

Method of Monitoring a Crimping Process, Crimping Press and
Computer Program Product

This application claims benefit of priority to prior U.S.
5 provisional application no. 61/168,212 filed on April 9,
2009 and as a non-provisional thereof; this application also
claims benefit of priority to prior Swiss national
application no. 0580/09 filed on April 9, 2009; the entirety
of Swiss application no. 0580/09 and of U.S. application no.
10 61/168,212 are expressly incorporated herein by reference in
their entirety, for all intents and purposes, as if
identically set forth herein.

TECHNICAL FIELD

15

The invention relates to a method of monitoring a crimping
process, comprising the steps of determination whether an
actual force stroke progression / force time progression
occurring during crimping is within a tolerance band in at
20 least one point, the tolerance band having an upper border
above and a lower border below an ideal force stroke
progression / force time progression and qualifying a crimp
as passed, for which said condition is true. Furthermore,
the invention relates to a crimping press for employing the
25 inventive method, comprising means for determination whether
an actual force stroke progression / force time progression
occurring during crimping is within a tolerance band in at
least one point, the tolerance band having an upper border
above and a lower border below an ideal force stroke
30 progression / force time progression and means for
qualifying a crimp as passed, for which said condition is
true. Finally, the invention relates to a computer program
product, which when loaded into the memory of a control for

a crimping press performs the function of the crimping method.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

5

Crimping, which is a special kind of beading, is a method for joining parts, in particular a wire with a connector (often having the shape of a plug), by plastic deformation. The resulting permanent joint provides good electrical and mechanical stability and is thus a suitable alternative to other connecting methods such as welding or soldering. Hence, common fields of application for crimping are electric devices (e.g. for telecommunication, electrical equipment for vehicles, etc.). The shape of a crimp should exactly be adapted to the wire so as to provide for a predetermined deformation of the same. Crimping usually is done by a crimping gripper or a crimping press.

20

According to prior art, the force acting during the crimping process can be measured to monitor and/or ensure a constant quality of crimp connections manufactured by a crimping press. For example, pressure sensors are utilized for this reason, which measure the force between the frame and the die (14) and/or the drive and the plunger (15) (see Fig. 5). A further possibility is to evaluate the deformation of the frame of a crimping press.

25

For example, US 5,841,675 A discloses a method of monitoring the quality of crimping process. To ensure a particular quality, the peak factor, which is defined as crimp work divided by peak force, is determined. The method includes setting the boundaries based upon the mean and standard deviation of a number of learned samples.

30

Furthermore, US 6,418,769 B1 discloses a method of monitoring a crimping process, wherein a force stroke progression occurring during crimping is measured and
5 compared to a nominal force stroke progression. The evaluation is done above a particular threshold value.

In addition, EP 1 243 932 A2 discloses a method of monitoring a crimping process, wherein a force time
10 progression occurring during crimping is measured, the crimping work is calculated, said progression is separated in segments and the actual work of a segment is compared to a nominal work.

Moreover, US 5,937,505 A, discloses a method of monitoring a crimping process, wherein a force stroke progression occurring during crimping is measured and checked whether it is within a reference region. Statistical theory is utilized to develop a continuous band of allowable variation in the
20 progression.

Furthermore, EP 0 460 441 B1 discloses a method of monitoring a crimping process, wherein a force stroke progression occurring during crimping is measured. A group
25 of data element pairs is selected from said progression in an interesting region. This group of data element pairs is analyzed and compared to a standard group of pairs taken during a known high quality crimp cycle to determine the quality of the present crimped connection.

30

Finally, EP 0 730 326 A2 discloses a method of evaluating a crimped electrical connection, which measures the crimping force over a range of positions of the crimping apparatus

ram and derives a statistical envelope of acceptable forces. Each crimp is measured and the force measurements are compared against that envelope to determine the acceptability of the crimp. Acceptable crimps are then
5 further evaluated to determine whether their data should be added to the data base.

However, despite all measures, which have been taken to make the decision whether a crimp connection is qualified a good
10 (passed) or bad (failed) "fuzzy", meaning allowing some variation of the crimps, there is still room for improvement.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

15

Thus, the invention provides an improved method of monitoring a crimping process, an improved crimping press, and an improved computer program product, in particular to reduce the need for manual intervention during crimping.

