

PROBIOTIC COMPOSITIONS

Field of the Invention

This invention relates to nutritional compositions, particularly compositions for the delivery and maintenance of probiotic microorganisms to and in the gastrointestinal tract, especially the large bowel. As used in this specification, probiotics or probiotic microorganisms is a live microbial feed supplement which beneficially affects the host animal by improving its intestinal microbial balance. This is the definition provided by R. Fuller (AFRC Institute of Food Research, Reading Laboratory, UK) in - Journal of Applied Bacteriology, 1989. 66, pp.365-378. "Probiotics in Man and Animals - A Review"

Background to the Invention

It is the contention of many scientists that the health and wellbeing of people can be positively or negatively influenced by the microorganisms which inhabit the gastrointestinal tract, and in particular, the large bowel. These microorganisms through the production of toxins, metabolic by-products, short chain fatty acids, and the like affect the physiological condition of the host. The constitution and quantity of the gut microflora can be influenced by conditions or stress induced by disease, life style, travel, and other factors. If microorganisms which positively affect the health and wellbeing of the individual can be encouraged to populate the large bowel, this should improve the physiological wellbeing of the host.

The introduction of beneficial micro-organisms, or probiotics, is normally accomplished by the ingestion of the organisms in drinks, yoghurts, capsules, and other forms in such a way that the organism arrives in a viable condition in the large bowel.

It has been demonstrated by Englyst H.N. et al (1987) "Polysaccharides breakdown by mixed populations of human faecal bacterial", EMS Microbiology Ecol 95: 163-71,

that the bacterial fermentation of resistant starch in the large bowel produces elevated levels of short chain fatty acids, particularly beneficial types such as propionate and butyrate.

5 The present inventor has realised that it would be desirable to not only deliver probiotic microorganisms to the large bowel but also to provide a medium that would function to promote the growth of the microorganisms when they reach the large bowel.

10 Surprisingly, it has been found that modified or unmodified resistant starches may function both as a means to transport the probiotic microorganisms to the large bowel and as a growth medium for the microorganism delivered to the target region of the large bowel. As
15 used in this specification, "resistant starch" includes those forms defined as RS1, RS2, RS3 and RS4.

Disclosure of the Invention

 Accordingly, this invention consists in a probiotic composition comprising one or more probiotic
20 microorganisms and a carrier which will function to transport the one or more probiotic microorganisms to the large bowel or other regions of the gastrointestinal tract, the carrier comprising a modified or unmodified resistant starch or mixtures thereof, which carrier acts
25 as a growth or maintenance medium for microorganisms in the large bowel or other regions of the gastrointestinal tract.

 In another aspect the present invention further consists in a two part composition comprising a first part
30 which includes one or more probiotic microorganisms and a second part which includes a carrier, the carrier comprising a modified or unmodified resistant starch or mixtures thereof, which carrier acts as a growth or maintenance medium for microorganisms in the large bowel
35 or other regions of the gastrointestinal tract.

In one broad aspect, the resistant starch functions as a carrier to transport the probiotic microorganisms to the large bowel. The introduction of those microorganisms into the large bowel is beneficial as previously explained. In addition, the resistant starch when present in the large bowel will function as a nutritional source for microorganisms already present in the large bowel.

In a narrower aspect, some probiotic microorganisms may be selected such that they are able to utilise the resistant starch as a nutritional source. Thus the resistant starch will function both as a carrier and a nutritional source for those probiotic microorganisms.

There are a variety of probiotic microorganisms which are suitable for use in this invention including yeasts such as Saccharomyces, and bacteria such as the genera Bifidobacterium, Bacteroides, Clostridium, Fusobacterium, Propionibacterium, Streptococcus, Enteroccus, Lactococcus, Staphylococcus, Peptostreptococcus and Lactobacillus. The invention is not, however, limited to these particular microorganisms. The person skilled in the art would understand and recognise those microorganisms which may be included in the compositions of the invention.

Specific strains that have been tested and found suitable for use in this invention are set out in Table 1.

