

TREATMENT OF LUPUS NEPHRITIS USING LAQUINIMOD

This application claims priority of U.S. Provisional Application No. 61/339,363, filed March 3, 2010, the entire content of which is hereby incorporated by reference herein.

5 Throughout this application, various publications are referred to by first author and year of publication. Full citations for these publications are presented in a References section immediately before the claims. Disclosures of the publications cited in the References section in their entireties are hereby incorporated by
10 reference into this application in order to more fully describe the state of the art as of the date of the invention described herein.

Background**Lupus Nephritis (LN)**

Lupus nephritis (LN), characterized by inflammation of the kidney, is
15 a complication which occurs in a subpopulation of patients with Systemic Lupus Erythematosus (SLE) and is one of the most serious complications caused by SLE. (MedlinePlus)

SLE is a debilitating autoimmune disease of great clinical diversity and can manifest itself in different ways and lead to a number of
20 complications, e.g., arthritis, arthralgia, and myalgia, depending on the patient and the parts of the body affected. The precise etiology of SLE has not yet been determined, but hormonal, genetic, viral and environmental factors may precipitate the disease. SLE prevalence varies across ethnicities and geographic regions with an occurrence
25 rate of 15 to 50 cases per 100,000 persons. SLE is most common in women of childbearing age (15-44) with a female-to-male ratio varying from 4.3 to 13.6 (Petri, 2002). Virtually all body systems may be involved, including the musculoskeletal, mucocutaneous, cardiovascular, neurological, respiratory, renal, ophthalmic
30 hematological and gastrointestinal systems.

Due to the great clinical diversity and idiopathic nature of SLE, management of idiopathic SLE depends on its specific manifestations and severity. (The Merck Manual, 1999) Therefore, medications suggested to treat SLE generally are not necessarily effective for the treatment of all manifestations of and complications resulting from SLE, e.g., LN.

LN usually arises early in the disease course, within 5 years of diagnosis. The pathogenesis of LN is believed to derive from deposition of immune complexes in the kidney glomeruli that initiates an inflammatory response (Brent, 2008).

An estimated 30-50% of patients with SLE develop nephritis that requires medical evaluation and treatment. LN is a progressive disease, running a course of clinical exacerbations and remissions. Early detection and treatment can significantly improve renal outcome and prognosis. Although over the last decades, treatment of LN has been greatly improved, 5 and 10-year survival rates are documented as 85% and 73%, respectively (Brent, 2008). LN morbidity is related to the renal disease itself, as well as to treatment-related complications.

Renal biopsy is considered for any patient with SLE who has clinical or laboratory evidence of active nephritis, in order to determine the histological type as well as the appropriate treatment management and prognosis. (Bevra, 2001; Brent, 2008)

The histological classification of LN was revised by the International Society of Pathology/Renal Pathology Society (ISN/RPS) in 2003 and is based on light microscopy, immunofluorescence, and electron microscopy findings from renal biopsy specimens (Foster, 2004). These classifications describes 6 major classes of LN: Class I and II - mesangial LN, Class III and IV - proliferative LN, class V - membranous LN and class VI - advanced sclerosis LN. The ISN/RPS classifications were based on earlier classifications by the World Health Organization (WHO) published in 1974 and 1982.

There is no definitive treatment or cure for LN. The principal goals of therapy is to normalize renal function, urine sediment and

proteinuria, reduce the frequency of relapses or prevent the progressive loss of renal function through mild, moderate and severe renal impairment to end stage renal disease (ESRD) requiring dialysis or kidney transplantation. Therapy varies pending on the
5 histopathological findings as well as the clinical manifestations.

Corticosteroids and cytotoxic or immunosuppressive agents, particularly cyclophosphamide, azathioprine, or mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) are the standard of care for patients with aggressive proliferative LN, while less aggressive treatment options may be
10 considered for purely membranous LN or mesangial LN. Angiotensin Converting Enzyme (ACE) inhibitors or Angiotensin II Receptor Blockers (ARBs) may control blood pressure and reduce proteinuria.

Most of the above mentioned treatments are not specifically indicated for the treatment of SLE/LN and treatment protocols vary.

15 Treatment of accompanying SLE signs, symptoms, and complications may additionally include a combination of NSAIDs, antimalarial agents, antihypertensives, calcium supplements or bisphosphonate, anti-coagulants and others.

While many patients fail to respond or respond only partially to the
20 standard of care medications listed above, the long-term use of high doses of corticosteroids and cytotoxic therapies may have profound side effects such as bone marrow depression, increased infections with opportunistic organisms, irreversible ovarian failure, alopecia and increased risk of malignancy. Infectious complications
25 coincident with active SLE and its treatment with immunosuppressive medications are the most common cause of death in patients with SLE.

There is, therefore, a need for alternative therapies with better risk-benefit profiles for the treatment of lupus nephritis.

Laquinimod is a novel synthetic compound with high oral
30 bioavailability which has been suggested as an oral formulation for the treatment of Multiple Sclerosis (MS) (Polman, 2005; Sandberg-Wollheim, 2005). Laquinimod and its sodium salt form are described, for example, in U.S. Patent No. 6,077,851. The effects of

laquinimod on lupus nephritis have not been reported.

Mycophenolate mofetil (MMF)

Mycophenolate mofetil (MMF), sold under the brand name CellCept®, is the 2-morpholinoethyl ester of mycophenolic acid (MPA), an immunosuppressive agent, and inosine monophosphate dehydrogenases (IMPDH) inhibitor. The chemical name for mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) is 2-morpholinoethyl (E)-6-(1,3-dihydro-4-hydroxy-6-methoxy-7-methyl-3-oxo-5-isogenzofuranyl)-4-methyl-4-hexenoate. It has an empirical formula of $C_{23}H_{31}NO_7$ and a molecular weight of 433.50. CellCept® is indicated for prophylaxis of organ rejection in patients receiving allogeneic renal, cardiac or hepatic transplants (Physician's Desk Reference, 2009).

CellCept® is available for oral administration as capsules containing 250 mg of mycophenolate mofetil, tablets containing 500 mg of mycophenolate mofetil, and as a powder for oral suspension, which when constituted contains 200 mg/mL mycophenolate mofetil. CellCept® is also available for Intravenous administration as a sterile white to off-white lyophilized powders in vials containing mycophenolate mofetil hydrochloride. Each vial of IV contains the equivalent of 500 gm MMF as the hydrochloride salt. The recommended dose for CellCept® is 1 g administered orally or via IV (over no less than 2 hours) twice daily (daily dose of 2 g) for use in renal transplant patients. The recommended dose of CellCept® oral suspension is 600 mg/m² administered twice daily up to a maximum daily dose of 2 g/1-mL oral suspension (Physician's Desk Reference, 2009).

Combination Therapy

The administration of two drugs to treat a given condition, such as a form of lupus, raises a number of potential problems. *In vivo* interactions between two drugs are complex. The effects of any single drug are related to its absorption, distribution, and elimination. When two drugs are introduced into the body, each drug can affect the absorption, distribution, and elimination of the other and hence, alter the effects of the other. For instance, one drug may inhibit, activate or induce the production of enzymes involved in a metabolic route of elimination of the other drug

(Guidance for Industry, 1999). Thus, when two drugs are administered to treat the same condition, it is unpredictable whether each will complement, have no effect on, or interfere with, the therapeutic activity of the other in a human subject.

- 5 Not only may the interaction between two drugs affect the intended therapeutic activity of each drug, but the interaction may increase the levels of toxic metabolites (Guidance for Industry, 1999). The interaction may also heighten or lessen the side effects of each drug. Hence, upon administration of two drugs to treat a disease, it
10 is unpredictable what change will occur in the negative side profile of each drug.

- Additionally, it is difficult to accurately predict when the effects of the interaction between the two drugs will become manifest. For example, metabolic interactions between drugs may become apparent
15 upon the initial administration of the second drug, after the two have reached a steady-state concentration or upon discontinuation of one of the drugs (Guidance for Industry, 1999).

Summary of the Invention

This invention provides a method of treating with active lupus nephritis comprising periodically administering to the subject an amount of laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof
5 effective to treat the subject.

This invention also provides laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof for use in treating a subject afflicted with active lupus nephritis.

This invention further provides a pharmaceutical composition
10 comprising an amount of laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof for use in treating a subject afflicted with active lupus nephritis.

Detailed Description of the Invention

This invention provides a method of treating a subject afflicted with active lupus nephritis comprising periodically administering to the subject an amount of laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof effective to treat the subject.

In one embodiment, the pharmaceutically acceptable salt of laquinimod is laquinimod sodium.

In one embodiment, the periodic administration of laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof is effected orally. In another embodiment, the amount of laquinimod administered is 0.5-1.0 mg/day. In another embodiment, the amount of laquinimod administered is 0.5 mg/day. In yet another embodiment, the amount of laquinimod administered is 1.0 mg/day.

In one embodiment, the amount of laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof is effective to reduce a clinical symptom of active lupus nephritis in the subject. In another embodiment, the periodic administration of laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof is effective to elicit at least a partial response by the subject by week 24. In yet another embodiment, the periodic administration of laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof is effective to elicit a complete response by the subject by week 24.

In one embodiment, the periodic administration of laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof reduces proteinuria in the subject. In the other embodiment, the proteinuria reduction is measured by 24 hour urine protein, 24 hour protein to creatinine ratio, spot protein to creatinine ratio, 24 hour urine albumin, 24 hour albumin to creatinine ratio, spot albumin to creatinine ratio, or by a urinary dipstick.

In one embodiment, the periodic administration of laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof reduces the subject's protein to creatinine ratio. In another embodiment, the subject's protein to creatinine ratio is reduced by at least 50% as compared to

baseline. In another embodiment, the subject's protein to creatinine ratio is reduced to no more than 0.3. In another embodiment, the subject's protein to creatinine ratio is less than 3 and wherein the subject's serum creatinine level is either less than 1.3 mg/dL or
5 did not increase by more than 10% relative to baseline. In yet another embodiment, the subject's protein to creatinine ratio is less than 0.5 and wherein the subject's serum creatinine level is either less than 1.3 mg/dL or decreased by at least 25% relative to baseline.