20

This is achieved by a method of monitoring a crimping process of the kind disclosed in the first paragraph, additionally comprising the steps of:

- determination whether said actual force stroke
25 progression / force time progression is a) above or b) below said ideal force stroke progression / force time progression in at least one point and
- shifting the upper border and/or the lower border
30 upwards in case a) and downwards in case b), wherein there are an absolute upper limit, at which an upward shifting of the upper border is inhibited, and an absolute lower limit, at which a downward shifting of the lower border is inhibited.

Furthermore, the invention enables a crimping press for manufacturing crimp connections of the kind disclosed in the first paragraph, additionally comprising:

- 5 - means for determination of whether said actual force stroke progression / force time progression is a) above or b) below said ideal force stroke progression / force time progression in at least one point, and,
- means for shifting the upper border and/or the lower
10 border upwards in case a) and downwards in case b), wherein there are an absolute upper limit, at which an upward shifting of the upper border is inhibited, and an absolute lower limit, at which a downward shifting of the lower border is inhibited.

15

The invention also provides a computer program product, which when loaded into the memory of a control for a crimping press performs the function of the inventive method.

20

- By means of these features, the tolerance band for passed crimps is adapted to changing conditions. There may be slight variants of the wire and/or the crimps (e.g. thickness of material, material characteristics, etc.),
25 changing temperature, drifts of the force sensor and/or stroke sensor, etc. According to prior art, an operator has to monitor these changes directly or indirectly via their influence on the crimp connection and take according measures. This involves a lot of (ongoing) adjustments which
30 can get cumbersome if, for example, an operator of a crimping press has to counteract the rising temperature in the morning, day by day. The present method enables the crimping press respectively its control to adapt themselves

to changing conditions. If, for example, a series of crimps have their respective force stroke progressions or force time progressions systematically above an ideal force stroke progression or force time progression, the upper border and/or lower border are shifted upwards. Thus, crimp connections, whose force stroke progression or force time progression is below the new upper border but above an older upper border, are still considered as passed. In this way, the need for manual intervention may be significantly reduced.

Furthermore, there is an absolute upper limit, at which a further upward shifting of the upper border is inhibited, and also an absolute lower limit, at which a further downward shifting of the lower border is inhibited. Apart from dynamically shifting a tolerance band, it is useful for an operator to set absolute limits, beyond which the borders of the tolerance band may not move. Otherwise, it could happen that - as it is the case in EP 0 730 326 A2 - a series of bad crimps cause the tolerance band to be shifted far away from that crimp (i.e., its force stroke progression or force time progression) considered to be ideal. In such circumstance, crimps, that are qualified as bad in the beginning of the adaptive algorithm, may be undesirably qualified as good at some point in time because of the drifting of the tolerance band. However, one will easily appreciate that said behavior is undesirable, as crimps could get worse and worse without any alert.

The method may be performed for just one point of the force progression or for a plurality of points. Of course it is beneficial for the overview to check points spread over the complete force progression. However, to save computing

power, it is advantageous to perform the method above a particular threshold value of the force and to focus to a region in which the actual crimping takes place.

- 5 Initially, the ideal force progression can be determined during a so-called "teach in process". Here, the force progressions of several crimps are stored, and if the operator of the crimping press considers the crimps to be good (e.g. based on the height or width of the crimp,
- 10 electrical characteristics, visual inspection, grinding pattern, etc.), the stored progressions are used to generate an ideal force progression. This can be done based on the least mean square method, for example.
- 15 The elements of the crimping press, include elements for determination whether an actual force stroke progression / force time progression occurring during crimping is within a tolerance band, means for qualifying a crimp as passed or failed, means for determination whether said actual force
- 20 stroke progression / force time progression is a) above, or, b) below said ideal force stroke progression / force time progression, and means for shifting the upper border and/or the lower border upwards in case a) and downwards in case b) may be embodied in software or hardware or combinations
- 25 thereof. Furthermore, these elements may be part of a (separate) control for the crimping press. In a preferred version, the means are embodied in software and are in the form of software functions or software routines which may be programmed in any suitable programming language and are
- 30 stored in a memory of a crimping press control. As is known per se, said code is loaded into a central processing unit of the crimping press respectively its control for execution.

Advantageous versions of the invention are disclosed in the depending claims, the description and the figures of this application.

5

It is advantageous if there are a first zone above and a second zone below said ideal progression and that

- the upper border and/or the lower border is shifted upwards if the actual progression is within said first zone,
10 and

- the upper border and/or the lower border is shifted downwards if the actual progression is within said second zone.

