

TITLE OF THE INVENTION:

METHOD FOR WAFER DICING AND COMPOSITION USEFUL THEREOF

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

[0001] This application claims the benefit of priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) to earlier filed U.S. provisional patent application Serial No. 61/362,896, filed on July 9, 2010, the disclosure of which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

[0002] The integrated circuits are usually formed on a wafer. A single wafer contains plurality of integrated circuit chips, or dies. An integrated circuit chip or dice, is obtained through a dicing process of sawing the wafer.

[0003] During the dicing process, small contaminant residues/particles from sawing the wafer, usually silicon residue, adhere to wafer surface, accumulate in bonding pads and trenched locations. They are difficult to remove in subsequent cleaning processes once in contact with wafer and virtually impossible to remove once trapped in deep trenches. In addition, during the dicing process, the bonding pads are exposed for corrosion to occur. Corrosion can damage the bonding pads leading to poor bonding performance, poor reliability, or even failure of the device. The contaminant particles and corrosion can cause problems at later assembly operation such as wirebonding.

[0004] One approach to reducing corrosion during dicing, involves the use of high purity deionized water (DIW) as a coolant for the dicing blade. The cutting area and the rotating blade are ordinarily bathed in a significant flow of deionized water. One also would think that the silicon residue would be flushed away by the water coolant that floods the cutting area. Unfortunately, even under significant flooding, the small silicon particles are not completely flushed away. Worse, the water coolant can lead to a build up of static charge, resulting in accumulation of silicon residues/particles on the bonding pads, as well as contributing to corrosion.

[0005] As the semiconductor technology rapidly advances, wafer size is increased, while die size is reduced. This prolongs the time spent for dicing, which leads to more challenges.

5

BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0006] It is therefore an objective of the present invention to provide a dicing solution or a solution for semiconductor wafer dicing, and a method to use the solution, that is effective in inhibiting adherence of the contamination residues/particles to all exposed surface areas and the corrosion of all exposed surface areas.

10 **[0007]** One embodiment of the present invention provides a solution for semiconductor wafer dicing inhibiting adherence of contamination residues and corrosion of the exposed metallization areas, comprising:

at least one first compound selected from the group consisting of an organic acid and salt thereof;

15 at least one second compound selected from the group consisting of a surfactant and a base;

the remainder being substantially deionized water; and

the solution has a pH greater than 4.

20 **[0008]** Another embodiment of the present invention provides a method for wafer dicing, the wafer is diced by a saw with bonding pads, contamination residues are produced by sawing the wafer, corrosion is potentially formed on all exposed metallization areas; comprising steps of:

contacting the wafer with a solution during the dicing, the solution comprising

25 at least one first compound selected from the group consisting of an organic acid and salt thereof;

at least one second compound selected from the group consisting of a surfactant and a base; and

the remainder being substantially deionized water; and

the solution has a pH greater than 4.

[0009] More specifically, the at least one first compound is from 0.001wt% to 30wt%; the surfactant is from 0.001wt% to 10wt%; and the base is from 0.001 wt% to 20 wt%; wherein the solution is diluted with deionized water from 1:0 to 1:10000 by weight.

5 **[0010]** The solution can further comprise chelating agents, defoaming agents or dispersing agents.

[0011] Yet another embodiment of the present invention provides a solution for semiconductor wafer dicing inhibiting adherence of contamination residues and corrosion of the exposed metallization areas, comprising:

10 from 0.001wt% to 30wt% of at least one acid selected from the group consisting of citric acid and oxalic acid;

the at least one compound selected from the group consisting of from 0.001 wt% to 20 wt% of a base and from 0.001wt% to 10wt% of a surfactant;

the remainder being substantially deionized water;

the solution has a pH greater than 4 and less than 13; and

15 the solution is diluted with deionized water from 1:0 to 1:10000 by weight;

wherein

the base is selected from the group consisting of potassium hydroxide (KOH) and tetramethylammonium hydroxide (TMAH); and

20 the surfactant is selected from the group consisting of secondary alcohol ethoxylate and secondary alkanesulfonate.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWINGS

[0012] Figure 1 is a schematic drawing of a typical apparatus for wafer dicing by sawing.

25 **[0013]** Figure 2 (a) and (b) show the galvanic current density for dicing solution 38A versus tap water.

[0014] Figure 3(a) shows the average Kerf size (mm) for dicing solution 38A versus distilled water on Ball Grid Array (BGA).

- [0015] Figure 3(b) shows the average Kerf size (mm) for dicing solution 38A versus distilled water on ceramic.
- [0016] Figure 3(c) shows the average Kerf size (mm) for dicing solution 38A versus distilled water on silicon wafers.
- 5 [0017] Figure 4(a) shows the average chips and burrs size for dicing solution 38A versus distilled water on Ball Grid Array (BGA).
- [0018] Figure 4(b) shows the average chips and burrs size for dicing solution 38A versus distilled water on ceramic.
- [0019] Figure 4(c) shows the average chips and burrs size for dicing solution 38A
10 versus distilled water on silicon wafers.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Conductivity and Resistivity

- 15 [0020] During the dicing process electrostatic charge can accumulate on the wafer. This charge build-up and/or a subsequent electrostatic discharge (ESD) can damage sensitive devices on the wafers. Electrostatic charge can also attract particles to the wafer surface. Therefore it is important to dissipate any electrostatic charge build-up during the dicing process. One method for dissipating the electrostatic charge is by
20 using a dicing fluid that has a low resistivity (high conductivity). The conducting fluid provides a path for the charge to dissipate during dicing. DI water is commonly used as a dicing fluid in order to provide lubrication between the saw blade and the wafer. DI water also acts as a coolant to prevent the saw blade from overheating due to friction between the saw blade and the wafer. However, DI water has a high resistivity (typically
25 about 18 megaohms) so it is not a good conducting fluid for charge dissipation. A commonly used method to lower the resistivity of the DI water is by injecting CO₂ into the DI water. This lowers the resistivity of the DI water so the fluid is better at dissipating charge. The dicing solution disclosed in current invention has a lower resistivity than even CO₂ sparged DI water. Therefore this dicing solution will be better at dissipating
30 charge build-up on the wafer during dicing.

Surface Tension and Low Foam

[0021] During the dicing process small contaminant residues, usually silicon residue, adhere to the wafer surface and accumulate on Al bond pads and trench areas. These silicon particles can cause issues when the Al bond pads are probed/tested for functionality. The silicon particles can also cause poor adhesion during wirebonding when another metal is being bonded to the Al bond pads. Therefore these Si residues need to be removed from the wafer surface. Usually a dicing solution is used during the dicing process. The dicing solution is a fluid that is sprayed onto the saw blade and the wafer. One function of the dicing solution is to remove the Si residues from the wafer surface. In order to improve the particle removal, the dicing solution often contains a surfactant. This reduces the surface tension of the dicing solution so that it wets the surface of the wafer better. Better wetting of the surface will improve particle removal. Even at high dilutions, the dicing solution disclosed in the current invention has a much lower surface tension than DI water. This means that the dicing solution will have better particle removal capabilities than DI water. The dicing solution disclosed in current invention also has a lower surface tension than several of the commonly used commercial dicing solutions.

[0022] Even though a surfactant in the dicing solution will reduce the surface tension to provide better particle removal, there is one potential issue with a surfactant: foaming. Many surfactants will cause foam to occur in the dicing solution. The foam can interfere with the dicing solution's ability to remove particles. The foam itself can dry on the wafer and leave particles of its own. Therefore it is important that as little foam as possible be generated in the dicing solution. In tests on actual dicing machines, The dicing solution disclosed in current invention generated little foam at the point of use of the saw blade and wafer surface in comparison to a competitor product. The dicing solution disclosed in current invention also generated less foam than the commonly used commercial dicing solutions in a recirculation tank.

pH

[0023] Al bond pads are often exposed during the dicing process. A dicing solution is often used during dicing for lubrication, cooling, particle removal, etc. Very often DI water is the dicing solution. An issue with using DI water is that its pH is about 7 and at that pH Al corrosion will occur. Typical times of 20-30 minutes can be required to dice a

wafer. During that time the Al bond pads will be exposed to DI water which can cause Al corrosion. One method for preventing the Al corrosion in DI water is to inject CO₂ into the DI water. This lowers the pH of the DI water to about 4-4.5. At this pH Al corrosion will be minimized. Another method for preventing Al corrosion during dicing is to use a dicing solution that lowers the pH of the DI water. The pH of the dicing solution disclosed in current invention at typical dilutions with DI water or distilled water from 1: 0 to 1:10000 by weight used for dicing is 4 to 13. The dilution ratio is by weight. Deionised water and distilled water can be used interchangeable. At this pH the dicing solution will minimize Al corrosion on exposed Al bond pads during dicing. This has been shown in evaluations on actual wafers.