10 In one embodiment, the period administration of laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof eliminates urinary sediments.

In one embodiment, the periodic administration of laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof improves the subject's BILAG
15 index

In one embodiment, the method further comprises administration of mycophenolate mofetil. In another embodiment, the periodic administration of mycophenolate mofetil is effected orally. In another embodiment, the amount of mycophenolate mofetil administered
20 is 1-3 g/day. In yet another embodiment, the amount of mycophenolate mofetil administered is 2 g/day.

In one embodiment, the method further comprises administering to the subject an amount of a steroid. In another embodiment, the administration of the steroid is periodic administration. In another
25 embodiment, the administration of steroids is effected orally and/or intravenously. In another embodiment, the amount of steroid administered is 500 mg/day methylprednisolone. In yet another embodiment, the amount of steroid administered is 40 mg/day prednisolone and/or prednisone.

30 In one embodiment, the method further comprises administration of angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors, angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs), antimalarials, statins, cyclophosphamide, azathioprine, 6-mercaptopurine, abatacept, rituximab, belimumab, cyclosporine or other calcineurin inhibitors.

In one embodiment, the periodic administration continues for at least 24 weeks.

In one embodiment, the amount of laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof and the amount of mycophenolate mofetil together is effective to reduce a clinical symptom of active lupus nephritis in the subject. In another embodiment, the periodic administration of laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof and mycophenolate mofetil is effective to elicit at least a partial response by the subject by week 24. In yet another embodiment, the periodic administration of laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof and mycophenolate mofetil is effective to elicit a complete response by the subject by week 24.

In one embodiment, the periodic administration of laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof and mycophenolate mofetil reduces proteinuria in the subject. In the other embodiment, the proteinuria reduction is measured by 24 hour urine protein, 24 hour protein to creatinine ratio, spot protein to creatinine ratio, 24 hour urine albumin, 24 hour albumin to creatinine ratio, spot albumin to creatinine ratio, or by a urinary dipstick.

In one embodiment, the periodic administration of laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof and mycophenolate mofetil reduces the subject's protein to creatinine ratio. In another embodiment, the subject's protein to creatinine ratio is reduced by at least 50% as compared to baseline. In another embodiment, the subject's protein to creatinine ratio is reduced to no more than 0.3. In another embodiment, the subject's protein to creatinine ratio is less than 3 and wherein the subject's serum creatinine level is either less than 1.3 mg/dL or did not increase by more than 10% relative to baseline. In yet another embodiment, the subject's protein to creatinine ratio is less than 0.5 and wherein the subject's serum creatinine level is either less than 1.3 mg/dL or decreased by at least 25% relative to baseline.

In one embodiment, the period administration of laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof and mycophenolate mofetil eliminates urinary sediments.

In one embodiment, the periodic administration of laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof and mycophenolate mofetil improves the subject's BILAG index.

5 In one embodiment, each of the amount of laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt when taken alone, and the amount of mycophenolate mofetil when taken alone is effective to treat the subject. In another embodiment, either the amount of laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt when taken alone, the amount of mycophenolate mofetil when taken alone, or each such amount when
10 taken alone is not effective to treat the subject.

In one embodiment, the subject is receiving mycophenolate mofetil therapy prior to initiating laquinimod therapy. In another embodiment, the subject initiates periodic mycophenolate mofetil administration prior to initiating periodic laquinimod
15 administration.

In one embodiment, the administration of the laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof substantially precedes the administration of mycophenolate mofetil. In another embodiment, the administration of mycophenolate mofetil substantially precedes the
20 administration of laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof.

In one embodiment, the subject is human.

This invention provides a method of treating active lupus nephritis in a subject afflicted therewith comprising periodically
25 administering to the subject an amount of laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof effective to treat the active lupus nephritis in the subject.

This invention also provides laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof for use in treating a subject afflicted with
30 active lupus nephritis. This invention also provides laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof for use in combination with mycophenolate mofetil for treating a subject afflicted active lupus nephritis.

This invention also provides laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof for use in treating active lupus nephritis. This invention also provides laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof for use in combination with mycophenolate mofetil for treating active lupus nephritis.

This invention also provides a pharmaceutical composition comprising an amount of laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof for use in treating a subject afflicted with active lupus nephritis. This invention further provides a pharmaceutical composition comprising an amount of laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof and an amount of mycophenolate mofetil for use in treating a subject afflicted with active lupus nephritis.

For the foregoing embodiments, each embodiment disclosed herein is contemplated as being applicable to each of the other disclosed embodiment.

It is understood that where a parameter range is provided, all integers within that range, and tenths thereof, are also provided by the invention. For example, "1-3 g/day" includes 1.0 g/day, 1.1 g/day, 1.2 g/day, 1.3 g/day, 1.4 g/day etc. up to 3.0 g/day.

Disclosed is a method of treating a subject afflicted with lupus, specifically, lupus nephritis, using laquinimod with standard of care, i.e., Mycophenolate Mofetil and Steroids, which provides a more efficacious treatment of the subject afflicted with lupus nephritis. As described herein, administration of laquinimod with standard of care, i.e., Mycophenolate Mofetil and Steroids, is particularly effective in combination to treat the subject afflicted with lupus nephritis.

Terms

As used herein, and unless stated otherwise, each of the following terms shall have the definition set forth below.

As used herein, an "amount" or "dose" of laquinimod as measured in milligrams refers to the milligrams of laquinimod acid present in a preparation, regardless of the form of the preparation. Therefore, a

"dose of 0.5 mg laquinimod" means the amount of laquinimod acid in a preparation is 0.5 mg, regardless of the form of the preparation. Similarly, a "dose of 1 mg laquinimod" means the amount of laquinimod acid in a preparation is 1 mg, regardless of the form of the preparation. Thus, when in the form of a salt, e.g. a laquinimod sodium salt, the weight of the salt form necessary to provide a dose of 0.5 mg laquinimod would be greater than 0.5 mg due to the presence of the additional salt ion.

As used herein, "laquinimod" means laquinimod acid or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof.

As used herein, "a subject afflicted with active lupus nephritis" means a subject who has been affirmatively diagnosed to have active lupus nephritis.

As used herein, "effective" when referring to an amount of laquinimod, MMF or steroids refers to the quantity of laquinimod, MMF or steroids that is sufficient to yield a desired therapeutic response without undue adverse side effects (such as toxicity, irritation, or allergic response) commensurate with a reasonable benefit/risk ratio when used in the manner of this invention.

As used herein, "treating" encompasses, e.g., inducing inhibition, regression, or stasis of a disorder, or lessening, suppressing, inhibiting, reducing the severity of, eliminating, or ameliorating a symptom of the disorder.

As used herein, "inhibition" of disease progression or disease complication in a subject means preventing or reducing the disease progression and/or disease complication in the subject.

As used herein, a "loading dose" refers to an initial higher dose of a drug that may be given at the beginning of a course of treatment before dropping down to a lower "intended dose" or "maintenance dose".

As used herein, a "symptom" associated with lupus nephritis includes any clinical or laboratory manifestation associated with lupus nephritis and is not limited to what the subject can feel or

observe. Proteinuria is a symptom of lupus nephritis.

As used herein, "complete response (CR)" means protein to creatinine ratio < 0.5 and [decreased serum creatinine by at least 25% compared to baseline or serum creatinine < 1.3 mg/dL].

- 5 As used herein, "partial response (PR)" means criteria for complete response are not met and at least 50% decrease in protein to creatinine ratio and protein to creatinine ratio < 3 with stable serum creatinine (serum creatinine < 1.3 mg/dL or did not increase by more than 10% from baseline).
- 10 As used herein, "glomerular filtration rate" or "GFR" is a measure of renal function. GFR is the volume of fluid filtered from the renal (kidney) glomerular capillaries into the Bowman's capsule per unit time. GFR can be calculated by measuring any chemical that has a steady level in the blood, and is freely filtered but neither
- 15 reabsorbed nor secreted by the kidneys. The rate therefore measured is the quantity of the substance in the urine that originated from a calculable volume of blood. The GFR is typically recorded in units of *volume per time*, e.g. milliliters per minute ml/min.

- As used herein, "The British Isles Lupus Assessment Group Index" or
- 20 "BILAG" index is a validated comprehensive computerized index for measuring clinical disease activity in systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), which was developed according to the principle of the physician's 'intention to treat'.

- A BILAG assessment consists of 97 variables, some based on the
- 25 patient's history, some on examination findings and others on laboratory/imaging results. The questions are grouped under nine systems: Constitutional, Mucocutaneous, Neuropsychiatric, Musculoskeletal, Cardiorespiratory, Gastrointestinal, Ophthalmic, Renal and Hematological.

- 30 The index attempts to capture only SLE related disease activity in the previous 4 weeks prior to each assessment. Each of the clinical variables may be recorded as:

0. **Absent.**

1. **Improved.** Sufficient for considering reduction in therapy
and [improvement present on assessment and for at least 2
weeks **or** completely resolved within the entire last week].
2. **Same.** No improvement and no deterioration within the last
4 weeks compared to the previous 4 weeks **or** improvement
does not meet improvement criteria.
3. **Worse.** Deteriorated during the last 4 weeks compared to
the previous 4 weeks.
4. **New.** New or recurrent episode during the last 4 weeks
(compared to the previous 4 weeks), which is not improving.

Based upon the scoring to each of these variables, a pre-defined algorithm, specific for each system, provides a disease activity score ranging from A to E for each system:

Grade 'A' = severe disease activity requiring treatment with high dose steroids (>20mg/day oral prednisolone or equivalent or IV pulse >500 mg MP), systemic immunomodulators or high dose anticoagulation

Grade 'B' = moderate disease activity requiring treatment with low dose oral steroids (<20mg/day prednisolone or equivalent), IM or IA steroids (equivalent to MP<500 mg), topical steroids or immunomodulators, antimalarials or symptomatic therapy (e.g. NSAIDS).

Grade 'C' = mild disease.

Grade 'D' = indicates previously affected but currently inactive.

Grade 'E' = this system has never been involved.