Adaptation of the crimping process can take place by means
15 of zones, which control the shift of the tolerance band, i.e. the upper and lower border.

In this context it is beneficial, if the first zone and the second zone are spaced from the ideal progression. In this
20 way, the algorithm can be made "slow". That means that not each and every deviation from an ideal crimp causes a shift of the tolerance band. Hence, a kind of hysteresis is employed.

25 Furthermore, it is beneficial in this context if the first zone and the second zone are adjacent to the ideal progression. In this way, the algorithm can be made "fast". It is very unlikely, that a crimp is absolutely identical to an ideal crimp. So, probably many crimps will cause a shift
30 of the tolerance band.

Moreover, it is beneficial in this context if the upper border is spaced from the first zone and the lower border is

spaced from the second zone. In this way, the algorithm can be made slow again, as crimps, whose force stroke progression or force time progression is far away from the ideal progression, do not influence the adaptation of the tolerance band.

Finally, it is beneficial in this context if the upper border is adjacent to the first zone and the lower border is adjacent to the second zone. In this way, the algorithm can be made fast again, as crimps, whose force stroke progression or force time progression is far away from the ideal progression, influence the adaptation of the tolerance band.

In another advantageous embodiment of the invention, there are a first zone near above, a second zone near below, a third zone far above, and a fourth zone far below said ideal progression and

- the lower border is shifted upwards if the actual progression is within said first zone,
- the upper border is shifted downwards if the actual progression is within said second zone,
- the upper border is shifted upwards if the actual progression is within said third zone, and
- the lower border is shifted downwards if the actual progression is within said fourth zone.

The inventor has found out that such a configuration is of particular advantage as the borders move "smoothly", meaning not too fast and not too slow. In this way, a particular crimp quality can be ensured over a long period of time and/or a broad range of disturbing influences.

In this context it is beneficial, if the first zone is adjacent to said ideal progression, the third zone is adjacent to the first zone, the second zone is adjacent to said ideal progression, and the fourth zone is adjacent to the second zone. This algorithm is a fast one as many crimps cause a change of the tolerance band.

Furthermore, it is beneficial in this context if the first zone is adjacent to said ideal progression, the third zone is spaced from the first zone, the second zone is adjacent to said ideal progression, and the fourth zone is spaced from the second zone. This algorithm is a slower one as few crimps cause a change of the tolerance band. It is suitable for crimping presses very well.

Moreover, it is beneficial in this context if the upper border is spaced from the third zone and the lower border is spaced from the fourth zone. Again, the algorithm can be made slow, as crimps, whose force stroke progression or force time progression is far away from the ideal progression, do not influence the adaptation of the tolerance band. This algorithm is suitable for crimping presses very well, too.

Finally, it is beneficial in this context if the probability that a crimp is within any one of the first to fourth zone is substantially equal for all zones. In this way, convergence of the upper border and lower border towards the standard derivation 3σ after the inventive method has been performed often enough (e.g. 1000 times) can be achieved.

In yet another advantageous version of the invention, instead of or in addition to the force a physical variable

derived from the force is used for the method. In addition
or alternatively to the force also, for example, the
crimping work may be the foundation for the method.
Furthermore, the first derivative of the force may be said
5 foundation.

Finally, it is advantageous if the mean value of the
tolerance band gets the ideal force progression after a
predetermined number of cycles of the inventive method.
10 According to this embodiment, not only the tolerance band
changes but also the ideal force progression, i.e. the
perception of what is an ideal crimp connection. Thus,
changing influences on the crimping process can be handled
even better.

15 It should be noted at this stage that the versions and
variants of the invention as well as the associated
advantages discussed for the inventive method are equally
applicable to the inventive crimping press and the inventive
20 computer program product.

The versions disclosed hereinbefore may be combined in any
desired way.

25 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The present invention is discussed hereinafter by means of
schematic figures and drawings, which help illustrate the
invention. These figures, drawings and embodiments are not,
30 however, intended to limit the broad scope of the invention.
The Figures show:

Fig. 1 an ideal force stroke progression vs. an actual force stroke progression and a tolerance band;

5 Fig. 2 the ideal progression and a tolerance band after several cycles of the inventive method;

Fig. 3a an embodiment with two zones for controlling the shift of the tolerance band;

10 Fig. 3b similar to Fig 3a but with the zones being spaced from the ideal force progression;

Fig. 3c similar to Fig 3a but with the zones being spaced from the upper and lower border;

15

Fig. 3d similar to Fig 3a but with the zones being spaced from the ideal force progression and the upper and lower border;

20 Fig. 4 an embodiment with four zones for controlling the shift of the tolerance band,

Fig. 5 a complete crimping press depicts 15 a plunger and 14 a die.

