




Fig. 1

2/22




Fig. 2




Fig. 3

4/22




Fig. 4

5/22




Fig. 5

6/22




Fig. 6

7/22




Fig. 6A




Fig. 6B




Fig. 7




Fig. 8

9/22

No:	Start time	End time	Duration (hours:mins:secs)	Portfolio A	Portfolio B	Judgement notes	Winner
001	31 Oct '08 09:41	31 Oct '08 09:45	00:03:27	lost in basic tilty model but lots of ideas noted on booklet and fast forward (503 - ds01)	basic pill sucking system (598 - sk02)		Portfolio A
002	31 Oct '08 09:33	31 Oct '08 09:41	00:08:36	whacky twisty pill sucker (547 - jr08)	lost in basic tilty model but lots of ideas noted on booklet and fast forward (503 - ds01)	I found this one really difficult, which is better 1 big whacky off the wall idea or lots of little alternative rooted ideas? Not sure I made the right decision, I think I may be swayed by the kids who seem to be taking it more seriously	Portfolio B
003	31 Oct '08 09:25	31 Oct '08 09:33	00:07:12	basic box but with a number of user orientated features (462 - cq10)	whacky twisty pill sucker (547 - jr08)	I wanted to give it to the whacky idea which shows loads of growth but no real grip, overall I think As user focus is more rounded	Portfolio A

Fig. 9

10/22

900

more details and actions for this judgement

Comparison Info

here is where you can add notes about each portfolio

This is also where you decide which is the winner

Portfolio A 901

Portfolio B 902

SAVE NOTES 903

SAVE NOTES 304

Select Winner

PORTFOLIO A IS THE WINNER

PORTFOLIO B IS THE WINNER

Fig. 10

1080

more details and actions for this judgement

Judgement summary

Portfolio	Notes	Status
A		Loser
B		Winner

1001

Judgement confirmed - add some notes about the decision making process (optional)

I thought the design of portfolio A was graphically well executed (heart broach) but there was clearly more evidence of design thought in portfolio b (pill stick) 1002

SAVE NOTES AND CONTINUE 1003

Fig. 11

11/22

moderators	centres	☐ specs	judgement	log-out
------------	---------	--------------------------------	-----------	---------

Series	1103	1104	1100	1105	1106	1107
Add a Series						
Series	Start Date	Closing Date	Edit	Status	Delete	
086G	29/07/2008 10:05	29/01/2008 10:05	Edit	Currently Active		

Specifications	1110	1111	1112
Spec Title	Set Submission Dates Download Usage		Spec Options
escape phase 3, Design and Technology	esc p3 dt judgement sessions		
escape phase 3, English	esc p3 eng judgement sessions		
escape phase 3, Geography	esc p3 go judgement sessions		
escape phase 3, Science	esc p3 sc judgement sessions		

Fig. 12

1151

1152

1153

1154

1150

1155

1156

Australian Test

Judges	Portfolios	Status	Last update	Show stats	Action
16	20	running	24th Mar '09 09:07	0 rounds complete ~ 9 judgements until next estimation round 1 of 200 judgements complete (0.5%)	PAUSE END ABANDON

Running

e-scape in South Africa - Jan 09

Judges	Portfolios	Status	Last update	Show stats	Action
25	20	running	13th Feb '09 16:17	8 rounds complete ~ 10 judgements until next estimation round 80 of 200 judgements complete (40%) parameter graph	PAUSE END ABANDON

Running

cleveland student trail

Judges	Portfolios	Status	Last update	Show stats	Action
15	20	stopped	19th Dec '08 10:26	16 rounds complete ~ 7 judgements until next estimation round 163 of 200 judgements complete (81.5%) parameter graph	START EDIT ABANDON

Stopped

click here to make session inactive

main phase 3 design and technology judging session

Fig. 12A

12/22

1201
1202
1200

moderators
 centres
☐ specs
 judgement
log-out

Judge	Active	Boundary Judge
testjudge judge	☐	☐
dt01 judge	☒	☐
dt02 judge	☒	☐
dt13 judge	☒	☐
dt09 judge	☒	☐
dt04 judge	☒	☐
dt11 judge	☐	☐
dt15 judge	☒	☐
dt08 judge	☐	☐
dt05 judge	☒	☐
dt02 judge	☐	☐
dt21 judge	☐	☐
dt22 judge	☐	☐
dt23 judge	☐	☐
dt24 judge	☐	☐
dt25 judge	☐	☐

UPDATE JUDGE LIST

Fig. 13

escape phase 3, Design and Technology
1310
1311

beta trial - december 08

Judges	Portfolios	Status	Last update	Show stats	Action
25	20	building	24th Mar '09 09:16	0 rounds complete ~ 10 judgements until next estimation round 0 of 200 judgements complete (0%)	READY DELETE

Building

Add Judges and Portfolios to the Session.