As used herein, "Evaluator/physician Global Assessment (EGA)" is a Visual Analogue Scale. It measures the disease activity based on the physician subjective assessment from none active to worse disease activity. EGA is performed at every visit (except for screening).

As used herein, "Patient Global Assessment (PGA)" is a Visual Analogue Scale. It measures the subject perception of his/hers

overall health condition, from very well to very poor.

As used herein, an "adverse event" or "AE" means any untoward medical occurrence in a clinical trial subject administered a medicinal product and which does not have a causal relationship with the treatment. An adverse event can therefore be any unfavorable and unintended sign including an abnormal laboratory finding, symptom, or diseases temporally associated with the use of an investigational medicinal product, whether or not considered related to the investigational medicinal product.

As used herein, "pharmaceutically acceptable carrier" refers to a carrier or excipient that is suitable for use with humans and/or animals without undue adverse side effects (such as toxicity, irritation, and allergic response) commensurate with a reasonable benefit/risk ratio. It can be a pharmaceutically acceptable solvent, suspending agent or vehicle, for delivering the instant compounds to the subject.

When referring to dosing, the designation "BID" indicates that the dose is administered twice daily. The designation "QD" indicates that the dose is administered once daily.

A number of experiments were conducted testing for the effects of laquinimod on lupus manifestations using murine models. (see Examples 1.1-1.4) However, the effects of laquinimod on lupus nephritis in humans have not been reported. Therefore, based on the encouraging results of these experiments, a clinical trial is initiated (See Example 2).

The use of laquinimod for SLE had been previously suggested in, e.g., U.S. Patent No. 6,077,851. However, without empirical evidence, one cannot affirmatively establish that laquinimod will be effective for treating all complications arising from SLE based on this disclosure alone. The '851 patent does not disclose the use of laquinimod for the particular sub-population of SLE relevant to the instant invention. That is, the '851 patent does not disclose the use of laquinimod for lupus nephritis. On the other hand, the inventors have surprisingly found that laquinimod is particularly effective

for the treatment of lupus nephritis.

Further, the inventors have surprisingly found that the combination of laquinimod and MMF is particularly effective for the treatment of lupus nephritis as compared to each agent alone.

5 A pharmaceutically acceptable salt of laquinimod as used in this application includes lithium, sodium, potassium, magnesium, calcium, manganese, copper, zinc, aluminum and iron. Salt formulations of laquinimod and the process for preparing the same are described, e.g., in U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2005/0192315 and
10 PCT International Application Publication No. WO 2005/074899, which are hereby incorporated by reference into this application.

A dosage unit may comprise a single compound or mixtures of compounds thereof. A dosage unit can be prepared for oral dosage forms, such as tablets, capsules, pills, powders, and granules.

15 Laquinimod can be administered in admixture with suitable pharmaceutical diluents, extenders, excipients, or carriers (collectively referred to herein as a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier) suitably selected with respect to the intended form of administration and as consistent with conventional pharmaceutical
20 practices. The unit is preferably in a form suitable for oral administration. Laquinimod can be administered alone but is generally mixed with a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier, and co-administered in the form of a tablet or capsule, liposome, or as an agglomerated powder. Examples of suitable solid carriers include
25 lactose, sucrose, gelatin and agar. Capsule or tablets can be easily formulated and can be made easy to swallow or chew; other solid forms include granules, and bulk powders. Tablets may contain suitable binders, lubricants, disintegrating agents, coloring agents, flavoring agents, flow-inducing agents, and melting agents.
30 For instance, for oral administration in the dosage unit form of a tablet or capsule, the active drug component can be combined with an oral, non-toxic, pharmaceutically acceptable, inert carrier such as lactose, gelatin, agar, starch, sucrose, glucose, methyl cellulose, dicalcium phosphate, calcium sulfate, mannitol, sorbitol,
35 microcrystalline cellulose and the like. Suitable binders include

starch, gelatin, natural sugars such as glucose or beta-lactose, corn starch, natural and synthetic gums such as acacia, tragacanth, or sodium alginate, povidone, carboxymethylcellulose, polyethylene glycol, waxes, and the like. Lubricants used in these dosage forms include sodium oleate, sodium stearate, sodium benzoate, sodium acetate, sodium chloride, stearic acid, sodium stearyl fumarate, talc and the like. Disintegrators include, without limitation, starch, methyl cellulose, agar, bentonite, xanthan gum, croscarmellose sodium, sodium starch glycolate and the like.

Specific examples of the techniques, pharmaceutically acceptable carriers and excipients that may be used to formulate oral dosage forms of the present invention are described, e.g., in U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2005/0192315, PCT International Application Publication Nos. WO 2005/074899, WO 2007/047863, and WO 2007/146248.

General techniques and compositions for making dosage forms useful in the present invention are described in the following references: 7 Modern Pharmaceutics, Chapters 9 and 10 (Banker & Rhodes, Editors, 1979); Pharmaceutical Dosage Forms: Tablets (Lieberman et al., 1981); Ansel, Introduction to Pharmaceutical Dosage Forms 2nd Edition (1976); Remington's Pharmaceutical Sciences, 17th ed. (Mack Publishing Company, Easton, Pa., 1985); Advances in Pharmaceutical Sciences (David Ganderton, Trevor Jones, Eds., 1992); Advances in Pharmaceutical Sciences Vol 7. (David Ganderton, Trevor Jones, James McGinity, Eds., 1995); Aqueous Polymeric Coatings for Pharmaceutical Dosage Forms (Drugs and the Pharmaceutical Sciences, Series 36 (James McGinity, Ed., 1989); Pharmaceutical Particulate Carriers: Therapeutic Applications: Drugs and the Pharmaceutical Sciences, Vol 61 (Alain Rolland, Ed., 1993); Drug Delivery to the Gastrointestinal Tract (Ellis Horwood Books in the Biological Sciences. Series in Pharmaceutical Technology; J. G. Hardy, S. S. Davis, Clive G. Wilson, Eds.); Modern Pharmaceutics Drugs and the Pharmaceutical Sciences, Vol. 40 (Gilbert S. Banker, Christopher T. Rhodes, Eds.). These references in their entireties are hereby incorporated by reference into this application.

This invention will be better understood by reference to the Experimental Details which follow, but those skilled in the art will readily appreciate that the specific experiments detailed are only illustrative of the invention as described more fully in the claims which follow thereafter.

Experimental Details

EXAMPLE 1: Assessment Of The Effect Of Laquinimod For SLE In Animal Models

Systemic Lupus Erythematosus (SLE) is a disorder of generalized autoimmunity characterized by defective T cell-mediated responses and the formation of a variety of antibodies reactive to self or altered self-antigens. SLE is mainly characterized by the presence of anti-DNA antibodies. Some of these auto-antibodies combine with the corresponding auto-antigens, forming immune complexes, either in the circulating blood or directly in tissues, resulting in severe damage. Glomerulonephritis induced by immune complexes is in fact the major cause of death in patients with SLE. (NZBxNZW)F1 are lupus-prone mice that develop an SLE-like disease spontaneously including anti-dsDNA antibodies (Abs), proteinuria and Immune Complex Deposits (ICD). The (NZBxNZW)F1 (NZB/W) murine model is the hallmark of spontaneous SLE.

In a number of studies, the effect of various doses of laquinimod in the (NZBxNZW)F1 model for SLE were assessed. The studies also included a negative control (water) and positive controls including cyclophosphamide (CTX) and methotrexate (MTX).

Example 1.1 - Effect of Laquinimod, Cytoxan(CTX), and Methotrexate (MTX) on Lupus Manifestations Using the (NZBxNZW)F1 Mouse Model

This study investigated the effect of laquinimod, an immunomodulator of SLE in a murine model of SLE and compared the treatment effect to reference substances CTX and MTX. CTX is an alkylating agent that has become the standard of care for the disease management of most severe forms of lupus. MTX is an antimetabolite drug used in treatment of cancer and autoimmune diseases. It acts by inhibiting

the metabolism of folic acid via the inhibition of dihydrofolate reductase and blocks DNA synthesis in rapidly proliferate cells. These actions include immunosuppression. Both CTX and MTX have shown efficacy in prior studies.

- 5 Laquinimod and reference compounds CTX and MTX were applied in therapeutic mode, starting the treatment at the time when the characteristic change of murine SLE model, proteinuria (PU) was present in > 80% of animals, and the observation and treatment period following this was 12 weeks. Laquinimod was applied p.o.
10 daily, in a dose of 25 mg/kg. CTX was applied once weekly in a dose of 25 mg/ig i.p. MTX was applied 3 times a week p.o. at 35µg/mouse.

Also, body weight changes were recorded weekly and at the end of experiment both kidneys were preserved, one for possible conventional histology and one for immune complex detection (ICD) in
15 glomeruli. Evaluation of ICD was performed by scoring and by image analysis.

80 animals were involved in the study. During the treatment period 4 animals died, 2 from the vehicle treated group and 2 from the MTX treated group.

- 20 The severity of disease followed by PU measurement showed gradual increase in the control (water treated, vehicle) group, but substantial difference between the treated and vehicle groups developed around the 8-12th week of observation. At Week 12 observation, laquinimod and CTX treatment significantly diminished
25 the proteinuria ($p < .01$ and $P < 0.05$ by MW U test, respectively).

At the end of experiment ICD was evaluated by two methods, and the results from the two methods showed good correlation (correlation coefficient: 0.993). The immune complex deposition was significantly inhibited by laquinimod and CTX ($p < 0.001$ and $p < 0.05$, respectively)
30 - the results correlate well with the PU data on the last week (correlation coefficient of group averages of ICD and PU: 0.8199).

Therefore, laquinimod and the reference drug CTX significantly diminished the proteinuria and immune complex deposition in kidney

of murine SLE model. MTX failed to inhibit the symptoms.

Example 1.2 - Confirmation of Efficacy of Laquinimod in the (NZBxNZW)F1 model for SLE - Dose Response Study

This was a survival dose response study to determine whether
5 laquinimod is effective in suppressing the symptoms in (NZBxNZW)F1 mice. The positive control used was Cytoxan.

