Fig.1 Change in levels of blood biomarkers after E7080 treatment




Fig. 2 Correlation of baseline CAFs with tumor response




Fig. 3 No significant correlation of weight, age and histology with PFS and OS




Fig. 4 Multivariate analysis does not identify potential combination factors with Ang-2

MTS

```
                    Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept)          -23.269      2.850  -8.163 1.14e-12 ***
`Ang-2.C1D1.baseline`  12.517      3.592   3.485 0.000738 ***
```

ORR

```
                    bbbEstimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept)            -1.4782     0.3643  -4.057 4.96e-05 ***
`Ang-2.C1D1.baseline`  -1.6398     0.6193  -2.648  0.00811 **
```

PFS

```
                    coef exp(coef) se(coef)     z Pr(>|z|)
`Ang-2.C1D1.baseline` 0.6422    1.9007   0.1099 5.846 5.04e-09 ***
```

OS

```
                     coef exp(coef) se(coef)     z Pr(>|z|)
`Ang-2.C1D1.baseline`     0.53042   1.69964  0.11519 4.605 4.13e-06 ***
`FGF-2(6).C1D1.baseline` 0.33595   1.39927  0.11400 2.947  0.00321 **
`PGF(91).C1D1.baseline`  0.19499   1.21530  0.08356 2.334  0.01962 *
`HGF(86).C1D1.baseline`  0.18837   1.20728  0.09339 2.017  0.04369 *
```

Variables use in analyses

WEIGHT
AGE
Ang-1
Ang-2
ANG2(90)
FGF-2(6)
FGF4(75)
HGF(86)
IL-8(40)
PDGF-AA
PDGFAB(68)
PDGFBB(73)
PGF(91)
Tie-2
VEGF(86)
VEGFA(100)
VEGFD(78)

Fig. 5 mPFS and mOS of sub-groups stratified by baseline Ang-2 level

PFS and OS: based on cut off (2082.5 pg/ml)




Fig. 6 Enrichment of patients population with better ORR based on baseline Ang-2 level