10

Copper Oxide Removal

[0024] When wafers with exposed Cu surfaces (Cu bond pads or other Cu surfaces) reach the dicing process, the Cu surface may have an oxidation and contamination layer on it due to previous process steps. After dicing, the Cu surface may go through a test/probe process to check the part for electrical functionality. During testing a probe tip touches down on the Cu surface. Ideally the contact resistance between the Cu surface and the probe tip should be as low as possible. However, if the Cu surface has a thick oxidation layer and/or a contamination layer on it the contact resistance may be high and cause the probe test to fail even though the part may be a functional part. To prevent these "false fails" from happening, the Cu surface can be cleaned to remove the oxidation and contamination layer so the Cu surface is as clean as possible when the probe tip touches it. Problems due to a thick oxidation and/or contamination layer on a Cu surface can also occur at wirebonding which is when a metal wire is being bonded to the Cu surface. Again, if the Cu surface has a thick oxidation/contamination layer the metal wire may not adhere well to the Cu surface. Therefore a process to clean the Cu surface would be advantageous as well for wirebonding. The dicing solution disclosed in current invention is capable of removing Cu oxide with minimal etching of the underlying Cu surface. Therefore the Cu surface can be cleaned during the dicing process itself if The dicing solution disclosed in current invention is used during dicing.

[0025] Thus, the expected dicing solution should have (a) a lower resistivity (high conductivity) to be better at dissipating charge build-up on the wafer during dicing, (b) a lower surface tension for better particle removal capabilities; (c) less foam generated; (d) a pH to minimize Al corrosion on exposed Al bond pads during dicing; and (e) the ability to remove Cu oxide with minimal etching of the underlying Cu surface. The

35

formulations or dicing solutions comprise of (a) Organic acids or salts and mixtures thereof; and (b) a surfactant or a mixture of surfactants, where the pH of the formulation is equal or larger than 4.

5 **[0026]** Organic acids function to improve trace metal removals, remove organic residues, pH adjustment or reduce corrosion of metals. Organic acids can be chosen from a broad range of acids, including but not limited to: oxalic acid, citric acid, malic acid, malic acid, malonic acid, gluconic acid, glutaric acid, ascorbic acid, formic acid, acetic acid, ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid, diethylene triamine pentaacetic acid, aminobenzoic acids such as anthranilic acid, fumaric acid, glycine, alanine, cystine etc.
10 Salts of these acids may also be used. A mixture of these acids/salts may be used as well.

15 **[0027]** The formulation or dicing solutions may contain from 0.001 to 30 wt% (by weight) of organic acids/salts. Preferred organic acid concentration range from 0.01 to 5 wt%. A combination of organic acids and salts may also be used to buffer the solution at the desired level.

20 **[0028]** Surfactants are used in this formulation to improve wetting of the surfaces (blade and the wafer surface) during dicing. This would help in improving lubrication properties, helping extend the blade life and reducing the kerf loss. Surfactants would also remove particles and residues from the surface, without redepositing on the surfaces.

25 **[0029]** The choice of the surfactant may depend upon various criteria, including; wetting properties, foaming properties, detergency, rinsability, etc. A surfactant which has very low surface tension at low concentrations and has low foaming properties is highly desirable for this application. A combination of surfactants may also be used, wherein one surfactant is used to solubilize a less soluble hydrophobic surfactant molecule. Optional solvosurfactant molecules can be used to increase solubility of difficult to dissolve surfactants, such as acetylenic diol surfactants.

30 **[0030]** Various types of surfactants, such as anionic, cationic, non-ionic, zwitterionic surfactants or combinations thereof may be used.

30 **[0031]** Examples of surfactants include but not limited to: silicone surfactants, poly(alkylene oxide) surfactants, and fluorochemical surfactants. Suitable non-ionic surfactants for use in the process composition include, but are not limited to: acetylenic

alcohol type surfactants, such as Surfynol S-61; octyl and nonyl phenol ethoxylates, such as: TRITON® X-114, X-102, X-100, X-45, and X-15; and alcohol ethoxylates, such as: BRIJ® 56 (C₁₆H₃₃(OCH₂CH₂)₁₀OH) (ICI), BRIJ® 58 (C₁₆H₃₃(OCH₂CH₂)₂₀OH) (ICI), and secondary alcohol ethoxylates, such as Tergitol 15-S-7. Anionic surfactants
5 may include linear alkylbenzenesulfonates (LAS), secondary alkylbenzenesulfonate, fatty alcohol sulfates (FAS), secondary alkanesulfonates (SAS), such as Hostapur SAS; and in some cases also fatty alcohol ether sulfates (FAES).

[0032] Still further exemplary surfactants include: acetylenic diol type of surfactants, such as Dynol® 604, primary alcohol ethoxylates, phenyl ethoxylates, amine ethoxylates,
10 glucosides, glucamides, polyethylene glycols, poly(ethylene glycol-co-propylene glycol); or other surfactants provided in the reference: McCutcheon's Emulsifiers and Detergents, North American Edition for the Year 2000 published by Manufacturers Confectioners Publishing Co. of Glen Rock, N.J.

[0033] The formulation or dicing solutions may contain surfactant having concentration
15 ranging from 0.001 to 10 wt% or preferably from 0.01 to 5 wt%. Preferred surfactants for this application are secondary alcohol ethoxylates, specific example being Tergitol 15-S-7 from Dow Chemicals; secondary alkanesulfonates (SAS), such as Hostapur SAS; acetylenic alcohol, such as Surfynol S-61; and octyl and nonyl phenol ethoxylates, such as TRITON® X series.

20 **[0034]** The formulation or dicing solutions can further comprise a base to further control the pH of the formulation to the desired level (equal or >4). The pH of the cleaning solution may range greater than 4 and less than 13.

[0035] The base may be selected from a range of chemicals, including but not limited to: potassium hydroxide(KOH), guanidine carbonate, aqueous ammonia, ammonium
25 hydroxides and quaternary ammonium hydroxides. The organic bases may be used either alone or in combination with one another.

[0036] Specific examples of quaternary ammonium salts include:
tetramethylammonium hydroxide (TMAH), tetraethylammonium hydroxide,
tetrapropylammonium hydroxide, trimethylethylammonium hydroxide, (2-
30 hydroxyethyl)trimethylammonium hydroxide, (2-hydroxyethyl)triethylammonium hydroxide, (2-hydroxyethyl)tripropylammonium hydroxide and (1-hydroxypropyl)trimethylammonium hydroxide.

[0037] The formulation or dicing solutions may contain bases having concentration ranging from 0.001 to 20 wt%.

[0038] The formulation or dicing solutions may contain chelating agents. The chelating agents may be selected from, but not limited to aminobenzoic acids such as anthranilic acid, ethylenediaminetetracetic acid (EDTA), N-hydroxyethylethylenediaminetriacetic acid (NHEDTA), nitrilotriacetic acid (NTA), diethylenetriaminepentaaceticdiethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid (DPTA), ethanoldiglycinate, citric acid, gluconic acid, oxalic acid, phosphoric acid, tartaric acid, methyldiphosphonic acid, aminotrismethylenephosphonic acid, ethylidene-diphosphonic acid, 1-hydroxyethylidene-1,1-diphosphonic acid, 1-hydroxypropylidene-1,1-diphosphonic acid, ethylaminobismethylenephosphonic acid, dodecylaminobismethylenephosphonic acid, nitrilotrismethylenephosphonic acid, ethylenediaminebismethylenephosphonic acid, ethylenediaminetetrakismethylenephosphonic acid, hexadiaminetetrakismethylenephosphonic acid, diethylenetriaminepentamethylenephosphonic acid and 1,2-propanediaminetetramethylenephosphonic acid or ammonium salts, organic amine salts, malonic acid, succinic acid, dimercapto succinic acid, glutaric acid, maleic acid, phthalic acid, fumaric acid, polycarboxylic acids such as tricarbaryl acid, propane-1,1,2,3-tetracarboxylic acid, butane-1,2,3,4- tetracarboxylic acid, pyromellitic acid, oxycarboxylic acids such as glycolic acid, β -hydroxypropionic acid, citric acid, malic acid, tartaric acid, pyruvic acid, diglycol acid, salicylic acid, gallic acid, polyphenols such as catechol, pyrogallol, phosphoric acids such as pyrophosphoric acid, polyphosphoric acid, heterocyclic compounds such as 8-oxyquinoline, ethylene glycol, glycerol and diketones such as α -dipyridyl acetylacetone.

[0039] The formulation or dicing solutions may contain chelating agents having concentration ranging from 0.001 wt% to 10 wt%.

[0040] The formulation or dicing solutions may contain defoaming agents. The defoaming agents may selected from, but not limited to silicones, organic phosphates, EO/PO based defoamers containing polyethylene glycol and polypropylene glycol copolymers, alcohols, white oils or vegetable oils and the waxes are long chain fatty alcohol, fatty acid soaps or esters.

[0041] The formulations may contain defoaming agents having concentration ranging from 0.001 wt% to 5 wt%.

