

APPLICANT'S COMMENTS IN RESPONSE TO WRITTEN OPINION

1. The positioning of the present invention:

The present invention is an improvement invention for the cited GB 2276145 , inventors of which are almost the same men of the present invention. The inventor have noticed that the unlocking mechanism having a movable part of the former invention has a problem in which a noise problem due to rattling to other parts has occurred and will occur by deterioration with time passage or some malfunctions in a double leaf door opening mechanism. That is, the present invention is devised a counter- measure to a defect of the GB 2276145. The present invention intend to cover unlocking mechanism with a movable part , and not to cover an other type of unlocking mechanism of an elevator. We believe that other one never notices this invention.

As shown in Figs. of the specification, the means for fastening the movable part is optimally design

If the following amendment of claim 1 is to make the present invention more distinct or effective, please discuss incorporating the structural clearness into claim 1, namely, " the fastening means is located at least at one of the places between the horizontal movable part and the fixed plate, and the place between the engagement part and the building means.

2. As to a hinge mentioned in the cited document :

Since any vertical force except for their weight is not applied to the hinges, vibrations caused by operations of an elevator cause the hinges'

striking to each other, which naturally causes the noise problem mentioned in the specification of the present invention. Using heavy and creak hinges is very unprofitable from the economical and operational view points.

3. As to the cited document GB 2207122:

The solenoid mentioned in the Examiner Opinion is to be used for usual opening/closing operation does not directly relate to the present discussion.