Seventy-one mice having spontaneous disease developed by the age of 7 months (as measured by proteinuria) were divided into 6 experimental groups (Water, CTX, Laquinimod 0.2 mg/kg, Laquinimod
10 1.0 mg/kg, Laquinimod 5.0 mg/kg, Laquinimod 25.0 mg/kg) according to their PU scores.

Water and Laquinimod were administered orally (200 µl/mouse) 5 days a week. CTX was administered intraperitoneally once weekly, (200 µl/mouse). Blood samples were collected on Weeks 1, 5, 15 and 37.
15 Serum samples were prepared for detection of anti-dsDNA antibodies. After 37 weeks (257 days) of treatment, mice were sacrificed.

The study revealed that laquinimod treatment inhibited the clinical symptoms of disease in NZB/W mice, specifically proteinuria and anti-dsDNA levels resulting in prolonged survival. Treatment with
20 all doses of laquinimod abrogated the progression of proteinuria in comparison to vehicle treatment, while the specific doses of 1, 5, and 25 mg/kg were as effective as the positive control cyclophosphamide (CTX). The dose of 0.2 mg/ml abrogated proteinuria but not to the same extent as compared to the higher doses. In terms
25 of anti-dsDNA levels, there was a dose dependent reduction in antibody levels over time. Finally, all doses resulted in significant prolongation of survival.

Example 1.3 - Confirmation of Efficacy of Laquinimod in the (NZBxNZW)F1 model for SLE

30 This study examined the effect of laquinimod (0.2 and 5 mg/kg) versus CTX and vehicle treated (NZBxNZZW)F1 mice.

Seventy mice having spontaneous disease developed by the age of 7

months (as measured by proteinuria) were divided into 4 experimental groups (Water, CTX, Laquinimod 0.2 mg/kg, Laquinimod 5.0 mg/kg,) according to their PU scores.

Water and Laquinimod were administered orally (200 µl/mouse) 5 days a week. CTX was administered intraperitoneally once weekly, (200 µl/mouse). Blood samples were collected on Weeks 1, 5, and 11. Serum samples were prepared for detection of anti-dsDNA antibodies. After 13 weeks of treatment, mice were sacrificed and immune complex deposits in their kidneys were evaluated.

This study confirms that laquinimod abrogated disease progression in NZB/W mice as measured by proteinuria. When looking at other endpoints, specifically anti-dsDNA levels and immune complex deposits, treatment with 5 mg/kg behaved similarly to the positive control CTX. Treatment at the low dose (0.2 mg/kg) prevented increased proteinuria but did not inhibit anti-dsDNA Ab titers and ICD.

Example 1.4 - Non-GLP In Vivo Evaluation Of Laquinimod In The MRL/lpr Lupus Mouse Model

This study evaluates the efficacy of laquinimod in the MRL/lpr lupus mouse model.

Animals were monitored until their urine proteinuria reached >200 mg/dL at which time they were enrolled in the study. Animals were dosed with either 1 or 5 mg/kg of laquinimod, p.o., 100 mg/kg mycophenolate mofetil (MMF, CellCept®) p.o., or vehicle (water DDW), p.o., daily except weekends.

Proteinuria, ankle and paw diameters, dsDNA autoantibody levels and survival were monitored during in life portion of the study. At termination, blood samples were harvested for determination of dsDNA autoantibody levels, spleens were harvested and weighed then processed to isolate splenocytes which were counted. Kidney, lung, skin, lymph node, salivary gland and joints were harvested, processed for histological examination and scored by a histopathologist blind to the treatments.

Overall there appeared to be a trend toward dose dependent efficacy in the animals treated with laquinimod in the measures during the in life phase of the experiment. The high variability in the data resulted in these trends not being significant except for some sporadic time points. Histopathological analysis of the kidney revealed significant reductions in kidney glomerulonephritis with MMF and laquinimod treatment at 5 mg/kg treatment compared to vehicle treatment. A significant difference was detected between MMF treatment and vehicle treatment group for the lung BALT hyperplasia.

There were no effects of any of the test article treatments on the histopathology of the skin or lymph nodes. When the salivary gland inflammation was evaluated by histopathological scoring, a significant reduction was seen with both MMF and 5 mg/kg laquinimod treatments compared to vehicle treatment. Significant reductions in bone resorption were seen with both doses of laquinimod compared to vehicle control. A significant reduction in cartilage damage was detected with laquinimod treatment at 5 mg/kg compared to vehicle treatment. A significant reduction in inflammation of the joints was seen compared to vehicle control. No significant difference in pannus was detected between any treatment groups. Significant differences were observed between MMF and laquinimod treatment at 5 mg/kg indicating that the higher dose of the test article and MMF treatment were similar. There was a significant reduction in salivary gland inflammation as the higher dose of laquinimod resulted in a significantly lower score than did the lower dose. Joint inflammation was significantly reduced with laquinimod treatment at 5 mg/kg compared to vehicle. There was a trend towards reduction of joint pannus, however there were no other significant differences in the joint parameters between treatment groups. This lack of significant may be due to the high degree of variability in the data. Spleens were weighted and then splenocytes were isolated and counted. The splenocytes were then expressed as a percent of the total spleen cells. The spleen weights showed a trend towards reductions with all test article treatments, however this reduction did not achieve statistical significance. Therefore significant reductions in splenocyte counts with all treatments compared to vehicle. When the splenocytes were expressed as a percent of total

spleen cells a significant reduction in percent splenocytes was detected with laquinimod treatment at 5 mg/kg compared to vehicle.

EXAMPLE 2: Clinical Trial (Phase IIa) - Assessment Of Laquinimod In Combination With Standard Of Care For Treatment of Lupus Nephritis

- 5 A multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial is conducted to evaluate the safety, tolerability and clinical effect of laquinimod in active lupus nephritis patients, in combination with standard of care (Mycophenolate Mofetil and Steroids).

10 ***Study Population and Number of Subjects***

Approximately 45 Systemic Lupus Erythematosus patients with active lupus nephritis (LN) are enrolled. [ISN/RPS 2003 classification of lupus nephritis - classes III (A or A/C), IV-S or IV-G (A or A/C), or class V - pure or in combination with class III or IV].

15 ***Study Duration***

- The overall study duration is up to 32 weeks, with the screening phase being up to 4 weeks, the treatment period being 24 weeks and the follow-up period being 4 weeks for all subjects who complete the 24-week treatment period or subjects who prematurely discontinue treatment prior to Week 24 visit.
- 20

Investigational Medicinal Product and Dosage

Laquinimod/Matching Placebo

Capsules containing laquinimod 0.5 mg and/or matching placebo are administered orally once daily:

- 25
1. Laquinimod 0.5 mg arm - 1 capsule of laquinimod 0.5 mg and 1 matching placebo capsule.
 2. Laquinimod 1 mg arm - 2 capsules of laquinimod 0.5 mg.
 3. Placebo arm - 2 capsules of placebo.

Mycophenolate Mofetil (MMF)

MMF tablets 500 mg. All treatment arms receive MMF at baseline and throughout the study to a target dose of 2g/day.

Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria5 Inclusion Criteria

All subjects must meet all the inclusion criteria below to be eligible:

- 10 1. Subject is diagnosed with SLE, who fulfilled at least 4 classification criteria (1997 revised) of the American College of Rheumatology for SLE by the time of screening visit. All subjects should have abnormal titers (at least 1 in 80) of anti-nuclear antibodies (at screening or documented anytime in the past) or anti-dsDNA antibodies at screening. [On a case by case basis it is possible to re-assess anti-nuclear antibodies or anti-dsDNA between screening and baseline].
15
 2. Kidney biopsy within 6 months prior to baseline with a histological diagnosis of LN: (ISN/RPS 2003 classification of lupus nephritis) classes III (A or A/C), IV-S or IV-G (A or A/C), or class V - pure or in combination with class III or IV. Kidney biopsy should be documented with a pathology report.
20
 3. Clinically active LN as evident by protein to creatinine ratio ≥ 1 , for LN class III, IV or [class V in combination with class III or IV] or protein to creatinine ratio ≥ 2 for LN class V, at screening or anytime between screening and baseline.
25
- Eligibility is determined based on protein to creatinine ratio of spot urine collection and protein to creatinine ratio of 24 hours urine collection. Both assessments should comply with the above criteria.
- 30 4. Subjects must be between the ages of 18 and 75 years inclusive.
 5. Subjects are willing and able to provide a written, informed

consent.

Exclusion Criteria

Any of the following excludes the subject from entering the study:

- 5 1. GFR $\leq$ 30 ml/min/1.73m² as calculated by MDRD formula at screening visit.
2. Dialysis within the last month prior to screening or scheduled to receive dialysis.
3. Previous kidney transplant or planned transplant.
- 10 4. Subjects with hemoglobin < 8.5 g/dl or neutrophils < 1300/ mm³ or platelets < 50,000/mm³, at screening.
5. Any previous diagnosis of drug induced lupus.
6. Subjects with severe, unstable and/or progressive CNS lupus and/or associated with significant cognitive impairment, upon Investigator's judgment.
- 15 7. Subjects with a clinically significant or unstable medical or surgical condition that, in the Investigator's opinion, would preclude safe and complete study participation, as determined by medical history, physical examinations, electrocardiogram (ECG), laboratory tests or imaging. Such conditions may
20 include:
 - a. A cardiovascular or pulmonary disorder that cannot be well-controlled by standard treatment permitted by the study protocol.
 - b. Metabolic or hematological diseases.
 - 25 c. Any form of acute or chronic liver disease including hepatitis B antigen (HBsAg) or anti-hepatitis C virus (anti-HCV) seropositive subjects.
 - d. Known Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) positive status.