[0042] The formulation or dicing solutions may contain dispersing agents. The dispersing agents may selected from, but not limited to anionic dispersing agents, nonionic dispersing agents, cationic dispersing agents, and ampholytic dispersing agents; and a polymeric dispersing agent containing an acrylic acid salt as a copolymerized component.

[0043] Examples of the water-soluble anionic dispersing agents include triethanolamine laurylsulfate, ammonium laurylsulfate, polyoxyethylene alkyl ether triethanolamine sulfate, and especial polycarboxylic acid type polymeric dispersing agents. Examples of the water-soluble nonionic dispersing agents include polyoxyethylene lauryl ether, polyoxyethylene cetyl ether, polyoxyethylene stearyl ether, polyoxyethylene oleyl ether, polyoxyethylene higher alcohol ether, polyoxyethylene octyl phenyl ether, polyoxyethylene nonyl phenyl ether, polyoxyethylene derivatives, polyoxyethylene sorbitan monolaurate, polyoxyethylene sorbitan monopalmitate, polyoxyethylene sorbitan monostearate, polyoxyethylene sorbitan tristearate, polyoxyethylene sorbitan monooleate, polyoxyethylene sorbitan trioleate, polyoxyethylene sorbit tetraoleate, polyethylene glycol monolaurate, polyethylene glycol monostearate, polyethylene glycol distearate, polyethylene glycol monooleate, polyoxyethylene alkylamine, polyoxyethylene hardened castor oil, and alkylalkanolamide. Examples of the water-soluble cationic dispersing agents include polyvinylpyrrolidone, coconutamine acetate, and stearylamine acetate. Examples of the water-soluble ampholytic dispersing agents include laurylbetaine, stearylbetaine, lauryldimethylamine oxide, and 2-alkyl-N-carboxymethyl-N-hydroxyethylimidazolinium betaine.

[0044] The formulations may contain dispersing agents having concentration ranging from 0.001 wt% to 5 wt%.

[0045] In a dicing application, the formulation can then be diluted with de-ionized water or distilled water at dilutions of anywhere from 1:0 to 1:10000 by weight at the process tool for convenience. Deionised water and distilled water can be used interchangeable. The mixture is pumped into a dicing machine which cuts wafers into individual die. The dicing machine has spray nozzles that spray the mixture onto the surface of the wafer. The mixture is dispensed at room temperature (typically about 25°C). The mixture then drains off the wafer surface and either goes down the drain or is recirculated through a

filter and re-sprayed back onto the wafer surface. A simple schematic of the set-up is shown in Figure 1.

5 [0046] Figure 1 shows a typical apparatus for wafer dicing by sawing employed in the present invention. A NANOACE Saw tool with diamond-tip wheel at 60000 RPM, and a test saw recipe x/y dicing at about 30 minutes/wafer, are used, the feed rate is about 5mm/s. The diluted dicing solution is injected from a nozzle at flow rate about 0.22 lit/minute. Temperature of DI Water and dicing solution on wafer surface is room Temperature (20°C to 30°C). Dicing solution is injected continuously during sawing.

10 [0047] Wafers are scrubbed with sponge and DIW after sawing. They are then rinsed with DIW and spin dried with Clean Dry Air (CDA) in a spin rinse module.

[0048] The wafer can be cleaned (pre-treatment) with a cleaning solution prior to saw. One example of the cleaning solution comprises N,N-dimethyl acetamide (DMAC), Ammonium Acetate, Acetic acid (glacial), Ammonia Fluoride (NH₄F) and the remainder being substantially deionized water.

15

Working Examples

Group I

[0049] A dicing solution **38A** comprising water (95.28 wt%), citric acid (2 wt%), potassium hydroxide(KOH)(0.72 wt%), and Tergitol 15-S-7 (2 wt%) was made.

20 [0050] A dicing solution **38B** comprising water (>90 wt%), Hostapur SAS (1 wt%), Dynol 604 (0.5 wt%), citric acids (2 wt%) and potassium hydroxide (KOH) (<7.5 wt%) to adjust pH to 6 was made similarly as for the dicing solution 38A .

25 [0051] A dicing solution **38C** comprising water (>90 wt%), Triton X-100 (2 wt%), Citric acid (2 wt%), and potassium hydroxide (KOH) (<6 wt%) to adjust pH to 6 was made similarly as for the dicing solution **38A** .

[0052] A dicing solution **38D** comprising water (>90 wt%), ethylene diaminetetraacetic acid (2 wt%), Tergitol 15-S-7 (2 wt%), and tetraethylammonium hydroxide(<6 wt%) to adjust pH to 7 was made similarly as for the dicing solution 38A .

30 [0053] A dicing solution **38E** comprising water (>90 wt%), glycine (2 wt%), and Tergitol 15-S-7 (2 wt%) was made similarly as for the dicing solution 38A .

[0054] The above formulations or the dicing solutions were then diluted with de-ionized water or distilled water at dilutions of anywhere from 1:0 to 1:10000 by weight at the process tool for convenience.

[0055] A dicing solution **58A** comprising 2.0 wt% citric acid in de-ionized water was prepared as follows: to a one liter HDPE poly-bottle was added 69.0 gm of 29 wt% citric acid solution. 18.7 gm of a 10.04 wt% Hostapur SAS surfactant solution was added to the bottle. A total of 908.9 gm of de-ionized water was added to the bottle. For pH adjustment 3.4 gm of 48 wt% KOH solution was added for a final weight of 1000.0 gm. The bottle was capped and shaken. The dicing solution pH was measured to be 2.66. The dicing solution was then diluted with deionized water at 1:1000. The pH, conductivity, resistivity, and surface tension of dicing solution **58A** undiluted and diluted was shown in Table I.

[0056] A dicing solution **58B** comprising 2.0 wt% oxalic acid and 0.5 wt% citric acid in de-ionized water was prepared as follows: to a one liter HDPE poly-bottle was added 243.3 gm of an aqueous solution containing 8.2 wt% oxalic acid in water. To the same one liter HDPE poly-bottle was added 17.2 gm of 29 wt% citric acid solution. 18.7 gm of a 10.04 wt% Hostapur SAS solution was added to the bottle. A total of 694.0 gm of de-ionized water was added to the bottle. For pH adjustment 26.8 gm of 48 wt% KOH solution was added for a final weight of 1000.0 gm. The bottle was capped and shaken. The dicing solution pH was measured to be 2.54. The dicing solution was then diluted with deionized water at 1:1000. The pH, conductivity, resistivity, and surface tension of dicing solution **58B** undiluted and diluted was shown in Table I.

[0057] A dicing solution **58C** comprising 2.0 wt% citric acid in de-ionized water was prepared as follows: to a one liter HDPE poly-bottle was added 69.0 gm of 29 wt% citric acid solution. 10.0 gm of a pure Tergitol 15-S-7 surfactant was added to the bottle. A total of 918.0 gm of de-ionized water was added to the bottle. For pH adjustment 3.0 gm of 48 wt% KOH solution was added for a final weight of 1000.0 gm. The bottle was capped and shaken. The dicing solution pH was measured to be 2.52. The dicing solution was then diluted with deionized water at 1:1000. The pH, conductivity, resistivity, and surface tension of dicing solution **58C** undiluted and diluted was shown in Table I.

[0058] A dicing solution **59C** comprising 2 wt% citric acid, 0.72 wt% KOH, 1 wt% Tergitol 15-S-7 and 96.86 wt% water was made as for **58C**, but the pH was adjusted to 3.96. The dicing solution was diluted with deionized water at 1:1000. The pH,

conductivity, resistivity, and surface tension of dicing solution 58C undiluted and diluted was shown in Table I.

Table I. Dicing Solutions

5

Dicing Solutions	Dilution	pH	Conductivity (uS/cm)	Resistivity (M-cm)	Surface Tension (dynes/cm)
DI Water	None	7	0.71	1.41	73
38A	Undiluted	5.71	23529	0.000042	31.2
38A	1:50	6.46	769	0.0013	31.3
	1:100	6.54	367	0.0027	31.4
	1:250	6.58	169	0.0059	31.8
	1:500	6.58	77.2	0.013	32.6
	1:1000	6.48	39.6	0.025	34.7
58A	Undiluted	2.66	3710	0.00027	31.6
	1:1000	4.09	39.7	0.025	75.1
58B	Undiluted	2.54	21500	0.000047	29.0
	1:1000	3.97	72.8	0.014	74.9
58C	Undiluted	2.52	3100	0.00032	31.5
	1:1000	3.93	38.9	0.026	42.1
59C	Undiluted	3.96	10490	0.000095	Not Determined
	1:1000	4.56	24.58	0.041	44.9
60C	Undiluted	4.65	15180	0.000066	30.8
	1:1000	5.13	26.34	0.038	44.1

10 **[0059]** A dicing solution **60C** comprising 2 wt% citric acid, 1.04 wt% KOH, 1 wt% Tergitol 15-S-7 and 95.96 wt% water was made as for 58C, but the pH was adjusted to 4.65. The dicing solution was then diluted with deionized water at 1:1000. The pH, conductivity, resistivity, and surface tension of dicing solution 60C undiluted and diluted were shown in Table I.

