Production Method

The present invention provides a method for producing a cell line which is capable of secreting a therapeutic protein. The method comprises the use of codon adapted gene sequences which results both in reduced protocol timelines and a decrease in the concentrations of antifolate required when generating eg. antibody producing cell lines via a selection and amplification system.

Mammalian cells such as CHO (Chinese Hamster Ovarian cells), NS0 and PerC6 cells are routinely employed within the biopharmaceutical industry to manufacture biopharmaceuticals. These cells are genetically engineered and then selected in such a way as to ensure that high titre expression of the desired protein is observed when the resulting cell lines are cultured in bioreactors.

Currently there are a number of methods to engineer and then select the best cells for this purpose. Often these methods involve 'amplification' to increase copy number of the integrated expression vector or vectors to improve yields observed of the desired protein. These 'amplification' methods are well described previously by Bebbington and Hentschel (DNA Cloning Volume III (IRL press, 1987)). The authors explain that a number of selectable markers (which are often in the form of nucleic acid sequences encoding enzymes involved in metabolism and essential for the host cells survival under certain culture media conditions) can be operatively linked to expression vectors designed to express the desired protein such that upon selection for the selectable marker, one also selects for expression of the desired protein. However because after such selection, the resulting titres of the desired protein are typically not sufficiently high, the selected cells are also subjected to 'amplification' regimes. These regimes normally involve subjecting the cells to certain toxic drugs that inhibit the selectable marker. Through such inhibition, populations of cells will be selected that have increased expression levels of this marker. Often this leads to increased expression levels of the operatively linked expression cassettes as well. Such increased expression or 'amplification' normally occurs due to genomic re-arrangements resulting in increased copy number of selectable marker and operatively linked expression cassettes. Often through such 'co-amplification', titres are sufficiently improved to employ the resulting best clones to produce suitably high levels of the desired protein or proteins. When the vector copy number in individual cells subjected to amplification regimes have been further investigated, it has been observed that up until a 'plateau' of protein production is approached, the levels of

production observed are typically proportionate to the increase in gene copy number (Bebbington and Hentshcel ibid).

Many different selectable markers suitable for amplification and so termed amplifiable selection markers have been identified to date. Each identified also has an associated 'selection and amplification' agent added to the cell culture media during selection and amplification regimes. Examples of such selectable marker/agent combinations include: adenosine deaminase / deoxycoformycin, aspartate transcarbamylase/ N (phosphoacetyl)-L-aspartate, dihydrofolate reductase / methotrexate, glutamine synthetase / methionine sulphoximine, metallthionein-I / heavy metal, multi-drug resistance / adriamycin (see Bebbington and Hentschel ibid, Kellems 1991; Current Opinion in Biotechnology 2: pp723-729). Additionally, it is more recently reported that antibiotic selection markers such as those conferring resistance to neomycin/G418 and zeocin can also be sometimes employed to increase copy number and so on occasion have been used as selection and amplification markers when combined with the appropriate cognate selection and amplification (antibiotic-based) selectable agent (e.g. Sauttle and Enenkel: Biotech Bioeng 2004 89 pp530-538, and Kwaks et al: Nature Biotech 2003; 21; pp553-558)

Whilst there are a number of methods to select the best genetically engineered cells for this purpose, the two most commonly used selection pressures are the glutamine synthetase (GS) and dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) based selection methods.

The GS method involves operatively linking a glutamine synthetase expression cassette to that of the therapeutic protein expression cassette or cassettes. The subsequent operatively linked vectors are delivered to cells and vector chromosomal integration is selected for by depletion or withdrawal of glutamine from the media in which the cells are cultured. Addition of the glutamine synthetase inhibitors such as methionine sulfoximine (MSX) is often added to the culture media in order to ensure glutamine synthetase activity above and beyond that of endogenous host cell levels is selected for. The alternative DHFR selection method involves operatively linking a DHFR selection pressure to that of the therapeutic protein expression cassette or cassettes. The operatively linked vectors are delivered to cells and vector chromosomal integration selected for by withdrawal or depletion of nucleosides (e.g.hypoxanthine and thymidine). Typically for the DHFR method, it is commonplace to employ DHFR-negative host strains such as CHO DG44 or CHO DUX-B11. It is

also commonplace to employ selection and amplification agents such as methotrexate (MTX).

The addition or stepwise titration of increasing amounts of the MSX or MTX selection and amplification agents in the respective GS and DHFR selection systems is often undertaken in order to augment expression by increasing gene copy number. Such methods can involve the addition of the selection and amplification agent to the cell culture directly. Alternatively the agent can be added to the growth media prior to the media being used in such cell culture. This addition or titration of such agents direct to either cell cultures, or media then used for cell culture is typically termed 'amplification'. For example in the GS system, MSX levels can be added or increased up to and beyond 500 μM whilst for the DHFR system, MTX antifolate levels can be added or increased up to and beyond 1 μM concentration levels. By use of such agents in this way followed by a culture period to allow the selection of cells that grow in the new concentration of selection agent, (each concentration step being termed a "round" of amplification), it has been shown that the area of the genome harbouring the selection pressure can also amplify thereby increasing the copy number of the selectable marker. Consequently when the selectable marker is operatively linked to the therapeutic protein expression cassettes, these cassettes may also amplify. By the use of appropriate selection and amplification agents when using the GS and DHFR selection system, yields of desired proteins can be significantly improved up until a 'production plateau' is approached (see Bebbington and Hentschel (ibid)). As a consequence, the clones that grow through such selection and amplification are then screened on titre/yield and the best clones are selected and further evaluated. From such titration and screening it is typical to identify and then commit to one clone for subsequent production of the desired protein or proteins.

Typically both the number of 'rounds' of amplification and the concentration of selection and amplification agent employed are not set or fixed in selection and amplification protocols. Instead it is typical for selection and amplification regimes to become progressively stringent up to a point in which a production threshold or plateau is approached. Specifically, when expressing antibodies, we and others have observed that clones approaching this plateau produce final titres in current extended unfed batch culture models and production bioreactors in the range of 0.3g to 1.5g per litre. This typically translates into cell productivities (Qp) in the range of 10-100 pg/cell/per day during such unfed batch culture conditions. However it is well known that whilst Qp (in pg/cell/per day terms) is important, it is not an exclusive

determinant of productivity as clones with the highest Qp do not always give rise to the highest volumetric titres. For a recent review see Wurm 2004; Nature Biotechnology Vol 22; pp1393-1398.

Selection and amplification methods have been employed successfully to generate cell lines used in manufacturing campaigns to make desired proteins used in clinical trials. However whilst the titres generated by selection and amplification methodology are sufficient, such methods are still undesirable for a number of reasons including time, cost and safety. For example, the titration of amplification and selection agents in cell line 'amplification' protocols delays clone selection and colony outgrowth, each round of amplification taking a month or more to complete. Second, selection and amplification agents like methotrexate and methionine sulfoximine are toxic chemicals which must be removed if it is to be used therapeutically. Third, selection and amplification agent resistance can occur in mammalian cells which can result in less stringent selection pressure and result in clonal and product yield instability. Fourth, amplification can occasionally occur episomally. Such episomes and any operatively linked functional expression cassettes are not always inherited equally during cell division leading to increased variation and instability in culture. Fifth, the genome rearrangements generated during amplification protocols can result in significant changes to the host cell genome leading to variable phenotypes in resulting clones. Sixth, selection and amplification agent by-products, such as polyglutamated methotrexate can inhibit additional functions of the cells (e.g. Allegra et al 1985 J Biological Chem 260;17 pp9720-9726). Seventh, many of these selection and amplification agents are also potentially toxic to the operators involved with culturing cells and running the bioreactors if they are exposed to high levels. Eighth, it has also been observed that increasing the copy number of integrated expression vectors in mammalian host cells can result in increased repeat-induced-gene-silencing (RIGS) activity by the host cell which can ultimately result in a reduction in expression levels from each of the integrated expression vectors (eg see McBurney MW et al Exp Cell Res 2002 274:1-8).

Consequently, it would be highly desirable to employ the selection and amplification methodology with reduced levels of selection and amplification agent in a reduced number of rounds of amplification whilst still achieving the same final yield of the therapeutic protein, such that the time taken to generate the final cell line is faster and/or the level of the undesirable toxic agent needed to generate the final line is

reduced or entirely excluded during cell line generation, selection and culture (M. Celina de la Cruz Edmonds et al (Mol Biotechnology 2006 34:179-190).

With 64 triple base-pair codon combinations but only 20 amino acids, it has been known for many decades that there is redundancy in the genetic code. However, the use of codon bias to augment expression was not realised until the 1980s. For example, in 1982 Bennetzen and Hall (J Biol Chem 257 pp3026-3031) observed species specific codon bias in strongly expressed genes of both prokaryotes and eukaryotes. They also noted that this bias was taxonomically divergent. As a consequence it was soon realised that one could modify the codon usage of open reading frames such to increase expression in recombinant expression systems. For example Kotula and Curtis (Biotechnolgy NY (1991) 9: 1386-9)) achieved significantly improved expression of a mammalian antibody light chain in yeast by codon adaptation of the open reading frame such to bias the codon usage towards those codons preferred by highly expressed endogenous yeast genes. Another very notable example was the codon adaptation of the green fluorescent protein to improve expression in mammalian cells (Zolotukhin S J Virol (1996) 70: 4646-54 and Yang et al Nucleic Acids Res 1996 24:4592-3).

Recent data suggest that by raising the codon adaptation index (CAI) score of open reading frames encoding antibody heavy and light chains, one can marginally improve production yields in mammalian host cells when the resulting adapted expression cassettes are operatively linked to the glutamine synthetase selectable markers and when the cells are incubated with the MSX selection and amplification agent. This data (presented at the IBC 2005 Cell Line Development and Engineering Conference), suggested that whilst mean expression levels were not significantly improved, the median positive clone in a group did increase marginally (from 37.8 µg/ml to 51.3 µg/ml) but only when both the heavy and light chain open reading frames were codon adapted. More recently M. Celina de la Cruz Edmonds et al (ibid), also recognised the desire to reduce the levels of selection and amplification agent when generating engineered cell lines expressing desirable proteins with aid of selection and amplification regimes. They demonstrated that through modification of the seeding density of transfected cells, one can reduce the levels of MSX employed, and reduce the number of weeks required to generate and maintain genetically engineered cell lines expressing equivalent or greater levels of the desired protein.

Recent published work has investigated the approaches of codon optimisation combined with the use of the glutamine synthetase selectable marker. For example the work presented by Kawley et al (Molecular Biotechnology 2006 Vol 34; pp151-156), evaluates the impact of codon adaptation on the subsequent expression levels generated however, the results reported suggest only minor improvements in expression levels achieved.

Even more recently Carton et al (Protein Expression and Purification 55 (2007) pp279-286) also investigated the impact of codon optimisation. Their work involved part codon optimisation of heavy and light chain antibodies open reading frames by various approaches. These modified coding sequences were then expressed in myeloma cells as mini-gene formats (ie containing introns) in expression cassettes operatively linked to the gpt selectable marker. No amplification approaches were discussed.

There is a need in the art to reduce the levels of selection and amplification agents required when employing expression systems operatively linked to amplifiable selection markers.

Statement of invention

The present invention provides methods of reducing the levels of a titratable selectable pressure required, the number of amplification cycles, and the time taken to generate protein expressing cell lines by altering the codons of the desired open-reading-frames. Through the use of codon adaptation for this purpose the methods of the invention consistently provide sufficient yields in faster time frames saving many weeks in cell line development activities. Furthermore the methods of the invention also generate cell lines with lower concentrations of selection and amplification agent than previously achievable. Accordingly lower levels of selection and amplification marker in the final cells lines are observed.

The present invention provides methods to produce a cell line producing a therapeutic protein comprising the steps of:
a) obtaining a first polynucleotide sequence that encodes said therapeutic protein,
b) altering the first polynucleotide sequence to obtain a second polynucleotide sequence, wherein the codon adaptation index of the second polynucleotide

sequence is greater than that of the first polynucleotide sequence and the first polynucleotide and second polynucleotide encode the same therapeutic protein.

c) transforming at least one cell with the second polynucleotide sequence of step (b) and a third polynucleotide sequence that encodes a selection marker which is capable of providing amplification of the second polynucleotide sequence within said cell,

d) growing said at least one cell of step (c) to create a first cell line comprising a plurality of cells, in medium that contains a concentration of a selection agent that inhibits the growth of cells in said cell line which express insufficient levels of the selection marker encoded by the third polynucleotide of step (c), such that the plateau of production of the protein encoded by the second polynucleotide is reached with fewer rounds of amplification and/or is reached at a lower concentration of selection agent than would be necessary to reach an equivalent plateau of production of said protein produced in a cell line transformed with the first polynucleotide.

In all the comparative methods as herein described unless stated otherwise all other parameters such as amplification protocols or concentrations of selection agents remain constant.

In one embodiment of the present invention the first cell line is cultured in bioreactors and the therapeutic protein produced is purified.