- e. Subjects with known active tuberculosis.
 - f. Systemic infection at screening.
 - g. A history of drug and/or alcohol abuse.
 - h. A current major psychiatric disorder.
- 5 8. MMF/steroids specific exclusion criteria:
- a. Pancreatitis within 6 months prior to screening.
 - b. Gastrointestinal hemorrhage within 6 months prior to screening.
 - 10 c. Peptic ulcers (unhealed) within 3 months prior to screening.
 - d. Subject weight > 120kg (265 lb).
9. Subjects with a ≥ 2.5 x upper limit of normal (ULN) serum elevation of either ALT or AST at screening.
10. Subjects with a ≥ 2 x upper limit of normal direct or total bilirubin at screening.
- 15 11. Subjects diagnosed with Diabetes Mellitus, or Anti-Neutrophil Cytoplasmic Antibodies (ANCA) Vasculitis.
12. Medical condition, other than SLE that requires chronic treatment with immunosuppressive drugs or systemic corticosteroids (not including inhaled steroids).
- 20 13. Subjects with a history of malignancy within 5 years from screening with the exception of basal cell carcinoma (completely excised).
14. Women who are pregnant or nursing at the time of screening, or who intend to be during the study period.
- 25 15. Women of child-bearing potential (female subjects not of childbearing potential defined as post-menopausal for at least 12 months), who do not practice an acceptable method of birth

- control [acceptable methods of birth control in this study are: surgical sterilization, intrauterine devices, oral contraceptive, contraceptive patch, long-acting injectable contraceptive, partner's vasectomy, a double-protection method (condom or diaphragm with spermicide)]. Hormonal contraception must be accompanied by an additional barrier method of birth control (condom).
- 5
16. Subjects treated with MMF dose ≥ 2 g/day anytime between 31 days and 90 days prior to baseline or MMF dose >2 g/day within 10 30 days prior to baseline.
17. Subjects treated with oral corticosteroids at doses higher than 20 mg/day of prednisolone/prednisone (or equivalent) anytime between 8 and 90 days prior to baseline or prednisolone/prednisone dose (or equivalent) >40 mg/day within 15 7 days prior to baseline or any IV or IM steroid dose within 90 days prior to baseline.
18. Subjects treated with Azathioprine, MTX, Cyclosporine or Tacrolimus within 2 weeks prior to baseline.
19. Subjects treated with cyclophosphamide within 12 weeks prior to screening. 20
20. Subjects treated with Rituximab, abatacept, intravenous immune globulin (IV Ig), plasmapheresis or any other biologic therapy within 24 weeks prior to screening.
21. Subjects treated with alkylating agents (other than 25 cyclophosphamide such as: nitrogen mustard, chlorambucil, vincristine, procarbazine or etoposide) within 52 weeks prior to screening.
22. Subjects who received any investigational medication within 24 weeks prior to screening.
- 30 23. Use of inhibitors of CYP3A4 within 2 weeks prior to baseline visit (1 month for fluoxetine).

24. Use of amiodarone within 2 years prior to screening visit.
25. A known drug hypersensitivity that would preclude administration of study medications, such as known hypersensitivity to MMF, corticosteroids or hypersensitivity to: mannitol, meglumine or sodium stearyl fumarate.
26. Subjects unable to comply with the planned schedule of study visits and study procedures.

Study Design

This is a Phase IIa, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study to assess the safety, tolerability and clinical effect of laquinimod in active lupus nephritis patients in combination with standard of care (MMF and steroids). This study evaluates the biomarkers, clinical effect, the safety and tolerability of two doses of laquinimod (0.5 mg and 1 mg/day) in subjects with active lupus nephritis in combination with standard of care (MMF and steroids).

All randomized subjects receive MMF at baseline. In addition, Methylprednisolone IV is administered at the site for all randomized subjects. Also, Prednisone/prednisolone is provided to all randomized subjects under prescription.

In addition to MMF, methylprednisolone and prednisone/prednisolone, all randomized subjects receive at baseline either laquinimod 0.5 mg, laquinimod 1 mg or matching placebo. Laquinimod/placebo capsules should be taken orally at the same time every day.

- 25 Laquinimod/placebo and MMF may be taken simultaneously or with time gap, (note that laquinimod/placebo is taken once daily, whereas MMF should be taken twice daily).

Subjects are assessed for study eligibility up to 4 weeks prior to baseline. Eligible subjects are initially randomized in a 1:1 ratio into one of the following two treatment arms:

1. Laquinimod 0.5 mg and mycophenolate mofetil (target dose - 2

g/day) and IV methylprednisolone 500 mg/day for 3 days followed by oral prednisolone/prednisone (initial dose - 40 mg/day).

2. Placebo for laquinimod and mycophenolate mofetil (target dose 2 g/day) and IV methylprednisolone 500 mg/day for 3 days followed by oral prednisolone/prednisone (initial dose - 40 mg/day).

Enrollment to the 1 mg laquinimod dose group is initiated following the approval of the study Safety Committee, based on data of at least 10 subjects who have completed at least 4 weeks of treatment.

Upon approval, randomization into one of the following three treatment arms occurs in a ratio that allows for reaching an overall target enrollment of approximately 15 subjects per treatment arm. Drop-outs are not replaced.

1. Laquinimod 0.5 mg and mycophenolate mofetil (target dose - 2 g/day) and IV methylprednisolone 500 mg/day for 3 days followed by oral prednisolone/prednisone (initial dose - 40 mg/day).
2. Laquinimod 1 mg and mycophenolate mofetil (target dose - 2 g/day) and IV methylprednisolone 500 mg/day for 3 days followed by oral prednisolone/prednisone (initial dose - 40 mg/day).
3. Placebo for laquinimod and mycophenolate mofetil (target dose - 2 g /day) and IV methylprednisolone 500 mg/day for 3 days followed by oral prednisolone/prednisone (initial dose - 40 mg/day).

All study investigators are informed of the initiation of screening and/or randomization for the 1 mg dose group. All subjects in the screening phase are randomized, if eligible, to any of the three treatment arms.

Scheduled in-clinic visits is conducted at screening, baseline and at Weeks 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 16, 20, 24 and a follow-up visit at Week 28.

At the baseline visit, in addition to laquinimod/placebo, all subjects receive MMF 500 mg, two times daily (BID), which is increased to 1 g BID on Week 1. In addition, all receive IV methylprednisolone 500 mg/day for 3 days followed by 40 mg/day oral prednisolone/prednisone, which is tapered to not more than 10 mg/day by the end of Week 20, on a fixed steroid tapering regimen).

Table 1: Treatment medications and dosage regimen throughout the study

	MMF	IV steroids	PO steroids	Laquinimod/PLC
Days 1-3	500 mg BID first dose in clinic	500 mg/day in clinic	None	0.5 mg, 1 mg or PLC 2 capsules once daily first dose in clinic
Day 4 to visit Week 1	500 mg BID	None	40 mg/day in	0.5 mg, 1 mg or PLC 2 capsules once daily
Visit Week 1 to visit Week 24	1g BID	None	According to fixed tapering scheme	0.5 mg, 1 mg or PLC 2 capsules once daily
Visit Week 24 to visit Week 28	1g BID	None	Stable	None

- 10 Treatment with laquinimod/placebo is discontinued on visit Week 24, and a follow-up/study completion visit is conducted at Week 28. Subjects, who early terminated the study prior to visit Week 24, preferably show up for a follow-up visit within 4 weeks of the early termination visit.
- 15 Unscheduled visits for safety or for any other reason may be conducted at any time during the study.

During the study period the British Isles Lupus Assessment Group

(BILAG) 2004 score and the Patient and Evaluator Global Assessment Scores (PGA, EGA) are assessed as well as routine safety laboratory tests, PK analysis [laquinimod, and MMF], disease-related immunology tests and biomarkers.

5 Combination with standard of care

Lupus nephritis is one of the most severe manifestations of SLE and requires immediate treatment to restore renal function, prevent further deterioration and improve prognosis, hence all subjects enrolled to this trial receive the standard of care treatment [MMF
10 and steroids] in addition to laquinimod/placebo.

MMF, although not specifically indicated for the treatment of lupus nephritis, is considered a standard of care for the above target population, for its perceived clinical efficacy and relatively favorable safety profile (Ginzler, 2005; Chan, 2000; Appel, 2007;
15 Isenberg, 2008).

Standard MMF dosing for induction of response in lupus nephritis ranges between 2-3 g/day. All subjects enrolled in this trial receive a target dose of 2 g/day. In case of lack of response, as defined by the protocol, Investigators may increase the dose to 3
20 g/day.

MMF is widely used in combination with oral corticosteroids (0.5-1 mg/kg), while the corticosteroids are gradually being tapered down (Boumpas, 2005). As steroids may have confounding effect on the analysis of the trial and in order to minimize variability in
25 steroid dosing and tapering all subjects enrolled to this trial receive IV steroids (500 mg/day) for the first three days of the trial followed by a fixed oral dose of prednisone/prednisolone 40 mg/day. Oral steroids are tapered according to a predetermined gradual tapering down scheme to not more than 10 mg/day by the end
30 of Week 20.

The tapering down scheme is in an accepted standard tapering regimen and is used to standardize treatment in the study, hence reducing variability. Investigators, upon their clinical judgment, may

choose to deviate from this fixed scheme, based on the individual subject response to treatment. Such subjects are allowed to continue their participation in the trial, yet are defined as protocol violators and/or treatment failures.

5 Dose selection and sequential cohort enrollment

Pre-clinical and clinical data suggest that the effect of laquinimod is dose dependent, hence supporting the evaluation of escalating doses.

10 The highest doses of laquinimod assessed to date, 1.2 mg and 2.4 mg, were studied in healthy volunteers and MS subjects (studies 99506202 and TQT-LAQ-122). Doses up to 2.4 mg/day were tolerated in healthy volunteers, while 2.4 mg/day resulted in elevation of markers of inflammation in MS patients, without clinical signs and symptoms.

15 For this study, laquinimod doses of 0.5 mg/day and 1 mg/day were chosen, which are believed to provide a reasonable therapeutic range.

As this is the first study to assess the safety, tolerability and clinical effect of laquinimod in active lupus nephritis patients and since limited data exists to date with higher doses of laquinimod, a sequential enrollment approach is applied. Subjects are initially
20 randomized in a 1:1 ratio into one of two treatment arms - standard of care with laquinimod 0.5 mg and standard of care with placebo. Enrollment to the 1 mg dose group is initiated only following the approval of a Safety Committee, based on data of at least 10 subjects who have completed at least 4 weeks of treatment.