[0060] The pH, conductivity(μ S/cm), resistivity (M Ω -cm), surface tension (dynes/cm) of the dicing solutions undiluted and diluted with DI water at various ratios were shown in Table I. The DI water was also shown in Table I as a reference.

[0061] Table I showed the pH of the dicing solutions at typical dilutions used for dicing was 4 to 6.5. At this pH the dicing solutions would minimize Al corrosion on exposed Al bond pads during dicing.

5 [0062] As stated before, the method for dissipating the electrostatic charge in the present invention was using a dicing solution having a low resistivity (high conductivity). The conducting dicing solution provides a path for the charge to dissipate during dicing. Since dicing solution 38A has a low resistivity and high conductivity, thus it was better at dissipating charge build-up on the wafer during dicing.

10 [0063] Furthermore, during the dicing process, a dicing solution with lower surface tension wets the surface of the wafer better. Better wetting of the surface will improve particle removal.

[0064] The surface tension of most dicing solutions diluted with DI water at various ratios were shown in Table I. In comparison with DI water which was 73 dynes/cm at 20°C, data in Table I indicated that most dicing solutions had better particle removal
15 capabilities than DI water.

Group II

[0065] The following experiments had been carried out using beakers with stir bars to
20 simulate the dicing process.

[0066] Dicing Solution 38A, 58A, 58B, 58C, 59C and 60C were diluted from 1:50 to 1:1000 and tested for CuOx removal. The experiments were performed in two groups. Group one was for dicing solution 38A, and Group two was for the rest dicing solutions. All test data was shown in Table II.

25

Dicing Solution 38A

[0067] The Wafers tested in this experiment were blanket wafers with Cu/Thermal Oxide/Si. The wafer immersion in the beaker was set up to last 20 minutes.

30 [0068] The Cu oxide removal tests were conducted as follows. A beaker of dicing solution 38A was stirred with a magnetic stir bar. A piece of a Cu wafer was held with plastic forceps and immersed into the dicing solution 38A. The temperature of dicing

solution 38A was maintained at 25°C. After 20 minutes of immersion in dicing solution 38A, the Cu wafer segment was removed from dicing solution 38A and rinsed with DI water for 30 seconds. Then the Cu wafer piece was dried by blowing the DI water off with N₂.

- 5 **[0069]** The Ellipsometry tests were carried out to measure the Cu oxide thickness using model on ellipsometer. Data were shown in Table II. The initial thickness of Cu oxide was about 175Å

[0070] In the tests, Cu oxide thickness was measured on each wafer prior to immersion and after immersion in dicing solution 38A.

- 10 **[0071]** Etch rate for the undiluted dicing solution 38A could not be determined since the Cu oxide was completely removed. It is not known if the Cu oxide was removed in the first 5 minutes or if it took all 20 minutes to remove it. At a minimum the Cu oxide etch rate for the concentrated dicing solution 38A was at least $175\text{\AA}/20\text{ min} = 8.75\text{ \AA/min}$, but it is very possible the etch rate was higher than this.

- 15 **[0072]** The DI water alone or with the cleaning solution for the pre-treatment was not effective to prevent the surface oxidation and the silicon residues/particles adhesion to the Cu wafer surface. While the dicing solution 38A used during wafer dicing by sawing, inhibited the formation of oxide layer, prevented adhesion of the silicon residues/particles to the Cu wafer surface, and was capable of removing Cu oxide with minimal etching of
20 the underlying Cu surface, as shown in Table II. Therefore the Cu surface can be cleaned during the dicing process itself if dicing solution 38A was used during dicing.

[0073] Typical times of 20-30 minutes can be required to dice a wafer. During that time the Al bond pads will be exposed which can cause Al corrosion.

- [0074]** By measuring the galvanic current density of the dicing solution, it can be
25 determined whether or not that dicing solution will cause Al corrosion. If the galvanic current density is close to zero then no galvanic corrosion is predicted.

- [0075]** Shown in Figure 2 was the galvanic current density for dicing solution 38A versus tap water (similar to DI water that was contaminated with particles from the dicing process). Al and Cu films were coupled and immersed in each dicing solution and their
30 galvanic current densities measured. Al and Cu were coupled together because the Al bond pads were composed of an alloy of Al (0.5% Cu). The current density for dicing solution 38A was close to zero and was much less than the current density for tap water.

This indicated that dicing solution 38A would not cause galvanic corrosion during the dicing process. This is important because the Al surface should be as corrosion-free as possible after dicing to enable a better wirebonding process.

5 **[0076]** When a dicing blade cuts through a wafer or other substrate the word used to define the path where the blade went is called the kerf. In theory, the kerf should be the same width as the blade. But if the blade is not properly cooled and lubricated and particles were not removed from the blade's path, then the kerf can become wider than the blade width. This is undesirable since areas of the wafer or substrate that should not be cut were actually cut. Therefore, a good quality dicing process will have the kerf
10 width as small as possible (as close to the blade width as possible).

15 **[0077]** The data in Figure 3(a) to Figure 3(c) showed that on all 3 types of substrates tested, Ball Grid Array (BGA), ceramic, and silicon wafers, the dicing solution 38A had a lower average kerf width than DI water commonly used dicing solution. On BGA and ceramic substrates the dicing solution 38A had a smaller average kerf width than a
commonly used commercial dicing solution.

20 **[0078]** When a dicing blade cuts through a wafer or other substrate there can be chips and burrs along the kerf. Chips were small pieces of the substrate that broke off during the dicing process. Burrs typically happen with when cutting through metals---burrs were where the metal does not cut cleanly and smears along the kerf. Both of these
(chips/burrs) were undesirable. A good dicing process has very few chips and burrs along the kerf---the edge of the kerf should be smooth. Also, the smaller the average size of the chips and burrs the better.

25 **[0079]** The data in Figure 4(a) to Figure 4(c) indicated that on all 3 types of substrates tested (BGA, ceramic, and silicon wafers) the dicing solution 38A had a smaller average chip/burr size than DI water commonly used dicing solution. On BGA and ceramic substrates the dicing solution 38A had a smaller average chip/burr size than a commonly used commercial dicing solution.

Dicing Solutions 58A, 58B, 58C, 59C and 60C

30 **[0080]** For dicing solutions **58A, 58B, 58C, 59C and 60C**, the Cu oxide etch rates were high. Cu oxide etch rates were determined from linear regression of thicknesses

measured at 1, 3, 5, 10 and 30 min intervals where applicable, this was indicated as "a" in Table II.

Table II Cu Oxide Removal

5

Dicing Solutions	Dilution	Initial Cu Oxide Thickness (Å)	Cu Oxide Thickness Post-Cleaning (Å)	Cu Oxide Removed in 20 min (Å)	Cu Oxide Etch Rate (Å/min)
38A	Undiluted	174	0	174	Not Determined
	1:50	175	118	57	2.85
	1:100	170	129	41	2.05
	1:250	176	136	40	2.00
	1:500	169	138	31	1.55
	1:1000	177	152	25	1.25
58A	1:1000	138	0	a	9.4
58B	1:1000	140	0	a	23.5
58C	1:1000	141	0	a	8.8
59C	1:1000	149	a	a	4.9
60C	1:1000	146	a	a	3.9

a. Determined from linear regression of thicknesses measured at 1, 3, 5, 10 and 30 min intervals where applicable.

Group III

10

A. Undiluted Dicing solutions

Example 3

[0081] Al(0.5% Cu) metal on titanium nitride (TiN) substrate with a resistivity of 338.24 ohms-Å/Sq was obtained from SVMl and had nominally 8000Å thickness of Al. During storage the Al substrate can grow up to 150Å of an oxide layer. Prior to etch rate determinations the Al substrate was therefore pretreated by immersing a 2"x2" piece of Al into an aqueous solution of 42.5 wt% H₃PO₄ in de-ionized water for 2 minutes at 25°C. After immersing for 2 minutes, the Al piece was rinsed for 3 minutes with de-ionized water, dried with a N₂ gun for 30 seconds, and the Al film thickness was then measured. Al pieces pretreated in this way were used immediately in etch rate measurements performed.

20

Example 4

[0082] A dicing solution comprising 2.0 wt% oxalic acid in de-ionized water was prepared as follows: To a one liter HDPE poly-bottle was added 224.2 gm of an

aqueous solution containing 8.92 wt% oxalic acid. An additional 775.8 gm of de-ionized water was then added to the bottle to a final weight of 1000.0 gm. The bottle was capped and shaken. The dicing solution was named **48A** and the solution pH was measured to be 1.04.