TABLE 1

Bacterial Name	Strain Number
<i>Bifidobacterium.adolescentis</i>	248 UNSW 509400
<i>Bif.bifidum</i>	248 UNSW 509800
<i>Bif.longum</i>	248 UNSW 509700
<i>Bif.pseudolongum</i>	248 UNSW 509500
<i>Bif.infantis</i>	248 UNSW 510000
<i>Bacteroides fragilis</i>	NCTC 9343
<i>Bact.vulgatus</i>	1ATCC 8482
<i>Lactobacillus viridescens</i>	1ATCC 12706
<i>L.casei</i>	1ATCC 25302
<i>L.acidophilus</i>	1ATCC 4356
<i>L.plantarum</i>	1ATCC 8014
<i>L.casei subsp. rhamnosus</i>	1ATCC 7469
<i>L.fermentum</i>	1ATCC 9338
<i>L.brevis</i>	248 UNSW 055100
<i>L.salivarius</i>	1ATCC 11741

The probiotic microorganisms may be used in this invention in a variety of forms including concentrates, frozen concentrates and freeze-dried material.

In one preferred form, probiotic microorganisms may be freeze-dried as a suspension with the resistant starch. For compositions of this type, the resistant starch may act as a cryoprotectant.

Typically, the resistant starch may be incorporated at a level of 2-20%w/w. Depending on the amount of resistant starch added, the drying rate and final products' consistency and rehydration characteristics will be affected. A level of about 5% of total dry solids will

be typically used, although higher concentrations may be used to improve dry cake properties.

In another form, probiotic microorganisms may be used as freeze-dried material with resistant starch in an extrusion process to form a granular product. To prepare this form of composition, it is important to ensure that the temperature of the extrusion is not so high as to adversely affect the viability of the microorganisms. One way to achieve this is to feed resistant starch together with a higher melting point fat into an extruder with zones kept at a temperature sufficiently high to maintain the material in molten form. In subsequent, cooler zones of the extruder, a suspension of probiotic microorganism together with a lower melting point fat is fed. This results in an effective mixing with the fat and resistant starch blend. Extrusion then occurs through a suitably chilled die. The molten fat may be an hydrogenated vegetable oil or a blend of hydrogenated and non-hydrogenated vegetable oils, such as palm oil, which has a melting point of more than 50°C but with a high plasticity in the region of about 35°C to 40°C. Desirably the oil(s) should be stable and non-oxidising.

The rate of blending of the low melting point fat or oil into the extruder may be in the range of 0 to 10%. Higher amounts may be used where particular characteristics such as improved distribution through the food matrix are required.

Other ingredients may be added to stabilise the blend.

The mixture of resistant starch to probiotic microorganism may be in any ratio, preferably at least 1:1:1 (resistant starch: bacteria in freeze dried form: oil/fat). By altering the ratio of resistant: oil/fat, the flowability of the blend may be improved.

In another form, probiotic microorganisms may be micro-encapsulated with resistant starch. A typical

process of micro-encapsulation requires the preheating of a low melting point oil, typically at less than 50°C, preferably less than 40°C, above its melting point. Under conditions of low shear, an amount of freeze dried
5 probiotic microorganisms, such as 10%, are added and dispersed. Resistant starch in an amount of for example 20% of oil is added together with other ingredients and binding agents such as gelatin and gums.

10 The dispersion is then sprayed into the head of a cooling tower to allow uniform particles with an average size typically in the range 20-200 microns (depending on application) to form and harden.

15 The viable cell counts of final micro-encapsulated product may be of the order 10^8 - 10^{12} microorganisms per gram. In addition to the forms monitored above, the probiotic microorganisms may be presented in other forms including blending, spray cooling, entrapment and adhesion.

20 In general terms, the probiotic microorganisms will be included with the resistant starch in a ratio of about 10^2 cfu per g resistant starch or more, preferably about 10^5 or more, most preferably about 10^7 or more. As a maximum, generally not more than about 10^{12} cfu per gram resistant starch will be used.

25 Either modified or unmodified resistant starches or mixtures thereof are used in this invention. The advantage of resistant starch in a probiotic composition is that it is not digested until it reaches the large bowel. Therefore it provides a readily available
30 substrate for fermentation by the probiotic microorganisms as soon as they arrive in the large bowel. In both cases, a preferred form of resistant starch is a high amylose starch particularly high amylose starches as disclosed and taught in WO94/03049 and WO94/14342, the contents of which
35 are incorporated into this specification for the purposes of convenient cross-reference.

In WO94/03049 and WO94/14342, high amylose starches are disclosed which are resistant starches and include maize starch having an amylose content of 50% w/w or more, particularly 80% w/w or more, rice starch having an amylose content of 27% w/w or more and; particular granular size ranges of starches having an amylose content of 50% or more and enhanced resistant starch content, these starches including maize, barley, wheat and legumes. This invention is not, however, limited to these forms of resistant starch. For example, other forms of resistant starch are derived from sources such as bananas and potatoes.