25

DESCRIPTION

In the following description and appended claims, the term "force progression" shall be used to mean both force-stroke
30 progression and force-time progression unless specifically indicated otherwise.

Fig. 1 schematically shows an ideal force stroke progression (that means an ideal force stroke diagram or graph of an ideal crimp) F_i , an actual force stroke progression (that means an actual force stroke diagram or graph currently occurring crimping) F_a in dashed lines, an upper border B_u of a tolerance band and a lower border B_l of the tolerance band. Crimps having a force stroke graph F_a within the tolerance band are qualified as passed in this example. As can be seen, the actual force stroke progression F_a is below the ideal progression F_i in a first part of the diagram, above it in a second part of the diagram and again below it in a third part of the diagram. Arrows indicate whereto the tolerance band respectively its borders B_u and B_l move respectively are shifted.

One skilled in the art will easily appreciate that the teachings disclosed hereinbefore and hereinafter are equally applicable to force-time progressions though just force-stroke progressions are depicted for simplicity in the Figures.

Fig. 2 shows the ideal force progression F_i of Fig. 1 and a tolerance band after several cycles of the inventive method. One can see that the tolerance band has several dents, which are caused by crimps deviating from the ideal crimp. One can also see that the width of the band is not constant but may increase and decrease during the course of time.

Furthermore, the inventive method is executed only above a particular threshold force F_t in this embodiment. Thus, the evaluation is focused to a region of interest as here the crimping actually takes place. In addition, points are depicted, at which the inventive method is executed.

However, instead of points, regions or ranges in which the method is executed, are also contemplated.

Figs 3a to 3d and 4 show details of force stroke progressions of the kind shown in the Figs. 1 and 2, i.e., particular points or regions/ranges, at which the inventive method is executed.

Fig. 3a shows a first version, wherein a first zone Z1 and a second zone Z2 are used to control the shifting of the upper border Bu or the lower border Bl. If the actual progression Fa is within said first zone Z1, the upper border Bu and/or the lower border Bl is shifted upwards. If the actual progression Fa is within said second zone Z2, the upper border Bu and/or the lower border Bl is shifted downwards. Fig. 3a shows that the first and the second zones Z1 and Z2 are adjacent to the ideal force progression Fi and the upper border Bu respectively the lower border Bl. In addition, an absolute upper limit Lu, at which an upward shifting of the upper border Bu is inhibited, and an absolute lower limit Ll, at which a downward shifting of the lower border Bl is inhibited, is shown in Fig. 3a. This algorithm is rather fast, as every crimp that qualifies as "passed" and which is not "totally" ideal by chance, causes a shift of the upper border Bu and/or the lower border Bl.

Fig. 3b is quite similar to Fig 3a. The only difference is that the first and the second zones Z1 and Z2 are spaced from the ideal force progression Fi. This causes the algorithm to respond a bit slower as crimps that are almost ideal (near Fi, between Z1 and Z2), do not cause a shift of the upper border Bu and/or the lower border Bl.

Fig. 3c shows another version similar to that shown in Fig. 3a. Here the first zone Z1 is spaced from the upper border Bu and the second zone Z2 is spaced from the lower border Bl. Again, this causes the algorithm to respond a bit slower
5 as passable crimps that are farther away from being ideal do not cause a shift of the upper border Bu and/or the lower border Bl.

Fig. 3d finally shows a last version utilizing first Z1 and
10 second Z2 zones, similar to that shown in Fig. 3a. Here the first and the second zone Z1 and Z2 are spaced both from the ideal force progression Fi as well as from the upper border Bu, respectively, and the lower border Bl, respectively. This version is rather slow, but also rather stable.

