I/We claim:

1. A method for building a computer-implemented solution using a natural language understood by users and without using programming codes, the method comprising:

receiving, by a processor of a computing device from a user, a global statement of intent indicative of the solution to be built, wherein the global statement of intent is received in a form of the natural language and is set in a state of potentiality;

receiving, by the processor from the user, details of one or more agents associated with the global statement of intent, wherein an agent is a human agent or a machine agent that executes the solution, wherein the details of the one or more agents are received in a form of the natural language and each agent is set in a state of potentiality;

receiving, by the processor from the user, one or more local statements of intent associated with the global statement of intent and details of entities associated with each local statement of intent, wherein each local statement of intent and the details of each entity are received in a form of the natural language and are respectively set in a state of potentiality, wherein each local statement of intent is indicative of a step for building the solution, wherein each entity participates in the step indicated by the corresponding local statement of intent;

assigning, by the processor, the one or more agents to each local statement of intent, wherein the details of the agent assigned to the local statement of intent are modifiable by the user;

for each local statement of intent, receiving, by the processor from the user in a form of the natural language, one or more attributes that define a characteristic of the respective local statement of intent;

for each entity, receiving, by the processor from the user in a form of the natural language, one or more attributes that define a characteristic of the respective entity and that differentiate the respective entity from other entities of the corresponding local statement of intent;

forming, by the processor, for each local statement of intent, a set of combinatorial-entity-states (CESs) based on all possible combinations of the entities of the respective local statement of intent, wherein a CES formed based on all the entities of the respective local statement of intent is a trigger combinatorial entity state (trigger CES), and wherein each CES in the set is in a state of potentiality;

in response to determining only one received local statement of intent associated with the global statement of intent, identifying the trigger CES of the received local statement of intent as an end of the building of the solution;

in response to determining more than one received local statement of intent associated with the global statement of intent, receiving, by the processor from the user in a form of the natural language, relationships between the local statements of intent, wherein the relationships are indicative of whether a trigger CES of one local statement of intent is influencing the set of CESs of another local statement of intent of the solution or is an end of the building of the solution;

for each of the global statement of intent, the one or more local statements of intent, the one or more agents, the entities and the attributes, recording, by the processor, a start time at an instance when the respective global statement of intent, the respective local statement of intent, the respective agent, the respective entity and the respective attribute comes to existence in the state of potentiality; and

for each of the global statement of intent, the one or more local statements of intent, the one or more agents, the entities and the attributes, recording, by the processor, a stop time at an instance when the respective global statement of intent, the respective local statement of intent, the respective agent, the respective entity and the respective attribute comes to existent in a state of reality.

2. The method of claim 1, wherein the method further comprises:

for each of the global statement of intent, the one or more local statements of intent, the one or more agents, the entities and the attributes, recording, by the processor, geographical location coordinates at an instance when the respective global statement of intent, the respective local statement of intent, the respective agent, the respective entity and the respective attribute comes to existence in the state of potentiality.

3. The method of claim 1, wherein the method further comprises:

for each of the global statement of intent, the one or more local statements of intent, the one or more agents, the entities and the attributes, recording, by the processor, geographical location coordinates at an instance when the respective global statement of intent, the respective local statement of intent, the respective agent, the respective entity and the respective attribute comes to existence in the state of reality.

4. The method of claim 1, wherein the method further comprises:

for a local statement of intent, receiving, by the processor from the user in a form of the natural language, one or more sub-local statements of intent and details of entities associated with each sub-local statement of intent, wherein each sub-local statement of intent and each entity are respectively set in a state of potentiality, wherein each sub-local statement of intent is indicative of a step for building the solution, wherein each entity participates in the step indicated by the corresponding sub-local statement of intent;

assigning, by the processor, the one or more agents to each sub-local statement of intent, wherein the details of the agent assigned to the local statement of intent are modifiable by the user;

for each sub-local statement of intent, receiving, by the processor from the user in a form of the natural language, one or more attributes that define a characteristic of the respective sub-local statement of intent;

for each entity, receiving, by the processor from the user in a form of the natural language, one or more attributes that define a characteristic of the respective entity and that differentiate the respective entity from other entities of the corresponding sub-local statement of intent;

forming, by the processor, for each sub-local statement of intent, a set of CESs based on all possible combinations of the entities of the respective sub-local statement of intent, wherein a CES formed based on all the entities of the respective sub-local statement of intent is a trigger CES, and wherein each CES in the set is in a state of potentiality; and

receiving, by the processor from the user in a form of the natural language, relationships between the local statements of intent and the one or more sub-local statements of intent, wherein the relationships are indicative of whether a trigger CES of one local statement of intent is influencing the set of CESs of a sub-local statement of intent, and wherein the relationships are indicative of whether a trigger CES of one sub-local statement of intent is influencing the set of CESs of a local statement of intent or is an end of the building of the solution.