- 5 **[0083]** Dicing solution 48A was submitted for etch rate measurements at 25°C using a pretreated piece of Al, as well as using 1000Å Ni on thermal oxide (TOx) substrates. The Ni substrate had a resistivity of 2035.64 ohm-Å/Sq. Each 2"x2" piece of Al or Ni substrate was immersed into 330 ml of the **48A** dicing solution in a 500 ml glass beaker and the solution stirred on a stir plate at 300 rpm. The temperature was recorded.
- 10 Thickness measurements in Å were made in triplicate after times 0, 20, 40, 60, and 90 minutes using a ResMap Four Point probe. The film thickness versus time data was then regressed. An etch rate was determined for Al and Ni separately to be 0.6 Å/min and 3.3 Å/min, respectively.

Table III. Etch rates for Undiluted Oxalic Acid Solutions at 25°C.

EXAMPLE	Dicing Solutions	pH	Oxalic Acid (wt%)	TMAH ^b (wt%)	Etch Rate (Å/min)	
					Al	Ni
4	48A	1.04	2.0	0	0.6	3.3
5	50B	1.32	1.0	0	3.8	6.2
6	50E	1.46	0.67	0	4.4	10.6
7	49A	3.30	2.0	8.7	1.5	18.9
8	55A	4.47	2.0	14.8	2.1	15.5
9	55B	5.45	2.0	16.1	1.7	1.4

- 15 b. As 25 wt% aqueous solution.

Examples 5-9

- [0084]** Additional dicing solutions **50B**, **50E**, **49A**, **55A**, and **55B** were prepared as for **48A** but with varying oxalic acid concentrations and/or final pH in Examples 5-9, respectively. The etch rate results were summarized in Table III. Etch rates for Al and Ni metals both went through a maximum versus pH.
- 20

Example 10

[0085] A dicing solution comprising 2.0 wt% citric acid in de-ionized water was prepared as follows: To a one liter HDPE poly-bottle was added 6.9 gm of an aqueous solution containing 29 wt% citric acid. An additional 993.1 gm of de-ionized water was then added to the bottle to a final weight of 1000.0 gm. The bottle was capped and shaken. The dicing solution was named **48B** and the solution pH was measured to be 1.93.

[0086] Dicing solution **48B** was submitted for etch rate measurements using a pretreated piece of Al and 1000Å Ni on TOx substrates, as in Example 4. The film thickness versus time data was then regressed. An etch rate was determined for Al and Ni separately to be <1 Å/min for both substrates. The ResMap Four Point Probe was able to measure changes in film thickness that were > 20 Å, so an etch rate of <1 Å/min indicated that there was insufficient etch of the film over a 30-90 minute test.

Table IV. Etch rates for Undiluted Citric Acid Solutions at 25°C.

EXAMPLE	Dicing Solutions	pH	Citric Acid (wt%)	TMAH ^c (wt%)	Etch Rate (Å/min)	
					Al	Ni
10	48B	1.93	2.0	0	<1	<1
11	49B	3.43	2.0	3.5	0.5	<1
12	55E	4.38	2.0	6.3	<1	<1
13	55F	5.34	2.0	9.2	<1	<1

c. As 25 wt% aqueous solution.

Examples 11-13

[0087] Additional dicing solutions **49B**, **55E**, and **55F** were prepared as for **48B** but with varying citric acid concentrations and/or final pH in Examples 11-13, respectively. The amount of TMAH and the final etch rate results were summarized in Table IV. Etch rates for Al and Ni metals were < 1 Å/min for all pH values up to 5.3.

Example 14

[0088] A dicing solution comprising 2.0 wt% fumaric acid in de-ionized water was prepared as follows: To a one liter HDPE poly-bottle was added 2.0 gm of solid fumaric acid. An additional 998.0 gm of de-ionized water was then added to the bottle to a final weight of 1000.0 gm. The bottle was capped and shaken. An undetermined amount of fumaric acid crystals remained un-dissolved. The supernatant solution was collected and the dicing solution was named **48C**. The solution pH was measured to be 2.13.

[0089] Dicing solution **48C** was submitted for etch rate measurements using a pretreated piece of Al and 1000Å Ni on TOx substrates, as in Example 4. The film thickness versus time data was then regressed. An etch rate was determined for Al and Ni separately to be <1 Å/min for both substrates.

Table V. Etch rates for Undiluted Fumaric Acid Solutions at 25°C.

EXAMPLE	Dicing Solutions	pH	Fumaric Acid (wt%)	TMAH ^d (wt%)	Etch Rate (Å/min)	
					Al	Ni
14	48C	2.13	<2.0	0	<1	<1
15	49C	3.3	2.0	3.3	0.7	<1
16	55G	4.27	2.0	9.98	0.4	<1
17	55H	5.13	2.0	11.65	0.4	<1

d. As 25 wt% aqueous solution

Examples 15-17

[0090] Additional dicing solutions **49C**, **55G**, and **55H** were prepared as for **48C** but with varying fumaric acid concentrations and/or final pH in Examples 15-17, respectively. The amount of TMAH and the final etch rate results were summarized in Table V. Etch rates for Al and Ni metals were < 1 Å/min for all pH values up to 5.1.

Example 18

[0091] A dicing solution comprising 2.0 wt% oxalic acid and 0.2 wt% fumaric acid in de-ionized water was prepared as follows: to a one liter HDPE poly-bottle was added 224.4

gm of an aqueous solution containing 8.92 wt% oxalic acid. To the same one liter HDPE poly-bottle was added 2.0 gm of solid fumaric acid. A total of 679.4 gm of de-ionized water was added to the bottle. For pH adjustment 94.2 gm of 25 wt% TMAH solution was added for a final weight of 1000.0 gm. The bottle was capped and shaken. The dicing solution was named **53B** and the solution pH was measured to be 3.44. An etch rate was determined for Al and Ni separately to be 0.6 Å/min and 1.4 Å/min, respectively. Table VI also showed the result for Comparative Example 7 and illustrated that addition of 0.2 wt% fumaric acid to a 2.0 wt% oxalic acid solution at pH=3.3-3.5 reduced the Ni metal etch rate by more than one-order of magnitude.

10

Examples 19-20

[0092] Additional dicing solutions **53E** and **53F** were prepared as for 53B but with varying fumaric acid concentrations and/or final pH. For pH adjustment, a 25 wt% TMAH mixture was used. The amount of fumaric acid and TMAH, as well as the final etch rate results were summarized in Table VI. Etch rates for Al were essentially unchanged. Ni metal etch rates were also significantly reduced as seen for Examples 20 and 21, however, the Ni etch rate increased with increasing fumaric acid.

15

Example 21

[0093] A dicing solution comprising 2.0 wt% oxalic acid and 0.5 wt% citric acid in de-ionized water was prepared as follows: to a one liter HDPE poly-bottle was added 243.92 gm of an aqueous solution containing 8.2 wt% oxalic acid. To the same one liter HDPE poly-bottle was added 17.24 gm of 29 wt% citric acid solution. A total of 625.92 gm of de-ionized water was added to the bottle. For pH adjustment 112.92 gm of 25 wt% TMAH solution was added for a final weight of 1000.0 gm. The bottle was capped and shaken. The dicing solution was named **56H** and the solution pH was measured to be 3.48. An etch rate was determined for Al and Ni separately to be 0.6 Å/min and 0.5 Å/min, respectively. This result was summarized in Table VI and illustrated that addition of 0.5 wt% citric acid to a 2.0 wt% oxalic acid solution at pH=3.3-3.5 reduced the Ni metal etch rate by more than one-order of magnitude.

20

25

30

Table VI. Etch rates for Undiluted Oxalic, Fumaric, and Citric Acid Solutions at 25°C.

EXAMPLE	Dicing solution	pH	Weight %			Etch Rate (Å/min)	
			Oxalic Acid	Fumaric Acid	TMAH ^e	Al	Ni
7	49A	3.30	2.0	0	8.7	1.5	18.9
18	53B	3.44	2.0	0.2	9.4	0.6	1.4
19	53E	3.46	2.0	0.5	9.7	1.2	3.8
20	53F	3.5	2.0	1.0	11.2	1.8	7.7
			Oxalic Acid	Citric Acid	TMAH ^e		
21	56H	3.48	2.0	0.5	11.3	0.6	0.5

e. As 25 wt% aqueous solution

5

Example 22

[0094] An etch rate was determined for dicing solution **58A** on Al and Ni separately to be < 1 Å/min for both metals. This result illustrated that KOH could be used to replace TMAH and was consistent with the results found for Examples 10 to 13.

10

Example 23

[0095] An etch rate was determined for dicing solution **58B** on Al and Ni separately to be < 1 Å/min for both metals. When compared with the data in Table III for pH adjusted oxalic acid solutions, the results again showed the significant reduction in Ni etch rate.

This result further illustrated that KOH could be used to replace TMAH in the mixed oxalic and citric acid dicing solutions, and was consistent with the results found for Example 22 at a pH of 3.48.