15

Fig. 4 depicts yet another version. A first zone Z1 is arranged near above, a second zone Z2 near below, a third zone Z3 farther above, and a fourth zone Z4 farther below relative to ideal force progression Fi. If the actual
20 progression Fa is within said first zone Z1, the lower border Bl is shifted upwards. If the actual progression Fa is within said second zone Z2, the upper border Bu is shifted downwards. If the actual progression Fa is within said third zone Z3, the upper border Bu is shifted upwards
25 and if the actual progression is within said fourth zone Z4 the lower border Bl is shifted downwards. This version performs particularly smooth changes and is very well suitable for crimping presses.

30 According to this version, the first zone Z1 is adjacent to and above said ideal progression Fi, the third zone Z3 is spaced separated above from the first zone Z1, the second zone Z2 is adjacent to and below said ideal progression Fi,

and the fourth zone Z4 is spaced separated below from the second zone Z2. Furthermore, the upper border Bu may be spaced from the third zone Z3 and the lower border Bl may be spaced from the fourth zone Z4. This variant is even better
5 suitable for the crimping process.

In one real implementation, the force stroke progression is separated into 1024 segments, and in each segment it is determined if the actual force is within one of the zones
10 Z1..Z4. In this way, the crimping process can be monitored and controlled very accurately.

If the probability that a crimp is within any one of the first to fourth zone Z1..Z4 is substantially equal for all
15 zones Z1..Z4, convergence of the upper border Bu and lower border Bl towards the standard derivation 3σ can be achieved. Hence 99.73 % of all crimps are considered as passed.

20 Generally the ratio between the first and the fourth zone Z1 and Z4 defines the limiting value of the lower border Bl and the ratio between the second and the third zone Z2 and Z3 defines the limiting value of the upper border Bu. One skilled in the art will easily appreciate that the upper and
25 lower border Bu and Bl do not necessarily have to have the same distance to the ideal force progression Fi, but may be set independently by different ratios between the zones Z1..Z4. While the ratio defines the limiting value, the size of the zones Z1..Z4 defines the convergence speed. The
30 bigger the zones Z1..Z4 are, the faster the algorithm is as the probability that a crimp connection falls within a zone Z1..Z4 is increased. In an advantageous embodiment the outer zones, i.e. the third and the fourth zone Z3 and Z4 have a

width of $1/18$ of the distance between the ideal force progression F_i and the borders B_u and B_l .

Note that although the zones $Z_1..Z_4$ have the same width, the probability that a crimp is within any one of the first to fourth zone $Z_1..Z_4$ is not equal. By contrast, the probability for the first and the second zone Z_1, Z_2 is higher as the Gaussian distribution is higher in the center region. Accordingly, the first and the second zones Z_1 and Z_2 have to be smaller than the third and the fourth zones Z_3 and Z_4 if the probability for all zones $Z_1..Z_4$ shall be equal. Concretely, the area under the Gaussian distribution must be equal for all zones $Z_1..Z_4$ then.

In one real version of a crimp press of the applicant, the operator inputs the percentage of the desired passed (or failed) crimps. Then the control of the crimp press computes the ratio between the zones $Z_1..Z_4$ associated with said percentage and also determines an absolute size of the zones $Z_1..Z_4$ depending on a desired convergence speed. In many cases setting a percentage of passed crimps to 99.73 % (standard derivation 3σ) and a width of the third and the fourth zone $Z_3..Z_4$ to $1/18$ of the distance between the ideal force progression and the borders B_u and B_l will lead to satisfying results.

One skilled in the art will easily perceive that the inventive method as shown in the drawings is equally applicable to physical values derived from the force F as, for example, crimping work or first derivative of the force.

In a particular advantageous version, the mean value of the tolerance band gets the ideal force progression F_i after a

predetermined number of cycles of the inventive method. For example, this change may take place every 50 crimps. In this way, the zones Z1..Z4 can be adapted to a "new" ideal crimp that in turn influences the inventive algorithm. The

5 absolute upper and lower limit Lu and Lo may change as well or may stay. The first alternative, however, involves the risk that the process "drifts away" as itself can change its limitations. All in all it is more useful to keep the absolute upper and lower limit Lu and Lo fixed in most
10 cases.

Finally, it should be noted that the above-mentioned explanations illustrate rather than limit the invention, and that those skilled in the art will be capable of designing
15 many alternative embodiments without departing from the scope of the invention as defined by the appended claims. In the claims, any reference signs placed in parentheses shall not be construed as limiting the claims. The verb 'comprise' and its conjugations do not exclude the presence of elements
20 or steps other than those listed in any claim or the specification as a whole. The singular reference of an element does not exclude the plural reference of such elements and vice-versa. In a device claim enumerating several means, several of these means may be embodied by one
25 and the same item of software or hardware. The mere fact that certain measures are recited in mutually different dependent claims does not indicate that a combination of these measures cannot be used to advantage.