25 PK Analysis

Blood samples for PK evaluation are collected from all subjects as follows:

1. Visit Week 4 - full PK profile (laquinimod and MMF) at the following times: pre-dose, 15, 30 min and 1, 1.5, 2, 3, 4, 6,
30 8, 12 and 24 hours post dosing.
2. Visit Weeks 12 and 24 - prior to dosing (trough plasma levels

- laquinimod and MMF).

3. Visit Week 28 (MMF) - prior to dosing (trough plasma levels - MMF).

Pharmacogenetic Sub Study

- 5 Blood samples for the pharmacogenetic sub-study are collected from all subjects who signed the separate informed consent form and upon ethics committee approval.

Previous And Concomitant Medication/Therapies

- 10 Any medication/treatment for SLE, unless otherwise specified as an exclusion criterion, is allowed preceding entry into the study (e.g. - antimalarials, NSAIDs, COX2 inhibitors, statins, ACE inhibitors, ARBs, corticosteroids, oral anti-coagulants and bisphosphonates).

Mycophenolate Mofetil (MMF) Allowed During the Study

- 15 1. Dosing of MMF starts at 500 mg BID for the first week increasing to 1 g BID in the second week and throughout the study.
- a. For subjects already treated with MMF prior to baseline, as allowed by the clinical protocol:
- 20 i. MMF dose = 2 g - continue MMF 2 g for the remaining of the study.
- ii. MMF dose >1 g and <2 g - increase MMF to 2 g at baseline and continue for the remaining of the study.
- iii. MMF dose $\leq$ 1 g - continue according to protocol.
- 25 2. MMF dose can be reduced to a minimum of 1 g/day, at the investigators' discretion, in cases of intolerance to MMF.
3. Subjects with lack of response as defined by the protocol following 12 weeks of treatment are allowed to increase the MMF dose to 1.5 mg BID. These subjects are regarded as

- 5 treatment failures but may continue their participation in the trial. Lack of response is defined as: protein to creatinine ratio > 3 from Week 12 onwards and did not decrease by $\geq 20\%$ compared to baseline (confirmed by repeated measurement within 2 weeks. At least 1 of the measurements should be a 24 hour urine collection).
4. MMF dose > 2 g/day anytime during the first 24 weeks of treatment is regarded as a treatment failure.
- 10 5. In case of absolute neutrophil count < 1300/mm³, dosing with MMF should either be interrupted or reduced.
6. In case of absolute neutrophil count < 1000/mm³, study medications (laquinimod/placebo and MMF) should be stopped and the subject should prematurely terminate his/her participation in the study.
- 15 7. An MMF dose reduction to < 1g/day, for any reason, for more than a total of 14 days during the treatment phase or a temporary dose interruption for more than a total of 7 days, are regarded as major protocol violations. Subjects exceeding the above parameters may continue their participation in the trial based on the Investigator's clinical judgment.
- 20 8. Dosing regimen may be changed (without changing the total daily dose) from BID to three times daily (TID), in order to reduce side effects, (at the Investigator's discretion).
9. MMF is also administered during the follow up period.

25 Corticosteroids Allowed During the Study

1. All subjects receive IV methylprednisolone (500 mg/day for 3 days) upon randomization followed by a dose of 40 mg/day of oral prednisolone/prednisone. This dose is tapered down according to a pre-defined tapering down scheme shown in Table 2. [On Baseline visit IV methylprednisolone should be administered following post dose vital signs].
- 30

Table 2: Steroid Tapering Scheme

Weeks	Prednisolone dose (mg)	Disease exacerbation/lack of response	
		No	Yes
Days 1-3	IV methylprednisolone 500 mg		
Days 4-7	40	Go to next step	It is allowed only once to maintain the previous week dose for additional two weeks and continue taper by not more than 5 mg every 2 weeks Dose higher by more than 5 mg compared with the week dose or >40 mg or any IV or IM dose will result in treatment failure
2	40		
3	35		
4	35		
5	30		
6	30		
7	25		
8	25		
9	20		
10	20		
11	17.5		
12	17.5		
13	15		
14	15		
15	12.5		
16	12.5		
17	10		
18	10		
19	≤ 10		
20	≤ 10		
21 - 28	Stable		

2. Subjects unable to comply with the pre-defined tapering down scheme - receive higher doses than allowed by the protocol or unable to reach prednisolone/prednisone dose ≤ 10 mg day at the end of Week 20 are allowed to continue their participation in the trial but are regarded as major protocol violators and treatment failures.
3. Subjects who taper down their steroid dose by increments larger than 5 mg/day compared to the previous dose or who do

not maintain the same dose for at least 2 weeks are allowed to continue their participation in the trial but are regarded as major protocol violators. [tapering from 20 mg prednisolone/prednisone to 15 mg prednisolone/prednisone, not via 17.5 mg or from 15 mg to 10 mg not via 12.5 mg is allowed, hence enabling reaching 10 mg prednisolone/prednisone as early as Week 13].

4. The dose of corticosteroids can be decreased to the lowest possible dose (to a dose lower than 10 mg/day), at the Investigator's discretion and as long as within the protocol limitations for tapering down.

5. Use of IV or IM steroids (other than allowed by the protocol) during the study treatment period is regarded as major protocol violation and a treatment failure, yet subjects may continue their participation in the trial. Intraarticular or inhaled steroids can be used during the treatment period per the Investigator's discretion and is not regarded as a protocol violation.

6. From visit Week 20 to visit Week 24, subjects should be maintained on a stable steroid dose. [Defined as < 5 mg prednisone/prednisolone change from Week 20 dose]. Dose increase not allowed by the protocol is regarded as treatment failure.

Other Medications

1. ACE inhibitors/ARBs should be kept stable throughout the study or otherwise result in a protocol violation. New treatment or dose increase throughout the treatment period is regarded as treatment failure.

2. New treatment or change in dose of antimalarials or statins is allowed, at the Investigator's discretion, at the first 4 weeks of the treatment period, but kept stable throughout the trial. - New treatment or change in dose following the first 4 weeks is regarded as protocol violation.

3. Bone protection therapy (e.g., bisphosphonates) is allowed throughout the trial.
4. The use of CYP1A2 substrates (e.g. Warfarin) during the treatment period is permitted, however subjects treated with these medications should be monitored for possible reduction in their effect.
5. No drugs for the treatment of lupus nephritis other than those specifically described above are allowed during the course of the study.
- 10 6. New or change in dose/dose regimen of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) or Cox2 inhibitors should be avoided during the treatment period.
- 15 7. Rescue therapy for SLE (any new medication/treatment or dose increase, not allowed by the protocol, administered for renal or non-renal manifestations), throughout the study treatment period, results in major protocol violation and is regarded as a treatment failure. Any new biologic treatment or new immunosuppressive or cytotoxic drug, IV-Ig or plasmapheresis, throughout the study treatment period, is regarded as treatment failure and results in early termination.
- 20 8. Inhibitors of CYP3A4 are not allowed throughout the study (2 weeks prior to baseline until the end of the follow up period). In case of treatment discontinuation of laquinimod, CYP3A4 inhibitors should be avoided for up to 30 days.
- 25 9. The use of live attenuated vaccines should be avoided throughout the study (including following up period).

Follow up period

All attempts are made to maintain a stable dose of MMF, steroids or any other drug prescribed during the study treatment period, throughout the follow-up period.

Pre-Defined Withdrawal Criteria/Treatment Failure

Any of the following results in early termination from the study:

1. Increase in serum creatinine without improvement in proteinuria from 8 weeks onward (confirmed by repeated measurement within 2 weeks), defined as:
 - a. Serum creatinine > 1.3 mg/dL that is at least 25% higher than the baseline value; and
 - b. Protein to creatinine ratio did not decrease by $\geq 25\%$ compared to baseline (confirmed by 24 hours urine collection in at least one of the measurements)
1. Doubling of protein to creatinine ratio compared to baseline and protein to creatinine ratio >3 from Week 8 onwards (confirmed by repeated measurement within 2 weeks. At least one of the measurements should be a 24 hours urine collection).

Outcome MeasuresResponse Definitions

1. Complete response (CR) - protein to creatinine ratio < 0.5 and [decreased serum creatinine by at least 25% compared to baseline or serum creatinine < 1.3 mg/dL].
2. Partial response (PR) - criteria for complete response are not met and at least 50% decrease in protein to creatinine ratio and protein to creatinine ratio <3 with stable serum creatinine (serum creatinine < 1.3 mg/dL or did not increase by more than 10% from baseline).

Analyses is based on 24 hours urine collection at baseline, visit Week 12, visit Week 24/early termination (prior to visit Week 24), visit Week 28 or at any other scheduled or unscheduled visit for confirmation of pre-defined withdrawal criteria or lack of response.

3. BILAG renal response is defined as change from renal A or B at baseline to C or D.
4. BILAG Substantial Responder (SR) is defined as all systems at last observed value (LOV) are C or D/E providing at least one system is A or B at baseline.

Clinical Effect Outcome Measure

Renal - System

1. **Proportion of subjects with complete or partial response at Week 24 and the lack of treatment failure**
- 10 The number and percent of subjects, calculated from the randomized population, who are in complete or partial response, are presented both in tabular and graphical forms by treatment group.
- Analyses are based on protein to creatinine ratio of spot urine collection from the appropriate visits. Analyses based on albumin to creatinine ratio (spot urine collection) and total protein to creatinine and albumin to creatinine 24h urine collection is also performed.
- 15
2. **Proportion of subjects with complete response at Week 24 and the lack of treatment failure**
- 20 The number and percent of subjects, calculated from the randomized population, who are in complete response, are presented both in tabular and graphical forms by treatment group.
3. **Proportion of BILAG renal response at Week 24 and the lack of treatment failure**
- 25 The number and percent of subjects, calculated from the randomized population, who are in BILAG renal response at Week 24 are presented both in tabular and graphical forms by treatment group.
4. **Proportion of subjects treated with prednisone/prednisolone dose $\leq$ 10 mg by the end of Week 20 who maintain a stable dose up to Week 24.**
- 30

The number and percent of subjects, calculated from the randomized population who were treated with prednisone/prednisolone dose not more than 10 mg/day by the end of Week 20 and maintained this dose stable until Week 24 is presented both in tabular and graphical forms by week in trial and treatment group.