15

Example 24

5 [0096] An etch rate was determined for dicing solution **58C** on Al and Ni separately to be $< 1 \text{ \AA/min}$ for both metals. This result illustrates that Tergitol surfactant could be used in place of Hostapur SAS surfactant in Example 22 without significant change to etch rates.

Example 25

10 [0097] A dicing solution comprising 2.0 wt% oxalic acid and 0.5 wt% citric acid in de-ionized water was prepared as follows: to a one liter HDPE poly-bottle was added 243.3 gm of an aqueous solution containing 8.2 wt% oxalic acid. To the same one liter HDPE poly-bottle was added 17.2 gm of 29 wt% citric acid solution. 10.0 gm of a pure Tergitol 15-S-7 surfactant was added to the bottle. A total of 703.6 gm of de-ionized water was added to the bottle. For pH adjustment 25.9 gm of 48 wt% KOH solution was added for a final weight of 1000.0 gm. The bottle was capped and shaken. The dicing solution
15 was named **58E** and the solution pH was measured to be 2.42. An etch rate was determined for Al and Ni separately to be $< 1 \text{ \AA/min}$ for both metals. This result illustrated that Tergitol surfactant could be used in place of HOSTAPUR SAS surfactant in Example 23 without significant change to etch rates.

20

Examples 26-31

[0098] A series of additional dicing solutions were made with varying composition and pH. Dicing solutions **59A** and **60A** comprised citric acid and HOSTAPUR SAS as for **58A** in Group I; dicing solutions **59B** and **60B** comprised oxalic acid plus citric acid and HOSTAPUR SAS as for **58B** in Group I; and dicing solutions **59D** and **60D** comprised
25 oxalic acid plus citric acid and Tergitol 15-S-7 in Example 25.

[0099] The series of dicing solutions named **59A**, **59B**, and **59D** were all pH adjusted with KOH to the range of pH = 3.9-4.2. When diluted with de-ionized water at 1:1000 these 59-series dicing solutions gave a point-of-use pH of approximately 4.5 as for **59C** in Group I. Similarly, the series of dicing solutions named **60A**, **60B**, and **60D** were all
30 pH adjusted with KOH to the range of pH = 4.5-4.7. When diluted with de-ionized water at 1:1000 these 60-series dicing solutions gave a point-of-use pH of approximately 5.0 as for **60C** in Group I. Dicing solution acidic components, surfactant composition and pH

of the undiluted neat oxalic and citric acid dicing solutions were summarized for Examples 26-31 in Table VII.

Table VII. Etch rates for Neat Oxalic and Citric Acid Dicing solutions at 25°C

EXAMPLE	Dicing solution	pH	Weight %			
			Oxalic Acid	Citric Acid	KOH ^f	Surfactant
26	59A	4.20	0	2.0	1.6	0.188wt% SAS10
27	59B	4.01	2.0	0.5	4.6	0.187wt% SAS10
28	59D	3.93	2.0	0.5	4.53	1.0 wt% Tergitol
29	60A	4.66	0	2.0	2.2	0.188wt% SAS10
30	60B	4.57	2.0	0.5	5.4	0.188wt% SAS10
31	60D	4.50	2.0	0.5	5.26	1.0 wt% Tergitol

5 f. As 48 wt% aqueous solution.

B. Diluted Dicing solutions

Example 32

10 **[00100]** 1.0 gm of dicing solution **58A** was added to a one liter HDPE poly-bottle. An additional 1000 gm of de-ionized water was added to the bottle. The bottle was capped and shaken. The pH of the resulting 1:1000 dilution was measured to be 4.09. The sample was submitted for etch rate determination on Al, Ni, and Cu metal substrates and CuOx on Cu substrate. The etch rate results were shown in Table VIII.

15 Examples 33-43

20 **[00101]** Additional dicing solutions were diluted using similar 1:1000 dilutions. These dilutions were shown in Examples 33-43, Table VIII. The pH value of each of the diluted solutions was measured, and etch rate determinations made for each of the samples at 25°C on Al, Ni, and Cu metals, as well as Cu oxide (CuOx) on Cu oxide substrate. The results were presented in Table VIII.

Table VIII. pH and Etch Rates at 25°C

Example	Dicing solution at 1:1000 Dilution	pH	Etch Rates (Å/Min)			
			Al	Ni	Cu	CuOx on Cu
32	58A	4.09	0.4	<1	0.8	9.4
33	58B	3.97	0.6	<1	0.9	23.5
34	58C	4.19	0.4	<1	0.9	8.8
35	58E	3.99	0.7	<1	1.0	17.4
36	59A	4.63	0.3	<1	0.8	4.2
37	59B	4.33	0.7	<1	1.2	3.8
38	59C	4.56	0.3	<1	0.9	4.9
39	59D	4.65	0.8	<1	1.3	4.8
40	60A	5.10	1.4	<1	0.9	3.8
41	60B	5.06	1.3	<1	5.7	<1
42	60C	5.13	0.4	<1	1.0	3.9
43	60D	5.15	1.3	<1	5.0	<1

Comparative Example 44

- 5 **[00102]** In addition, samples of 1:1000 dilutions of **58E**, **59D**, and **60D** were tested for Al and Ni etch rates at 45°C and 65°C. Etch rate results were shown in Table IX below and compared with those already presented in Table 6 above for the respective dicing solutions. Since these 3 dicing solutions all contain oxalic and citric acids, as well as Tergitol surfactant, differences in etch rates were due essentially to pH and temperature differences. The results showed that the higher pH of ~5.0 was key to maintain a low Ni etch rate at elevated temperatures.
- 10

Table IX. pH and Etch Rates at 25°C, 45°C, and 65°C for 1:1000 Diluted Dicing solutions.

Dicing solution at 1:1000 Dilution	pH	Al etch rate (Å/min)			Ni etch rate (Å/min)		
		25°C	45°C	65°C	25°C	45°C	65°C
58E	3.99	0.7	2.9	15.2	<1	13.3	16.9
59D	4.65	0.8	2.4	14.5	<1	<1	2.8
60D	5.15	1.3	4.7	19.7	<1	<1	<1

Comparative Example 45

- 5 **[00103]** Samples of dicing solutions **60B**, **60C**, and **60DH** were prepared as 1 gallon quantities. The **60DH** sample contained one-half the concentration of the **60D** dicing solution. It was therefore diluted at 1:500 in order to achieve a side-by-side comparison of results with other dicing solutions that were diluted at 1:1000. The dicing solutions were tested at 1:1000 equivalent dilution in online tests using a DNS wafer dicing saw operation. The dicing tests were intended to determine the life or length of time that dicing blades could be used before any breakage in the blade tip was experienced. Dicing blades were from DISCO and labeled "disco *NBC-ZH 2050 * 27HEDF". They comprised an Aluminum metal hub with a Ni tip. The Ni tip contained embedded diamonds. All dicing solutions were tested at the following identical dicing conditions:
- 10 blade RPM: 30000; blade feed rate: 5mm/sec; cut depth into the Si wafer: 50%. In addition, a small portion of each dicing solution was used in etch rate tests for Ni and Cu metals, and CuOx on Cu substrate.

- [00104]** The results for blade life were shown in Table X below. The results indicated that the 3 dicing solutions gave approximately the same blade life at pH of ~5.0. The **60C** dicing solution additionally had a beneficially higher CuOx etch rate. A dicing solution with high CuOx etch rate and low Cu metal etch rate ensured good cleaning without damaging of Cu bond pads.
- 20

Table X. Blade Life and Etch Rates for Diluted Dicing Solutions

Dicing solution at 1:1000 Dilution	Blade Life (Hrs)	Etch Rates at 1:1000 (Å/min)		
		Cu (25°C)	CuOx (25°C)	Ni (65°C)
60B	> 16	4.8	0.3	<1
60C	~ 20	1.1	8.7	<1
60DH^g	~19	5.1	0.4	<1

g. For **60B** and **60C** the dicing solutions were diluted 1:1000. For **60DH** the dicing solution was diluted 1:500.

Comparative Example 46

- 5 **[00105]** Results were presented in Table XI for dicing solutions **58B**, **59C**, and **60C** with pH values after 1:1000 dilution of about 4, 4.5, and 5. The data showed that blade life increases significantly with increasing pH. Based on these blade life results, dicing solutions **48A** and **48B** in Tables IV and V were expected to have short blade life. Results presented previously in Table VIII also showed, however, that the CuOx etch rate also decreased with increasing pH. The pH of about 5.0 appeared to be the value
- 10 that optimally afforded low Ni etch rate leading to long blade life and moderate CuOx etch rate for cleaning of Cu bond pads.

Table XI. Effect of Dicing Solution pH on Blade Life at 1:1000 Dilution.