List of references

	Bl	lower border
	Bu	upper border
5	F	force
	Fa	actual force progression
	Fi	ideal force progression
	Ft	threshold force
	Ll	absolute lower limit
10	Lu	absolute upper limit
	s	stroke
	Z1..Z4	first to fourth zone
	14	die
	15	plunger
15		

Claims

What is claimed is:

1. A method of monitoring a crimping process, comprising:

generating an ideal crimping force progression level;

setting a tolerance band of actual force progression level surrounding the ideal force progression level;

providing the tolerance band with an upper border of actual force progression level above the ideal force progression level;

setting a first zone of actual crimping force progression level above the ideal force progression;

setting a first lower bound of actual force progression level as a lower bound for the first zone;

setting the level of the first lower bound of actual force progression level higher than the ideal force progression;

setting a first upper bound of actual force progression as an upper bound for the first zone;

setting the level of the first upper bound of actual force progression level higher than the first lower bound;

defining a fixed upper limit of actual crimping force progression level above the upper border;

providing the tolerance band with a lower border of actual force progression level below the ideal force progression level;

setting a second zone of actual crimping force progression level below the ideal force progression;

setting a second upper bound of actual force progression level as an upper bound for the second zone;

setting the level of the second upper bound of actual force progression level lower than the ideal force progression;

setting a second lower bound of actual force progression as a lower bound for the second zone;

setting the level of the second lower bound of actual force progression level lower than the second upper bound;

defining a fixed lower limit of actual crimping force progression level below the lower border;

measuring the actual force progression of a crimping operation;

making a determination of whether the measured actual force progression lies within the first zone or the second zone;

dynamically shifting the tolerance band defined by the upper tolerance border and lower tolerance border based on the determination; and,

limiting the dynamic shifting of the tolerance band to within the fixed upper limit and the fixed lower limit.

2. A method of monitoring a crimping process as claimed in claim 1, further comprising:

shifting the upper border to a higher level of force progression when the determination indicates the first zone.

3. A method of monitoring a crimping process as claimed in claim 1, further comprising:

shifting the lower border to a higher level of force progression when the determination indicates the first zone.

4. A method of monitoring a crimping process as claimed in claim 1, further comprising:

shifting the upper border to a lower level of force progression when the determination indicates the second zone.

5. A method of monitoring a crimping process as claimed in claim 1, further comprising:

shifting the lower border to a lower level of force progression when the determination indicates the second zone.

6. A method of monitoring a crimping process as claimed in claim 1, further comprising:

setting the upper border force progression level coincident with the first upper bound for the first zone; and,
setting the lower border force progression level coincident with the second lower bound for the second zone.

7. A method of monitoring a crimping process as claimed in claim 1, further comprising:

setting the upper border force progression level above the first upper bound for the first zone; and,

setting the lower border force progression level below the second lower bound for the second zone.

8. A method of monitoring a crimping process as claimed in claim 1, further comprising:

indicating crimps as passable when they result from a force progression within the tolerance band.

9. A method of monitoring a crimping process as claimed in claim 1, further comprising:

making the determination only when the actual force is above a threshold force.

10. A method of monitoring a crimping process, comprising:

generating an ideal crimping force progression level;

setting a tolerance band of actual force progression level surrounding the ideal force progression level;

providing the tolerance band with an upper border of actual force progression level above the ideal force progression level;

setting a first zone of actual crimping force progression level above the ideal force progression;

setting a first lower bound of actual force progression level as a lower bound for the first zone;

setting a first upper bound of actual force progression as an upper bound for the first zone;

setting the level of the first upper bound of actual force progression level higher than the first lower bound;

setting a third zone of actual crimping force progression level above the first zone and below the upper border;

setting a third lower bound of actual force progression level as a lower bound for the third zone;

setting a third upper bound of actual force progression level as an upper bound for the third zone;

defining a fixed upper limit of actual crimping force progression level above the upper border;

providing the tolerance band with a lower border of actual force progression level below the ideal force progression level;

setting a second zone of actual crimping force progression level below the ideal force progression;

setting a second upper bound of actual force progression level as an upper bound for the second zone;