In one embodiment of the present invention the codon adaptation index of the second polynucleotide sequence is greater than 0.9, in a further embodiment the codon adaptation index of the second polynucleotide sequence is greater than 0.91, in yet a further embodiment the codon adaptation index of the second polynucleotide sequence is greater than 0.92, in yet a further embodiment the codon adaptation index of the second polynucleotide sequence is greater than 0.95.

In another embodiment of the present invention the level of selective agent required to achieve the arithmetic equivalency of therapeutic protein production yield is reduced to less than 50% when compared to the amount of selective agent used for the same method using the first polynucleotide sequence. In a further embodiment the level of selective agent is reduced to less than 25% when compared to the amount of selective agent used for the same method using the first polynucleotide sequence, in yet a further embodiment the level of selective agent is reduced to less

than 5% when compared to the amount of selective agent used for the same method using the first polynucleotide sequence in yet a further embodiment the level of selective agent is reduced to less than 3% when compared to the amount of selective agent used for the same method using the first polynucleotide sequence.

In one embodiment of the present invention there is provided a method to produce a cell line producing a therapeutic protein comprising the steps of:
a) obtaining a first polynucleotide sequence that encodes a therapeutic protein and which possesses a codon adaptation index score of less than 0.9.
b) obtaining a second polynucleotide sequence that encodes a therapeutic protein wherein the codon adaptation index of the polynucleotide sequence is greater than 0.9.
c) transforming a cell line with the second polynucleotide sequence that encodes the therapeutic protein and a third polynucleotide sequence that encodes a selection marker which is capable of providing amplification of the second polynucleotide,
d) growing said at least one cell of step (c) to create a first cell line comprising a plurality of cells, in medium that contains a concentration of a selection agent that inhibits the growth of cells in said cell line which express insufficient levels of the selection marker encoded by the third polynucleotide of step (c), such that the plateau of production of the protein encoded by the second polynucleotide is reached with fewer rounds of amplification and/or is reached at a lower concentration of selection agent than would be necessary to reach an equivalent plateau of production of said protein produced in a cell line transformed with the first polynucleotide.

In one embodiment of the present invention the cell line to be transformed is metabolically deficient due to disruption or inhibition of an endogenous cellular enzyme.
In a further embodiment of the present invention the cell line to be transformed is deficient in a nucleoside synthesis pathway.

In one embodiment of the present invention the therapeutic protein is an antibody, a derivative thereof or an antigen binding fragment.

In one embodiment of the present invention the therapeutic protein is a monoclonal antibody.

In one embodiment of the present invention the selection marker is a polynucleotide encoding Dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) and the selection agent is an antifolate. In a further embodiment the antifolate is methotrexate.

In another embodiment of the present invention the selection marker is a polynucleotide encoding Glutamine synthetase and the selection agent is methionine sulfoximine.

In one embodiment of the present invention only one round of amplification is required to achieve a plateau of protein production.

In one embodiment of the present invention the final yield of therapeutic protein is greater than 0.3g/L in an unfed batch, in a further embodiment the final yield is greater than 0.5g/L in an unfed batch, in yet a further embodiment the final yield is greater than 0.8g/L in an unfed batch.

In another embodiment of the present invention the concentration of MTX used is less than 50nM or less than 25nM or less than 10nM. In a further embodiment of the present invention the concentration of MTX used is 5nM

In another embodiment of the invention, only one amplification step, and so only one concentration of the selection and amplification agent, is required in the cell culture medium to achieve a plateau of protein production in the cells that are selected in said culture medium.

In one embodiment of the present invention there is provided an antibody produced by the method of the invention. In a further embodiment there is provided an antibody produced by this method wherein the antibody produced comprises at least one heavy chain and which has less than or equal to 5% of non-glycosylated heavy chain. In a further embodiment the antibody's heavy chain is 95% glycosylated, or is 96% glycosylated, or is 97% glycosylated, or is 98% glycosylated, or is 99% glycosylated. In yet a further embodiment the antibody is 100% glycosylated. In one embodiment of the present invention the highly glycosylated antibody is a monoclonal antibody. In a further embodiment the highly glycosylated antibody is an anti-ß-amyloid antibody. In yet a further embodiment the antibody has a heavy chain sequence of SEQ ID 18 and a light chain sequence of SEQ ID NO.19.

In another embodiment of the present invention there is provided an antigen binding fragment according to the invention described herein wherein the fragment is a Fab, Fab', $F(ab')_2$, Fv, bispecific, diabody, triabody, tetrabody, miniantibody, minibody, isolated variable heavy chain region or isolated variable light chain region, serum derived proteins (e.g. growth factors, cytokines, albumins etc) or combinatorial fusion thereof.

In another embodiment of the invention there is provided a stably transformed host cell comprising a vector comprising one or more expression cassettes encoding a heavy chain and/or a light chain of the antibody or antigen binding fragment thereof as described herein. For example such host cells may comprise a first vector encoding the light chain and a second vector encoding the heavy chain. Alternatively such expression cassettes can be combined prior to delivery.

In another embodiment of the present invention there is provided a host cell according to the invention described herein wherein the cell is eukaryotic, for example where the cell is mammalian. Examples of such cell lines include Chinese Hamster Ovary, BHK, HEK-293, NS0 or PerC6. (for recent review see Wurm 2004: Nature Biotechnology 22;11 pp 1393-1398). Such host cells may also contain advantageous genotypic and / or phenotypic modifications e.g. the CHO-DG44 host strain has copies of its dhfr gene disabled whilst other hosts might have the glutamine synthetase genes disabled. Alternative modifications may be to the enzyme machinery involved in protein glycosylation (e.g., Yamane-Ohnuki et al, Biotech Bioeng 2004 87: pp 614-622, Kanda et al, Journal of Biotechnology, 2007 130: pp 300-310, Imai-Nishiya et al BMC Biotechnol, 2007 7:84). Yet others may have advantageous genotypic and/or phenotypic modifications to host apoptosis, expression and survival pathways (e.g. Tey et al Biotechnol Bioeng 2000 68: 31-43, Yallop et al Modern Biopharmaceuticals 2005 Chapter 3 pp779-807, Nivitchanyang et al Biotechnol Bioeng 2007 98:825-41, Figueroa et al Biotechnol Bioeng 2007 97:87-92). These and other modifications of the host alone or in combination, can be generated by standard techniques such as over-expression of non-host or host genes, gene knock-out approaches, gene silencing approaches (eg siRNA), or evolution and selection of sub-strains with desired phenotypes. Such techniques are well established in the art.

In another embodiment of the present invention there is provided a method for the production of a therapeutic protein according to the invention described herein which

method comprises the step of culturing a host cell in a culture media, for example serum- free culture media.

In another embodiment of the present invention there is provided a method according to the invention described herein wherein said therapeutic protein is further purified to at least 95% or greater (e.g. 98% or greater) with respect to said antibody containing serum- free culture media.

In one embodiment of the invention there is provided mammalian expression vectors containing open reading frames that possess CAI scores above 0.9 and which encode antibodies, antibody related polypeptides, or derivatives or fusions thereof.

In another embodiment there is provided a first cell line transformed with a second polynucleotide sequence having a codon adaptation index that is greater than a first polynucleotide sequence wherein the first polynucleotide and second polynucleotide encode the same therapeutic protein and further comprising a third polynucleotide sequence that encodes a selection marker which is capable of providing amplification of the first polynucleotide sequence, wherein said first cell line produces a higher yield of said therapeutic protein compared with a second said cell line transformed with said first polynucleotide encoding said therapeutic protein when grown in selectable medium.

In a further embodiment there is provided a second cell line transformed with a second polynucleotide sequence that encodes a therapeutic protein and has a codon adaptation index that is greater than 0.9 and further comprising a third polynucleotide sequence that encodes a selection marker which is capable of providing amplification of a second polynucleotide sequence, wherein said second cell line produces a higher yield of said therapeutic protein compared with a first said cell line transformed with a first polynucleotide encoding said therapeutic protein wherein said first polynucleotide has a codon adaptation index that is less than 0.9 when grown in selectable medium.
In one embodiment of the present invention the CAI score of above 0.9 is calculated using the EMBOSS CAI scoring metric as described in Table 6.

In another embodiment of the present invention there is provided a cell line comprising a vector or an expression cassette according to the previous embodiments as described herein.

In yet a further embodiment there is provided a cell line or its progeny obtainable by the methods of the present invention.

In another embodiment of the present invention there is provided mammalian cells with genomes containing integrated or episomally maintained open reading frames that possess CAI scores above 0.9 (derived using the EMBOSS codon usage table E.human.cut) which encode for antibodies, antibody related polypeptides or derivatives thereof.

Throughout the present specification and the accompanying claims the term "comprising" and "comprises" incorporates "consisting of" and "consists of". That is, "comprising" and "comprises" are intended to convey the possible inclusion of other elements or integers not specifically recited, where the context allows.

Throughout the present specification and the accompanying claims the term "plateau of production" means the level of expression approached in extended unfed batch cultures whereby additional rounds of amplification typically produce less than a 2-fold increase relative to the parental amplified clone. When clones are engineered specifically to produce antibodies, clones producing between 0.3g to 1.5 g per litre in standard extended unfed production cultures can typically be considered as approaching this plateau of production when using current unfed extended culture regimes and media recipes.

Single-cell sub-cloning of final clones approaching a production plateau can be undertaken by many standard methods including flow sorting (e.g. depositing a cell per well in a 96-well plate), soft-agar colony picking, or limiting dilution cloning. To ensure single-cell outgrowth in recipient wells, sometimes conditioned media or temporary feeder cultures should also be employed to support growth of the deposited otherwise lone cell. If live feeder co-cultures are required, then these can readily comprise parental host cells without integrated selectable vectors as such host cells can then be selected against once the deposited single cell clone begins dividing healthily.

The term open reading frame (ORF) as used throughout this specification refers to the nucleic acid coding sequence encoding a desired polypeptide chain or chains. The codons contained within such ORF coding sequences can be contiguous or

alternatively they can contain introns. When included, such introns or intervening sequences are then typically removed via splicing reactions in the host cell prior to formation of the final, contiguous open reading frame in the mature mRNA.

The "yield" as used throughout this specification refers to the concentration of a product (e.g., heterologously expressed polypeptide) in solution (e.g., culture broth or cell-lysis mixture or buffer) and it is usually expressed as mg/L or g/L. An increase in yield may refer to an absolute or relative increase in the concentration of a product produced under two defined set of conditions.

The term operatively linked refers to the use of selectable and amplification markers employed to select host cells containing expression cassettes expressing desired protein products. This can be achieved by cloning the selectable and amplification marker into the same plasmid or vector as that containing the expression cassette expressing the desired protein or alternatively can be delivered to the cell on a separate plasmid or vector.

Brief Description of Figures

Figure 1: A schematic representation of the RSV promoter based vectors used in project 2,3,4,5, 6 (a), 6 (b) and 7 (a). For the EF-1 alpha promoter based evaluations (of project 6 and 7) the RSV promoter was replaced with a human EF-1 alpha derived promoter plus first intron (see Kim DW Gene 1990 91: 217-23). This was obtained via PCR from human genomic DNA. This EF-1 alpha promoter was then cloned in these vectors in place of the RSV based promoter

Figure 2: Non-adapted heavy chain of project 5 with a CAI score of 0.809 and employed in project 5 (a) SEQ ID NO 10

Figure 3: Non-adapted light chain of project 5 with a CAI score of 0.761 and employed in project 5 (a) SEQ ID NO 11

Figure 4: Heavy Chain ORF of project 5 (b) with increased CAI score (0.847). See Table 5. See SEQ ID 12

Figure 5: Light Chain ORF of project 5 (b) with increased CAI score (0.833). See Table 5. See SEQ ID 13

Figure 6: Heavy Chain ORF of project 5 with increased CAI score (0.872). This sequence was employed in antibody project 5 (c). See SEQ ID NO 14.

Figure 7: Light Chain ORF of project 5 with increased CAI score (0.894). This sequence was employed in antibody project 5 (c). See SEQ ID NO 15.

Figure 8: Heavy Chain ORF of project 5 with increased CAI score (0.982). This sequence was employed in antibody project 5 (d). See also SEQ ID 16.

Figure 9: Light Chain ORF of project 5 with increased CAI score (0.976). This sequence was employed in antibody project 5 (d). See also SEQ ID 17

Figure 10: Heavy-chain and light-chain RNA and protein levels for antibody project 5.

Figure 11: Codon Adaptation Methodology in Detail

Figure 12: Example Product NGHC data obtained during project 5 final clone selection.

Figure 13: Example titre generated from O97-7 (project 5 (d), 5nM MTX chosen clone CAI HC 0.982, LC 0.976) with approximately 3-months additional development work after final cell-line amplification and selection.

Figure 14: Relative levels of DHFR gene copy and protein and Neo gene copy observed in engineered cells in various projects.

Detailed Description

The codon usage frequency of the genes encoding the therapeutic polypeptides produced according to the present invention is measured and defined by codon adaptation index (CAI).