The compositions of the invention may be prepared such that probiotic microorganisms and carrier are presented in combination. Alternatively, the probiotic microorganism and the carrier may be presented in each of two separate parts. In this form, either the part containing the probiotic microorganisms or the part containing the carrier may be consumed first, followed by the other part shortly thereafter.

In an unmodified form, the resistant starch will serve as a substrate for fermentation by the probiotic microorganisms when the composition reaches the large bowel.

It may be advantageous to also chemically modify the starch to, for instance, alter the charge density or hydrophobicity of the granule and/or granule surface to enhance the attachment compatibility between the microorganism and the resistant starch. Chemical modifications, such as etherification, esterification, acidification and the like are well known in this art as being suitable chemical treatments.

It may also be useful to modify the degree of enzyme susceptibility of the resistant starch by altering the conformation or structure of the starch. Examples include

acid or enzyme thinning and cross bonding using difunctional reagents.

One useful modification is the amylolysis of high amylose starches to give starch granules characterised by pits or erosions which can extend from the surface to the interior of the granules. These pits allow the entry of enzymes to the more enzyme susceptible core of the starch granule which is solubilised.

Eroded starch granules not only have increased surface area for the attachment of microorganisms, they also allow for the encapsulation and entrapment of microorganisms within the interior of the granule. This latter property assists in the protection of microorganisms thereby facilitating transport to the large bowel.

It is also within the scope of this invention to subject enzymatically treated resistant starches to chemical modification as described above.

In addition to the aforementioned chemical, enzymatic and enzymatic/chemical modifications that may be made to the resistant starch, the probiotic microorganisms may be chemically attached to the resistant starch using materials such as difunctional reagents or polyfunctional reagents. Examples of reagents of these types are respectively gluteraldehyde and sodium trimetaphosphate.

This latter reagent is preferred as it is a food grade chemical.

Although the compositions of the invention may be directly ingested or used as an additive in conjunction with foods, it will be appreciated that they may be incorporated into a variety of foods and beverages including but not limited to yoghurts, ice creams, cheeses, baked products such as bread, biscuits and cakes, dairy and dairy substitute foods, confectionery products, edible oil compositions, spreads, breakfast cereals, juices and the like. Within the scope of the term "foods"

are to be included in particular food likely to be classified as functional foods, i.e. "foods that are similar in appearance to conventional foods and are intended to be consumed as part of a normal diet, but have been modified to physiological roles beyond the provision of simple nutrient requirements" (NFA Policy Discussion Paper 7/94).

Similarly, the compositions of the invention may be presented in dosage forms such as in a capsule.

In general terms, the resistant starch in combination with the probiotic microorganisms will be presented in dry form. Suitable, but not limiting drying means include: spray drying, freeze drying and spouted bed drying.

Brief Description of the Drawings

Figure 1 is a light microscopic photograph of Bifidobacterium associated with a source of resistant starch (high amylose starch granules) prior to anaerobic incubation at 37°C;

Figure 2 is a light microscopic photograph as in Figure 1 after incubation;

Figure 3 is a light microscopic photograph as in Figure 1 after degradation during incubation;

Figure 4 is a scanning electron photomicrograph showing intact resistant starch (high amylose starch granules);

Figure 5 is a scanning electron photomicrograph showing external erosion of the starch granule as a result of treatment with thermostable bacterial alpha amylase and pullulanase;

Figure 6 is a scanning electron photomicrograph of an enzyme treated starch granule fracturing about the pit;

Figure 7 is a scanning electron photomicrograph of a fractured enzyme treated granule displaying a hollow core region;

Figure 8 is a graph of volatile fatty acid production for resistant starch (Hi-maize starch) and Sigma amylose when fermented with a number of bacteria;

Figure 9 is a graph of growth profile of bifidobacteria over time using a number of resistant starch substrates;. and

Figure 10 is a graph of growth profile of B.bifidum and Cl.butyricum over time using a number of resistant starch substrates.

Modes for Carrying out the Invention

One form that the resistant starch of this invention may take is when it is eroded or pitted. An example of the preparation of such starch will now be described with reference to Figures 4 to 7.

Preparation of Amylolysis Products from high Amylose Maize Starch Granules

A slurry containing 2000 grams of high amylose maize (Hi-maize-trade mark - obtained from Starch Australasia Ltd) starch (12.5% moisture) and water (7000 mL) was adjusted to pH 6.0 using sodium hydroxide solution (0.65M). The slurry was heated to 85°C in a water bath. At this point in Experiments 2, 5 and 6 the thermo stable bacterial alpha amylase Termamyl was added. The experimental details are provided in Table 2.

