an identification consistent with a non-hostile entity,
 included in said ADS-B data, is trustworthy or untrustworthy; and
 - determining whether or not a missile should be launched against the
 airborne entity, at least partly based on a result of said estimating.
9. The method of claim 1, wherein if determined that radar data relating to the
 airborne entity was obtained, then said determining whether or not to command
 missile launch includes:

providing to an operator an indication that ADS-B data and radar data were obtained for the airborne entity; and

receiving an indication from the operator whether or not a missile should be launched against the airborne entity.

10. The method of claim 1, wherein missile launch is not commanded against the airborne entity if it is estimated that a likelihood of said entity being not hostile is above a predetermined threshold, at least partly based on an evaluation that a likelihood of an identification consistent with a non-hostile entity, included in said ADS-B data, being trustworthy is above a predefined percentage.
11. The method of claim 1, wherein missile launch is not commanded against the airborne entity because ADS-B data was obtained.
12. The method of claim 1, wherein said missile is a surface to air missile.
13. A missile system, comprising:
 - a launch control configured to determine that Automatic Dependent Surveillance Broadcast (“ADS-B”) data relating to an airborne entity was obtained, to determine whether or not radar data relating to the airborne entity was obtained, to determine whether or not to command missile launch against the airborne entity, and to refrain from commanding missile launch against the airborne entity if determined not to command missile launch.
14. The system of claim 13, further comprising: an ADS-B receiver.
15. The system of claim 13, further comprising: a missile launcher.
16. The system of claim 13, further comprising: a radar.
17. The system of claim 13, being a surface to air missile system.

18. The system of claim 13, wherein said launch control is configured to refrain from commanding missile launch against the airborne entity, if it is estimated that a likelihood of said entity being not hostile is above a predetermined threshold, at least partly based on an evaluation that a likelihood of an identification consistent with a non-hostile entity, included in said ADS-B data, being trustworthy is above a predefined percentage.
19. The system of claim 13, wherein said launch control is configured to refrain from commanding missile launch against the airborne entity because ADS-B data was obtained.
20. A computer program product, comprising a computer readable medium having computer readable program code embodied therein, the computer program product comprising:
 - computer readable program code for causing the computer to determine that Automatic Dependent Surveillance Broadcast (“ADS-B”) data relating to an airborne entity was obtained;
 - computer readable program code for causing the computer to determine whether or not radar data relating to the airborne entity was obtained;
 - computer readable program code for causing the computer to determine whether or not to command missile launch against the airborne entity; and
 - computer readable program code for causing the computer to refrain from commanding missile launch against the airborne entity if determined not to command missile launch.