Click "Ready" when the session is ready to Start.

The current session will require a total of 200 judgements - approx. 20 per judge

Adjust estimation variables (if unsure, do not amend)

maxiter

choosgap

swisscount

maxcomparisons

minrequired

1303
1302
1301
1304
1305

UPDATE ESTIMATION VARIABLES

1300

Fig. 14

escape phase 3, Design and Technology
beta trial - december 08

Judges	Portfolios	Status	Last update	Show stats	Action
10	20	ready	3rd Apr '09 19:17	0 rounds complete ~ 10 judgements until next estimation round 0 of 200 judgements complete (0%)	START EDIT ABANDON

Ready
Do a final check of your details before committing to "start"
The current session will require a total of 200 judgements - approx. 20 per judge

Fig. 15

Show stats
1 round complete ~8 judgements until next estimation round 12 of 200 judgements complete (6%) parameter graph

Fig. 16

Show stats
16 rounds complete ~7 judgements until next estimation round 163 of 200 judgements complete (81,5%) parameter graph

Fig. 17

14/22




Fig. 18

15/22

Judge (click for history)	Progress	Extra	Misfit figure	Active status
dt01 judge	0 of ~20 (0%)	0	wms=	☒ De-activate
dt02 judge	3 of ~20 (15%)	0	wms=0.2477825640158 -1.2443400166381	☒ De-activate
dt09 judge	0 of ~20 (0%)	0	wms=	☒ De-activate
dt04 judge	1 of ~20 (5%)	0	wms=0.36787944117144 -0.91445553442938	☒ De-activate
dt08 judge	0 of ~20 (0%)	0	wms=	☒ De-activate

Fig. 19




Fig. 20

dt02 judge	3 of ~20 (15%)	5 ok cancel	wms=0.2477825640158 -1.2443400166381	☒ De-activate
------------	----------------	--	---	---

dt01 judge	0 of ~15 (0%)	-5	wms=	☒ De-activate
dt02 judge	3 of ~25 (12%)	5	wms=0.2477825640158 -1.2443400166381	☒ De-activate

Fig. 21

16/22

2100

No:	Start time	End time	Duration (hours:mins:secs)	Portfolio A	Portfolio B	Judgement notes	Winner
001	19 Dec '08 11:49	19 Dec '08 11:49	-- in progress --	Face Confusing design Made colourful. (813 - cl16) - win	Blue pot it is tough through it isn't easily carried (808 - bb04) - win		in progress
002	19 Dec '08 11:38	19 Dec '08 11:49	00:11:14	Roundabout pills Cant be carried easily Some aspects thought about (820 - ds04) -	Pentagon Pot The shape has changed from the original design and it is practical for the elderly although i think you should be able to carry it. Not completely finished though esome attempt was made at designing th full prototype. (807 - bb12)	fjkhfkjh	Portfolio A
003	19 Dec '08 11:27	19 Dec '08 11:38	00:10:24	kjhdkjhsdkj (821 - ds02)	lkjdsjkldkj (804 - ad04)	kjlkjlkjk	Portfolio A
004	19 Dec '08 11:18	19 Dec '08 11:27	00:08:46	Bear It was suited for the audience Only 1 idea Thought about well It can be carried (814 - cq04)	Pot for Kids Not practical bold colour (809 - cl09)		Portfolio A
005	19 Dec '08 11:06	19 Dec '08 11:18	00:12:24	ajhkjkj Design is incomplete (805 - ad01)	lkicjldkj (811 - cl05)	ljfkjgkji	Portfolio B