After 60 minutes the temperature of the slurry was reduced to 60°C and either (i) the pH adjusted to 4.5 using hydrochloric acid (10M), prior to the addition of the fungal glucoamylase and bacterial pullulanase blend Dextrozyme, or (ii) the pH was adjusted to 5.0 before the inclusion of the bacterial pullulanase Promozyne (Table 2). The slurry in both cases was maintained at 60°C for 20 hours.

The pH was then raised to pH 6.0 using sodium hydroxide solution (0.65M) and the insoluble portion recovered using a Buchner funnel fitted with a Whatman 54 filter paper. The recovered material was washed with

7 litres of distilled water and then dried in a fan forced oven at 50°C.

N.B. Termamyl - thermo stable endo alpha
amylase from

5 Bacillus

licheniformis

Pullulanase - thermo stable pullulanase
from Bacillus sp.

Glucoamylase - from Aspergillus niger

10

(all enzymes ex Novo Nordisk
Bioindustrial Pty Limited).

TABLE 2
CONDITIONS USED IN THE PREPARATION OF HIGH AMYLOSE
MAIZE STARCH AMYLOLYSIS PRODUCTS

		Exp.2	Exp.3	Exp.4	Exp.5	Exp.6
Starch Used	(g) dsb	1750	1750	1750	1750	1750
Water	(ml)	7000	7000	7000	7000	7000

Stage One

Temperature (°C)	85	85	85	85	85
pH	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0
Reaction Time (mins)	60	60	60	60	6.0
Novo Termamyl 120L (mL)	4.00	--	--	2.00	2.00

Stage Two

Temperature (°C)	60	60	60	60	60
pH	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Reaction Time (hrs)	20	20	20	20	20
Novo Dextrozyme (mL)	--	--	--	2.00	--
Novo Promozyme 200L (mL)	--	10.00	2.50	--	2.50
Amount recovered (g)	735	1555	1549	1020	1012

*Exp. = Experiment

Dietary fibre and resistant starch levels were determined for the starches of each of these experiments, the results of which are shown in Table 3.

TABLE 3

TOTAL DIETARY FIBRE AND RESISTANT STARCH LEVELS IN HIGH AMYLOSE MAIZE STARCH AMYLOLYSIS PRODUCTS			
		Total Dietary Fibre (%)dsb	Resistant Starch McCleary et al (%)dsb
10	High Amylose Maize Starch	33.4	18.1
	<u>High Amylose Maize Starch</u>		
	<u>Residues After Amylolysis</u>		
	Experiment 2	37.0	36.0 $\pm$ 2.9
	Experiment 3	31.4	30.1 $\pm$ 2.0
15	Experiment 4	34.4	29.3
	Experiment 5	35.8	34.7
	Experiment 6	39.3	42.0 $\pm$ 0.9

* dsb = dry solids basis

In each case, it is evident that the enzyme treatment has resulted in a substantial enhancement of the resistant starch content while dietary fibre levels have been essentially maintained.

The results of such enzyme treatments are clearly shown in the accompanying Figures 5, 6 and 7, particularly when compared with the untreated starch granules of Figure 4.

Modified starches prepared in this way may be readily combined with probiotic microorganisms to form compositions of the invention.

An example of the preparation of an extruded form of the compositions of the invention will now be described.

Equipment Profile

- Extruder screw profile of 5FS, 3FP (30°), 2RP (30°), 2FS, 5FP (60°), 3RP (60°), 3FS, 3FP (30°), 2RP (30°), 2FS, 3FP (30°), 2RP (30°), 3SLS

5 - Temperature Profile

Zone 1	(70°),
Zone 2	(70°),
Zone 3	(70°),
Zone 4	(50°),
Zone 5	(50°),
Zone 6	(35°),
Zone 7	(35°),
Zone 8	(35°),
Die	20

15 Method

Resistant starch is fed into an APV MPF 50.20 extruder at a feed rate of say, 20 kg/hr in conjunction with a molten fat, melting point of >50°C. The fat is kept liquid by recirculated hot water and delivered into the extruder in the second injection port at a rate of 2 kg/hr. A suspension of lyophilized bacteria, especially *Bifidobacterium bifidum* or *Bif. Longum* is prepared using a low melting point fat, eg, hydrogenated maize oil with a M.P. of 35-37°C, such that the fat is heated just above its melting point but not in excess and the lyophilized bacteria added and mixed thoroughly. This suspension is then fed into zone 7 of the extruder where it is mixed with the fat/starch blend, and then forced through a chilled die fitted with 2mm dia meter orifices. The material is granulated and further chilled to maintain its shape until used.