Codon adaptation is the adaptation of the codons of an open reading frame to the synonymous codons preferred in human / mammalian genes whilst avoiding the introduction of unwanted secondary sequence functions that impede expression of the resulting open reading frames. We have observed that preferred human codons are also very suitable even when subsequent expression is planned in non-human mammalian cells (e.g. hamster derived cells). However, if the most preferred codon for any given amino acid differs in a given mammalian species then this can also be employed instead of the human preference. The "CAI score" generated for each open reading frame highlights the degree the open reading frame is adapted to the use of synonymous codons most preferred by human / mammalian genes.
Within the context of the present invention, a CAI score of 1 means that the most optimal codon is used for each amino acid in each codon position. For optimal results in the methods of the present invention the genes encoding the therapeutic protein have a CAI which is sufficiently close to 1 such that the desired level of expression of the therapeutic protein is achieved with significantly less selection and amplification agent and / or in a faster time relative to that observed when expressing the naturally occurring starting sequence, for example, the CAI is at least 0.9, or at least 0.95 or at least 0.975.

It is however not necessary to replace all codons with most preferred codons, or replace all least preferred with more preferred codons. The only requirement is that the resulting sequence possesses an unnaturally high CAI score and does not contain expression disrupting elements. Commercially available software such as Leto 1.0 (Entelechon, Regensburg, Germany) can design a sequence of suitably high CAI score. To further help guide in designing codon adapted sequences for use in this invention, 24044 RefSEQ database human transcript products have been analysed (NM_prefixed accession numbers) derived from NCBI genome build number 36. The CAI score range was calculated and was from 0.593 to 0.894 with an average score of 0.720. The highest score (0.894) for a known and expressed gene (rather than theoretical) in this database was generated by the keratin associated protein 5-8 (KRTAP5-8;NM_021046) and by the late cornified envelope

1A (LCE1A; NM_178348). Additionally a database of 21182 human IgG cDNA's revealed that IgG scores range from 0.576 to 0.878 with an average of 0.766. To help guide those skilled in the art, sequences suitable for use in the invention could possess CAI scores above and beyond that of naturally occurring highest human genes such as the late cornified envelope 1A (LCE1A; NM_178348). More preferably CAI scores above 0.9 should be employed.

It was observed that if codon adaptation was carried out across a shorter sequence (for example just the variable region) then an increased level of high producing clones is observed however, when codon adaptation is carried out across the entire open reading frame then the breadth (i.e. number) of high producing clones generated is increased still further (see Table 5).

Due to the sequence of preferred codons, typical adaptation approaches will normally by default also avoid introducing high-scoring ARE (AU Rich Elements see Akashi et al Blood 1994; Vol 83 pp 3182-3187)) RNA instability sequences. However occasionally after codon adaptation there is a requirement to remove expression disrupting sequence elements accidentally introduced. These include but are not limited to:

(i) Functioning splice sites,

(ii) Areas of dyad symmetry (e.g. direct, inverted or palindromic sequences) that noticeably reduce expression levels and / or increase recombination rates between the sequences.

(iii) Functioning instability sequences.

On the rare occasions when such unwanted disruptive elements are created during adaptation it is recommended a less preferred but not least preferred human codon (unless choice is limited) be employed to disrupt the local sequence to inactivate function. Small deviations from maximal scores will not significantly impact use of the resulting open reading frames in this invention.

It is also recognised that if small areas of an open reading frame remain non-adapted (e.g. to retain useful restriction sites) then this will not significantly impact overall CAI score.

If the open reading frame encodes for a fusion, hybrid or chimeric protein it is encouraged that the CAI score is increased in a same manner as described above. Again this adaptation towards synonymous codons preferred by the host cell for the

expression of highly expressed endogenous genes should be undertaken for each and every component of gene or cognate cDNA of the fused or engineered open reading frames. For purely synthetic coding sequences present in a protein (i.e. sequence for which no prior sequence exists) it is advised to introduce unnaturally high human gene CAI scores in precisely the same manner. Open reading frames with CAI scores of above and beyond the late cornified envelope 1A human gene should be employed in this invention.

The present invention herein described is the first to describe a method of reducing the levels of a selectable and amplification agent required, the time required, and the number of amplification cycles required in order to generate genetically engineered cell lines expressing desired levels of protein by codon adaptation of the open-reading-frames encoding the protein. Through the use of codon adaptation for this purpose sufficient yields are being observed in faster time frames and so saving many weeks in cell line development activities. Furthermore we are also generating equivalent or improved cell lines by using lower concentrations of selection and amplification agent than we have ever previously achieved. Indeed it is likely that when such improvements as described herein are combined with standard cell culture and seeding protocol improvements as those described by Celina de la Cruz Edmonds et al (ibid), further reductions in the levels of selection and amplification agents, and further reduction in the time needed to generate equivalent or improved yields from genetically engineered cell lines, will be observed.

The present invention is suitable for use when the therapeutic protein is a glycoprotein. Whilst previous work discloses the fact that one can control protein product glycosylation by modification of process duration, temperature, pH, osmolarity and media constituents and additives etc (e.g. see WO2002076578 and references therein), we have found that codon adaptation of open reading frames encoding therapeutic polypeptide sequences (in the case of antibody therapeutic polypeptides) is able to decrease levels of incomplete glycosylation and levels of reduced site occupancy independently of CHO cell sub-type, selection and amplification regimes or media culture conditions.
This surprising observation is the first to demonstrate that one can impact protein glycosylation profile via open reading frame codon adaptation. By employing the codon-adaptation approaches as described herein, a robust manufacturing process can thus be ensured which depends on the sequence of the gene rather than the conditions that the host cell is grown in. In turn this allows for greater opportunity to

improve culture conditions and feed regimes through traditional media and feed development iterations without excessive concern over the resulting impact on the product glycoprofile.

The degree of codon adaptation can be measured using the method first described by Sharp and Li (Nucleic Acid Res 1987 15:1281-95). Sharp and Li proposed the Codon Adaptation Index (CAI) score which is essentially derived from the codon preference statistics, but normalized for each amino acid so as to exclude the effects of variation in amino acid composition between different genes. This CAI metric is readily available (e.g. via EMBOSS The European Molecular Biology Open Software Suite (see Rice et al 2000: Trends in Genetics 16; pp276-277)).

In order to score open reading frames intended for use in this invention, one must first use the appropriate reference database. First one should consider the cell host to be used then one should identify a reference table of relative synonymous codon usage (RSCU) for expressed genes in said cell host. Typically human RSCU databases are suitable for reference when expressing resulting open reading frame in any mammalian cell type. One example of a database is that provided by EMBOSS which uses as a reference the Ehum.cut codon usage table to determine codon usage preferences in human cells. An alternative reference codon usage table is that described by Massaer et al (ibid) in which a smaller number of highly expressed human genes are employed to determine codon preference. Whilst these two reference tables broadly agree on the most preferred codon, there is one notable divergence for one amino acid (arginine). Therefore when designing open reading frames for use in this invention it is logical to cross reference the same codon usage tables to (i) determine the most preferred codons to include in the open reading frame and then (ii) CAI score the open reading frame subsequently generated to ensure the score is sufficiently high to be suitable for use in this invention. For example if the Massaer et al database is employed regularly to design open reading frames for expression in human and mammalian cells and therefore CGC codon is considered most preferred to encode arginine then it is logical to also use this preference reference data when determining the CAI score of the resulting open reading frames generated.

The methodology as described herein is particularly suitable when expressing antibodies or derivatives thereof and is particularly effective when combined with expression cassettes driven by promoter and expression elements derived from the EF-1 alpha gene. Expression cassettes driven by other promoter and expression

elements (e.g. derived from the RSV LTR) are also suitable. It is well known in the art that expression cassette elements (for example promoters, enhancers, matrix attachment regions (MARS), insulators, untranslated regions, intervening sequences such as introns and polyadenylations sites) can be combined in many different combinations to create suitable expression cassettes to drive expression of the desired open reading frames and to drive expression of the selection and amplification markers employed in this invention.

Once clones in any given cell line development protocol approach a production plateau in unfed extended batch production, it is observed that additional laboratory activities are best focused on methodologies such as (i) single cell cloning of the best clones, (ii) fed-batch process development, (iii) perfusion style process developments, (iv) bespoke media and feed recipes and regimes and (v) further culture adaptation. For example once a production threshold is reached for an individual clone, its derived single-cell sub-clones are normally more stable and high-yielding than amplified daughter clones generated by further selection and amplification regimes in yet more stringent levels of selection and amplification agent. Indeed increasing selection and amplification of final clones already approaching a threshold of production often leads to instability and after initial improvements, can often ultimately lead to similar or even lower titres in extended unfed production model batch cultures than the amplified parental clone. Therefore whilst it is recognised that on occasion a rare and fortuitous further amplification event may increase titres above 2-fold in some instances, once an expression threshold is approached, there are more reliable techniques that can instead be employed to increase stable titres still further.

The present invention is exemplified by and not limited by, the following examples.

Examples

In the past, DHFR selection methodology has been employed on more than fifteen antibody projects. In all cases when using this methodology at least two rounds of amplification and a minimum of 50nM MTX as a selection and maintenance pressure to generate cell lines with suitable yields has been necessary. Typical results generated over this period of time by this methodology are represented by antibodies 1, 2, 3 and 4 in Table 1. Antibody project 1 was carried out using standard methodologies available at the time. Antibody projects 2-9 were carried out according to the materials and methods below.

The impact of improving the codon adaptation index (CAI) of open reading frames was studied.

Antibody 5 was first chosen for investigation. This study involved expressing the antibody product from wild-type (i.e. non codon-adapted) heavy and light chain antibody open reading frames (recorded as antibody 5 (a)), heavy and light chain open reading frames with codon adaptation broadly of the variable domain coding sequences only (recorded as antibody 5 (b) or 5 (c)) or codon adaptation of the entire heavy and light chain open reading frames (recorded as antibody 5 (d)). The results of this study are presented in Tables 1-5.

Example 1, *Materials and Methods*

1.1 DNA Cloning and Vector Construction.

All DNA cloning was performed by established restriction enzyme based sub-cloning and PCR assembly methodologies (see Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual. Third Edition: Sambrook et al (CSH Laboratory Press)). Schematic representations of the expression and selection vectors are shown (see Figure 1). Vectors shown exemplify the RSV promoter however, different promoters were used according to table 1. In all other respects the vectors remained unchanged.

1.2 Codon Adaptation.

In projects where CAI adapted ORF sequences were investigated, these were generated using desired overlapping oligonucleotides combined with the aid of standard fusion polymerase chain reaction (PCR) prior to cloning and sequence confirmation; all by standard methodology (see Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory

Manual. Third Edition: Sambrook et al (CSH Laboratory Press) and Stemmer et al., Gene. 164(1):49-53, 1995). The sequences of the adapted regions of the ORFs of project 5 (b) and 5 (c) were designed using the Massaer Codon Usage preference for human / mammalian cells (see Figure 11).

For antibody project 5 (d), the codon adapted ORF sequences were designed and generated by contract service provider 1. The resulting ORFs possessed a CAI score of >0.9. The codon adapted sequences encoding the antibody variable domains for antibody project 6 were designed and generated by contract service provider 2. These variable domains were then combined with the codon adapted constant domains encoding sequences of project 5 (d) by standard sub-cloning with aid of unique cloning sites located between constant and variable regions (using SpeI for heavy chain, BsiWI for light chain) (projects 6 (b) and 6 (d)). The resulting ORFs encoding the full length antibody for project 6 (b) and 6 (d) each possessed a CAI score of >0.9. The entire codon adapted ORFs of antibody project 7 were designed and made by contract service provider 2 and the resulting ORFs possessed a CAI score of >0.9. The ORFs of projects 8 and 9 employed the Leto software algorithm to design the variable domain sequence. In-frame full-length open-reading-frames were then generated by combining these sequences with appropriate constant domain encoding sequences (again using the SpeI and BsiWI sites as above): For antibody 8, the sequences encoding the variable domains were fused with the respective constant domain encoding sequences from project 7. For antibody 9, the variable domain encoding sequences generated were fused with the respective constant domain encoding sequences from project 6 (d). Once more the resulting ORFs encoding the entire heavy and light chains for project 8 and 9 each possessed CAI scores >0.9.

In Figure 11(A). The light chain sequence encoding the CDR1 of antibody project 5 is shown as a representative sample sequence. The amino acid sequence of this CDR is shown. An example potential auuua instability AU rich element (ARE) is shown boxed and bold (see also Akashi et al Blood 83:pp3182-3187). The arginine codon is also highlighted. First, the increased codon adaptation method resulted in an increased CAI score across the ORF. This antibody was employed in project 5 (b). As shown this method included most preferred codons (e.g. for Tyr) but not on all occasions (e.g. Leu). Second, the maximal CAI score employed the most preferred codons according to Massaer et al. This sequence was employed in antibody project 5 (c). The final sequence provided, employed the most preferred codons according to

a larger database such as that available on the Codon Usage Database website. This antibody sequence was employed in project 5 (d). In Figure 11(B) The codon preference tables of highly expressed genes in humans adapted from Massaer et al. In Figure 11(C) The codon preference table for human genes adapted from The Codon Usage Database (www.kazusa.org.jp/codon) for Homo sapiens (comprising 89533 CD's (38691091 codons).