5. The method of claim 1, wherein, when the solution is executed, the method further comprises:

for a local statement of intent, receiving, by the processor from an agent in a form of the natural language, one or more sub-local statements of intent and details of entities associated with each sub-local statement of intent, wherein each sub-local statement of intent and each entity are respectively set in a state of potentiality, wherein each sub-local statement of intent is indicative of a step for building the solution, wherein each entity participates in the step indicated by the corresponding sub-local statement of intent;

assigning, by the processor, the one or more agents to each sub-local statement of intent, wherein the details of the agent assigned to the sub-local statement of intent are modifiable by the agent;

for each sub-local statement of intent, receiving, by the processor from an agent in a form of the natural language, one or more attributes that define a characteristic of the respective sub-local statement of intent;

for each entity, receiving, by the processor from an agent in a form of the natural language, one or more attributes that define a characteristic of the respective entity and that differentiate the respective entity from other entities of the corresponding sub-local statement of intent;

forming, by the processor, for each sub-local statement of intent, a set of CESs based on all possible combinations of the entities of the respective sub-local statement of intent, wherein a CES formed based on all the entities of the respective sub-local statement of intent is a trigger CES, and wherein each CES in the set is in a state of potentiality; and

receiving, by the processor from an agent in a form of the natural language, relationships between the local statement of intent and the one or more sub-local statements of intent, wherein the relationships are indicative of whether a trigger CES of one sub-local statement of intent is influencing the set of CESs of another sub-local statement of intent or is influencing the set of CESs of the local statement of intent.

6. The method of claim 1, further comprising, for each entity of each local statement of intent:

receiving, by the processor from the associated agent, a value against the respective entity, wherein receiving the value against the respective entity is recordation of an event to change the state of potentiality to a state of reality for the respective entity based on the received value, wherein the value is an input in a form of the natural

language, a programming language, an image, bio-metrics, and gesture, wherein the natural language is in a form of a text or speech.

7. The method of claim 1, wherein at least one of the global statement of intent, the one or more local statements of intent, the one or more agents, the entities and the attributes comes to existence in the state of potentiality during execution of the solution upon fulfilment of one or more predefined conditions.

8. The method of claim 1, further comprising:

receiving, by the processor from the user in a form of the natural language, user inputs to configure a user interface through which information associated with the global statement of intent, the one or more local statements of intent, the one or more agents, the entities and the attributes is received during execution of the solution, wherein the user interface is dynamically adjustable based on a display property of a display device.

9. The method of claim 1, further comprising:

parsing, by the processor, the received information associated with the global statement of intent, the one or more local statements of intent, the one or more agents, the entities and the attributes; and

correcting, by the processor, the parsed information based on a communication with a natural solution language grammar database to make one or more grammatical corrections in the information.

10. The method of claim 1, further comprising:

parsing, by the processor, the received information associated with the global statement of intent, the one or more local statements of intent, the one or more agents, the entities and the attributes; and

removing, by the processor, redundant text from the parsed information based on a communication with a natural solution language grammar database.

11. The method of claim 1, further comprising:

auto-populating, by the processor, the one or more local statements of intent, or the one or more agents, or the one or more entities, or the one or more attributes, or a combination thereof based on the received global statement of intent, wherein the auto-populating is based on a communication with a natural solution language grammar database.

12. The method of claim 1, wherein the local statements of intent comprise two or more parallel local statements of intent, wherein:

a trigger CES of one local statement of intent influences the sets of CESs of the two or more parallel local statements of intent; and

trigger CESs of the two or more parallel local statements of intent influence the set of CESs of another local statement of intent or is end of the building of the solution.

13. The method of claim 1, wherein the local statements of intent comprise two or more alternate local statements of intent, wherein:

a trigger CES of one local statement of intent influences the sets of CESs of the two or more alternate local statements of intent; and

a trigger CES of one of the two or more alternate local statements of intent influence the set of CESs of another local statement of intent or is end of the building of the solution.

14. The method of claim 1, wherein the local statements of intent comprise a nested local statement of intent, wherein a trigger CES of the nested local statement of intent influences a set of CESs of a local statement of intent of another solution.

15. The method of claim 1, wherein the local statements of intent comprise a recursive local statement of intent, wherein a trigger CES of the recursive local statement of intent influences the set of CESs of another local statement of intent or is end of the building of the solution after N iterations, wherein N is more than 1.

16. The method of claim 1, further comprising:

receiving, by the processor from the user in a form of a natural language, details of two or more substitute entities associated with a local statement of intent, wherein each of the two or more substitute entities is respectively set in a state of potentiality, wherein one of the two or more substitute entities participates in the step indicated by the corresponding local statement of intent and other of the two or more substitute entities are not part of a trigger CES.