An example of a freeze dried form of the compositions of the invention will now be described.

Cell cultures, especially those of *Bifidobacterium longum*, *Bifidobacterium bifidum* or *Cl. butyricum* are produced by conventional means and a concentrated cell

- suspension prepared by membrane filtrating, preferably ultrafiltration and/or centrifugation. Resistant starch is gently stirred into the cell suspension (0.10 - 0.50 gm/ml) along with another cryoprotectant, sucrose (0.07 gm/ml). The product is then quickly frozen to -40°C and freeze dried to a water activity of a_w 0.01 or less to obtain optimum stability. The culture is then removed from the dryer, gently pulverised and packed into air-tight containers and stored at 4°C until use.
- Some examples of food products incorporating compositions of the invention are as follows:

CITRUS-FLAVOURED BEVERAGE

DRY MIX

15

INGREDIENTS	% by Weight
Resistant starch	9.00
Freeze dried probiotic	1.00
Sucrose	68.00
Fieldose 17 Maltodextrin	13.83
Dextrose	1.72
Citric acid anhydrous	1.00
Flavour	0.20
Acacia gum	0.25
Tricalcium phosphate	0.10
Colour	<u>To suit</u>
	100.00

METHOD

1. Blend all ingredients thoroughly.
- Preparation
- With stirring, add 30 grams dry mix to 337 mls water.
- Ingredients Supplier
- Resistant starch (Hi maize) and Fieldose 17 are supplied by Starch Australasia Limited, Australia.

YOGHURT

The following formula is offered as a starting for the manufacture of stirred or set yoghurts.

<u>INGREDIENTS</u>	<u>g</u>
Resistant starch	10.0
Whole Milk	33.0
Sugar	10.5
Non-fat Milk Solids	9.0
MAPS 449	0.5
Stabilizer	0.5
Culture (probiotic)	1.0
Water	to 100.0

5 METHOD

1. Combine all of the ingredients except the culture, making sure that the stabilizer is well dispersed.
2. Heat the mixture to 88-93 degrees C, and hold at this temperature for 30 minutes.
- 10 3. Cool to 38-45 degrees C.
4. Add the resistant starch fraction.
5. Inoculate with the culture and incubate at 42 degrees C.
6. Allow the culture to ferment the yoghurt to a pH of
- 15 4.0 - 4.2.
7. Refrigerate at 5 degrees C.

NOTES:

- 20 1. There are a variety of stabilizers available consisting of gelatine, gelatine and gum blends or gum blends. Recommended stabilizers are a) Gelatine available from Leiner Davis Gelatin (Australia) Co., b) Sta-Rite and Stabilizer Gemcol ADQ available from Germantown Australia Co.
- 25 2. The stabilizer and MAPS 449 combination should be 1% w/w of the final mix. Higher concentrations can, under some circumstances, give adverse effects.

3. For stirred yoghurts, pumping and filling of the containers is done between Steps 6 and 7.
4. For set yoghurts, filling into the containers is done between Steps 5 and 6.
5. 5. The Whole Milk can be substituted with Full Cream Milk Powder used at a level to give equivalent solids to those contributed by the Whole Milk. The quantity of water required is also adjusted accordingly.
- 10 6. For fruit flavoured yoghurts, commercial fruit bases are combined with the yoghurt prior to filling. A typical ratio would be 85 parts yoghurt to 15 parts fruit base.
- 15 7. If homogenization is required for textural purposes, it is recommended to lightly homogenize at 200 - 250 psi after Step 2, before cooling. Homogenization at lower temperatures could result in damage to the stabilizer component.

Ingredient supplier

- 20 Resistant starch (Hi maize) and MAPS 449 are supplied by Starch Australasia Limited, Australia.

INSTANT MOUSSE

INGREDIENTS

PARTS BY WEIGHT

Resistant Starch Fraction,	
Probiotic, Corn Syrup Solids	15 parts
Fieldose 30	75 parts
Sugar	50 parts
Instant Gelatin 800	4 parts
Instant FTD 176 Pregelatinized	
Starch	10 parts
Water	150 parts
Colour and Flavour	As required

25 METHOD

- Blend all of the dry ingredients.