Dicing solution Diluted at 1:1000	pH	Blade Life (hrs)
58B	~4.0	4.05
59C	~4.5	8-10
60C	~5.0	20

Comparative Example 47

[00106] Dicing solutions contained more components. The compositions in Table XII were formulated at a pH of about 4.0. **62A** contained 2 wt% oxalic acid while **62B** contained 2.0 wt% oxalic acid and 0.5 wt% citric acid. The control dicing solutions **62A** and **62B** did not contain an additional component (additive) and were pH adjusted to about 4.0 using KOH. Additives were present at typically 0.5 wt% concentration, however, Glutaric and Succinic acids were present at 0.34 wt% and 0.31 wt% to be about equimolar with 0.5 wt% citric acid. None of the dicing solutions contained HOSTAPUR SAS or Tergitol surfactant. The results showed that in these undiluted dicing solutions containing additives could cause a reduction in Ni metal etch rate at 25°C. Of these additives, anthranilic acid appeared to be the most effective in reducing the Ni etch rate.

Table XII. Ni Etch Rates at 25°C for Oxalic-Citric-Additive Dicing solutions

Undiluted Dicing Solution	pH	Acid Mix	Additive	Ni Etch rate (Å/min) at 25°C
62A	4.05	Oxalic	-	8.1
62C	4.02	Oxalic	Glutaric	5.9
62D	4.06	Oxalic	Succinic	5.5
63A	4.02	Oxalic	Glycine	2.9
64A	3.96	Oxalic	Surfynol S-61	4.1
65A	4.0	Oxalic	Anthranilic acid	0.3 – 1.2
62B	3.98	Oxalic+ Citric	-	2.0
63B	3.92	Oxalic+ Citric	Glycine	1.0
64B	4.01	Oxalic+ Citric	Surfynol S-61	0.3
65B	4.09	Oxalic+ Citric	Anthranilic acid	<1

[00107] The embodiments of this invention listed above, including the working example, are exemplary of numerous embodiments that may be made of this invention. It is contemplated that numerous other configurations of the process may be used, and the materials used in the process may be elected from numerous materials other than those specifically disclosed.

5

CLAIMS

1. A dicing solution for semiconductor wafer dicing inhibiting adherence of contamination residues and corrosion of exposed metallization areas, comprising:

5 at least one first compound selected from the group consisting of an organic acid and salt thereof;

at least one second compound selected from the group consisting of a surfactant and a base;

the remainder being deionized water; and

10 the solution has a pH greater than 4;

wherein

the organic acid is selected from the group consisting of oxalic acid, citric acid, malic acid, malonic acid, gluconic acid, glutaric acid, ascorbic acid, formic acid, acetic acid, ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid, diethylene triamine pentaacetic acid, aminobenzoic acids such as anthranilic acid, fumaric acid, glycine, alanine, cystine, and the mixtures thereof;

the surfactant is selected from the group consisting of anionic surfactants, cationic surfactants, non-ionic surfactants, zwitterionic surfactants, silicone surfactants, poly(alkylene oxide) surfactants, fluorochemical surfactants, acetylenic diol surfactants, primary alcohol ethoxylates, secondary alcohol ethoxylates, amine ethoxylates, glucosides, glucamides, polyethylene glycols, poly(ethylene glycol-co-propylene glycol), and combinations thereof; and

25 the base is potassium hydroxide (KOH).

2. The solution of Claim 1, wherein

the at least one first compound is from 0.001wt% to 30wt%;

the surfactant is from 0.001wt% to 10wt%;

30 the base is from 0.001 wt% to 20 wt%;

the pH is greater than 4 and less than 13; and

wherein the solution is diluted with deionized water from 1:0 to 1:10000 by weight.

5

3. The solution of claim 1, wherein

the non-ionic surfactants is selected from the group consisting of octyl and nonyl phenol ethoxylates, secondary alcohol ethoxylates, acetylenic alcohol and combinations thereof; and

10

the anionic surfactants is selected from the group consisting of linear alkylbenzenesulfonates (LAS), secondary alylbenzenesulfonate, fatty alcohol sulfates (FAS), secondary alkanesulfonates (SAS), fatty alcohol ether sulfates (FAES), and combinations thereof.

15

4. The solution of claim 1 comprising

at least one acid selected from the group consisting of citric acid, fumaric acid and oxalic acid;

at least one surfactant selected from the group consisting of secondary alcohol ethoxylate and secondary alkanesulfonate; and

the remainder being deionized water.

5. The solution of claim 1 further comprises 0.001 wt% to 10 wt% chelating agent selected from the group consisting of: aminobenzoic acids;

ethylenediaminetetracetic acid (EDTA), N-

hydroxyethylethylenediaminetriacetic acid (NHEDTA), nitrilotriacetic acid

(NTA), diethylenetriaminepentaaceticdiethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid

(DPTA), ethanoldiglycinate, citric acid, gluconic acid, oxalic acid, phosphoric

acid, tartaric acid, methyldiphosphonic acid, aminotrismethylenephosphonic

acid, ethylidene-diphosphonic acid, 1-hydroxyethylidene-1,1-diphosphonic

acid, 1-hydroxypropylidene-1,1-diphosphonic acid,

ethylaminobismethylenephosphonic acid,

dodecylaminobismethylenephosphonic acid, nitrilotrismethylenephosphonic

acid, ethylenediaminebismethylenephosphonic acid,

ethylenediaminetetrakismethylenephosphonic acid,

hexadiaminetetrakismethylenephosphonic acid,

diethylenetriaminepentamethylenephosphonic acid, 1,2-

propanediaminetetramethylenephosphonic acid, ammonium salts, organic

amine salts, malonic acid, succinic acid, dimercapto succinic acid, glutaric

acid, maleic acid, phthalic acid, fumaric acid, polycarboxylic acids, propane-

1,1,2,3-tetracarboxylic acid, butane-1,2,3,4- tetracarboxylic acid, pyromellitic

acid, oxycarboxylic acid, β -hydroxypropionic acid, citric acid,

malic acid, tartaric acid, pyruvic acid, diglycol acid, salicylic acid, gallic acid, polyphenols, pyrogallol, phosphoric acids, polyphosphoric acid, heterocyclic compounds, ethylene glycol, glycerol and diketones, and mixtures thereof.

5 6. The solution of claim 5 wherein

the oxycarboxylic acid is selected from the group consisting of glycolic acid, β -hydroxypropionic acid, citric acid, malic acid, tartaric acid, pyruvic acid, diglycol acid, salicylic acid, gallic acid, and mixtures thereof;

10 the polyphenol is selected from the group consisting of catechol, pyrogallol, and mixtures thereof; the phosphoric acid is selected from the group consisting of pyrophosphoric acid, polyphosphoric acid and mixtures thereof; and

15 the heterocyclic compound is selected from the group consisting of 8-oxyquinoline, diketones such as α -dipyridyl acetylacetone, and mixture thereof.

7. The solution of claim 1 further comprises

20 from 0.001 wt% to 5 wt% defoaming agent selected from the group consisting of silicones, organic phosphates, EO or PO based defoamers containing polyethylene glycol and polypropylene glycol copolymers, alcohols, white oils, vegetable oils, the waxes, long chain fatty alcohol, fatty acid soaps, esters and mixtures thereof; and

25 from 0.001 wt% to 5 wt% dispersing agent selected from the group consisting of: water-soluble anionic dispersing agents, water-soluble nonionic dispersing agents, water-soluble cationic dispersing agents, water-soluble ampholytic dispersing agents; a polymeric dispersing agent containing an acrylic acid salt as a copolymerized component, and mixtures thereof.

30 8. The solution of claim 7 wherein

the water-soluble anionic dispersing agent is selected from the group consisting of triethanolamine laurylsulfate, ammonium laurylsulfate,

polyoxyethylene alkyl ether triethanolamine sulfate, polycarboxylic acid type polymeric dispersing agents, and mixtures thereof;

the water-soluble nonionic dispersing agent is selected from the group consisting of polyoxyethylene lauryl ether, polyoxyethylene cetyl ether, polyoxyethylene stearyl ether, polyoxyethylene oleyl ether, polyoxyethylene higher alcohol ether, polyoxyethylene octyl phenyl ether, polyoxyethylene nonyl phenyl ether, polyoxyethylene derivatives, polyoxyethylene sorbitan monolaurate, polyoxyethylene sorbitan monopalmitate, polyoxyethylene sorbitan monostearate, polyoxyethylene sorbitan tristearate, polyoxyethylene sorbitan monooleate, polyoxyethylene sorbitan trioleate, polyoxyethylene sorbit tetraoleate, polyethylene glycol monolaurate, polyethylene glycol monostearate, polyethylene glycol distearate, polyethylene glycol monooleate, polyoxyethylene alkylamine, polyoxyethylene hardened castor oil, alkylalkanamide, and mixtures thereof;

the water-soluble cationic dispersing agents is selected from the group consisting of polyvinylpyrrolidone, coconutamine acetate, stearylamine acetate, and mixtures thereof; and

the water-soluble ampholytic dispersing agent is selected from the group consisting of laurylbetaine, stearylbetaine, lauryldimethylamine oxide, 2-alkyl-N-carboxymethyl-N-hydroxyethylimidazolinium betaine, and mixtures thereof.