setting a second lower bound of actual force progression as a lower bound for the second zone;

setting the level of the second lower bound of actual force progression level lower than the second upper bound;

setting a fourth zone of actual crimping force progression level below the second zone and above the lower border;

setting a fourth upper bound of actual force progression level as an upper bound for the fourth zone;

setting a fourth lower bound of actual force progression level as a lower bound for the fourth zone;

defining a fixed lower limit of actual crimping force progression level below the lower border;

measuring the actual force progression of a crimping operation;

making a determination of whether the measured actual force progression lies within the first zone or the second zone, or the third zone, or the fourth zone;

dynamically shifting the tolerance band defined by the upper tolerance border and lower tolerance border based on the determination; and,

limiting the dynamic shifting of the tolerance band to within the fixed upper limit and the fixed lower limit.

11. A method of monitoring a crimping process as claimed in claim 10, further comprising:

shifting the upper border to a lower level of force progression when the determination indicates the second zone.

12. A method of monitoring a crimping process as claimed in claim 10, further comprising:

shifting the upper border to a higher level of force progression when the determination indicates the third zone.

13. A method of monitoring a crimping process as claimed in claim 10, further comprising:

shifting the lower border to a higher level of force progression when the determination indicates the first zone.

14. A method of monitoring a crimping process as claimed in claim 10, further comprising:

shifting the lower border to a lower level of force progression when the determination indicates the fourth zone.

15. A method of monitoring a crimping process as claimed in claim 10, further comprising:

setting the level of the first lower bound of actual force progression level coincident with the ideal force progression; and,

setting the level of the second upper bound of actual force progression level coincident to the ideal force progression.

16. A method of monitoring a crimping process as claimed in claim 10, further comprising:

setting the upper border force progression level above the third upper bound for the third zone; and,

setting the lower border force progression level below the fourth lower bound for the fourth zone.

17. A method of monitoring a crimping process as claimed in claim 10, further comprising:

indicating crimps as passable when they result from a force progression within the tolerance band.

18. A method of monitoring a crimping process as claimed in claim 10, further comprising:

setting the upper border force progression level coincident with the third upper bound for the third zone; and,

setting the lower border force progression level coincident with the fourth lower bound for the fourth zone.

19. A method of monitoring a crimping process as claimed in claim 10, further comprising:

setting a force progression level distance between said third lower bound and said third upper bound to be one-eighteenth of the distance between the ideal force progression level and the levels of the upper and lower borders of force progression level.

20. A method of monitoring a crimping process, comprising:

generating an ideal crimping force progression level;

setting a tolerance band of actual force progression level surrounding the ideal force progression level;

providing the tolerance band with an upper border of actual force progression level above the ideal force progression level;

setting a first zone of actual crimping force progression level above the ideal force progression;

setting a first lower bound of actual force progression level as a lower bound for the first zone;

setting the first lower bound of actual force progression level coincident with the ideal force progression;

setting a first upper bound of actual force progression as an upper bound for the first zone;

setting the level of the first upper bound of actual force progression level higher than the first lower bound;

defining a fixed upper limit of actual crimping force progression level above the upper border;

providing the tolerance band with a lower border of actual force progression level below the ideal force progression level;

setting a second zone of actual crimping force progression level below the ideal force progression;

setting a second upper bound of actual force progression level as an upper bound for the second zone;

setting the second upper bound of actual force progression level coincident with the ideal force progression;

setting a second lower bound of actual force progression as a lower bound for the second zone;

setting the level of the second lower bound of actual force progression level lower than the second upper bound;

generating a fixed lower limit of actual crimping force progression level below the lower border;

measuring the actual force progression of a crimping operation;

making a determination of whether the measured actual force progression lies within the first zone or the second zone;

dynamically shifting the tolerance band defined by the upper tolerance border and lower tolerance border based on the determination; and,

limiting the dynamic shifting of the tolerance band to within the fixed upper limit and the fixed lower limit.

21. A method of monitoring a crimping process as claimed in claim 20, further comprising:

one of the steps of, setting the upper border of force progression level higher than the first upper bound for the first zone, or alternatively, setting the upper border of force progression level coincident with the first upper bound for the first zone; and,

one of the steps of, setting the lower border of force progression level lower than the second lower bound for the second zone, or alternatively, setting the lower border of force progression level coincident with the second lower bound for the second zone.