Note for both heavy and light chain ORFs, unique Hind III (5') and EcoR1 sites (3') were routinely employed to shuttle open reading frames into the expression vectors. All sequences were confirmed prior to use in transfection.
For example sequences see Figures 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9 which record the original and adapted open reading frame sequences of project 5. Note that in project 5 only 5 (d) was sufficiently codon adaptated on regions of the open reading frames for the resulting CAI score to go above 0.9.

For all CAI scores reported herein, the Ehum.cut codon usage table was used for reference (available via EMBOSS).

These scores are calculated using the Codon Adaptation Index application which employs the methodology first described by Sharp and Li (ibid). This application is part of the EMBOSS suite. Version 2.8.0 Ehum.cut codon usage files and the default parameter settings were used to determine the CAI scores of the sequences.

Table 6
Ehum.cut codon usage table derived from EMBOSS. Column A: Codon sequence; Column B: amino acid encoded; Column C: Proportion of usage of a given codon among its redundant set; Column D: Number of codons per 1000 codons; Column E: Number of times codon observed in data set used to derive the table.

(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
GCG	A	0.100	6.950	10994
GCA	A	0.220	15.370	24296
GCT	A	0.270	18.750	29645
GCC	A	0.410	28.340	44818
TGT	C	0.440	9.970	15764
TGC	C	0.560	12.630	19971

GAT	D	0.460	22.530	35629
GAC	D	0.540	26.940	42601
GAA	E	0.420	29.040	45923
GAG	E	0.580	40.670	64302
TTT	F	0.450	16.640	26304
TTC	F	0.550	20.620	32611
GGT	G	0.170	11.880	18792
GGG	G	0.240	16.520	26128
GGA	G	0.250	17.710	28000
GGC	G	0.340	23.940	37852
CAT	H	0.400	9.660	15276
CAC	H	0.600	14.350	22687
ATA	I	0.150	6.920	10941
ATT	I	0.350	16.280	25738
ATC	I	0.500	23.380	36976
AAA	K	0.410	24.120	38145
AAG	K	0.590	34.370	54344
CTA	L	0.070	6.320	9990
TTA	L	0.070	6.400	10123
TTG	L	0.120	11.520	18218
CTT	L	0.130	11.740	18564
CTC	L	0.200	18.690	29552
CTG	L	0.420	38.790	61342
ATG	M	1.000	22.230	35143
AAT	N	0.450	17.340	27422
AAC	N	0.550	21.190	33512
CCG	P	0.110	6.700	10588
CCA	P	0.280	16.810	26574
CCT	P	0.280	16.970	26837
CCC	P	0.330	19.900	31463
CAA	Q	0.260	11.930	18863
CAG	Q	0.740	33.220	52535
CGT	R	0.090	4.770	7535
CGA	R	0.110	6.040	9547

CGC	R	0.200	10.750	17002
AGG	R	0.200	10.780	17049
AGA	R	0.200	10.820	17104
CGG	R	0.200	10.830	17126
TCG	S	0.060	4.390	6942
TCA	S	0.140	11.070	17497
AGT	S	0.150	11.180	17681
TCT	S	0.180	14.120	22320
TCC	S	0.230	17.320	27389
AGC	S	0.250	18.890	29874
ACG	T	0.120	6.550	10364
ACT	T	0.240	13.250	20954
ACA	T	0.270	15.220	24071
ACC	T	0.370	20.980	33176
GTA	V	0.110	6.920	10939
GTT	V	0.170	10.880	17196
GTC	V	0.250	15.440	24415
GTG	V	0.470	29.080	45989
TGG	W	1.000	12.430	19658
TAT	Y	0.430	12.320	19479
TAC	Y	0.570	16.510	26110

1.3 Cell culture.

Suspension-adapted CHO DG44 cells were routinely passaged in animal-derived-component-free-media to which they had been previously adapted. This media consisted of a basal formulation containing amino acids, trace elements, vitamins, glucose, and yeast hydrolysate. This media was also supplemented with recombinant insulin, lipids and nucleosides. Sodium bicarbonate was added to media as a buffer. Many equivalent animal derived component free media recipes are known in the art. Initial selection for vector transformed cells was undertaken by nucleoside withdrawal (for DHFR selection) and G418 addition (for neomycin phosphotransferase selection). For titre ranking, the 96-well assay titres were prone to variation induced by cell growth, seeding numbers, media dispensing volumes, and evaporation

kinetics across a plate. As a consequence the titres generated in shake flasks production models were more indicative of cell line rank order in high-yielding, amplified cell lines. For such models all cells were seeded at the same initial density. In such models, viability and growth were also monitored.

1.4 DNA preparation before transfection

Equal amounts (15µg) of the heavy-chain and light-chain expression vector were linearised to completion (with Not I) in a 200µl volume eppendorf reaction and then ethanol / sodium acetate precipitated. The pellet was then washed in 70% ethanol, air dried and re-suspended in 50µl of molecular-biology grade water.

1.5 Preparation of CHO DG44 cells before transfection

1.2 x 10^7 cells (per transfection) of healthy growing cells were spun (1000 rpm for 2 – 10 minutes) in a 15 or 50 ml tube, washed in 15 ml of ice-cold PBS/sucrose, spun again and then re-suspended in 800 µl of ice-cold PBS sucrose. This cell suspension was then added to the previously prepared DNA and left on ice for 15-minutes before being transferred to a chilled electroporation cuvette.

1.6 Electroporation

The cuvette containing the prepared DNA and cells was electroporated in a Gene Pulser set to 25µF and 0.38kV and then returned to ice for 10 minutes. The cells were then removed and added to 240-mls of non-selective media and then plated in non-selective media in 40x 96-well dish at 2-5x10^3 cells per well (i.e. 50µL per well). The plates were then wrapped in foil and incubated at 37°C and 5% CO_2 for 48h.

1.7 Selection, amplification and clone identification

48-hours after electroporation, 150µL of selective media was added to each well. This selective media contains G418 and no nucleosides. Once a week thereafter, 140µl of media was carefully exchanged for fresh selective media without disturbing the settled cell layer and after 3-4 weeks, all growing clones (typically growth of 0.1 colony per well; i.e. growth in 10 wells per 96-well plate) were titred for antibody production. The top ranking clones (typically 20-100) identified were then scaled-up in the same selective media through 24-well dishes and up to 6-well dishes. These

clones were then plated at 1000 cells/ per well in a 96-well dish (96-wells per clone) and then selected on selective media also containing 5nM methotrexate in a volume of 200µl per well. After additional two to three weeks incubation, the best clones were again scaled up and then re-plated at 1000 cells per well but in 50nM MTX. These clones were also screened in 96-well plates after 2-3 weeks of growth and the best scaled up and then plated at 1000 cells per well in 96-well dishes but with 150 nM MTX. In order to evaluate final clones for production potential, the best clones at 150nM MTX were then scaled-up and evaluated in shake flask production models for titre and quality of the product generated. The best clone for project 5 (a) was a clone labelled 17-9-6-1. This generated 0.3g per litre end-titre in unfed production models.

NB. Levels of methotrexate and the number of rounds of amplification required in step 1.7 varied depending on the project and whether the sequences were codon adapted.

1.8 Titre analysis.

For media samples obtained from 96-well plates, antibody titre was determined by automated 96-well sandwich ELISA style methodology on an IGEN M-Series M8/384 analyser (Bioveris, MaryLand, USA) with manufacturer's recommendations and standard methodologies. The sandwich consisted of Streptavidin coated magnetic coated beads, Biotinylated-Protein A and Ruthenium labelled F(ab)2 fragments. The signal generated for the test sample was then compared to a serial dilution of the antibody reference standard. Whilst a highly sensitive assay, due to assay variation combined with cell growth variables at 96-well cultures, assay intermediate precision and reproducibility is relatively low for this assay for high-yielding, amplified cell lines. For media samples obtained during shake flask and bioreactor production modelling, antibody titre was measured with the aid of a nephelometric method where a light signal is scattered by the insoluble immune-precipitin in the reaction solution using a Beckman Coulter Image system (Buckinghamshire, England) and manufacturer's recommendations and standard methodologies. The signal generated for the test sample again being compared to a serial dilution of the antibody reference standard. All titres reported are approximate.

1.9 Bioreactor Shake Flask Models (extended unfed batch production models).

Typically cells were seeded in standard 250ml tissue-culture shake-flasks at 800,000 cells per ml with vented lids containing animal-derived-component-free media and to total volume of 120 mls. These flasks were then incubated with agitation in carbon dioxide enriched air and set temperatures to encourage and sustain cell growth. Various conditions were tested for each clone - for example at various temperature conditions. In the results reported herein the highest titre for each clone (across standard conditions) tested is exemplified. Typically the production model end point titres as reported herein were recorded at the point at which cell viability drops to approximately 50% as determined by trypan blue exclusion based assay on a Vi-Cell (Beckman) using standard Vi-Cell CHO parameter settings and manufacturer's recommended protocol. Typically this end-point titre is generated after 10-20 days incubation.

1.10 Bioreactor Culture Methodology.

Standard bioreactor culturing methodologies and equipment were employed at all times. Typically to generate a seed train, cells were scaled up into larger volumes and passaged twice a week on a repeated 3-day then 4-day regime. For the work shown in Figure 13, seed cells were then used to inoculate 3-litre Applikon bench top bioreactors (2-litre working volume) run under the following process conditions: Temperature 34°C, pH set point 6.95, DO set point 30%. As with Shake flask models, cultures were extended until cell viability dropped to approximately 50%. These bioreactors broadly mimic end-point titre of both shake-flask as well as larger bioreactors used to supply clinical trial material etc.

1.11 RT-QPCR analysis (for results, see Figure 10).

CHO RNA extractions and RT-QPCR reactions were undertaken by automated silica based extraction using the MagNA Pure and the RNA High Performance RNA Isolation kit and protocols (Roche). Following reverse transcription using random hexamers, the PCR reaction was undertaken using an ABI-7700 (Applied Biosystems) and analysed via the $\Delta\Delta$Ct relative quantitation algorithm using standard methodology. The reactions were multiplexed (18S+ Target gene [heavy chain /light chain]), 18S being the most abundant target was primer limited to prevent inhibition of the target reactions. Probes and flanking primer pairs employed for Q-PCR were used according to SEQ ID. NO's 1-9

Note that the heavy chain and light chain probes/primers above were not suitable for use with project 5 (d) due to increased ORF codon adaptation undertaken in this project hence their exclusion from Figure 10 (A).

1.12 Western Blot analysis (for results see Figure 10).

Standard methodology was employed and is described in detail elsewhere (e.g. see Sambrook et al IBID). In brief polyclonal equivalent cell extracts were made using whole cell lysis and protein extraction buffer. Equal amounts of each extract were then heat incubated with Laemmli loading buffer and then loaded and run on SDS-Page gels with tris-glycine running buffer to separate the protein fractions. Once separated, the proteins were then electro transfer blotted onto nitrocellulose membranes and then probed with a whole anti-human IgG (HRP conjugated). A signal was generated by incubation with an HRP substrate and recorded with X-ray film. An additional longer exposure was required to detect antibody light-chain product for project 5 (a).

1.13 Fluorescent methotrexate staining to determine DHFR levels in clones producing desired recombinant protein.

Each clone was cultured without methotrexate for 4-5 days prior to addition of 10μM Alexa-Fluor 488 – Methotrexate (Molecular Probes/Invitrogen, Paisley) for 18-22hrs at 37°C 5% CO_2 to 700,000 live cells. Stained cells were then harvested and washed with media and incubated at 37°C, 5% CO_2 for 30 mins. Harvested cells were washed again with media and then re-suspended in media, filtered and live/dead exclusion dye Propidium Iodide (Sigma, St Louis) was added before analyzing on BD FACS ARIA. Data shown in Figure 14 (A) are of gated live cells only.

1.14 qPCR analysis of genomic DNA for DHFR and Neo levels.

CHO genomic DNA extraction was performed using standard kits from Qiagen. Following DNA quantitation and normalisation using a spectrophotometer reading, the PCR reaction was undertaken using an ABI-7700 (Applied Biosystems) and analysed via the ΔCt relative quantitation algorithm using standard methodology. Probes and flanking primer pairs employed for Q-PCR were used according to SEQ ID. No's 20-25. results are shown in Figure 14 (B).