17. The method of claim 1, further comprising:

determining, by the processor, a number of potentiality states associated with the solution based on:

$((2^{(b_1-c_1-e_1)}) \times (2^{(b_2-c_2-e_2)}) + (2^{(b_2-c_2-e_2)}) \times (2^{(b_3-c_3-e_3)}) + \ldots\ldots + (2^{(b_{K-1}-c_{K-1}-e_{K-1})}) \times (2^{(b_K-c_K-e_K)}) + (2^{(b_K-c_K-e_K)})) - 1$, where K is the total number of local statements of intent, b_i is the total number of entities, attributes and agents associated with the ith local statement of intent, c_i is the total number of entities, attributes and agents associated with the ith local statement of intent that are constants, e_i is the number of trigger CESs that influence the ith local statement of intent.

18. The method of claim 1, further comprising:

communicating, by the processor, with an external system using one or more natural solution language application programming interfaces.

19. The method of claim 1, further comprising:

generating, by the processor, one or more reports based on values of the global statement of intent, the one or more local statement of intent, the one or more entities, the one or more attributes, the one or more agents, wherein the one or more reports are generated based on one or more predefined techniques.

20. The method of claim 1, further comprising:

analyzing, by the processor, values of the global statement of intent, the one or more local statement of intent, the one or more entities, the one or more attributes, the one or more agents; and

drawing, by the processor, one or more logical inferences based on the analysis, wherein the one or more logical inferences are drawn based on one or more predefined models.

21. The method of claim 1, further comprising:

if the agent associated with a local statement of intent is a machine agent, then:

receiving, by the processor from a robotic process automation engine, inputs to add a new sub-local statement of intent to the local statement of intent and one or more new entities and attributes to the new sub-local statement of intent;

receiving, by the processor from the robotic process automation engine, inputs to add one or more new entities and attributes to the local statement of intent; or

receiving, by the processor from the robotic process automation engine, inputs to modify the local statement of intent or the associated entities or the associated attributes.

22. A computing device for building a computer-implemented solution using a natural language understood by users and without using programming codes, the computing device comprising:

a processor; and

a memory coupled to the processor, the memory comprising instructions executable by the processor to perform the method of one of claims 1 to 21.

23. A non-transitory computer-readable medium having stored thereon instructions for building a computer-implemented solution using a natural language understood by users and without using programming codes comprising machine executable code which when executed by a processor, causes the processor to:

receive, from a user, a global statement of intent indicative of the solution to be built, wherein the global statement of intent is received in a form of the natural language and is set in a state of potentiality;

receive, from the user, details of one or more agents associated with the global statement of intent, wherein an agent is a human agent or a machine agent that executes the solution, wherein the details of the one or more agents are received in a form of the natural language and each agent is set in a state of potentiality;

receive, from the user, one or more local statements of intent associated with the global statement of intent and details of entities associated with each local statement of intent, wherein each local statement of intent and the details of each entity are received in a form of the natural language and are respectively set in a state of potentiality, wherein each local statement of intent is indicative of a step for building the solution, wherein each entity participates in the step indicated by the corresponding local statement of intent;

assign the one or more agents to each local statement of intent, wherein the details of the agent assigned to the local statement of intent are modifiable by the user;

for each local statement of intent, receive from the user in a form of the natural language, one or more attributes that define a characteristic of the respective local statement of intent;

for each entity, receive from the user in a form of the natural language, one or more attributes that define a characteristic of the respective entity and that differentiate the respective entity from other entities of the corresponding local statement of intent;

form for each local statement of intent, a set of combinatorial-entity-states (CESs) based on all possible combinations of the entities of the respective local statement of intent, wherein a CES formed based on all the entities of the respective local statement of intent is a trigger combinatorial entity state (trigger CES), and wherein each CES in the set is in a state of potentiality;

in response to determining only one received local statement of intent associated with the global statement of intent, identify the trigger CES of the received local statement of intent as an end of the building of the solution;

in response to determining more than one received local statement of intent associated with the global statement of intent, receive, from the user in a form of the natural language, relationships between the local statements of intent, wherein the relationships are indicative of whether a trigger CES of one local statement of intent is influencing the set of CESs of another local statement of intent of the solution or is an end of the building of the solution;

for each of the global statement of intent, the one or more local statements of intent, the one or more agents, the entities and the attributes, record a start time at an instance when the respective global statement of intent, the respective local statement of intent, the respective agent, the respective entity and the respective attribute comes to existence in the state of potentiality; and

for each of the global statement of intent, the one or more local statements of intent, the one or more agents, the entities and the attributes, record a stop time at an instance when the respective global statement of intent, the respective local statement of intent, the respective agent, the respective entity and the respective attribute comes to existent in a state of reality.