9. A dicing solution for semiconductor wafer dicing inhibiting adherence of contamination residues and corrosion of exposed metallization areas, comprising:

from 0.001wt% to 30wt% of at least one acid selected from the group consisting of citric acid, fumaric acid and oxalic acid;

at least one compound selected from the group consisting of from 0.001 wt% to 20 wt% of a base and from 0.001wt% to 10wt% of a surfactant;

the remainder being deionized water;

the solution has a pH greater than 4 and less than 13; and

the solution is diluted with deionized water from 1:0 to 1:10000 by weight;

wherein

the base is potassium hydroxide (KOH);

the surfactant is selected from the group consisting of secondary alcohol ethoxylate, secondary alkanesulfonate, and mixtures thereof.

5

10. A method of wafer dicing, the wafer is diced by a saw with bonding pads, contamination residues are produced by sawing the wafer, corrosion is potentially formed on all exposed metallization areas; comprising steps of:

10

contacting the wafer with a dicing solution during the dicing, the solution comprising

at least one first compound selected from the group consisting of an organic acid and salt thereof;

15

at least one second compound selected from the group consisting of a surfactant and a base;

the remainder being substantially deionized water; and

the solution has a pH greater than 4

wherein

20

the organic acid is selected from the group consisting of oxalic acid, citric acid, malic acid, malonic acid, gluconic acid, glutaric acid, ascorbic acid, formic acid, acetic acid, ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid, diethylene triamine pentaacetic acid, aminobenzoic acids such as anthranilic acid, fumaric acid, glycine, alanine, cystine, and the mixtures thereof;

25

the surfactant is selected from the group consisting of anionic surfactants, cationic surfactants, non-ionic surfactants, zwitterionic surfactants, silicone surfactants, poly(alkylene oxide) surfactants, fluorochemical surfactants, acetylenic diol surfactants, primary alcohol ethoxylates, secondary alcohol ethoxylates, amine ethoxylates, glucosides, glucamides, polyethylene

30

glycols, poly(ethylene glycol-co-propylene glycol), and combinations thereof;
and

the base is potassium hydroxide (KOH).

5 11. The method of Claim 10, wherein

the at least one first compound is from 0.001wt% to 30wt%;

the surfactant is from 0.001wt% to 10wt%;

the base is from 0.001 wt% to 20 wt%;

the pH is greater than 4 and less than 13; and

10 wherein the solution is diluted with deionized water from 1:0 to 1:10000 by
weight.

12. The method of Claim 10, wherein

the non-ionic surfactants is selected from the group consisting of octyl and nonyl phenol ethoxylates, secondary alcohol ethoxylates, acetylenic alcohol and combinations thereof; and

5 the anionic surfactants is selected from the group consisting of linear alkylbenzenesulfonates (LAS), secondary alkylbenzenesulfonate, fatty alcohol sulfates (FAS), secondary alkanesulfonates (SAS), fatty alcohol ether sulfates (FAES), and combinations thereof .

13. The method of Claim 10, wherein the solution comprising

10 at least one acid selected from the group consisting of citric acid, fumaric acid and oxalic acid;

at least one surfactant selected from the group consisting of secondary alcohol ethoxylate and secondary alkanesulfonate;

15 the remainder being substantially deionized water; and

the solution has a pH greater than 4 and less than 13.

14. The method of Claim 10, wherein the solution further comprises from 0.001 wt% to 10 wt% chelating agent selected from the group consisting of:

20 aminobenzoic acids; ethylenediaminetetracetic acid (EDTA), N-hydroxyethylethylenediaminetriacetic acid (NHEDTA), nitrilotriacetic acid (NTA), diethylenetriaminepentaaceticdiethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid (DPTA), ethanoldiglycinate, citric acid, gluconic acid, oxalic acid, phosphoric acid, tartaric acid, methyldiphosphonic acid, aminotrismethylenephosphonic acid, ethylidene-diphosphonic acid, 1-hydroxyethylidene-1,1-diphosphonic

25

acid, 1-hydroxypropylidene-1,1-diphosphonic acid,
ethylaminobismethylenephosphonic acid,
dodecylaminobismethylenephosphonic acid, nitrilotrismethylenephosphonic
acid, ethylenediaminebismethylenephosphonic acid,
5 ethylenediaminetetrakisbismethylenephosphonic acid,
hexadiaminetetraakisbismethylenephosphonic acid,
diethylenetriaminepentamethylenephosphonic acid, 1,2-
propanediaminetetramethylenephosphonic acid, ammonium salts, organic
amine salts, malonic acid, succinic acid, dimercapto succinic acid, glutaric
10 acid, maleic acid, phthalic acid, fumaric acid, polycarboxylic acids, propane-
1,1,2,3-tetracarboxylic acid, butane-1,2,3,4- tetracarboxylic acid, pyromellitic
acid, oxycarboxylic acid, β -hydroxypropionic acid, citric acid, malic acid,
tartaric acid, pyruvic acid, diglycol acid, salicylic acid, gallic acid,
polyphenols, pyrogallol, phosphoric acids, polyphosphoric acid, heterocyclic
15 compounds, ethylene glycol, glycerol and diketones, and mixtures thereof.

15. The method of Claim 14, wherein

the oxycarboxylic acid is selected from the group consisting of glycolic acid,
 β -hydroxypropionic acid, citric acid, malic acid, tartaric acid, pyruvic acid,
20 diglycol acid, salicylic acid, gallic acid, and mixtures thereof;

the polyphenol is selected from the group consisting of catechol, pyrogallol,
and mixtures thereof; the phosphoric acid is selected from the group
consisting of pyrophosphoric acid, polyphosphoric acid and mixtures
thereof; and

25 the heterocyclic compound is selected from the group consisting of 8-
oxyquinoline, diketones such as α -dipyridyl acetylacetone, and mixture
thereof.

16. The method of Claim 10, wherein the solution further comprises

30 from 0.001 wt% to 5 wt% defoaming agent selected from the group
consisting of: silicones, organic phosphates, EO or PO based defoamers
containing polyethylene glycol and polypropylene glycol copolymers,

alcohols, white oils, vegetable oils, the waxes, long chain fatty alcohol, fatty acid soaps, esters and mixtures thereof; and

from 0.001 wt% to 5 wt% dispersing agent selected from the group consisting of: water-soluble anionic dispersing agents, water-soluble nonionic dispersing agents, water-soluble cationic dispersing agents, water-soluble ampholytic dispersing agents; a polymeric dispersing agent containing an acrylic acid salt as a copolymerized component, and mixtures thereof.

5

10

17. The method of Claim 16, wherein the water-soluble anionic dispersing agent is selected from the group consisting of triethanolamine laurylsulfate, ammonium laurylsulfate, polyoxyethylene alkyl ether triethanolamine sulfate, and especial polycarboxylic acid type polymeric dispersing agents; the water-soluble nonionic dispersing agent is selected from the group

15

consisting of polyoxyethylene lauryl ether, polyoxyethylene cetyl ether, polyoxyethylene stearyl ether, polyoxyethylene oleyl ether, polyoxyethylene higher alcohol ether, polyoxyethylene octyl phenyl ether, polyoxyethylene nonyl phenyl ether, polyoxyethylene derivatives, polyoxyethylene sorbitan monolaurate, polyoxyethylene sorbitan monopalmitate, polyoxyethylene

20

sorbitan monostearate, polyoxyethylene sorbitan tristearate, polyoxyethylene sorbitan monooleate, polyoxyethylene sorbitan trioleate, polyoxyethylene sorbit tetraoleate, polyethylene glycol monolaurate, polyethylene glycol monostearate, polyethylene glycol distearate, polyethylene glycol monooleate, polyoxyethylene alkylamine,

25

polyoxyethylene hardened castor oil, alkylalkanamide and mixtures thereof; the water-soluble cationic dispersing agents is selected from the group consisting of polyvinylpyrrolidone, coconutamine acetate, stearylamine acetate and mixtures thereof; the water-soluble ampholytic dispersing agent is selected from the group consisting of laurylbetaine,

30

stearylbetaine, lauryldimethylamine oxide, 2-alkyl-N-carboxymethyl-N-hydroxyethylimidazolium betaine, and mixtures thereof.

18. The method of Claim 10, wherein the solution comprising:

from 0.001wt% to 30wt% of at least one acid selected from the group consisting of citric acid, fumaric acid and oxalic acid;

at least one compound selected from the group consisting of from 0.001 wt% to 20 wt% of a base and from 0.001wt% to 10wt% of a surfactant;

5 the remainder being substantially deionized water;

the solution has a pH greater than 4 and less than 13;

the solution is diluted with deionized water from 1:0 to 1:10000 by weight;

wherein

10 the surfactant is selected from the group consisting of secondary alcohol ethoxylate, secondary alkanesulfonate, and mixtures thereof.

19. The solution of Claim 9, wherein the solution has a pH greater than 4 and less than 6.58.

15