Example 2, *Expression of monoclonal antibody heavy and light chains in CHO cells*

Surprisingly whilst the high CAI scoring ORF's, but still of natural score (i.e scoring less than highest observed natural human ORF's such as late-cornified envelope a! LCE1A; NM 1783480) of project 5 (c) generated a higher top titre than the unnaturally high CAI scoring open reading frames of project 5 (d), the breadth (number) of high producers in 5 (d) were improved (see Table 5). The best clones of 5 (a), (c) and (d) were then amplified and evaluated further. For this further evaluation, the 5 (a) clones were progressed as the control and to represent the typical project titres observed prior to the results described herein. The results of this work generated a high producing, stable clone (titre and growth observed for 40 passages) from project 5 (d) in an unexpectedly fast time and with reduced levels of amplification. Indeed the levels of methotrexate required to generate the final cell line from 5 (d) was significantly lower (97% less methotrexate) relative to that required to generate equivalent cell lines expressing similar or lower levels of the same protein product from non codon-adapted open-reading-frames of project 5 (a) (see Table 1). Further detailed analysis was carried out - See Tables 2-4.

To investigate if the binding properties of the resulting recombinant proteins generated by modified CAI scored ORFs were impacted by codon adaptation, the binding characteristics were compared and analysed for the antibody of project 5 encoded by either ORFs of CAI score 0.809 (HC) / 0.761 (LC) or by ORFs of CAI score 0.982 (HC) / 0.976 (LC). Both materials were generated in bioreactors and then purified by equivalent purification regimes. Through this comparison it was shown that the binding characteristics of the antibody were unaffected by the CAI alterations to the ORFs that encoded this antibody.

From project 5 (d) the top producing clone, 097-7, as shown in Table 1, was single-cell cloned to ensure clonality of the cell line, with the resulting titre of the best sub-clone generating a near 2-fold increase in unfed extended batch cultures relative to the non-cloned parent. The titres shown in Figure 13 are generated from unfed batch cultures in two separate 3-litre Applikon bench top bioreactors as described in 1.10.

Table 1.

For each project, the final clone chosen for subsequent further development and banking is presented. Also highlighted are the 96-well titres (ng/ml) generated for each final clone at each stage of its cell line development. Typical data generated prior to the results as described herein are represented as antibody projects 1, 2, 3

and 4. Note that project 2 and project 4 express the same product. For project 2 and 4 all activities were carried out in two independent laboratories using the same vectors, host cells and protocols but different lab operators and equipment. All titres shown below at the 0, 5, 50 and 150nM MTX are those generated at 96-well stage. Higher titrations showed no significant improvement in batch production models and so lower MTX clone progressed (see Table 2). FIO = For information only, not required. Project 6 (a) was discontinued before plateau was reached due to better titres from projects 6 (b) -6 (d).

Table 1.

Antibody	1	2	3	4	5 (a)	5 (d)	6 (a)	6 (b)	6 (c)	6 (d)	7 (a)	7(b)	8	9
Final Best Clone	ACC522(L4)	15-27-4	C9-13-9	129-1-3-1 (DRC349)	17-9-6-1	O97-7	141-6-4 (best A2 96 -well titre)	280-9-6	58-3-3	P100-1	C65-5	74-3	454-6	390-8
0nM MTX	120	110	20	41	12	340	16	78	76	2350	64	2054	1280	2055
5nM MTX	530	180	150	920	32	880	72	1140	1160	2025	5700	2125	1690	14055
50nM MTX	1720	1240	490	5231	310	6700 (FIO)	438	4170	5775	Not Required	Not Required	Not Required	Not Required	Not Required
150nM MTX	Not Required	Not Required	1910	23000	1670	Not Required	Not undertaken - required	Not Required	Not Required	Not Required	Not Required	Not Required	Not Required	Not Required
Codon Optimised?	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Promoter	CMV	RSV	RSV	RSV	RSV	RSV	RSV	RSV	EF-1a	EF-1a	RSV	EF-1a	EF-1a	Ef-1A
CAI (Heavy Chain)	0.679	0.811	0.814	0.811	0.809	0.982	0.818	0.976	0.818	0.976	0.977	0.977	0.954	0.975
CAI (Light Chain)	0.674	0.767	0.763	0.767	0.761	0.976	0.755	0.978	0.755	0.978	0.973	0.973	0.919	0.973
Weeks to generate final line in 96 well	~15	12	19.5	18	19	7	>15	14	~15	10	8.5	8.5	7.5	8
Non-Fed Production Bioreactor Model (% MTX)	0.3g (100%)	0.3g (100%)	0.5g (300%)	0.9g (300%)	0.2g (300%)	0.7g (10%)	Not determined - estimated at < 0.1g (100%)	0.5g (100%)	0.9g (100%)	0.9g (10%)	0.4g (10%)	0.5g (10%)	0.6g (10%)	2.2g (10%)

Table 5

Titre comparison of non-amplified clones generated by standard transfection and selection protocol and then selected on nucleoside withdrawal and G418 addition. All cell lines contain the same vectors expressing the same antibody (of project 5) but from open reading frames encoding with differing CAI scores. For project 5 (a) (non-optimised) three further transfections were performed but are not shown as results were essentially background. In Figure (A) "% titre > 5ng" refers to the % of wells after screening recording above 5ng/ml. "% titre > 50ng/ml" refers to the % of wells screened recording above 50ng/ml. "Top titre" refers to the highest scoring titre of all screened. "50th Value" refers to the 50th best titre screened. "20th value" refers to the 20th best titre screened. In (B), the average results for Top, 20th and 50th titres reported in (A) are represented in histogram format.

(A)

Transfection	HC CAI SCORE	LC CAI SCORE	% with titre > 5ng/ml	% with titre > 50ng/ml	Top titre	50th value	20th value
1	0.809	0.761	23	0	43	6	8
2	0.809	0.761	26	0	16	5	6
3	0.809	0.761	26	0	23	6	7
4	0.847	0.833	43	7	376	2	17
5	0.847	0.833	62	24	778	9	76
6	0.872	0.894	47	20	674	23	105
7	0.872	0.894	63	30	923	12	103
8	0.982	0.976	57	25	706	46	178
9	0.982	0.976	82	61	653	80	171

(B)




Table 3.

Detailed titre analysis in 96-well plates of the projects shown in Table 1. Titre of the best and the 50th best clone is shown after G418 addition and nucleoside withdrawal selection but with no methotrexate addition.

Project	Codon Adaptation?	Promoter used to drive expression of antibody ORFs	Top Titre (ng/ml)	50th Titre (ng/ml)
2	No	RSV	130	2 (22nd)
3	No	RSV	71	12
4	No	RSV	152	22
5 (a)	No	RSV	43	6
5 (d)	Yes	RSV	653	80
6 (a)	No	RSV	116	14
6 (b)	Yes	RSV	840	89
6 (c)	No	EF-1a	1153	87
6 (d)	Yes	EF-1a	2499	1426
7 (a)	Yes	RSV	830	50
7 (b)	Yes	EF-1a	3467	528
8	Yes	EF-1a	4090	739
9	Yes	EF-1a	3108	573

Table 4

The top 20-100 clones as observed in projects 5 and 6 were then scaled into 6-well dishes before being re-plated into 96-wells in media containing 5nM methotrexate. The mean and high titres of growing clones observed in experiments 5 and 6 selected at 5nM (A1) and 50nM (A2) concentrations of the methotrexate antifolate in 96-well dishes are shown below.

	A1 5nm MTX		A2 50nm MTX	
Project	Mean Titre	Max Titre	Mean Titre	Max Titre
5 (a)	42	253	137	452
5 (d)	626	1760	1130	9500
6 (a)	134	316	129	809
6 (b)	761	6340	2525	15030
6 (c)	593	2592	949	3884
6 (d)	1012	5075	3017	12360

Table 2.

Examples of production plateaus: Example (A) the final chosen clone for Project 5 (d) was amplified further in 50nM MTX. The unfed production models titres of parent clone (shaded) and resulting highest titre of 'amplified' daughter clones are shown. Similar examples (B, C, D, E, F, G) also shown. For each example the highest titre of daughter clone after further amplification is recorded.
This demonstrates that reaching higher titres earlier is more beneficial than attempting to reach higher titres through further rounds of amplification.

Example	Cell Line	MTX levels	96-well titres (ng/ml)	Production Model end-point titres (mg/l)	% change in production model end-point titre after further amplification
Example A: Chosen Clone Project 5 (d)	097-7	5nM	880	690	
	097-7-1	50nM	6700	550	-20%
	097-7-3	50nM	3300	216	-69%
	097-7-5	50nM	3100	615	-11%
	097-7-6	50nM	1900	566	-18%
Example B: Chosen Clone Project 6 (d)	P100-1	5nM	2025	901	
	P100-1-8	50nM	4570	499	-45%
Example C: Non-chosen clone Project 6 (d)	P100-6	5nM	1435	468	
	P100-6-8	50nM	5650	125	-73%
Example D: Non-chosen clone Project 6 (d)	P634-2	5nM	3205	692	
	P634-2-4	50nM	3685	369	-47%
Example E: Non-chosen clone Project 6 (d)	P502-1	5nM	2385	357	
	P502-1-4	50nM	5325	157	-56%
Example F: Chosen Clone Project 7 (a)	C65-5	5nM	5700	400	
	C65-5-7	50nM	4515	168	-58%
Example G: Chosen Clone Project 7 (b)	74-3	5nM	2125	488	
	74-3-3	50nM	820	239	-51%

Example 3, *Antibodies 6 and 7 and EF-1a promoter*

Codon-adaptation of the open reading frames of both the heavy and light chains for antibody 6 was carried out, again to generate final CAI scores across the ORFs of >0.9. (See Table 1.). The wild-type/starting and codon-adapted open reading frames were expressed in RSV based promoter expression vectors as well as a human elongation-factor-1 alpha (EF-1a) promoter based expression vector in which cis acting insulator, enhancer and promoter expression elements are instead supplied from a non-viral promoter source. The results of this work again demonstrated that significantly less methotrexate was required to generate a final high producing cell line when the open reading frame of the desired protein were first codon-adapted. Indeed, transfection 6 (a) in which antibody 6 was encoded by non-adapted ORFs (ie. with CAI score of <0.9) was abandoned at 5nM MTX stage prior to the generation of cells nearing a plateau of production. The amplification regime was not pursued further in transfection 6 (a) because it was evident that significant more resource and time would have been required to generate cell lines capable of producing equivalent yields of protein relative the yields already obtained from cell lines in which ORFs of >0.9 had been employed (ie transfections 6 (b) and 6 (d). Furthermore, when comparing like-for-like vectors plus or minus codon adaptation, it was observed that codon-adaptation always reduced antifolate levels required. Again, for project 7, codon adaptation was carried out in a similar manner to project 6 (see Table 1) and the codon adapted ORFs (CAI > 0.9) were expressed in an RSV as well as in an EF-1a promoter based expression vector. Once again, and irrespective of promoter, equivalent high yielding cell lines were generated in a faster time and with less methotrexate from CAI adapted ORFs when compared to all previous projects in which non-adapted ORFs were employed to encode the recombinant products (summarised in Table 1).

Example 4, *mRNA levels*

To further investigate this methodology the impact of codon adaptation on the levels of mRNA generated was investigated in like-for-like polyclonal cell populations expressing the same product (the antibody of project 5) from the same vectors but from open reading frames reporting differing CAI scores.
CHO Cells were co-transfected with heavy chain (HC) and light chain (LC) encoding expression vectors encoding the same protein product (antibody of project 5). Each transfected population was maintained as polyclonal pools. Each vector pair encodes

the same antibody heavy chain (HC) and light chain (LC) but from open reading frames with differing CAI scores.

The results of this experiment are captured in Figure 10 and reveal that a significant fold increase in mRNA levels is observed when the CAI score is raised for both heavy and light chain message relative to the non-adapted controls. An equivalent increase in RNA levels (relative to starting non adapted sequence) occurred in all adapted sequences analysed. Similarly, and within the limits of the western blot assay, an equivalent increase in intracellular protein levels was observed for all adapted sequences. However whilst such equivalence was observed in intracellular protein levels, there were difference in the levels secreted. It was observed that cells containing the unnaturally high CAI scoring open reading frames generated higher polyclonal titres. This further supports the finding that the breadth of high producing clones is improved when unnaturally high CAI scoring open reading frames are employed in cell line development protocols.

Figure 10

(A): Intracellular RNA levels of HC and LC message measured by RT Q-PCR: All signals normalised to ribosomal RNA and fold increases are relative to signals generated for starting HC and LC vectors encoded by the non-codon adapted open reading frames. Y-axis: Values range from 0 to 50-fold increase in RNA signal. X axis: a (h) denotes negative control HC signal generated from RNA extracts taken from non-transfected cells (in duplicate); b (h) denotes HC signal generated from RNA extracts derived from cells transfected with non-codon adapted HC and LC expression vectors, as used for project 5 (a) (CAI scores of 0.809 for HC and 0.761 for LC); c (h) denotes HC signal generated from RNA extracts derived from cells transfected with codon adapted HC and LC expression vectors, as used for project 5 (b) (CAI scores of 0.847 for HC and 0.833 for LC); d (h) denotes HC signal generated from RNA extracts derived from cells transfected with further codon adapted HC and LC expression vectors, as used for project 5 (c) (CAI scores of 0.872 for the HC and 0.894 for the LC). Light chain signals generated from the same RNA extracts as described above are shown as a(l), b(l), c(l) and d(l) respectively.