2101

2201

2202

2203

2200

2204

Fig. 22

Portfolio ID (click for history)	Learner name	Current Portfolio Parameter	Misfit statistic
781 19 comparisons	ad05 student	-2.1759	string(17) "sigk:: -0.0593272" string(15) "sigw:: 0.434595" NAN ub=1, lb=1
782 17 comparisons	ad03 student	-1.60089	string(17) "sigk:: -0.0251594" string(15) "sigw:: 0.544624" NAN ub=1, lb=1
783 18 comparisons	ad04 student	-1.88408	string(17) "sigk:: -0.0593272" string(15) "sigw:: 0.434595" NAN ub=1, lb=1
784 13 comparisons	ad01 student	-1.70777	string(17) "sigk:: -0.0557559" string(15) "sigw:: 0.717851" NAN ub=1, lb=1
785 17 comparisons	bb08 student	0.680281	-1.8199594 19109 ub=1, lb=1
786 15 comparisons	bb12 student	-1.90233	string(17) "sigk:: -0.0523462" string(15) "sigw:: 0.480141" NAN ub=1, lb=1
787 12 comparisons	bb04 student	1.68933	-1.0988917338381 ub=1, lb=1

Fig. 23

17/22

Portfolio Judgement History

2301

2302

2303

2304

2300

2305

2306

2307

2308

Judgement Time	Judge	Link	Parameter	Compared to	Parameter	Notes	Winner?
5th Nov '08 11:23	dt03 judge	ad05 student(781) Multi thingy Pot It has been changed to suit the target audience but it has varied little. It was thought about well	-1.58688	ad03 student(782) Box good model, lack of development, technical Can be carried, boxy so impractical to use. Not fully developed.	-1.77132	A is a better as it can be adapted for different people	YES
5th Nov '08 11:23	dt07 judge	ad05 student(781) Only onhe idea, virtually no 'growing' of it wasnt trying .. didnt develope it trough drawings or pictures.	-1.58688	ad03 student(782) doesnt develope trough the corse	-1.77132	B was a better design	NO
5th Nov '08 11:21	dt04 judge	ad05 student(781) this is a box with a tray that slides out	-1.29945	ad04 student(783) this is more developed than the other one	-1.28464	this is a very good design and is very well thought out, it has a good principle as a pill box. i also chose this because it seems more devoupled compared to the other one.	NO
		ad05 student(781)		ad01 student(784)			

Fig. 24





Fig. 25

19/22



Full portfolio order and details for round 16

No.	Portfolio	Parameter	Stan. Error	misfit	More Details
1st	ds04_799	1.8614	0.691614	wms=1.2905489670764 -1.3446200603374	judgement history rank order history
2nd	cq04_793	1.73752	0.613728	wms=0.84760848802526 0.47327579611784	judgement history rank order history
3rd	bb04_787	1.68933	0.70217	wms=0.96397412605853 -1.0988917338381	judgement history rank order history
4th	ds21_797	1.66348	0.62433	wms=1.0862649688878 0.51020214224834	judgement history rank order history
5th	cl19_789	1.61636	0.638117	wms=0.89060047077629 -1.1159882952413	judgement history rank order history

Fig. 26

20/22




Fig. 27

Australian Test

Judges	Portfolios	Status	Last update	Show stats	Action
16	20	paused	6th Apr '09 13:23	0 rounds complete ~9 judgements until next estimation round 1 of 200 judgements complete (0.5%)	START EDIT END GRADE BOUNDARY ABANDON

Paused]

Fig. 28

Australian Test

Judges	Portfolios	Status	Last update	Show stats	Action
0	0	boundary	6th Apr '09 13:31	0 rounds complete ~9 judgements until next estimation round 1 of 200 judgements complete (0.5%)	START END ABANDON

Boundary

[click here to move session in to "boundary" mode](#)

Adjust estimation variables (if unsure, do not amend)

boundarymaxiter
 boundarychoosegap
 boundarymaxcomparisons
 boundaryminrequired

Fig. 29

21/22

Judge	Active	Boundary Judge
testjudge judge	☐	☐
tony wheeler	☐	☐
richard kimbell	☐	☐
gillian whitehouse	☐	☐
rut wright	☐	☐
soo miller	☐	☐
jenny bain	☐	☐
testhouse15 testhouse15	☐	☐
testhouse16 testhouse16	☐	☐
dt01 judge	☒	☒
dt02 judge	☒	☒
dt13 judge	☒	☐

Fig. 30