5. Time to complete or partial response and the lack of treatment failure

Each subject is assigned with a time in which complete or partial response was achieved in the lack of treatment failure. Early termination or completer subject is right censored with their last available time in the trial. The Kaplan Meire estimates is presented using a survival curve.

6. Change in protein to creatinine ratio/24 hours urine protein at Week 24.

Descriptive statistics of protein to creatinine ratio/24 hours urine protein at Week 24 as well as change from baseline are presented by treatment group in tabular and graphical forms.

7. Change in serum creatinine and glomerular filtration rate (GFR) (by applying the MDRD formula) at Week 24

Descriptive statistics of serum creatinine and GFR (by applying the MDRD formula) at Week 24 as well as change from baseline are presented by week in trial and treatment group in tabular and graphical forms

General SLE and Biomarkers

1. Proportion of substantial BILAG responders at Week 24:

The number and percent of subjects, calculated from the randomized population, who are with substantial BILAG response at Week 24 are presented in both tabular and graphical forms by treatment group.

2. Change in anti-dsDNA, C3, C4, CH50 and anti C1q at Week 24.

Descriptive statistics of anti-dsDNA, C3, C4, CH5 and anti-C1q at

Week 24 as well as change from baseline are presented by group in tabular and graphical forms. Similarly, the number and percent of subjects shifted from normal at baseline to abnormal are presented by week in trial and treatment group in tabular forms.

5 **3. Proportion of subjects with new BILAG A or B anytime during the study.**

The number and percent of subjects, calculated from the randomized population, who experienced a new BILAG A or B in any system throughout the treatment period (24 weeks), are presented both in
10 tabular and graphical forms by treatment group.

4. Change in Patient and Evaluator Global Assessment (PGA & EGA) at Week 24.

Descriptive statistics of PGA and EGA at Week 24 as well as change from baseline are presented by treatment group in tabular and
15 graphical forms.

5. Cytokines and Chemokines (Serum and PBMC's supernatant and urine), gene expression and cell surface markers (PBMC's).

Descriptive statistics of biomarkers as well as change from baseline are presented by week in trial and treatment group in tabular and
20 graphical forms.

Safety and Tolerability Outcome Measures

1. Incidence, frequency and severity of adverse events (AEs).
2. Changes in clinical laboratory values.
3. Changes in vital signs.
- 25 4. Changes in ECG.
5. Proportion of subjects who prematurely discontinue treatment.
6. Proportion of subjects who prematurely discontinue treatment due to AEs.

7. Time to premature treatment discontinuation.

8. Time to premature treatment discontinuation due to AEs.

Tolerability analysis is based on the number (%) of subjects who failed to complete the study, the number (%) of subjects who failed to complete the study due to adverse events.

Results

This study assesses the efficacy, tolerability and safety of daily dose of 0.5 mg and 1.0 mg laquinimod as compared to placebo in active lupus nephritis patients, in combination with standard of care (Mycophenolate Mofetil and Steroids). The results indicate that the effect of the combination of laquinimod, MMF and steroids on active lupus nephritis is significantly more than the additive effect of each agent alone.

Daily oral administration of 0.5 mg or 1 mg laquinimod in combination with standard of care (Mycophenolate Mofetil and Steroids) is effective to treat active lupus nephritis. Further, the amounts of each agent when taken together are more effective to treat the active lupus nephritis in the subject than when each agent is administered alone.

Daily oral administration of 0.5 mg or 1 mg laquinimod in combination with standard of care (Mycophenolate Mofetil and Steroids) results in a complete response by the subject, wherein complete response (CR) is defined as: protein to creatinine ratio < 0.5 and [decreased serum creatinine by at least 25% compared to baseline or serum creatinine < 1.3 mg/dL].

Daily oral administration of 0.5 mg or 1 mg laquinimod in combination with standard of care (Mycophenolate Mofetil and Steroids) reduces proteinuria in the subject.

Daily oral administration of 0.5 mg or 1 mg laquinimod in combination with standard of care (Mycophenolate Mofetil and Steroids) results in a partial response by the subject, wherein partial response (PR) is defined as: criteria for complete response

are not met and at least 50% decrease in protein to creatinine ratio and protein to creatinine ratio <3 with stable serum creatinine (serum creatinine < 1.3 mg/dL or did not increase by more than 10% from baseline).

- 5 Daily oral administration of 0.5 mg or 1 mg laquinimod in combination with standard of care (Mycophenolate Mofetil and Steroids) reduces the subject's protein to creatinine ratio by at least 50% as compared to baseline during the study period.

- 10 Daily oral administration of 0.5 mg or 1 mg laquinimod in combination with standard of care (Mycophenolate Mofetil and Steroids) reduces the subject's protein to creatinine ratio to no more than 0.3 during the study period.

- 15 Daily oral administration of 0.5 mg or 1 mg laquinimod in combination with standard of care (Mycophenolate Mofetil and Steroids) increases the subject's glomerular filtration rate (GFR) by at least 25% as compared to baseline during the study period. Daily oral administration of 0.5 mg or 1 mg laquinimod in combination with standard of care (Mycophenolate Mofetil and Steroids) increases the subject's glomerular filtration rate (GFR) to greater than
20 70ml/min/1.73m² during the study period.

- Daily oral administration of 0.5 mg or 1 mg laquinimod in combination with standard of care (Mycophenolate Mofetil and Steroids) limits the decrease of the subject's glomerular filtration rate (GFR) to no more than 10% as compared to baseline during the
25 study period.

Daily oral administration of 0.5 mg or 1 mg laquinimod in combination with standard of care (Mycophenolate Mofetil and Steroids) eliminates urinary sediments.

- 30 Daily oral administration of 0.5 mg or 1 mg laquinimod in combination with standard of care (Mycophenolate Mofetil and Steroids) improves the subject's BILAG index during the study period.

Daily oral administration of 0.5 mg or 1 mg laquinimod in combination with standard of care (Mycophenolate Mofetil and

Steroids) is well tolerated and has no toxicity.

Thus, these results show that administration of laquinimod in combination with standard of care (Mycophenolate Mofetil and Steroids) is effective to treat active lupus nephritis. Further, these results
5 show that administration of laquinimod in combination with standard of care (Mycophenolate Mofetil and Steroids) is substantially more efficacious in treating of lupus nephritis than each agent when administered alone.

References

1. "CELLCEPT®" in Physician's Desk Reference, Medical Economics Co., Inc., Montvale, N.J., 2009, 2622-2629.
2. "Lupus Nephritis" MedlinePlus Online, a service of U.S. National Library of Medicine, 8600 Rockville Pike, Bethesda, MD 20894 and National Institutes of Health, Department of Health & Human Services, Accessed February 19, 2010. (<http://www.nlm.nih.gov/medlineplus/ency/article/000481.htm>)
3. "Systemic Lupus Erythematosus" The Merck Manual, 17th ed. Mark H. Beers, MD, Robert Berkow, MD, eds. Whitehouse Station, NJ: Merck Research Labs, 1999.
4. 0130282 99506202. A double blind, randomized, repeat-dose, dose escalation study of ABR-215062 versus placebo in healthy volunteers and patients with multiple sclerosis. Active Biotech Research AB, Sweden. Final Clinical Trial Report, January 2002.
5. 03506207. An open safety study on laquinimod (ABR-215062) in patients with multiple sclerosis. Active Biotech Research AB, Sweden. Final Clinical Trial Report, April 2007.
6. 0430067 275-1061-01. Determination of the effects of ABR-212616, ABR-215050, ABR-215062 and ABR-215757 on the activities of CYP1A2 and CYP3A4 in cryopreserved human hepatocytes. In Vitro Technologies, USA. Final Report, February 2004.
7. 0430518 275-1081-02. Determination of the effects of ABR-215062 on CYP1A2 and CYP3A4 in cryopreserved human hepatocytes. In Vitro Technologies, USA. Final Report, August 2004.
8. 9830089. PNU-215045, PNU-215062: Effects on cytochrome P450 enzymes in female Sprague Dawley rats. Lund Research Center AB, Active Biotech Group, Sweden. Final Report, November 1998.
9. 9830133. PNU-215062: Effects on cytochrome P450 enzymes in

- female Sprague Dawley rats. Lund Research Center AB, Active Biotech Group, Sweden. Final Report, November 1998.
10. A two-period, open-label, one-sequence crossover study in healthy subjects to assess the potential interaction of fluconazole on laquinimod pharmacokinetics. PRACS Institute Cetero Research, ND, USA. Final Report, June 2009.
11. Appel GB Dooley MA Ginzler EM. Mycophenolate mofetil compared with intravenous cyclophosphamide as induction therapy for lupus nephritis: Aspreva Lupus Management Study (ALMS) results. 47A of JASN, Vol. 18 October 2007.
12. Austin HA, Balow JE. Diffuse proliferative Lupus Nephritis: Identification of specific pathologic features affecting renal outcomes. Kidney International 1984; 25:689-695.
13. Bevra Hahn. Systemic Lupus Erythematosus. In: Brauwald E., Fauci AS, Kasper DL, Hauser SL, Longo DL, Jameson JL, eds. Harrison's Principles of Internal Medicine. New York: McGraw-Hill Professional, 2001: 1922-28.
14. Boumpas DT. Optimum therapeutic approaches for Lupus Nephritis: What Therapy and for whom. Nature Clinical Practice Rheumatology. 2005;1: 22-30.
15. Brent LH. Lupus Nephritis, Emedicine, 2008.
16. Chan TM Li FK Tang CS. Efficacy of mycophenolate mofetil in patients with diffuse proliferative Lupus Nephritis. N Eng J Med; 2000;343: 1156-1162.
17. FDA 2005. Draft Guidance for Industry - Systemic Lupus Erythematosus - Developing Drugs for Treatment (<http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/ucm072063.pdf>).
18. Foster, Kirk MD; Markowitz, Glen S MD (2004) "A Revised Classification of Lupus Nephritis: In with the New" Advances in Anatomic Pathology: September 2004, Volume 11, Issue 5, pp

277-278.