(B): Western Blot Analysis; Equivalent cell extracts were separated by SDS-Page, blotted and interrogated with anti-product antibodies (HRP conjugated). Control of non-transfected cells is shown in lane 1. Polyclonal cells expressing product heavy and light chain open reading frames as follows; Lane 2 and 3; HC with 0.809 CAI score and LC with 0.761 CAI score (protein expressed from experiment b(h) and b(l) above, vectors equivalent as those used in project 5 (a).; Lane 4 and 5; HC with

0.847 CAI score and LC with 0.833 CAI score (protein expressed from experiment c (h) and c (l) above, vectors equivalent as those used in project 5 (b); Lanes 6 and 7; HC with 0.872 CAI score and LC with 0.894 CAI score (protein expressed from experiment d (h) and d (l) above, vectors equivalent as those used in project 5 (c); Lanes 8 and 9; HC with 0.982 CAI score and LC with 0.976 CAI score (protein expressed by vectors equivalent as those used in project 5 (d).
(C); 24-hour product titres reported in ng/ml for polyclonal cells described in Figure 10 (B).

Example 5, *Different methods to achieve high CAI. Example (a) Project 8 and example (b) Project 9.*

a) For project 8 the Leto software was used to design the variable domains. These were then fused to the identical constant domains previously generated for project 7 with aid of standard restriction enzyme digest and ligation methodology. The resulting heavy chain and light chain ORFs scored 0.954 and 0.919 respectively. These were then employed in a cell line development project and once again we generated high yielding cell lines in a faster time frame and with less methotrexate than ever previously employed prior to codon adaptation (see Table 1).
b) For project 9 again the Leto software was used to design the variable domains. These were then fused to the identical constant domains previously generated for project 6 (d) with aid of standard restriction enzyme digest and ligation methodology. The resulting heavy chain and light chain ORFs scored 0.975 and 0.973 respectively. These were then employed in a cell line development project and once again we generated high yielding cell lines in a faster time frame and with less methotrexate than ever previously employed prior to codon adaptation (see Table 1).

Example 6 - *Impact upon glycosylation*

It was noted that the levels of non-glycosylated heavy chain (NGHC) were significantly lower when expressed from codon-adapted open reading frames relative to levels generated when expressed from non-adapted open reading frames even though the same host, culture media and DHFR selection and amplification system were employed for the expression in both situations. Even more interestingly similar high levels of NGHC were also observed when the same non-adapted open reading frames were instead expressed in different host cells employing in different culture

conditions and different vector, selection and amplification regime (Glutamine Synthetase / MSX) (see Figure 12). This correlation between codon-adaptation of the open reading frame and reduced levels of non-glycosylated heavy chain reveals that through increasing the CAI score of an open reading frame one can also improve the overall quality of the product.

6.1 Cell Line development with the Lonza CHOK1SV and glutamine synthetase selection system (Figure 12).

Vector construction and cell line development were undertaken according to the recommended Lonza (Slough) protocols. The media employed at all times was CD-CHO (Invitrogen). Open reading frames employed in antibody project 5 (a) containing non -adapted open reading frames were first sub-cloned into the Lonza vectors pEE14.4 (for the light chain) and pEE6.4 (for the heavy chain). These vectors were then combined according to the recommended Lonza protocol into a single double gene vector expressing heavy and light chains. This vector was then delivered to the Lonza suspension adapted CHOK1 strain named CHOK1SV host cells using electroporation as per Lonza recommended instructions and selected and amplified with glutamine withdrawal and with the addition of MSX also as recommended.
The resulting clones were titred at 96-well and the best scaled-up into shake flasks and further evaluated. The best clone was selected to make product in large-scale bioreactors. For further general details on this approach see de La Cruz Edmonds et al 2006 Molecular Biotechnology 34:179-190)

6.2 Product NGHC analyses

Protein was purified from culture supernatant with aid of protein A columns. The product was subsequently analysed with SDS capillary electrophoresis Bioanalyzer lab-on-a-chip equipment (Agilent Technologies, Cheshire UK) under reducing conditions and according to manufacturer's protocol. The non-glycosylated heavy chain is observed as a slightly faster migrating species relative to the main glycosylated heavy chain species (See Figure 12B).

Figure 12- Table (A) showing representative data from all analyses. Also included is additional work undertaken to express the non-adapted open reading frames in a different selection and amplification protocol (Glutamine synthetase/methotrexate) employing a different host cell, vector, media and culture regime (See example 6.1

above). (B) Example NGHC traces observed from starting non adapted ORFs versus codon-adapted ORFs. This analysis was undertaken on product purified from equivalent sized (1000-litre) bioreactors. In these representative trace overlays, harvest of bioreactors cell cultures expressing product from non-adapted ORFs (CAI: HC 0.809, LC 0.761) generated heavy chain with reduced site occupancy (10% non-glycosylated heavy chain) relative to product produced from adapted ORFs (CAI: HC 0.982, LC 0.976) which contained only 1.5% non-glycosylated heavy chain.

Example 7 *Impact on levels of selection and amplification agents in the final cell lines*

The addition or stepwise titration of increasing amounts of the MTX selection and amplification agent in the DHFR selection system is undertaken in order to augment expression by increasing gene copy number. To investigate the impact of codon adaptation on the gene copy number of the transfected plasmid DNA, two different semi-quantitative methodologies were employed (see sections 1.13 and 1.14 for the description of the experiments).

Firstly FACS analysis was used. For this purpose the final cell lines or single cell clones thereof for projects 2, 3, 4, 5 (d), 6 (d) and 7 (b) were stained with fluorescent methotrexate and analysed by FACS. The results (shown in Figure 14A) demonstrate that the levels of methotrexate, as indicated by the mean fluorescence intensity, and therefore the levels of DHFR, correlate with the amplification level of the cell line, i.e. final cell lines selected in 5nM MTX (projects 5 (d), 6 (d) and 7 (b)) have the lowest and final cell lines selected in 150nM MTX (project 3) have the highest DHFR levels.

In addition, qPCR for DHFR and Neo was carried out on genomic DNA extracted from the final cell lines or single cell clones thereof for projects 3, 4, 5 (a), 5 (d), 7 (b) and 9. The results (shown in Figure 14B) demonstrate that lines selected in 5nM MTX (projects 5 (d), 7 (b) and 9) have significantly lower DNA levels of DHFR and Neo - and therefore lower copy number - than lines selected in 150nM MTX (projects 3, 4 and 5 (a)).

The results discussed above demonstrate that cell lines derived from the codon adapted ORFs (projects 5 (d), 6 (d), 7 (b) and 9 for this example) have lower gene copy number compared to lines derived from non adapted ORFs (projects 2, 3, 4, 5 (a) for this example). The use of codon adapted ORFs (CAI>0.9) therefore results in the generation of cell lines (when compared to cell lines derived from the non-adapted ORFs) with equal or higher titres, with lower levels of amplification and lower copy number of transfected DNA. The generation of clones making equivalent or

higher levels of antibody from lower copy number, less amplified expression vectors is highly desirable. For example it has been shown that repeat-induced gene silencing (RIGS) can be induced when copy number of an integrated expression vector is increased and that such RIGS can then result in reduced expression levels from such vectors in mammalian cells (eg see McBurney MW et al Exp Cell Res 2002 274:1-8).

Figure 14 (A). Plot of mean fluorescence observed for the final cell line for each of projects 2, 3, 4, 5(d), 6(d) and 7(b).The staining of cells for DHFR was undertaken as described in materials and methods, Example 1. (B) Levels of DHFR and Neo DNA by qPCR on genomic DNA from final cell lines for projects 3, 4, 5(a), 5(d), 7(b) and 9. The qPCR was performed as described in Materials and Methods, Example 1. To benchmark levels observed, the lowest values (seen in Project 7 (b)) for DHFR and Neo were set to 1 then all other values were plotted as relative fold-increase above these. Also indicated below each value is whether the protein expressed by the cell line analysed is from an ORF with CAI > 0.9 (Y= Yes and N=No) and the levels of MTX required to generate the cell line (in nM MTX).

Sequence Listing

SEQ ID NO.	DESCRIPTION OF SEQUENCE
1	18S RNA probe - nucleotide
2	Primer 1
3	Primer 2:
4	Heavy Chain probe:
5	Primer 1:
6	Primer 2:
7	Light chain probe
8	Primer 1:
9	Primer 2:
10	Non-adapted heavy chain of project 5 with a CAI score of 0.809 and employed in project 5(a)
11	Non-adapted light chain of project 5 with a CAI score of 0.761 and employed in project 5(a)
12	Heavy Chain ORF of project 5 with increased CAI score (0.847): See Table 5 and Figure 6. This sequence was employed in Project 5 (b)
13	Light Chain ORF of project 5 with increased CAI score (0.833): See Table 5 and Figure 6 This sequence was employed in project 5 (b)
14	Heavy Chain ORF of project 5 with increased CAI score (0.872). This sequence was employed in antibody project 5 (c).
15	Light Chain ORF of project 5 with increased CAI score (0.894). This sequence was employed in antibody project 5(c).
16	Heavy Chain ORF of project 5 with increased CAI score (0.982). This sequence was employed in antibody project 5(d).
17	Light Chain ORF of project 5 with increased CAI score (0.976). This sequence was employed in antibody project 5 (d).
18	candidate (H2L1) heavy chain
19	candidate (H2L1) light chain
20	Primer 1:
21	Primer 2:
22	DHFR Probe:
23	Primer 1:
24	Primer 2

25	Neo Probe:

SEQ ID NO. 1

5-VIC-tggctgaacgccacttgtccctctaaa-TAMRA-3'.

SEQ ID NO. 2

5'-aggaattgacggaagggcac-3'.

SEQ ID NO.3

5'-ggacatctaagggcatcaca-3'

SEQ ID NO. 4

5'-FAM-ctccggctgcccattgctctcc-TAMRA-3'.

SEQ ID NO. 5

5'-ggaggcgtggtcttgtagttg-3'.

SEQ ID NO.6

5'-ggcttctatcccagcgacatc-3'.

SEQ ID NO. 7

5'-FAM-tctcgtagtctgctttgctcagcgtca-TAMRA-3'.

SEQ ID NO.8

5'-cttcgcaggcgtagactttgt-3'.

SEQ ID NO. 9

5'-gccctccaatcgggtaactc-3

SEQ ID NO. 10

ATGGAGTTGGGGCTGTGCTGGGTTTTCCTTGTTGCTATTTTAGAAGGTGTCCAG
TGTGAGGTGCAGCTGGTGGAGTCTGGGGGAGGCTTGGTACAGCCTGGGGGGT
CCCTGAGACTCTCCTGTGCAGTCTCTGGATTCACCTTCAGTGACAACGGAATGG
CGTGGGTCCGCCAGGCTCCAGGGAAGGGGCTGGAGTGGGTTTCATTCATTAGT
AATTTGGCATATAGTATCGACTACGCAGACACTGTGACGGGCCGATTCACCATC
TCCAGAGACAATGCCAAGAACTCACTGTATCTGCAAATGAACAGCCTGAGAGCC
GAGGACACGGCTGTGTATTACTGTGTCAGCGGGACCTGGTTTGCTTACTGGGG
CCAGGGCACACTAGTCACAGTCTCCTCAGCCTCCACCAAGGGCCCATCGGTCT
TCCCCCTGGCACCCTCCTCCAAGAGCACCTCTGGGGGCACAGCGGCCCTGGG
CTGCCTGGTCAAGGACTACTTCCCCGAACCGGTGACGGTGTCGTGGAACTCAG
GCGCCCTGACCAGCGGCGTGCACACCTTCCCGGCTGTCCTACAGTCCTCAGGA
CTCTACTCCCTCAGCAGCGTGGTGACCGTGCCCTCCAGCAGCTTGGGCACCCA
GACCTACATCTGCAACGTGAATCACAAGCCCAGCAACACCAAGGTGGACAAGA
AAGTTGAGCCCAAATCTTGTGACAAAACTCACACATGCCCACCGTGCCCAGCAC
CTGAACTCGCGGGGGCACCGTCAGTCTTCCTCTTCCCCCCAAAACCCAAGGAC
ACCCTCATGATCTCCCGGACCCCTGAGGTCACATGCGTGGTGGTGGACGTGAG
CCACGAAGACCCTGAGGTCAAGTTCAACTGGTACGTGGACGGCGTGGAGGTGC
ATAATGCCAAGACAAAGCCGCGGGAGGAGCAGTACAACAGCACGTACCGTGTG
GTCAGCGTCCTCACCGTCCTGCACCAGGACTGGCTGAATGGCAAGGAGTACAA
GTGCAAGGTCTCCAACAAAGCCCTCCCAGCCCCCATCGAGAAAACCATCTCCAA
AGCCAAAGGGCAGCCCCGAGAACCACAGGTGTACACCCTGCCCCCATCCCGG
GATGAGCTGACCAAGAACCAGGTCAGCCTGACCTGCCTGGTCAAAGGCTTCTA
TCCCAGCGACATCGCCGTGGAGTGGGAGAGCAATGGGCAGCCGGAGAACAAC
TACAAGACCACGCCTCCCGTGCTGGACTCCGACGGCTCCTTCTTCCTCTACAGC
AAGCTCACCGTGGACAAGAGCAGGTGGCAGCAGGGGAACGTCTTCTCATGCTC
CGTGATGCATGAGGCTCTGCACAACCACTACACGCAGAAGAGCCTCTCCCTGT
CTCCGGGTAAA