- Whilst stirring, add the dry mix to cold water.
- Continue to whip until the over-run is 150%.

Ingredient Suppliers

Instant FTD 176, Fieldose 30 and resistant starch (Hi
5 maize) are supplied by Starch Australasia Limited,
Australia.

Instant Gelatin 800 is supplied by Leiner Davis Gelatin
(Australia) Co.

10 In order to further assist in the understanding of
this invention, a series of experiments will now be
described which demonstrate utility.

Experiment 1

15 In this experiment the ability of a range of colonic
bacteria, obtained from culture collections and isolates
from human faeces, to utilise a source resistant starch as
a fermentation substrate was assessed. In all cases the
source resistant starch was high amylose maize (Hi-maize-
TM obtained from Starch Australasia Ltd) or derivatives
thereof.

20 In Table 4, there is shown the fermentability
results obtained for a range of resistant starch types and
bacteria. Assessment was in terms of total carbohydrate
residue (mg/mL).

25 In Figure 8, there is shown the results obtained for
volatile fatty acid production (VFA) for Hi-maize starch
and Sigma amylose when fermented with a number of
bacteria.

30 In Figure 9, there is shown the growth profile of
Bifidobacterium strains X8 and X13 over time using a
number of resistant starch substrates.

In Figure 10, there is shown the growth profiles of
B.bifidum and Cl.butyricum over time using the resistant
starch substrates of Figure 9.

Table 4

Fermentability of native and derivatised high amylose maize starch by different bacterial strains (residue of total carbohydrate present after 48 hours of anaerobic fermentation)

Starch Type	Total carbohydrate residue (mg/ml)					
	1	2	3	4	5	6
Bifido strain X8AT1	6.43	4.99	5.57	4.68	4.97	6.41
Cl. butyricum	5.62	4.09	6.16	4.88	6.18	4.99
B. bifidum	6.70	5.20	6.09	5.79	6.00	6.68
Medium control	9.31	9.87	9.92	9.30	9.70	9.80

Starch type 1 = Hydroxypropylated

Starch type 2 = Acetylated

Starch type 3 = Octenyl succinated

Starch type 4 = Carboxymethylated

Starch type 5 = Succinated

Starch type 6 = Unmodified

Observations

Some strains, particularly those from the genus Bifidobacterium, Bacteroides, Eubacterium and Clostridium, demonstrated an ability to degrade the granular starch.

The products of these in vitro fermentations included short chain fatty acids (VFA) such as acetate, propionate and butyrate which are important in the maintenance and protection of the bowel and the body.

It is to be noted from Figure 9 that each bacterial strain displayed individual growth profiles that demonstrated that the starch or chemically derivatised starch could act as the substrate for the fermentation.

In some cases such as that shown by Bifidobacterium strain X8 the ability to utilise the hydroxypropylated

high amylose maize starch was not reflected in an increase in the population of Bifidobacterium strain X8 population until about 8 hours from the commencement of the incubation when the Bifidobacterium strain X8 population increased from about 4.2 log/ml to 6.2 log/ml after 10 hours.

Accordingly, the type or extent of the modification of the resistant starch may be used as a means by which to control either the rate or site of fermentation of the resistant starch in the gastrointestinal tract.

This is further demonstrated when the growth performance graphs shown in Figure 10 are considered.

This allows for the possibility of selecting a modified resistant starch type to selectively target the promotion of bacterial growth in a region of the gastrointestinal tract.

Experiment 2

In this experiment, the ability of, for example Bifidobacterium, to adhere to the starch granules of native and derivatised high amylose maize starch granules for the purposes of transportation or fermentation was examined. The results shown in Table 5 indicate some differences based on the modified starch type.

Table 5

Percentage of starch granules with bacteria attached in aqueous suspension after simulated food processing (heated to 90°C for 30 minutes).

Starch Type	Starch granules with bacteria attached (%)					
	1	2	3	4	5	6
Bif. bifidum	10	17.5	2.5	10	0	0
Bif. strain X8AT2	25	40	10	32.5	47.5	10
Bif. strain X13AT2	50	30	2.5	17.5	15	7.5

Starch type 1 = Hydroxypropylated
 Starch type 2 = Acetylated
 Starch type 3 = Octenyl succinated
 Starch type 4 = Carboxymethylated
 5 Starch type 5 = Succinated
 Starch type 6 = Unmodified

Experiment 3

10 In order to demonstrate that resistant starch can pass through to the large bowel and promote the growth of probiotics microorganisms, two feeding trials were conducted.