19. Ginzler EM Dooley MA Aranow C. Mycophenolate Mofetil or intravenous Cyclophosphamide for Lupus Nephritis. *N Eng J Med*; 2005; 353: 2219-2228.
- 5 20. Isenberg D, Appeal GB, Contreras G, Dooley MA, Ginzler EM, Jayne D, Sanchez-Guerrero J, Wofsy D, Yu X, Solomons N. "Influence of race/ethnicity on response to lupus nephritis treatment: the ALMS study. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. 2010 Jan; 49(1):128-40. Epub 2009 Nov 20.
- 10 21. Kurucz I., S. Toth, K. Nemeth, K. Torok, V. Csillik-Perczel, A. Pataki, C. Salamon, Z. Nagy, J.I. Szekely, K. Horvath, and N. Bodor (2003) "Potency and specificity of the pharmacological action of a new, antiasthmatic, topically administered soft steroid, etiprednol dicloacetate (BNP-166)". *J Pharmacol Exp Ther*. 307(1):83-92.
- 15 22. Petri M. Epidemiology of systemic lupus erythematosus. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol* 2002; 16(5):847-858.
23. Polman, C. et al., (2005) "Treatment with laquinimod reduces development of active MRI lesions in relapsing MS", *Neurology*. 64:987-991.
- 20 24. Sandberg-Wollheim M, et al. (2005) "48-week open safety study with high-dose oral laquinimod in patients", *Mult Scler*. 11:S154 (Abstract).
- 25 25. Sharabi A. A. Haviv, H. Zinger, M. Dayan and E. Moses (2006) "Amelioration of murine lupus by a peptide, based on the complementarity determining region 1 of an autoantibody as compared to dexamethasone: different effects on cytokines and apoptosis". *Clin. Immunology*. 119:146-155.
- 30 26. Sharabi A., H. Zinger, M. Zborowsky, Z.m. Stoecker and E. Mozes (2006) "A peptide based on the complementarity-determining region 1 of an autoantibody ameliorates lupus by up-regulating CD4+CD25+ cells and TGB-B". *PNAS* 1103:8810-8815.

27. The American College of Rheumatology response criteria for proliferative and membranous renal disease in Systemic Lupus Erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheum*; 54(2): 421-432.
- 5 28. TQT-LAQ-122. A Double-Blind, Randomized, Parallel Group, Thorough QT/QTc Trial in Healthy Men and Women to Assess the Effect of Laquinimod on Cardiac Repolarization Using a Clinical and a Supratherapeutic Dose Compared to Placebo, with Moxifloxacin as a Positive Control. PRACS Institute Cetero Research, ND, USA. Final Report, June 2009.
- 10 29. U.S. Patent No. 6,077,851, issued June 20, 2000 to Bjork, et al.
- 15 30. Weening JJ et al on behalf of the International Society of Nephrology and Renal Pathology Society Working Group on the classification of lupus nephritis. The classification of glomerulonephritis in systemic lupus erythematosus revisited. *Kidney International Journal* 2004, 67; 521-530.
31. Yee CS, Caroline Gordon, et al. British Isles Lupus Assessment Group 2004 Index is valid for assessment of disease activity in SLE. *Arthritis & Rheumatism*. 2007; 56:4113-4119.
- 20 32. Yee CS, Caroline Gordon, et al. British Isles Lupus Assessment Group 2004 Index. A reliable tool for assessment of SLE activity. *Arthritis & Rheumatism*. 2006; 54:3300-3305.

What is claimed is:

1. A method of treating a subject afflicted with active lupus nephritis comprising periodically administering to the subject an amount of laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof effective to treat the subject.
2. The method of claim 1, wherein the pharmaceutically acceptable salt of laquinimod is laquinimod sodium.
3. The method of claims 1 or 2, wherein the periodic administration of laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof is effected orally.
4. The method of any one of claims 1-3, wherein the amount of laquinimod administered is 0.5-1.0 mg/day.
5. The method of claim 4, wherein the amount of laquinimod administered is 0.5 mg/day.
6. The method of claim 4, wherein the amount of laquinimod administered is 1.0 mg/day.
7. The method of any one of claims 1-6, wherein the amount of laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof is effective to reduce a clinical symptom of active lupus nephritis in the subject.
8. The method of any one of claims 1-7, wherein the periodic administration of laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof is effective to elicit at least a partial response by the subject by week 24.
9. The method of claim 8, wherein the periodic administration of laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof is effective to elicit a complete response by the subject by week 24.
10. The method of any one of claims 1-9, wherein the periodic administration of laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof reduces proteinuria in the subject.

11. The method of claim 10, wherein proteinuria reduction is measured by 24 hour urine protein, 24 hour protein to creatinine ratio, spot protein to creatinine ratio, 24 hour urine albumin, 24 hour albumin to creatinine ratio, spot albumin to creatinine ratio, or by a urinary dipstick.
12. The method of any one of claims 1-11, wherein the periodic administration of laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof reduces the subject's protein to creatinine ratio.
13. The method of claim 12, wherein the subject's protein to creatinine ratio is reduced by at least 50% as compared to baseline.
14. The method of claims 12 or 13, wherein the subject's protein to creatinine ratio is reduced to no more than 0.3.
15. The method of claim 12, wherein the subject's protein to creatinine ratio is less than 3 and wherein the subject's serum creatinine level is either less than 1.3 mg/dL or did not increase by more than 10% relative to baseline.
16. The method of claim 12, wherein the subject's protein to creatinine ratio is less than 0.5 and wherein the subject's serum creatinine level is either less than 1.3 mg/dL or decreased by at least 25% relative to baseline.
17. The method of anyone of claims 1-16, wherein the period administration of laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof eliminates urinary sediments.
18. The method of any one of claims 1-17, wherein the periodic administration of laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof improves the subject's BILAG index
19. The method of any one of claims 1-18, wherein the method further comprises administration of mycophenolate mofetil.
20. The method of claim 19, wherein the periodic administration of mycophenolate mofetil is effected orally.

21. The method of claims 19 or 20, wherein the amount of mycophenolate mofetil administered is 1-3 g/day.
22. The method of claim 21, wherein the amount of mycophenolate mofetil administered is 2 g/day.
23. The method of any one of claims 1-22, further comprising administering to the subject an amount of a steroid.
24. The method of claim 23, wherein the administration of the steroid is periodic administration.
25. The method of claims 23 or 24, wherein the administration of steroids is effected orally and/or intravenously.
26. The method of any one of claims 23-25, wherein the amount of steroid administered is 500 mg/day methylprednisolone.
27. The method of any one of claims 23-25, wherein the amount of steroid administered is 40 mg/day prednisolone and/or prednisone.
28. The method of any one of claims 1-27, further comprising administration of angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors, angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs), antimalarials, statins, cyclophosphamide, azathioprine, 6-mercaptopurine, abatacept, rituximab, belimumab, cyclosporine or other calcineurin inhibitors.
29. The method of any one of claims 1-28, wherein the periodic administration continues for at least 24 weeks.
30. The method of any one of claims 19-29, wherein the amount of laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof and the amount of mycophenolate mofetil together is effective to reduce a clinical symptom of active lupus nephritis in the subject.
31. The method of any one of claims 19-30, wherein the periodic administration of laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof and mycophenolate mofetil is effective to elicit at least a partial response by the subject by week 24.

32. The method of claim 31, wherein the periodic administration of laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof and mycophenolate mofetil is effective to elicit a complete response by the subject by week 24.
33. The method of any one of claims 19-32, wherein the periodic administration of laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof and mycophenolate mofetil reduces proteinuria in the subject.
34. The method of claim 33, wherein proteinuria reduction is measured by 24 hour urine protein, 24 hour protein to creatinine ratio, spot protein to creatinine ratio, 24 hour urine albumin, 24 hour albumin to creatinine ratio, spot albumin to creatinine ratio, or by a urinary dipstick.
35. The method of any one of claims 19-34, wherein the periodic administration of laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof and mycophenolate mofetil reduces the subject's protein to creatinine ratio.
36. The method of claim 35, wherein the subject's protein to creatinine ratio is reduced by at least 50% as compared to baseline.
37. The method of claims 35 or 36, wherein the subject's protein to creatinine ratio is reduced to no more than 0.3.
38. The method of claim 35, wherein the subject's protein to creatinine ratio is less than 3 and wherein the subject's serum creatinine level is either less than 1.3 mg/dL or did not increase by more than 10% relative to baseline.
39. The method of claim 35, wherein the subject's protein to creatinine ratio is less than 0.5 and wherein the subject's serum creatinine level is either less than 1.3 mg/dL or decreased by at least 25% relative to baseline.
40. The method of anyone of claims 19-39, wherein the period administration of laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable

salt thereof and mycophenolate mofetil eliminates urinary sediments.

41. The method of any one of claims 19-40, wherein the periodic administration of laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof and mycophenolate mofetil improves the subject's BILAG index.
42. The method of any one of claims 19-41, wherein each of the amount of laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt when taken alone, and the amount of mycophenolate mofetil when taken alone is effective to treat the subject.
43. The method of any one of claims 19-41, wherein either the amount of laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt when taken alone, the amount of mycophenolate mofetil when taken alone, or each such amount when taken alone is not effective to treat the subject.
44. The method of any one of claims 19-43, wherein the subject is receiving mycophenolate mofetil therapy prior to initiating laquinimod therapy.
45. The method of any one of claims 1-44, wherein the subject is human.
46. Laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof for use in treating a subject afflicted with active lupus nephritis.
47. Laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof for use in combination with mycophenolate mofetil for treating a subject afflicted with active lupus nephritis.
48. A pharmaceutical composition comprising an amount of laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof for use in treating a subject afflicted with active lupus nephritis.
49. A pharmaceutical composition comprising an amount of laquinimod or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof and an amount of mycophenolate mofetil for use in treating a subject afflicted with active lupus nephritis.