SEQ ID NO. 11

ATGAGGCTCCCTGCTCAGCTCCTGGGGCTGCTAATGCTCTGGGTCTCTGGATC
CAGTGGGGATATTGTGATGACTCAGTCTCCACTCTCCCTGCCCGTCACCCCTGG
AGAGCCGGCCTCCATCTCCTGCAGAGTTAGTCAGAGCCTTTTACACAGTAATGG
ATACACCTATTTACATTGGTACCTGCAGAAGCCAGGGCAGTCTCCACAGCTCCT
GATCTATAAAGTTTCCAACCGATTTTCTGGGGTCCCTGACAGGTTCAGTGGCAG
TGGATCAGGCACAGATTTTACACTGAAAATCAGCAGAGTGGAGGCTGAGGATGT

TGGGGTTTATTACTGCTCTCAAACTAGACATGTTCCGTACACGTTCGGCGGAGG
GACCAAGGTGGAAATCAAACGTACGGTGGCTGCACCATCTGTCTTCATCTTCCC
GCCATCTGATGAGCAGTTGAAATCTGGAACTGCCTCTGTTGTGTGCCTGCTGAA
TAACTTCTATCCCAGAGAGGCCAAAGTACAGTGGAAGGTGGACAACGCCCTCC
AATCGGGTAACTCCCAGGAGAGTGTCACAGAGCAGGACAGCAAGGACAGCACC
TACAGCCTCAGCAGCACCCTGACGCTGAGCAAAGCAGACTACGAGAAACACAA
AGTCTACGCCTGCGAAGTCACCCATCAGGGCCTGAGCTCGCCCGTCACAAAGA
GCTTCAACAGGGGAGAGTGT

SEQ ID NO.12

ATGGAGCTCGGGCTGTGCTGGGTGTTCCTCGTGGCCATCCTGGAGGGAGTGCA
GTGTGAGGTGCAGCTGGTGGAGAGTGGGGGCGGCCTGGTGCAGCCCGGCGG
CAGCCTGCGGCTGTCGTGCGCCGTGAGCGGCTTCACCTTCAGTGACAACGGCA
TGGCTTGGGTCAGGCAGGCCCCCGGAAAGGGGCTCGAGTGGGTGAGCTTCAT
CAGTAACCTGGCCTACAGTATCGACTATGCTGACACCGTGACCGGCCGCTTCA
CTATCTCTCGGGATAATGCTAAGAACAGCCTGTACCTCCAGATGAACAGCCTGC
GCGCTGAGGACACCGCCGTGTACTACTGCGTGTCTGGAACCTGGTTCGCCTAC
TGGGGCCAGGGTACACTAGTCACAGTCTCCTCAGCCTCCACCAAGGGCCCATC
GGTCTTCCCCCTGGCACCCTCCTCCAAGAGCACCTCTGGGGGCACAGCGGCC
CTGGGCTGCCTGGTCAAGGACTACTTCCCCGAACCGGTGACGGTGTCGTGGAA
CTCAGGCGCCCTGACCAGCGGCGTGCACACCTTCCCGGCTGTCCTACAGTCCT
CAGGACTCTACTCCCTCAGCAGCGTGGTGACCGTGCCCTCCAGCAGCTTGGGC
ACCCAGACCTACATCTGCAACGTGAATCACAAGCCCAGCAACACCAAGGTGGA
CAAGAAAGTTGAGCCCAAATCTTGTGACAAAACTCACACATGCCCACCGTGCCC
AGCACCTGAACTCGCGGGGGCACCGTCAGTCTTCCTCTTCCCCCCAAAACCCA
AGGACACCCTCATGATCTCCCGGACCCCTGAGGTCACATGCGTGGTGGTGGAC
GTGAGCCACGAAGACCCTGAGGTCAAGTTCAACTGGTACGTGGACGGCGTGGA
GGTGCATAATGCCAAGACAAAGCCGCGGGAGGAGCAGTACAACAGCACGTACC
GTGTGGTCAGCGTCCTCACCGTCCTGCACCAGGACTGGCTGAATGGCAAGGAG
TACAAGTGCAAGGTCTCCAACAAAGCCCTCCCAGCCCCCATCGAGAAAACCATC
TCCAAAGCCAAAGGGCAGCCCCGAGAACCACAGGTGTACACCCTGCCCCCATC
CCGGGATGAGCTGACCAAGAACCAGGTCAGCCTGACCTGCCTGGTCAAAGGCT
TCTATCCCAGCGACATCGCCGTGGAGTGGGAGAGCAATGGGCAGCCGGAGAA
CAACTACAAGACCACGCCTCCCGTGCTGGACTCCGACGGCTCCTTCTTCCTCTA
CAGCAAGCTCACCGTGGACAAGAGCAGGTGGCAGCAGGGGAACGTCTTCTCAT

GCTCCGTGATGCATGAGGCTCTGCACAACCACTACACGCAGAAGAGCCTCTCC
CTGTCTCCGGGTAAA

SEQ ID NO. 13

ATGCGCCTGCCTGCCCAGCTGCTCGGCCTGCTGATGCTGTGGGTGTCGGGCA
GCTCCGGCGACATCGTCATGACCCAGAGCCCCCTGAGTCTCCCCGTCACCCCC
GGCGAACCTGCCAGCATCAGCTGCAGGGTGTCCCAGTCGCTGCTCCATTCCAA
CGGGTACACGTACCTGCATTGGTACCTGCAGAAGCCCGGGCAATCCCCTCAGC
TGCTGATCTACAAGGTGAGCAACCGCTTCTCCGGCGTCCCGGACCGGTTCAGT
GGCAGCGGCTCTGGAACCGACTTCACCCTGAAAATCAGCCGCGTGGAAGCTGA
GGACGTGGGCGTCTACTACTGCAGCCAGACCCGGCATGTGCCCTACACCTTCG
GCGGCGGCACAAAGGTGGAGATCAAGCGTACGGTGGCTGCACCATCTGTCTTC
ATCTTCCCGCCATCTGATGAGCAGTTGAAATCTGGAACTGCCTCTGTTGTGTGC
CTGCTGAATAACTTCTATCCCAGAGAGGCCAAAGTACAGTGGAAGGTGGACAAC
GCCCTCCAATCGGGTAACTCCCAGGAGAGTGTCACAGAGCAGGACAGCAAGGA
CAGCACCTACAGCCTCAGCAGCACCCTGACGCTGAGCAAAGCAGACTACGAGA
AACACAAAGTCTACGCCTGCGAAGTCACCCATCAGGGCCTGAGCTCGCCCGTC
ACAAAGAGCTTCAACAGGGGAGAGTGT

SEQ ID NO. 14

ATGGAGCTGGGCCTGTGCTGGGTGTTCCTGGTGGCCATCCTGGAGGGCGTGC
AGTGCGAGGTGCAGCTGGTGGAGAGCGGCGGCGGCCTGGTGCAGCCCGGCG
GCAGCCTGCGCCTGAGCTGCGCCGTGAGCGGCTTCACCTTCAGCGACAACGG
CATGGCCTGGGTGCGCCAGGCCCCCGGCAAGGGCCTGGAGTGGGTGAGCTTC
ATCAGCAACCTGGCCTACAGCATCGACTACGCCGACACCGTGACCGGCCGCTT
CACCATCAGCCGCGACAACGCCAAGAACAGCCTGTACCTGCAGATGAACAGCC
TGCGCGCCGAGGACACCGCCGTGTACTACTGCGTGAGCGGCACCTGGTTCGC
CTACTGGGGCCAGGGCACACTAGTCACAGTCTCCTCAGCCTCCACCAAGGGCC
CATCGGTCTTCCCCCTGGCACCCTCCTCCAAGAGCACCTCTGGGGGCACAGCG
GCCCTGGGCTGCCTGGTCAAGGACTACTTCCCCGAACCGGTGACGGTGTCGTG
GAACTCAGGCGCCCTGACCAGCGGCGTGCACACCTTCCCGGCTGTCCTACAGT
CCTCAGGACTCTACTCCCTCAGCAGCGTGGTGACCGTGCCCTCCAGCAGCTTG
GGCACCCAGACCTACATCTGCAACGTGAATCACAAGCCCAGCAACACCAAGGT
GGACAAGAAAGTTGAGCCCAAATCTTGTGACAAAACTCACACATGCCCACCGTG
CCCAGCACCTGAACTCGCGGGGGCACCGTCAGTCTTCCTCTTCCCCCCAAAAC

CCAAGGACACCCTCATGATCTCCCGGACCCCTGAGGTCACATGCGTGGTGGTG
GACGTGAGCCACGAAGACCCTGAGGTCAAGTTCAACTGGTACGTGGACGGCGT
GGAGGTGCATAATGCCAAGACAAAGCCGCGGGAGGAGCAGTACAACAGCACGT
ACCGTGTGGTCAGCGTCCTCACCGTCCTGCACCAGGACTGGCTGAATGGCAAG
GAGTACAAGTGCAAGGTCTCCAACAAAGCCCTCCCAGCCCCCATCGAGAAAAC
CATCTCCAAAGCCAAAGGGCAGCCCCGAGAACCACAGGTGTACACCCTGCCCC
CATCCCGGGATGAGCTGACCAAGAACCAGGTCAGCCTGACCTGCCTGGTCAAA
GGCTTCTATCCCAGCGACATCGCCGTGGAGTGGGAGAGCAATGGGCAGCCGG
AGAACAACTACAAGACCACGCCTCCCGTGCTGGACTCCGACGGCTCCTTCTTC
CTCTACAGCAAGCTCACCGTGGACAAGAGCAGGTGGCAGCAGGGGAACGTCTT
CTCATGCTCCGTGATGCATGAGGCTCTGCACAACCACTACACGCAGAAGAGCC
TCTCCCTGTCTCCGGGTAAA

SEQ ID NO. 15

ATGCGCCTGCCCGCCCAGCTGCTGGGCCTGCTGATGCTGTGGGTGAGCGGCA
GCAGCGGCGACATCGTGATGACCCAGAGCCCCCTGAGCCTGCCCGTGACCCC
CGGCGAGCCCGCCAGCATCAGCTGCCGCGTGAGCCAGAGCCTGCTGCACAGC
AACGGCTACACCTACCTGCACTGGTACCTGCAGAAGCCCGGCCAGAGCCCCCA
GCTGCTGATCTACAAGGTGAGCAACCGCTTCAGCGGCGTGCCCGACCGCTTCA
GCGGCAGCGGCAGCGGCACCGACTTCACCCTGAAGATCAGCCGCGTGGAGGC
CGAGGACGTGGGCGTGTACTACTGCAGCCAGACCCGCCACGTGCCCTACACCT
TCGGCGGCGGCACCAAGGTGGAGATCAAGCGTACGGTGGCTGCACCATCTGT
CTTCATCTTCCCGCCATCTGATGAGCAGTTGAAATCTGGAACTGCCTCTGTTGT
GTGCCTGCTGAATAACTTCTATCCCAGAGAGGCCAAAGTACAGTGGAAGGTGG
ACAACGCCCTCCAATCGGGTAACTCCCAGGAGAGTGTCACAGAGCAGGACAGC
AAGGACAGCACCTACAGCCTCAGCAGCACCCTGACGCTGAGCAAAGCAGACTA
CGAGAAACACAAAGTCTACGCCTGCGAAGTCACCCATCAGGGCCTGAGCTCGC
CCGTCACAAAGAGCTTCAACAGGGGAGAGTGT

SEQ ID NO. 16

ATGGAGCTGGGCCTGTGCTGGGTGTTCCTGGTGGCCATCCTGGAGGGCGTGC
AGTGCGAGGTGCAGCTGGTGGAGTCTGGCGGCGGACTGGTGCAGCCTGGCGG
CAGCCTGAGACTGAGCTGTGCCGTGTCCGGCTTCACCTTCAGCGACAACGGCA
TGGCCTGGGTGAGGCAGGCCCCTGGCAAGGGCCTGGAGTGGGTGTCCTTCAT
CAGCAACCTGGCCTACAGCATCGACTACGCCGACACCGTGACCGGCAGATTCA