The first trial involved feeding mice known not to have any native caecal bifidobacteria, with a diet including Bifidobacterium strains as shown in Table 6.

15

Table 6
Diets for Mice Probiotic Feeding Experiments

Test Groups	A	B	C	D	E
Starch	Waxy	HA	Carboxy-methyl	HA	None
	400	400	400	400	
Casein	200	200	200	200	
Canola oil	25	25	25	25	
Sunflower oil	25	25	25	25	
Sucrose	150	150	150	150	
Wheat bran	100	100	100	100	
Gelatin	20	20	20	20	
Mineral mix	67	67	67	67	
Vitamin mix	13	13	13	13	
Methionine	2	2	2	2	
Bacterial strain	X8AT2	X8AT2	X8AT2	Bif.bifidum	X8AT2

* all weights in grams

Waxy: waxy maize starch

HA: high amylose maize starch

Carboxymethyl: Carboxymethylated high amylose maize starch

Bacterial cultures (200 microlitres) were orally ingested by the mice with the starch containing meals.

The consumption of resistant starch resulted in an increase in faecal output and faecal dry matter plus a significant increase in the amount of starch detected in the mice faeces, as is shown in Table 7.

Table 7

Starch concentration in mice faeces

	Starch in Faecal pool (% dry weight)		
	Day 3-4	Day 5-6	Day 7-8
Group A	1.80	-0.56	2.87
Group B	29.23	24.51	32.87
Group C	19.59	16.37	14.23
Group D	18.09	27.09	28.16
Group E	0.94	0.30	-1.63

A comparison of the average viable bacterial counts in the mice faeces during the dosing period of the experiment, as shown in Table 8, revealed a significant increase in the number of bifidobacteria being excreted by the mice consuming resistant starch in the form of unmodified high amylose maize starch and modified (carboxymethylated) maize starch.

It is of further note that less carboxymethylated maize starch was found to be present in the faeces as compared with high amylose maize starch. This further demonstrates *in vivo* the differential utilisation of modified versus unmodified resistant starch as was mentioned in relation to the Table 4 and the results shown in Figures 9 and 10.

Table 8
Average of viable bacterial counts in the continued dosing period

5	Total bacteria output/day/mouse bifidobacteria		
	Mean $\pm$ Std	Mean Diff.	F-test
	Group A	7.152 $\pm$ 0.96	
	Group B	7.965 $\pm$ 0.56	
	Groups A-B	-0.813	p<0.05
	Group C	8.007 $\pm$ 0.50	
	Groups A-C	-0.855	p<0.05
	Group D	9.434 $\pm$ 0.72	
	Groups A-D	-2.282	p<0.05
	Group E	7.159 $\pm$ 0.61	
	Groups A-E	-0.007	none

The second trial involved feeding pigs a diet as shown in Table 9.

10 The formulations of these diets was prepared by blending the ingredients in a Hobart mixer at low speed for about 60 seconds to minimise any damage to the bacteria.

15 This trial (crossover design) was conducted involving 12 male pigs where one of two experimental diets, containing the probiotic *Bifidobacterium longum*, was fed for a period of one week followed by a cleaning out period where an antibiotic (Olaquinox broad spectrum for both gram positive and gram negative) diet was
 20 consumed.

Table 9

Experimental diets for the pigs

Ingredient	Amount (g)	
Casein	160	160
Waxy maize starch	498	-
High amylose maize starch	-	498
Sucrose	100	100
Safflower	40	40
Wheatbran	200	200
Bifidobacterium longum 1941	20	20
Vitamins & Minerals	2	2

The Bifidobacterium longum 1941 was consumed as a freeze dried powder with a viability of between 5.48×10^9 cfu/mg and 1.02×10^{10} cfu/mg.

The experiment showed an increase of 0.92 (log10) in the numbers of bifidobacteria present in the faeces of individual pigs, as shown in Table 10.

Table 10

Summary of average Bif.longum counts in pig faeces on both diets

Pig Number	Control Starch + Bif.longum (cfu/day)	Resistant Starch + Bif.longum (cfu/day)
4	1.14×10^{10}	2.57×10^{10}
5	4.70×10^9	4.24×10^{10}
6	9.77×10^{10}	1.35×10^{11}
7	4.67×10^9	6.43×10^{10}
8	1.02×10^{10}	2.37×10^{11}
9	6.68×10^9	2.43×10^{10}
11	5.76×10^9	1.19×10^{11}
12	2.39×10^{10}	2.60×10^{10}
13	4.59×10^8	4.69×10^{10}
14	3.28×10^{10}	3.78×10^{10}
15	3.62×10^{10}	6.69×10^{10}

The level of faecal short chain fatty acids (acetate, propionate and butyrate) increased when the resistant starch was included in the diet but did not further increase when the probiotic was added. However the starch analysis performed on the faeces found that a larger percentage of starch was present in the pigs fed resistant starch than in the control diet (containing readily digestible waxy maize starch), but more importantly a significant drop in the percentage of starch present was observed when the resistant starch was fed in conjunction with the *Bif.longum* indicating that the *Bif.longum* was probably using the resistant starch as a substrate.

At the end of the final rotation, the experimental diets were maintained. The probiotic supplementation ceased. When the faeces were monitored over a four day period the level of *Bif.longum* experienced a rapid decline for the first two days and then appeared to achieve an equilibrium. This suggests that the diet may be promoting the colonising of the bifidobacteria in the bowel. Other reported experiments which did not include resistant starch found that the levels of bifidobacteria dropped completely within two days even after maintaining the experimental diet.

It will be appreciated by persons skilled in the art that numerous variations and/or modifications may be made to the invention as shown in the specific embodiments without departing from the spirit or scope of the invention as broadly described. The present embodiments are, therefore, to be considered in all respects as illustrative and not restrictive.



CLAIMS

1. A probiotic composition comprising one or more probiotic microorganisms and a carrier which will function to transport the one or more probiotic microorganisms to the large bowel or other regions of the gastrointestinal tract, the carrier comprising a modified or unmodified resistant starch or mixtures thereof, which carrier acts as a growth or maintenance medium for microorganisms in the large bowel or other regions of the gastrointestinal tract.

2. A two part probiotic composition comprising a first part which includes one or more probiotic microorganisms and a second part which includes a carrier, the carrier comprising a modified or unmodified resistant starch or mixtures thereof, which carrier acts as a growth or maintenance medium for microorganisms in the large bowel or other regions of the gastrointestinal tract.

3. A composition as in claim 1 or claim 2 wherein the starch is type RS1, RS2, RS3 or RS4.

4. A composition as in claim 3 wherein the resistant starch is selected from the group consisting of high amylose maize, rice, barley, wheat and legumes, or is derived from a source including potatoes and bananas.

5. A composition as in claim 4 wherein the amylose content is 50% w/w or more, preferably 80% w/w or more, or for rice, starch, 27% w/w or more.

6. A composition as in claim 5 wherein the resistant starch is maize starch.

7. A composition as in any one of claims 1 to 6 wherein the resistant starch is modified.

8. A composition as in claim 7 wherein the resistant starch is hydroxy propylated, acetylated, octenyl succinated, carboxymethylated or succinated.

9. A composition as in any one of claims 1 to 8, wherein the probiotic microorganism is in freeze dried form, concentrate form or frozen concentrate form.



10. A composition as in claim 9, when dependent on claim 1, wherein the resistant starch is freeze dried with the probiotic microorganism.
11. A composition as in claim 10 wherein the resistant
5 starch is a concentration of 2 to 20% w/w.
12. A composition as in any one of claims 1 to 11 wherein the resistant starch has been eroded or pitted.
13. A composition as in any one of claims 1 to 12 wherein the probiotic microorganism is selected from the
10 group consisting of Saccharomyces, Bifidobacterium, Bacteroides, Eubacterium, Clostridium, Lactobacillus, Fusobacterium, Propionibacterium, Streptococcus, Enterococcus, Lactococcus and Staphylococcus, Peptostreptococcus.
- 15 14. A composition as in any one of claims 1 to 13 wherein the one or more probiotic microorganism and a modified resistant starch are selected such that bacterial growth is promoted in a selected region of the gastrointestinal tract.
- 20 15. A food composition including an effective amount of a probiotic composition as defined in any one of claims 1 to 14.
16. A method of forming a probiotic composition comprising drying, blending, co-extruding, spray cooling,
25 entrapment, adhesion or micro-encapsulating one or more probiotic microorganisms with a modified or unmodified resistant starch or mixtures thereof.
17. A method as in claim 16 wherein drying is by freeze drying, spouted bed drying or spray drying.
- 30 18. A method as in claim 17 wherein drying is by freeze drying.