CCATCAGCCGGGACAACGCCAAGAACAGCCTGTACCTGCAGATGAACAGCCTG
AGAGCCGAGGACACCGCCGTGTACTACTGTGTGAGCGGCACCTGGTTCGCCTA
CTGGGGCCAGGGCACCCTGGTGACCGTGTCCAGCGCCAGCACCAAGGGCCCC
AGCGTGTTCCCCCTGGCCCCCAGCAGCAAGAGCACCAGCGGCGGCACAGCCG
CCCTGGGCTGCCTGGTGAAGGACTACTTCCCCGAACCGGTGACCGTGTCCTGG
AACAGCGGAGCCCTGACCAGCGGCGTGCACACCTTCCCCGCCGTGCTGCAGA
GCAGCGGCCTGTACAGCCTGAGCAGCGTGGTGACCGTGCCCAGCAGCAGCCT
GGGCACCCAGACCTACATCTGTAACGTGAACCACAAGCCCAGCAACACCAAGG
TGGACAAGAAGGTGGAGCCCAAGAGCTGTGACAAGACCCACACCTGCCCCCCC
TGCCCTGCCCCCGAGCTGGCCGGAGCCCCCAGCGTGTTCCTGTTCCCCCCCAA
GCCTAAGGACACCCTGATGATCAGCAGAACCCCCGAGGTGACCTGTGTGGTGG
TGGATGTGAGCCACGAGGACCCTGAGGTGAAGTTCAACTGGTACGTGGACGGC
GTGGAGGTGCACAATGCCAAGACCAAGCCCAGGGAGGAGCAGTACAACAGCA
CCTACCGGGTGGTGTCCGTGCTGACCGTGCTGCACCAGGATTGGCTGAACGGC
AAGGAGTACAAGTGTAAGGTGTCCAACAAGGCCCTGCCTGCCCCTATCGAGAA
AACCATCAGCAAGGCCAAGGGCCAGCCCAGAGAGCCCCAGGTGTACACCCTG
CCCCCTAGCAGAGATGAGCTGACCAAGAACCAGGTGTCCCTGACCTGCCTGGT
GAAGGGCTTCTACCCCAGCGACATCGCCGTGGAGTGGGAGAGCAACGGCCAG
CCCGAGAACAACTACAAGACCACCCCCCCTGTGCTGGACAGCGATGGCAGCTT
CTTCCTGTACAGCAAGCTGACCGTGGACAAGAGCAGATGGCAGCAGGGCAACG
TGTTCAGCTGCTCCGTGATGCACGAGGCCCTGCACAATCACTACACCCAGAAG
AGCCTGAGCCTGTCCCCTGGCAAG

SEQ ID NO. 17

ATGAGACTGCCCGCCCAGCTGCTGGGCCTGCTGATGCTGTGGGTGTCCGGCA
GCAGCGGCGACATCGTGATGACCCAGAGCCCCCTGAGCCTGCCCGTGACCCC
TGGCGAGCCCGCCAGCATCAGCTGTAGAGTGAGCCAGAGCCTGCTGCACAGC
AACGGCTACACCTACCTGCACTGGTATCTGCAGAAGCCTGGCCAGAGCCCTCA
GCTGCTGATCTACAAGGTGTCCAACCGGTTCAGCGGCGTGCCTGATAGATTCA
GCGGCAGCGGCTCCGGCACCGACTTCACCCTGAAGATCAGCAGAGTGGAGGC
CGAGGATGTGGGCGTGTACTACTGCTCCCAGACCAGACACGTGCCTTACACCT
TTGGCGGCGGAACAAAGGTGGAGATCAAGCGTACGGTGGCCGCCCCCAGCGT
GTTCATCTTCCCCCCCAGCGATGAGCAGCTGAAGAGCGGCACCGCCAGCGTGG
TGTGTCTGCTGAACAACTTCTACCCCCGGGAGGCCAAGGTGCAGTGGAAGGTG
GACAATGCCCTGCAGAGCGGCAACAGCCAGGAGAGCGTGACCGAGCAGGACA
GCAAGGACTCCACCTACAGCCTGAGCAGCACCCTGACCCTGAGCAAGGCCGAC

TACGAGAAGCACAAGGTGTACGCCTGTGAGGTGACCCACCAGGGCCTGTCCAG
CCCCGTGACCAAGAGCTTCAACCGGGGCGAGTGC

SEQ ID NO 18

EVQLVESGGGLVQPGGSLRLSCAVSGFTFSDNGMAWVRQAPGKGLEWVSFISNL
AYSIDYADTVTGRFTISRDNAKNSLYLQMNSLRAEDTAVYYCVSGTWFAYWGQGTL
VTVSSASTKGPSVFPLAPSSKSTSGGTAALGCLVKDYFPEPVTVSWNSGALTSGVH
TFPAVLQSSGLYSLSSVVTVPSSSLGTQTYICNVNHKPSNTKVDKKVEPKSCDKTHT
CPPCPAPEL**A**G**A**PSVFLFPPKPKDTLMISRTPEVTCVVVDVSHEDPEVKFNWYVDG
VEVHNAKTKPREEQYNSTYRVVSVLTVLHQDWLNGKEYKCKVSNKALPAPIEKTISK
AKGQPREPQVYTLPPSRDELTKNQVSLTCLVKGFYPSDIAVEWESNGQPENNYKTT
PPVLDSDGSFFLYSKLTVDKSRWQQGNVFSCSVMHEALHNHYTQKSLSLSPGK

SEQ ID NO 19
DIVMTQSPLSLPVTPGEPASISCRVSQSLLHSNGYTYLHWYLQKPGQSPQLLIYKVS
NRFSGVPDRFSGSGSGTDFTLKISRVEAEDVGVYYCSQTRHVPYTFGGGTKVEIKR
TVAAPSVFIFPPSDEQLKSGTASVVCLLNNFYPREAKVQWKVDNALQSGNSQESVT
EQDSKDSTYSLSSTLTLSKADYEKHKVYACEVTHQGLSSPVTKSFNRGEC

SEQ ID NO 20

GAGGCAGTTCTGTTTACCAGGAA

SEQ ID NO 21

CCTGCATGATCCTTGTCACAA

SEQ ID NO 22

Cy5-CCATGAATCAACCAGGCCACCTCAG-BBq

SEQ ID NO 23

GCCCGGTTCTTTTTGTCAAG

SEQ ID NO 24

CTGCCTCGTCCTGCAGTTC

SEQ ID NO 25

Cy5-CCGACCTGTCCGGTGCCCTG-BBq

Claims:

1) A method to produce a cell line producing a therapeutic protein comprising the steps of:
a) obtaining a first polynucleotide sequence that encodes said at least one therapeutic protein,
b) altering the first polynucleotide sequence to obtain a second polynucleotide sequence, wherein the codon adaptation index of the second polynucleotide sequence is greater than that of the first polynucleotide sequence and the first polynucleotide and second polynucleotide encode the same therapeutic protein.
c) transforming at least one cell with the second polynucleotide sequence of step (b) and a polynucleotide sequence that encodes a selection marker which is capable of providing amplification of the second polynucleotide sequence within said cell,
(d) growing said at least one cell of step (c) to create a first cell line comprising a plurality of cells, in medium that contains a concentration of a selection agent that inhibits the growth of cells in said cell line which express insufficient levels of the selection marker encoded by the third polynucleotide of step (c), such that the plateau of production of the protein encoded by the second polynucleotide is reached with fewer rounds of amplification and/or is reached at a lower concentration of selection agent than would be necessary to reach an equivalent plateau of production of said protein produced in a cell line transformed with the first polynucleotide.

2) The method of claim 1 wherein the first cell line is cultured in bioreactors and the therapeutic protein produced is purified.

3) The method of claim 1 or claim 2 wherein the codon adaptation index of the second polynucleotide sequence is greater than 0.9

4) The method of any one of claims 1 to 3 wherein the level of selection agent is reduced to less than 50% when compared to the amount of selection agent used for the same method using the first polynucleotide sequence.

5) The method of claim 4 wherein the level of selection agent is reduced to less than 25% when compared to the amount of selection agent used for the same method using the first polynucleotide sequence.

6) The method of claim 5 wherein the level of selection agent is reduced to less than 5% when compared to the amount of selective agent used for the same method using the first polynucleotide sequence.

7) The method of claim 6 wherein the level of selective agent is reduced to less than 3% when compared to the amount of selective agent used for the same method using the first polynucleotide sequence.

8) A method to produce a cell line producing a therapeutic protein comprising the steps of:
a) obtaining a first polynucleotide sequence that encodes a therapeutic protein wherein the codon adaptation index of the polynucleotide sequence is greater than 0.9.
b) transforming a cell line with the polynucleotide sequence that encodes the therapeutic protein and a second polynucleotide sequence that encodes a selection marker which is capable of providing amplification of the first polynucleotide sequence that encodes the therapeutic protein within the cell line,
(c) growing the transformed cell line in medium that contains an increased or increasing concentration of a selection agent that inhibits the growth of cells expressing insufficient levels of the selection marker encoded by the polynucleotide of step (b) such that the concentration of selection agent in the medium is reduced in comparison to that which is required to achieve the same yield of the therapeutic protein from a cell line transformed with a polynucleotide sequence that encodes a therapeutic protein possesses a codon adaptation index score of less than 0.9.

9) The method of any one of claims 1 to 8 wherein the therapeutic protein is an antibody, a derivative thereof or an antigen binding fragment.

10) The method of claim 9 wherein the therapeutic protein is a monoclonal antibody.

11) The method of any one of claims 1 to 10 wherein the cell line to be transformed is metabolically deficient due to disruption or inhibition of an endogenous cellular enzyme.

12) The method of any one of claims 1 to 11 wherein the cell line to be transformed is deficient in a nucleoside synthesis pathway.

13) The method of claim 12 wherein the selection marker is a polynucleotide encoding Dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) and the selection agent is an antifolate

14) The method of claim 13 wherein the antifolate is methotrexate

15) The method of any one of claims 1 to 11 wherein the selection marker is a polynucleotide encoding Glutamine synthetase and the selection agent is methionine sulfoximine

16) The method of any one of claims 1 to 15 wherein only one round of amplification is required to achieve a plateau of protein production.

17) The method of any one of claims 1 to 16 wherein the final yield is greater than 0.5g/L in an unfed batch

18) The method of any one of claims 1 to 17 wherein the concentration of MTX used is less than 50nM

19) The method of any one of claims 1 to 14 and 16 to 18 wherein the concentration of MTX used is 5nM

20) The method of any one of claims 1 to 19 wherein the cell line is a mammalian cell line.

21) The method of claim 20 wherein the cell line is CHO or NS0.

22) A second cell line transformed with a second polynucleotide sequence having a codon adaptation index that is greater than a first polynucleotide sequence wherein the first polynucleotide and second polynucleotide encode the same therapeutic protein and further comprising a third polynucleotide sequence that encodes a selection marker which is capable of providing amplification of the first polynucleotide sequence, wherein said second cell line produces a higher yield of said therapeutic protein compared with a first cell line transformed with said first polynucleotide encoding said therapeutic protein when both are grown in selectable medium.

23) A second cell line transformed with a second polynucleotide sequence that encodes a therapeutic protein and has a codon adaptation index that is greater than

0.9 and further comprising a third polynucleotide sequence that encodes a selection marker which is capable of providing amplification of the second polynucleotide sequence, wherein said second cell line produces a higher yield of said therapeutic protein compared with a first cell line transformed with a first polynucleotide encoding said therapeutic protein wherein said first polynucleotide has a codon adaptation index that is less than 0.9.

24) A vector comprising at least one expression cassette containing an open reading frame with a CAI score above 0.9, and a second expression cassette containing an amplifiable selectable marker.

25) An amplified expression cassette containing an open reading frame with a CAI score above 0.9 contained within a host cell where it is operatively linked to a second expression cassette containing an amplifiable selectable marker.

26) A cell line comprising a vector according to claim 24 or an expression cassette according to claim 25.

27) A cell line or its progeny obtainable by the method of any one of claims 1 to 21.

28) A cell line containing an open reading frame operatively linked to an amplifiable selectable marker whereby the said open reading frame has a CAI score of greater than 0.9.

29) An antibody produced by the method of any one of claims 1 to 21 wherein the antibody produced comprises at least one heavy chain and has less than or equal to 5% of Non-glycosylated heavy chain

30) An antibody produced using the method of any one of claims 1 to 21 wherein the antibody's heavy chain is 95% glycosylated

31) An antibody produced using the method of any one of claims 16 to 19 wherein the antibody's heavy chain is 96% glycosylated

32) An antibody produced using the method of any one of claims 16 to 19 wherein the antibody's heavy chain is 97% glycosylated

33) An antibody produced using the method of any one of claims 1 to 21 wherein the antibody's heavy chain is 98% glycosylated

34) The antibody of any one of claims 29 to 34 wherein the antibody is monoclonal.

35) The antibody of claim 33 or 34 which is an anti-ß-amyloid antibody.

36) The antibody of claim 35 which has a heavy chain sequence of SEQ ID NO 18 and a light chain sequence of SEQ ID NO 19.